

Table 23 (Continued)
Fattening phase¹—157 days.

| | | |
|---|----------|--------|
| Av. initial wt., lbs. | 830.5 | 841 |
| Av. final wt., lbs. | 1078 | 1094 |
| Av. daily gain per steer, lbs. | 1.57 | 1.61 |
| Av. daily ration, lbs.: | | |
| Forage sorghum silage ² | 4.5 | .. |
| Dehydrated grain sorghum pellets | .. | 5.6 |
| Alfalfa hay | 3.2 | .. |
| Dehydrated alfalfa pellets | .. | 1.1 |
| Soybean oil meal | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Sorghum grain | 15.9 | 9.1 |
| Feed per cwt. gain, lbs.: | | |
| Forage sorghum silage | 287 | .. |
| Dehydrated grain sorghum pellets | .. | 345 |
| Alfalfa hay | 204 | .. |
| Dehydrated alfalfa pellets | .. | 69 |
| Soybean oil meal | 63 | 63 |
| Sorghum grain | 1000 | 564 |
| Feed cost per cwt. gain | \$22.26 | 20.67 |
| % shrink | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| Dressing %, feedlot wt. | 61.8 | 60.3 |
| Dressing %, pay wt. | 64.2 | 62.7 |
| Av. hot carcass wt. | 671.4 | 667.7 |
| Av. chilled carcass wt. | 662.9 | 660.2 |
| Av. % cooler shrink | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Av. finish: | | |
| Thickness ³ | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Distribution ⁴ | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Av. degree of marbling ⁵ | 5.1 | 6.0 |
| Av. size of ribeye ⁶ | 4.1 | 4.8 |
| Av. degree of firmness ⁷ | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| Carcass grades: | | |
| Av. prime | 1 | .. |
| Top choice | 1 | 1 |
| Av. choice | 3 | 3 |
| Low choice | 3 | 2 |
| Top good | 1 | 1 |
| Av. good | .. | 1 |
| Low good | .. | 1 |
| Av. carcass value (prime 43.0¢) | \$275.55 | 268.63 |
| (choice 41.5¢) | | |
| (good 39.0¢) | | |

1. One steer lost in each lot from urinary calculi.
2. Silage fed only first 42 days.
3. Based on 2, thick; 3, moderate; 4, modest.
4. Based on 2, uniform; 3, moderately uniform; 4, modestly uniform.
5. Based on 4, slightly abundant; 5, moderate; 6, modest; 7, small amount.
6. Based on 3, moderately large; 4, modestly large; 5, slightly small.
7. Based on 2, firm; 3, moderately firm; 4, modestly firm; 5, slightly firm.

Grain Sorghum Silage vs. Forage Sorghum Silage; Dehydrated Alfalfa vs. Vitamin A, and the Value of Aureomycin in Cattle Rations (Project 567).

D. Richardson, E. F. Smith, F. W. Boren, and B. A. Koch

Two types of sorghum silage were used in this test. They were (1) DeKalb forage type which produced approximately 100 bushels of grain and 20 tons of silage per acre; and (2) RS610 grain type which produced

approximately 75 bushels of grain and 10 tons of silage per acre. Forty Hereford heifer calves were divided into four lots of 10 each. Three lots received the grain sorghum silage and one the forage silage plus 2 pounds of grain. This was an attempt to keep the grain intake equal in all lots; however, since the forage sorghum produced so much grain, this lot may have received slightly more grain than the others. Dehydrated alfalfa as a source of vitamin A was compared with vitamin A and with vitamin A plus Aureomycin. The average daily ration for each lot is shown in Table 24.

Results and Discussion

The test had to be terminated at 77 days when the supply of grain sorghum silage was exhausted. Results are shown in Table 24.

There were no significant differences in rate of gain between animals receiving the forage- and grain-type silage. A combination of vitamin A and Aureomycin produced larger gains than dehydrated alfalfa or vitamin A; however, those receiving dehydrated alfalfa made larger gains than those receiving vitamin A without Aureomycin.

The higher feed costs for grain-type silage are due to a charge of \$10 per ton compared with \$6 for the forage type. These and previous results indicate that a high grain-yielding forage-type sorghum may be the most desirable for ensilage.

Table 24
Grain- vs. forage-type sorghum silage; dehydrated alfalfa vs. vitamin A, and the value of Aureomycin in cattle rations.
December 9, 1960, to February 24, 1961—77 days.

| Lot number | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|--------|-------|---------|---------|
| Number heifers per lot | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Av. initial wt., lbs. | 518.5 | 518.5 | 518 | 519 |
| Av. final wt., lbs. | 656 | 648 | 635.5 | 656 |
| Av. daily gain per animal, lbs. | 1.79 | 1.68 | 1.53 | 1.78 |
| Av. daily ration, lbs.: | | | | |
| DeKalb forage sorghum silage | 31.8 | .. | .. | .. |
| RS610 grain sorghum silage | .. | 31.1 | 31.4 | 34.6 |
| Soybean oil meal | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Dehydrated alfalfa pellets .. | .5 | .5 | .. | .. |
| Sorghum grain | 2.0 | .. | .. | .. |
| Vitamin A, I.U. | .. | .. | 10000.0 | 10000.0 |
| Aureomycin, mg. | .. | .. | .. | 72 |
| Feed per cwt. gain, lbs.: | | | | |
| DeKalb forage sorghum silage | 1782 | .. | .. | .. |
| RS610 grain sorghum silage .. | .. | 1849 | 2057 | 1943 |
| Soybean oil meal | 56 | 59 | 66 | 56 |
| Dehydrated alfalfa pellets .. | 28 | 30 | .. | .. |
| Sorghum grain | 112 | .. | .. | .. |
| Feed cost per cwt. gain | \$9.94 | 12.04 | 12.57 | 11.65 |
| (Does not include cost of vitamin A and Aureomycin) | | | | |

Rolled vs. Finely Ground Pelleted Sorghum Grain in Cattle Rations (Project 567).

D. Richardson, E. F. Smith, F. W. Boren, and B. A. Koch

In previous tests where grain intake was held at the same level, finely ground pelleted sorghum grain has produced larger and more efficient

