

CURRICULAR CHOICE AND PRIMARY RELATIONSHIPS:  
A STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTERPERSONAL  
TIES AND VOCATIONAL CHOICES OF MALE COLLEGE STUDENTS

by 1264

PAMELA CARR RINGHEIM

B. A., Kansas State University, 1967

---

A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Psychology

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY  
Manhattan, Kansas

1970

Approved by:



Major Professor

LD  
2668  
T4  
1970  
R55

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My thanks must go first to Professor George Peters for his never-failing guidance, support and friendship. Without his encouragement from the very inception of the project, this thesis might never have been produced.

Dr. Ken Kennedy, in offering a constant source of support, has played a vital role.

And for the assistantship which allowed me to carry out the research reported here, I thank the Study of Student Development staff in the Kansas State University Counseling Center.

Finally, I wish to thank the 40 men whose cooperation and interest facilitated the data-gathering phase of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . . . . .	ii
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	v
Chapter	
I. THE AREA OF INVESTIGATION AND THE PROBLEM . . . . .	1
Introduction and Review of Literature . . . . .	1
The Problem and Theory . . . . .	2
A Framework for the Study of Primary Relations . . . . .	7
Interpersonal Involvement . . . . .	8
Hypotheses . . . . .	10
II. SETTING AND METHODOLOGICAL PROCEDURES OF THE STUDY . . . . .	13
Introduction . . . . .	13
The Sample . . . . .	13
The Instrument . . . . .	17
Concepts and Indices Used in the Study . . . . .	20
Friendship . . . . .	20
Closeness of Family Ties . . . . .	21
Participation in Organizations . . . . .	22
Statistical Analysis . . . . .	23
III. PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS . . . . .	24
Introduction . . . . .	24
Descriptive Data on Friendship . . . . .	24
Affect and Activity in Friendship . . . . .	42
Activities and Friendship . . . . .	42
Affect in Friendship . . . . .	47
Confiding and Friendship . . . . .	48
Other Measures of Affect . . . . .	52
Affect and Activity in Friendship . . . . .	55
Family Relationships . . . . .	61
Activity and the Family . . . . .	61
Affect in the Family . . . . .	65
Confiding in Parents . . . . .	65
Quality of Interaction with Parents . . . . .	68
Feelings about Parents . . . . .	74
Summary of Findings on the Family Relationship . . . . .	78
Organizational Membership . . . . .	80

Chapter	Page
IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	86
Review of the Study . . . . .	86
Summary of the Findings . . . . .	89
Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Research . . . . .	94
REFERENCES . . . . .	100
APPENDIX A . . . . .	104
APPENDIX B . . . . .	106
APPENDIX C . . . . .	129
APPENDIX D . . . . .	132

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Length of Time Closest versus Least Close Friends Had Been Known . . . . .	27
2. Length of Time Local versus Nonlocal Friends Had Been Known . . . . .	30
3. Where Closest versus Least Close Friends Were Met . . . . .	31
4. Where Local versus Nonlocal Friends Were Met . . . . .	33
5. How Closest versus Least Close Friends Were Met . . . . .	35
6. Major Categories of How Closest versus Least Close Friends Were Met . . . . .	37
7. How Local versus Nonlocal Friends Were Met . . . . .	38
8. Differences Between Engineers and Educators with Respect to Number of Friends Listed . . . . .	40
9. Differences between Engineers and Educators According to Average Activities Scores Obtained in Most Intimate versus Less Intimate Friendships . . . . .	44
10. Differences Reported by Engineering Rs with Respect to Shared Activities with Closest versus Least Close Friends . . . . .	45
10A. Differences Reported by Education Rs with Respect to Shared Activities with Closest versus Least Close Friends . . . . .	45
10B. Differences Reported by All Rs with Respect to Shared Activities with Closest versus Least Close Friends . . . . .	46
11. Differences between Engineers and Educators with Respect to Degree of Sharing Activities with Friends . . . . .	47
12. Differences between Engineers and Educators According to Average Confiding Scores Obtained in Intimate versus Less Intimate Friendships . . . . .	48
13. Differences Reported by Engineering Rs with Respect to Confiding in Closest versus Least Close Friends . . . . .	50
13A. Differences Reported by Education Rs with Respect to Confiding in Closest versus Least Close Friends . . . . .	50
13B. Differences Reported by All Rs with Respect to Confiding in Closest versus Least Close Friends . . . . .	51