#### AN ANALYSIS OF TRAINING NEEDS OF OFFICE SECRETARIES IN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION COUNCIL OFFICES IN KANSAS

by

# THOMAS EUGENE APPLEBY

B. S., Kansas State University, 1959

A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

College of Education

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1968

Approved by:

Major Professor

LD 2668 74 1968 A66

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer wishes to express his appreciation to those people whose guidance and assistance have made possible the compiling of this thesis.

The much valuable assistance given by Dr. Robert L. Johnson in the guidance of the thesis and also throughout the entire graduate program is deeply appreciated.

The writer wishes to acknowledge the cooperation of the Kansas State Extension Staff, County Extension Agents, and County Extension Office Secretaries who participated in this study.

For her continuous encouragement and support, the writer is sincerely grateful to his wife, Mariellen,

The assistance of Mrs. Wendell A. Moyer who typed the manuscript is appreciated.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTE	IR.	PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Statement of the Problem	2
	Purpose of This Study	3
	Limitations	4
	Definitions	4
II.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
III.	DESIGN AND PROCEDURES	7
	Description	7
	Type of Study	7
	Sample	7
	Method of Gathering Data	7
	Method of Presenting Data	8
	Analyzing Data	8
IV.	ANALYSIS OF DATA	9
	Description of the Population in the Study	10
	Age of Secretaries	10
	Education of the Secretaries	10
	Marital Status of the Secretaries	10
	Number of Years Secretaries Employed in Present	
	Extension Office	12

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

CHAPTER		PAGE
	Years of Secretarial Experience of Secretaries	13
	County Extension Agents	13
	Tenure of County Extension Agents	13
	Number of Years that County Extension Agents Had	
	Worked with Present Head Secretary	15
	Desires for Secretarial Training	16
	Secretaries' Desire for Training	16
	Tenure	17
	Location of Training	18
	Secretaries Desire for Training from County	
	Extension Agents	20
	Factors Related to Training Areas	22
	Difficult Problems	22
	Weekly Office Conferences	27
	Marital Status of the Secretaries	28
	Secretsrial Assets Agents Would Consider When	
	Hiring a New Secretary	29
	Emphasis that Secretaries were Currently Giving and	
	Should be Giving Certain Functions and Tasks	31
	Secretarial Training Areas	40
	Secretaries Ranking of Areas of Secretarial	
	Training	40

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

CHAPTER			PAGE
Agents' Ranking of Areas of Secretarial Training	•		43
Comparison of Secretaries to Agents, Desires for			
Secretarial Training for Secretaries			46
Effect of Secretaries' Years of Tenure on Desires			
for Training			46
"Other" Suggestions for Secretarial Training			50
V. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS			53
Summary and Conclusions			53
Recommendations			61
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY			62
APPENDICES			64
Appendix A - Coverletters			65
Appendix B - Secretaries Data-Collection Instrumen	t		68
Appendix C - Agents Data-Collection Instrument .			70
Appendix D - Secretaries' and Agents' Data-Collecti	on	1	
Instrument			72
Appendix E - Table Showing Emphasis Secretaries Wer	e		
Giving and Should be Given Various Functions and			
Tasks			76

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I.	Age of County Extension Office Secretaries in Kansas,	
	1967	11
II.	Educational Background of County Extension Office	
	Secretaries in Kansas, 1967	11
III.	Number of Years Secretaries Employed in Present County	
	Extension Office in Kansas, 1967	12
IV.	Years of Secretarial Experience of County Extension	
	Office Secretaries in Kansas, 1967	14
v.	County Extension Agents in Kansas, 1967	1.4
VI.	Number of Years that County Extension Agents Have Been	
	Employed by Extension in Kansas, 1967	15
VII.	Number of Years that County Extension Agents Had Worked	
	With Present Head Secretaries in Kansas, 1967	16
VIII.	County Extension Office Secretaries' Desire for More	
	Secretarial Training by the State Extension Service	
	in Kansas, 1967	17
IX.	Number of Years Kanses Extension Office Secretaries	
	Employed in Present Office, by the Secretaries'	
	Desire for More Training, 1967	18

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE		PAGE
x.	Preference of Location for Training of County Extension	
	Office Secretaries, by Secretaries Preferring	
	Additional Training in Kansas, 1967	19
XI.	Kansas Extension Office Secretaries Requesting More	
	Training, Preferred Training in Following Locations,	
	Based on Number of Years Employed in Present County	
	Extension Office, 1967	20
KII.	County Extension Office Secretaries Desire for More	
	Training for Their Job From Their County Extension	
	Agents in Kansas, 1967	21
XIII.	Kansas Extension Office Secretaries Desire for More	
	Training From County Extension Agents, Based on Number	
	of Years Secretaries Employed in Present County Office	
	in Kansas, 1967	22
xIV.	Difficult Problems Encountered in Connection with County	
	Extension Office Secretary's Performance of Her Duties,	
	Identified by County Extension Office Secretaries in	
	Kamsas, 1967	24
XV.	Difficult Problems Encountered in Connection with County	
	Extension Office Secretary's Performance of Her Duties,	
	Identified by County Extension Acents in Veneze 1967	25

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE		PAGE
XVI.	Comparison of County Extension Agents and Secretaries	
	Weighted Scores of Difficult Secretarial Problems	26
XVII.	Weekly Office Conferences Reported Held in County	
	Extension Offices According to County Extension	
	Office Secretaries in Kansas, 1967	27
XVIII.	Secretaries Attendance at Weekly Office Conference,	
	According to County Extension Office Secretaries	
	in Kansas, 1967	28
XIX.	Number of Years Secretaries Employed in Present County	
	Extension Office, by Marital Status in Kansas, 1967	29
XX.	Ranking of Secretarial Assets to Consider When Hiring	
	a New County Extension Office Secretary as Identified	
	by County Extension Agents in Kansas, 1967	30
KKI.	Emphasis that Secretaries were Currently Giving and	
	Should be Giving Various Functions and Tasks, by	
	County Extension Office Secretaries and Agents in	
	Kansas, 1967	34
XXII.	Ranking of Areas Where Secretarial Training Would be Most	
	Helpful, by County Extension Office Secretaries in	
	Kansas, 1967	41

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE		PAGE
XXIII.	Ranking of Areas by County Extension Agents Where	
	Secretarial Training Would be Most helpful to a	
	County Extension Office Secretary in Kansas, 1967	44
XXIV.	Comparisons of Weighted Scores of Various Training Areas	
	From Responses by Both County Extension Agents and	
	County Extension Office Secretaries in Kansas, 1967	47
XXV.	Weighted Scores of Various Training Areas by County	
	Extension Office Secretaries Compared with Years	
	of Tenure in Present County Extension Office in	
	Kansas, 1967	49
XXVI.	Suggestions for Secretarial Training Program Areas	
	Other Than Those Listed in Table XXI, by County	
	Extension Office Secretaries in Kansas, 1967	51
XXVII.	Suggestions for Secretarial Training Program Areas Other	
	Than Those Listed in Table XXII, by County Extension	
	Agents in Kansas, 1967	52
XXVIII.	Emphasis That Secretaries were Currently Giving and Should	
	be Giving Various Functions and Tasks, by County	
	Extension Office Secretaries and Agents in Kansas,	
	1967	77

## CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Each of the 105 counties in Kansas has a County Agricultural Extension Office with at least one full time office secretary. The duties of these secretaries are varied and in most cases are quite different from the duties of the usual private secretary. These secretaries have the secretarial responsibility for from one to as many as nine agents, depending on the county. In the larger counties, where several agents are employed, the secretarial functions and tasks are divided between several secretaries. Each has only a portion of the total functions and tasks assigned, for exampla, a full time receptionist may have no typing responsibilities.

The age, years of experience, salary, and working conditions are some of the factors which vary among secretaries.

In the past, conflicts have developed among county staff personnel.

Many of these have involved office secretaries. These, in many cases,
have originated in misunderstandings of the functions of the personnel
involved. If the personnel had understood the functions and tasks of
each staff member, many of these conflicts might have been avoided.

When conflict does axise, it handicaps staff members in the fulfillment of the Extension Servica goals. Also, the 4-H members, Extension Homemakers Unit members, and the remaining Extension clientele may suffer from these office conflicts.

A job description for all Extension Agents in Kansas has been prepared and distributed. However, no research has been conducted on the functions and training needs of the Extension Office Secretaries in Kansas.

#### I. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Many Extension Office Secretaries lack formal training for their secretarial functions and tasks and do not recognize or understand certain aspects of their job. In many counties they are hired by an agent who may leave before the secretary is fully trained, and a different and often inexperienced agent is employed. The new agent may have different expectations about a secretary's responsibilities.

Extension Agents are trained in subject matter in agriculture or home economics, so have no formal training in hiring or training of secretaries. Therefore, they are often of little help in training the secretary.

Another problem that can develop is misunderstanding between the various Extension Agents and secretaries. Secretaries may show favoritism to one of the agents, or one agent may expect favoritism from the secretary.

For a County Extension Office to be operated effectively, there must be a close working relationship between the complete staff. All of them must be informed about what is expected of them, and attempt to make the work most enjoyable for each other. Efficiency in serving the public is very important, if the Extension Service is to accomplish its goals.

#### II. PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY

The purpose of this study was to determine the training needs of County Extension Office Secretaries in Kansas. The following specific objectives were established for the study:

- To determine the secretarial situation as to years employed in present Extension Office, age, marital status, education, and desire for more training.
- To determine the importance currently being given to various secretarial functions and tasks as rated by the secretaries.
- To determine the importance currently being given to various secretarial functions and tasks as rated by the Extension Agents.
- 4. To determine the differences between secretaries and agents regarding the importance which should be given to various secretarial functions and tasks.
- To determine the most important assets of office secretaries, to provide agents with an increased knowledge of characteristics to consider when hiring new office secretaries.
- To determine the most difficult problem areas connected with the effice operation and the secretary's performance as

expressed by the agents.

- To determine the most difficult problems the secretaries encountered in connection with the operation of the office or the performance of their duties.
- To determine the areas where training would be most helpful to the secretaries, as expressed by the secretaries.
- To determine the areas where training would be most helpful to the secretaries, as expressed by the agents.

#### III. LIMITATIONS

Because only secretaries and agents in Kanses were surveyed, the importance of the various functions and tasks, and training needs could differ in other states. Also, a change of agents or secretaries could change the opinions of those positions surveyed.

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

Gooperative Extension Service. An educational agency conducted cooperatively by the Federal Extension Services, State Extension Services and local bodies; established by legal action of the Smith-Lever Act of 1914. The educational activities are to "aid in diffusing among the people of the United States useful and practical information on subjects relating to agriculture and home economics and to encourage the

application of the same."1

<u>Functions</u> and <u>Tasks</u>. Duties, jobs, chores, and assignments to be accomplished.

Lincoln D. Kelsey and Cannon C. Hearns, <u>Cooperative Extension</u>
Work (Ethaca, New York: Comstock Publishing Company, 1963), p. 477.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A review of the literature shows no writings in the field of secretarial training for Extension Office Secretaries. Questionnaires have been used by the Federal Extension Service to determine the areas where secretaries and agents thought secretarial training would be helpful to the secretaries. None of these results were statistically analyzed.

The bibliography on page 62 includes those books which were used by this writer to assist with the development of the questionnaire. These handbooks would be helpful reference books for Extension Office Secretaries.

#### CHAPTER III

#### DESIGN AND PROCEDURES

#### I. DESCRIPTION

#### Type of Study

This study was a descriptive study using a survey to collect information so the important areas of office secretary training needs could be established, using the opinions of the County Extension Office Secretarias and the County Extension Agents.

## Sample

All County Extension Agents in Kansas and all of their office secretaries were mailed a questionnaire.

# Method of Gathering Data

The following steps were followed in sequence to gather data:

- 1. Secured permission to collect data in Kansas.
- Prepared a questionnaira and pretested it on a sample of five Extension Agents and three Extension Office Secrataries.
- 3. Revised questionnaire.
- Mailed questionnaire on March 28, 1967. There were 241 questionnaires mailed to agents. These consisted of

4 County Extension Directors, 103 County Agriculture1
Agents, 107 County Home Economics Agents, and 27 County
Club Agents. The agents returned 208 or 86 per cent of
the questionnaires. This consisted of 93 per cent from
the Club Agents, 89 per cent from the Home Economics
Agents, 75 per cent from the County Extension Directors, and 83 per cent from the Agriculturel Agents.
The 141 office secretaries were mailed their questionnaire the same dey. The secretaries returned 128, for
a 91 per cent. This gave a return of 336 from the 382
mailed for an 88 per cent return.

#### Method of Presenting Data

Date were presented in tebular form with detailed discussion to explain the tables.

# Analyzing Date

Data were summarized by a 1410 computer from Hollerith cards.

The product-moment correlation was used to test the significance of the correlation between the number of years the secretaries had been employed in the present County Extension Office and the desire for more training. The chi-square test was used to test the significance of the other comparisons.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### ANALYSIS OF DATA

The analysis of data in this chapter is presented in four parts,
Description of the Population in the Study, Desires for Secretarial
Training, Factors Relating to Training Areas, and Secretarial Training
Areas.

Secretarial training desires of County Extension Office Secretaries were based on years of tenure, location for training, and whether they wanted more training from their County Extension Agents.

Data were analysed on bases of difficult secretarial problems, weekly office conferences held and attended, marital status, secretarial assets agents would consider when hiring a new secretary, and the emphasis which secretaries were currently giving and should be giving to certain functions and tasks. This information was used in checking the secretarial training areas which the secretaries and agents requested.

Comparisons were made between the secretaries and the agents in regard to the training areas they preferred and also the training areas preferred based on the experience of the secretaries.

Percentages, chi-square, and product-moment correlation were the statistical techniques used in analyzing the data. Percentage figures were rounded to the meanest tenth. Level of significance was established at the .05 level. When there was significance at the .01 level or the .001 level, this was reported.

#### I. DESCRIPTION OF THE POPULATION IN THE STUDY

## Age of Secretaries

Approximately one-third of the Kansas County Extension Office Secretaries in 1967 were twenty to thirty years of age, Table I. More than one-half were thirty years of age or older. The smallest representation was in the group of secretaries under twenty years of age and the group over fifty.

## Education of the Secretaries

All of the secretaries had at least a high school education.

Over one-half had only a high school education. Approximately onefourth had some college education and one-sixth had some business

college training. Another 4 per cent had both some college and some
business college training, as shown in Table II.

# Marital Status of the Secretaries

A majority of the secretaries were married. Winety-two of the secretaries or 71.9 per cent were married. Widows and divorces were considered as not married in this study.

TABLE I

AGE OF COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Years of Age		taries
	Number	Per cent
Under 20	7	5.5
20-29	44	34.4
30-39	31	24.2
10-49	30	23.4
50 and up	16	12.5
Total	128	100.0

TABLE II

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND OF COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE
SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Formal Education	Secretaries		
	Number	Per cent	
High School	71	55.5	
Some college	30	23.4	
Some business college	22	17.2	
Both some college and some business college	5	3.9	
Total	128	100.0	

# Mumber of Years Secretaries Employed in Present Extension Office

Mearly one-fourth of the secretaries had been employed in the present Extension office less than one year, as shown in Table III.

More than four out of ten of the secretaries had worked in the present office from one to five years. The number continued to decrease, leaving only one out of six secretaries having been employed in the present County Extension office for more than ten years.

TABLE III

NUMBER OF YEARS SECRETARIES EMPLOYED IN PRESENT
COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE IN KANSAS, 1967

Years Employed	Secretaries		
	Number	Per cent	
Less than 1	30	23.4	
1-5	55	43.0	
6-10	22	17.2	
11-15	10	7.8	
16 or more	10	7.8	
No reply	1	.8	
Total	128	100.0	

#### Years of Secretarial Experience of Secretaries

Only one out of sixteen of the secretaries had less than one year of secretarial experience, as shown in Table IV. Less than four out of ten of the secretaries had one to five years of experience and approximately two out of ten had six to ten years of experience. Almost three out of ten secretaries had over ten years of experience.

A comparison of Table III with Table IV shows fewer secretaries in the less than one year of experience group than in the less than one year of employment in the present County Extension Office group. The same tables show 15.6 per cent with over ten years of employment in present office compared with 29.7 per cent with over ten years of secretarial experience. This indicates that many of the secretaries had previous secretarial experiences before being employed in the present County Extension office.

#### County Extension Agents

County Extension Agent positions used for comparisons in the study are shown in Table V. Each of the agent positions had at least a 75 per cent response to the questionnaire. "Other" positions included assistant agents, associate agents, and horticulture agent.

# Tenure of County Extension Agents

The largest category of Extension Agents, 33 per cent, had been agents one to five years. Approximately 20 per cent had been employed six to ten years, as shown in Table VI. The number in each group

TABLE IV
YEARS OF SECRETARIAL EXPERIENCE OF COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

fears of Secretarial Experience	Secre	taries
	Number	Per cent
ess than 1	8	6.2
-5	49	38.3
5-10	27	21.1
11-15	17	13.3
16 or more	21	16.4
No reply	6	4.7
Cotal	128	100.0

TABLE V
COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Position	Number	Per cent
County Extension Director	3	1.4
County Agricultural Agent	82	39.4
County Home Economics Agent	89	42.8
County Club Agent	25	12.1
Other	9	4.3
Total	208	100.0

TABLE VI

NUMBER OF YEARS THAT COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS HAVE BEEN EMPLOYED BY EXTENSION IN KANSAS. 1967

Number of Years Agents Employed by Extension	Agents		
	Number	Per cent	
Less than 1	25	12.0	
1-5	69	33.2	
6-10	43	20.7	
11-15	34	16.3	
16 or more	27	13.0	
No reply	10	4.8	
Potal	208	100.0	

decreased with tenure until only 13 per cent had been employed more than fifteen years. Agents with less than one year of experience accounted for 12 per cent.

# Number of Years that County Extension Agents Had Worked with Present Head Secretary

Approximately four out of ten agents had worked with the present head secretary less than one year, as shown in Table VII. Another four out of ten had worked with their head secretary from one to five years. Less than two out of ten agents had worked with the present head secretary for more than five years.

TABLE VII

NUMBER OF YEARS THAT COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS HAD WORKED
WITH PRESENT HEAD SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Number of Years Agents Had Worked with Present Head Secretary	Age	nts
-	Number	Per cent
Less than 1	87	41.8
1-5	82	39.4
6-10	27	13.0
11-15	8	3.8
16 or more	2	1.0
No reply	2	1.0
Total	208	100.0

## II. DESIRES FOR SECRETARIAL TRAINING

## Secretaries' Desire for Training

Kansas County Extension Office Secretaries had a statistically significant desire for more secretarial training by the State Extension Service. Approximately 85 per cent requested more training and about 15 per cent indicated they would not like more training, as shown in Table VIII.

TABLE VIII

#### COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES' DESIRE FOR MORE SECRETARIAL TRAINING BY THE STATE EXTENSION SERVICE IN KANSAS, 1967

Desire for Training		es' Responses = 126)
	Number	Per cent
Would like more training	108	85.7
Would not like more training	18	14.3

Chi-square = 64.28 Significant at .001 level

#### Tenure

The relationship between years of employment as Extension Office
Secretaries and the desire for more training was not statistically significant, as measured by chi-square. However, it was statistically significant when measured by product-moment correlation, with a correlation
of .9009. There was an indirect relationship between job tenure and a
desire for training as shown in Table IX. As the years of tenure increased,
the desire for training decreased.

Of those secretaries who had been employed in the present office less than one year, nearly all, 97 per cent, expressed a desire for more training. The desire for training declined to seven out of ten of those secretaries with sixteen or more years of experience.

TABLE IX

NUMBER OF YEARS KANSAS EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES
EMPLOYED IN PRESENT OFFICE, BY THE SECRETARIES'
DESIRE FOR MORE TRAINING, 1967\*

Number of Years Secretaries	Secretaries' Desire for More Training							
Employed in Present County Extension Office	Ye (N =	108)	(N	o = 18)	Total (N = 126)			
	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per cent		
Less than 1	29	96.7	1	3.3	30	100.0		
1-5	46	85.2	8	14.8	54	100.0		
6-10	18	81.8	4	18.2	22	100.0		
11-15	8	80.0	2	20.0	10	100.0		
16 or more	7	70.0	3	30.0	10	100.0		

<sup>\*</sup>Chi-square was computed on the basis of combining the categories of 6-10 years, 11-15 years, and 16 or more years.

Chi-square = 4.759 Not significant

Product-moment correlation = .9009

# Location of Training

A statistically significant number of secretaries requesting training, preferred training at district meetings. Approximately seven out of ten of the secretaries preferred district meetings, as shown in Table X. More than two out of ten requested a meeting at Kansas State University and less than one out of ten had no preference between Kansas State University and a district meeting.

TABLE X

PREFERENCE OF LOCATION FOR TRAINING OF COUNTY EXTENSION
OFFICE SECRETARIES, BY SECRETARIES PREFERRING
ADDITIONAL TRAINING IN EARNAS. 1967\*

Location of Training		es' Response = 106)	
	Number	Per cent	
District meeting	73	68.8	
Meeting at Kansas State University	26	24.6	
Either	7	6.6	

<sup>\*</sup>Level of significance based on comparison of "district meeting" and "Kansas State University."

Chi-square = 22.4 Significant at .0001 level

There was no significant relationship between preference of training location and secretary tenure. In each of the five categories of years of employment in present County Extension office, from 50 per cent to 80 per cent preferred training at the district level, as shown in Table XI. The same table shows from 11 per cent to 30 per cent preferring Kansas State University. Secretaries with the longer tenure tended to have no preference. These percentages ranged from 3.4 per cent with less than one year in the present office up to 12.5 per cent for secretaries with eleven to fifteen years of experience.

TABLE XI

# KANSAS EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES REQUESTING MORE TRAINING, PREFERRED TRAINING IN FOLLOWING LOCATIONS, BASED ON NUMBER OF YEARS EMPLOYED IN PRESENT COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE, 1967\*

Number of Years	Location							
Secretaries Em- ployed in Present County Extension Office	Kansas State District University (N = 73) (N - 26)		Either (N = 7)		Total (N = 106)			
	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per
Less than 1	21	75.0	6	26.4	1	3.6	28	100.0
1-5	29	63.0	14	30.5	3	6.5	46	100.0
6-10	14	77.8	2	11.1	2	11.1	18	100.0
11-15	4	57.1	2	28.6	1	14.3	7	100.0
16 or more	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	-	7	100.0

<sup>\*</sup>Level of significance based on comparison of "district meeting" and "Kansas State University." Chi-square was computed on basis of combining the categories of 6-10 years, 11-15 years, and 16 or more years.

Chi-square = 1.5065 Not significant

# Secretaries Desire for Training from County Extension Agents

Nearly six out of ten of the Kansas County Extension Office Secretaries said they would not like to have more training from their Extension Agents, as shown in Table XII. These responses were not statistically significant.

TABLE XII

#### COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES' DESIRE FOR MORE TRAINING FOR THEIR JOB FROM THEIR COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Desire for Training from Agents		s' Responses 117)
	Number	Per cent
Would like more training	48	41.0
Would not like more training	69	59.0

Chi-square = 3.76 Not significant

As secretaries' tenure in the present County Extension office decreased, there was a statistically significant increase in their desire for training from their County Extension Agents. This relationship was significant at the .01 level, as shown in Table XIII. The group of secretaries with less than one year of employment in the present office had about six out of ten requesting more training from their agents.

Over four out of ten secretaries with one to five years of employment and over three out of ten secretaries with six to ten years tenure requested training from their County Extension Agents. One out of ten secretaries with over fifteen years tenure requested more training from their agents. The probable reason for this is that secretaries with the long tenure, in most cases, had more experience than the agents.

TABLE XIII

## KANSAS EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES' DESIRE FOR MORE TRAINING FROM COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS, BASED ON NUMBER OF YEARS SECRETARIES EMPLOYED IN PRESENT COUNTY OFFICE IN KANSAS, 1967\*

Number of Years Secretaries	Secretaries' Desire for More Training From Agents						
Employed in Present County	(N	es = 48)		No (N = 69)		tal 117)	
	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per	
Less than 1	18	62.2	11	37.8	29	100.0	
1-5	22	42.3	30	57.7	52	100.0	
6-10	7	35.0	13	65.0	20	100.0	
11-15	1	12.5	7	87.5	8	100.0	
16 or more	0		8	100.0	8	100.0	

<sup>\*</sup>Chi-square was computed on basis of combining the categories of 11-15 years and 16 and more years.

Chi-square = 13.527 Significant at .01 level

#### III. FACTORS RELATED TO TRAINING AREAS

## Difficult Problems

Difficult problems which secretaries encountered in connection with their secretarial duties in the County Extension office were listed in order of importance by both the secretaries and the County Extension Agents. These problems were then placed in nine categories. There was

strong agreement between the secretaries and the agents on designation of difficult problems. Secretarial work skills received more first place votes than any other category. Secretarial work skills included such tasks as filing, monthly reports, grammar, mailing lists, penalty mail, tax reports, and financial records. Other categories ranking high for both groups were: organizing and planning work, too much work, and dissatisfactions between agents and secretaries.

Secretaries considered answering subject matter questions a difficult area, with about 20 per cent giving this a first place vote, while only 3 per cent of the agents gave this a first place vote, as shown in Tables XIV and XV.

Secretaries' and agents' ranking of the difficult areas encountered by County Extension Office Secretaries in performance of their secretarial duties were weighted, and weighted scores were computed for each difficult problem category. Their responses were weighted as follows:

> First 3 Second 2 Third 1

These weighted scores for the eight difficult areas ranged from 207 to 8 for the 208 agents and from 97 to 6 for the 128 secretaries, as shown in Table XVI. The only area of difference in rank order was on answering subject matter questions. The secretaries considered this the third most difficult area, while agents considered it the seventh most difficult area.

TABLE XIV

### DIFFICULT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN CONNECTION WITH COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARY'S PERFORMANCE OF HER DUTIES, IDENTIFIED BY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Difficult Problems			Secret	aries!	Respon	ses	
Encountered by	Fir		Second		Thi		
Secretaries	(N =			73)		28)	
	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per	Weighted Score
Secretarial							
work skills	25	24.1	10	13.7	2	7.1	97
Answer subject							
matter questions	22	21.2	10	13.7	2	7.1	88
Organizing and							
planning work	21	20.2	15	20.5	1	3.6	94
Too much work	13	12.5	11	15.1	3	10.8	64
Agent-Secretaries							
dissatisfactions	11	10.6	14	19.2	7	25.0	68
Public relations	5	4.8	6	8.2	6	21.4	33
Work for others	2	1.9	0	***	0		6
Working conditions	2	1.9	3	4.1	2	7.1	14
Other	3	2.8	4	5.5	5	17.9	22

TABLE XV

#### DIFFICULT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN CONNECTION WITH COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARY'S PERFORMANCE OF HER DUTIES, IDENTIFIED BY COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Difficult Problems			Agents'	Respon	nses		
Encountered by		First		Second		rd	
Secretaries	(N = 166)		(N ==		(N = 57)		
	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per	Weighted Score
Secretarial							
work skills	48	29.1	25	18.7	13	22.8	207
Organizing and							
planning work	38	22.9	40	30.2	12	21.0	206
Agent-Secretaries							
dissatisfactions	25	15.0	19	14.3	8	14.0	121
Too much work	23	13.8	14	10.4	3	5.3	100
Public relations	9	5.4	12	9.1	5	8.8	56
Answering subject							
matter questions	5	3.0	3	2.3	5	8.8	26
Working conditions	4	2.4	7	5.2	4	7.0	30
Work for others	0	**	4	3.0	0		8
Other	14	8.4	9	6.8	7	12.3	67

TABLE XVI

# COMPARISON OF COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS AND SECRETARIES WEIGHTED SCORES OF DIFFICULT SECRETARIAL PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN KANSAS COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICES, 1967

Difficult Problems	Position						
Encountered by Secretaries	Agen (N = 1		Secretaries (N = 104)				
	Weighted Score	Rank	Weighted Score	Rank			
Secretarial work skills	207	1	97	1			
Organizing and planning work	206	2	94	2			
Agent-Secretaries dissatisfactions	121	3	68	4			
roo much work	100	4	64	5			
Public relations	56	5	33	6			
Working conditions	30	6	14	7			
Answering subject matter questions	26	7	88	3			
Work for others	8	8	6	8			
Other	67		22				

## Weekly Office Conferences

Approximately eight out of ten of the secretaries reported that a weekly office conference was held in their County Extension Office, as shown in Table XVII. This was significant at the .001 level.

Only two-thirds of the secretaries reported attending a weekly office conference. This is shown in Table XVIII and was significant at the .001 level.

Comparing Tables XVII and XVIII shows that not all counties hold a weekly office conference and then only a portion of the secretaries attend those which are held. One-third of the secretaries do not attend a weekly office conference.

TABLE XVII

WEEKLY OFFICE CONFERENCES REPORTED HELD IN COUNTY EXTENSION
OFFICES ACCORDING TO COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE
SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Weekly Office Conferences	Secretaries Res (N = 127)			
	Number	Per cent		
Yes	99	77.9		
No	28	22.1		

Chi-square = 36.54 Significant at .001 level

TABLE XVIII

### SECRETARIES ATTENDANCE AT WEEKLY OFFICE CONFERENCE, ACCORDING TO COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Secretaries Attendance at Weekly Office Conference		s' Responses 128)
***************************************	Number	Per cent
Yes	85	66.4
No	43	33.6

Chi-square = 13.78 Significant at .001 level

### Marital Status of the Secretaries

Being married was statistically related to secretaries' shorter tenure, as shown in Table XIX. Two-thirds of the Kansas Extension Office Secretaries with less than one year of tenure were married. The percentage of married secretaries declined from approximately 80 per cent of the secretaries with one to five years of tenure, 77 per cent with six to fifteen years of tenure, to only 30 per cent of the secretaries with sixteen or more years of employment in the present County Extension Office being married.

Secretaries who were widowed or divorced were considered not married in the study.

TABLE XIX

### NUMBER OF YEARS SECRETARIES EMPLOYED IN PRESENT COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE, BY MARITAL STATUS IN KANSAS, 1967

Number of Years Secretaries			Sect	retaries	3	-
Employed in Present Exten- sion Office	-	ried 92)		arried = 36)	Tot	
	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per
Less than 1	20	66.7	10	33.3	30	100.0
1-5	45	80.4	11	19.6	56	100.0
6-10	17	77.3	5	22.7	22	100.0
11-15	7	70.0	3	30.0	10	100.0
16 or more	3	30.0	7	70.0	10	100.0

Chi-square = 9.621 Significant at .05 level

### Secretarial Assets Agents Would Consider When Hiring a New Secretary

County Extension Agents were asked to list in order, the three secretarial assets they would consider when hiring a new County Extension Office Secretary. These secretarial assets were then grouped into nine categories, as shown in Table XX. The table shows the number of times each category was mentioned first, second, and third and also shows a weighted score. The agents' responses were weighted as follows and then totaled:

First 3 Second 2 Third 1

TABLE XX

### RANKING OF SECRETARIAL ASSETS TO CONSIDER WHEN HIRING A NEW COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARY AS IDENTIFIED BY COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Secretarial Assets	-		Age	ents' R	esponse	8	
Identified by County Extension Agents		irst = 205)		ond 203)		170)	
	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per	Num- ber	Per cent	Weighted Score
Pleasant personality and disposition	67	32.7	56	27.6	33	19.4	346
Accuracy and neatness in work	49	23.9	48	23.7	25	14.7	268
Well groomed ap- pearance	18	8.8	38	18.7	44	25.9	174
Efficiency	15	7.3	12	5.9	13	7.7	82
Interest in the	14	6.9	14	6.9	18	10.6	88
Loyalty	8	3.9	5	2.5	4	2.4	38
Cooperative attitude	7	3.4	8	3.9	9	5.3	46
Dependability	6	2.9	9	4.4	1	.6	37
Other	21	10.2	13	6.4	23	13.4	112

These weighted scores ranged from 346 to 37. On the basis of both first place votes and weighted scores, agents would consider as assets: pleasant personality and disposition, accuracy and neatness in work, well groomed appearance, efficiency, and interest in the job.

The mategory of "other" included characteristics such as intelligence, experience, references, and knowledge about the Extension Service.

### Emphasis that Secretaries were Currently Giving and Should be Giving Certain Functions and Tasks

A list of thirty-eight secretarial functions and tasks was included in the questionnaire. The Gounty Extension Office Secretaries and the Gounty Extension Agents answered the same questionnaires and were asked to indicate the importance which the secretaries were currently giving to each function and task. Also, they were asked to indicate the emphasis which they felt the secretaries should be giving,

The following categories were available for them to indicate the importance currently being given:

- 5 Very Important a function or task which receives a great deal of attention, is completed accurately with a top priority of time.
- 4 Important a function or task which is seldom neglected, but may be postponed for very important work. Completeness is more important than accuracy.

- 3 Little Importance a function or task which is done, but may be postponed for several days.
- 2 No Importance a function or task which might be done, but only if all other functions are completed,
- 1 Not a Function a function or task which someone besides the secretary is expected to complete.

For the emphasis that should be given to the same functions and tasks, they were asked to indicate the category of more, same, or less.

"Very Important" was the most common category mentioned by the secretaries, with "Important" being the second most common. "Not a function" was the most common category chosen by secretaries for the functions of being responsible for doing the agents' monthly statistical report, and producing teaching visuals for agents. As to importance which should be given, for most of the functions and tasks, the secretaries felt that the same emphasis should be given. Those functions and tasks which most of the secretaries felt should receive more emphasis were: keep supplies neatly arranged, file resource material correctly, keep filing up to date, proofread all typing carefully, be informed on the County Extension programs and policies, and be systematic in organization and work. For none of the functions and tasks did most of the secretaries feel that less emphasis should be given.

County Extension Agents also felt that most of the functions and tasks were currently being given very important or important emphasis by the secretaries. Most of the agents felt that producing teaching visuals for agents should receive little importance. Being responsible for doing the agents monthly statistical report, and reminding the executive board treasurer when money was needed from the County Treasurer was considered as not a secretarial function by the largest group of agents. Proof-reading all typing carefully was the only area which most of the agents felt should receive more emphasis.

Table XXI shows the percentages of the agents and secretaries which expressed each of the five levels of emphasis that were currently being given and each of the three levels of emphasis that should be given to each of the functions and tasks. Areas where either one or both groups had over 40 per cent of their members feeling that more emphasis should be given were:

- a. Take messages so agents can return calls.
- b. Keep supplies neatly arranged.
- c. Make visitors feel velcome.
- d. File resource material correctly.
- e. Keep filing up to date.
- f. Proofread all typing carefully.
- g. Be informed on the County Extension programs and policies.
- h. Be prepared to answer common questions.
- i. Order Extension bulleting as needed.
- j. Be systematic in organization of work.
- k. Help to keep unnecessary gossip to a minimum in office.

## TABLE XXI

# EMPHASIS THAT SECRETARIES WERE CURRENTLY CIVING AND SHOULD BE CIVING VARIOUS FUNCTIONS AND TASKS, BY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES AND AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Categories under emphasis currently being given:

5 - Very Important

4 - Important

3 - Little Importance 2 - No Importance 1 - Not a Function

Agents - (N = 208) Secretaries - (N = 128)

				Perce	ntage	Respon	Percentage Responding to Each Emphasis	Each	Emphas	18		
			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given		93	pluod	Should Be Given	en	1
Functions and Tasks	Position	S	4	6	2	1	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply	1 1
Answer telephone promptly and courteously	Agents Sec.	92.9	4.7	0.1	00	.0	1.6	28.8	67.7	5.0	6.2	
Take messages so agents can return calls	Agents Sec.	91.4	17.7	1.4	00	0 0	1.5	36.5	60.0	00	3.4	
Keep supplies neatly arranged	Agents Sec.	14.4	52.8	23.5	5.3	1,00	3.4	39.9	53.3	€.00	5.5	
Make visitors feel welcome	Agents Sec.	75.0	20.1	3.4	1.4	0 8.	0	37.0	57.6	1.0	6.3	
Supply visitors with service requested	Agents Sec.	72.5	24.5	1.4	1.0	າ, ຜູ	1.6	32.8	65.3	€.00	8.50	
File resource material correctly	Agents Sec.	25.0	46.1	46.1 20.6	9.0	40	2.5	50.7	50.0	0.5	1.9	1

TABLE XXI (continued)

		1		Perce	ntage	Respon	Percentage Responding to Each Emphasia	Esch	Emphae	all a	
		1	Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given	-	02	plnou	Should Be Given	en
Functions and Tasks	Position	50	4	6	2	1	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply
Keep filing up-to-date	Agents Sec.	20.6	39.0	26.9	5.3	1.0	1.9	64.2	39.8	1.4	2.4
Proofread all typing carefully	Agents Sec.	64.9	25.9	6.7	1.0	10.00	1.0	48.5	46.6	0.5	9.4
Make personal telephone calls during office hours	Agente Sec.	4 00	6.2	11.0	37.5	37.0	3.6	80.00	57.6	28.8	7.7
Return folders promptly to files	Agents Sec.	30.7	53.3	13.9	1.4	ທຸໝຸ	2.3	22.5	73.5	0.5	3.4
Take an interest in and show enthusiasm in agents work	Agents Sec.	42.7	47.1	1.6	1.4	1.4	3.9	31.2	66.8	0.0	6.3
Be cooperative with all agents	Agents Sac.	74.0	21.6	2.4	5.0	1.0	3.1	26.9	70.1	00	5.0
Be loyal to all agents	Agents Sec.	78.8	16.3	2.0	1.0	5.0	3.1	30.7	64.4	00	7.0
Be informed on the County Extension programs and policies	Agents Sec.	42.7	34.3	7.8	9.8	00	2.3	58.5	35.1	00	9.0
Be prepared to answer common questions	Agent s	38.9	43.7	2.3	2.9	1.9	1.0	43.7	68.7	1.9	7.8

TABLE XXI (continued)

		-		Perc	entage	Respo	Percentage Responding to Each Emphasis	o Each	1 Emphs	sis	
			Cuz	Currently Being Given	Being	Given		93	Should Be Given	Be Giv	en
Functions and Tasks	Position	w	4	m	01	м	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply
Order Extension bulletins as needed	Agents Sec.	25.9	42.3	24.0	2.4	8.00	3.1	33.6	59.6	3 60	10.9
Take care of office equipment by routine cleaning and maintenance	Agents Sec.	16.3	38.4	31.2	6.7	6.2	3.9	20.3	70.1	3.1	8.8
Be systematic in organization of work	Agents Sec.	46.1	43.7	6.2	1.0	00	3.9	45.6	50.4	00	3.8
Keep regular office hours	Agents Sec.	67.7	29.8	1.4	2.0	00	2.0	15.8	79.8	0 .	7.0
Do art work for newsletters	Agents Sec.	21.6	53.1	21.1	4.5	3.9	3.1	25.9	65.6	3.1	9.6
Help to keep unnecessary gossip to a minimum in office	Agents Sec.	53.3	29.3	3.9	7.0	1.9	3.1	35.5	59.1	7.8	2.9
Be responsible for doing the agents monthly statistical report	Agents Sec.	16.3	27.4	5.5	5.3	31.2	3.1	19.2	58.5	11.0	4.8
Do monthly financial records on time without being reminded	Agents Sec.	75.0	18.7	40	N. 00	8.0	1.0	13.9	81.2	2.8	4.3

TABLE XXI (continued)

				Perce	ntage	Respon	Percentage Responding to Each Emphasis	Each	Emphas	is	
			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given	- 1	Sal I	Should Be Given	Be Giv	en
Functions and Tasks	Position	5	4	6	23		No Rep ly	More	Same	Less	No Rep ly
Remind executive board	Agents	28.3	24.5	11.0	2.4	31.2	2.4	8.2	74.0	8.6	9.1
treasurer when money is needed from County Treasurer	Sec	49.2	12.5		00	28.1	7.0	8.6		in in	16.4
Maintain detailed calendar of activities of each agent	Agents Sec.	43.2	28.1	7.2	2.4	7.2	1.0	28.8	65.8	1.6	4.3
Maintain and use a revolving	Agents Sec.	28.9	39.9	20.1	6.2	7.7	2.9	37.0	52.4	3.1	5.8
Remind agents of commitments	Agents Sec.	34.1	41.8	14.4	1.0	3.9	3.5	32.2	62.9	1.4	3.4
Work extra hours if necessary to get important work completed	Agents Sec.	39.8	36.5	23.0	3.9	9.4	3.9	7.8	74.5	8.6	5.8
Produce teaching visuals for agents	Agents Sec.	4 80	23.5	35.0	3.9	23.0	1.9	27.4	58.6	7.2	6.7
Keep mailing lists up-to-date	Agents Sec.	39.0	38.4	14.9	2.3	1,00	1.0	38.4	57.2	5.6	4.3
Determine priority of work assignments	Agents Sec.	34.1	37.0	13.9	1.9	12.0	1.0	33.6	59.1	4.8	5.8

38

TABLE XXI (continued)

			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given	ently Being Given Should Be	65	Should Be Given	Be Giv	en
Functions and Tasks	Position	in	4		2	-	No Rep ly	More	Same	Less	No Reply
Maintain records of leaders	Agents Sec.	20.6	46.6	23.5	3.4	5.3	3.9	19.2	72.5	3.8	4.3
Maintain records of awards	Agents Sec.	15.8	45.1	27.8	3.9	5.8	. e.	21.6	70.1	3.8	4.3
Maintain office in neat, orderly manner	Agents Sec.	38.9	42.3	14.4	2.8	0 8	3.1	33.5	53.9	0 00	3.8
Open incoming mail and distribute promptly	Agents Sec.	60.0	34.1	3.6	5.0	1.4	8. E.	17.7	77.8	00	4.3
Be informed on penalty mailing rules	Agents Sec.	62.9	27.8	7.7	0.5	1,00	3.1	44.2	51.9	00	3.8
Handle requests for informa- tion in absences of agents	Agents Sec.	43.7	37.0	12.0	1.0	5.3	3.1	26.4	65.8	3.4	8.6
Correct agents grammar in correspondence	Agents Sec.	50.0	37.0	6.7	0.0	4.3	1.0	37.9	55.2	1.9	4.8

- 1. Maintain detailed calendar of activities of each agent.
- m. Keep mailing lists up to date.
- n. Determine priority of work assignments.
- o. Maintain office in neat, orderly manner.
- p. Be informed on penalty mailing rules.
- q. Handle request for information in absences of agents
- r. Correct agents grammar in correspondence.

Secretarial functions and tasks having more than 10 per cent difference between the percentage of agents and the percentage of secretaries who felt that more emphasis should be given to the function or task were:

### Higher per cent of agents:

- a. Answer telephone promptly and courteously.
  - b. Take messages so agents can return calls.
  - c. Be loyal to all agents.
  - d. Order Extension bulletins as needed.
  - e. Remind agents of commitments.
  - f. Produce teaching visuals for agents.

### Higher per cent of secretaries:

- a. Be informed on County Extension programs and policies.
- b. Be prepared to answer common questions.
- c. Handle request for information in absence of agents.

### IV. SECRETARIAL TRAINING AREAS

### Secretaries Ranking of Areas of Secretarial Training

Extension Office Secretaries were asked to rank the top ten areas where training would be the most aid to them in carrying out their duties most efficiently, from a list of twenty-six areas. Table XXII shows the number of times each of the areas was mentioned first, second, third, etc. Each of these were weighted as follows:

First 9
Second 8
Third 7
Fourth 6
Fifth 5
Sixth 4
Seventh 3
Eighth 2
Ninch 1

The number of times mentioned for each rank was multiplied by the above factors. The numbers were then added to determine a weighted score.

Training areas which received the top ten weighted scores by the secretaries were, in rank order:

- 1. Handling request for information in absence of agents.
- 2. Filing.
- 3. Organizing and planning work.
- 4. The job of the secretary.
- 5. English usage.
- 6. Monthly reports.
- 7. Penalty mail.

TABLE XXII

# RANKING OF AREAS WHERE SECRETARIAL TRAINING WOULD BE MOST HELFFUL, BY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Number of Secreteries Responses (N = 128)

						-	,				
Training Areas	First	Second	Third	Pourth	Fifth		Sixth Seventh Eighth	Eigheh	Ninch	Weighted	
			_								1
Handling request for											
THEORIGAN THE SECTION OF THE SECTION	20				•	6			•	-	
sence or agence	17	CT	77	٥	<b>D</b>	n	13	2	m	296	
Filing	15	11	13	1	12	00	m	in.	N)	472	
The job of the											
secretary	14	9	00	1	13	4	40	m	9	389	
Organizing and planning	ng										
work	12	15	6	ın	10	6	4	9	9	437	
English usage	11	00	12	6	4	4	ın	in	00	370	
Financial records	80	10	4	4	00	0	e	0	9	277	
Monthly reports	S	15	7	9	10	4	9	0	en	355	
Personnel policies	10	80	00	'n	ın	30	4	10	1	299	
Shorthand, dictation											
and transcription	ın	c	p=4	4	0	2	2	m	4	116	
Proofreading	'n	0	0	4	2	6	6	6	-	130	
Penalty mail	m	4	11	13	S	7	00	7	4	309	
Cutting stencils	2	1	-	1	1	2	1	1	0	22	
Office equipment and											
arrangement	2	0	-	m	2	1	2	ın	5	102	
Art work	1	4	6	in	9	9	in	4	2	176	
Receptionist duties	H	-	in	-	4	9	2	4	0	131	
Dittating machine											
transcription	pril (	1	4	1	0	0	1	pref	2	57	
											4

TABLE XXII (continued)

# Number of Secretaries' Responses (N = 128)

Training Areas	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	First Second Third Fourth Fifth Sixth Seventh Eighth Minth	Kighth	Minth	Weighted
Letter writing	0	9	4	9	S	00	4	4	2	191
Expense accounts	0	in	6	m	4	80	-4	'n	ın	149
Reading improvement	0	3	-	4	-	2	-		-	74
Telephone techniques	0	=	e	9	0	1	m	10	1	119
Mail handling	0	-	8	2	0	0	5	4	4	19
Operating duplicating										
machines	0	1	0	2		-	2	-	150	42
Use of dictionary and other reference										
material	0	0	~	2	2		2	2	4	61
Typing	0	0	e-d	m	2	0	=	ri	-	41

- 8. Personnel policies.
- 9. Financial records.
- 10. Letter writing.

### Agents! Ranking of Areas of Secretarial Training

County Extension Agents were asked to rank the top ten areas where training would be the most aid to their office secretaries in carrying out their secretarial duties most efficiently. They chose from the same list as the secretaries. Table XXIII shows the number of times each area was mentioned first, second, third, etc., and also the weighted score.

Training areas which received the top ten weighted scores by the agents were in the following rank order:

- 1. The job of the secretary.
- 2. Filing.
- Organization and planning work.
- 4. Handling requests for information in absence of agents.
- 5. Receptionist duties.
- 6. Financial records.
- 7. Telephone techniques.
- 8. Personnel policies.
- 9. Monthly reports.
- 10. Penalty mail.

TABLE XXIII

RANKING OF AREAS BY COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS WHERE SECRETARIAL TRAINING WOULD BE MOST HELPFUL TO A COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARY IN KANSAS, 1967

				Muse	ber of	f Agents (N = 208)	Number of Agents' Responses (N = 208)	80			
Training Areas	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	Seventh	Eighth	Ninch	Weighted	8 8
The job of the											
secretary	45	19	10	10	20	1	4	6	1	846	
Organizing and											
planning work	Ř	19	16	14	1	16	00	10	11	808	
Filing	30	12	17	16	21	12	12	14	16	814	
Handling request for											
intormation in ab-											
sence of agents	15	24	19	17	6	21	11	00	00	748	
Receptionist duties	12	16	13	13	9	11	4	16	10	533	
Financial records	12	11	14	4	12	1	15	00	1	467	
English usage	10	7	4	10	4	m	in	4	m	292	
Proofreading	00	10	9	1	9	*	6	11	m	334	
Shorthand, dictation											
and transcription	9	ıŋ	ın	9	8	m	'n	4	m	213	
Telephone techniques	50	16	12	7	14	1	10	1	6	450	
Typing	ın	1	10	64	1	1	4	4	~	203	
Personnel policies	4	12	11	15	6	11	ın	00	10	429	
Penalty mail	2	9	9	13	18	00	13	11	4	373	
Dictating machine											
transcription	2	en	m	m	-	4	0	1	m	111	
Grooming	2	2	2	-	en	9	i)	1	9	116	
Operating duplicating											
machines	2	0	2	4	ın	9	4	en	50	128	
Monthly reports	-	1	13	10	13	10	10	14	13	392	
Letter writing	1	1	6	1	11	10	11	9	4		44

TABLE XXIII (continued)

	-				(N = 208)	(N = 208)	8)			
Training Areas	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Pifth	Stath	First Second Third Fourth Fifth Sixth Seventh Eighth Minth	Eighth	Mach	Weighted
Office equipment and										
arrangement	-	9	6	9	2	4	00	6	14	180
Supply procedures	-	2	5	9	e	11	16	13	20	576
Mail handling	-	0	-	01	4	2	7	00	an'	98
Art work	0	ın	9	12	9	10	10	00	10	280
Expense accounts	0	e	2	4	1	~	4	in.	00	135
Cutting stencils	0	1	00	00	9	10	00	in.	en	219
Reading improvement	0	1	-	0	-	1	0	0	er!	27
Use of dictionary and other reference									,	i
material	0	0	0	0	m	m	7	1	00	58

Comparison of Secretaries to Agents, Desires for Secretarial Training for Secretaries

Table XXIV shows the ranking of the weighted scores for the training areas by both the secretaries and agents. The top areas (the job of the secretary, filing, organizing and planning work, and handling request for information in absence of agents) were the same for both positions, although in different order. Following these, there were some differences. Secretaries placed receptionist duties, telephone techniques, and proofreading much lower than did the agents, and English usage much higher.

### Effect of Secretaries' Years of Tenure on Desires for Training

The secretaries were divided into three groups on the basis of years of tenure in the present County Extension office. These groups were: less than one year, one to five years, and six or more years. A comparison was then made of the training areas which the different groups felt were important. The results of this comparison were weighted as follows:

First Second 8 7 Third Fourth 6 Fifth 5 Sixth 4 Seventh 3 Eighth 2 Nenth 1

TABLE XXIV

### COMPARISONS OF WEIGHTED SCORES OF VARIOUS TRAINING AREAS FROM RESPONSES BY BOTH COUNTY EXTENSION ACENTS AND COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Training Areas	Position				
	Agents (N = 208)		Secretaries (N = 128)		
	Weighted		Weighted		
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
The job of the secretary	846	1	389	4	
Filing	814	2	472	2	
Organizing and planning work	808	3	437	2	
Handling request for information				-	
in absence of agents	748	4	596	1	
Receptionist duties	533	5	131	14	
Financial records	467	5	277	9	
Telephone techniques	450	7	119	16	
Personnel policies	429	8	299	8	
Monthly reports	392	9	355	6	
Penalty mail	373	10	309	7	
Proofreading	334	11	130	15	
Letter writing	314	12	191	10	
English usage	292	13	370	5	
Art work	280	14	176	11	
Supply procedures	249	15	158	12	
Cutting stencils	219	16	57	22.	
Shorthand, dictation and					
transcription	213	17	116	17	
Typing	203	18	41	25	
Office equipment and arrangement	180	19	102	18	
Expense accounts	135	20	149	13	
Operating duplicating machines	128	21	42	24	
Grooming	116	22	36	26	
Dictating machine transcription	111	23	57	22.5	
Mail handling	98	24	61	20.5	
Use of dictionary and other					
reference material	58	25	61	20.5	
Reading improvement	27	26	74	19	

In each area, the number of each ranking was multiplied by the above scores and these were totaled to obtain a weighted score for each training area. These weighted scores and their rank are shown for each of the three tenure grops in Table XXV.

Secretaries with lass than one year of tenura, on the basis of weighted scores, preferred the following ten areas of training: handling requests for information in absence of agents, filing, financial records, monthly reports, penalty mail, organizing and planning work, the job of the secretary, personnel policies, supply procedures, and expense accounts.

Secretaries with one to five years of tenure in the present office had different desires for training areas. Their top ten areas included English usage, art work, and receptionist duties, in addition to areas listed by secretaries with less than one year tenure. The one to five year secretaries did not include the areas of financial records, supply procedures, and expense accounts.

The secretaries with six or more years of experienca desired very nearly the same areas of training as the one to five years secretaries. The more experienced secretaries did include letter writing, and deleted raceptionist duties.

In general, the secretaries with the most tenure, preferred more training in areas other than financial records, supply procedures, shorthand, and typing. They may already have learned these areas by experience. The longer term secretaries ranked areas such as English usage, art work and office equipment and arrangement, higher. These are probably tasks

TABLE XXV

### WEIGHTED SCORES OF VARIOUS TRAINING AREAS BY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES COMPARED WITH YEARS OF TRNURE IN PRESENT COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE IN KANSAS, 1967

213	Less the		1 -		Present C		
	(N = 3		(N =	-	(N = 42)		
	Weighted			Weighted		Weighted	
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Handling requests for							
information in ab-							
sence of agents	171	1	265	1	160	2	
Filing	147	2	181	4	144	4	
Financial records	118	3	84	11	75	11	
Monthly reports	113	4	119	7	135	5	
Penalty mail	84	5	117	8	108	7	
Organizing and planning	2	-				-	
work	76	6	209	2	152	3	
The job of the secretar		7	198	3	134	6	
Personnel policies	56	8	152	6	91	8	
Supply procedures	53	9	49	16	56	12	
Expense accounts	51	10	52	14	46	15	
English usage	48	11	160	5	162	1	
Proofreading	41	12	37	18	52	13.	
Letter writing	39	13	74	13	83	9	
Shorthand, dictation an					03		
transcription	31	14	78	12	20	21	
Typing	28	15	7	26	6	25	
Receptionist duties	25	16.5	91	9.5	21	20	
Telephone techniques	25	16.5	50	15	44	16	
Cutting stencils	22	18	27	22	8	24	
Art work	20	19	91	9.5	67	10	
Office equipment and	20	13	31	2.3	07	10	
arrangement	15	20	36	19.5	52	13.	
Mail handling	14	21	25	23.5	22	19	
Operating duplicating	Tob	21	23	23.3	22	13	
machines	9	22	19	25	14	23	
Dictating machine	,	44	19	23	24	23	
transcription	7	23.5	25	23.5	26	18	
Use of dictionary and other reference	,	23.5	25	23.5	20	18	
material	7	23.5	35	21	19	22	
Reading improvement	1	25	39	17	38	17	
Grooming	0	26	36	19.5	0	26	

which they can do, but in which they would like to be more proficient.

Training areas which ranked high and were ranked about equally by all three tenure groups were: handling requests for information in absence of agents, filing, monthly reports, penalty mail, organizing and planning work, the job of the secretary, and personnel policies.

### "Other" Suggestions for Secretarial Training

Both the secretaries and agents were asked to list other areas where secretarial training would be of aid. These areas were then placed in nine categories as shown in Tables XXVI and XXVII. For the secretaries, about 30 per cent of these suggestions included office management and about 23 per cent were Extension organization and programs.

Several of the secretaries asked for training on 4-H information and public relations.

About 27 per cent of the County Extension Agents' group suggested training in the area of public relations. About 22 per cent suggested Extension organization and programs, and also office management. Several agents mentioned 4-H information and intra-office communications.

TABLE XXVI

### SUGGESTIONS FOR SECRETARIAL TRAINING PROGRAM AREAS OTHER THAN THOSE LISTED IN TABLE XXI, BY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES IN KANSAS, 1967

Training Areas	Secretaries Responses (N = 26)		
	Number	Per cent	
Office management	8	30.8	
Extension organization and programs	6	23.1	
4-H information	4	15.4	
Public relations	3	11.5	
Subject matter	2	7.7	
Office courtesy	1	3.8	
Other	2	7.7	

TABLE XXVII

### SUGGESTIONS FOR SECRETARIAL TRAINING PROGRAM AREAS OTHER THAN THOSE LISTED IN TABLE XXII, BY COUNTY EXTENSION AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Training Areas	Agents Responses (N = 41)		
	Number	Per cent	
Public relations	11	26.8	
Extension organization and programs	9	21.9	
Office management	9	21.9	
4-H information	4	9.8	
Intra-office communication	4	9.8	
Distribution and maintenance of publications	2	4.9	
Other	2	4.9	

### CHAPTER V

### SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### I. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This was a descriptive study of County Extension Office Secretaries and County Extension Agents in Kansas. Since the aim of the Cooperative Extension Service is to diffuse information, it is important that office visitors are greeted in a friendly, efficient manner.

The purpose of the study was to determine the characteristics of the secretaries, what kind of job they were doing in comparison to what they should be doing, and the training areas which the secretaries and the agents thought would be most helpful to the secretaries in carrying out their duties most efficiently.

The objectives of this study were:

- To determine the secretarial situation as to years employed in present Extension Office, age, marital status, education, and desire for more training.
- To determine the importance currently being given to various secretarial functions and tasks as rated by the secretaries.
- To determine the importance currently being given to various secretarial functions and tasks as rated by the Extension Agents.

- To determine the differences between secretaries and agents regarding the importance which should be given to various secretarial functions and tesks.
- To determine the most important assets of office secretaries, so agents would have an increased knowledge of characteristics to consider when hiring new office secretaries.
- 6. To determine the most difficult problem areas connected with the office operation and the secretary's performance as axpressed by the agents.
- To determine the most difficult problems the secretaries ancountered in connection with the operation of the office or the performance of their duties.
- To detarmine the areas where training would be most helpful to the secretaries, as expressed by the secretaries.
- To detarmine the areas where training would be most helpful to the secretaries, as axpressed by the agents.

A questionnaire was sent by mail on March 28, 1967, to all of the County Extension Agents and County Extension Office Sacretaries in Kansas. Of the 141 questionnaires mailed to the secretaries, 128 (91 par cant) were returned. From the 241 Extension Agent positions as of that date, questionnaires were raceived from 208 (86 per cent). This was an 88 per cent overall return.

Product-moment correlation and chi-square were used for analysis of the data. Significance for chi-square was established at the .05 level.

When there was significance at the .01 and .001 levels, it was reported.

Factors found to be statistically significant wera: secretaries' desire for more training, decreased desire for training as years of secretarial tenure increased, secretaries' desire for training at district meetings, decrease of secretaries' desire for training from County Extension Agents as secretaries' years of tenure increased, the number of counties holding weekly office conferences, the number of secretaries attending weekly office conferences, and relation of secretaries' marital status to tenure.

The following characteristics were not found to be statistically significant: secretaries' years of tenure by secretaries' preference of location for training, and secretaries' desire for mora training from their Extansion Agents.

The following conclusions were made following the analysis of the data:

- 1. A majority of the secretaries were under forty years of age.
- 2. A majority of the sacretaries had only a high school education.
- 3. Most of the secretaries were marriad.
- A majority of the secretaries had been employed in the present County Extension office less than five years.
- Many of the secretaries had previous secretarial experience before being employed in the present County Extension office.
- A large majority of the secretaries requested more secretarial training.

- As years of present job tenure increased for the secretaries, the desire for training decreased.
- A majority of the secretaries preferred training at district meetings instead of at Kansas State University.
- 9. Years of secretary's tenure in present job had no statistically significant relationship to secretaries' preference of location for training. Those with the longer tenure did have the highest percentage of "no preference."
- Although not statistically significant, a majority of the secretaries preferred no training from their Extension Agents.
- Those secretaries with the longest tenure requested the least training from their Extension Agents.
- 12. Both the secretaries and the agents considered secretarial work skills, organizing and planning work, too much work, and secretary-agent dissatisfactions as the four areas of most difficult problems that the secretaries encountered.
- Secretaries considered answering subject matter questions a much greater difficulty than did the agents.
- 14. The percentage of the secretaries who were unmarried increased as the secretary's years of employment in the present office increased.

- 15. County Extension Agents considered pleasant personality and disposition, accuracy and neatness of work, well groomed appearance, efficiency, and interest in the job as the assets they would consider when hiring a new secretary.
- 16. County Extension Office Secretaries did not consider being responsible for doing the agents' monthly statistical reports, and producing teaching visuals for agents a secretarial function.
- 17. Secretaries felt they should give more emphasis to keeping supplies neatly arranged, filing resource material correctly, keeping filing up to date, proofreading all typing carefully, being informed on the County Extension programs and policies, and being systematic in organization of work.
- 18. Extension Agents felt that secretaries should continue to give the same emphasis to all functions and tasks, except giving more emphasis to proofreading all typing carefully.
- 19. Areas where more than 40 per cent of either the secretaries or agents felt that more emphasis should be given were:
  - a. Take messages so agents can return calls.
  - b. Keep supplies neatly arranged.
  - c. Make visitors feel welcome.
  - d. File resource material correctly.
  - e. Keep filing up-to-date.
  - f. Proofread all typing carefully.

- Be informed on the County Extension programs and policies.
- h. Be prepared to answer common questions.
- i. Order Extension bulletins as needed.
- j. Be systematic in organization of work.
- k. Help to keep unnecessary gossip to a minimum in office.
- 1. Maintain detailed calendar of activities of each agent.
- m. Keep mailing lists up-to-date.
- n. Determine priority of work assignments.
- o. Maintain office in nest, orderly manner.
- p. Be informed on penalty mailing rules.
- q. Handle request for information in absences of agents.
- r. Correct agents grammar in correspondence.
- 20. Areas where more agents than secretaries thought that more emphasis should be given were:
  - a. Answer telephone promptly and courteously.
  - b. Take messages so agents can return calls.
  - c. Be loyal to all agents.
  - d. Order Extension bulletins as needed.
  - e. Remind agents of commitments.
  - f. Produce teaching visuals for agents.
- 21. Areas where more secretaries than agents thought that more emphasis should be given were:

- a. Be informed on County Extension programs and policies.
- b. Be prepared to answer common questions.
- c. Handle requests for information in absence of agents.
- 22. Areas where County Extension Office Secretaries preferred training were:
  - a. Handling requests for information in absence of agents.
  - b. Filing.
  - c. Organizing and planning work.
  - d. The job of the secretary.
  - e. English usage.
  - f. Monthly reports.
  - g. Penalty mail.
  - h. Personnel policies.
  - i. Financial records.
  - 1. Letter writing.
- 23. Areas where County Extension Agents preferred training for their secretaries were:
  - a. The job of the secretary.
  - b. Filing.
  - c. Organization and planning of work.
  - d. Handling requests for information in absence of agents.
  - e. Receptionist duties.
  - f. Financial records.
  - g. Telephone techniques.

- h. Personnel policies.
- i. Monthly reports.
- j. Penalty mail.
- 24. Secretaries with less than one year of experience preferred training in:
  - a. Handling requests for information in absence of agents.
  - b. Filing.
  - c. Financial records.
  - d. Monthly reports.
  - e. Penalty mail.
  - f. Organizing and planning work.
  - . The job of the secretary.
  - h. Personnel policies.
  - i. Supply procedures.
  - j. Expense accounts.
- 25. Secretaries with one to five years of experience included a desire for training in English usage, art work and receptionist duties in addition to areas listed in item 24. These secretaries did not include financial records, supply procedures and expense accounts.
- 26. Secretaries with six or more years of experience preferred the same training as the one to five year group except that they included letter writing and deleted receptionist duties.

### II. RECOMMENDATIONS

The data for this study were obtained from County Extension Office Secretaries and County Extension Agents in Kansas in 1967.

The Federal Extension Service has obtained similar data in other states. The results of this study should be of particular interest to Kansas, but may be of interest to other states. It is recommended that:

- A similar study be conducted in other states to determine reliability.
- A study be conducted to determine the relationship of type and amount of secretarial training received to future secretary tenure.
- A training program for County Extension Office Secretaries in Kansas, with different sections for first year secretaries and those with over one year of experience, be established at the district level.



### SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Doris, Lillian, and Besse May Miller. <u>Complete Secretary's Handbook</u>. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1955.
- Ferguson, C. M. "It's Your Business," Extension Service Review, April, 1967.
- Kelsey, Lincoln David, and Connon Chiles Hearne. Cooperative Extension Work. Ithaca, New York: Comstock Publishing Associates, 1963.
- <u>Middle Management in the Cooperative Extension Service</u>. Report of the North Central State Workshop in Supervision, National Agricultural Extension Center for Advance Study, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, 1957.
- Place, Irene, and Madeline S. Strong. The Road to Secretarial Success.

  New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1954.
- Secretary's Desk Book. West New York, New York: Parker Publishing Company, 1965.
- Taintor, Sarah A., and Kate M. Monro. The Secretary's Handbook.

  New York: The Macmillan Company, 1958.
- The Successful Secretary. West New York, New York: Parker Publishing Company, 1964.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

COVERLETTERS

Cooperative

#### EXTENSION SERVICE

of Kansas State University

Division of Extension County Extension Operation Umberger Hall MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66502 Phone: 913 JE 9-2211, Ext. 217 "Taking the UNIVERSITY to the PEOP

Dear Co-worker.

I need your assistance.

The Office of the Director of Extension has asked me to do my research for my M.S. degree in the field of training needs of the County Extension Office secretaries. This research will be used in developing the state wide training programs for county and state Extension office secretaries.

The enclosed questionnaire is an important part of my thesis research at Kansas State University. Your answers will also be of assistance to the Kansas Cooperative Extension Service program. I hope you in turn will benefit from the information collected as you will receive a copy of the final summarized results.

Your answers to the questionnaire will help in determining the training needs for the County Extension office secretaries in Kansas. So, please be frank in your answers concerning importance currently being given certain functions and also what emphasis you think should be given to these same functions.

Do not sign the questionnaire, but be sure to put the County name on it as we want every County represented in this study. Individual questionnaires will not be reported as such, but only total group summaries will be used.

In order for this study to have value, the opinions of every agent and every secretary are needed. Your cooperation is important and is earnestly requested. Won't you please fill out and mail this questionnaire at your earliest convenience?

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely.

Thomas E. Appleby

Thomas E. appleby County Agricultural Agent Cooperative

#### **EXTENSION SERVICE**

of Kansas State University

Division of Extension County Extension Operations Umberger Hall MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66502 Phone: 913 JE 9-2211, Ext. 217 "Taking the UNIVERSITY to the PEOP

67 S

Dear Secretary.

I need your assistance.

The Office of the Director of Extension has asked me to do my research for my M.S. degree in the field of training needs of the County Extension Office secretaries. This research will be used in developing the state wide training programs for county and state Extension office secretaries.

The enclosed questionnaire is an important part of my thesis research at Kansas State University. Your answers will also be of assistance to the Kansas Cooperative Extension Service program. I hope you in turn will benefit from the information collected as you will receive a copy of the final summarized results.

Your answers to the questionnaire will help in determining the training needs for the County Extension office secretaries in Kansas. So, please be frank in your answers concerning importance currently being given certain functions and also what emphasis you think should be given to these same functions.

Do not sign the questionnaire, but be sure to put the County name on it as we want every County represented in this study. Individual questionnaires will not be reported as such, but only total group summaries will be used.

In order for this study to have value, the opinions of every agent and every secretary are needed. Your cooperation is important and is earnestly requested. Won't you please fill out and mail this questionnaire at your earliest convenience?

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Applely

Thomas E. Appleby County Agricultural Agent

### APPENDIX B

SECRETARIES DATA-COLLECTION INSTRUMENT

ló or more
0-49 ; 50 Up
8) Business College
ersonnel from the State
(8.3) District Meeting (8.4) Meeting at K.S.U.
r county Extension agents
) Yes (10.2) No
(11.2) No
mance of your duties.
most helpful to you here training would ciently:
15.3 Organizing &
Planning Work
Improvement
15.5 Receptionist
Duties
15.6 Shorthand
15.6 Shorthand Dictation &
Transcription
15.7 Supply
Procedures
Procedures 15.8 Telephone Techniques

SECRETARY. County

#### AGENTS' DATA-COLLECTION INSTRUMENT

APPENDIX C

	AGENT. County	
	Years you have worked with present head secretary: (3.1) Less than 1 (3.2) 1-5 (3.3) 6-10 (3.4) 11-15 (3.5) 16 or more  4.4. Years employed by Extension: (4.1) Less than 1 (4.2) 1-5 (4.3) 6-10 (4.3) 6-10 (4.4) 111-15 (4.5) 16 or more	
	POSITION: (5.1) County Extension Director (5.2) County Agricultural Agent (5.3) County Home Economics Agent (5.4) County Club Agent (5.5) Other (Give Title)	
2.	List in order of importance the three most difficult problems you encounter in your office, particularly in connection with the secretary's performance of her duties:  A. B. C.	
3,	14, & 15. Circle the ten areas where training would be most helpful to an Extensic office secretary and rank the topics 1-10, starting with a "l" for the area where training would be the most aid to her in carrying out her duties most efficiently:	n
	13.1 Cutting Stencils	
ó.	List any other subjects in which you feel training would be helpful.	
7.	& 18. List in order of importance the three major secretarial traits you would consider when hiring a new secretary.	
	17. As Assets 18. As Liabilities	
	( <sup>7</sup> )	_
	(2)	
	(3)	

SECRETARIES AND AGENTS DATA-COLLECTION INSTRUMENT

APPENDIX D

- Very Important a function or task which receives a great deal of attention, is completed accurately with a top priority of time.
- Important a function or task which is seldom neglected, but may be postponed for very important work. Completeness is more important than accuracy.
- Little Importance a function or task which is done, but may be postponed for several days.
- No Importance a function or task which might be done, but only if all other functions are completed.
- Not a Function a function or task which someone besides the secretary is expected to complete.

FUNCTIONS OR TASK OF EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES		curr bein	rtanc ently g giv	en ////	Empha that be gi	should ven
Answer telephone promptly and courteously.	19			20		
Take messages so agents can return						
calls.	21			22		
Keep supplies neatly arranged.	23			24		
Make visitors feel welcome.	25			26		
Supply visitors with service they request.	27			28		
File resource material correctly.	29	111	П	30		
Keep filing up-to-date.	31	$\Pi$	$\sqcap$	32		
Proofread all typing carefully.	33			34		
Make personal telephone calls	35	$\Box$		36		
during office hours.			-	·		

FUNCTIONS OR TASK OF EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES		Importance currently being given	Emphasis that should be given
Return folders to files promptly.	37	38	
Take an interest in and show enthusiasm in agents' work.	39	40	
Be cooperative with all agents.	41	42	
Be loyal to all agents.	43	44	
Be informed on the county Extension programs and policies.	45	46	
Be prepared to answer common questions.	47	48	
Order Extension bulletins as needed.	49	50	
Take care of office equipment by routine cleaning and maintence.	51	52	
Be systematic in organization of work	53	54	
Keep regular office hours.	55	56	
Do art work for newsletters.	57	58	
Help to keep unnecessary gossip to a minimum in office.	59	60	
Be responsible for doing the agents monthly statistical reports.	61	62	
Do monthly financial records on time without being reminded.	63	64	
Remind executive board treasure when money is needed from county treasure.	65	66	
Maintain detailed calendar of activities of each agent.	67	68	

FUNCTIONS OR TASK OF EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES		C	ur	re ng	tant of	ly iv		b	ha e	giv	should ren
		5			2		Ĺ	3	2	1	(
Maintain and use a revolving file.	69						70				
Remind agents of commitments.	71		Г		Г		72				
Work extra hours if necessary to get important work completed.	73	Ī					74				
Produce teaching visuals for agents.	75	T	T				76				
Keep mailing lists up to date.	77			Γ			78				
Determine priority of work assignments.	79	T		r			80				
Maintain records of leaders.	81	T					82		П		
Maintain records of awards.	83	T			Г		84				
Maintain office in neat, orderly manner.	85				-		86				
Open incoming mail and distribute promptly.	87						88				
Be informed on penalty mailing rules.	89						90		1		
Handle request for information in absences of agents.	91						92				
Correct agents grammar in correspondence.	93						94			1	
		_	_		_	_				<u> </u>	

#### APPENDIX E

TABLE SHOWING EMPHASIS SECRETARIES WERE GIVING AND SHOULD BE GIVEN VARIOUS FUNCTIONS AND TASKS

## TABLE XXVIII

# EMPHASIS THAT SECRETARIES WERE CURRENTLY GIVING AND SHOULD BE GIVING VARIOUS FUNCTIONS AND TASKS, BY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE SECRETARIES AND AGENTS IN KANSAS, 1967

Categories under emphasis currently being given:

5 - Very Important

- Important

3 - Little Importance 2 - No Importance 1 - Not a Function

Number Responding to Each Emphasis

Secretaries - (N = 128) Agents - (N = 208)

			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given		on l	Should Be Given	Be Giv	en	
Functions and Tasks	Position	10	4	m	2		No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply	
Answer telephone promptly and	Agents	178	28	61	0	0	0	09	141	-	9	
courteously	Sec.	119	00	0	0	-	2	27	95	0	9	
Take messages so agents can	Agents	168	37	m	0	0	0	16	125	0	7	
return calls	Sec.	117	00	0	0	1	7	27	95	0	9	
Keep supplies neatly	Agents	30	110	64	11	-	1	83	111	H	13	
erranged	Sec.	16	09	39	6	-	m	9	09	pel	7	
Make visitors feel welcome	Agents	156	42	7	69	0	0	77	120	2	6	
	Sec.	109	91	0	0	1	2	39	81	0	00	
Supply visitors with zervice	Agents	151	51	m	8	rel	0	61	136	H	10	
requested	Sec.	110	15	0	0	7	2	42	78	1	7	

TABLE XXVIII (continued)

				Num	ber Res	poudi	Number Responding to Each Emphasis	sch Em	phasis			
			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given		S	pluod	Should Be Given	en	
Functions and Tasks	Position	เก	4	6	61	1	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply	
File resource material	Acents	52	96	43	7	6	1	66	104	~	4	
correctly	Sec.	44	52	23	N.	-	m	65	55	0	00	
Keep filing up-to-date	Agents	43	92	56	11	çı.	4	92	108	m	10	
	Sec.	33	20	35	9	1	m	67	21	0	10	
Proofresd all typing	Agents	135	z,	14	2	end	64	101	26	-1	6	
carefully	Sec.	83	35	-	-	el	1	61	25	0	12	
Make personal telephone calls	Agents	10	13	23	78	11	7	12	120	09	16	
during office hours	Sec.	-	0	4	99	25	S)	S	99	38	19	
Return folders promptly to	Agents	49	111	29	m	-	0	47	153	-	7	
files	Sec.	52	65	7	0	-	M	38	81	0	0	
Take an interest in and show	Agents	89	96	14	m	m	m	59	139	H	0	
enthusiasm in agents work	Sec.	78	42	8	-	0	'n	40	80	0	00	
Be cooperative with all	Agents	154	45	SO.	=	8	1	26	146	0	9	
agents	Sec.	105	13	0	0	0	4	31	8	0	7	
Be loyal to all agents	Agents	164	35	9	~	H	1	64	134	0	10	
	Sec.	108	14	ef	7	0	4	26	93	0	6	
Be informed on the County	Agents	88	93	20	4	0	2	95	106	0	7	
Extension programs and policies	Sec	20	44	10	-	0	m	75	45	0	00	
												78

TABLE XXVIII (continued)

				Mum	ber Re	poods	Number Responding to Each Emphasis	ach Em	phasis	_	
			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Giver	-	00	Should be Given	Be Giv	ne
Functions and Tasks	Position	ın	4	m	2	н	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply
Be prepared to answer	Agents	81	16	77	9	4	6	52	143	4	0
common questions	Sec.	19	43	m	0	0	m	26	61	pH	10
Order Extension bulletins	Agents	N.	88	20	10	11	0	70	124	10	6
as needed	Sec.	27	65	27	0	S	4	24	85	in	14
Take care of office equipment	Agents	3%	80	65	14	13	8	45	146	9	11
by routine cleaning and maintenance	Sec	14	94	31	12	20	ın	56	87	4	11
Be systematic in organisa-	Agents	96	16	17	6	0	2	95	105	0	00
tion of work	Sac.	62	52	00	H	0	'n	72	47	0	0
Keep regular office hours	Agents	141	62	m	H	0	1	33	166	0	0
	sec.	105	13	1	0	0	m	19	66	p-i	0
Do art work for newsletters	Agents	45	103	44	10	4	8	×	141	9	7
	Sec	32	68	17	2	50	4	28	84	4	12
Help to keep unnecessary	Agents	111	61	21	10	4	1	74	123	10	9
gossip to a minimum in office	Sec.	69	35	10	0	9	4	36	73	10	0
Se responsible for doing	Agents	34	57	41	11	65	0	40	135	23	10
the agents' monthly statistical report	Sec	in m	30	7	9	949	4	19	75	14	20

TABLE XXVIII (continued)

				Numi	er Re	poods	Number Responding to Each Emphasis	ach Em	phasis		
			Cur	Currently Being Given	Being	Given		93	ponid	Should Be Given	ne
Functions and Tasks	Position	ະກ	4	en	2	1	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply
Do monthly financial records	Agents	156	39	6	-4	~	2	29	169	H	6
on time without being re- minded	Sec	26	12	-1	-	11	9	14	93	m	18
Remind executive board trees- urer when money is needed from County Treesurer	Agents Sec.	63	51	33	in H	36	in on	11	154	18	23
Maintain and use a revolving file	Agents Sec.	48	83	42	13	16	10	39	109	10	12
Maintain detailed calendar of activities of each agent	Agents Sec.	73	36	15	N 0	15	8 6	60	137	00	13
Remind agents of commitments	Agents Sec.	71 58	84	30	20	16	42	67	131	00	7 77
Work extra hours if necessary to get important work completed	Agents Sec.	51	76	48	420	12	es su	23	155	18	12
Produce teaching visuals for agents	Agents Sec.	10	49	73	24	48	< ∞	57	122	15	14
Keep mailing lists up-to-date	Agents Sec.	50	80	31	9 69		0 9	80	119	90	15
Determine priority of work assignments	Agents Sec.	71 58	77 545	29	40	25	80	24	123	m H	12

TABLE XXVIII (continued)

				Num	ber Re	sponds	Number Responding to Each Emphasis	ach Em	phasia		
			Cur	rently	Currently Being Given	Giver		60	hould	Should Be Given	en
Functions and Tasks	Position	50	4	6	2	1	No Reply	More	Same	Less	No Reply
Maintain records of leaders	Agents	43	26	649	1	11	1	40	151	80	6
	Sec.	32	47	23	7	19	S	25	80	m	20
Maintain records of swards	Agents	33	8	58	10	12	1	45	146	00	0
	Sec.	31	44	56	in.	17	ın	27	17	4	20
Maintain office in neat,	Agents	81	88	30	00	0	1	*	116	0	00
orderly manner	Sec.	35	99	19	m	1	4	43	69	-	15
Open incoming mail and	Agents	125	7.1	7	-	m	1	37	162	0	6
distribute promptly	Sec.	8	27	-	0	9	4	15	66	0	14
Be informed on penalty	Agents	131	58	16	-	-	=	92	108	0	80
mailing rules	Sec.	87	32	4	0	1	4	26	29	0	13
Handle requests for infor-	Agents	16	77	25	8	11	8	55	137	7	6
mation in absences of agents	Sec.	83	38	0	e	0	4	25	29	0	11
Correct agents grammar in	Agents	104	7.1	14	8	6	2	79	115	4	10
correspondence	Sec.	80	34	7	0	9	9	39	72	1	16

#### AN AMALYSIS OF TRAINING NEEDS OF OFFICE SECRETARIES IN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION COUNCIL OFFICES IN MANSAS

bv

#### THOMAS EUGENE APPLEBY

B. S., Kansas State University, 1959

AN ABSTRACT OF A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

College of Education

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1968

The purpose of this study was to determine the characteristics of the secretaries, what kind of job they were doing in comparison to what they should be doing, and the training areas which the secretaries and agents thought would be most helpful to secretaries in carrying out their duties most efficiently in Kansas County Extension offices.

A questionnaire was sent by mail in March, 1967, to all County Extension Agents and Office Secretaries in Kansas. A return of 336 out of the 382 (88 per cent) was used for the study. The agents returned 86 per cent of the questionnaires and 91 per cent of the secretaries replied.

Chi-square and product-moment correlation were used for analysis of the data. Significance for chi-square was established at the .05 level. When there was significance at the .01 and the .001 levels, they were reported.

Results showed 72 per cent of the secretaries were married,
56 per cent had only a high school education, 40 per cent were under
forty years of age, and 23 per cent had been employed less than one
year and 66 per cent of them had been employed less than six years in
the present County Extension office. A large majority (86 per cent)
of the secretaries requested more secretarial training, and 69 per cent
preferred this training at district meetings.

As secretaries tenure in the present County Extension office increased, they had less desire for training, and less desire for training from their Extension Agents. Both, the secretaries and agents

considered secretarial work skills, organizing and planning work, too much work, and agent-secretary dissatisfactions as the four areas of most difficult problems that the secretaries encountered. Answering subject matter questions was considered a much greater difficulty by the secretaries than did the agents.

Following were the areas where secretaries or agents thought more emphasis should be given, and the areas that secretarial training would be helpful to office secretaries: handling requests for information in absence of agents, filing, organizing and planning work, the job of the secretary, English usage, monthly reports, penalty mail, personnel policies, financial records, letter writing, receptionist duties, telephone techniques, taking messages so agents could return calls, supply procedures, proofreading all typing, County Extension programs and policies, answering common questions, keeping gossip to a minimum, maintaining calendar of agents activities, maintaining mailing liets, maintaining office in neat orderly manner, correcting agents grammar, being loyal to all agents, and producing teaching visuals for agents.

Secretaries with more than one year of tenure in present Extension office preferred most of the same areas of training, but did include art work. They deleted financial records, supply procedures, expense accounts, and receptionist duties from the list of areas they would desire training.