

Mathematical
Inequalities
& **A**pplications

*With Compliments
of the Author*

Zagreb, Croatia

Volume 14, Number 4, October 2011

N. S. Hoang and A. G. Ramm

Nonlinear differential inequality

MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES & APPLICATIONS

AIMS AND SCOPE

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications (MIA, Math. Inequal. Appl.) brings together original research papers in all areas of mathematics, provided they are concerned with inequalities or their role. From time to time MIA will publish invited survey articles. Short notes with interesting results or open problems will also be accepted.

MIA is published quarterly, in January, April, July and October.

SUBMISSION

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically in PostScript or Adobe Acrobat PDF format to the MIA Editorial Office through MIA page: www.ele-math.com or by e-mail mia@ele-math.com

The author who submitted the article for publication will be denoted as a corresponding author. He/She manages all communication and correspondence with the MIA regarding the article. Authors may indicate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not assured.

In order to facilitate refereeing, copies of those papers (whether by the author or someone else) which are essential and referred to in the manuscript but are not conveniently accessible, should be enclosed.

COPYRIGHT

The acceptance of the article automatically implies the copyright transfer to MIA. Manuscripts are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has not been published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that it will not be submitted to another journal, and that its submission for publication has been approved by all the authors.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

Manuscripts should be written in English.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in TeX or one of its variants La-TeX, AMSTeX or AMSLaTeX. On acceptance of the paper, authors will be asked to send final version of file(s) to the Editorial Office.

TITLE PAGE

A title page should include the title of the article, author's name (no degrees) author's affiliation, e-mail addresses, mailing address of the corresponding author and running head less than 60 characters. Authors should be listed alphabetically.

ABSTRACT, KEY WORDS, SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

The manuscript must be accompanied by a brief abstract, no longer than 100-150 words. It should make minimal use of mathematical symbols and displayed formulas. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes and a list of 4-5 key words must be given.

FIGURES

Figures should be prepared in a digital form suitable for direct reproduction, grey scale only, preferably at resolution of 300 dpi or higher, sized to fit a journal page, and in EPS, TIFF or JPEG format. Figures should have a short descriptive title and should be numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. The position of each figure in the text should be indicated.

REFERENCES

Bibliographic references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The author should consult Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journal names.

PROOFS

The author will receive proofs only once. Late return of the proofs will delay the article to a later issue.

PAGE CHARGES, OFFPRINTS

There are no page charges. Authors jointly receive 30 offprints of their articles free of charge. Additional offprints may be ordered from MIA prior to publication.

FORTHCOMING PAPERS

Papers accepted and prepared for publication will appear in the forthcoming section of Journal Web page. They are identical in form as final printed papers, except volume, issue and page numbers.

MIA is published by Publishing House **ELEMENT**, Zagreb, Croatia.

All correspondence and subscription orders should be addressed to the Editorial Office:

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications
Editorial Office
Menceticeva 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
www.ele-math.com
e-mail: mia@ele-math.com
Fax: +385 1 6008799

The content of this publication is indexed in Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt für Mathematik, Реферативный журнал – Математика, Scopus and Science Citation Index-Expanded.

NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITY

N. S. HOANG AND A. G. RAMM

Abstract. A nonlinear differential inequality is formulated in the paper. An estimate of the rate of growth/decay of solutions to this inequality is obtained. This inequality is of interest in a study of dynamical systems and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces. It is applied to a study of global existence of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations.

1. Introduction

In this paper the following nonlinear differential inequality

$$\dot{g}(t) \leq -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \dot{g}(t) := \frac{dg}{dt}, \quad g \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

is studied. In equation (1), $\beta(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are Lebesgue measurable functions, defined on $[t_0, \infty)$, where $t_0 \geq 0$ is a fixed number, and $\alpha(t, g)$ is defined on $[t_0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. The function $\alpha(t, g)$ is non-decreasing as a function of g for every $t \geq t_0$, and is $L^1_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty))$ -function of t for every $g \geq 0$.

Inequality (1) was studied in [11] with $\alpha(t, y) = \tilde{\alpha}(t)y^2$, where $0 \leq \tilde{\alpha}(t)$ is a continuous function on $[t_0, \infty)$. This inequality arises in the study of the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM) for solving nonlinear operator equations. Sufficient conditions on β , α and γ which yields an estimate for the rate of growth/decay of $g(t)$ were given in [11]. A discrete analog of (1) was studied in [5]. An application to the study of a discrete version of the DSM for solving nonlinear equation was demonstrated in [5].

In [6] inequality (1) is studied in the case $\alpha(t, y) = \tilde{\alpha}(t)y^p$, where $p > 1$ and $0 \leq \tilde{\alpha}(t)$ is a continuous function on $[t_0, \infty)$. This equality allows one to study the DSM under weaker smoothness assumption on F than in the cited works. It allows one to study the convergence of the DSM under the assumption that F' is locally Hölder continuous. An application to the study of large time behavior of solutions to some partial differential equations was outlined in [6].

Assumption A): Assume that $0 \leq \alpha(t, g)$ is a non-decreasing function of g on $[0, \infty)$ for every $t \geq t_0$, and is an $L^1_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty))$ function of t for every $g \in [0, \infty)$, $\beta(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are $L^1_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty))$ functions of t .

Under this assumption, which holds throughout the paper, we give an estimate for the rate of growth/decay of $g(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 1.

A discrete version of (1) is also studied, and the result is stated in Theorem 3. In Section 3 possible applications of inequality (1) to the study of large time behavior of solutions to some partial equations are outlined.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D10, 37L05, 47J35, 65J15.

Keywords and phrases: Nonlinear inequality; Dynamical Systems Method (DSM); stability.

2. Main results

THEOREM 1. *Let Assumption A) hold. Suppose there exists a function $\mu = \mu(t) > 0$, $\mu \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$, such that*

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}\right) + \beta(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left[\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right], \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{2}$$

Let $g(t) \geq 0$ be a solution to inequality (1) such that

$$\mu(t_0)g(t_0) < 1. \tag{3}$$

Then $g(t)$ exists globally and the following estimate holds:

$$0 \leq g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \tag{4}$$

Consequently, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) = \infty$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0. \tag{5}$$

If inequality (3) is replaced by

$$\mu(t_0)g(t_0) \leq 1, \tag{6}$$

then inequality (4) is replaced by

$$0 \leq g(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Denote

$$v(t) := g(t)e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}. \tag{8}$$

Then inequality (1) takes the form

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq a(t)\alpha(t, v(t)e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}) + b(t), \quad v(t_0) = g(t_0) := g_0, \tag{9}$$

where

$$a(t) = e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}, \quad b(t) := \beta(t)e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}. \tag{10}$$

Denote

$$\eta(t) = \frac{e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}}{\mu(t)}. \tag{11}$$

From inequality (3) and relation (11) one gets

$$v(t_0) = g(t_0) < \frac{1}{\mu(t_0)} = \eta(t_0). \tag{12}$$

It follows from the inequalities (2), (9) and (12), and from the assumption that $\alpha(t, g)$ is non-decreasing with respect to g , that

$$\dot{v}(t_0) \leq \alpha(t_0, \frac{1}{\mu(t_0)}) + \beta(t_0) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t_0)} \left[\gamma(t_0) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t_0)}{\mu(t_0)} \right] = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) ds}}{\mu(t)} \Big|_{t=t_0} = \dot{\eta}(t_0). \tag{13}$$

From the inequalities (12) and (13) it follows that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$v(t) < \eta(t), \quad g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \delta. \tag{14}$$

To continue the proof we need two Claims.

Claim 1. If

$$v(t) \leq \eta(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T], \quad T > t_0, \tag{15}$$

then

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq \dot{\eta}(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T]. \tag{16}$$

Proof of Claim 1.

It follows from inequalities (2), (9), the non-decreasing of $\alpha(t, g)$ with respect to g , the inequality $g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$ for $t \in [t_0, T]$, and the inequality $v(T) \leq \eta(T)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}(t) &\leq e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) ds} \alpha(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}) + \beta(t) e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) ds} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) ds}}{\mu(t)} \left[\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) ds}}{\mu(t)} \Big|_{t=t} = \dot{\eta}(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Claim 1 is proved. □

Denote

$$T := \sup\{\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+ : v(t) < \eta(t), \forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta]\}. \tag{18}$$

Claim 2. One has $T = \infty$.

Claim 2 says that every non-negative solution $g(t)$ to inequality (1), satisfying assumption (3), is defined globally.

Proof of Claim 2.

Assume the contrary, that is, $T < \infty$. The solution $v(t)$ to (9) is continuous at every point t at which it is bounded. From the definition of T and the continuity of v and η one gets

$$v(T) \leq \eta(T). \tag{19}$$

It follows from inequalities (18), (19), and *Claim 1* that

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq \dot{\eta}(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T]. \tag{20}$$

This implies

$$v(T) - v(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^T \dot{v}(s)ds \leq \int_{t_0}^T \dot{\eta}(s)ds = \eta(T) - \eta(t_0). \tag{21}$$

Since $v(t_0) < \eta(t_0)$ by assumption (3), it follows from inequality (21) that

$$v(T) < \eta(T). \tag{22}$$

Inequality (22) and inequality (20) with $t = T$ imply that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$v(t) < \eta(t), \quad T \leq t \leq T + \delta. \tag{23}$$

This contradicts the definition of T in (18), and the contradiction proves the desired conclusion $T = \infty$.

Claim 2 is proved. □

It follows from the definitions of $\eta(t)$, T , $v(t)$, and from the relation $T = \infty$, that

$$g(t) = e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds} v(t) < e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds} \eta(t) = \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t > t_0. \tag{24}$$

The last statement of Theorem 1 is proved by the standard argument, and is left to the reader.

Theorem 1 is proved. □

THEOREM 2. *Let Assumption A) hold and $\alpha(t, g)$ is a continuous function of t for every $g \geq 0$ and satisfies Lipschitz condition with respect to g for every $t \geq t_0$, $|\alpha(t, g) - \alpha(t, h)| \leq L(t)|g - h|$, where $L(t) < \infty$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Let $0 \leq g(t)$ satisfy (1), $0 < \mu(t)$ satisfy (2), and $\mu(t_0)g(t_0) \leq 1$. Then*

$$g(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Let $v(t)$ be defined in (8). Then inequality (9) holds. Let $w_n(t)$ solve the following differential equation

$$\dot{w}_n(t) = a(t)\alpha(t, w_n(t))e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds} + b(t), \quad w_n(t_0) = g(t_0) - \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \geq n_0, \tag{26}$$

where $a(t) := e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}$, n_0 is sufficiently large, and $g(t_0) > \frac{1}{n_0}$. Since $\alpha(t, y)$ is continuous with respect to t and locally Lipschitz-continuous with respect to y , there exists a unique local solution to (26).

From the proof of Theorem 1 one gets

$$w_n(t) < \frac{e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{27}$$

Let $\tau, t_0 < \tau < \infty$, be an arbitrary number, and

$$w(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, \tau]. \tag{28}$$

This and the fact that $w_n(t)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \tau]$ imply that $w(t)$ solves the following equation:

$$\dot{w}(t) = a(t)\alpha(t, w(t)e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}) + b(t), \quad w(t_0) = g(t_0), \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau]. \tag{29}$$

Note that the solution $w(t)$ to (29) is unique since $\alpha(t, y)$ is continuous with respect to t and locally Lipschitz-continuous with respect to y . From (9), (29), a comparison lemma (see, e.g., [11], p.99), the continuity of $w_n(t)$ with respect to $w_0(t_0)$ on $[0, \tau]$, and (27), one gets

$$v(t) \leq w(t) \leq \frac{e^{\int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s)ds}}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, \tau], \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{30}$$

Since $\tau > t_0$ is arbitrary, inequality (25) follows from (30).

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

REMARK 1. The results of Theorems 1,2 are closely related to the known comparison-type results in differential equations. The comparison lemmas, or lemmas about differential inequalities, are described in many books and papers, e.g., in [3], [7], [9], [13], [14], to mention a few.

In this remark we give an alternative proof of Theorem 1, which uses comparison results for differential inequalities. In this proof we have to assume that the Cauchy problem, corresponding to a differential equation, obtained from differential inequality (1), (see problem (31) below) has a unique solution. This assumption was not used in the proof of Theorem 1, given above. Uniqueness of the solution to this Cauchy problem holds, for example, if the function $a(t, g)$ satisfies Lipschitz condition with respect to g . Less restrictive conditions, e.g., one-sided inequalities, sufficient for the uniqueness of the solution to the Cauchy problem (31) are known (e.g., see [7]).

Let $\phi(t)$ solve the following Cauchy problem:

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = -\gamma(t)\phi(t) + \alpha(t, \phi(t)) + \beta(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \phi(t_0) = \phi_0. \tag{31}$$

Inequality (2) can be written as

$$-\gamma(t)\mu^{-1}(t) + \alpha(t, \mu^{-1}(t)) + \beta(t) \leq \frac{d\mu^{-1}(t)}{dt}. \tag{32}$$

From the known comparison result (see, e.g., [3], Theorem III.4.1) it follows that

$$\phi(t) \leq \mu^{-1}(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \tag{33}$$

provided that $\phi(t_0) \leq \mu^{-1}(t_0)$, where $\phi(t)$ is the *minimal* solution to problem (31).

Inequality (1) implies that

$$g(t) \leq \phi(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \tag{34}$$

provided that $g(t_0) \leq \phi(t_0)$, where $\phi(t)$ is the maximal solution to problem (31).

Therefore, if problem (31) has at most one local solution, and

$$g(t_0) \leq \mu^{-1}(t_0), \tag{35}$$

then

$$g(t) \leq \mu^{-1}(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \tag{36}$$

Since $\mu(t)$ is defined for all $t \geq t_0$, it follows that the solution to problem (31) with $\phi(t_0) = \mu^{-1}(t_0)$ is also defined for all $t \geq t_0$. Consequently, $g(t)$ is defined for all $t \geq t_0$. \square

Let us consider a discrete analog of Theorem 1.

We obtain an upper bound for g_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$, sufficient conditions for the validity of the relation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = 0$, and estimate the rate of growth/decay of g_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This result can be used in a study of evolution problems, in a study of iterative processes, and in a study of nonlinear PDE. Let us formulate the result.

THEOREM 3. *Let Assumption A) hold, g_n be a non-negative sequence of numbers, and β_n, γ_n be sequences of real numbers. Assume that*

$$\frac{g_{n+1} - g_n}{h_n} \leq -\gamma_n g_n + \alpha(n, g_n) + \beta_n, \quad h_n > 0, \quad 0 < h_n \gamma_n < 1, \tag{37}$$

or, equivalently,

$$g_{n+1} \leq g_n(1 - h_n \gamma_n) + h_n \alpha(n, g_n) + h_n \beta_n, \quad h_n > 0, \quad 0 < h_n \gamma_n < 1. \tag{38}$$

If there is a sequence of positive numbers $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, such that the following conditions hold:

$$\alpha\left(n, \frac{1}{\mu_n}\right) + \beta_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n} \left(\gamma_n - \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{\mu_n h_n} \right), \tag{39}$$

$$g_0 \leq \frac{1}{\mu_0}, \tag{40}$$

then

$$0 \leq g_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n} \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{41}$$

Therefore, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = 0$.

Proof. Let us prove (41) by induction. Inequality (41) holds for $n = 0$ by assumption (40). Suppose that (41) holds for all $n \leq m$. From inequalities (37), (39), and from

the induction hypothesis $g_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n}$, $n \leq m$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{m+1} &\leq g_m(1 - h_m\gamma_m) + h_m\alpha(m, g_m) + h_m\beta_m \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu_m}(1 - h_m\gamma_m) + h_m\alpha\left(m, \frac{1}{\mu_m}\right) + h_m\beta_m \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu_m}(1 - h_m\gamma_m) + \frac{h_m}{\mu_m} \left(\gamma_m - \frac{\mu_{m+1} - \mu_m}{\mu_m h_m} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}} - \frac{\mu_{m+1}^2 - 2\mu_{m+1}\mu_m + \mu_m^2}{\mu_m^2 \mu_{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Therefore, inequality (41) holds for $n = m + 1$. Thus, inequality (41) holds for all $n \geq 0$ by induction. Theorem 3 is proved. \square

Setting $h_n = 1$ in Theorem 3, one obtains the following result:

THEOREM 4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold. and*

$$g_{n+1} \leq g_n(1 - \gamma_n) + \alpha(n, g_n) + \beta_n, \quad 0 < \gamma_n < 1. \tag{43}$$

If there is sequence $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty > 0$ such that the following conditions hold

$$g_0 \leq \frac{1}{\mu_0}, \quad \alpha\left(n, \frac{1}{\mu_n}\right) + \beta_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n} \left(\gamma_n - \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{\mu_n} \right), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{44}$$

then

$$g_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{45}$$

3. Applications

Here we sketch an idea for possible applications of our inequalities in a study of dynamical systems in a Hilbert space H , see also [12].

In this Section we assume without loss of generality that $t_0 = 0$. Let

$$\dot{u} + Au = h(t, u) + f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad \dot{u} := \frac{du}{dt}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{46}$$

To explain the ideas, let us make simplifying assumptions: $A > 0$ is a selfadjoint time-independent operator in a real Hilbert space H , $h(t, u)$ is a nonlinear operator in H , locally Lipschitz with respect to u and continuous with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$, and f is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ with values in H , $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|f(t)\| < \infty$. The scalar product in H is denoted $\langle u, v \rangle$. Assume that

$$\langle Au, u \rangle \geq \gamma \langle u, u \rangle, \quad \gamma = \text{const} > 0, \quad \|h(t, u)\| \leq \alpha(t, \|u\|), \quad \forall u \in D(A), \tag{47}$$

where $\alpha(t, y) \leq c|y|^p$, $p > 1$ and $c > 0$ are constants, and $\alpha(t, y)$ is a non-decreasing $C^1([0, \infty))$ function of y . Our approach works when $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ and $c = c(t)$, see Examples 1,2 below. The problem is to estimate the behavior of the solution to (46) as

$t \rightarrow \infty$ and to give sufficient conditions for a global existence of the unique solution to (46). Our approach consists of a reduction of this problem to the inequality (1) and an application of Theorem 1. A different approach, studied in the literature (see, e.g., [8], [10]), is based on the semigroup theory.

Let $g(t) := \|u(t)\|$. Problem (46) has a unique local solution under our assumptions. This local solution exists globally if $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\| < \infty$. Multiply (46) by u and use (47) to get

$$\dot{g}g \leq -\gamma(t)g^2 + \alpha(t, g)g + \beta(t)g, \quad \beta(t) := \|f(t)\|. \tag{48}$$

Since $g \geq 0$, one gets

$$\dot{g} \leq -\gamma(t)g + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t). \tag{49}$$

Now Theorem 1 is applicable and yields sufficient conditions (2) and (3) for the global existence of the solution to (46) and estimate (4) for the behavior of $\|u(t)\|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The choice of $\mu(t)$ in Theorem 1 is often straightforward. For example, if $\alpha(t, g(t)) = \frac{c_0}{a(t)}g^2$, where $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) = 0$, $\dot{a}(t) < 0$, then one can often choose $\mu(t) = \frac{\lambda}{a(t)}$, $\lambda = const > 0$, see [11], p.116, and [4], p.487, for examples of applications of this approach.

The outlined approach is applicable to stability of the solutions to nonlinear differential equations, to semilinear parabolic problems, to hyperbolic problems, and other problems. There is a large literature on the stability of the solutions to differential equations (see, e.g., [1], [2], and references therein). Our approach yields some novel results. If the selfadjoint operator A depends on t , $A = A(t)$, and $\gamma = \gamma(t) > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = 0$, one can treat problems with degenerate, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, elliptic operators A .

For instance, if the operator A is a second-order elliptic operator with matrix $a_{ij}(x, t)$, and the minimal eigenvalue $\lambda(x, t)$ of this matrix satisfies the condition

$$\min_x \lambda(x, t) := \gamma(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

then Theorem 1 is applicable under suitable assumptions on $\gamma(t)$, $h(t, u)$ and $f(t)$.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider

$$\dot{u} = -\gamma(t)u + a(t)u(t)|u(t)|^p + \frac{1}{(1+t)^q}, \quad u(0) = 0, \tag{50}$$

where $\gamma(t) = \frac{c}{(1+t)^b}$, $a(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^m}$, p, q, b, c , and m are positive constants. Our goal is to give sufficient conditions for the solution to the above problem to converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Multiply (50) by u , denote $g := u^2$, and get the following inequality

$$\dot{g} \leq -2\frac{c}{(1+t)^b}g + 2\frac{1}{(1+t)^m}g(t)^{1+0.5p} + 2\frac{1}{(1+t)^q}g^{0.5}, \quad g = u^2. \tag{51}$$

Choose $\mu(t) = \lambda(1+t)^\nu$, where $\lambda > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ are constants.

Inequality (2) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{(1+t)^m} [\lambda(1+t)^v]^{-1-0.5p} + \frac{2}{(1+t)^q} [\lambda(1+t)^v]^{-0.5} \\ & \leq [\lambda(1+t)^v]^{-1} \left(2 \frac{c}{(1+t)^b} - \frac{v}{1+t} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Choose p, q, m, c, λ and v so that inequality (52) be valid and $\lambda u(0)^2 < 1$, so that condition (3) with $t_0 = 0$ holds. If this is done, then $u^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^v}$, so $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$. For example, choose $b = 1, v = 1, q = 1.5, m = 1, \lambda = 4, c = 4, p \geq 1$. Then inequality (52) is valid, and if $u(0)^2 < 1/4$, then (3) with $t_0 = 0$ holds, so $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$. The choice of the parameters can be varied. In particular, the nonlinearity growth, governed by p , can be arbitrary in power scale. If $b = 1$ then three inequalities $m + 0.5pv \geq 1, q - 0.5v \geq 1$, and $\lambda^{1/2} + \lambda^{-0.5p} \leq c - 0.5v$ together with $u(0)^2 < \lambda^{-1}$ are sufficient for (3) and (52) to hold, so they imply $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$.

In Example 1 one could use the argument, given in Remark 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Consider problem (46) with A, h and f satisfying (47) with $\gamma \equiv 0$. So one gets inequality (49) with $\gamma(t) \equiv 0$. Choose

$$\mu(t) := c + \lambda(1+t)^{-b}, \quad c > 0, b > 0, \lambda > 0, \tag{53}$$

where c, λ , and b are constants. Inequality (2) takes the form:

$$\alpha(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}) + \beta(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \frac{b\lambda}{(1+t)[\lambda + c(1+t)^b]}. \tag{54}$$

Let $\theta \in (0, 1), p > 0$, and $C > 0$ be constants. Assume that

$$\alpha(t, |y|) \leq \theta C |y|^p \frac{b\lambda}{(\lambda + c)(1+t)^{1+b}}, \quad \beta(t) \leq (1 - \theta) \frac{b\lambda}{(c + \lambda)^2(1+t)^{1+b}}, \tag{55}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, and

$$C = \begin{cases} c^{p-1} & \text{if } p > 1, \\ (\lambda + c)^{p-1} & \text{if } p \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{56}$$

Let us verify that inequality (54) holds given that (55) and (56) hold.

It follows from (53) that $c < \mu(t) \leq c + \lambda, \forall t \geq 0$. This and (55) imply

$$\beta(t) \leq (1 - \theta) \frac{1}{(c + \lambda)^2(1+t)^{1+b}} \leq (1 - \theta) \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \frac{1}{(1+t)(c + \lambda(1+t)^b)}. \tag{57}$$

From (56) and (53) one gets

$$\frac{C}{\mu^{p-1}(t)} \leq C \max(c^{1-p}, (c + \lambda)^{1-p}) \leq 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{58}$$

From (55) and (58) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}) &\leq \theta C \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \frac{1}{\mu^{p-1}(t)} \frac{b\lambda}{(1+t)[\lambda(1+t)^b + c(1+t)^b]} \\ &\leq \theta \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \frac{b\lambda}{(1+t)[\lambda + c(1+t)^b]}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Inequality (54) follows from (57) and (59). From (54) and Theorem 1 one obtains

$$g(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} < \frac{1}{c}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (60)$$

provided that $g(0) < (c + \lambda)^{-1}$. From (49) with $\gamma(t) = 0$ and (55)–(60), one gets $\dot{g}(t) = O(\frac{1}{(1+t)^{1+b}})$. Thus, there exists finite limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = g(\infty) \leq c^{-1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] YU. L. DALECKII, M. G. KREIN, *Stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
- [2] B. P. DEMIDOVICH, *Lectures on stability theory*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (in Russian)
- [3] P. HARTMAN, *Ordinary differential equations*, J. Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [4] N. S. HOANG, A. G. RAMM, *Dynamical Systems Gradient Method for solving nonlinear operator equations with monotone operators*, Acta Appl. Math., **106**, (2009), 473–499.
- [5] N. S. HOANG AND A. G. RAMM, *A nonlinear inequality*, J. Math. Inequal., **2**, 4, (2008), 459–464.
- [6] N. S. HOANG AND A. G. RAMM, *A nonlinear inequality and applications*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, **71**, (2009), 2744–2752.
- [7] M. A. KRASNOSEL'SKII, *The operator of translation along the trajectories of differential equations*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 19, Providence, R.I. 1968.
- [8] S. G. KREIN, *Linear differential equations in Banach spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1971.
- [9] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, S. LEELA, *Differential and integral inequalities; theory and applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [10] A. PAZY, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [11] A. G. RAMM, *Dynamical systems method for solving operator equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [12] A. G. RAMM, *Asymptotic stability of solutions to abstract differential equations*, Journ of Abstract Diff. Equations, (JADEA), **1**, 1, (2010), 27–34.
- [13] J. SCHRÖDER, *Operator inequalities*, Acad. Press, New York, 1980.
- [14] J. SZARSKI, *Differential inequalities*, PWN, Warszawa, 1967.

(Received June 23, 2010)

N. S. Hoang
Mathematics Department
Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA
e-mail: nguyenshs@math.ksu.edu

A. G. Ramm
Mathematics Department
Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA
e-mail: ramm@math.ksu.edu