

This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

## **On the relation between the S–matrix and the spectrum of the interior Laplacian**

A.G. Ramm

### **How to cite this manuscript (APA format)**

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following citation format:

Ramm, A.G. (2009). On the relation between the S–matrix and the spectrum of the interior Laplacian. Retrieved from <http://krex.ksu.edu>

### **Published Version Information**

**Citation:** Ramm, A.G. (2009). On the relation between the S–matrix and the spectrum of the interior Laplacian. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Mathematics Volume 57, Issue: 2, Pages: 181-188.

**Copyright:** Copyright © 2009 by IMPAN. All rights reserved.

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** 10.4064/ba57-2-11

**Publisher's Link:** <http://journals.impan.gov.pl/ba/>

This item was retrieved from the K-State Research Exchange (K-REx), the institutional repository of Kansas State University. K-REx is available at <http://krex.ksu.edu>



# On the relation between the $S$ -matrix and the spectrum of the interior Laplacian

A.G. Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,  
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA  
ramm@math.ksu.edu

## Abstract

The main results of this paper are:

- 1) a proof that a necessary condition for 1 to be an eigenvalue of the  $S$ -matrix is real analyticity of the boundary of the obstacle,
- 2) a short proof of the conclusion stating that if 1 is an eigenvalue of the  $S$ -matrix, then  $k^2$  is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian of the interior problem, and that in this case there exists a solution to the interior Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian, which admits an analytic continuation to the whole space  $R^3$  as an entire function.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of the Result

We consider below the obstacle scattering problem in  $R^3$ , but the argument and the results remain valid in  $R^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

Let the obstacle  $D \subset R^3$  be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary  $S$ . Denote by  $D' = R^3 \setminus D$  the exterior domain and by  $N$ , the unit normal to  $S$ , pointing into  $D'$ . Let  $k > 0$  be the wave number, and  $S^2$  be the unit sphere in  $R^3$ . The scattering matrix  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(k) = I - \frac{k}{2\pi i} A$  for the obstacle scattering problem is a unitary operator in  $L^2(S^2)$ ,  $I$  is the identity operator and  $A$  is an integral operator in  $L^2(S^2)$ , whose kernel  $A(\beta, \alpha, k)$  is the scattering amplitude, which is defined in formula (5) below. The operator  $\mathcal{S}$  has an eigenvalue 1 if and only if equation  $Aw = 0$  has a non-trivial solution. The eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{S}$  have 1 as an accumulation point, they all have absolute values equal to 1 since  $\mathcal{S}$  is unitary.

The following conjecture, (the Doron-Smilansky (DS) conjecture) is known:

*DS conjecture: A number  $k^2 > 0$  is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian in a bounded domain  $D$  if and only if the corresponding  $S$ -matrix for the scattering problem by the obstacle  $D$  has an eigenvalue 1.*

---

PACS: 03.40.Kf

MSC: 78A45, 35J25

Key words: Wave scattering by obstacles,  $S$ -matrix, discrete spectrum, scattering amplitude.

This conjecture is discussed in [1]-[3], and in [2] a counterexample to this conjecture is mentioned.

From the definition of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -matrix it follows that 1 is its eigenvalue if and only if 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , that is, equation (12) (see below) has a non-trivial solution.

We prove (see Theorem 2) that if equation (12) has a non-trivial solution, then the boundary  $S$  of  $D$  is an analytic set. Since generically  $S$  is not an analytic set, it follows that the DS conjecture is incorrect. Our result gives a necessary condition for 1 to be an eigenvalue of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -matrix. This condition is necessary but not sufficient for 1 to be an eigenvalue of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -matrix (and, therefore, not sufficient for the DS conjecture to hold for the domain  $D$ ).

In [2] it is proved that if  $D \subset R^2$  is a bounded domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary  $S$ , and if 1 is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $k^2$  is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian in  $D$ . An open problem, stated in [2], is to give a proof of such a statement for  $D \subset R^n$  with  $n > 2$ . This is done in our paper by a method different from the one in [2]. Our proof is short and simple.

Let  $S_j^2$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be arbitrary small fixed open subsets of  $S^2$ , and the boundary conditions on  $S$  be either the Dirichlet, or the Neumann, or the Robin conditions.

The following theorem is proved in [5], p.85:

**Theorem (Ramm)** *The knowledge of  $A(\beta, \alpha, k)$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in S_1^2$ ,  $\forall \beta \in S_2^2$ , and for a fixed  $k > 0$ , determines  $S$  and the boundary conditions on  $S$  uniquely.*

It follows from this result that the knowledge of the  $S$ -matrix  $\mathcal{S}(k)$  at a fixed  $k > 0$  determines the boundary  $S$  of the obstacle and the boundary condition on  $S$  uniquely.

Therefore, the discrete spectrum of the Laplacian in  $D$ , corresponding to this boundary condition, is determined uniquely by the knowledge of  $\mathcal{S}(k)$  at a fixed  $k > 0$ .

This conclusion establishes a relation between the  $S$ -matrix and the spectrum of the Laplacian in  $D$ .

Let us now formulate the obstacle scattering problem, introduce basic notions, and formulate our results.

The scattering solution  $u(x, \alpha, k)$  is the solution to the following scattering problem:

$$Lu := (\nabla^2 + k^2)u = 0 \text{ in } D', \quad (1)$$

$$u|_S = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$u = u_0 + v, \quad u_0 := e^{ik\alpha \cdot x}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - ikr = o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r := |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Here  $\alpha \in S^2$  is the incident direction, i.e., the direction of the incident plane wave  $u_0$ ,  $v$  is the scattered field which satisfies the radiation condition (4). This condition implies that

$$v := v(x, \alpha, k) = A(\beta, \alpha, k) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} + o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r := |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \beta := \frac{x}{r}. \quad (5)$$

The function  $A := A(\beta, \alpha, k)$  is called the scattering amplitude. Let us denote by  $A : L^2(S^2) \rightarrow L^2(S^2)$  the operator

$$Aw := \int_{S^2} A(\beta, \alpha, k)w(\alpha)d\alpha. \quad (6)$$

It is well known (see [5]), that problem (1) – (4) has a unique solution  $u(x, \alpha, k)$ ,

$$A(\beta, \alpha, k) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S e^{-ik\beta \cdot s} u_N(s, \alpha, k) ds, \quad (7)$$

where  $u_N(s, \alpha, k)$  is the normal derivative of the scattering solution  $u(x, \alpha, k)$  on  $S$ , and the following relation holds:

$$u(x, \alpha, k) = e^{ik\alpha \cdot x} - \int_S g(x, s, k) u_N(s, \alpha, k) ds. \quad (8)$$

Here  $G$ , the resolvent kernel of the Dirichlet Laplacian in the exterior domain  $D'$ , satisfies the following equation:

$$G(x, y, k) = g(x, y, k) - \int_S g(x, s, k) G_N(s, y, k) ds, \quad (9)$$

where

$$g(x, y, k) := \frac{e^{ik|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}. \quad (10)$$

The function  $G$  solves the boundary value problem:

$$LG = -\delta(x-y) \text{ in } D', \quad G|_S = 0, \quad (11)$$

and satisfies the radiation condition (4).

Let  $\sigma$  denote the set of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $D$ . This set is discrete.

It is proved in [5], pp.52-57, that:

a) The function  $A(\beta, \alpha, k)$  admits a meromorphic continuation as a function of  $k$  from the ray  $(0, \infty)$  to the whole complex  $k$ -plane,

b) The scattering amplitude  $A(\beta, \alpha, k)$  is analytic in the region  $Imk \geq 0$  (if  $D \subset R^{2n}$  then  $k = 0$  is a logarithmic branch point),

c)  $A(\beta, \alpha, k)$  has infinitely many poles on the imaginary axis in the region  $Imk < 0$ ,

d) As a function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the scattering amplitude  $A(\beta, \alpha, k)$  admits analytic continuation from  $S^2 \times S^2$  to the set  $M \times M$ , where  $M := \{\Theta : \Theta \in \mathbb{C}^3, \Theta \cdot \Theta = 1\}$ ,

$\Theta \cdot \omega := \sum_{j=1}^3 \Theta_j \omega_j$ . The set  $M$  is a non-compact algebraic variety in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

Let us now state our basic results:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathcal{S}(k)$  has an eigenvalue 1, that is, the equation*

$$Aw = \int_{S^2} A(\beta, \alpha, k)w(\alpha)d\alpha = 0 \quad (12)$$

*has a non-trivial solution  $w$ , then  $k^2 \in \sigma$ , and there is a solution to the problem  $(\nabla^2 + k^2)W = 0$  in  $D$ ,  $W|_S = 0$ , which can be extended from  $D$  to  $R^3$  as a bounded entire function of  $x$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *If equation (12) has a non-trivial solution, then the boundary  $S$  is an analytic set.*

An analytic set is a set of zeros of (a finite collection of) analytic functions. One can find definition and properties of analytic sets in [4], Section 1.4. If  $S$  is an analytic set, then  $S$  is piecewise real analytic surface. Generically,  $S$  is not piecewise real analytic surface. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2 that the DS conjecture is incorrect.

In Section 2 Theorems 1 and 2 are proved. In the proofs, the following result of the author is used:

**Lemma 1.** ([5], p.46) *One has*

$$G(x, y, k) = \frac{e^{ik|y|}}{4\pi|y|} u(x, \alpha, k)[1 + o(1)], \quad |y| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{y}{|y|} = -\alpha, \quad (13)$$

*where  $u(x, \alpha, k)$  is the scattering solution, i.e., the solution to (1) - (4).*

Lemma 1 yields formula (8) as a consequence of (9), while formula (9) is obtained by Green's formula. Formula (7) follows from (8).

## 2. Proofs.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** *Let us prove that if  $w \not\equiv 0$  solves (12) then  $k^2 \in \sigma$ .*

Assume that equation (12) has a non-trivial solution  $w$ . Multiply (7) by  $w = w(\alpha)$  and integrate over  $S^2$  with respect to  $\alpha$ . The result is

$$\int_S e^{-ik\beta \cdot s} p(s) ds = 0, \quad p(s) := \int_{S^2} u_N(s, \alpha, k)w(\alpha)d\alpha. \quad (14)$$

*Let us prove that  $p(s) \not\equiv 0$ .*

Indeed, if

$$p(s) = \int_{S^2} u_N(s, \alpha, k)w(\alpha)d\alpha = 0 \quad \forall s \in S, \quad (15)$$

then the function  $w(\alpha) = 0$  because the set  $\{u_N(s, \alpha, k)\}_{\forall \alpha \in S^2}$  is total (dense) in  $L^2(S)$  for any fixed  $k > 0$  ([5], p.162).

*Let us continue the proof of Theorem 1 and prove that  $k^2 \in \sigma$  if equation (12) has a non-trivial solution.*

Equation (14) and Lemma 1 imply that

$$\nu(x) := \int_S \frac{e^{ik|x-s|}}{4\pi|x-s|} p(s) ds = 0 \quad \text{in } D'. \quad (16)$$

Indeed, this  $\nu$  solves equation (1), satisfies the radiation condition (4), and (14) implies

$$\nu(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right), \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (17)$$

Relation (17) and Lemma 1 in [5], p.25, imply that

$$\nu(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } D'. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, by the jump formula for the normal derivative of the single layer potential (16) ([5], p.14), one gets

$$\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial N_+} = p(s) \neq 0, \quad (19)$$

where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial N_+}$  denotes the limiting value on  $S$  of the normal derivative from inside of  $D$ .

*This implies that  $k^2 \in \sigma$ .*

Indeed,  $\nu(x)$  solves the equation

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)\nu = 0 \quad \text{in } D', \quad (20)$$

and satisfies the boundary condition

$$\nu|_S = 0, \quad (21)$$

due to (18) and the continuity of  $\nu$  across  $S$ . Finally,  $\nu \neq 0$  in  $D$  because of (19).

*The last statement of Theorem 1, namely, the existence of the solution to problem (20)-(21) which can be analytically continued to the whole space  $R^3$  as an entire function of  $x$ , is proved as follows.*

The reciprocity relation  $A(\beta, \alpha, k) = A(-\alpha, -\beta, k)$  (see [5], p.53) and equation (12) imply:

$$0 = \int_{S^2} A(\beta, \alpha, k)w(\alpha)d\alpha = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \left( \int_{S^2} e^{ik\alpha \cdot s} w(\alpha)d\alpha \right) u_N(s, -\beta)ds \quad \forall \beta \in S^2. \quad (22)$$

Since the set  $\{u_N(s, \alpha, k)\}_{\forall \alpha \in S^2}$  is total (dense) in  $L^2(S)$  for any fixed  $k > 0$  ([5], p.162), relation (22) implies

$$\int_{S^2} e^{ik\alpha \cdot s} w(\alpha)d\alpha = 0 \quad \forall s \in S. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, the function

$$W(x) := \int_{S^2} e^{ik\alpha \cdot x} w(\alpha)d\alpha, \quad x \in R^3, \quad (24)$$

satisfies all the requirements mentioned in the last statement of Theorem 1.

Thus, Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** A similar argument yields the following result:

If  $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$  is the set of the eigenvalues of the Neumann Laplacian, and  $A_{\mathcal{N}}(\beta, \alpha, k)$  is the scattering amplitude, corresponding to the plane wave scattering by the obstacle  $D$  on the boundary of which the Neumann boundary condition holds, then if equation (12), with  $A_{\mathcal{N}}$  in place of  $A$ , has a non-trivial solution, then  $k^2 \in \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $k^2 \in \sigma$ , then any non-trivial solution to (20)-(21) can be written in the form (16) with  $p(s)$  defined in (19), and the boundary condition (18) holds. Taking  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{x}{|x|} = \beta$ , in (16) and using (18), one obtains

$$\int_S e^{-ik\beta \cdot s} p(s) ds = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in S^2, \quad p(s) \not\equiv 0. \quad (25)$$

Thus, if  $k^2 \in \sigma$ , then equation (25) has a non-trivial solution  $p(s)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose equation (12) has a solution  $\eta \in L^2(S^2)$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$ . Then

$$\int_S ds u_N(s, \alpha) \int_{S^2} e^{-ik\beta \cdot s} \eta(\beta) d\beta = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in S^2. \quad (26)$$

Since the set  $\{u_N(s, \alpha)\}_{\forall \alpha \in S^2}$  is total in  $L^2(S)$ , one concludes from (26) that

$$\psi(s) := \int_{S^2} e^{-ik\beta \cdot s} \eta(\beta) d\beta = 0 \quad \forall s \in S, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\psi(x) := \int_{S^2} e^{-ik\beta \cdot x} \eta(\beta) d\beta.$$

The function  $\psi(x)$  is an entire function of  $x$ , that is, an analytic function of  $x \in \mathbb{C}^3$ . It vanishes on  $S$ , so  $S$  is an analytic set (see [2] for the definition and properties of analytic sets). Generically, the boundary  $S$  is not an analytic set.

Thus, Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** If one uses the reciprocity relation  $A(\beta, \alpha, k) = A(-\alpha, -\beta, k)$ , then one concludes that zero is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if either

$$\int_S e^{-ik\beta \cdot s} \int_{S^2} u_N(s, \alpha, k) w(\alpha) d\alpha = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in S^2, \quad w \not\equiv 0, \quad (28)$$

or

$$\int_S \left( \int_{S^2} e^{ik\alpha \cdot s} w(\alpha) d\alpha \right) u_N(s, -\beta) ds = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in S^2, \quad w \not\equiv 0. \quad (29)$$

The last relation implies equation (28) (with  $\beta = -\alpha$  and  $\eta(\beta) = w(\alpha)$ ).

Let us denote  $T_k p := \int_S g(s, t, k) p(t) dt$  and  $U := U(x, k) := \int_S g(x, t, k) p(t) dt$ , so  $U|_S = T_k p$ .



**Remark 4.** The operator  $T_k^{-1}$  has simple poles at the points  $k^2 = k_j^2$ , where  $k_j^2 \in \sigma$ .

Remark 4 shows that the knowledge of the set of poles of the operator  $T_k^{-1}$  allows one to find the spectrum of the interior Dirichlet Laplacian in  $D$ .

**Proof of Remark 4.** Consider the equation  $T_k p = f$ . Then

$$U(x) = \int_S g(x, t, k) p(t) dt$$

solves the problem

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)U = 0 \text{ in } D, \quad U|_S = f. \quad (30)$$

Let

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)\Gamma = -\delta(x - y) \text{ in } D, \quad \Gamma|_S = 0.$$

Then Green's formula yields the following representation of the solution to problem (30):

$$U(x) = - \int_S f(t) \Gamma_{N_t}(t, x, k) dt, \quad x \in D, \quad k^2 \neq k_j^2. \quad (31)$$

Since  $\Gamma(x, y, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_j(x)\overline{\phi_j(y)}}{k^2 - k_j^2}$  has a simple pole at  $k^2 = k_j^2$ , the claim is proved. Here  $\phi_j$  are the normalized eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $D$ .

## References

- [1] E. Doron, U. Smilansky, *Semiclassical quantization of chaotic billiards: a scattering approach*, Nonlinearity, 5, (1992), 1055-1084.
- [2] J-P. Eckmann, C-A. Pillet, *Spectral duality for planar billiards*, Comm. Math Phys., 170, (1995), 283-313.
- [3] B. Dietz, J-P. Eckmann, C-A. Pillet, U. Smilansky, *Inside-outside duality for planar billiards: A numerical study*, Phys. Rev. E, 51, N5, (1995), 4222-4231.
- [4] B. A. Fuks, *Theory of Analytic Functions of Several Variables*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1963.
- [5] A.G. Ramm, *Scattering by Obstacles*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986.