

This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

Wheat Mds-1 encodes a heat-shock protein and governs susceptibility towards the Hessian fly gall midge

Xuming Liu, Chitvan Khajuria, Jiarui Li, Harold N. Trick, Li Huang, Bikram S. Gill, Gerald R. Reeck, Ginny Antony, Frank F. White, and Ming-Shun Chen

How to cite this manuscript

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following information:

Liu, X., Khajuria, C., Li, J., Trick, H. N., Huang, L., Gill, B. S.,... Chen, M.-S. (2013). Wheat Mds-1 encodes a heat-shock protein and governs susceptibility towards the Hessian fly gall midge. Retrieved from <http://krex.ksu.edu>

Published Version Information

Citation: Liu, X., Khajuria, C., Li, J., Trick, H. N., Huang, L., Gill, B. S.,... Chen, M.-S. (2013). Wheat Mds-1 encodes a heat-shock protein and governs susceptibility towards the Hessian fly gall midge. *Nature Communications*, 4, 2070.

Copyright: Copyright © 2013, Rights Managed by Nature Publishing Group

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): doi:10.1038/ncomms3070

Publisher's Link:

<http://www.nature.com/ncomms/2013/130624/ncomms3070/full/ncomms3070.html>

This item was retrieved from the K-State Research Exchange (K-REx), the institutional repository of Kansas State University. K-REx is available at <http://krex.ksu.edu>

1 **Wheat *Mds-1* encodes a heat-shock protein and governs susceptibility towards**
2 **the Hessian fly gall midge**

3
4 Xuming Liu¹, Chitvan Khajuria¹, Jiarui Li², Harold N. Trick², Li Huang³, Bikram S. Gill², Gerald
5 R. Reeck⁴, Ginny Antony², Frank F. White², and Ming-Shun Chen^{1,5†}

6
7 ¹Department of Entomology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

8 ²Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

9 ³Department of Plant Sciences and Plant Pathology, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT
10 59717

11 ⁴Department of Biochemistry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

12 ⁵USDA-ARS, Hard Winter Wheat Genetics Research Unit, Kansas State University, Manhattan,
13 KS 66506

14
15 **†Corresponding Author:** Ming-Shun Chen, USDA-ARS and Department of Entomology, 123
16 Waters Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506.

17 Phone: 785-532-4719, Fax: 785-532-6232, Email: mchen@ksu.edu or [ming-](mailto:ming-shun.chen@ars.usda.gov)
18 shun.chen@ars.usda.gov

19

20

21 **Gall midges induce formation of host nutritive cells and alter plant metabolism to**
22 **utilize host resources. Here, we show that the gene *Mayetiola destructor susceptibility-1***
23 **(*Mds-1*) on wheat chromosome 3AS encodes a small heat-shock protein and is a major**
24 **susceptibility gene for infestation of wheat by the gall midge *M. destructor*, commonly**
25 **known as the Hessian fly. Transcription of *Mds-1* and its homoeologs increased upon insect**
26 **infestation. Ectopic expression of *Mds-1* or induction by heat shock suppressed resistance**
27 **of wheat mediated by the resistance gene *H13* to Hessian fly. Silencing of *Mds-1* by RNA**
28 **interference conferred immunity to all Hessian fly biotypes on normally susceptible wheat**
29 **genotypes. *Mds-1*-silenced plants also showed reduced lesion formation due to infection by**
30 **the powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Modification of susceptibility**
31 **genes may provide broad and durable sources of resistance to Hessian fly, *B. graminis* f. sp.**
32 ***tritici*, and other pests.**

33 Plant parasites, including many insects, nematodes, and microbes manipulate plants in
34 order to utilize host resources. Hessian fly, a member of a large group of gall-inducing insects
35 called gall midges, is a destructive insect pest of wheat, and a model organism to study plant-
36 insect interactions^{1,2}. Hessian fly larvae live between leaf-sheaths near the base of wheat
37 seedlings. Even though it does not induce the formation of a typical outgrowth gall, a Hessian
38 fly larva is able to convert the whole susceptible plant into a gall by inducing the formation of
39 nutritive cells at the feeding site and by inhibiting plant growth while maintaining the infested
40 plant alive as a source for nutrients^{2,3}. The infested susceptible plant dies eventually after the
41 insect ceases feeding on the plant. Successful infestation is accompanied by increased epidermal
42 permeability of sheath cells, an indicator of nutritive cell formation⁴. Hessian fly larvae also
43 suppress plant defense and reprogram metabolic pathways of susceptible plants during

44 compatible interactions⁵. In plants carrying a resistance (R) gene directed against Hessian fly
45 infestation, a larva is unable to establish a permanent feeding site and dies within 3-5 days after
46 hatching. Resistant plants resume normal growth after some initial growth deficit⁶. Thirty-two
47 major R genes to Hessian fly have been identified in wheat or wheat relatives⁷. All known R
48 genes have a typical gene-for-gene relationship with Hessian fly avirulence as observed in many
49 plant – pathogen systems. Many R genes have been deployed to the field and are initially highly
50 effective in protecting wheat from Hessian fly damage^{1,2}. However, resistance mediated by R
51 genes is short-lived and usually overcome by the insect within 3-6 years after the deployment of
52 a resistant cultivar due to the appearance of new virulent Hessian fly biotypes⁸. All known R
53 genes in wheat are also temperature-sensitive and lose resistance to Hessian fly above 28°C⁹.
54 Further insights into the molecular aspects of the wheat – Hessian fly interaction are therefore
55 needed to improve wheat resistance.

56 Expression profiling of nearly isogenic susceptible and resistant wheat cultivars revealed
57 that many host genes are up- or down-regulated following Hessian fly infestation⁵. Based on the
58 gene annotation, the changes in susceptible wheat are consistent with the conversion of the
59 sheath cells to a nutrient sink with concomitant increases in carbohydrate metabolism, amino
60 acid biosynthesis, and nutrient transport. The changes in gene expression in susceptible plants
61 are also consistent with the dramatic shift from carbon-containing compounds to nitrogen-
62 containing compounds that occurs during compatible interactions¹⁰. Some of the specifically
63 induced genes with the greatest expression are predicted to be involved in stress responses, likely
64 as the consequence of developmentally inappropriate alteration to host cell physiology or the
65 hijacking of the stress responses for the benefit of Hessian fly larvae⁵. Here, we present a
66 functional analysis of a predicted heat-shock protein gene that was represented by the EST

67 CD453475, named here *Mayetiola destructor susceptibility gene-1 (Mds-1)*. *Mds-1* gene showed
68 the highest level of induction in wheat seedlings during compatible interactions to Hessian fly
69 infestation⁵. We examined the impact of silencing and ectopic expression of *Mds-1* in
70 susceptible and resistant wheat genotypes, respectively, on Hessian fly larval survival and
71 development.

72

73 **Results**

74 **Hessian fly infection induces *Mds-1*.** Based on the EST CD453475 sequence, a full-
75 length cDNA and the gene (GenBank Accession Code JN162442) were cloned from the
76 susceptible wheat Newton by RACE-PCR and PCR (Supplementary Fig. S1). *Mds-1* encodes a
77 protein of 151 amino acid residues and has 96% identity with a previously characterized heat-
78 shock protein (HSP), HSP16.9, a member of a group of proteins with the α -crystallin domain¹¹
79 (Fig. 1a). Without infestation, very low levels of *Mds-1* transcript were detected in wheat leaf-
80 sheaths (Fig. 1b), the feeding site for Hessian fly larvae. Higher transcript levels were found in
81 developing grains. Both the transcript and protein levels increased in plants during compatible
82 interactions following Hessian fly infestation, but no apparent increase in the transcript or protein
83 levels was observed in plants during incompatible interaction with the wheat cultivar Molly (Fig.
84 1c), which carries the R gene *H13*¹².

85 **Silencing of *Mds-1* inhibits Hessian fly development.** Expression of *Mds-1* was
86 reduced by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)¹³ to determine the requirement for *Mds-1*
87 expression during Hessian fly infestation. VIGS treatment of seedlings of the susceptible wheat
88 Newton prevented the induction of *Mds-1* in Hessian fly-infested plants and rendered the
89 susceptible genotype immune to Hessian fly infestation (Fig. 2a). Seven Hessian fly populations

90 representing at least 30 biotypes were tested, and all biotypes were incompatible on *Mds-1*-
91 silenced wheat seedlings (Supplementary Table S1). The effect of *Mds-1* silencing was also
92 assessed in stable transgenic plants. Twenty-four independent transgenic lines of the wheat
93 cultivar Bobwhite, a Spring wheat with high efficiency for genetic transformation, were
94 generated with a construct expressing double-stranded RNA for *Mds-1* silencing. Twenty of the
95 transformants showed suppression of *Mds-1* induction by Hessian fly, whereas the remaining
96 four did not have the suppression effect (Supplementary Table S2). The transgenic plants and
97 their progeny with suppressed *Mds-1* lost susceptibility to Hessian fly biotype *GP* (Fig. 2b,
98 Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Fig. S4). Transgenic lines T1630, T1639, T2095, and
99 T2357 were advanced to T2 generation (equivalent to F2 offspring from F0), and all these
100 transgenic lines were resistant to all biotypes tested so far (Supplementary Table S1).

101 **High levels of *Mds-1* expression confer R-gene plants susceptibility.** Due to the
102 hexaploid nature, a wheat gene usually has at least three homoeologs from A, B, and D genomes
103 that share high nucleotide sequence similarity. RNA interference (RNAi) in transgenic and
104 VIGS-treated plants may have reduced the abundance of *Mds-1* and related transcripts. The
105 silencing strategy did concomitantly reduce levels of *Mds-1* related transcripts (Supplementary
106 Figs. S5a, S5b, S6). To determine if *Mds-1* homoeologs are involved in wheat susceptibility to
107 Hessian fly, we mapped *Mds-1* to wheat chromosome 3AS using Chinese Spring wheat
108 ditelosomic and deletion lines (Supplementary Figs. S7a1, S7a2, S7b)¹⁴. The homoeologs of
109 *Mds-1* on chromosomes 3B and 3D were identified by searching genomic sequences derived
110 from these two chromosomes specifically (Supplementary Fig. S8)^{15,16}. The two homoeolous
111 genes on B and D genomes, named as *HB* and *HD*, respectively, were mapped to the similar
112 distal regions of chromosomal 3B and 3DS using gene-specific primer pairs (Supplementary Figs.

113 S7a3, S7a4, S7b, S8). Transcript abundance of all these three homoeologs was induced by
114 Hessian fly infestation and by heat stress (Supplementary Figs. S7c, S7d). The ditelosomic lines
115 of Chinese Spring wheat missing *Mds-1* or either one of its two homoeologs on B and D
116 chromosomes are still susceptible to Hessian fly infestation, indicating that each of the
117 homoeologous genes is able to confer wheat susceptibility to Hessian fly infestation.

118 To determine whether *Mds-1* expression alone is sufficient for wheat susceptibility to
119 Hessian fly, we took advantage of the lack of induction of *Mds-1* by Hessian fly in the resistant
120 wheat cultivar Molly. *Mds-1* was ectopically expressed at high levels in Molly through stable
121 transformation (Supplementary Fig. S9). Although normally resistant to Hessian fly, Molly
122 plants became susceptible to the insect when *Mds-1* was ectopically expressed (Fig. 3a). Seven
123 independent transgenic lines with ectopic *Mds-1* expression were produced to avoid positional or
124 other undesirable effects (Supplementary Table S2). All seven transgenic lines with ectopic
125 *Mds-1* expression were susceptible to Hessian fly infestation. In addition to ectopic expression,
126 heat stress was also adapted to induce *Mds-1* expression since *Mds-1* encodes a heat shock
127 protein. When Molly seedlings were stressed at 37°C and then allowed to recover at 20°C, high
128 levels of *Mds-1* transcript were observed (Fig. 3b), and the plants with high levels of *Mds-1*
129 expression became susceptible (Fig. 3c). Heat-shock studies with wheat cultivars containing
130 other R genes gave similar results (Supplementary Fig. S10). Heat-induced wheat susceptibility
131 was not due to a general stress response since susceptibility did not occur in *Mds-1*-silenced,
132 transgenic plants (Fig. 4).

133 ***Mds-1* silencing suppresses metabolic changes associated with susceptibility.** The
134 impact of *Mds-1* silencing and ectopic expression on epidermal permeability (an indicator of
135 nutritive cell formation)⁴, plant-growth inhibition, and expression of nutrition-related host genes

136 were examined in wheat with or without Hessian fly infestation. Hessian fly infestation induced
137 strong epidermal permeability, as measured by the uptake of neutral red dye, in control Bobwhite
138 (Fig. 4a), as compared with background staining of uninfested control Bobwhite (Fig. 4a1, UCB).
139 Uninfested, *Mds-1* silenced Bobwhite plants displayed neutral red uptake comparable to
140 untreated control Bobwhite plants, and Hessian fly infestation failed to induce epidermal
141 permeability in *Mds-1* silenced Bobwhite plants. Heat stress treatment also had no effect on
142 permeability in either uninfested or infected *Mds-1* silenced Bobwhite plants. *Mds-1* silencing
143 also prevented the plant growth inhibition that is observed after Hessian fly infection of control
144 Bobwhite plants (right panel). Heat treatment could not induce susceptibility in the silenced
145 plants either. On the other hand, high levels of ectopic *Mds-1* expression allowed the normally
146 avirulent biotype *GP* to induce strong epidermal permeability in the otherwise resistant wheat
147 cultivar Molly (Fig. 4b). High level of *Mds-1* expression induced by heat stress also allowed
148 biotype *GP* to induce strong epidermal permeability of Molly cells. High levels of *Mds-1*
149 expression through either heat stress or ectopic expression allowed the normally avirulent
150 Hessian fly biotype to inhibit plant growth. *Mds-1* silencing also limited the ability of Hessian
151 fly to up-regulate nutrient production-related genes and down-regulate defense genes in the
152 susceptible host Bobwhite, a phenomenon normally observed only in resistant plants during
153 incompatible interactions (Supplementary Fig. S11).

154 ***Mds-1* expression is correlated with powdery mildew susceptibility.** To determine
155 whether *Mds-1* is specific to Hessian fly or a common susceptibility gene to other biotrophics as
156 well, transgenic plants with *Mds-1* silenced were tested against two wheat biotrophic pathogens,
157 the powdery mildew caused by the fungus *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici*; and the leaf rust
158 caused by the fungus *Puccinia triticina*. Inoculation of wheat seedlings with the isolate KS-5 of

159 *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* revealed that all tested transgenic Bobwhite lines with *Mds-1* silenced
160 exhibited reduced lesion size in response to the pathogen, whereas control Bobwhite plants
161 displayed full lesion development that is consistent with susceptibility (Fig. 5a, Supplementary
162 Table S2). *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* infection strongly upregulated *Mds-1* in powdery mildew-
163 susceptible cultivars Molly, Newton, and Bobwhite, but only slightly affected *Mds-1* transcript
164 abundance in the powdery mildew-resistant cultivar Duster (Fig. 5b), which contains the R gene
165 *Pm3*¹⁷. Conversely, high levels of *Mds-1* transcript in Duster, as induced by heat stress (Fig. 5c),
166 were correlated with a phenotype switch from resistant to susceptible to *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*
167 (Fig. 5d). On the other hand, inoculation of wheat seedlings with the PRTUSS4 strain of the rust
168 pathogen *Puccinia triticina* revealed that *Mds-1* silencing does not affect wheat resistance or
169 susceptibility to the rust fungal pathogen (Supplementary Fig. S12).

170

171 **Discussion**

172 Our data with RNAi suppression and ectopic expression of *Mds-1* indicate that *Mds-1* is
173 sufficient to confer wheat susceptibility to Hessian fly infestation. However, the susceptibility of
174 Chinese Spring deletion lines lacking *Mds-1* or one of its homoeologs on 3BS and 3DS suggests
175 that both *Mds-1* and its homoeologs can confer wheat susceptibility to Hessian fly. This is not
176 surprising considering the fact that diploid goatgrass (with D genome only) is also a host of
177 Hessian fly, and the fact that the coding sequences of *Mds-1* and its the homoeologs are highly
178 conserved (Supplementary Fig. S8). Interestingly, the promoter and 3'-noncoding regions are
179 highly diversified among *Mds-1* and related genes. The difference in the promoter and
180 noncoding regions is consistent with significant variation in the expression levels among *Mds-1*
181 homoeologous genes in response to Hessian fly infestation and heat stress (Supplementary Fig.

182 S7)⁵. Therefore the functions of these highly conserved proteins may be differentiated through
183 differential expression under different conditions. *Mds-1* is among the most induced by Hessian
184 fly infestation as well as under heat-stress (Supplementary Figs. S5, S7)⁵, suggesting its
185 involvement in wheat susceptibility to Hessian fly in the wheat lines we studied. Indeed, *Mds-1*
186 is sufficient for inducing a state of susceptibility as evidenced by the conversion of the resistant
187 cultivar Molly to susceptibility by ectopic expression of *Mds-1* alone in multiple independent
188 transgenic lines.

189 The mechanism for *Mds-1* in wheat susceptibility remains to be determined. The
190 inability of Hessian fly larvae to induce *Mds-1* in the presence of an effective R gene suggests
191 that the R protein blocks *Mds-1* induction by virulent effectors from Hessian fly (Fig. 6). The
192 conversion of resistant plants into susceptibility under the condition of high levels of *Mds-1*
193 expression suggests that MDS-1 either suppresses plant defenses, activates susceptibility
194 pathways, or a combination of both. Our data points to the possibility that MDS-1 suppresses
195 host defense as suggested by the up-regulation of defense genes in plants with an effective R
196 gene and in *Mds-1*-silenced transgenic plants (Supplementary Fig. S11), and activates
197 susceptibility pathways as indicated by up-regulation of nutrient metabolic pathways and the
198 formation of nutritive cells (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. S11). During compatible interactions,
199 *Mds-1* is likely induced by Hessian fly or fungal effectors through interactions with *Mds-1*
200 regulatory elements directly or indirectly¹⁸, or pathways that lead to activation of heat-shock
201 transcription factors (Fig. 6)^{19,21}. The MDS-1 protein may then suppress plant defenses, and
202 activate, directly or indirectly, wheat susceptibility pathways, or serve as a component to allow
203 effectors from Hessian fly and *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* to activate wheat susceptibility pathways.
204 The activation of wheat susceptibility pathways leads to metabolic changes in the host^{5,10},

205 resulting, in the case of Hessian fly, in the formation of nutritive cells and plant susceptibility³.
206 During incompatible interactions, the prevention of *Mds-1* induction by Hessian fly due to an
207 intervention from a specific R – AVR protein recognition inhibits the induction of *Mds-1* and
208 thus nutritive cell formation. The lack of nutrients and the activation of defense pathways result
209 in plant resistance.

210 Hessian fly induces cells in the wheat sheath to develop into nutritive cells for the
211 nourishment of fly larvae, which involves the conversion of host sheath cells to a nutritional sink.
212 The process also induces a variety of stress related genes, which initially were construed to
213 reflect the host response to infection. However, the evidence presented here indicates that the
214 Hessian fly specifically exploits the heat-shock protein gene *Mds-1* and other related genes for
215 the benefit of larval growth. Our results added another dimension to the remarkable and ancient
216 small HSP family proteins, including MDS-1, which are involved in a wide range of functions
217 from construction of the animal eye lens to stress responses²². The proliferation of small *HSP*
218 genes in plants has been postulated to be an adaptation to dynamic environmental changes,
219 including heat stress. Our results indicate that the Hessian fly and *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*
220 exploit a heat shock-like response that leads to host susceptibility.

221 Remarkably, the *Mds-1* silenced plants were observed to be poor hosts for the powdery
222 mildew fungus *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Measurements of *Mds-1* expression during infection of
223 normal wheat plants revealed that *Mds-1* is also induced to higher levels of expression during *B.*
224 *graminis* infection. The wheat variety Duster is resistant to many strains of *B. graminis* f. sp.
225 *tritici* including the KS-5 isolate, and very low *Mds-1* expression was detected in Duster plants
226 challenged by the fungus. Ectopic expression studies of *Mds-1* were not conducted in the Duster
227 variety. Nonetheless, heat stress of Duster led to both elevated levels of *Mds-1* and the loss of

228 resistance. The possibility exists that *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* specifically exploits the heat shock
229 pathway to suppress host immunity responses. The effect of *Mds-1* silencing on susceptibility,
230 however, did not extend to the leaf rust pathogen *Puccinia triticina* as silenced plants were
231 equally susceptible to rust infection as normal plants (Supplementary Fig. S12).

232 The possible negative impact of *Mds-1* silencing on wheat remains to be determined.
233 Initial examination of apparent phenotypic abnormalities in *Mds-1* silenced wheat lines include
234 partial sterility, smaller grain weight, reduced plant height, and low seed germination rates
235 (Supplementary Table S4). However, abnormalities in plants with *Mds-1* silenced vary from
236 plant to plant and may have been caused by positional effects due to different integration sites of
237 the *Mds-1* construct into the wheat genome or by tissue culture. For practical application,
238 potential negative impact needs to be eliminated or reduced to minimum for economic benefit.
239 One way to reduce potential negative impact is to use tissue-specific promoters for gene
240 silencing²³. The rice S gene, *Pi21* that encodes a transporter-like protein and is highly conserved
241 among monocots, has been engineered for broad resistance to rice blast²⁴. Unlike R genes that
242 have similar structures and possibly similar action modes²⁵, S genes exhibit greater variations in
243 structures and functional mechanisms²⁶⁻³⁰. The variation in S genes provides us opportunities for
244 fundamental research to reveal mechanisms of plant susceptibility and resistance as well as for
245 practical applications to develop plants with improved resistance for pest management.

246 The effect of *Mds-1* expression in wheat on resistance to Hessian fly and the loss of
247 resistance to both Hessian fly and powdery mildew upon heat stress also provided insight into
248 the resistance mechanisms. Numerous plant species with single major R genes lose resistance to
249 herbivores under heat stress, suggesting a possible role of *Hsp* genes in plant susceptibility^{9, 31-34}.
250 The observations that a bacterial pathogen injects an HSP-like protein into host cells for

251 virulence, and elevation in HSP70 levels induced by heat stress makes plants susceptible to an
252 otherwise avirulent pathogen also support a role of HSPs in plant susceptibility³⁵. However,
253 various HSPs including HSP90, HSP70, an HSP-like protein, and a small HSP have been found
254 to interact with disease resistance protein complexes and are required for disease resistance³⁶⁻⁴³.
255 The basis for the role of MDS-1 and possibly other HSPs as well in plant susceptibility and the
256 role of HSPs in plant resistance remains to be revealed. In a similar situation, receptor-like
257 kinase genes are required for both fungal susceptibility and resistance in different plant –
258 pathogen systems^{26,27}. The dominant effect of *Mds-1* and possibly other temperature-dependent
259 susceptibility genes under elevated temperatures pose a threat to the effectiveness of plant
260 resistance to Hessian fly and other pests under scenarios of global climate change^{32,44-46}. A better
261 understanding of the molecular mechanisms of *Mds-1* and other temperature-dependent,
262 dominant susceptibility genes is needed to preserve plant resistance in the face of global
263 warming.

264

265 **Methods**

266

267 **Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genotypes.** Bobwhite is a spring wheat cultivar susceptible
268 to Hessian fly and powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici*). Bobwhite is widely used
269 for producing transgenic plants because of its high efficiency for genetic transformation, and was
270 used for generating RNA interference (RNAi) transgenic plants. Newton, a winter wheat with no
271 Hessian fly R gene, was used as susceptible control. Molly and Iris, two near-isogenic lines of
272 Newton, contain *H13* and *H9* R genes, respectively¹². WGRC42 contains R gene *Hdic*⁴⁷. Duster
273 is powdery mildew resistant with R gene *Pm3c*¹⁷.

274 Seedlings were grown in pots, 10 cm in diameter, in Pro-Mix 'BX' medium (Hummert,
275 Topeka, KS) in growth chambers (Model AR-66L, Percival, Perry, IA) with a 16/8h light/dark
276 cycle at 275 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ under 20/18°C day/night. Heat stress was delivered by adjusting
277 temperature with other conditions unchanged.

278 **Infestation and sample collection.** Hessian fly biotypes: *GP* avirulent to all known
279 resistance (R) genes, *vH9* virulent to *H9*, *vH13* virulent to *H13*^{48,49}. Hessian fly populations with
280 mixed biotypes were also used (Supplementary Table S1)⁵⁰. Wheat seedlings were infested with
281 ~15 eggs/plant by confining flies with a mesh screen cage. The time when neonates just reached
282 the feeding site was taken as the initial infestation time (monitored by dissecting extra infested
283 plants). Wheat tissues were collected 12 h to 96 h later from the initial infestation time. Plants
284 were phenotyped 2 weeks later with resistance defined as normal wheat growth and insect death,
285 and susceptibility defined as stunted wheat growth and normal insect development. Leaf-sheath
286 tissue of 10-15 mm at the feeding site was cut out, insects removed, and used for various
287 analyses. Each sample contained a pool of 10 plant tissues. Samples were frozen immediately in
288 liquid nitrogen and stored in -80°C.

289 **Powdery mildew.** Isolate KS-5 of *B. graminis* was used for infection. A conidia
290 suspension was uniformly sprayed onto plants, and the plants were placed in a chamber for
291 symptom development. Phenotype was scored 8 days post inoculation. Disease evaluation was
292 based on a 0 to 4 scale as described previously⁵¹.

293 **DNA and RNA extraction and blot analysis.** Genomic DNA was extracted from wheat
294 tissues following CTAB procedure⁴⁷. Plasmid DNA was extracted using a QIAprep Miniprep
295 Kit (Qiagen). Total RNA was extracted using TRI reagent (Molecular Research Inc. Cincinnati,
296 OH). RNA samples were further purified through an RNease kit (Qiagen). DNA and RNA were

297 quantified with a NanoDrop-1000. Northern blot analysis was carried out as described
298 previously⁵.

299 ***Mds-1* cloning.** Based on EST sequence, a full cDNA was cloned using a SMARTTM
300 RACE Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) with primers in Supplementary Table S3. The
301 RACE-PCR product was gel-purified using a GeneClean® Turbo for PCR Kit (Qbiogene,
302 Carlsbad, CA), and cloned directly into the vector pCR® II-TOPO® (Qbiogene). Positive
303 clones were sequenced using M13 primers contained in the vector.

304 Full length *Mds-1* gene was cloned by PCR with DNA from Newton using primers Mds-
305 1Lb and Mds-1R (Supplementary Table S3). Sequence alignments were produced using
306 ClustalW2⁵³ and printed using BoxShade.

307 **Western blot analysis.** A full length recombinant protein was produced and used for a
308 polyclonal antibody in rabbits by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). The antibody was affinity-
309 purified. Protein extracts were prepared by homogenizing wheat tissues in Tris-HCl buffer (pH
310 8.0) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Protein concentration was
311 measured using a bicinchoninic acid kit (Sigma). About 180 µg of protein extract was loaded
312 onto a 12% gel and separated using Xcell surelock electrophoresis cell (Invitrogen). Proteins
313 were transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore, Danvers, MA). The membrane was blocked
314 using 5% (w/v) milk in Tris-buffered Saline (100 mM Tris, pH7.4, 150 mM NaCl) with 0.1%
315 Tween 20 (TBST) for 1 h at 4°C. The membrane was then incubated overnight at 4°C with
316 primary antibody-HRP conjugation (0.5ug/ml of 2% milk/TBST). The membrane was then
317 washed three times in TBST and incubated for 1 min with HRP chemiluminescent detection
318 reagents (Invitrogen). The membrane was exposed to film for 4 h before development.

319 **VIGS treatment.** Barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV)⁵⁴ was used for VIGS^{13,55-58}. A
320 338 bp 3'-fragment of *Mds-1* was amplified by PCR with primers Mds-1La and Mds-1R
321 (Supplementary Table S3). The PCR fragment was ligated into the γ genome at antisense
322 orientation.

323 Infectious RNA transcripts were synthesized using a mMessage mMachine T7
324 transcription kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) from linearized α , β , and γ target plasmids¹³. The
325 silencing BSMV inoculum was made by combining an equal molar ratio of α , β , and γ transcripts
326 with excess inoculation buffer containing a wounding agent (FES). The first leaf of 10 day old
327 seedlings was inoculated and plants were then infested with Hessian fly after apparent visual
328 symptom 8 days post-BSMV inoculation.

329 ***Mds-1* knockdown construct.** An RNAi-based construct was made according to the
330 Gateway system^{57,58}. The 338-bp *Mds-1* fragment was amplified as in VIGS. PCR product was
331 directionally inserted into pENTRTM/D-TOPO (Invitrogen). The final RNAi construct was
332 made by recombination from an LR clonase reaction using a Gateway® LR clonase enzyme mix
333 between the entry vector carrying the *Mds-1* fragment and the pANDA-mini vector⁵⁷, from
334 which the identical *Mds-1* fragment was inserted into both sides of the 920-bp GUS linker in
335 antisense and sense orientations. The transcribed RNA contains a hairpin that forms dsRNA.

336 ***Mds-1* expression construct.** Full *Mds-1* coding region was amplified by PCR with
337 primers Mds-1Lc and Mds-1R (Supplementary Table S3). Mds-1Lc contains the start codon and
338 an added sequence with a BCL I restriction site (TGATCA). An internal BCL I site exists in the
339 3'-UTR. PCR product was digested with BCL I, and the resulting DNA fragment was ligated
340 into pAHC17 at the BamHI site^{59,60}. The resulting construct contains full *Mds-1* coding region
341 under a maize ubiquitin promoter (*Ubi-1*).

342 **Transgenic plants.** For silencing, the pANDA construct and pAHC20^{59,60} containing the
343 bar gene were co-bombarded with 1:1 ratio into embryogenic calli as described by Altpeter⁶¹ and
344 modified by Ayella et al.⁶². Briefly, embryos (2-5 mm) were then excised from immature seeds
345 and plated on CM4 media to initiate the formation of somatic embryo for 2-7 days. Somatic
346 embryos were selected for highly embryogenic calli and were co-bombarded with pAHC20 and
347 *GOI* plasmids at 1:1 ratio by using the particle inflow gun. After 5 days wheat calli were placed
348 on CM4 medium containing 5 mg/L glufosinate for two wks. Cultures were transferred twice to
349 CM4 medium with 10 mg/L glufosinate for 2 wks each. The growing embryogenic tissues were
350 transferred to shoot production medium (MSP) with 5 mg/L glufosinate selection until green
351 shoots were observed. The cultures were then re-transferred to elongation and rooting medium
352 (MSE) containing 5 mg/L glufosinate but not 2,4-D for 2-3 wks. Shoots that developed roots
353 were then transferred to potting soil. Recovered plants were screened for herbicide resistance
354 with 0.2% Liberty (AgEvo, Pikeville, NC). Plants survived are presumably transformed with
355 pAHC20, which usually indicates a high probability of co-transformation with the target
356 construct. Herbicide resistant plants were analyzed for *Mds-1* construct presence with PCR.

357 **Epidermal permeability.** Neutral red stain (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was used to
358 determine epidermal permeability as described⁴.

359 **Real-time PCR (qPCR).** qPCR was performed as described previously⁵². Primers were
360 designed using the software package Beacon Designer 7(Supplementary Table S3). RNA was
361 collected from three biological replicates. Relative fold-changes for transcripts were calculated
362 using the comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method⁶³ and normalized to actin control.

363 **Statistical test.** Three biological replicates were carried out for each qPCR analysis.
364 Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Tukey's honestly significant

365 difference (HSD) multiple comparisons were conducted using ProStat software Version 5.5(Poly
366 Software International Inc., Pearl River, NY, USA). Tukey's 95% simultaneous confidence
367 intervals were used to separate data into groups. The lower case letters were used to represent
368 different groups with statistically significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$.

369 **REFERENCES**

- 370 1. Harris, M. O. *et al.* Grasses and gall midges: plant defense and insect adaptation. *Ann.*
371 *Rev. Entomol.* **48**, 549-577 (2003).
- 372 2. Stuart, J. J., Chen, M. S., Harris, M. & Shukle, R. H. Gall midges (Hessian flies) as plant
373 pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **50**, 17.1-17.19 (2012).
- 374 3. Harris, M. O. *et al.* Virulent Hessian fly (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) larvae induce a
375 nutritive tissue during compatible interactions with wheat. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **99**,
376 305-316 (2006).
- 377 4. Kosma, D. K., Nemacheck, J. A., Jenks, M. A. & Williams, C. E. Changes in properties
378 of wheat leaf cuticle during interactions with Hessian fly. *Plant J.* **63**, 31-43 (2010).
- 379 5. Liu, X. M. *et al.* Gene expression of different wheat genotypes during attack by virulent
380 and avirulent Hessian fly (*Mayetiola destructor*) larvae. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **33**, 2171-2194
381 (2007).
- 382 6. Anderson, K. G. & Harris, M. O. Does R gene resistance allow wheat to prevent growth
383 effects associated with Hessian fly (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) attack? *J. Econ. Entomol.*
384 **99**, 1842-1853 (2006).
- 385 7. Sardesai, N., Nemacheck, J. A., Subramanyam, S. & Williams, C. E. Identification and
386 mapping of *H32*, a new wheat gene conferring resistance to Hessian fly. *Theor. Appl.*
387 *Genet.* **111**, 1167-1173 (2005).
- 388 8. Gould, F. Sustainability of transgenic insecticidal cultivars: integrating pest genetics and
389 ecology. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **43**, 701-726 (1998).

- 390 9. Tyler, J. M. & Hatchett, J. H. Temperature influence on expression of resistance to
391 Hessian fly (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) in wheat derived from *Triticum taushii*. *J. Econ.*
392 *Entomol.* **76**, 323-326 (1983).
- 393 10. Zhu, L. *et al.* Hessian fly (*Mayetiola destructor*) attack causes dramatic shift in carbon
394 and nitrogen metabolism in wheat. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* **21**, 70-78 (2008).
- 395 11. van Montfort, R. L., Basha, E., Friedrich, K. L., Slingsby, C. & Vierling, E. Crystal
396 structure and assembly of a eukaryotic small heat shock protein. *J. Nat. Struct. Biol.* **8**,
397 1025-1030 (2001).
- 398 12. Patterson, F. L. *et al.* Registration of eight Hessian fly resistant common winter wheat
399 germplasm lines (Carol, Erin, Flynn, Iris, Joy, Karen, Lola, and Molly). *Crop Sci.* **34**,
400 315-316 (1994).
- 401 13. Scofield, S. R., Huang, L., Brandt, A. S. & Gill, B. S. Development of a virus-induced
402 gene-silencing system for hexaploid wheat and its use in functional analysis of the *Lr21*-
403 mediated leaf rust resistance pathway. *Plant Physiol.* **138**, 2165-2173 (2005).
- 404 14. Endo, T. R., Gill, B. S. The deletion stocks of common wheat. *J. Hered.* **87**, 295-307
405 (1996).
- 406 15. Sehgal, S.K., Li, W., Rabinowicz P.D., Chan, A., Simkova, H., Dolezel, J., Gill, B. S.
407 Chromosome arm-specific BAC end sequences permit comparative analysis of
408 homoeologous chromosomes and genomes of polyploidy wheat. *BMC Plant Biology* **12**,
409 64 (2012).
- 410 16. Brenchley, R. *et al.* Analysis of the bread wheat genome using whole-genome shotgun
411 sequencing. *Nature* **491**, 705-710 (2012).

- 412 17. Bhullar, N. K., Machay, M. & Keller, B. Genetic diversity of the *Pm3* powdery mildew
413 resistance alleles in wheat gene bank accessions as assessed by molecular markers.
414 *Diversity* **2**, 768-786 (2010).
- 415 18. Moscou, M. J. & Bogdanove, A. J. A simple cipher governs DNA recognition by TAL
416 effectors. *Science* **326**, 1501-1502 (2009).
- 417 19. Morimoto, R. I. Cells in stress: transcriptional activation of heat shock genes. *Science*
418 **259**, 1409-1410 (1993).
- 419 20. Kim, B. H. & Schoffi, F. Interaction between arabidopsis heat shock transcription factor
420 1 and 70 kDa heat shock proteins. *J. Exp. Bot.* **53**, 371-375 (2002).
- 421 21. Smýkal, P., Hrdý, I. & Pechan, P. M. High-molecular-mass complexes formed *In vivo*
422 contain smHSPs, and HSP70 and display chaperone-like activity. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **267**,
423 2195-2207 (2000).
- 424 22. Wang, W., Vinocur, B., Shoseyov, O. & Altman, A. The role of plant heat-shock
425 proteins/molecular chaperones in the abiotic stress response. *Trends Plant Sci.* **9**, 244-252
426 (2004).
- 427 23. Tuteja, J. H., Clough, S. J., Chan, W. C. & Vodkin, L. O. Tissue-specific gene silencing
428 mediated by a naturally occurring chalcone synthase gene cluster in *Glycine max*. *Plant*
429 *Cell* **16**, 819-835 (2004).
- 430 24. Fukuoka, S. *et al.* Loss of function of a proline-containing protein confers durable disease
431 resistance in rice. *Science* **325**, 998-1001 (2009).
- 432 25. van der Hooft, R. A. & Kamoun, S. From guard to decoy: a new model for perception of
433 plant pathogen effectors. *Plant Cell* **20**, 2009-2017 (2008).

- 434 26. Lorang, J. M., Sweat, T. A. & Wolpert, T. J. Plant disease susceptibility conferred by a
435 “resistance” gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 14861-14866 (2007).
- 436 27. Faris, J. D. *et al.* A unique wheat disease resistance-like gene governs effector-triggered
437 susceptibility to necrotrophic pathogens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 13544-13549 (2010).
- 438 28. Vogel, J. P., Raab, T. K., Schiff, C. & Somerville, S. C. PMR6, a pectate lyase-like gene
439 required for powdery mildew susceptibility in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **14**, 2095-2106
440 (2002).
- 441 29. Yang, B., Sugio, A. & White, F. F. *Os8N3* is a host disease-susceptibility gene for
442 bacterial blight of rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 10503-10508 (2006).
- 443 30. Antony, G. *et al.* Rice *xa13* recessive resistance to bacterial blight is defeated by
444 induction of the disease susceptibility gene *Os-11N3*. *Plant Cell* **22**, 3864-3876 (2010).
- 445 31. Ge, Y. F., Johnson, J. W., Roberts, J. J. & Rajaram, S. Temperature and resistance gene
446 interactions in the expression of resistance to *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. *Euphytica*
447 **99**, 103-109 (1998).
- 448 32. Wang, Y., Bao, Z., Zhu, Y. & Hua, J. Analysis of temperature modulation of plant
449 defense against biotrophic microbes. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* **22**, 498-506 (2009).
- 450 33. Brennan, J. M., Egan, D., Cooke, B. M. & Doohan, F. M. Effect of temperature on heat
451 blight of wheat caused by *Fusarium culmorum* and *F. graminearum*. *Plant Pathol.* **54**,
452 156-160 (2005).
- 453 34. Erickson, F. L. *et al.* Interactions between tobacco mosaic virus and the tobacco N gene.
454 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **354**, 653-658 (1999).
- 455 35. Jelenska, J., van Hal, J. A. & Greenberg, J. T. *Pseudomonas syringae* hijacks plant stress
456 chaperone machinery for virulence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 13177-13182 (2010).

- 457 36. Hubert, D. A. *et al.* Cytosolic hsp90 associates with and modulates the *Arabidopsis*
458 RPM1 disease resistance protein. *EMBO J.* **22**, 5679-5689 (2003).
- 459 37. Takahashi, A., Casais, C., Ichimura, K. & Shirasu, K. HSP90 interacts with RAR1 and
460 SGT1 and is essential for RPS2-mediated disease resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl.*
461 *Acad. Sci.* **100**, 11777-11782 (2003).
- 462 38. Lu, R. *et al.* High throughput virus-induced gene silencing implicates heat shock protein
463 90 in plant disease resistance. *EMBO J.* **22**, 5690-5699 (2003).
- 464 39. Liu, Y., Burch-Smith, T., Schiff, M., Feng, S. & Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. Molecular
465 chaperone Hsp90 associates with resistance protein N and its signaling proteins SGT1
466 and RAR1 to modulate an innate immune response in plants. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 2101-
467 2108 (2004).
- 468 40. Kanzaki, H. *et al.* Cytosolic hsp90 and hsp70 are essential components of INF1-mediated
469 hypersensitive response and non-host resistance to *Pseudomonas cichorii* in *Nicotiana*
470 *benthamiana*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **4**, 383-391 (2003).
- 471 41. Whitham, S. A., Anderberg, R. J., Chisholm, S. T. & Carrington, J. C. Arabidopsis
472 RTM2 gene is necessary for specific restriction of tobacco etch virus and encodes and
473 unusual small heat shock-like protein. *Plant Cell* **12**, 569-582 (2000).
- 474 42. Van Ooijen, G. *et al.* The small heat shock protein 20 RSI2 interacts with and is required
475 for stability and function of tomato resistance protein I-2. *Plant J.* **63**, 563-572 (2010).
- 476 43. Hahn, A., Bublak, D., Schleiff, E. & Scharf, K. D. Crosstalk between Hsp90 and Hsp70
477 chaperones and heat stress transcription factors in tomato. *Plant cell* **23**, 741-755 (2011).

- 478 44. Garrett, K. A., Dendy, S. P., Frank, E. E., Rouse, M. N. & Travers, S. E. Climate change
479 effects on plant disease: Genomes to Ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **44**, 489-509
480 (2006).
- 481 45. Bidart-Bouzat, M. G. & Imeh-Nathaniel, A. Global change effects on plant chemical
482 defenses against insect herbivores. *J. Integ. Plant. Biol.* **50**, 1339-1354 (2008).
- 483 46. Zhu, Y., Qian, W. & Hua, J. Temperature modulates plant defense responses through
484 NB-LRR proteins. *PLoS Pathol.* **6**, e1000844 (2010).
- 485 47. Liu, X. *et al.* Genetic characterization and molecular mapping of a Hessian fly-resistance
486 gene transferred from *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccum* to common wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*
487 **111**, 1308-1315 (2005).
- 488 48. Sosa, O. Biotype L, ninth biotype of the Hessian fly. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **71**, 458-460
489 (1998).
- 490 49. Schulte, S. J. *et al.* Molecular genetic mapping of three X-linked avirulence genes, *vH6*,
491 *vH9* and *vH13*, in the Hessian fly Genome. *Genome* **42**, 821-828 (1999).
- 492 50. Chen, M. *et al.* Virulence analysis of Hessian fly (*Mayetiola destructor*) populations from
493 Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **102**, 774-780 (2009).
- 494 51. Wang, Z. L. *et al.* Seedling and adult plant resistance to powdery mildew in Chinese
495 bread wheat cultivars and lines. *Plant dis.* **89**, 457-463 (2005).
- 496 52. Liu, X. *et al.* Reactive oxygen species are involved in plant defense against a gall midge.
497 *Plant Physiol.* **152**, 985-999 (2010).
- 498 53. Larkin, M. A. *et al.* Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 2947-2948
499 (2007).

- 500 54. Petty, I. T. *et al* Infectious barley stripe mosaic virus RNA transcribed *in vitro* from full-
501 length genomic cDNA clones. *Viol.* **171**, 342-349 (1989).
- 502 55. Holzberg, S. *et al* Barley stripe mosaic virus-induced gene silencing in a monocot plant.
503 *Plant J.* **30**, 315-327 (2002).
- 504 56. Campbell, J. & Huang, L. Silencing of multiple genes in wheat using barley stripe mosaic
505 virus. *J. Biotech Res.* **2**, 1-9 (2010).
- 506 57. Miki, D. & Shimamoto, K. Simple RNAi vectors for stable and transient suppression of
507 gene function in rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **45**, 490-495 (2004).
- 508 58. Miki, D. *et al.* RNA silencing of single and multiple members in a gene family of rice.
509 *Plant Physiol.* **138**, 1903-1913 (2005).
- 510 59. Christensen, A. H. *et al.* Maize polyubiquitin genes: structure, thermal perturbation of
511 expression and transcript splicing, and promoter activity following transfer to protoplasts
512 by electroporation. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **18**, 675-689 (1992).
- 513 60. Christensen, A. H. & Quail, P. H. Ubiquitin promoter-based vectors for high-level
514 expression of selectable and/or screenable marker genes in monotyledonous plants.
515 *Transgenic Res.* **5**, 213-218 (1996).
- 516 61. Altpeter, F. *et al.* Accelerated production of transgenic wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
517 plants. *Plant Cell Rep.* **16**, 12-17 (1996).
- 518 62. Ayella, A.K., Trick H.N. and Wang, W. 2007. Enhancing lignan biosynthesis by over-
519 expressing pinoresinol lariciresinol reductase in transgenic wheat. *Molecular Nutrition &*
520 *Food Research* 51: 1518–1526.

521 63. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-
522 time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-(\Delta\Delta C(T))}$ Method. *Methods* **25**, 402-408
523 (2001).
524

525 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

526

527 We thank Hyeonju Lee, Dehlia McAfee, and Juliane Essig for technical assistance in plant
528 transformation, and Drs. Robert Bowden, James Campbell, and Yoonseong Park for suggestions
529 during manuscript preparation. We also thank Dr. Robert Bowden for providing the virulent
530 leaf-rust race PRTUS54 and assistance in inoculation and scoring; Dr. Sunish K. Sehgal for
531 assistance on searching the best *Mds-1* hits from 3BS and 3DS databases. Seeds of Chinese
532 Spring wheat genetic stocks used for *Mds-1* gene localization were kindly provided by the Wheat
533 Genetic and Genome Researches Center (WGGRC) at Manhattan, Kansas, USA. The study was
534 partially supported by the USDA grant 2010-03741. Mention of commercial or proprietary
535 product does not constitute endorsement by the USDA.

536

537 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:** X.L. and M.C. conceived the study. X.L., C.K., J.L., L.H., and
538 G.A. conducted the experimental work. H.N.T. generated the transgenic plants. X.L., C.K., J.L.,
539 H.N.T., G.R.R., G.A., F.F.W. and M.C. analyzed the data. X.L., H.N.T., B.S.G., G.R.R., F.F.W.
540 and M.C. wrote the paper.

541

542 **Competing Financial Interest**


543 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

544 Coordinates for *Mds-1* have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession code

545 JN162442

556 Newton wheat leaf-blades (LB), leaf-sheaths (LF), and developing grains (DG). 28 S, 18 S, and
557 Mds-1 (900 bp) along with arrows on the left of the northern blot represent the locations of 28 S
558 rRNA, 18 S rRNA, and *Mds-1* mRNA. An 18 S rRNA image of the gel is given below the blot as
559 loading control. (c) *Mds-1* is upregulated during Hessian fly infestation in susceptible Newton,
560 but not in resistant Molly wheat. Northern (upper panels) and western (lower panel) blot
561 analyses of *Mds-1* transcript and protein with samples from susceptible Newton plants (left
562 panels) and resistant Molly plants (right panels) at 0 (U), 12 to 96 h, respectively, after
563 infestation with Hessian fly biotype *GP*. Plants were infested by confining mated females in pots
564 with screens. Females deposit eggs on leaf blades. Neonates migrate into a plant and live
565 between leaf sheaths next to the base. The time point 0 was taken right before neonates reach the
566 feeding site. 28 S, 18 S, Mds-1 (900 bp), and 18 S rRNA are as described in b. The positions of
567 protein size markers and the location of the Mds-1 protein are given on the left of the western
568 blots along with arrows. MDS-1 represents a recombinant protein control (0.116 μg per lane). A
569 coomassie-blue gel image is given under each western blot as loading control.


570



572 **Fig. 2. Silencing of *Mds-1* in wheat confers immunity to susceptible plants.** (a) Transient
 573 silencing of *Mds-1* in the susceptible genotype Newton confers immunity to Hessian fly biotype
 574 *GP*. Newton is a winter wheat and the susceptible recipient parents of several isogenic lines
 575 including Molly and Iris that contain different R genes¹². Northern blot analysis of *Mds-1*
 576 transcript in plants of untreated control, treated with the original virus (Vector), or treated with
 577 the modified virus that carried the 338 bp fragment of *Mds-1* (RNAi) (Supplementary Fig. S2a).
 578 U, uninfested; I, infested plants. 28 S, 18 S, and *Mds-1* (900 bp) along with arrows on the left of
 579 the northern blot represent the locations of 28 S rRNA, 18 S rRNA, and *Mds-1* mRNA. An 18 S

581 rRNA image is given under the blot as loading control. Phenotypes of Hessian fly larvae on the
582 control, vector- and RNAi-treated plants are given in the lower panel. Green arrows point to live
583 larvae, the red arrow points to a dead larva. **(b)** Suppression of *Mds-1* expression in transgenic
584 Bobwhite plants confers immunity to Hessian fly biotype *GP* infestation. Bobwhite is a spring
585 wheat line with high efficiency for genetic transformation. Bobwhite is susceptible to Hessian
586 fly infestation. The upper panel is a Northern blot of independent transgenic Bobwhite lines
587 T385, T1630, T1639, T2030, T2357, produced with an RNAi construct (Supplementary Fig.
588 S2b); and an empty vector-transformed Bobwhite wheat, V-BW, with (I) and without (U)
589 Hessian fly infestation. Other denotations are the same as in a. The lower panel shows
590 phenotypic differences among a non-transgenic Bobwhite plant (BW), an empty vector-
591 transgenic plant (V-BW), and the *Mds-1*-silenced plants T1630 and T1639 after Hessian fly
592 infestation. Growth of infested BW and V-BW was inhibited, but growth of infested T1630 and
593 T1639 plants was comparable to that of uninfested control Bobwhite (UBW) plants. Resistance
594 was observed in both seedling and adult transgenic plants.


595



597
598

599 **Fig. 3. Ectopic expression and heat induction of *Mds-1* in resistant wheat.** (a) Ectopic
600 expression of *Mds-1* correlates with a phenotype switch of Molly plants from resistance to
601 susceptibility to Hessian fly biotype *GP* infestation. Northern blot analysis of *Mds-1* transcript
602 in samples from transgenic Molly lines T640, T1166, and T1417 (Supplementary Table S2,
603 Supplementary Fig. S9); non-transgenic, resistant Molly; and the susceptible wheat Newton with
604 (I) and without (U) Hessian fly (biotype *GP*) infestation. 28 S, 18 S, and *Mds-1* (900 bp) along

605 with arrows on the left of the northern blot represent the locations of 28 S rRNA, 18 S rRNA,
606 and *Mds-1* mRNA. An 18 S rRNA image is given as loading control. Interaction phenotypes are
607 given under the 18 S rRNA images with: R, resistant; S, susceptible. (b) Heat stress induces
608 *Mds-1*. Northern blot and phenotypic analyses of resistant genotype Molly seedlings that were
609 either unstressed (20°C) or stressed at 30 or 35°C. (c) The decay of heat-induced *Mds-1*
610 transcript and protein correlates with restoration of Molly resistance to Hessian fly. Northern
611 (upper panel), western (lower panel), and phenotypic analyses on Molly plants were conducted
612 during recovery after stress. Seedlings were stressed at 37°C for 12 or 24 h, and some 24 h-
613 stressed plants were allowed to recover at 20°C for 3 to 48 h. Denotations for northern blot and
614 phenotypic analysis are the same as in a. The positions of protein size markers and the location
615 of the *Mds-1* protein are given on the left of the western blot. A coomassie-blue stained gel
616 image is give under the blot as loading control. Plants were infested with Hessian fly biotype
617 *GP*.
618




620
621

622 **Fig. 4. *Mds-1* expression levels affect Hessian fly infestation.** Hessian fly biotype *GP* was used
 623 for all infestation. **(a)** *Mds-1* silencing inhibits Hessian fly-mediated nutritive cell formation and
 624 plant-growth suppression. The left panel shows epidermal permeability as indicated by neutral
 625 red staining in control, *Mds-1*-silenced, and heat-stressed *Mds-1*-silenced Bobwhite. The letters
 626 U and I indicate uninfested and infested plants. Resistant (R) or susceptible (S) phenotypes of
 627 infested plants and uninfested controls (CK) are below the images. The right panel shows

628 growth inhibition of cultivar Bobwhite by Hessian fly. Solid bars, uninfested; gray bars, infested
629 plants of control Bobwhite (CB), *Mds-1*-silenced Bobwhite (TB), or heat-stressed, *Mds-1*-
630 silenced Bobwhite (HTB). Four replicates were carried out for each analysis. Data were
631 subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using ProStat software (Poly Software International
632 Inc., Pearl River, NY, USA). ‘*’ indicates significance level at $P \leq 0.05$. Standard error is given
633 in each graph. **(b)** High levels of *Mds-1* expression in wheat confer avirulent insects (biotype
634 *GP*) the ability to manipulate normally resistant Molly plants. The left panel shows neutral red
635 staining of leaf-sheaths. *Mds-1*-ectopically exp. indicates Molly plants with *Mds-1* ectopically
636 expressed. Other denotations are the same as in a. The right panel shows growth inhibition of
637 Molly seedlings by biotype *GP*. Solid bars, uninfested; gray bars, infested plants of control
638 Molly (CM), heat-stressed Molly (HM), or Molly with *Mds-1* ectopic expression (TM). Four
639 replicates were carried out for each analysis and standard error is given in the figure.
640

641 **Figure 5**




642
643

644 **Fig. 5. Levels of *Mds-1* expression affect development of *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*.** (a) *Mds-1*
645 silencing inhibits virulence of *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* on the susceptible wheat Bobwhite. Large
646 lesions (arrow) on a vector-transformed, Bobwhite plant (V-BW), small lesions on the *Mds-1*-
647 silenced plant T1639. (b) *Mds-1* transcript increases following *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* infection
648 in susceptible cultivars Molly (M), Newton (N), and Bobwhite (B), but not in the resistant
649 cultivar Duster (D) based on real-time PCR (qPCR) results of samples 24 h after inoculation.

650 Three biological replicates were carried out for qPCR. Data were subjected to ANOVA analysis.
651 Tukey's pairwise comparisons based on Student's range statistics were then conducted. Tukey's
652 95% simultaneous confidence intervals for pair-wise comparisons were used to separate data into
653 groups with significant differences. Lower case letters indicate different groups at $P \leq 0.05$.
654 Standard error is given in the figure. (c) Heat stress (HS, at 35°C for 24 h) induces *Mds-1*
655 expression over 100 fold in the resistant cultivar Duster compared with control plants (CK)
656 determined by qPCR. Three replicates were carried out and standard error is given in the figure.
657 (d) Heat stress compromises the resistance of Duster to *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. The relative
658 disease index was 1.3 ± 0.5 on control plants (CK), but climbed to 3.6 ± 0.4 on plants stressed at
659 35°C for 24 h (HS) on a scale of 0 to 4.
660

661 **Figure 6**



662
663

664 **Fig. 6. A model for *Mds-1* involvement in plant susceptibility.** Blue arrows between objects
665 indicate conditions leading to plant susceptibility through *Mds-1* up-regulation. Red arrows
666 between objects indicate conditions leading to plant resistance through the prevention of *Mds-1*
667 induction. The arrows between MDS-1 and H13 R protein indicate possible interactions between
668 them. During compatible interactions in susceptible plants, virulent (VIR) effectors from
669 Hessian fly upregulate *Mds-1* expression either directly by targeting *Mds-1* regulatory elements
670 or indirectly through activating heat-shock transcription factor(s). High levels of *Mds-1*
671 expression result in activation of susceptibility pathways, leading to the reprogramming of
672 metabolic pathways, suppression of plant defense, and the formation of nutritive cells at the

673 feeding site of host plants. During incompatible interactions in plants with R gene *H13*, the
674 corresponding Avirulence (AVR) effector from Hessian fly is recognized by H13 R protein,
675 leading to blockage of *Mds-1* upregulation and activation of defense pathways. The suppression
676 of high level *Mds-1* expression inhibit nutritive cell formation and possibly other susceptibility
677 events, leading to Hessian fly larval death due to the lack of nutrition and possibly increased
678 toxicity.