

THE NURSING HOME ENVIRONMENT: AN INFORMATION  
PROCESSING APPROACH

by

JERALD STEVEN BRENNER

B.A., Kansas University, 1975

---

A MASTERS'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirement for the degree


MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Psychology

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY  
Manhattan, Kansas

1983

Approved by:

  
Major Professor

LD  
2668  
.T4  
1983  
B735  
C.2

A11202 245013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Prose Theory and Research . . . . .	1
Summary of Prose Work . . . . .	6
Mental Maps . . . . .	7
Summary of Mental Maps . . . . .	8
Behavior Settings . . . . .	9
Comparison Between Prose Schemas and Behavior Settings . . . . .	10
Event Memory . . . . .	12
Summary of Experiments . . . . .	13
Scripts in Memory for Texts . . . . .	14
Experiment 1 . . . . .	14
Experiment 2 . . . . .	15
Experiments 3-4 . . . . .	15
Experiment 5 . . . . .	16
Experiment 6 . . . . .	16
Experiment 7 . . . . .	16
Summary of Relevant Script Concepts . . . . .	16
The Nursing Home Environment . . . . .	17
Routine Activities . . . . .	17
Script Construction . . . . .	18
Script Organization . . . . .	19
Summary of Main Objectives and Procedures . . . . .	20

	Page
EXPERIMENT 1: PILOT . . . . .	21
Method . . . . .	21
Subjects . . . . .	21
Procedure . . . . .	21
Results and Discussion . . . . .	22
Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	26
EXPERIMENT 2: SCRIPT PRODUCTION AND RATINGS OF IMPORTANCE . .	27
Method: Residents . . . . .	27
Subjects . . . . .	27
Materials . . . . .	27
Procedure . . . . .	29
Method: Staff . . . . .	31
Subjects . . . . .	31
Procedure . . . . .	32
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	34
Overview . . . . .	34
Organization of Individual Scripts into Generic Scripts . .	34
Inclusion of Actions into the Generic Scripts . . . . .	34
Definition of Scenes . . . . .	37
Problems in Defining Script Scenes . . . . .	39
Script Action Importance and Frequency of Mention . . . . .	49
Overview . . . . .	49
Results . . . . .	49
Discussion . . . . .	52

	Page
Comparison of Script Scenes Using Importance Ratings . . . . .	61
Introduction . . . . .	61
Comparison of the Three Scenes Within Each Activity--Staff .	65
Comparison of the Three Activities for a Separate Scene-- Staff . . . . .	67
Comparison of the Three Scene Means for Each Activity; and Comparison of the Three Activities for Each Scene-- Residents . . . . .	68
Comparison of Script Scene Between Staff and Residents . . .	70
Script Scene Importance and Demographic Variables . . . . .	74
GENERAL DISCUSSION . . . . .	80
Overview . . . . .	80
Nursing Home Scripts . . . . .	80
Methodological Issues . . . . .	85
Scale Reliability and Validity . . . . .	87
Research Implications . . . . .	89
Summary of Major Conclusions . . . . .	90
Epilogue . . . . .	92
REFERENCES . . . . .	94
APPENDIXES	
A. ADAPTATION SCALE . . . . .	97
B. AMBULATION SCALE . . . . .	98

**THIS BOOK  
CONTAINS  
NUMEROUS PAGES  
WITH THE ORIGINAL  
PRINTING BEING  
SKEWED  
DIFFERENTLY FROM  
THE TOP OF THE  
PAGE TO THE  
BOTTOM.**

**THIS IS AS RECEIVED  
FROM THE  
CUSTOMER.**

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Comparison of the Properties of Behavior Settings and Story Schemas . . . . .	11
2. Results of Tour Procedure . . . . .	23
3. Going to the Whirlpool Bath--Residents' Script . . . . .	40
4. Going to Eat in the Dining Room--Residents' Script . . . . .	41
5. Going to Sleep at Night--Residents' Script . . . . .	42
6. Going to the Whirlpool Bath--Staff Script . . . . .	43
7. Going to Eat in the Dining Room--Staff . . . . .	44
8. Going to Sleep at Night--Staff Script . . . . .	45
9. Theoretical Restaurant Script . . . . .	46
10. Mean Frequencies of Mention ( $\bar{X}$ Freq.) and Mean Importance Ratings ( $\bar{X}$ Imp.) for Personal (P), Institutional (I), and Summary (S) Actions Labeled in Tables 3-8 . . . . .	59
11. Script Scene Importance Ratings for the Three Script Activities . . . . .	62
12. Comparison of Script Scenes Within an Activity Based on Mean Importance Ratings (Residents and Staff) . . . . .	63
13. Comparison of Script Activities on the Basis of Mean Importance Ratings for Each Script Scene (Residents and Staff) . . . . .	64
14. Comparison of Mean Importance Ratings Between Residents and Staff for Each Scene Within an Activity . . . . .	71
15. Pearson Correlations Between Script Scene Mean Importance Ratings and Demographic Variables . . . . .	75

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Comparison of Mean Importance Ratings Vs. Frequency of Mention for All Three Script Activities for Residents . . . . .	50
2. Comparison of Mean Importance Ratings Vs. Frequency of Mention for All Three Script Activities for Staff . . . . .	51
3. Comparison of Mean Importance Ratings Vs. Frequency of Mention Between Staff and Residents Using Combined Ratings from the Three Activities . .	56