

This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

Implicit function theorem via the DSM

A. G. Ramm

How to cite this manuscript

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following information:

Ramm, A. G. (2010). Implicit function theorem via the DSM. Retrieved from <http://krex.ksu.edu>

Published Version Information

Citation: Ramm, A.G. (2010). Implicit function theorem via the DSM. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 72(3-4), 1916-1921.

Copyright: Copyright © 2009 Elsevier Ltd all rights reserved.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): [doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.09.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.09.032)

Publisher's Link:

http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/journaldescription.cws_home/239/description#description

This item was retrieved from the K-State Research Exchange (K-REx), the institutional repository of Kansas State University. K-REx is available at <http://krex.ksu.edu>

A G Ramm, Implicit Function Theorem via the DSM, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Appl.*, 72, N3-4, (2010), 1916-1921.

Implicit Function Theorem via the DSM

A G Ramm

Department of Mathematics
Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA
ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

Sufficient conditions are given for an implicit function theorem to hold. The result is established by an application of the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM). It allows one to solve a class of nonlinear operator equations in the case when the Fréchet derivative of the nonlinear operator is a smoothing operator, so that its inverse is an unbounded operator.

MSC: 47J05, 47J25,

Key words: Dynamical Systems Method (DSM); Hard implicit function theorem; Newton's method

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to demonstrate the power of the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM) as a tool for proving theoretical results. The DSM was systematically developed in [6] and applied to solving nonlinear operator equations in [6] (see also [7]), where the emphasis was on convergence and stability of the DSM-based algorithms for solving operator equations, especially nonlinear and ill-posed equations. The DSM for solving an operator equation $F(u) = h$ consists of finding a nonlinear map $u \mapsto \Phi(t, u)$, depending on a parameter $t \in [0, \infty)$, that has the following three properties:

(1) the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u} = \Phi(t, u), \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad (\dot{u} := \frac{du(t)}{dt})$$

has a unique global solution $u(t)$ for a given initial approximation u_0 ;

(2) the limit $u(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$ exists; and

(3) this limit solves the original equation $F(u) = h$, i.e., $F(u(\infty)) = h$.

The operator $F : H \rightarrow H$ is a nonlinear map in a Hilbert space H . It is assumed that the equation $F(u) = h$ has a solution, possibly nonunique.

The problem is to find a Φ such that the properties (1), (2), and (3) hold. Various choices of Φ for which these properties hold are proposed in [6], where the DSM is justified for wide classes of operator equations, in particular, for

some classes of nonlinear ill-posed equations (i.e., equations $F(u) = 0$ for which the linear operator $F'(u)$ is not boundedly invertible). By $F'(u)$ we denote the Fréchet derivative of the nonlinear map F at the element u .

In this note the DSM is used as a tool for proving a "hard" implicit function theorem.

Let us first recall the usual implicit function theorem. Let U solve the equation $F(U) = f$.

Proposition: *If $F(U) = f$, F is a C^1 -map in a Hilbert space H , and $F'(U)$ is a boundedly invertible operator, i.e., $\|[F'(U)]^{-1}\| \leq m$, then the equation*

$$F(u) = h \tag{1.1}$$

is uniquely solvable for every h sufficiently close to f .

For convenience of the reader we include a proof of this known result.

Proof of the Proposition. First, one can reduce the problem to the case $u = 0$ and $h = 0$. This is done as follows. Let $u = U + z$, $h - f = p$, $F(U + z) - F(U) := \phi(z)$. Then $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi'(0) = F'(U)$, and equation (1.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$\phi(z) = p, \tag{1.2}$$

with the assumptions

$$\phi(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|\phi'(z) - \phi'(0)\| = 0, \quad \|[\phi'(0)]^{-1}\| \leq m. \tag{1.3}$$

We want to prove that equation (1.2) under the assumptions (1.3) has a unique solution $z = z(p)$, such that $z(0) = 0$, and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} z(p) = 0$. To prove this, consider the equation

$$z = z - [\phi'(0)]^{-1}(\phi(z) - p) := B(z), \tag{1.4}$$

and check that the operator B is a contraction in a ball $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon := \{z : \|z\| \leq \epsilon\}$ if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, and B maps \mathcal{B}_ϵ into itself. If this is proved, then the desired result follows from the contraction mapping principle.

One has

$$\|B(z)\| = \|z - [\phi'(0)]^{-1}(\phi'(0)z + \eta - p)\| \leq m\|\eta\| + m\|p\|, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\|\eta\| = o(\|z\|)$. If ϵ is so small that $m\|\eta\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and p is so small that $m\|p\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then $\|B(z)\| < \epsilon$, so $B : \mathcal{B}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$.

Let us check that B is a contraction mapping in \mathcal{B}_ϵ . One has:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bz - By\| &= \|z - y - [\phi'(0)]^{-1}(\phi(z) - \phi(y))\| \\ &= \|z - y - [\phi'(0)]^{-1} \int_0^1 \phi'(y + t(z - y)) dt (z - y)\| \\ &\leq m \int_0^1 \|\phi'(y + t(z - y)) - \phi'(0)\| dt \|z - y\|. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

If $y, z \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$, then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\phi'(y + t(z - y)) - \phi'(0)\| = o(1), \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, if ϵ is so small that $m o(1) < 1$, then B is a contraction mapping in \mathcal{B}_ϵ , and equation (1.2) has a unique solution $z = z(p)$ in \mathcal{B}_ϵ , such that $z(0) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

The crucial assumptions, on which this proof is based, are assumptions (1.3).

Suppose now that $\phi'(0)$ is not boundedly invertible, so that the last assumption in (1.3) is not valid. Then a theorem which still guarantees the existence of a solution to equation (1.2) for some set of p is called a "hard" implicit function theorem. Examples of such theorems one may find, e.g., in [1], [2], [3], and [4].

Our goal in this paper is to establish a new theorem of this type using a new method of proof, based on the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM). In [8] we have demonstrated a theoretical application of the DSM by establishing some surjectivity results for nonlinear operators.

The result, presented in this paper, is a new illustration of the applicability of the DSM as a tool for proving theoretical results.

To formulate the result, let us introduce the notion of a scale of Hilbert spaces H_a (see [5]). Let $H_a \subset H_b$ and $\|u\|_b \leq \|u\|_a$ if $a \geq b$. Example of spaces H_a is the scale of Sobolev spaces $H_a = W^{a,2}(D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary.

Consider equation (1.1). Assume that

$$F(U) = f; \quad F : H_a \rightarrow H_{a+\delta}, \quad u \in B(U, R) := B_a(U, R), \quad (1.7)$$

where $B_a(U, R) := \{u : \|u - U\|_a \leq R\}$ and $\delta = \text{const} > 0$, and the operator $F : H_a \rightarrow H_{a+\delta}$ is continuous. Furthermore, assume that $A := A(u) := F'(u)$ exists and is an isomorphism of H_a onto $H_{a+\delta}$:

$$c_0 \|v\|_a \leq \|A(u)v\|_{a+\delta} \leq c'_0 \|v\|_a, \quad u, v \in B(U, R), \quad (1.8)$$

that

$$\|A^{-1}(v)A(w)\|_a \leq c, \quad v, w \in B(U, R), \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\|A^{-1}(u)[A(u) - A(v)]\|_a \leq c \|u - v\|_a, \quad u, v \in B(U, R). \quad (1.10)$$

Here and below we denote by $c > 0$ various constants. Note that (1.8) implies

$$\|A^{-1}(u)\psi\|_a \leq c_0^{-1} \|\psi\|_{a+\delta}, \quad \psi = A(u)[F(v) - h], \quad v \in B(U, R).$$

Assumption (1.8) implies that $A(u)$ is a smoothing operator similar to a smoothing integral operator, and its inverse is similar to the differentiation operator of order $\delta > 0$. Therefore, the operator $A^{-1}(u) = [F'(u)]^{-1}$ causes the "loss of the derivatives". In general, this may lead to a breakdown of the Newton process

(method) in a finitely many steps. Our assumptions (1.7)-(1.10) guarantee that this will not happen.

Assume that

$$u_0 \in B_a(U, \rho), \quad h \in B_{a+\delta}(f, \rho), \quad (1.11)$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a sufficiently small number:

$$\rho \leq \rho_0 := \frac{R}{1 + c_0^{-1}(1 + c'_0)},$$

and c_0, c'_0 are the constants from (1.8). Then $F(u_0) \in B_{a+\delta}(f, c'_0\rho)$, because $\|F(u_0) - F(U)\| \leq c'_0\|u_0 - U\| \leq c'_0\rho$.

Consider the problem

$$\dot{u} = -[F'(u)]^{-1}(F(u) - h), \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (1.12)$$

Our basic result is:

Theorem 1.1. *If the assumptions (1.7)-(1.11) hold, and $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0 := \frac{R}{1 + c_0^{-1}(1 + c'_0)}$, where c_0, c'_0 are the constants from (1.8), then problem (1.12) has a unique global solution $u(t)$, there exists $V := u(\infty)$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - V\|_a = 0, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$F(V) = h. \quad (1.14)$$

Theorem 1.1 says that if $F(U) = f$ and $\rho \leq \rho_0$, then for any $h \in B_{a+\delta}(f, \rho)$ equation (1.1) is solvable and a solution to (1.1) is $u(\infty)$, where $u(\infty)$ solves problem (1.12).

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1.

2 Proof

Let us outline the ideas of the proof. The local existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.12) will be established if one verifies that the operator $A^{-1}(u)[F(u) - h]$ is locally Lipschitz in H_a . The global existence of this solution $u(t)$ will be established if one proves the uniform boundedness of $u(t)$:

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_a \leq c. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us first prove (in paragraph a) below) estimate (2.1), the existence of $u(\infty)$, and the relation (1.14), assuming the local existence of the solution to (1.12).

In paragraph b) below the local existence of the solution to (1.12) is proved.

a) If $u(t)$ exists locally, then the function

$$g(t) := \|\phi\|_{a+\delta} := \|F(u(t)) - h\|_{a+\delta} \quad (2.2)$$

satisfies the relation

$$g\dot{g} = (F'(u(t))\dot{u}, \phi)_{a+\delta} = -g^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where equation (1.12) was used. Since $g \geq 0$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$g(t) \leq g(0)e^{-t}, \quad g(0) = \|F(u_0) - h\|_{a+\delta}. \quad (2.4)$$

From (1.12), (2.3) and (1.8) one gets:

$$\|\dot{u}\|_a \leq \frac{1}{c_0} \|\phi\|_{a+\delta} = \frac{g(0)}{c_0} e^{-t} := r e^{-t}, \quad r := \frac{\|F(u_0) - h\|_{a+\delta}}{c_0}. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\dot{u}(t)\|_a = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \|\dot{u}(t)\|_a dt < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

This inequality implies

$$\|u(\tau) - u(s)\| \leq \int_s^\tau \|\dot{u}(t)\|_a dt < \epsilon, \quad \tau > s > s(\epsilon),$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small fixed number, and $s(\epsilon)$ is a sufficiently large number. Thus, the limit $V := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) := u(\infty)$ exists by the Cauchy criterion, and (1.13) holds. Assumptions (1.7) and (1.8) and relations (1.12), (1.13), and (2.6) imply (1.14).

Integrating inequality (2.5) yields

$$\|u(t) - u_0\|_a \leq r, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|u(t) - u(\infty)\|_a \leq r e^{-t}. \quad (2.9)$$

Inequality (2.8) implies (2.1).

b) Let us now prove the local existence of the solution to (1.12).

We prove that the operator in (1.12) $A^{-1}(u)[F(u) - h]$ is locally Lipschitz in H_a . This implies the local existence of the solution to (1.12).

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{-1}(u)(F(u) - h) - A^{-1}(v)(F(v) - h)\|_a &\leq \|[A^{-1}(u) - A^{-1}(v)](F(u) - h)\|_a \\ &+ \|A^{-1}(v)(F(u) - F(v))\|_a := I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Write

$$F(u) - F(v) = \int_0^1 A(v + t(u - v))(u - v) dt, \quad (2.11)$$

and use assumption (1.9) with $w = v + t(u - v)$ to conclude that

$$I_2 \leq c\|u - v\|_a. \quad (2.12)$$

Write

$$A^{-1}(u) - A^{-1}(v) = A^{-1}(u)[A(v) - A(u)]A^{-1}(v), \quad (2.13)$$

and use the estimate

$$\|A^{-1}(v)[F(u) - h]\|_a \leq c, \quad (2.14)$$

which is a consequence of assumptions (1.7) and (1.8). Then use assumption (1.10) to conclude that

$$I_1 \leq c\|u - v\|_a. \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.10), (2.12) and (2.15) it follows that the operator $A^{-1}(u)[F(u) - h]$ is locally Lipschitz.

Note that

$$\|u(t) - U\|_a \leq \|u(t) - u_0\|_a + \|u_0 - U\|_a \leq r + \rho, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|F(u(t)) - h\|_{a+\delta} \leq \|F(u_0) - h\|_{a+\delta} \leq \|F(u_0) - f\|_{a+\delta} + \|f - h\|_{a+\delta} \leq (1 + c'_0)\rho, \quad (2.17)$$

so, from (2.5) one gets

$$r \leq \frac{(1 + c'_0)\rho}{c_0}. \quad (2.18)$$

Choose

$$R \geq r + \rho. \quad (2.19)$$

Then the trajectory $u(t)$ stays in the ball $B(U, R)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and, therefore, assumptions (1.7)-(1.10) hold in this ball for all $t \geq 0$.

Condition (2.19) and inequality (2.18) imply

$$\rho \leq \rho_0 = \frac{R}{1 + c_0^{-1}(1 + c'_0)}. \quad (2.20)$$

This is the "smallness" condition on ρ .

Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

3 Example

Let

$$F(u) = \int_0^x u^2(s)ds, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$A(u)q = 2 \int_0^x u(s)q(s)ds.$$

Let $f = x$ and $U = 1$. Then $F(U) = x$. Choose $a = 1$ and $\delta = 1$. Denote by $H_a = H_a(0, 1)$ the usual Sobolev space. Assume that

$$h \in B_2(x, \rho) := \{h : \|h - x\|_2 \leq \rho\},$$

and $\rho > 0$ is sufficiently small. One can verify that

$$A^{-1}(u)\psi = \frac{\psi'(x)}{2u(x)}$$

for any $\psi \in H_1$.

Let us check conditions (1.7)-(1.11) for this example.

Condition (1.7) holds, because if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H_1 , then

$$\int_0^x u_n^2(s)ds \rightarrow \int_0^x u^2(s)ds$$

in H_2 . To verify this, it is sufficient to check that

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_0^x u_n^2(s)ds \rightarrow 2uu',$$

where \rightarrow means the convergence in $H := H_0 := L^2(0, 1)$. In turn, this is verified if one checks that $u'_n u_n \rightarrow u'u$ in $L^2(0, 1)$, provided that $u'_n \rightarrow u'$ in $L^2(0, 1)$.

One has

$$I_n := \|u'_n u_n - u'u\|_0 \leq \|(u'_n - u')u_n\|_0 + \|u'(u_n - u)\|_0.$$

Since $\|u'_n\|_0 \leq c$, one concludes that $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq c_1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty} = 0$. Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n = 0.$$

Condition (1.8) holds because $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq c\|u\|_1$, and

$$\left\| \int_0^x u(s)q(s)ds \right\|_2 \leq c\|u'q + uq'\|_0 \leq c(\|q\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}\|u\|_1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}\|q\|_1),$$

so

$$\left\| \int_0^x u(s)q(s)ds \right\|_2 \leq c'_0\|u\|_1\|q\|_1,$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^x uqds \right\|_2 \geq \|uq\|_1 \geq c_0\|q\|_1,$$

provided that $u \in B_1(1, \rho)$ and $\rho > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Condition (1.9) holds because

$$\|A^{-1}(v)A(w)q\|_1 = \left\| \frac{1}{v(x)}w(x)q \right\|_1 \leq c\|q\|_1,$$

provided that $u, w \in B_1(1, \rho)$ and $\rho > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Condition (1.10) holds because

$$\|A^{-1}(u) \int_0^x (u-v)qds\|_1 = \left\| \frac{u-v}{2u}q \right\|_1 \leq c\|u-v\|_1\|q\|_1,$$

provided that $u, v \in B_1(1, \rho)$ and $\rho > 0$ is sufficiently small.

By Theorem 1.1 the equation

$$F(u) := \int_0^x u^2(s) ds = h,$$

where $\|h - x\|_2 \leq \rho$ and $\rho > 0$ is sufficiently small, has a solution V ,

$$F(V) = h.$$

This solution can be obtained as $u(\infty)$, where $u(t)$ solves problem (1.12) and conditions (1.11) and (2.20) hold.

References

- [1] S. Alinhac and P. Gerard, *Pseudo-differential Operators and the Nash-Moser Theorem*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [2] M. Berger, *Nonlinearity and Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1977
- [3] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [4] L. Hörmander, On the Nash-Moser implicit function theorem, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., Series A.I. Mathematics*, 10, (1985), 255-259.
- [5] S. Krein, Yu. Petunin, E. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1982).
- [6] A. G. Ramm, *Dynamical Systems Method for Solving Operator Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [7] A. G. Ramm, Dynamical systems method (DSM) and nonlinear problems, in *Spectral Theory and Nonlinear Analysis*, J. Lopez-Gomez, ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2005, 201-228.
- [8] A. G. Ramm, Dynamical systems method and a homeomorphism theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 113, N10, (2006), 928-933.