

This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

Sustainability knowledge and behaviors of apparel and textile undergraduates

Kim Y. Hiller Connell, Joy M. Kozar

How to cite this manuscript

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following information:

Hiller Connell, K. Y., & Kozar, J. M. (2012). Sustainability knowledge and behaviors of apparel and textile undergraduates. Retrieved from <http://krex.ksu.edu>

Published Version Information

Citation: Hiller Connell, K. Y., & Kozar, J. M. (2012). Sustainability knowledge and behaviors of apparel and textile undergraduates. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 13(4), 394-407.

Copyright: © Emerald Group Publishing Limited

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): doi:10.1108/14676371211262335

Publisher's Link: <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?issn=1467-6370&volume=13&issue=4>

This item was retrieved from the K-State Research Exchange (K-REx), the institutional repository of Kansas State University. K-REx is available at <http://krex.ksu.edu>

**SUSTAINABILITY KNOWLEDGE AND BEHAVIORS OF APPAREL AND TEXTILE
UNDERGRADUATES**

Kim Y. Hiller Connell, Kansas State University, kyhc@ksu.edu, Department of Apparel,
Textiles, & Interior Design, 225 Justin Hall, Manhattan, Kansas, 66506-1405, USA

Joy M. Kozar, Kansas State University, jkozar@ksu.edu, Department of Apparel, Textiles, &
Interior Design, 225 Justin Hall, Manhattan, Kansas, 66506-1405, USA

Date Submitted 14-Dec-2010

Date Revised 25-May-2011

SUSTAINABILITY KNOWLEDGE AND BEHAVIORS OF APPAREL AND TEXTILE UNDERGRADUATES

Abstract

Purpose: This study analyzed changes in undergraduate student knowledge of issues of sustainability relevant to the apparel and textiles industry. Assessment occurred prior to and upon completion of a course that addressed topics specific to the global production and distribution of apparel and textile goods. The study also examined modifications in students' reported apparel purchasing behaviors.

Design/Methodology/Approach: Participants included those in their third, fourth or fifth year of undergraduate education in the apparel and textile discipline at a higher education institution located in the Midwestern United States. All participants were enrolled in a course focused on globalization and the apparel and textile industry. Measures used to assess students' knowledge of social and environmental sustainability issues related to the industry and their apparel purchasing behavior were included in the research instrument.

Findings: Pre and post comparisons revealed significant changes in students' knowledge of social and environmental issues relevant to the apparel and textile industry. However, the study found no significant adjustments in apparel purchasing behavior. Further, a post-hoc analysis revealed no significant relationship between students' knowledge and their reported purchasing behavior.

Originality/Value: Limited resources exist which examine methods for educating apparel and textile students about sustainability issues, with even less research documented on assessing the effectiveness of these methods. This study analyzes the contributions sustainability-focused

curriculum can make in modifying the level of knowledge and purchasing behavior of students and recommends further strategies to yield possibly even greater results.

Keywords: Apparel purchasing behavior, Apparel sustainability, Sustainability knowledge

Category: Research Paper

SUSTAINABILITY KNOWLEDGE AND BEHAVIORS OF APPAREL AND TEXTILE UNDERGRADUATES

1. Introduction

Since the UN declared 2005-2014 to be the Decade of Education for Sustainable Development, educating students about sustainability has increased in importance. Higher education's response encompasses a range of activities, including, but not limited to, campus greening, student activism, and development of academic programs and courses.

One discipline that has responded is apparel and textiles (AT). According to Dickson *et al.* (2009), educating and involving students in promoting sustainability throughout the apparel supply chain is warranted. Over the last decade, the AT industry has adopted a more globalized business model and issues of sustainability have gained significance among all key players, including firms and consumers (Kunz and Garner, 2011). Therefore, the preparation of students as future advocates for a more sustainable AT industry mandated the infusion of sustainability content into curricula and courses.

Issues of sustainability relevant to AT include those surrounding social responsibility and the environmental impacts of producing and distributing soft goods. According to Dickson *et al.* (2009), social concerns exist in “forced labor, low wages, excessive hours of work, discrimination, health and safety hazards, psychological and physical abuse, lack of awareness of workers' rights , and lack of worker representation for negotiations with management” (p. 6). Environmental issues surround the use of energy and toxic chemicals in producing goods, as well as the disposal of chemicals and other wastes (Dickson *et al.*).

Related to the progression of education for sustainable development (ESD) within the AT discipline, O'Neal (2007) calls attention to the necessity of preparing students, for their future careers, to identify and confront sustainability issues. Similarly, LeHew and Meyer (2005) describe

the need to foster AT students who are global citizens, understand the interdependence between the world and human beings, and have empathy for all living beings. Most recently, the International Textiles and Apparel Association published meta-goals for four-year baccalaureate AT programs that acknowledge the need for inclusion of sustainability in curricula (ITAA, 2008).

Sustainability-focused AT curricula concentrates on increasing students' knowledge of sustainability issues and engagement in sustainable consumer behaviors. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the level of success a sustainability-focused AT curriculum has in influencing change among students—in terms of increasing knowledge of sustainability issues related to the AT industry and in impacting apparel-purchasing behaviors. The study measured AT related sustainability knowledge at two periods—prior to and after completing a course focusing on social and environmental issues pertinent to AT. Additionally, the study assessed engagement in sustainable apparel-purchasing behaviors. The implications of this study are noteworthy in advancing efforts aimed at encouraging greater and more effective integration of sustainability concepts into AT curricula.

2. Review of Literature

The Unsustainable Apparel and Textile Industry

In 2008, the global AT industry generated \$1,025.9 billion in revenue (Datamonitor, 2009). Unfortunately, there are severe social and environmental externalities associated with this industry. From the necessary raw materials to the end-of-life impacts, the production and consumption of AT products damage the environment. Furthermore, the social consequences of apparel manufacturing include child labor, low wages, and unhealthy working conditions.

The AT supply chain carries a high environmental load in terms of energy use (Slater, 2003). For example, a t-shirt made from 100% cotton requires 109 mega joules of energy to grow and process the fibers, manufacture the yarns, knit the textile, construct the shirt, home

launder it 25 times, and incinerate it after disposal (Allwood *et al.*, 2006). AT production and consumption also require large water inputs. Dye processes can require eight separate dye baths before color saturation occurs, with each bath dictating additional volumes of fresh water (Hessel *et al.*, 2007); and some dye processes consume upwards of 132.5 liters of water per pound of textile (N.C. Division of Pollution Prevention and Environmental Assistance, 2009).

The industry's use of synthetic, often toxic, chemicals is also extensive. Cotton is one of the most chemically intensive crops, consuming 24% of the world's insecticides and 11% of pesticides (Lewis and Gertsakis, 2001). Manufactured fibers such as polyester and nylon are produced from petrochemicals and many of the chemicals used during dyeing, printing, and finishing of textiles are confirmed carcinogens and endocrine disruptors and are persistent in wastewater (Hessel *et al.*, 2007; Ren, 2000).

Finally, AT production and consumption contributes to the earth's solid waste load. The AT industry in the United States, through both pre- and post-consumer waste, annually generates 12 billion tons of textile waste (EPA, 2006). Although the industry is efficient in capturing pre-consumer waste (recycling approximately 94% of the waste created), post-consumer recycling of AT products is significantly less. Annually, Americans throw away approximately 68 pounds of textiles per person (Council for Textile Recycling, 2003).

The AT industry has recently taken steps to decrease environmental impacts. For example, the industry has replaced some harmful chemicals with environmentally benign ones; implemented cold batch dyeing techniques that consume less water and energy; and designed closed-loop manufacturing that permit material recycling (Slater, 2003). Additional strategies include the use of eco-conscious textiles like organic cotton and hemp, designing apparel for recycling, and integrating concepts of slow design into product lines (Brown, 2010).

Beyond environmental issues, the AT industry is guilty of many social abuses, including instances of long hours, low wages, unsafe working conditions, child labor, emotionally or physically abusive supervisors, locked dormitories, and the exploitation of pregnant workers. According to Rivoli (2009), apparel factory owners and supervisors capitalize on the desperation and docility of workers. In many instances, apparel workers perform mind-numbing, repetitive tasks for 10 to 15 hours each day, six to seven days a week, for little pay. On average, apparel workers earn two-thirds of the hourly wage of workers in other manufacturing sectors, with the average hourly wage for apparel workers in developing countries ranging from \$1.63 to \$1.77 (Ross, 2004).

Education for Sustainability in Apparel and Textile Curricula

In reviewing both the environmental and social externalities of the AT industry, it is evident that, for the most part, the current industry is unsustainable. Fortunately, there are indications that the industry is making a commitment toward a more sustainable platform, creating a demand for professionals equipped with the sustainability knowledge, skills, and ethics needed to make positive contributions to an industry in transition. The infusion of sustainability into AT curricula is an essential component to this, equipping graduates with the occupational competencies required to navigate the industry and meet current and future sustainability needs.

AT faculty have adequate literature detailing the social and environmental impacts of the industry (Black, 2008; Blanchard, 2007; Dickson *et al.*, 2009; Fletcher, 2008; Hethorn and Ulasewicz, 2008). However, there is limited scholarship examining methods for educating AT students about these issues and even less research assessing student-learning outcomes. For example, Parker and Dickson (2009) compiled practical ideas and projects to aid educators in

teaching sustainability as it relates to the AT industry. However, while the publication contains valuable resources and curriculum strategies, it lacks information related to assessing the effectiveness of these approaches in increasing knowledge and modifying behavior.

Cao *et al.* (2006) developed a science-based course for AT students. Framed within the cradle-to-cradle sustainable design paradigm (McDonough and Braungart, 2002), the purpose of the course was to educate about the environmental issues related to AT production. Despite the study detailing teaching strategies, similar to Parker and Dickson (2009), it did not include any assessment of change in students' knowledge or behaviors.

Ulasewicz and Vouchilias (2008) identified perceptions of sustainable design among interior design and AT students. This study concluded that, compared to the AT majors, the interior design students had greater awareness of sustainability concepts and were more likely to utilize principles of sustainable design. The authors also reflected that interior design curricula has included sustainability concepts for a longer period compared to AT curricula and that there is a need to integrate ESD more fully into AT courses.

Recent examples of frameworks for embedding sustainability in other disciplines are numerous (Coral, 2009; Holmberg *et al.*, 2006; Hopkinson *et al.*, 2008; Hopkinson and James, 2010; Lidgren *et al.*, 2006; Rusinko, 2010; Sammalisto and Lindhquist, 2008). Although these studies contain constructive advice for AT faculty, the direct application of the research is frequently limited due to divergent subject matter (e.g. engineering and mathematics).

AT baccalaureate programs typically provide specializations in design and/or marketing. Therefore, logical external disciplines to consult when developing sustainability-focused AT curricula are other design and business programs. From a landscape architecture design perspective, Walker and Seymour (2008) utilized design charrettes to teach concepts of

sustainability. The authors assessed students' knowledge of sustainability issues pre- and post-charrette and concluded the design charrette effective as a sustainability teaching method.

Similarly, Gulwadi (2009) detailed the use of journals with interior design students as a technique for persuading students to reflect on sustainable design principles. Gulwadi asserted that the writing was a valuable starting point for aiding students' processing of complex sustainability-related issues. However, the study did not include any formal assessment of student learning and neither Walker and Seymour or Gulwadi assessed change in student behavior that may have resulted from the teaching methods.

Business programs have also recognized the need to incorporate sustainability-related topics into curricula. Stubbs and Cocklin (2008) outline the guiding framework used for a sustainability unit available to business students at an Australian university. The authors discuss the content of the unit and learning activities and state that the goals of the unit is to increase appreciation of differing perspectives of sustainability, to debate corporate responses to sustainability issues, and to recognize the macroeconomic implications of sustainability. In another study, Benn and Dunphy (2009) report on another Australian university's process for incorporating sustainability throughout a business curriculum and highlight the value in utilizing case studies as a way for students to consider the complexity of sustainability issues. Once again, neither study provides any assessment on student learning or changes in related behaviors.

Despite the many positive advances related to infusing ESD into higher education, a lack of empirical studies assessing student learning and/or changes in behaviors remains; and this gap in knowledge is especially evident within AT. In recognition of this, the purpose of this study is to investigate the level of success a sustainability-focused curriculum has in influencing change among students in the AT discipline. Specific research questions addressed include:

- (1) How does sustainability-focused curriculum change students' knowledge of social issues related to the AT industry?
- (2) How does sustainability-focused curriculum change students' knowledge of environmental issues related to the AT industry?
- (3) How does sustainability-focused curriculum change students' apparel-purchasing behaviors?

3. Method

Sample

The sample for this study included AT undergraduate students at a Midwestern United States university with an estimated enrollment of 23,000 students. Specifically, the study utilized students completing a course that provides an analysis of issues pertaining to the global production and distribution of AT goods.

Students take the course during their junior or senior year. The course meets three times a week and during the semester of data collection had an enrollment of 59 students, all of which were AT majors. As part of the course, students are expected to comprehend factors affecting compliance with laws and standards of social and environmental responsibility, as well as recognize their own accountability—personally, professionally, and as a global citizen. Topics covered within the course include an introduction to globalization and the criteria used by apparel firms in making sourcing decisions. Students learn about the opportunities and challenges in producing in developed versus developing nations and the role of politics in governing AT trade regulation. The class also presents social and environmental issues surrounding the production and distribution of AT goods, along with the role of ethics in

consumers' purchasing behaviors. Students complete assignments that require an understanding of corporate responsibility and ethics in fashion marketing and brand management.

Instrument

The instrument used in this study included scales measuring knowledge of issues of environmental and social sustainability in the AT industry and self-reported apparel-purchasing behaviors. Each measure included a five-point scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). The instrument also utilized standard demographic measures including age, sex, year in school, and average monthly expenditures for new clothing.

Eight statements from the Knowledge of and Concern with Apparel Social Issues Scale (Dickson, 1999) were utilized to assess knowledge about the use of child labor and the treatment of workers in both domestic and foreign factories. Measurement of knowledge of environmental issues in the AT industry occurred by utilizing the Environmental Apparel Knowledge Scale (Kim and Damhorst, 1998). The scale included 11 statements pertaining to chemical pollutants produced in the manufacture and processing of fibers, the recycling and biodegradability of apparel goods, and regulations for clean air and water imposed on AT manufacturers.

The instrument also included the Sustainable Apparel-Purchasing Behavior Scale, developed by the authors, to assess actual apparel-purchasing behavior. Eight statements asked if the respondent had ever paid premium prices for goods produced in a sustainable manner, boycotted a company because of labor and/or environmental abuses, and researched a company's sustainability practices prior to purchase decisions. Previous studies have tested the scale (Hiller Connell and Kozar, 2009, 2010; Kozar and Hiller Connell, 2009), proving it to be a reliable measure.

Cronbach's standardized alpha evaluated the internal reliability of the scales (Krathwohl, 1998). All coefficient estimates for the measures were acceptable at the .60 level or higher. The alphas for the eight items of the Knowledge of and Concern with Apparel Social Issues Scale (Dickson, 1999), were .70 (first stage of data collection) and .82 (second stage of data collection). Among the items of the Environmental Apparel Knowledge Scale (Kim & Damhorst, 1998), the alphas were .62 and .68, accordingly. Finally, the alphas for the Sustainable Apparel-Purchasing Behavior Scale were .87 and .90.

Procedure

Students' responses on the instrument items were collected at two periods—both prior to and upon completion of the above described course. A 16-week time span existed between the two stages of data collection. To facilitate the documentation of individual changes in students' knowledge and behavior over time, a graduate assistant created a identification guide. Each student had an assigned identification number, with the questionnaires labeled and distributed accordingly. To protect the anonymity of students' responses, only the graduate assistant maintained access to the guide.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were analyzed to provide a demographic overview of the sample. Additionally, in order to understand the characteristics of the sample, analysis of descriptive statistics on the individual items of each scale occurred. Data analysis also included calculating total scale scores for each of the scales and conducting paired sample t-tests to examine changes in students' knowledge and behavior. For each of the three measures, the mean scores were compared.

4. Findings

Overview of Sample

Four students were absent from class on the days of data collection, hence, 55 students participated in both stages of the study. The majority of participants (96%) were female students, which is representative of other AT undergraduate programs in the United States. Eighty five percent of participants were of traditional college age (20-23 years) with all participants reported to be in their third, fourth, or fifth year of college. The mean monthly average of students' reported expenditures on new apparel was \$51 - \$100. There was no significant difference in reported apparel expenditures at the two stages of data collection.

Knowledge of Apparel Sustainability Issues

As seen in Table 1, pre- versus post-mean scores increased on all items of the Knowledge of and Concern with Apparel Social Issues Scale (Dickson, 1999)—suggesting an increase in students' knowledge of social issues related to the AT industry. Additionally, as detailed in Table 2, the mean scores on the Environmental Apparel Knowledge Scale (Kim & Damhorst, 1998) were higher at the end of the semester on all but two items—indicating that students' knowledge of apparel environmental issues also increased.

The paired-samples *t*-test analysis of pre- and post-responses, outlined in Table 3, indicate a statistically significant increase in students' knowledge of both apparel related social and environmental issues. A comparison of the mean scores on the Knowledge of and Concern with Apparel Social Issues Scale (Dickson, 1999) showed that students were more knowledgeable on these issues at the completion of the course ($M=4.01$, $SD=0.55$) as compared to the start of the course ($M=3.78$, $SD=0.54$, $t=-2.48$, $p=0.017$). By the end of the course, students more strongly agreed that child labor is a practice among AT manufacturers and that AT manufacturers do not generally pay their employees at least the local minimum wage. Moreover,

Table 1

Participants' Knowledge of Social Issues

Issue*		Mean	Std. dev.	Frequency (%)				
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
Use of child labor is a practice among...								
U.S. clothing manufacturers	Pre	3.18	1.072	1.96	35.29	13.73	41.18	7.84
	Post	3.34	1.255	1.89	39.62	1.89	35.85	20.75
Foreign clothing manufacturers	Pre	4.47	0.966	3.92	1.96	3.92	23.53	66.67
	Post	4.74	0.445	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.42	73.58
Clothing manufacturers do not pay their employees at least the local wage								
U.S. clothing manufacturers	Pre	2.82	1.034	5.88	43.14	15.69	33.33	1.96
	Post	3.26	1.195	1.88	37.74	9.44	33.96	16.98
Foreign clothing manufacturers	Pre	4.35	0.868	0.00	5.88	7.84	31.37	54.91
	Post	4.60	0.664	0.00	1.92	3.85	26.92	67.31
Clothing manufacturers have their employees work more than 40 hours per week								

Table 1 (continued).

Issue		Mean	Std. dev.	Frequency (%)				
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
U.S. clothing manufacturers	Pre	3.65	0.890	0.00	15.69	15.69	56.86	11.76
	Post	3.81	0.856	0.00	13.21	7.55	64.15	15.09
Foreign clothing manufacturers	Pre	4.35	1.055	3.92	3.92	7.84	21.57	62.75
	Post	4.70	0.575	0.00	1.89	0.00	24.53	73.58
Clothing manufacturers do not provide non-hazardous workplaces for their employees								
U.S. clothing manufacturers	Pre	3.06	0.904	1.96	27.45	37.25	29.41	3.93
	Post	3.25	1.036	0.00	33.96	16.98	39.62	9.44
Foreign clothing manufacturers	Pre	4.33	0.739	0.00	1.96	9.80	41.18	47.06
	Post	4.38	0.837	0.00	5.66	5.66	33.96	54.72

*Wording of these statements have been modified to reflect reverse coding of negative statements.

Table 2

Participants' Environmental Apparel Knowledge

Issue		Mean	Std. dev.	Frequency (%)				
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
Chemical pollutants are produced during manufacturing of synthetic or manufactured fibers such as polyester	Pre	3.96	0.631	0.00	0.00	21.57	60.78	17.65
	Post	4.08	0.646	0.00	1.89	11.32	64.15	22.64
Chemical pollutants are produced during processing of natural fibers such as cotton*	Pre	3.33	0.993	3.92	15.69	33.33	37.25	9.80
	Post	3.68	0.996	1.89	15.09	13.21	52.83	16.98
Federally and regionally mandated standards for clean air and water have been imposed on textile companies*	Pre	3.20	0.872	1.96	15.69	50.98	23.53	7.84
	Post	3.04	0.919	5.66	20.75	39.62	32.08	1.89
Air pollution can occur during some common dye processes of textiles	Pre	3.96	0.564	0.00	0.00	17.65	68.63	13.73
	Post	4.15	0.601	0.00	1.89	5.66	67.92	24.53
Textile dyeing and finishing processes use a lot of water	Pre	4.02	0.547	0.00	0.00	13.73	70.59	15.68
	Post	4.32	0.613	0.00	0.00	7.55	52.83	39.62
Fibers such as wool can be commercially recycled*	Pre	3.53	0.857	1.96	3.92	47.06	33.33	13.73
	Post	3.60	0.947	1.89	5.66	43.40	28.30	20.75

Table 2 (continued).

Issue		Mean	Std. dev.	Frequencies (%)				
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
Disposable diapers have substantially contributed to the quantity of textile products discarded in landfills	Pre	3.57	0.755	0.00	1.96	52.94	31.37	13.73
	Post	3.85	0.744	0.00	0.00	35.85	43.40	20.75
Special finishes on fabrics may create problems for recycling	Pre	3.82	0.767	1.96	1.96	21.57	60.78	13.73
	Post	4.23	0.609	0.00	0.00	9.43	58.49	32.08
Phosphate-containing laundry detergents can be a source of water pollution	Pre	3.61	0.666	0.00	0.00	49.02	41.18	9.80
	Post	3.87	0.761	0.00	1.89	30.19	47.17	20.75
Natural fibers are usually biodegradable	Pre	3.67	0.683	0.00	3.92	33.33	54.91	7.84
	Post	3.66	0.706	0.00	7.55	24.53	62.26	5.66
The use of larger quantities of natural fibers by the apparel industry will not significantly decrease energy consumption*	Pre	2.66	0.798	4.00	40.00	44.00	10.00	2.00
	Post	2.81	0.864	1.92	40.38	34.62	21.15	1.93

*Wording of these statements have been modified to reflect reverse coding of negative statements.

at stage two, students more strongly agreed that AT manufacturers generally expect employees to work more than 40 hours a week and do not provide safe workplaces.

Table 3

Pre- and Post-Test Data Analysis

Item	Pre-test mean	Post-test mean	Mean difference	Standard deviation	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Socially responsible knowledge	3.7812	4.0052	-0.22396	.62631	-2.477	0.017
Environmental knowledge	3.5435	3.7311	-0.18762	.31286	-4.111	0.000
Apparel-purchasing behaviors	2.6378	2.6964	-0.05867	.59953	-0.685	0.497

In comparing the mean scores on the Environmental Apparel Knowledge Scale (Kim & Damhorst, 1998), see Table 3, a higher mean total score was found at phase two of data collection ($M=3.73$, $SD=2.35$ versus $M=3.54$, $SD=1.33$, $t=-4.11$, $p=0.000$). Upon completion of the course, students strongly agreed that the manufacturing of fibers produces pollutants, that air pollution can occur in the dyeing of textile goods, and that both dyeing and finishing processes use an abundance of water and create problems for recycling. Additionally, students gained knowledge on sources of water pollution, the biodegradability of fibers, and levels of energy consumption in using natural versus manufactured fibers.

Sustainable Apparel-Purchasing Behavior

While increases in students' knowledge of sustainability-related issues were evident, there was not a significant modification in sustainable apparel-purchasing behavior. As evidenced in Table 4, at both stages of data collection, students did not exhibit a willingness to

pay premium prices for goods produced in a sustainable manner. Likewise, students did not indicate that a firm's record on the treatment of workers or their environmental practices in the production of goods influenced their apparel purchasing decisions. Students did not report actively inquiring about a firm's practices prior to making purchasing decisions nor did they report previously boycotting a firm because of poor sustainability practices. Although pre- versus post-mean scores slightly increased on a majority of the variables of the Sustainable Apparel-Purchasing Behavior Scale and a higher mean score was found at phase two of data collection ($M=2.70$, $SD=0.69$ versus $M=2.64$, $SD=0.67$), results of the paired-samples t -test revealed these changes to be insignificant ($t=-0.69$, $p=0.497$).

5. Discussion and Conclusions

This study set out to examine the success a sustainability-focused AT curriculum had in increasing knowledge. A main lesson of this study was that the integration of sustainability issues into undergraduate courses can successfully increase student knowledge about AT-related social and environmental issues. Through the incorporation of ESD into AT courses, educators can develop students' abilities to identify, evaluate, and analyze AT related sustainability issues—occupational competencies they need as professionals within the industry.

In the future, as the sustainability movement gains momentum within the AT industry, it is critical that curricula stay up to date with industry trends and provides content and facts responsive to current apparel social and environmental issues. Armed with this knowledge, future professionals will be better prepared to contribute to the advancement of sustainability within the supply chain.

A second objective of the study was to determine if knowledge positively influenced behavior. The conclusion is that, even though knowledge may increase, there may not be a

Table 4

Participants' Apparel-Purchasing Behaviors

Issue		Mean	Std. dev.	Frequency (%)				
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
<i>In the past, I have boycotted an apparel brand or retailer because of reports that the company...</i>								
Goods were produced in an sweatshop	Pre	2.41	1.004	13.73	54.90	7.84	23.53	0
	Post	2.77	1.103	5.66	52.83	3.77	33.96	3.78
Had a poor environmental practices	Pre	2.51	0.946	9.80	50.98	17.65	21.57	0
	Post	2.55	0.932	5.66	56.60	16.98	18.87	1.89
<i>In the past, I have paid more for clothes and accessories that I knew were made...</i>								
Under fair labor standards	Pre	2.84	0.946	1.96	43.14	27.45	23.53	3.92
	Post	2.91	1.005	3.77	41.51	16.98	35.85	1.89
In an environmentally conscious manner	Pre	3.18	0.953	1.96	29.41	19.61	47.06	1.96
	Post	3.21	1.063	3.77	32.08	7.55	52.83	3.77
<i>In the past, before making a purchase, I have actively sought out or inquired about...</i>								

Table 4 (continued).

Issue		Mean	Std. dev.	Frequencies (%)				
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
The conditions in which a company's clothes or accessories were manufactured	Pre	2.27	0.723	5.88	70.59	13.73	9.80	0.00
	Post	2.53	0.992	7.55	58.49	9.43	22.64	1.89
A company's environmental policies or practices	Pre	2.31	0.761	5.88	68.63	13.73	11.76	0.00
	Post	2.38	0.925	7.55	67.92	5.66	16.98	1.89
In the past a company's record on the treatment of workers in the production of their clothing or accessories influenced my purchase decision.	Pre	2.78	0.945	1.96	50.98	13.73	33.33	0.00
	Post	2.81	1.020	5.66	43.40	16.98	32.07	1.89
In the past, a company's record on environmental practices and policies in the production of their clothing or accessories influenced my purchase decisions.	Pre	2.86	0.960	1.96	47.06	13.73	37.25	0.00
	Post	2.83	1.105	7.55	43.40	11.32	33.96	3.77

significant change in sustainable apparel-purchasing behavior. In fact, a post-hoc analysis revealed that even students with high knowledge of these issues did not report more engagement in sustainable apparel purchasing. This may be attributable to the complexity of sustainable apparel purchasing, with many personal and contextual barriers limiting engagement in the behavior. Hiller Connell (2010) identified knowledge about sustainable apparel purchasing and attitudes towards sustainable apparel as two personal barriers. Although knowledge was not a barrier in this study, it is possible participants were unwilling to purchase sustainable apparel because they believed it unstylish, uncomfortable, or held other negative attitudes. Hiller Connell also identified contextual barriers to sustainable apparel purchasing that may be relevant to this study including limited product availability and financial resources for purchasing sustainable apparel. As further noted in the call for future research, additional methods for promoting sustainable apparel-purchasing behavior need exploration.

Given these possible barriers, the authors recommend that AT curricula should include information on the range of affordable possibilities for sustainable apparel consumption, including purchasing second-hand goods, limiting total consumption, and purchasing quality, classically-inspired apparel that can be worn indefinitely. Curricula should also guide students in translating sustainable apparel-purchasing intentions into action by: (1) changing the public context for sustainable apparel consumption (eliminating barriers to sustainable apparel consumption); and (2) helping students develop personal management and implementation plans, which include educating students on specific behavior change strategies and specifying where, when and how they will act (Arbuthnott, 2008). Furthermore, in order to develop future professionals committed to promoting a more sustainable industry, curricula should inspire the creation and marketing of stylish, affordable sustainable AT products.

Limitations and Call for Further Research

The findings of this study are limited in that the sample mostly included female students attending one institution. A broader sample will further assess the changes in students' knowledge and purchasing behaviors. Additional research should continue to examine these variables, utilizing samples representing males and females, as well as diverse disciplines and institutions.

At both stages of data collection, the Cronbach's alpha produced for the Environmental Apparel Knowledge scale (Kim and Damhorst, 1998) was on the lower end of acceptability. Therefore, future research should explore assessment of environmental knowledge and potentially the development of a more reliable measurement.

Also needed is additional research on methods for encouraging greater sustainable apparel-purchasing behavior. For example, peer pressure may motivate students to act more sustainably and the power of social norms in modifying apparel-purchasing behaviors needs exploration. In a study that involved using placards to encourage the reuse towels in hotel bathrooms, Goldstein *et al.* (2008) discovered guests were 25% more likely to reuse towels in rooms where the placard read, "Join your Fellow Guests in Helping to Save the Environment," as compared to guests whose placard stated, "Help Save the Environment, Show your Respect for Nature by Reusing Towels." Additionally, a third placard that testified, "Nearly 75% of guests who stayed in Room 331 reused their towels," yielded even higher compliance.

Another study found that the leveraging of social pressure decreased energy consumption among homeowners. Door hangers distributed to households urged homeowners to conserve energy, with each doorhanger promoting one of four different energy saving behaviors: limiting length of showers, turning off lights, turning down the air conditioning at night, and using fans

instead of air conditioning. In this regard, some homeowners were told they could save energy by switching to a fan while a second group was told they could prevent the release of greenhouse gases. A third and fourth group also existed, with the third set of homeowners told that it was the socially responsible thing to do and the fourth group told that 77% of their neighbors already use fans instead of air conditioning. The fourth group reduced their energy consumption by 10% while none of the other groups reduced their energy consumption by more than 3% (Nolan *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, further research should explore the efficacy of similar strategies for the encouragement of sustainable apparel-purchasing behaviors.

References

- Allwood, J.M., Laursen, S.E., De Rodriguez, C.M., and Bocken, N.M.P. (2006), *Well Dressed? The Present and Future Sustainability of Clothing and Textiles in the United Kingdom*. University of Cambridge Institute for Manufacturing, Cambridge, UK.
- Arbuthnott, K.D. (2008), "Education for sustainable development beyond attitude change", *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 152-163.
- Benn, S. and Dunphy, D. (2009), "Action research as an approach to integrating sustainability in MBA programs: an exploratory study", *Journal of Management Education*, Vol. 33 No. 3, pp. 276-295.
- Black, S. (2008), *Eco-chic: The Fashion Paradox*. Black Dog Publishing, London, UK.
- Blanchard, T. (2007), *Green is the New Black: How to Change the World with Style*. Hodder & Stoughton, London, UK.
- Brown, S. (2010). *Eco fashion*. London, UK: Laurence King Publishers.
- Cao, H., Vogel Frey, L., Farr, C. and Gam, H. (2006), "An environmental sustainability course for design and merchandising students", *Journal of Family and Consumer Sciences*, Vol. 98 No. 2, pp. 75-80.
- Coral, J.S. (2009), "Engineering education for a sustainable future", PhD thesis, Universtat Politecnica de Catalunya, Barcelona.
- Council for Textile Recycling. (2003), "Textile recycling fact sheet", available at <http://www.textilerecycle.org> (accessed 14 November 2006).
- Datamonitor (2009), "Global – apparel & textiles", available at <http://galenet.galegroup.com.er.lib.k-state.edu/servlet/BCRC?as1=apparel+industry&vrsn=unknown&ai2=KE&locID=ksu&sr>

- chtp=adv&c=27&ab1=AND&ste=48&tbst=tsVS&ab2=AND&tab=2048&ai3=KE&ai1=KE&aca=inov&docNum=A210608215&bConts=2304 (accessed 30 November 2010).
- Dickson, M.A. (1999), "US consumers' knowledge of and concern with apparel sweatshops", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 44-55.
- Dickson, M.A. and Eckman, M. (2006), "Social responsibility: the concept as defined by apparel and textile scholars", *Clothing and Textile Research Journal*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 178-191.
- Dickson, M., Loker, S., and Eckman, M. (2009), *Social Responsibility in the Global Apparel Industry*. Fairchild, New York, NY.
- EPA (2006). *Municipal Waste Generation, Recycling, and Disposal in the United States: Facts and Figures for 2005*, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Fletcher, K. (2008), *Sustainable Fashion and Textiles: Design Journeys*. Earthscan, Sterling, VA.
- Goldstein, N.J., Cialdini, R.B., and Griskevicius, V. (2008), "A room with a viewpoint: using social norms to motivate environmental conservation in hotels", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 35, pp. 472-482.
- Gulwadi, G.B. (2009), "Using reflective journals in a sustainable design studio", *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp.96-106.
- Hessel, C., Allegre, C., Maiseu, M., Charbit, F., and Moulin, P. (2007), "Guidelines and legislation for dye house effluences", *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 83, pp. 171-180.
- Hethorn, J. and Ulasewicz, C. (2008), *Sustainable Fashion: Why Now?* Fairchild, New York, NY.
- Hiller Connell, K. Y. (2010). Internal and external barriers to eco-conscious apparel

- acquisition. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 34(3), 279-286.
- Hiller Connell, K.Y. and Kozar, J.M. (2009), "Students' attitude-behavior gap on issues of labor exploitation", *Proceedings of the International Textile and Apparel Association*, available at http://www.itaaonline.org/downloads/CB-Hiller-Connell-Students%27_Attitude.pdf (accessed 4 November 2010).
- Hiller Connell, K.Y. and Kozar, J. M. (2010), "Encouraging sustainable apparel consumption among undergraduate students", *Proceedings of the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education*, available at <http://www.aashe.org> (accessed 4 November 2010).
- Holmberg, J., Svanström, M., Peet, D.J., Mulder, K., Ferrer-Balas, D. and Degalás, J. (2008), "Embedding sustainability in higher education through interaction with lecturers: case studies from three European technical universities", *European Journal of Engineering Education*, Vol. 33 No. 3, pp. 271-82.
- Hopkinson, P., Hughes, P., and Layer, G. (2008), "Sustainable graduates—linking formal, information and campus curricula to embed ESD in the student learning experience", *Environmental Education Research*, Vol. 14, pp. 435-54.
- Hopkinson, P. and James, P. (2010), "Practical pedagogy for embedding ESD in science, technology, engineering and mathematics curricula", *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 11 No. 4, 365-379.
- ITAA (2008), "Four-year baccalaureate program meta-goals", available at http://www.itaaonline.org/downloads/ITAA_Four_Year_Baccalaureate_Program_Meta-Goals_2008.pdf (accessed 4 November 2010).
- Kim, H.-S. and Damhorst, M.L. (1998), "Environmental concern and apparel consumption", *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 126-133.

- Kozar, J.M. and Hiller Connell, K.Y. (2010), "Socially responsible knowledge and behaviors: Comparing upper- vs. lower-classmen", *College Student Journal*, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 279-293.
- Krathwohl, D.R. (1998), *Methods of Educational & Social Science Research, Second Edition*, Addison-Wesley Educational Publishers, Inc, New York.
- LeHew, M.L. and Meyer, D.J. (2005), "Preparing global citizens for leadership in the textile and apparel industry", *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 290-297.
- Lewis, H. and Gertsakis, J. (2001), *Design + Environment: A Global Guide to Designing Greener Goods*. Greenleaf Publishing, Sheffield, UK.
- Lidgren, A., Hakan, R. and Huisingh, D. (2006), "A systematic approach to incorporate sustainability into university courses and curricula", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 12, pp. 797-809.
- McDonough, W. and Braungart, M. (2002), *Cradle to Cradle: Rethinking the Way We Make Things*. North Point Press, New York, NY.
- N.C. Division of Pollution Prevention and Environmental Assistance. (2009), "Water efficiency: industry specific processes", available at <http://www.p2pays.org/ref/01/0069203.pdf> (accessed 2 December 2010).
- Nolan, J.M, Schultz, W., Cialdini, R.B., Goldstein, N.J., and Griskevicius, V. (2008), "Normative social influence is underdetected", *Personal Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 34 No. 7, 913-923.
- O'Neal, G.S. (2007), "Continents, cultures, curriculum: some thoughts on the future of the profession", *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, Vol. 25 No. 4, pp. 375-379.
- Parker, L. and Dickson, M.A. (2009), *Sustainable Fashion: A Handbook for Educators*, Labour Behind the Label, Bristol, UK.
- Ren, X. (2000), "Development of environmental performance indicators for textile process and

- product”, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 8, pp. 473-481.
- Rivoli, P. (2009), *The Travels of a T-Shirt in the Global Economy, Second Edition*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ.
- Ross, R.J.S. (2004), *Slaves to Fashion*, The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Rusinko, C. (2010), “Integrating sustainability in higher education: a generic matrix”, *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 250-259.
- Sammalisto, K. and Lindhquist, T. (2008), “Integration of sustainability in higher education: a study with international perspectives”, *Innovation in Higher Education*, Vol. 32, pp. 221-233.
- Slater, K. (2003), *Environmental Impacts of Textiles: Production, Processes, and Protection*, Woodbridge Publishing Limited, Cambridge, UK.
- Stubs, W. and Cocklin, C. (2008), “Teaching sustainability to business students: shifting mindsets”, *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 206-221.
- Ulasewicz, C. and Vouchilas, G. (2008), “Sustainable design practices and consumer behavior: FCS student perceptions”, *Journal of Family and Consumer Sciences*, Vol. 100 No. 4, pp. 17-20.
- Walker, J.B. and Seymour, M.W. (2008), “Utilizing the design charrette for teaching sustainability”, *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 157-169.

Authors' Bio-Notes

Kim Y. Hiller Connell is an Assistant Professor of Apparel Marketing at Kansas State University. Her research focuses on sustainability of the apparel and textiles supply chain and socially responsible consumer behavior and decision making.

Joy M. Kozar is an Associate Professor of Apparel Marketing at Kansas State University. Her research activities include an examination of issues pertaining to social responsibility and labor exploitation in the production of apparel and textile goods.