

## **Program to evaluate crop yield loss to biotic stressors and management decision rules in variable environments**

K. A. Garrett, Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University  
<http://www.k-state.edu/pdecology/index.html>

Program permanent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/2097/13786>  
Any updates in the future will be posted at this address.

This R program was applied in the following paper, and the conceptual framework implemented in this program was developed by this author team:

Garrett, K. A., A. Dobson, J. Kroschel, B. Natarajan, S. Orlandini, H. E. Z. Tonnang, and C. Valdivia. 2012. The effects of climate variability and the color of weather time series on agricultural diseases and pests, and decision making for their management. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *in press*.

If you find this code useful in your research, please use the Garrett et al. 2012 citation.

**Abstract.** This R program evaluates simulations of yield loss to biotic stressors. The rate of yield loss is determined by an autoregressive process with flexible parameters, so that different levels of mean rate, variation in rate, and autocorrelation in rate can be evaluated. The second part of the program evaluates the success rate of decisions for management based on observations of yield loss in past seasons or in the middle of the current season.

The program produces three figures summarizing model results by default, and includes options that can produce additional types of summaries

### **Files**

The R script: RScriptFileCYL1.txt

This file, if in the working directory, can be read into R using the command  
`source('RScriptFileCYL1.txt')`

This produces all the default output and may take an hour or so to run.

The functions included in the script can be run individually with different parameter values as input to explore the outcomes for different scenarios.

Information about default summary figures

This file gives more information for interpreting the default summaries

Examples of program output figures: fig1, fig2, fig3

Note that because of the stochastic components of the models, the results will be slightly different each time

Garrett et al 2012 AFM preprint

This paper gives additional information about models, model assumptions, and interpretation

### **Acknowledgements**

Thanks to the coauthors of Garrett et al. 2012 - A. Dobson, J. Kroschel, B. Natarajan, S. Orlandini, H. E. Z. Tonnang, and C. Valdivia - and to A. Challinor, J. Hansen, A. Jarvis, X. Lee, and anonymous AFM reviewers for very helpful input. This program has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union, Canadian International Development Agency, World Bank, New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade and Danida and with the technical support of IFAD. We also appreciate support by NSF Grant EF-0525712 as part of the joint NSF-NIH Ecology of Infectious Disease program, USDA NC-RIPM Grant 2010-34103-20964, USDA APHIS Grant 11-8453-1483-CA, and the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station (Contribution No. 12-371-C). Views expressed herein can in no way be taken to reflect the official opinion of these agencies.

Contribution 12-371-C of the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station