## KAIYAN LOU

B.A., Zhejiang University, China, 1996
M.S., East China University of Science and Technology, China, 2001

## AN ABSTRACT OF A DISSERTATION

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Chemistry
College of Arts and Sciences

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
Manhattan, Kansas


#### Abstract

The description of this thesis is divided into three chapters following the chronological events of my research development.

In chapter one, a series of new 1,4-anthracenediones were synthesized via functionalizations of the methyl side chain of 6 -methyl-1,4-anthracenedione. The new 1,4-anthracenediones were found to exhibit potent cytotoxic activities against human L1210 leukemic and HL-60 cell lines. A key intermediate, 6-bromomethyl-1,4anthracenedione (1.44), was first synthesized through a sequence of reactions including a double Friedel-Crafts reaction, reductive quinone formation, and selective benzylic bromination. The bromide (1.44) was further converted to other 1,4-anthracenediones via hydrolysis, subsequent oxidation, and reductive amination or nucleophilic substitution.

Chapter two deals with a continuous research project aiming at macropolycyclic cyclodecitycene or [10]beltene derivative using Diels-Alder reaction as the key strategy for cyclization. A tetraene, (4aR,5R,7S,7aS,11aR,12R,14S,14aR)-5,7,12,14-tetrahydroxy-2,3,9,10-tetramethylene-1,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-tetradecahydro-6,13-obenzenopentacene (2.51), was synthesized by following previous work from this laboratory. Unfortunately, the Diels-Alder reaction of tetraene 2.51 with triptycene bisquinone showed predominantly polymerization over intramolecular cyclization. The use of double activated quinone such as 1,4,5,8-naphthodiquinone (2.64) and 1,2,4,5-tetraethoxycarbonyl-1,4-benzoquinone (2.70) as dienophiles gave monoadducts 2.67 and 2.71 respectively. However, they both failed to cyclize under high dilution conditions at elevated temperature, which may be rationalized by chair conformations adopted in sixmembered rings causing unfavorable twist for intramolecular cylization. Further study showed tetraene 2.51 underwent an unexpected furan ring forming reaction.

In chapter three, an unprecedented substituted cyclododeciptycene, 2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24-dodecahydro-9,11,21,23-tetramethoxy-(2,14:4,16:6,18:8, 20:10,22:12,24)-hexa(o-benzeno)-[12]cyclacene-1,3,5,7,13,14,17,19-octaone was successfully synthesized based on a successful intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction,


which was developed from the above [10]beltene project and previously reported literature work. A series of all cis-iptycenequinones were synthesized as bisdienophile building blocks from a sequence of Diels-Alder reactions, separation of individual DielsAlder adducts, enolization, and oxidative demethoxylation. It was found that each DielsAlder adduct isomer shows distinguish ${ }^{1}$ HNMR signals inherent to its structure. The characteristic ${ }^{1}$ HNMR signals allow the identification of the structures of iptycenequinones derived from the above reactions. A bisdimethoxyanthracene, 6,8,15,17-tetramethoxy-7,16-dihydro-7,16-(o-benzeno)heptacene (3.56), was synthesized as bisdiene building block, which reacted with cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23). The cycloadduct was transformed to cyclododeciptycene 3.138, whose structure was firmly established by a single-crystal X-ray analysis.
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# CHAPTER 1 - Synthesis of new 1,4-anthracenedione analogs for their study of anticancer activities 

### 1.1 Introduction

Cancer is still one of main killers of human beings in the 21 st century. Development of new, more potent anticancer drugs remains a major challenge. ${ }^{1}$ New leading compounds have been discovered either by screening new natural products or known synthetic compounds. In our lab, after screening anticancer activity of a number of intermediates towards the synthesis of functionized beltenes (See Chapter 2), we found 1,4-anthracenedione and triptycenebisquinone have potent anticancer activities as leading compounds. Synthetic efforts towards their analogs aiming at better anticancer activity were undertaken in our lab. My project mainly involved the synthesis of new 1,4-anthracenedione analogs via functionalization of the methyl side chain of 6-methyl-1,4-anthracenedione.

### 1.2 Background

### 1.2.1 Quinone-containing anticancer drugs

Quinones widely exist in biological systems and they are essential to many life processes including biological redox process. ${ }^{2}$ Many naturally existing quinones have potent anticancer activity such as doxonubicin and mitomycin C, and have been used in clinical therapy for more than twenty years. ${ }^{1}$ Quinone-containing anticancer drugs fall into the following categories based on their structural characteristics and rationalization of their antitumor activity.

### 1.2.1.1 Mitomycin C and related quinone-containing alkylating agents ${ }^{3}$

This category includes mitomycin C , porfiromycin, diaziquone, carbazilquinone, triaziquone, and EO9 etc. (Figure 1.1) They contain a special alkylating group in their structure along with a quinone moiety. The activity of alkylating groups is triggered by enzymatic reduction of the quinone moiety in vivo to form covalent linkages with cellular components including proteins, membranes, and DNAs, which accounts for their antitumor activities. ${ }^{3}$

Quinone rings may also contribute to their cytotoxicity through formation of reactive oxygen species during redox cycling.

1.1

Mitomycin C

1.2

Porfiromycin

1.3 EO 9


Diaziquone


Carbazilquinone

1.6

Trizaiquone

Figure 1.1 Mitomycin C and related quinone-containing alkylating agents.


Scheme 1.1 ${ }^{4}$ Proposed mechanism for the antitumor activity of mitomycin C. (Taken from reference ${ }^{4}$ without permission)
For example, mitomycin C, which has been used clinically for more than 30 years, ${ }^{5}$ can be activated by intracellular flavin reductases in either a one- or two-eletron reduction pathway (Scheme 1.1). The one-electron pathway forms a semiquinone radical anion (1.7), which
transfers an electron to molecular oxygen and produces a superoxide radical anion under aerobic conditions. While in hypoxic conditions, mitomycin is further reduced to its phenol form (1.8) in two-electron reduction pathway. The methoxide substituent is then eliminated, which further triggers opening of the aziridine ring which forms a highly reactive species termed mitosene. Mitosene attacks DNA to form crosslinks between C 1 and C 10 carbon atoms and causes cell damage. ${ }^{4}$ This latter pathway is more powerful against cancer cells. Therefore, mitomycin C and related quinone-containing alkylating agents are often used to treat oxygen-deficient solid tumors.

### 1.2.1.2 Daunorubicin and related anthracycline DNA intercalating agents



Daunorubicin

1.12

Epirubicin

1.15

Mitoxantrone

1.16

AQ4N

1.11

Idarubicin

1.14

Aclacinomycin

Nogalamycin

1.17

Dynemicin A

Figure 1.2 Daunorubicin and related anthracycline DNA intercalating agents.

This family of antitumor antibiotics includes daunorubicin, doxorubicin (adriamycin), idarubicin, epirubicin, aclacinomycin, and nogalamycin (Figure 1.2). These are dierent from the first category because anthracyclines intercalate to molecular DNA and inhibit DNA replication process. ${ }^{6}$ Their structure consists of a flat anthraquinone backbone and a sugar residue with various purposes. In the case of daunorubicin (1.9), the duanosamine residue forms hydrogen bonds towards the minor groove of a DNA molecule. Meanwhile, electron-deficient anthracenequinone ring inserts itself into electron-rich purine-pyrimidine bases (Figure 1.3), causing a distorted DNA helix, which interferes normal binding activities of DNA polymerases, RNA polymerases, topoisomerases, and other related enzymes required for DNA replication and RNA synthesis. ${ }^{7,8}$ Clinically, anthracyclines are used to treat a wide range of cancers, including leukemias, lymphomas, breast, uterine, ovarian, and lung cancers.


Figure $1.3^{8}$ The crystal structure of d (CGATCG) complexed with daunorobicin (pdb code: 1DA0)
Mitoxantrone (1.15), AQ4N (1.16), and dynemicin A (1.17) have an similar anthraquinone backbone as anthracyclines mentioned above. Their cytotoxicity is also related to their intercalation with DNA. Different from reversible binding of daunorubicin and doxorubicin, mitoxantrone intercalates DNA and cut off DNA, causing DNA aggregation and compaction. ${ }^{9}$ AQ4N is structurally related to mitoxantrone but contains a bioreductive N -oxide group, acting as prodrug with increased antitumor activity against hypoxic cancer cells. ${ }^{10}$ Dynemicin A is also a reductively activitated antitumor agent. With its unique ten-membered enediyne moiety conjugated with anthraquinone moiety, it causes DNA cleavage via DNA backbone hydrogen
abstraction by a reactive benzenoid diradical formed through a series of reduction, epoxide opening, nucleophilic addition, and Bergman cycloaromatization steps. ${ }^{11,12}$ (Scheme 1.2)


Scheme 1.2 Proposed mechanism for the antitumor activity of dynemicin A. (Taken from

$$
\text { reference }{ }^{12} \text { without permission) }
$$

### 1.2.1.3 Geldanamycin and related benzoquinone ansamycin Hsp 90 inhibitors

The benzoquinone ansamycin, such as geldanamycin, herbimycin, and macbecin, belong to a family of antitumor antibiotics that were first isolated from actinomycete broth. ${ }^{13-15}$ They are characterized by a 20 -membered macrocylic lactam ring incorporated with a benzophenone backbone inside it. First described as tyrosine kinase inhibitors, they are now more frequently associated with heat shock protein 90 (Hsp 90), a molecular chaperone involved in maintaining correct conformation and stability of its client proteins required for many key cellular functions such as cell growth, cell survival, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and oncogenesis. Geldanamycin binds with high affinity to the ATP binding pocket of Hsp $90^{16}$ (Figure 1.5). As a result, Hsp 90 loses
its ability to act as a chaperone, and the client proteins become misfolded and lose their functions, leading to cell death. ${ }^{17}$ To overcome its toxicity and lack of stability as a drug candidate, a number of geldanamycin derivatives such as $17-\mathrm{AG},{ }^{18} 17-\mathrm{AAG},{ }^{18}$ and $17-\mathrm{DMAG}^{19}$ were developed.
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Figure 1.4 Geldanamycin and related benzoquinone ansamycin Hsp 90 inhibitors.


Figure 1.5 The crystal structure of the N -terminal domain of the yeast Hsp90 chaperone complexed with geldanamycin (pdb code: 1 A 4 H ) ${ }^{16}$

### 1.2.2 Nature existing 1,4-anthraquinones

Anthracenequiones widely exist in nature mostly as 9,10 -anthraquinones. Very few 1,4anthraquinones separated from nature have been reported ${ }^{2}$ (Figure 1.6). The first 1,4anthraquinones identified in nature were viocristin and isoviocristin isolated from mycelia of Aspergillus cristatu in $1980 .{ }^{20}$ Biological studies show they have antibiotic activity and inhibitory effect on protein, RNA, and DNA synthesis of Bacillus brevis cells. ${ }^{21,22}$ Rrufoolivacin B was isolated from Cortinarium rufoolivaceus, which shows antiplasmodial activity against asexual erythrocytic stages of P. falciparum in vitro with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.67 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} .{ }^{23}$ More recently discovered 1,4-anthracenediones in nature are presengulone and segulone. ${ }^{24}$ Both were isolated from Senna sophera, a medicinal plant used in India. Their biological activities have not yet been investigated. These natural existing compounds show some promise that new anticancer drugs based on 1,4-anthracenequinones may have potential to be as active as those 9, 10anthracenequinones mentioned above.
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Figure 1.6 Nature existing 1,4-anthraquinones

### 1.2.3 Previous studies in our lab

Previous studies in our lab have found that 1,4-anthracenedione (1.35) showed potent anticancer activity towards L1210 leukemia and human HL-60 tumor cells with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=42.2 \mathrm{nM}$
and 140.7 nM , respectively, while quinizarine, a 9,10-anthracenedione derivative, was inactive up to $1.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{25}$ To study whether other substituted 1,4 -anthracenedione showed similar activities against cancer cells, several other 1,4-anthracenedione derivatives were synthesized as shown in Scheme 1.3. Their cytotoxicities against L1210 and HL-60 tumor cell lines in vitro were given in Table 1.1. The data clearly shows that substitution at A-ring decreased antitumor activity, while the substitution at C-ring remained significant antitumor activity for 1,4-anthracenediones.
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Scheme 1.3

| Compound | ${\text { L1210, } \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})}^{\text {HL-60, IC }} 50(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 4}$ | Not active at $1.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | Not active at $1.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$ | $42 \pm 2$ | $140 \pm 7$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 6}$ | Not active at 640 nM | Not active at 640 nM |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 8}$ | Not active at 256 nM | Not active at 256 nM |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$ | $84 \pm 6$ | $243 \pm 16$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$ | Not active at 640 nM | Not active at 640 nM |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 2}$ | Not tested | Not active at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 3}$ | $29 \pm 1$ | $87 \pm 4$ |

Table 1.1 Cytotoxicities of previous synthetic 1,4-anthracenediones in vitro.

However, low water solubility of these 1,4-anthracenediones may limit drug administration in animal studies and clinical trials. The purpose of this research project was to synthesize new 1,4 -anthracenedione derivatives with better water solubility and also investigate their anticancer activities. The most active compound, 6 -methyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.43), was chosen for further side-chain modification at 6 -methyl position while leaving BC -ring intact for anticancer activity.

### 1.3 Synthetic efforts towards new 1,4-anthracenedione analogs

### 1.3.1 Functional group transformation of 6-methyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.43)

The functionization of 6-methyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.43) was started from bromination of the side methyl group. The standard free-radical benzylic bromination using NBS and benzoyl peroxide in refluxing tetrachloromethane failed to give the desired product. Several other conditions also failed. ${ }^{26}$ However, radical bromination using copper (II) bromide and t-butyl hydroperoxide as radical initiator in acetic anhydride at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ successfully gave 6 -bromomethyl1,4 -anthracenedione (1.44) in $75 \%$ yield together with overbrominated byproducts. Compound 1.44 was the key intermediate to synthesize new 1,4 -anthracenedione analogs.

Hydrolysis of 1.44 with silver trifluoroacetate in aqueous dioxane at room temperature for two hours to give $92 \%$ yield of alcohol 1.45 , which was easily oxidized with IBX (oiodoxybenzoic acid) ${ }^{27}$ in DMSO to give $62 \%$ yield of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 . 4 6}$ or with Jones reagent to give $82 \%$ yield of acid 1.47 (Scheme 1.4).



Scheme 1.4

### 1.3.2 Synthesis of water soluble analogs

We have synthesized several 1,4 -anthracenedione analogs with modification at the 6 position. Nonetheless, in order to achieve better water-solubility, a more hydrophilic group such as a sugar or quinic acid group were attached to the molecule.

An ester group can be formed from a bromide reacting with a silver salt of an acid. The quinic acid silver salt $\mathbf{1 . 4 8}$ was easily prepared by stirring silver oxide and quinic acid in ethanol at room temperature overnight. Reaction of bromide $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ with the silver salt of ( - )-quinic acid (1.48) in dioxane afforded ester 1.49 in $46 \%$ yield.



Scheme 1.5
Stepwise reductive amination of aldehyde was used to install an amino sugar group into the molecule. When aldehyde 1.46 reacted with $1,3,4,6$-tetra- $O$-acetyl- $\beta$-D-glucosamine hydrochloride (1.50) $)^{28}$ in dichloromethane and pyridine, imine 1.51 was formed in $60 \%$ yield, which was reduced with sodium cyanoborohydride in acetic acid and methanol to produce the amine $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ with protected sugar group. Attempts to remove the acetoxy protecting groups to give a water soluble analog under either basic or acidic conditions were unsuccessful. However, the hydrochloric acid salt of the amine (1.53) is soluble in water.

### 1.3.3 Synthesis of a phosphate ester prodrug

The biological study showed 6-hydroxymethyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.45) has good anticancer activity (Table 1.2). A prodrug approach was used to convert it to a water-soluble phosphate 1.56, which would release the active quinone 1.45 by phosphatases in vivo. Treatment of bromide $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ with silver di-t-butyl phosphate $\mathbf{1 . 5 4}{ }^{29}$ in refluxing chloroform gave di-t-butyl phosphate 1.55 in $48 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.6), which was deprotected in 4 M HCl in dioxane and neutralized with sodium carbonate to afford the water-soluble prodrug disodium phosphate 1.56.
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Scheme 1.6

### 1.4 Anticancer activities and conclusions

The biological activity of synthetic 1,4-anthracenedione was carried out in our collaborator Dr. Jean-Pierre Perchellet's Lab. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of new synthetic 1,4 -anthracenediones against L1210 and HL-60 leukemic cells at day 4 were summarized in Table 1.2. From both Table 1.1 and 1.2, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of various 1,4-anthracenediones are in a range of 26 to 462 nM for L1210 cells and 79 to 1260 nM for HL-60 cells with some exceptions. Unexpectedly, increased water-solubility correlated to decreased anti-cancer activities. This may be due to the increased difficulty to cross hydrophobic cell membranes for more water-soluble drugs. 1,4anthracenedione $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 4 5}$ have almost the same anticancer activity against L1210 cells as their mother compound 1.43. All three compounds show anticancer activities comparable to daunomycin, of which the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value is 30 nM in similar conditions. ${ }^{30}$ However, their mechanism against cancer cells are somehow different from daunomycin. 1,4-Anthracenediones have broader spectrum of molecular targets in cells. They were found to block nucleoside
transportation, cause DNA fragmentation, and decrease the growth of L1210 leukemic cells. ${ }^{25,31}$ They were also found targeting cytochrome $c$, caspase- $9,-3$ and -8 , poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, and internucleosomal DNA in wild-type and MDR (multidrug-resistant) HL-60 cells by a mechanism partially mediated by caspase-2 activation but not involving Fas signaling. ${ }^{32}$ Besides, they can trigger cytochrome $c$ release without caspase activation. Most interestingly, they seem to target mitochondria directly to induce a rapid loss of mitochondrial membrane potential together with permeability transition pore opening under experimental conditions, which was not found in daunoymcin. ${ }^{33,34}$ Their wide spectrum of molecular targets and activity against MDR tumor cells make them a potential new synthetic class of quinone-containing-anticancer drugs in chemotherapy.

| Compound | L1210, IC $_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | HL-60, IC $_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ | $26 \pm 1$ | $79 \pm 3$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 5}$ | $37 \pm 2$ | $125 \pm 7$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 6}$ | $462 \pm 43$ | $1260 \pm 104$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7}$ | Not active at $4.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | Not active at 256 nM |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ | Not tested | $919 \pm 78$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ | Not tested | $254 \pm 23$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$ | Not tested | $1980 \pm 176$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 5}$ | Not tested | $133 \pm 9$ |

Table 1.2 Cytotoxicities of new synthetic 1,4-anthracenediones in vitro.
In conclusion, a series of new 1,4 -anthracenediones were synthesized via functionalizations of side chain of 6 -methyl-1,4-anthracenedione. They were found to exhibit potent cytotoxic activities against human L1210 leukemic and HL-60 cell lines. A key intermediate, 6-bromomethyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.44), was first synthesized through a sequence of reactions including a double Friedel-Crafts reaction, reductive quinone formation, and selective benzylic bromination. The bromide 1.44 was further converted to other $1,4-$ anthracenediones via hydrolysis, subsequent oxidation and reductive amination or nucleophilic substitution.

### 1.5 Experimental Section

General Methods: NMR spectra were obtained at a Varian Unity Plus ( 400 MHz ) or Varian Gemini $2000(200 \mathrm{MHz})$. FAB spectra were taken by using Xe beam ( 8 kV ) and $m$-nitrobenzyl alcohol as matrix. Quinizarine, methylamine in THF ( 2 M solution), 4-methylphthalic anhydride, aluminum trichloride, and $t$-butyl hydroperoxide were commercially available. Davisil silica gel, grade 643 (200-425 mesh), was used for the flash column chromatographic separation.

### 1.5.1 Synthesis of key intermediate 6-bromomethyl-1,4-anthracenedione
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### 1.5.1.1) 6-Methyl-1,4-dihydroxy-9,10-anthracenedione (1.42)

To a melted $\left(180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of $37.1 \mathrm{~g}(27.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of aluminum trichloride and 7.25 g ( 12.4 mmol ) of sodium chloride under argon was added a mixture of $5.00 \mathrm{~g}(30.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4methylphthalic anhydride (1.41), $3.74 \mathrm{~g}(34.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of hydroquinone, and $15.0 \mathrm{~g}(113 \mathrm{mmol})$ of aluminum trichloride in one portion. The mixture was stirred and heated at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled to room temperature, poured into 300 mL of ice water, and acidified with 12 N HCl . The precipitate was filtered, washed with water, and dried in a vacuum desiccator to give 6.28 g ( $80 \%$ yield) of 1.42: mp $174 \sim 176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{lit}^{35}: 175 \sim 177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.96$, $12.92(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 187.5,187.1,158.0,157.9,146.1,135.6,133.6,131.4,129.6$, 129.3, 127.6, 127.5, 113.1, 113.0, 22.2; MS (MALDI): m/z $255.40(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

### 1.5.1.2) 6-Methyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.43)

To a suspension of $3.00 \mathrm{~g}(11.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 . 4 2}$ in 50 mL of methanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, was added $1.80 \mathrm{~g}(47.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium borohydride in portions. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours and acidified with 6 N HCl . The yellow precipitate was filtered, dried, and applied to flash column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of hexane-ether- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $60: 5: 1$ ) as an eluent to give 2.57 g ( $98 \%$ yield) of the title compound 1.43: $\mathrm{mp} 174 \sim 176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit $\left.{ }^{36}: 182 \sim 183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.59,8.54(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.84(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.6), 7.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 185.0,184.9,140.4,140.3,140.1,135.3,133.3,132.2,130.2,129.4$, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 22.2; MS (MALDI) m/z $223.11(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

### 1.5.1.3) 6-Bromomethyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.44)

To a solution of $0.70 \mathrm{~g}(3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 . 4 3}$ and $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of copper (II) dibromide in 14 mL of acetic anhydride at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, was added $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}(5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $t-\mathrm{BuOOH}$ $(90 \%)$ dropwise through a dropping funnel. The solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled to room temperature, diluted with 200 mL of water, and extracted with methylene chloride ( 50 mL $\times 3$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated, and applied to column chromatograph on silica gel using a petrol ether-ethyl acetate (17:3) as an eluent to give $0.72 \mathrm{~g}\left(75 \%\right.$ yield) of the title compound 1.44: mp 183~184 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ (dd, J = 8.4, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 184.7$ ( 2 C , $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 140.3, 140.2, 139.4, 135.0, 134.6, 131.2, 130.7, 129.9, 129.1 (2 C), 128.9, 128.7, 32.8. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \cdot 0.025 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 58.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.21$. Found: C, $58.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.92$.

### 1.5.2 Further function group transformation of 6-bromomethyl- 1,4-anthracenedione
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### 1.5.2.1) 6-Hydroxymethyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.45)

A mixture of $71 \mathrm{mg}(0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the bromide ( $\mathbf{1 . 4 4})$ and $59 \mathrm{mg}(0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ of silver trifluoroacetate in 2 mL of 1,4-dioxane-water (4:1) was stirred under argon at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours, diluted with 10 mL of acetone, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and applied to flash column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of hexane-ethyl acetate (1:1) as an eluent to give 52 mg ( $92 \%$ yield) of the title compound 1.45: mp 178~179 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (crystallized from toluene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=8.4, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 184.9$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 142.9, 140.3, 140.2, 135.2, 134.5, 130.7, 129.3, 128.9 (2 C), 128.4, 127.3, 125.5, 62.6. Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.02 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 74.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.37$. Found: C, 74.33; H, 4.50.

### 1.5.2.2) 6-Formyl-1,4-anthracenedione (1.46)

To a 5 mL of IBX (1M DMSO solution) ${ }^{27}$ was added $250 \mathrm{mg}(1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the alcohol 1.45. The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 12 hours. The mixture was filtered. The filtrate was diluted with wather $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with methylene chloride ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, concentrated, and applied to flash column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of petrol ether-diethyl ether (2:1) as an eluent to give 154 mg ( $62 \%$ yield) of the title compound 1.46: mp $185 \sim 186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 10.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 191.5$ (CHO), 184.5 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 140.3 (2 C), 138.0, 136.7, 135.7, 134.6, 131.5, 130.7, 130.3, 129.5, 128.8, 126.5; Anal. Calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 76.27; H, 3.41. Found: C, 75.87; H, 3.74.

### 1.5.2.3) 5,8-Dioxo-5,8-dihydroanthracene-2-carboxylic acid (1.47)

To a solution of $119 \mathrm{mg}(0.500 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the alcohol in 10 mL of acetone under argon, was added a solution of $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}(1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of Jones reagent (preparation: 26.72 g of chromium trioxide was dissolved in 23 mL of concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then diluted to a volume of 100 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , and then filtered through Celite, concentrated to dryness. The residue was applied to flash column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of diethyl ether-ethanol ( $9: 1$ ) as an eluent to give 103 mg ( $82 \%$ yield) of the title compound $\mathbf{1 . 4 7}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 8.17 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- ${ }_{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 184.3,184.1,166.8$, 140.1, 140.0, 136.1, 133.6, 132.3, 131.3, 130.5, 129.7, 129.5, 128.8, 128.5, 127.7; Anal. Calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.03 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 69.35$; H, 3.43. Found: C, 69.13; H, 3.75.

### 1.5.3 Synthesis of water soluble analogs
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1.5.3.1) 5,8-Dioxo-5,8-dihydro-2-anthracenylmethyl-(1R,3R,4R,5R)-1,3,4,5-tetrahydroxycyclohexanecarboxylate (1.49)

A mixture of 0.30 mmol of silver (-)quinate (1.48) [prepared from 0.10 g of (-)-quinic acid and 60 mg of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 3 mL of ethanol] in 1 mL of 1,4-dioxane was stirred under argon for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, concentrated, and applied to column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of ethyl acetate-methanol-water (100:16.5:13.5) as an eluent to give $32 \mathrm{mg}(46 \%$ yield $)$ of the title compound 1.49: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-41.7^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 0.005, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, $4.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10 \sim 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 184.4$ (2 C, C=O), 173.4, 140.1 (2 C), 138.3, 134.1, 133.7, 130.3, 129.0, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0 (2 C), 127.9, 73.8, 72.9, 67.5, 67.3, 65.0, 37.6 (2 C). Anal. Calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 0.02 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 62.81$; H, 5.01. Found: C, 62.78 ; H, 4.84.
1.5.3.2) $N$-[(1,4-Dioxo-1,4-dihydroanthracenyl)methylidene]-2-amino-2-deoxy-1,3,4,6-tetra-$O$-acetyl- $\beta$-D-glucopyranose (1.51)

A mixture of $21 \mathrm{mg}(89 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the aldehyde 1.46, $33 \mathrm{mg}(95 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- $\beta$-D-glucosamine hydrochloride (1.50) ${ }^{28}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pyridine, and 0.20 g of $4 \AA$ molecular sieves in 3 mL of methylene chloride was stirred under argon at room temperature for 24 hours, filtered through Celite, and applied to column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of hexane and diethyl ether ( $1: 1$ ) as an eluent to give 30 mg ( $60 \%$ yield) of the title compound 1.51: mp 192~193 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{a}]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+125^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 0.007, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$
H), 2.12 (s, 3 H ), $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 184.3$, $184.2,170.6,169.8,169.5,168.6,163.9,140.0,139.9,136.3,136.1,134.5,132.3,130.8,129.4$, 129.2, 128.9, 128.5, 127.3, 92.9, 73.0 (2 C), 72.8, 67.9, 61.7, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5, 20.4. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{11}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 566.1657$, found 566.1430.

### 1.5.3.3) $\quad N$-[(1,4-Dioxo-1,4-dihydroanthracenyl)methyl]-2-amino-2-deoxy-1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- $\beta$-D-glucopyranose (1.52)

To a solution of $25 \mathrm{mg}(44 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the imine 1.51 in 0.1 mL of acetic acid and 1 mL of methanol under argon, was added $11 \mathrm{mg}(0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium cyanoborohydride. The solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, diluted with 40 mL of water, and extracted twice with 20 mL of dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to column chromatograph (silica gel) using a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate (1:1) as an eluent to give $14 \mathrm{mg}\left(55 \%\right.$ yield) of the title compound 1.53: $\mathrm{mp}>120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); $[\mathrm{a}]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+175^{\circ}$ (c 0.004 , $\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.4,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}\right) \delta 184.6,184.5,170.8,170.5,169.5,169.0,141.9$, $139.9,139.8,134.7,134.0,130.3,130.0,129.2,128.5,128.4,128.3,128.2,94.8,73.8,72.4,68.1$, $61.6,60.3,51.7,21.0,20.8,20.6,20.5$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{11}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 568.1819$, found 568.1831 .

To a solution of $5.0 \mathrm{mg}(8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of amine 1.52 in 1 mL of methanol, was added 35 mL $(0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane). The solution was stirred for 10 min . and solvent was removed to give 5.3 mg ( $100 \%$ yield) of hydrochloric salt $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$.

### 1.5.4 Synthesis of a phosphate ester pro-drug


1.55

### 1.5.4.1) (1,4-Dioxo-1,4-dihydroanthracenyl)methyl di-t-butylphosphate (1.55)

A mixture of $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bromide 1.44 and $0.33 \mathrm{~g}(0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ of silver di-tbutyl phosphate ( $\mathbf{1 . 5 4})^{29}$ in 15 mL of chloroform was refluxed under argon for 6 hours, filtered through Celite, concentrated, and applied to column chromatograph (silica gel) using a gradient mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate (1:1) as an eluent to give 68 mg ( $48 \%$ yield) of the title compound 1.55: mp $126 \sim 128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.05 (s, 1 H ), $7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HP}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 184.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 138.9,138.7,134.9,134.6$, 130.6, 129.0 ( 2 C ), $128.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.2,83.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}\right), 67.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $30.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{C}\right)$. Anal. Calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P} \cdot 0.03 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 62.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.46$. Found: C, 62.49; H, 6.06.

### 1.5.4.2) Disodium (1,4-dioxo-1,4-dihydroanthracenyl)-methyl phosphate (1.56)

To a solution of $20 \mathrm{mg}(47 \mathrm{mmol})$ of phosphate 1.55 in 1 mL of 1,4-dioxane, was added $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}(0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 M HCl . The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, and $78 \mathrm{mg}(0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added, and solvent was removed. To the solids, 2 mL of ethanol was added, and resulting mixture was stirred for 5 min , filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to give $14 \mathrm{mg}\left(84 \%\right.$ yield) of $\mathbf{1 . 5 6} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.64$ (s, 1 H), $8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HP}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$.
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# CHAPTER 2 - Synthetic efforts toward a macropolycyclic [10]beltene derivative 

### 2.1 Introduction

This project is part of ongoing research in our lab, which has been carried out by three previous students in our lab, Jinmei Fan, ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Xiaodong Huang, ${ }^{2}$ Dr. Neil Brown ${ }^{3}$ since 1996. The initial synthetic target was [12]beltene derivative 2.1 , which could be retrosynthetically constructed from triptycene bisquinone (2.2) and 1,2,4,5-tetramethylene cyclohexane (2.3). Due to difficulty of synthesis and instability of the tetraene $2.3,{ }^{4,5}$ we switched to [10]beltene derivative 2.4 for easier synthesis of diene building block 2.5. The target macropolycyclic [10] beltene 2.4 was expected to undergo self-assembly forming nanotube-like channels.






Figure 2.1

### 2.2 Background

### 2.2.1 Structure of beltenes and related macropolycycles

Many objects in the macro-world can find their topologically counterparts in micromolecular level. ${ }^{6}$ Belt-shaped macropolycycles are attractive because of their interesting molecular architecture and potential applications in supramolecular chemistry and material science. ${ }^{7}$ Vögtle first pointed out that belt-shaped macropolycycles with laterally fused sixmembered rings are interesting targets for synthesis. ${ }^{8}$ The term "beltenes" was first used by Alder and Sessions to describe the imaginary molecules $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ in Figure 2.2 as "lateral fusion of cyclohexa-1,4-diene rings and linkage into a macrocyclic belt-like assembly". ${ }^{9}$ Beltene-related macropolycycles such as cyclacenes and collarenes ( 2.7 and 2.8 respectively) share similar carbon backbones with fully or partially aromatized rings around the macropolycycles. Fully conjugated cyclacenes attract more attention both synthetically and theoretically as substructures of fullerenes and single-wall carbon nanotubes (Figure 2.3). ${ }^{10}$ They may also be possible precursors towards the rational synthesis of these new carbon allotropies. ${ }^{10}$ Despite several attempted synthetic endeavors, no beltene and cyclacene has been synthesized yet. Only recently, has a new family of fully conjugated cyclophenacene derivatives been synthesized from $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ fullerene. ${ }^{11-13}$

2.6
[n]Beltene

2.7
[n]Cyclacene

[10]Cyclophenacene
2.9

2.8
[2n]Collarene

"Vögtle Belt" 2.10

Figure 2.2 Beltenes and their related macropolycycles


Figure 2.3 Single-wall carbon nanotubes and fullerenes with their belt-like substructures. ${ }^{10}$ (Taken from reference ${ }^{10}$ without permission)

### 2.2.2 Syntheses of Beltenes and their related macropolycycles

Synthetically, most of the beltene derivatives were constructed by Diels-Alder reactions of rational designed dienes and dienophiles. Diels-Alder adducts were then further converted to their partially aromatized derivatives towards beltenes or cyclacenes. Other approaches such as dearomatization of specific regions of fullerenes ${ }^{11-13}$ or metal-templated synthesis ${ }^{14,15}$ were also applied in some cases.

### 2.2.2.1 Diels-Alder approach

A sequence of Diels-Alder reactions is a powerful method to construct macropolycycles. At least two Diels-Alder reactions are required for cyclization. Adducts in the first Diels-Alder reaction are converted to an intermediate with right-oriented new diene and dienophile moieties to undergo a second intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. In Scheme 2.1, the diene of a thiophene dioxide reacted with a curved bisdienophile in the first Diels-Alder reaction to form endo- and exo-monoadduct. Both adducts would undergo in situ reverse chelotropic reaction to furnish a new diene moiety. However, only endo-isomer underwent a second Diels-Alder reaction to give [3]beltene derivative 2.11 since the new formed diene was close to the other
double bond, while in exo-adduct two Diels-Alder components were away from each other. ${ }^{16}$ In another example, a sequence of three Diels-Alder reactions was applied to give a [4]beltene derivative 2.12. ${ }^{17}$




Scheme 2.1


Scheme 2.2
Either the intermolecular Diels-Alder reaction of a bisdiene (AA-type, A: acceptor) with a bisdienophile (BB-type, B: donor) or dimerization of an AB-type monomer can be applied to construct larger beltene derivatives. Representative works have been done in three research groups: Cory's group, Stoddart's group, and Schlueter's group.

In Cory's group, an AA-BB type intermolecular double Diels-Alder cyclization of the bisdiene $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ and bisquinone $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ was applied to construct [8]beltene derivative 2.15. The yield
was as high as $69 \%$ in refluxing dioxane. Derivatization of Diels-Alder adduct 2.15 led to hexaone 2.16. However, further efforts to make fully conjugated [8]beltene derivatives failed. ${ }^{18,19}$

A typical example of AB type Diels-Alder cyclization was the construction of a belt-like backbone of fullerene $\mathrm{C}_{84}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ by Schlueter and coworkers. ${ }^{20}$ The dimerization of an AB type curved monomer exo-2.17 or endo-2.17 generated in situ from different isomers of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ in the refluxing toluene gave the same cyclized dimer $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ in a yield of $45 \%$ and $25 \%$ respectively. The difference of yields could be rationalized by comparing the different orientation of monomers during cyclization. For the endo-2.17, cyclization underwent two sequential Diels-Alder reactions of the diene and dienophile moieties in exo-orientation. While in case of exo-2.17, two sequential Diels-Alder reactions are through endo-pathway, which is energetically more favorable.

endo-2.17

exo-2.17

endo-syn- 2.18


2.19


Figure 2.4

Stoddart's synthesis of Kohnkene gave another elegant example of how controlled and predictable Diels-Alder reactions were used to construct a macropolycyclic ring. The bisdienenophile 2.20 and bisdiene 2.21 showed high face selectivity. Their high selectivity is related to the relative electron densities of two faces inherent in their rigid structures. ${ }^{21}$ Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ favors the exo-face with higher electron density. While in bisdiene 2.21, cycloaddition prefers from the endo-face with lower electron density. If both faces of diene and dienophile are considered, only one major 1:1 adduct $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ is formed out of four possible isomers. Similarly, by changing the ratio of starting materials, 1:2 adduct 2.23 or $2: 1$ adduct 2.24 would be obtained as the major product. The iterative DA reactions from different combination of intermediates in the same way finally gave the Kohnkene 2.25.





2.23
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Scheme 2.3 Construction of Kohnkene utilizing face-selectivity of dienophile 2.20 and diene 2.21

The transformation of Kohnkene $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ towards fully conjugated cyclacene and beltene through a sequence of reductive aromatization, dehydration, and reduction was stopped at [12]collarene 2.29 (Scheme 2.4). ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 2.4 Transformation of Kohnkene 2.25 towards [12]beltene 2.30. ${ }^{21}$ (Taken from reference ${ }^{21}$ without permission)

### 2.2.2.2 Dearomatization of fullerene $C_{60}$, a substructure-directed synthesis of [10]cyclophenacene derivatives

In Nakamura group, several fully conjugated [10]cyclophenacene derivatives have been recently synthesized by dearomatizing specific regions of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, e.g. the north pole and south pole. ${ }^{11-13}$ When an organocopper reagent was used, fullerene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, a $60 \pi$ conjugated system, was successfully converted to $50 \pi$ system 2.32 . After protection of an acidic proton, a second organocopper addition reaction was applied to transfer 2.32 to a $40 \pi$ system 2.33. Then after deprotection, a hydrocarbon derivative of [10]cyclo-phenacene 2.35 was synthesized (Scheme 2.5). ${ }^{11}$ Further structure modification led to a double-decker buckyferrocenes, in which 5membered ring in north pole and south pole were complexed with iron(II), while the cyclophenacene equator remained intact. ${ }^{13}$ Since [10]cyclophenacene is a substructure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$,
this approach is a "substructrue-directed synthesis" corresponding to a 'substrate-directed synthesis"of Kohnkene named by Stoddart. ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 2.5 Synthesis of a [10]cyclophenacene from $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. (Taken from reference ${ }^{11}$ without permission)

### 2.2.2.3 Metal templated approach





Scheme 2.6 Synthesis of a CpCo-complexed conjugated beltene. ${ }^{14}$ (Taken from reference ${ }^{14}$ without permission)

Very recently, a new structure of fully conjugated beltenes has been synthesized by Gleiter and coworkers. ${ }^{14}$ The rigid cyclic diyne 2.36, when irradiated with 254 nm UV light, underwent trimerization in the presence of $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ with a yield of $14 \%$. The mechanism may be a first step dimerization of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ giving a curved intermediate 2.37. When the third molecule of 2.36 was added to $\mathbf{2 . 3 7}$, the newly formed CpCo group was installed on the exo-face because of steric hindrance of the endo-face. So the open-chain trimer 2.38 has more curved structure to allow two ends close enough for cyclization. Increasing the size of CpCo group by substitution on the Cp ring gave improved yields of cyclization up to $41 \%$. ${ }^{14}$

### 2.2.3 Potential applications of Beltenes and their related macropolycycles

Beltenes and related macropolycyclic compounds contain unique belt-shaped topology. Their bent surface provides interesting system to study bending $\pi$ orbitals. ${ }^{22}$ Moreover, the inner cavity with regularly oriented $\pi$ orbitals in a circular pattern makes them new attracting hosts or receptors. ${ }^{23,24}$ They also may be potential precursors for rational syntheses of carbon nanotubes or fullerenes. ${ }^{10}$

### 2.2.3.1 Interesting systems for study behavior of bent p-orbitals.
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Figure 2.5 Conjugation in curved versus planar surfaces (Taken from reference ${ }^{10}$ without permission)

One distinguished structural feature of beltenes and related macropolycycles is that they contain $p$ orbitals perpendicular to a curved surface, where conjugation and strain effect counteract with each other. Conjugation effect causes the molecule to adapt plane configuration
for maximum overlaps of orbitals, while the strain inherent in the molecule lowers the degree of conjugation by reducing overlaps. The interaction between the two effects varies from system to system. A study on a series of $[\mathrm{n}](2,7)$ pyrenophanes (Figure 2.6, the left structure) with a distorted pyrene ring showed that only a small amount of conjugation energy was lost over a wide range of bend. ${ }^{25}$ However, in a "picotube" molecule, strain greatly changes conjugation pattern. Although it may be looked upon as four anthracenes connected by single bonds, it is best described as double-bond-joined 1,4-cyclohexadiene. ${ }^{26}$ Thus, it would be interesting to study interaction between the two effects in [n]cyclacene for their tunable strain with different numbers of n . For beltene systems, double bonds are separated from each other and no normal conjugation is present, but columnar homoconjugation by through-space interactions of $\pi$-orbitals may apply to beltenes.

$\mathbf{n}=7.9$

$\mathbf{n = 5 - 1 0}$


picotube

Figure 2.6 A series of $[n](2,7)$ pyrenophanes with distorted pyrene ring synthesized by Bodwell and coworkers ${ }^{25,27-29}$ and a picotube synthesized by Herges and coworkers. ${ }^{26}$

### 2.2.3.2 Host-guest chemistry of beltenes.


(b)


Figure 2.7 a) Binding energy ratios of various [n]beltene-cation complexes. b) Various cations captured by [ n ]beltenes $\left(\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}\right.$, and $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$are captured by [5], [6], [7], [8], and [9]beltene, respectively) ${ }^{24}$ (Taken from reference ${ }^{24}$ without permission)

When beltene structures were first proposed by Alder and Session, ${ }^{9}$ they were referred to as a potential cavity-based host. Based on MM2 calculation, [9]beltene is a good host for acetylene. Other molecules may be also combined specifically in the cavity by suitable derivatization of beltenes. ${ }^{9}$ Since the exact structure of beltene has not been synthesized, the only way to study their potential property is by theoretical calculation.

Recently, theoretical works done by Choi showed collarenes ${ }^{24}$ and beltenes ${ }^{38}$ may be good ionphores based on cation- $\pi$ interaction. Beltenes have finer ion selectivity than collarenes. ${ }^{38}$ ( Figure 2.7 ) From the calculated ion binding energy, it was shown that [5]beltene is specificly combined to $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, [6]beltene to $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, [7]beltene to $\mathrm{K}^{+}$, [8]beltene to $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$, and [9]beltene to $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$.

### 2.2.3.3 As potential precursors for carbon nanotubes or fullerenes.

Carbon nanotubes and fullerenes, since their discovery in the early 1990 's, ${ }^{30-32}$ have been an active research area, mainly due to their remarkable physical properties as new materials and molecular electronic devices. However, current arc-discharge methods or similar methods can not control the synthesis of these new carbon allotropies and greatly limit their application. ${ }^{33}$ The rational synthesis of carbon nanotubes and fullerenes from smaller organic ring- or belt-like motifs may provide the alternative route to them. ${ }^{10}$ Pioneering work on the rational synthesis of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ from polyarene has been achieved despite low yields. ${ }^{34,}{ }^{35}$ Although synthesis of carbon nanotubes from beltenes and related macropolycylces has not been realized yet, it is likely to be achieved in future since many other tube-like structures have been assembled from belt-shaped macrocycles. ${ }^{7}$

### 2.3 Synthetic studies towards a macropolycyclic [10]beltene derivative

### 2.3.1 Previous work in our lab

### 2.3.1.1 Synthesis of diene component dimethyl 1,3-butadiene-2,3-dicarboxylate (2.5).

Dimethyl 1,3-butadiene-2,3-dicarboxylate (2.5) was used as a potential bisdiene components for synthesis of [10]beltene derivative 2.4. Two ester groups would later be transformed to a diene component for another Diels-Alder reaction. It was synthesized in three steps either from commercially available 2,3 -dimethylmaleic acid anhydride (2.40) or methyl 2-
bromopropionate (2.43) ${ }^{3}$ as shown in Scheme 2.7. In route $I,{ }^{2}$ the acid anhydride $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ was first methylated in refluxing methanol with a catalytic amount of sulfuric acid to afford quantitative yield of 2,3-dimethylbutenedioic acid dimethyl ester 2.41a. A subsequent photo induced radical bromination using azo-bis isobutyronitrile (AIBN) as radical initiator and N -bromosuccinimide (NBS) in tetrachloro methane would afford a mixture of cis-/trans- dibromide 2.42a and 2.42b in a yield of $90 \%$. Then the mixture of dibromide underwent reductive elimination with potassium iodide and sodium thiosulfate in refluxing acetone to give diene 2.5 in $83 \%$ yield. Route II was only different in the first step of making dimethyl ester. ${ }^{3}$ The two isomers of diester 2.41a and 2.41b instead of one isomer in route I, was synthesized in $96 \%$ yield by copper mediated ester couplings with lithium diisopropylamide(LDA) in THF. The mixture of two diesters was then used for the bromidation and elimination to form diene 2.5. Route II was better for large scale synthesis since the starting material $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ used in route I is expensive.


Scheme 2.7 Synthesis of 1,3-butadiene-2,3-dicarboxylate (2.5)

### 2.3.1.2 Synthesis of tetraol (2.48).

Synthesis of tetraol (2.48) was first accomplished by Xiaodong Huang in our lab starting from Diels-Alder reaction of triptycene bisquinone (2.2) and diene $2.5 .{ }^{2}$ The synthesis of triptycene bisquinone would be discussed later in Chapter 3. The Diels-Alder reaction with excess amount of diene 2.5 surprisingly gave only one diadduct in a yield of $87 \%$, which was ascribed to a combination of steric effects and secondary orbital interactions (Reference ${ }^{3}$, Page 92). The explanation was not satisfactory, since the quinone ring is sterically not much difference compared with benzene ring. Besides, after the first equivalent of diene was added to triptycene bisquinone 2.2, there would certainly be an increase in the steric hindrance of endoface and reduce face-selectivity of a second diene molecule. How could the face-selectivity of
the second Diels-Alder reaction remain the same? The so-called through-bond homoconjugation between two quinone rings may be the predominant reason (Figure 3.7). The p-orbitals of quinone rings on the endo-side of triptycene bisquinone 2.2 have more overlap than those on the exo-side. In other words, the two quinone rings are somehow "conjugated" in a curved surface with concave endo-face having more conjugation than convex exo-face. Since a quinone moiety is an electron withdrawing group, the endo-face is more electron-deficient than exo-face, thus a better dienophile. The structure of $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ was proved by X-ray crystallography.


Scheme 2.8 Synthesis of tetraol 2.48
The next step was reducing ketones in Diels-Alder adducts 2.44 to tetraol 2.45 in $81 \%$ yield by treating with sodium borohydride and cerium chloride in methanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The hydride was added from less hindered exo-face. The hydroxyl groups in $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ had to be protected for further reduction of ester function group. Only two diagonal hydroxyl groups can be protected by bulky $t$-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) group due to the crowd conclave face. The protected product 2.45 was isolated in $70 \%$ yield using TBDMSOTf as silylation reagent and triethyl amine as base in methylene chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After protection, two consecutive reductions by magnesium in methanol and lithium aluminum hydride in THF finally gave the tetrol 2.48 in
$78 \%$ yield of two steps. The structure of 2.48 was verified by X-ray crystallography. Interestingly, all the hydroxymethyl groups are pointing to the exo-face.

### 2.3.1.3 Formation of tetraene (2.51)

Synthesis of tetraene (2.51) was accomplished by Neil Brown, who continued on the beltene project starting from the tetraol $2.48{ }^{3}$ The previous study by Xiaodong found that the conversion of tetraol $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ either to tetrabromide and tetratosylate failed to give tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ due to terminal furan ring formation. ${ }^{2}$ Neil found that treatment of tetraol 2.51 with onitrophenylselenocyanate and tri-n-butyl phosphine in anhydrous pyridine at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours would afford the tetraselenide 2.49 in almost quantitative yield.(reference ${ }^{3}$, page 99) However, the yield of selenization varied from batch to batch depending largely on condition of solvent and reagents. Then tetraselenide 2.49 was oxidized by $m$-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA) to tetraselenoxide, which underwent elimination in situ to form tetraene 2.50 in a yield of $65 \%$. Since the bulky $t$-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) group may prevent final cyclization, it was removed by tetra-n-butyl ammonium fluoride (TBAF) to afford the tetraene 2.51 in $63 \%$ yield. The crystal structure was later proved by X-ray when I took over the project.


Scheme 2.9 Synthesis of tetraene 2.51

### 2.3.1.4 Preliminary Diels-Alder reaction studies

After the synthesis of tetraene 2.50 and $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$, Neil Brown explored the Diels-Alder reaction of them. The preliminary results showed there were mainly two problems associated with the Diels-Alder reaction in order to get cyclized Diels-Alder adduct. One was the competition of polymerization and intramolecular cyclization when tetraene ( $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ or $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ ) and triptycenebisquinone (2.2) were used in DA reaction, since NMR data of crude Diels-Alder reaction mixture showed unidentified broad peaks which indicated possible formation of oligomers or polymers. This problem could be solved by a stepwise strategy through a triene 2.55, in which only one end can react with dienophile. Then the $1: 1$ adducts from Diels-Alder reaction were separated and further functional transformation was applied to convert the other end to diene. This detour would afford $1: 1$ adducts of tetraene with dienophile for further cyclization under high dilution condition (Scheme 2.12).
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Scheme 2.10 Synthesis of triene 2.55
The synthesis towards triene 2.55 was carried out by Neil Brown up to one isomer of $\mathbf{2 . 5 4}$. First protection of one hydroxyl group on $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ gave a mixture of two possible triols 2.52a and
2.53b in $26 \%$ yield together with over-protected diols and unreacted starting material 2.48. Then, selenization of triol 2.52 in similar conditions as that of tetraol 2.48 gave a mixture of two isomer 2.53a and 2.53 b in $62 \%$ yield, which was partially separable in column chromatography. The less polar spot is likely $\mathbf{2 . 5 3 b}$ due to the relative upshift of side-TBS group in ${ }^{1}$ HNMR. (In Neil's thesis, the assignment of structure 2.53a and 2.53b to their NMR spectra may not be correct.) Further oxidation of a mixture of 2.53a and 2.53b afforded a mixture of 2.54a and 2.54b in a yield of $61 \%$ according to Neil's thesis (reference, ${ }^{3}$ page 109). However, as was later found out, only the less polar isomer of 2.54 was actually separated by Neil Brown. The impurities inside the sample gave over-estimated yield. That might be the reason he thought there were two isomers inside it. Based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, the less polar isomer is most likely 2.54 a and the more polar isomer is $\mathbf{2 . 5 4 b}$ since the side TBS group is more congested in 2.54a so that more $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Me}$ peaks are found in ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum due to rotation restriction. The Diels-Alder reaction of the more polar isomer of $\mathbf{2 . 5 4}$ with triptycene bisquinone 2.2 did give $1: 1$ monoadducts, which was verified by both NMR and MS spectroscopy (reference, ${ }^{3}$ page 109). Since Diels-Alder reaction of dienophile triptycene bisquinone is endo-face selective while triene 2.55 is likely not, the observed two adducts were most likely exo-endo-adduct (2.56) and endo-endo-adduct (2.57).


Scheme 2.11 Possible adducts of triene 2.54a and triptycene bisquinone $\mathbf{2 . 2}$
Based on above preliminary data, Neil proposed a synthetic route to cyclized adduct $\mathbf{2 . 6 0}$ (Scheme 2.12). The 1:1 adducts 2.58 would follow a sequence of selenization, oxidation, and elimination to generate the other diene moiety for cyclization. Apparently, the quinone moiety could not stand selenization condition due to 1.4 -addition of phosphine to quinone rings, which makes this route difficult to realize unless there are extra steps of protection and deprotection of quinone rings.

The other problem was the determination of steorochemsitry of Diels-Alder adducts. The successful cyclization depended on the closeness of diene and dienophile moiety. The exo-endo adduct 2.56 might undergo cyclization later after generation of a new diene, while endo-endo adduct could not because the two ends are opposite to each other. Thus the stereochemistry of Diels-Alder adducts is very important for cyclization. However, it could not be differentiated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, which made the identification of those adducts becoming a difficult issue. For example, in a model reaction, when tetraene 2.51 was reacted with an excess amount of 1,4benzoquione, only "one" major diadduct was formed as indicated from one set of protons in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. However, we can not tell from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR whether it is an endo-adduct and exoadduct. (reference, ${ }^{3}$ page 105) The only way to know whether the desired adduct was formed is through crystallography. A crystal needs to be prepared, which is not available in many cases.



Scheme 2.12 Proposed synthesis of cyclized adduct 2.60 (reference, ${ }^{3}$ page 111).

### 2.3.1.5 Summary

After many years of continuous work, tetraene 2.51 was finally synthesized. The next job was to cyclize it with triptycene bisquinone or another dienophile to make cyclized beltene
derivatives. The major challenges included undetermined structures of Diels-Alder adducts, unfavorable oligomerization, and uncertain cyclization prospects.

### 2.3.2 Continuous work towards a macropolycyclic [10]beltene derivative

### 2.3.2.1 Unsuccessful installation of diene and dienophile in one molecule through triene $\mathbf{2 . 5 5}$

In previous work, a triene 2.55 was designed to achieve the installation of both diene and dienophile moiety in the same molecule. I started working on this project by following the route proposed in Scheme 2.12.

The deprotection of TBDMS group was easily achieved by stirring a mixture of two isomers, 2.54a and 2.54b, with 3.6 equivalent of TBAF in THF at room temperature for 3 hours. The deprotected pentiol-triene 2.55 was obtained in $86 \%$ yield

The attempted Diels-Alder reaction of deprotected triene 2.55 with triptycene bisquinone 2.2 in refluxing 1,2-dichloroethane gave mainly unidentified polymer-like products together with 1,4-anthracenedione and recovered starting material 2.2, which indicated decomposition or polymerization might happen under reaction conditions. A more reactive dienophile is needed to be found to react with triene 2.55 which took painfully nine steps to make from triptycene bisquinone 2.2.
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Scheme 2.13

Since both triptycene bisquinone 2.2 and triene 2.55 have unsymmetrical exo/endo-faces, problems arouse in identification and separation of different adducts. We resorted to symmetric planar bisquinone 2.64. In this case, the double-activited inner double bond reacts first with diene moiety and particular symmetry gave only one adduct even the diene moiety has two different faces. Also, the Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{2 . 6 4}$ can happen at a much lower temperature because of its double-activitied nature.

The 1,4,5,8-naphthodiquinone(NDQ) 2.64 was synthesized according to literature procedure. ${ }^{36}$ 1.4-dimethoxybenzene was heated with 2.62 in melting salts $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and NaCl at 190 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford 5,8-dihydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione 2.63 in $20 \%$ yield, which was further oxidized by ditrifluoroacetyl-iodobenzene to give NDQ 2.64 in 66\% yield.

Diels-Alder reaction of triene 2.55 and bisquinone 2.64 happened at room temperature in 1,2-dichloroethane to give Diels-Alder adduct 2.65 in $82 \%$ yield. So far, we have bypassed the face-selectivity problem to afford only one adduct. However, the attempted selenization of $\mathbf{2 . 6 5}$ failed to give expected monoselenide $\mathbf{2 . 6 6}$ for later elimination and generation a new diene moiety. The possible reason might be the incompatibility of cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-dione moiety in the reaction conditions. The synthetic route towards a possible cyclization precursor through triene 2.55 was finally abandoned.

### 2.3.2.2 Successful installation of diene and dienophile in one molecule with failed cyclization

The successful synthesis of Diels-Alder adduct 2.65 made us believe that in similar conditions, tetraene 2.51 should form similar monoadduct 2.67 with double activated bisquinone 2.64 by carefully controlling the ratio of starting materials.

When the tetraene 2.51 was stirred with 0.6 equivalent of the bisquinone 2.64 in 1,2dichloroethane, monoadduct 2.67 was obtained in $33 \%$ yield together with diadduct 2.68 and recovered tetraene. The monoadduct has diene moiety at one end and cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-dione moiety at the other end as the dienophile. The intramolecular cyclization would be expected to happen when heated in a high dilution condition at raised temperature.

However, when a solution of 0.001 M of 2.67 was heated in refluxing benzene, no identifiable cyclized product $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ was separated. Even if the cyclization happened, the yield was too small to identify. One possible reason might be the dienophile in the 2.67 was not reactive enough for cyclization in low temperature, while raised temperature caused polymerization.

We then resorted to a double-activated quinone 2.70. The quinone $\mathbf{2 . 7 0}$ contains two double-activated Diels-Alder reaction sites. The monoadduct 2.71 would expect to have a much more active dienophile moiety for intramolecular Diels-Alder cyclization even at room temperature (Scheme 2.15).


Scheme 2.14


Scheme 2.15

However, only monoadduct 2.71 and diadduct 2.72 were separated when tetraene 2.51 and dienophile $\mathbf{2 . 7 0}$ was stirred in 1,2-dichloroethane at room temperature. No cyclized product 2.73 was separated. From ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, both 2.71 and 2.72 contain one set of peaks, which mean they most likely consisted of one compound. However, the actual endo- or exo- conformation was hard to determine. If only steric effects were considered, the less hindered exo-face of tetraene 2.51 was preferred in Diels-Alder reaction, so 2.71 was more likely exo-adduct, which could not be cyclized. When compound 2.71 was slowly heated to a higher temperature from 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in benzene, an undetermined product was formed. The possibility of being 2.73 was excluded by MS spectrum.

### 2.3.2.3 Isomerization of tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$

Frustrated by failure of cyclization by Diels-Alder reaction strategy, we started to look for other cyclization strategies. When tetraene 2.51 was heated with $1^{\text {st }}$ generation Grubb catalyst in refluxing 1,2-dichloroethane overnight, a small amount of 2.74 was separated. We later found that 2.74 was one major product ( $61 \%$ ) when tetraene 2.51 was heated in $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ alone. The structure was proved by X-ray crystallography. To our surprise, diagonal hydroxyl groups added to the nearest double bond to form furan rings.
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X-ray structure of $\mathbf{2 . 7 4}$

Scheme 2.16

### 2.3.2.4 Summary

Although instability of tetraene 2.51 and unsuccessful cyclization by Diels-Alder reaction gave this project a dim prospect, a new chiral clip-shaped molecule 2.74 was synthesized.

### 2.4 Discussions and conclusion

### 2.4.1 Requirement for successful cyclization

Failed cyclization of tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ raised a question about what are important factors for successful cyclization by Diels-Alder reactions. A thorough literature research was done and a list of successful examples of AA-BB type cylization and AB type cylization are listed in Table 2.1 and Table 2.2. It seems that all successful cyclization of Diels-Alder reactions were based on rigid or slightly flexible Diels-Alder components with both reacting ends parallel or nearly parallel to each other. Apparently, this would help to bring the remaining diene and dienophile close to each other after first Diels-Alder reaction. On the contrary, the two diene components in the tetraene 2.51 are twisted in an angle because of preferred chair conformation of cyclohexane rings (Scheme 2.16). After the first Diels-Alder reaction, the attached quinone moiety extended from one diene end are actually even more far away from the other diene moiety.

|  | DA precursors | Product | Reaction condition and yields |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | Dioxane, reflux, (high dilution condition) Yield: $69 \%{ }^{19}$ |
| II |  |  | Toluene, reflux, 7h, yield: $69 \%{ }^{21}$ |
| III |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, PTFE high-pressure reaction vessel, 10kbars, $200 \mathrm{~h}, 55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> yield: $20 \%{ }^{21}$ |
| IV |  |  | Toluene, reflux, 12 h Yield: $78 \%{ }^{21}$ |

Table 2.1 Successful cyclization from AA-BB type Diels-Alder reactions in literature.
Reaction condition and yields

Table 2.2 Successful cyclization from AB type Diels-Alder reactions in literature.

An MM2 molecular modeling was done for exo-endo adduct of triptycene bisquinone $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ with the tetraene 2.51 and the minimized energy conformations were shown in Figure 2.8. Since the calculated conformation for tetraene agreed pretty well with X-ray structure, (shown in Scheme 2.9) we believed structures calculated by this method are quite close to real ones. For exo-endo adduct 2.75 and its enolised derivative 2.76 which was once believed to have better orientation of diene moiety and quinone moiety for cyclization, the belt region is curled in a spiral fashion so that the diene moiety and quinone moiety are pointing away from each other. In order to bring two ends parallel to each other for Diels-Alder cylization, cyclohexane rings within 2.75 and 2.76 have to be all boat conformation, but this is both statistically unlikely and energetically unfavorable to occur. The conclusion drawn from molecular modeling was supported by experimental results that Diels-Alder reaction of 2.51 and 2.2 gave unidentified mixture oligmers and polymers, since monoadducts continued to react with starting materials in reaction conditions. It is also unlikely for twisted two ends of monoadduct 2.75 to undergo dimerization-cyclization for the same reason.
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Figure 2.8 Molecular modeling (MM2) of minimized energy conformation for exo-endo-adduct of triptycene bisquinone (2.2) and tetraene 2.51. (The two ends were colored yellow for clarity.)

Similar MM2 molecular modeling study was done for monoadduct 2.67. Again, the molecule encounters a problem of twisted diene and quinone moiety. Besides, the concave skeleton of $\mathbf{2 . 6 7}$ is two cyclohexane rings smaller than $\mathbf{2 . 7 5}$, which makes it less likely to bring the two ends close to each other to undergo intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. The calculation matches with experimental findings in that the cyclized product was not detected.


Figure 2.9 Molecular modeling (MM2) of minimized energy conformation for monoadduct 2.67.
(The two ends were colored yellow for clarity.)
In conclusion, three important requirements are learned from both unsuccessful ring closing reactions and molecular modeling analysis for the design of building blocks to construct a macropolycycle by Diels-Alder reactions. First, diene unit and/or dienophile need to parallel to each other in the building blocks. Second, it would be better for dienes and dienophiles to have right face-selectivity to minimize the number of possible Diels-Alder adducts (as in the case of Kohnkene). Otherwise, Diels-Alder adducts would be able to easily differentiate from each other (such as "inherent ${ }^{1}$ HNMR structural indicators" discussed in Chapter 3). Third, for the final cyclization, the intramolecular diene and dienophile moieties should be close to each other and in correct alignment in space. Fulfilling the above three requirements, a functionized cyclododeciptycene was successfully synthesized in Chapter 3.

### 2.4.2 Conformation communication inside tetraene 2.51

Conformation transduction or communication has been reported and studied for tetrasubstituted cis-decalins and perhydroanthracenes (Figure 2.10). ${ }^{40-44}$ When the conformation of one side of a molecule is changed upon a signal stimulus, conformation of the opposite side is changed accordingly. Unexpected intramoleucular furan formation of tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ in diagonal fashion leading to compound 2.74 led us wonder whether conformations in the two sides of
tetraene 2.51 communication with each other. In other word, one conformation in the right side would affect the conformation in the left side to adopt predominantly a specific conformation and vice versa.







Figure 2.10 Conformation transduction of cis-decalin ${ }^{41}$ and perhydroanthracene ${ }^{42}$.

### 2.4.2.1 Conformation of tetraene 2.51 in crystal and solution

We first examine the X-ray structure of tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ shown in Figure 2.11. It is a low energy conformation of the molecule in crystalline form. Conformations of $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D rings are shown in different views. B ring and D ring clearly adopt pseudo-chair conformations with a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry instead of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$ symmetry, which can be explained based on an assumption that a rigid $o$-benzono-bridged 1,4-cyclohexadiene (C ring) responds to ring strain from one side by slightly adjusting conformation of the other side to minimize its total strain energy. In other words, conformations of B and D ring communicate with each other via the C ring. If B ring adopts the pseudo-chair conformation shown in Figure 2.11, it causes a clockwise twist in the right side of C ring with 13a-carbon atom, a tendency of moving downwards, and 5a-carbon atom, a tendency of moving upwards, and because of rigidity of C ring, the other side of C ring adjusts its twist in opposite direction to release ring strain with 6a-carbon atom moving downwards and 12a-carbon moving upwards. D ring "feels" this movement and adjust its conformation to adopt corresponding pseudo-chair conformation.

Based on X-ray structure, groups in axial positions and equatorial positions should show different NMR signals. However, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of tetraene 2.51 taken at room temperature showed no splitting of diastereomeric hydrogens. A rapid conformation interconversion in
solution may have taken place, in which axial groups in one pseudo-chair conformation become equatorial groups and vice versa (Figure 2.12). During conformation interconversion of tetraene 2.51 from conformation (I) to conformation (II) or vice versa, transformations of BD rings keep communicating with each other. So when the conformation of B ring changes from one pseudochair conformation to the other, the conformation of D ring changes accordingly.
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Figure $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ BCD ring conformations in X-ray structure of tetraene 2.51.




Figure 2.12 Conformation communications of B\&D rings during conformation change of 2.51.

### 2.4.2.2 Conformation lock by bulky TBS group: ${ }^{1}$ HNMR analysis of protected tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$

At room temperature, conformation (I) and conformation (II) of tetraene 2.51 undergo rapid interconversion in the NMR time scale. However, if a bulky group is introduced and prefers an equatorial position, it would "lock" the molecule to one conformation. In this case, hydrogens in axial position and equatorial position shall show different chemical shifts.
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Figure 2.13 Predicted ABCDE ring conformations of protected tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ in solution.
According to the above model, two bulky OTBS groups in compound $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ can only occupy equatorial position ( 7 and 14). The predicted conformation of $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ is shown in Figure 2.13. Protons in AE rings should have different chemical shifts and a total of six different sets of peaks would be observed. The splitting pattern of each proton can be predicted from coupling constants with its neighboring protons. For example, axial proton in carbon-1 has two neighboring protons; one is an axial proton on carbon-14a with a large coupling constant about $8 \sim 14 \mathrm{~Hz}$; the other is an equatorial proton on the same carbon with a geminal coupling constant about $12 \sim 18 \mathrm{~Hz}$. It shows a triplet with an average $\mathrm{J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in the spectrum. For equatorial proton on carbon-1, the coupling constant with axial proton on carbon-14a is small ( $2 \sim 3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and the geminal coupling constant with axial proton on the carbon-1 is larger ( $12 \sim 18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). It shows a doublet with $\mathrm{J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in spectrum. Similarly, all the other protons in AE ring can be assigned based on the predicted conformation shown in Figure 2.13. Although 2D-NMR studies of $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$
are needed to further verify the assignments, the successful prediction of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR by conformation analysis supports the conformation communication model mentioned above.


Figure 2.14 Proton assignments of protons in AE rings in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( $\delta 1.7 \sim 2.8$ ) of $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$

### 2.4.2.3 Conformation lock by furan ring formation: formation of compound 2.71.

Based on the above model, the diagonal feature of intramolecular furan ring formation in tetraene 2.51 at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can be explained. When the axial hydroxyl group in B ring adds to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ at $\gamma$ position because of space closeness, the conformation of B ring is locked, which causes D ring to adopt a preferred pseudo-chair conformation to reduce the strain of C ring. The preferred pseudo-chair conformation of D ring, with an axial hydroxyl group being diagonal to the reacted hydroxyl group in B ring, facilitates the second furan formation to form compound 2.71 (Scheme 2.16 and Figure 2.15).


Figure 2.15 Conformation lock and communication leading to formation of diagonal furan rings.

### 2.4.2.4 Conformation transduction models incorporated with an o-benzono-bridged 1,4cyclohexadiene ring.

In Figure 2.10, transformation transduction models are shown in such a way that if two same groups (such as A) in one side are brought close to each other, the other same groups in the other side (such as B) are brought far away from each other. Two groups are close together because they both occupy equatorial positions. If they both occupy axial positions, they are far away from each other. Similar models can be built for ring systems incorporated with a o-benzono-bridged 1,4-cyclohexadiene ring as shown in Figure 2.16. The first model 2.77 is equivalent to perhydroanthracene model shown in Figure 2.10 except for the relative steorochemsitry of two sides. In the second model 2.78, conformation transduction is conducted unprecedentedly through five rings.




Figure 2.16 Conformation transduction incorporated with an o-benzono-bridged 1,4cyclohexadiene ring

Although the above models of conformation conduction or communication may seem reasonable, they are mostly empirical and descriptive. They raised more questions to be answered. Currently, no detailed calculations regarding to energy differences of all possible conformations of tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ have been studied. The detailed function of o-benzono-bridged 1,4-cyclohexadiene ring is also unclear.

### 2.5 Experimental Section

General Methods: Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained at Varian Unity Plus ( 400 MHz ) and Varian Gemini $2000(200 \mathrm{MHz})$. Mass spectra were taken from a Hewlett Packard 5890A Series II, GC-MS and a Bruker Esquire 3000 Plus electrospray ionization mass spectrometer. FAB spectra were taken by using Xe beam ( 8 KV ) and m-nitrobenzyl alcohol as matrix. Silica gel (200~400 mesh) from Natland International Coporation was used for the flash chromatographic separation. THF and diethyl ether were distilled over sodium and benzophenone. Methylene chloride was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Toluene and benzene were distilled over $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$.

### 2.5.1 Synthesis of tetraene 2.51

2.5.1.1) (2R,3S,4aS,5S,7R,7aR,9R,10S,11aS,12S,14R,14aR)-5,12-Di(t-butyldimethylsilan-oxy)-7,14-dihydroxy-2,3,9,10-tetra(2-nitrophenylselenyl)methyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,9,10, 11,11a,12,13,14,14a-octadecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene and its enantiomer (2.49)


To a solution of 290 mg ( 1.28 mmol ) of o-nitrophenyl selenocyanate in 4 mL of dry pyridine was added $319 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tri-n-butylphosphine. The resulting deep red solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes. Then a solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.128 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetraol $2.48^{2}$ in 4 mL of dry pyridine was added via cannular. The resulting solution was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and diluted with 100 ml ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using dichloromethane and hexane (3:2) as eluent to give 190 mg ( $98 \%$ yield) of the title compound, 2.49, as yellow solids: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.2 \sim 8.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.3 \sim 7.7(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2\right.$ H), $6.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $3.0 \sim 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.1 \sim 1.4$ (series of multiplets, 12 H$) 1.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H}), 0.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
2.5.1.2) (4aS,5S,6S,7R,7aR,11aS,12S,13S,14R,14aR)-5,12-Di(t-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-7,14-dihydroxy-2,3,9,10-tetramethylene-1,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-tetradecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene and its enantiomer (2.50)

2.50

To a mixture of $20 \mathrm{mg}(0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetraselenide $\mathbf{2 . 4 9}, 11 \mathrm{mg}(0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium bicarbonate, and $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ of pyridine in 4 mL methylene chloride at room temperature, was added $15.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.063 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $70 \% \mathrm{~m}$-chloroperbenzoic acid. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and then diluted with 50 mL methylene chloride. The organic layer was washed with water ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydride magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was then applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using a gradient of petroleum ether and methylene chloride $(3: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$ to give 6.9 mg ( $74 \%$ yield) of the title compound $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 7.18 (m, 2 H ), 6.94 (m, 2 H ), 5.04 (m, 4 H ), 4.95 (s, 2 H ), 4.73 (bs, 2 H ), 4.66 (bs, 2 H ), 4.46 (dd, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6$ H), $0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 148.6,148.4,147.6,146.8,144.8,124.0,122.1$, $109.2,108.1,71.5,69.1,41.3,37.1,36.6,30.2,29.9,26.3,18.8,-4.2,-4.4 ;$ MS: m/z: 733.589 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
2.5.1.3) (4aR,5R,7S,7aS,11aR,12R,14S,14aR)-5,7,12,14-Tetrahydroxy-2,3,9,10-tetramethyl-ene-1,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-tetradecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene (2.51)

2.51

To a solution of $16.7 \mathrm{mg}(0.0235 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetraene 2.51 in 2.5 mL of THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (icewater bath) was added $56.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( 0.0564 mmol ) of 1 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours, diluted with 20 mL water and extracted with ethyl acetate $(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The organic layer was then washed with water ( 50 mL ) and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was then applied to column chromatography using hexane and ethyl acetate ( $1: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to give 10.1 mg ( $89 \%$ yield) of the title compound, 2.51, as white solids: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.28\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.96 (bs, 4 H ), $2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 148.5,147.0,146.0$, 124.3, 122.6, 110.0, 70.7, 50.0, 38.6, 34.0; MS: m/z: $505.35(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

### 2.5.2 Synthesis of triene 2.55

### 2.5.2.1) (2R,3S,4aS,5S,6S,7R,7aR,9R,10S,11aS,12S,13S,14R,14aR)-2-(t-Butyldimethylsilan-

 oxy)methyl-5,12-di(t-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-7,14-dihydroxy-3,9,10-tri(2-nitrophenylselenyl) methyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,9,10,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-octadecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene and its enantiomer (2.53a)(2S,3R,4aR,5R,6S,7S,7aS,9S,10R,11aR,12R,13S,14S,14aS)-2-(t-Butyldimethylsilanoxy)-methyl-7,14-di(t-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-5,12-dihydroxy-3,9,10-tri(2-nitrophenylselenyl)-methyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,9,10,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-octadecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene and its enantiomer ( $\mathbf{2} .53 \mathrm{~b}$ )

To a solution of 93 mg ( 0.41 mmol ) of o-nitrophenyl selenocyanate in 2 mL of dry pyridine was added $102 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tri- $n$-butylphosphine. The resulting deep red solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes. Then a solution of $49 \mathrm{mg}(0.055 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triol


2.52a and $2.52 \mathbf{b}$ in 2 mL of dry pyridine was added via cannular. The resulting solution was heated to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and diluted with 50 ml ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using a gradient of petrol ether and methylene chloride (from 3:2 to 3:7) as eluent to give 50 mg ( $62 \%$ yield) of a mixture of title compounds as a yellow solid. Two isomers were partially separated in the column.

Less polar isomer 2.53b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.24 \sim 8.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40 \sim 7.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.70~3.30 (series of multiplets, 8 H ), 1.60~2.50 (series of multiplets, 15 H ), 1.01 (s, 9 H ), 0.99 (s, 9 H ), $0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \delta 0.04$ (s, 3 H ).

More polar isomer 2.53a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.22 \sim 8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42 \sim 7.71$ (m, 6 H), $7.28 \sim 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80 \sim 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 1.60~2.50 (series of multiplets, 16 H ), 1.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.01 (s, 9 H ), 0.85 (s, 9 H$), 0.24$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05$ (s, 3 H ), 0.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
2.5.2.2) (2R,4aS,5S,6S,7R,7aR,11aS,12S,13S,14R,14aR)-2-(t-Butyldimethylsilanoxy)methyl-5,12-di(t-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-7,14-dihydroxy-3,9,10-trimethylene-1,2,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,11, 11a,12,13,14,14a-pentidecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene and its enantiomer (2.54a) (2S,4aR,5R,6S,7S,7aS,11aR,12R,13S,14S,14aS)- 2-(t-butyldimethylsilanoxy)methyl-7,14-di-(t-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-5,12-dihydroxy-3,9,10-trimethylene-1,2,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,11,11a,12,13, 14,14a-pentidecahydro-6,13-o-benzenopentacene and its enantiomer (2.53b)

2.54a

2.54b

To a mixture of $26.5 \mathrm{mg}(0.0183 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triselenides, $59.2 \mathrm{~mL}(0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ of pyridine and $15.4 \mathrm{mg}(0.183 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium bicarbonate in 2 mL methylene chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-water bath) was added $23 \mathrm{mg}(0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $70 \% \mathrm{~m}$-chloroperbenzoic acid. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 6 hours and diluted with 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was then applied to column chromatography with methylene chloride and petroleum ether $(2: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to give 5.3 mg of less polar isomer $\mathbf{2 . 5 4 a}$ and 4.9 mg of the more polar isomer $\mathbf{2 . 5 4 b}$ with a combination yield of $66 \%$.

The less polar isomer (2.54a): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20 \sim 4.80$ (series of multiplets, 7 H ), $3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70 \sim 2.80$ (series of multiplets, 12 H ), $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H), $0.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

The more polar isomer (2.54b): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \delta 6.93(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45$ (m 2 H$), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70 \sim 2.74$ (series of multiplets, 13 H$), 1.00(2 \mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$,
 2.5.2.3) (2R,4aS,5S,6R,7R,7aR,11aS,12S,13S,14R,14aR)-2-Hydroxymethyl-3,9,10-trimethyl-ene-5,7,12,14-tetrahydroxy-1,2,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-pentidecahydro-6,13-obenzenopentacene and its enantiomer (2.55)


To a solution of $37.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.0448 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triene 2.54a and 2.54b in 4 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-water bath) was added $161 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.161 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours, diluted with 20 mL water and extracted with ethyl acetate $(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The organic layer was then washed with water ( 50 mL ) and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was then applied to column chromatography using methylene chloride and methanol ( $25: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 19.3 mg ( $86 \%$ yield) of the title compound 2.55: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16$ (s, 1 H), 5.09 (bs, 2 H ), 4.98 (bs, 1 H ), 4.82 (bs, 2 H ), 4.79 (bs, 1 H ), $4.50 \sim 4.60$ (m, 4 H ), $3.58 \sim 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~m} .1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77 \sim 2.52$ (series of multiplets, $12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 150.0,149.2,148.1,147.9,147.8,146.1$ (3 C), 145.9, 124.4 (2 C), 122.6 (2 C), 111.0, 110.5, 109.6, 77.2, 71.0, 70.3, 69.9, 64.3, 50.1, 50.0, 44.6, 39.8, $38.5,37.7,36.1,35.5,34.3,32.1,25.3$.

### 2.5.3 Synthesis of Diels-Alder adducts of tetraenr 2.51 and triene 2.55

2.5.3.1) (4aR,6aS,7S,8S,9R,9aR,11R,13aS,14S,15R,16R,16aR,18S-11-Hydroxymethyl-12-methylene-7,9,15,17-tetrahydroxy-4a,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a,10,11,13,13a,14,16,16a,17,18,18a-nonadecahydro-4a,18a-cis-ethylenedicarbonyl-8,15-o-benzenoheptacene-1,3-dione and its enantiomer (2.65)

A mixture of $18 \mathrm{mg}(0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triene 2.55 and $8.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ of NDQ 2.64 was stirred in 0.5 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then slowly warmed up to room temperature and stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. Solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using methylene chloride and methanol (25:1, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 20 mg ( $82 \%$ yield) of the title compound: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$
$7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78 \sim 6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76$ (bs, 1 H), $4.56(\mathrm{bt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60 \sim 2.90$ (series of multipets, 17 H$)$.

2.65
2.5.3.2) (6aS,7S,8S,9R,9aR,13aS,14S,15R,16R,16aR)-11,12-Dimethylene-7,9,14,16-tetra-hydroxy-4a,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a,10,13,13a,14,16,16a,17,18,18a-octadecahydro-4a,18a-cis-ethylenedicarbonyl-8,15-o-benzenoheptacene-1,3-dione (2.67)
(6aS, 7S,9R,9aR,17aS,18S,20R,20aR)-7,9,18,20-Tetrahydroxy-4a,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a,10,11,11a, 15a,16,17,17a,18,19,20,20a,21,22,22a-docosahydro-(4a,22a:11a,15a)-di(cis-ethylenedi-carbonyl)-8,19-o-benzenononacene-1,3,12,15-tetraone (2.68)

2.67

2.68

A mixture of $25 \mathrm{mg}(0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetraene 2.51 and $5.9 \mathrm{mg}(0.031 \mathrm{mmol})$ of NDQ 2.64 was stirred in 10 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then slowly warmed up to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min . Solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to
column chromatography (silica gel) using methylene chloride and acetone ( $20: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 11.6 mg ( $33 \%$ yield) of monoadduct 2.67 and 2.3 mg ( $5.0 \%$ yield) of diadduct 2.68 .

Monoadduct 2.67: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), $6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{bs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2,74(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74 \sim 2.64$ (series of multiplets, 16 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 193.7$ (2 C), 148.3, 147.0, 146.3, 146.1, 140.0 (2 C), 125.7, 124.4, 122.7, 110.2, 70.9, 70.0, 65.8, 49.9, 38.7, 35.2, 34.2, 31.7, 29.9; MS: m/z 693.29 (M+Na).

Diadduct 2.68: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{bs}, 4$ H), 1.89 (bs, 4 H$), 1.84$ (bs, 4 H$)$; MS: m/z $881.36(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
2.5.3.3) (cis-4a,18a)-(6aR,7R,8R,9S,9aS,13aR,14R,15S,16S,16aS)-11,12-Dimethylene-7,9,14, 16-tetrahydroxy-2,3,4a,18a-tetraethoxycarbonyl-4a,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a,10,13,13a,14,16,16a,17, 18,18a-octadecahydro-8,15-o-benzenoheptacene-1,3-dione (2.71)
(cis-4a,18a;cis-11a,15a)-(6aR,7R,9S,9aS,17aR,18R,20S,20aS)-7,9,18,20-Tetrahydroxy-2,3,4a,11a,13,14,15a,22a-octaethoxycarbonyl-4a,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a,10,11,11a,15a,16,17,17a,18, 19,20,20a,21,22,22a-docosahydro-(4a,22a:11a,15a)-8,19-o-benzenononacene-1,3,12,15tetraone (2.72)

2.71

2.72

A mixture of $26.7 \mathrm{mg}(0.0553 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetraene 2.51 and $13 \mathrm{mg}(0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the double activated quinone 2.70 was stirred in 33 mL of benzene at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then slowly warmed up to room temperature and stirred at room temperature for overnight. Solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using a gradient of
methylene chloride and acetone ( $25: 1$ to $9: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford $10.4 \mathrm{mg}(21 \%$ yield) of monoadduct 2.71 and 13.4 mg ( $19 \%$ yield) of diadduct 2.72.

Monoadduct 2.71: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), 5.13 (bs, 2 H ), 4.81 (bs, 2 H ), 4.56 (bs, 2 H ), 4.38 (bs, 2 H ), 4.34 (m, 4 H ), 4.25 (m, 4 H ), $2.99(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{bd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00 \sim 2.70$ (series of multiplets, 12 H ), 1.79 (bd, 2 H ), 1.33 (t, 6 H , $\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 189.3,167.2,162.1,148.0$, $147.0,146.2,146.1,138.5,125.7,124.4,122.7,110.4,70.8,70.0,64.3,63.5,62.9,49.8,38.6$, 35.2, 34.1, 33.1, 29.9, 14.1, 14.0; MS: m/z $901.80(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

Diadduct 2.72: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.30 \sim 4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (bd, 4 H$), 2.56$ (bd, 4 H$), 2.17$ (bd, 4 H$), 2.06$ (bs, 4 H$)$, $1.80(\mathrm{bd}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 188.9,167.2,162.1,146.2$ (2 C), 138.6, 125.5, 124.4, 122.6, 70.1, 64.3, 63.4, 62.8, 50.0, 35.3, 33.3, 14.1, 14.0; MS: m/z $1297.74(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

### 2.5.4 Isomerization of tetraene 2.51

### 2.5.4.1) (3S,4aR,5R,6R,7S,7aS,11aR,12R,13R,14S,14aS)-2,9-Dimethylene-7,14-tetrahydroxy-

 (3,5:10,12)-bis-oxo-1,3,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,8,10,11,11a,12,13,14,14a-hexadecahydro-6,13-obenzenopentacene (2.74)
2.74

A solution of tetraene $\mathbf{2 . 5 1} 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ( 0.041 mmol ) in 3 mL 1,2-dichloroethane under argon was heated in seal tube at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five hours. The solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography using a gradient of methylene chloride and acetone ( $100: 1$ to $20: 1$ ) as eluent to afford 11 mg ( $55 \%$ yield) of the title compound 2.74 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.27$ (m, 2 H ), 6.99 (m, 2 H), 5.19 (s, 2 H ), 4.67 (bs, 2 H), 4.48 (m, 6
H), 2.49 (bs, 2 H ), 1.64~2.32 (series of multiplets, 12 H ), $1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50\right.$ MHz ) $\delta 151.7,148.7,146.2,143.4,124.3,122.6,104.8,83.1,76.0,71.9,50.5,44.7,40.5,38.8$, 29.1, 22.5; MS: m/z $987.4(2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

## References

1. Fan, J. Studies towards the synthesis of [10] or [12]cyclacene precursors. 1998.
2. Huang, X. Total synthesis of (+)-chloropuupehenone and studies toward the synthesis of a [10]beltene derivative. 2003.
3. Brown, N. Studies toward the synthesis of modifiable cage compounds and beltene derivatives. 2004.
4. Longone, D. T.; Boettcher, F.-P., A nonaromatic route to a paracyclophane: The 1,2,4,5tetramethylenecyclohexane to tetramethyl[2.2]paracyclophane conversion. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1963, 85, (21), 3436-43.
5. Longone, D. T.; Warren, C. L., Nonaromatic route to a paracyclophane. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1962, 84, 1507-9.
6. Balzni, V.; Venturi, M.; Credi, A., Molecular Devices and Machines: A Journey into the Nano World. 2003; p 500 pp.
7. Bong, D. T.; Clark, T. D.; Granja, J. R.; Ghadiri, M. R., Self-assembling organic nanotubes. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition 2001, 40, (6), 988-1011.
8. Rossa, L.; Voegtle, F., Synthesis of medio- and macrocyclic compounds by high dilution principle techniques. Topics in Current Chemistry 1983, 113, (Cyclophanes 1), 1-86.
9. Alder, R. W.; Sessions, R. B., Force field calculations on molecular belts built from cyclohexa-1,4-diene rings. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2: Physical Organic Chemistry (1972-1999) 1985, (11), 1849-54.
10. Herges, R., Fully conjugated beltenes (belt-like and tubular aromatics). Modern Cyclophane Chemistry 2004, 337-358.
11. Nakamura, E.; Tahara, K.; Matsuo, Y.; Sawamura, M., Synthesis, structure, and aromaticity of a hoop-shaped cyclic benzenoid [10]cyclophenacene. J Am Chem Soc FIELD Full Journal Title:Journal of the American Chemical Society 2003, 125, (10), 2834-5.
12. Matsuo, Y.; Tahara, K.; Sawamura, M.; Nakamura, E., Creation of hoop- and bowl-shaped benzenoid systems by selective detraction of [60]fullerene conjugation. [10]cyclophenacene
and fused corannulene derivatives. J Am Chem Soc FIELD Full Journal Title:Journal of the American Chemical Society 2004, 126, (28), 8725-34.
13. Matsuo, Y.; Tahara, K.; Nakamura, E., Synthesis and Electrochemistry of Double-Decker Buckyferrocenes. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2006, 128, (22), 7154-7155.
14. Hellbach, B.; Rominger, F.; Gleiter, R., Synthesis of beltenes by reactions of 5,6,11,12tetradehydrodibenzo $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}]$ cyclooctene with $[\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO}) 2]$ derivatives. Angewandte Chemie (International ed. in English) 2004, 43, (43), 5846-9.
15. Gleiter, R.; Hellbach, B.; Gath, S.; Schaller, R. J., From superphanes to beltenes. Pure and Applied Chemistry 2006, 78, (4), 699-706.
16. Hamon, D. P. G.; Spurr, P. R., A simple construction of the iceane skeleton via an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications 1982, (7), 372-3.
17. Grimme, W.; Geich, H.; Lex, J.; Heinze, J., Hexacyclo-[8.7.0.03,8.05,15.06,13.012,16] heptadeca-1(10),5-diene-3,8-dicarboxylic anhydride, a tetrahydro[4]beltene with two sconjugated double bonds. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2: Physical Organic Chemistry 1997, (10), 1955-1958.
18. Cory, R. M.; McPhail, C. L., Belt-shaped cyclophanes. 2. Transformations of a macrocyclic cyclophane belt into advanced [8]cyclacene and [8]cyclacene triquinone precursors. Tetrahedron Letters 1996, 37, (12), 1987-90.
19. Cory, R. M.; McPhail, C. L.; Dikmans, A. J.; Vittal, J. J., Belt-shaped cyclophanes. 1. Macrocyclic cyclophane belts via double Diels-Alder cycloadditions: macroannulation of bisdienes by bisdienophiles. Synthesis of a key precursor to an [8]cyclacene. Tetrahedron Letters 1996, 37, (12), 1983-6.
20. Neudorff, W. D.; Lentz, D.; Anibarro, M.; Schlueter, A. D., The carbon skeleton of the belt region of fullerene C84(D2). Chemistry--A European Journal 2003, 9, (12), 2745-2757.
21. Ashton, P. R.; Brown, G. R.; Isaacs, N. S.; Giuffrida, D.; Kohnke, F. H.; Mathias, J. P.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, D. R.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J., Molecular LEGO. 1. Substrate-directed synthesis via stereoregular Diels-Alder oligomerizations. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1992, 114, (16), 6330-53.
22. Scott, L. T., Conjugated belts and nanorings with radially oriented p orbitals. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition 2003, 42, (35), 4133-4135.
23. Choi, H. S.; Suh, S. B.; Cho, S. J.; Kim, K. S., Ionophores and receptors using cation-pi interactions: collarenes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A FIELD Full Journal Title:Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1998, 95, (21), 12094-9.
24. Choi Hyuk, S.; Kim, D.; Tarakeshwar, P.; Suh Seung, B.; Kim Kwang, S., A new type of ionophore family utilizing the cation-olefinic pi interaction: theoretical study of [n]beltenes. Journal of organic chemistry 2002, 67, (6), 1848-51.
25. Bodwell, G. J.; Bridson, J. N.; Cyranski, M. K.; Kennedy, J. W. J.; Krygowski, T. M.; Mannion, M. R.; Miller, D. O., Nonplanar Aromatic Compounds. 8.1 Synthesis, Crystal Structures, and Aromaticity Investigations of the 1,n-Dioxa[n](2,7)pyrenophanes. How Does Bending Affect the Cyclic p-Electron Delocalization of the Pyrene System? Journal of Organic Chemistry 2003, 68, (6), 2089-2098.
26. Kammermeier, S.; Jones, P. G.; Herges, R., Ring-expanding metathesis of tetradehydroanthracene - synthesis and structure of a tubelike, fully conjugated hydrocarbon. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English 1996, 35, (22), 2669-2671.
27. Bodwell, G. J.; Miller, D. O.; Vermeij, R. J., Nonplanar Aromatic Compounds. 6. [2]Paracyclo[2](2,7)pyrenophane. A Novel Strained Cyclophane and a First Step on the Road to a "Voegtle" Belt. Organic Letters 2001, 3, (13), 2093-2096.
28. Bodwell, G. J.; Bridson, J. N.; Houghton, T. J.; Kennedy, J. W. J.; Mannion, M. R., Curved aromatic compounds. Part 1. 1,8-Dioxa[8](2,7)pyrenophane, a severely distorted polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition in English 1996, 35, (12), 1320-1321.
29. Bodwell, G. J.; Bridson, J. N.; Houghton, T. J.; Kennedy, J. W. J.; Mannion, M. R., Curved aromatic compounds. part 2. 1,7-dioxa[7](2,7)pyrenophane: the pyrene moiety is more bent than that of C70. Chemistry--A European Journal 1999, 5, (6), 1823-1827.
30. Kraetschmer, W.; Lamb, L. D.; Fostiropoulos, K.; Huffman, D. R., Solid C60: a new form of carbon. Nature (London, United Kingdom) 1990, 347, (6291), 354-8.
31. Iijima, S., Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. Nature (London, United Kingdom) 1991, 354, (6348), 56-8.
32. Iijima, S.; Ichihashi, T., Single-shell carbon nanotubes of 1-nm diameter. Nature (London, United Kingdom) 1993, 363, (6430), 603-5.
33. Dresselhaus, M. S., Nanotubes: A step in synthesis. Nature Materials 2004, 3, (10), 665-666.
34. Boorum, M. M.; Vasil'ev, Y. V.; Drewello, T.; Scott, L. T., Groundwork for a rational synthesis of C60: cyclodehydrogenation of a C 60 H 30 polyarene. Science (Washington, DC, United States) 2001, 294, (5543), 828-831.
35. Scott, L. T.; Boorum, M. M.; McMahon, B. J.; Hagen, S.; Mack, J.; Blank, J.; Wegner, H.; de Meijere, A., A rational chemical synthesis of C60. Science (Washington, DC, United States) 2002, 295, (5559), 1500-1503.
36. Yoshino, S.; Hayakawa, K.; Kanematsu, K., Reagent design and study of p-benzoquinone derivatives. Site-selective cycloaddition reaction of diquinones and photochemical cage formation of the adducts. Journal of Organic Chemistry 1981, 46, (19), 3841-6.
37. Godt, A.; Enkelmann, V.; Schlueter, A. D., Double-stranded molecules: a beltene derivative and the corresponding open chain polymer. Angewandte Chemie 1989, 101, (12), 1704-6.
38. Houk, K. N.; Li, Y., Double-stranded molecules: a [6]beltene derivative and the corresponding open-chain polymer. Chemtracts: Organic Chemistry 1990, 3, (5), 369-70.
39. Kintzel, O.; Luger, P.; Weber, M.; Schlueter, A. D., Ring-chain equilibrium between an [18]cyclazene derivative and a ladder oligomer. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 1998, (1), 99-105.
40. Krauss, R.; Weinig, H.-G.; Seydack, M.; Bendig, J.; Koert, U., Molecular signal transduction through conformational transmission of a perhydroanthracene transducer. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition 2000, 39, (10), 1835-1837.
41. Koert, U.; Krauss, R.; Weinig, H.-G.; Heumann, C.; Ziemer, B.; Mugge, C.; Seydack, M.; Bendig, J., 2,3,6,7-Tetrasubstituted decalins: biconformational transducers for molecular signal transduction. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2001, (3), 575-586.
42. Weinig, H.-G.; Krauss, R.; Seydack, M.; Bendig, J.; Koert, U., Molecular signal transduction fby conformational transmission: use of tetrasubstituted perhydroanthracenes as transducers. Chemistry--A European Journal 2001, 7, (10), 2075-2088.
43. Karle, M.; Bockelmann, D.; Schumann, D.; Griesinger, C.; Koert, U., Conformative coupling of two conformational molecular switches. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition 2003, 42, (37), 4546-45494.
44. Krauss, R.; Koert, U., Molecular signal transduction by conformational transmission. Synlett 2003, (5), 598-608.

# CHAPTER 3 - Towards the synthesis of new cyclododeciptycene based molecular gears 

### 3.1 Introduction

This new project was detoured from the previous beltene project. From knowledge gained in pursuing the [10]beltene derivative and literature study, successful cyclization of a molecular ring structure by Diels-Alder reaction depends on both closeness and right alignment of the diene and dienophile ends. In order to bring the two ends close together, a reasonable route coming out was to extend curved backbone of triptycenebisquinone, which led to a series of iptycene quinones with increased curvity. For cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone 3.59 (for nomenclature, see below) two quinone ends are both close enough and parallel to each other. If one end was transformed to diene component 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene, the two ends should be close and right on top of the other for intramolecular Diels-Alder cyclization as in 3.112. The basic idea of extension of simple triptycenebisquinone together with a goal of cyclization would naturally lead to a new beltene derivative cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone, a beautiful gearshaped molecule with $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry which was first proposed in my oral proposal in Dec. 2004.


Figure 3.1 From benzoquinone to cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone.

### 3.2 Background

### 3.2.1 Iptycenes and iptycene quinones

### 3.2.1.1 Iptycenes

"Iptycenes", coined by Harold Hart, "are extended triptycenes." They refer to a series of compounds derived from the idea of extension of triptycene in that two nearby triptycene units merged together by sharing a benzene ring (See Figure 3.2). The prefix (tri-, pent-, etc.) accounts for the number of benzene planes separated by ring bridges. ${ }^{1,2}$


Figure 3.2 Structural correlations between triptycene and pentiptycene; triptycene bisquinonone and pentiptycene trisquinone
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Figure 3.3 Some higher iptycenes.

Triptycene, the simplest member in the iptycene family, was first synthesized by P.D.Bartlett in 1942 for mechanistic study of radicals. ${ }^{3}$ Triptycene is a rigid $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetric gearlike molecule, with three benzene rings connected by a [2.2.2] ring bridge, forming the fundamental structural unit for iptycenes.

Pentiptycene, first synthesized in $1974,{ }^{4}$ is the first member of larger iptycenes. Two possible pentiptycenes exist theoretically due to two possible alignments (termed here zig-zag and armchair respectively, for their similarity to zig-zag and armchair carbon nanotubes) when two triptycene "merged" together by overlapping one benzene ring (Figure 3.2). ${ }^{1}$ Since quinone rings can only be overlaped in a zigzag way, iptycene quinones can only be derivatives of zigzag type of iptycenes. Thus only zigzag type of iptycenes will be discussed in this chapter.






3.3

Scheme 3.1 Synthesis of iptycenes
It was Harold Hart who first systematically explored syntheses of higher iptycenes based on aryne and Diels-Alder chemistry. ${ }^{1,2,5-8}$ A series of building blocks were developed and several higher iptycenes were successfully synthesized. In some cases, several synthetic routes are available. Both the selection of building blocks and the reaction sequence are crucial for achieving good yield. But in most cases, higher iptycenes remain difficult and/or tedious to synthesize and purify. Cycloiptycenes, iptycenes with ring structures, are even more challenging targets for synthesis (Figure 3.4). ${ }^{1,2}$ Up to now, no successful synthesis of them or their derivatives have been reported in literature. Among them, cyclododeciptycene (3.11) derivatives
have a honeycomb structure with a dihedral angle of $120^{\circ}$, which minimize the strain energy and make them more amenable for synthesis.






Figure 3.4 Cycloiptycenes.

### 3.2.1.2 Iptycene quinones

Similarly, iptycenes quinones are extended triptycene quinones (Figure 3.2). They can also been seen as iptycene derivatives with one or more benzene rings being replaced by quinone rings. Compared with iptycenes, iptycene quinones have interesting electrochemical and photochemical properties with embedded quinone rings in their structure. Furthermore, they have better derivatization ability, ${ }^{9}$ since the quinone ring can be converted to a benzene ring with one position being bromo, iodo, amino, nitro, cyano, or formyl group and its para-position being a hydroxy or alkoxy group. ${ }^{10}$ They would be important synthetic intermediates leading to a wide
range of iptycene derivatives which have potential applications in supramolecular and material chemistry.
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Figure 3.5 iptycenequinones (except 3.20 )





$\xrightarrow[\text { Dioxane, } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}]{\text { CAN }}$










Total $85 \%, 3.23: 3.24: 3.25=1: 2: 1$


Scheme 3.2 Synthesis of iptycene quinone

The idea of extension of triptycene bisquinone framework was first reported by Iwamura, H. in 1982 . $^{11}$ He found that triptycene bisquinone has lower electron potential than benzoquinone due to a transannular interaction in triptycene framework. An extended system would expected to show "higher electron affinity and therefore serve as a novel electron acceptor." ${ }^{11} \mathrm{He}$ then synthesized a series of iptycene quinones (3.19, $n=1,2,3$ ). It was found that extension of framework does stabilized the 1:2 complex with electron rich 2-(1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1,3dithiole (3.20) especially for compound 3.23. However, no detailed synthetic routes or structural identification data were provided. Higher iptycene quinones (3.19, $\mathrm{n}=4,5$ ) in all cisconfiguration have not been reported. They contain more units of triptycene bisquinone in a circular form and are predicted to be even better electron acceptors.

It was not until recently a detailed synthesis of higher iptycene quinones was reported by Zhu et.al. ${ }^{9}$ Interestingly, their syntheses were more like replica of the simple triptycene bisquione since basically they follow exactly the same reaction sequences. The higher iptycene quinones were synthesized from simpler iptycene quinones and anthracenes just as triptycene bisquinone were synthesized form 1,4-benzoquinone and 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene: a two step synthesis started from an one-pot Diels-Alder-enolization-oxidation reaction followed by another oxidative demethoxylation reaction using CAN as reagent as shown in Scheme 3.2. In the first step, the Diels-Alder adducts formed in situ were enolized in acetic acid media to form phenols, which was quickly oxidized to quinone rings by $p$-chloroanil. However, a mixture of possible products was formed and it is usually difficult to separate them in this stage. This will increase the task of separation of different products in the final CAN oxidation.

In our lab, we independently synthesized pentiptycene trisquinone 3.21 and 3.22 (LK-4064, LK-4-070) before the publication of Zhu's paper. We did Diels-Alder reaction in refluxing toluene. Adducts were first separated and then enolized and oxidized in a refluxing benzene with sodium hydride and silver oxide as shown in Scheme 3.2. The stepwise method in our lab may seem tedious because of the extra step. It gave the opportunity to separate individual Diels-Alder adduct and identify their structures. Later, we found Diels-Alder adducts containing inherent structural indicators in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectum, which were proved to be very useful to determine the structure of iptycenequinones derived from them. Besides, using a pure single Diels-Alder adduct for later steps would give only one iptycenequinone as product and thus reduced the purification demand in later steps.

### 3.2.1.3 Properties and applications of iptycene and iptycene quinones

1) Intramolecular charge transfer study.

Charge transfer is a behavior of a partial electronic charge transfer from an electron donor to an electron acceptor in an excited state when these two groups are close to each other. A new and strong band can be observed in UV-Vis spectrum due to charge transfer interaction. Iptycene structure provides unique alignments of electron donors and acceptors for the study of transannular charge transfer behavior. ${ }^{12-14}$


Figure 3.6 Electron spectrum of 9, 10-dihydro-9, 10-(1,2-tropylio)anthracene tetrafluoroborate (3.27) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(-)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(---)$.
(Taken from reference ${ }^{12}$ without permission)
The first spectrum evidence of the existence of transannular charge-transfer interaction in the tripycene framework was reported by Murata et.al in 1977. ${ }^{12}$ The new broad absorption band at $300 \sim 450 \mathrm{~nm}$ was formed due to a charge-transfer interaction between tropylium ion and benzene rings. The red-shift of the band from solvent acetonitrile to solvent methylene chloride agreed with that of a charge-transfer band.

A year later, Iwamura et al. reported a new triptycene system incorporated a $p$ benzoquinone ring as the acceptor and a hydroquinone ring as the donor. ${ }^{13}$ The observed $\lambda_{\max }$ of charge-transfer band in DMSO is 430 nm , which is almost comparable to that of quinhydrone. ${ }^{13}$ The strong charge-transfer band could not be explained simply by the through space interaction between the hydroquinone and $p$-benzoquinone rings in a dihedral angle of $120^{\circ}$ alone. A
through-bond homoconjugation model was proposed by the author as shown in Figure 3.7. The carbon-carbon $\sigma$ orbitals in [2.2.2] bicyclic ring bridge have both high $p$-character and off-center bent bond nature, which facilitate their interaction with $\pi$ orbital of nearby donor and acceptor rings. ${ }^{13}$




Figure 3.7 Through-bond homoconjugative interaction between hydroquinone and $p$-benzoquinone rings in a triptycene framework.
(Taken from reference ${ }^{13}$ without permission)
Murata studied the other two triptycene systems in Figure 3.8 by focusing the effect of different substitution in the electron donating moiety to the wavelength of charge transfer band. ${ }^{14}$ It was found that 5 - or 8 - substituents showed little effect to $\lambda_{\max }$ of charge-transfer band, while electron donating 6- or 7- substituents caused a significent red-shift, which was rationalized by orbital symmetry analysis.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a, } R^{5}=R^{6}=R^{7}=R^{8}=H \\
& \text { b, } R^{6}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, R^{5}=R^{7}=R^{8}=H \\
& \text { c, } R^{5}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, R^{6}=R^{7}=R^{8}=H \\
& \text { d, } R^{6}=R^{7}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, R^{5}=R^{8}=H \\
& \text { e, } R^{5}=R^{8}=0 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, R^{6}=R^{7}=H
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3.8 Two other triptycene systems with transannular charger-transfer interaction studied by Murata. ${ }^{14}$
2) Photoinduced electron transfer study.

Photoinduced electron transfer (PET) is the key process in photosynthesis, during which light energy is converted to an electronic potential energy due to the separation of charges. Many synthetic PET models containing an electron donor chromophore such as porphyrin linked to acceptor moietie such as quinones in a molecule have been reported. ${ }^{15}$

The rigid structure of iptycene quinones together with the adjustable number of quinone rings and their distances to the donor chromophore make them an ideal acceptor to study the
influence of such factors to PET activities. ${ }^{16-18}$ quinones and pentiptycene quinones are shown in Figure 3.9.


Figure 3.9 Iptycenequinones as electron acceptor in photoinduced electron transfer studies. ${ }^{17,18}$ 3) Intermolecular charge transfer interactions of iptycene quinones with electron donors.

Besides the above intramolecular charge transfer interactions, iptycene quinones form charge-transfer complex with small organic electron donors in solution. Iwamura et al. reported triptycene bisquinone (3.17) and cis,cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23) formed a 1:2 complex with 2-(1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1,3-dithiole (TTF, 3.20) in methylene chloride. From theromo-dynamic data listed in Table 3.1, compound 3.23 is a better electron acceptor. In a higher TTF concentration, a 1:3 or even 1:4 complexes were formed for 3.23. ${ }^{11}$

|  | $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}(\mathrm{nm})$ | $-\Delta \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{KJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $-\Delta \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{deg}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $-\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{KJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 7 \cdot} \cdot 2 \mathrm{TTF}$ | 760 | $37.7 \pm 0.4$ | $90.0 \pm 1.5$ | $10.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 2 3 \cdot 2 \mathrm { TTF }}$ | 774 | $45.1 \pm 2.0$ | $104.6 \pm 6.5$ | $13.5 \pm 0.6$ |

Table 3.1 Thermodynamic constants for $3.17 \cdot 2 \mathrm{TTF}$ and $3.23 \cdot 2 \mathrm{TTF}$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{11}$
4) Iptycene-containing poly(p-phenyleneethynylene)s (PPEs) and poly(iptycene)s

Ipytcene-containing polymers have been mainly investigated in Swager's group at MIT since 1998. ${ }^{19-27}$ Most of their work is focused on iptycene-containing PPEs, which are conjugated polymers with a backbone of alternating benzene rings and acetylenes. Examples of PPEs (3.37) and iptycene-containing PPEs are shown in Figure 3.10. In PPEs, more efficient electron delocalization and excition migration along polymer backbone allows amplified sensory responses compared with small-molecule-based sensors. ${ }^{28}$ However, the usefulness of PPEs in thin films is offset by low fluorescence quantum yields due to $\pi-\pi$ stacking and interchain excimer/exciplex formation, which also causes a substantial red-shift relative to solution values in the absorption and fluorescence spectrum ( 3.37 in Figure 3.11). Incoporation of rigid iptycenes in PPEs have been found to reduce interchain interactions by spatial isolation of polymer backbones. Thus iptycene-containing PPEs show improved photoluminescent stability and quantum yields in the solid state. ${ }^{20}$ Correspondently, little or no redshifts in thin film relative to solution values would be found in the absorption and fluorescence spectra. In addition, the "internal free volume" in iptycene moieties provides additional channel-like cavities to facilitate rapid analytes diffusion and achieve faster signal responses (Figure 3.12). Also, the insertion of iptycene units into a polymer backbone also lowers its dielectric constant, ${ }^{24}$ increases its solubility ${ }^{25}$ and improves mechanical properties. ${ }^{29}$ Ipytcene containing PPEs are potential sensory materials for detecting TNT. ${ }^{19,20}$


Figure 3.10 Iptycene-containing poly(p-phenyleneethynylene)s (PPEs) ${ }^{20,} 22,25,30$


Figure 3.11 Absorption and fluorescence spectra of 3.37, 3.38, 3.39 in methylene chloride and 3.41, 3.42, 3.43 in chloroform (dash line) and thin films (solid line) ${ }^{19,20,25}$
(Taken from reference ${ }^{19,20,25}$ without permission)


Figure 3.12 Iptycene-containing poly(p-phenyleneethynylene)s (PPEs)
show channel-like cavities ${ }^{20}$ (Taken from reference ${ }^{20}$ without permission)
Schanze's group reported a platinum acetylide polymer (3.46) containing penticene units in the polymer backbone (Figure 3.13). ${ }^{31}$ Platinum acetylide polymers, such as 3.45, display phosphorescence at room temperature due to their high efficient intersystem crossing. The optical properties of two polymers 3.45 and 3.46 were studied both in solution and in the solid state. Similar results were drawn. Polymer 3.45 showed phosphorescence emission from an interchain aggregate in solid state, while dominating intrachain phosphorescence emission were observed for polymer 3.46.


Figure 3.13 Structure of a penticene containing platinum acetylide polymer $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ and its parent polymer 3.45

3.46

3.47

3.48

3.49

Figure 3.14 Poly(iptycene)s
Another type of iptycene polymers reported are poly(iptycene)s, which contain iptycene units in a extended ladder-like double strand structure (Figure 3.14). ${ }^{27,32,33}$ The unique shapepersistent structure and high free volume inside the polymer has been utilized as a "threading" host for other linear polymer molecules. The polymer 3.47 was found to "align perpendicular to strech-aligned PVC". ${ }^{33}$


Figure 3.15 Perpendicular alignment of poly(iptycene) 3.45 with stretch-aligned PVC molecules. ${ }^{33}$ (Taken from reference ${ }^{33}$ without permission)
5) Other properties and applications.

Other properties and applications which have not mentioned above are listed below. First, iptycenes have high melting points and thermal stability, and they could be used in heat-resistant materials. ${ }^{1}$ Second, their packing behaviors in crystal structures ${ }^{34-36}$ and self-assembly behavior on metal surface ${ }^{37}$ have been studied recently, which will further our understanding the interaction of these molecules in microscopic level. Third, their rigid structures have been used to design molecular gate ${ }^{38}$ or molecular probe for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion. ${ }^{39}$ Moreover, triptyenes have been used as molecular gears, which will be discussed in the coming section. Furthermore, triptycene bisquinone derivatives showed anticancer and antimalaial activities and other bioactivities. ${ }^{40-42}$

Overall, most of reported research on iptycenes were based on triptycenes and pentipycenes systems. There were limited reports on heptipycene and noniptycene derivatives and their applications. No higher iptycene derivatives and cycloiptycenes have ever been synthesized and reported. The synthetic effects to cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone (3.1) will bring a series of higher iptycene derivatives, which are expected to show interesting electronic and optical properties and potential applications in supramolecular chemistry and material chemistry due to both their unique structure and properties.

### 3.2.2 Molecular Gears

### 3.2.2.1 Molecular gears and their functions

The targeted molecule cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone (3.1) forms a perfect molecular gear with a macropolycyclic ring incorporated six $p$-benzoquinonone as the shaft and six benzene rings as teeth. It would be expected to have a potential application as a building part in a molecule machine just as its macroscopic counterparts are important building parts in a machine such as a car or a watch in our daily life. Gears mainly play three functions: 1) transferring the information about the direction of rotation, 2) adjusting the speed of rotation, 3) changing rotational axis. ${ }^{43}$ For the first function, as illustrated in Figure 3.16, in a molecular gear system 3.50 reported by Bryan et.al., ${ }^{44}$ or a more complicated gear chain system $\mathbf{3 . 5 1}$ reported by Iwamura et.al. ${ }^{45}$ the direction of rotation of two neighboring gears are opposite. In this fashion, the information of the directions of rotation is transferred from one end of the gear train to the other end depending on the number of gears (the number of reversion). The last two functions are seen in a molecular bevel gear system reported by Richards et. al. ${ }^{46}$ as shown in Figure 3.17.

Not only the direction of rotational axis turned $90^{\circ}$ from the small gear (triptycene) to the large gear, but also the speed of rotation are different. Since the number of teeth passed the joggle in a certain period of time are same for both gears, it takes the small gear less time to finish a round because it has less teeth attached to it. In other words, small gear rotates faster and big gear rotates slower in a gear system with their relative speed depending on the ratio of the number of teeth in each gear. Currently the number of teeth in a molecular gear can be two (aromatic amide gears), three (triptycenene molecular gears), and four (metallocene molecular gears). The cyclododeciptycene based molecular gears would be first 6-teeth gears in the molecular level.

(a)

(b)


3.51

Figure 3.16 Transduction of the direction of rotation in molecular gears: a) two neighboring molecular gears with opposite directions of rotation.(the left picture is taken from reference ${ }^{47}$ page 280 without permission, the right crystal structure from reference ${ }^{44}$ ) b) the transferring of the direction of rotations in a molecular train. (picture from reference ${ }^{47}$ page 280 without permission)


Figure 3.17 A macroscopic and a molecular bevel gear (taken from reference ${ }^{47}$ page 280 without permission).

### 3.2.2.2 Study of rotation behavior of molecular gears.

The most important behavior associated to a molecular gear is its rotation, which is often studied by NMR method. In the gear systems based on triptycene and metallocenes, rotation barriers are usually small. For the simple molecular gear system 3.50, both triptycene gears rotate very fast on the NMR timescale above $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, since the aromatic region only show two peaks in NMR. When decreasing temperature, the rotation slows down, reflected by the broadening of aromatic peaks. Finally, under the temperature below $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, individual sets of signals are seen in NMR. ${ }^{44}$

For the tristriptycene molecular gear train 3.51, the two benzene rings on the triptycene outside have been labeled with Cl. Two phase isomers meso- and racemic $d-/ l-$ can be existed (Figure 3.18). It was calculated that the inner rotatory (gear-like rotation) barrier is no higher than $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, whereas the gear slippage barrier was $43.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ by studying the conversion between two phase isomers in the temperature $238 \sim 332{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{48}$


Figure $3.18{ }^{45}$

(c)

(b)

(d)


Figure 3.19 NMR of 3.52 at a) 260 K, b) 233 K, c) 220 K, d) 203 K (Taken form reference ${ }^{45}$ without permission)
In the case of the molecular bevel gear 3.52, the simplicity of the NMR peaks in the aromatic region shows the rotations are very fast for both gears on the NMR timescale at 260 K . Then in the spectrum at 203 K , the peaks are broadened a little, which means the ration is slower at lower temperature. (Figure 3.19)

Han et.al reported a computer simulation study of the rotation of carbon nanotube-based molecular gears by molecular dynamics. ${ }^{49}$ One of the gears was first drove to rotate by charge separation caused by a laser in the simulation condition. The angular momentum was transferred to the other gear. The gears functioned well in the temperature range $600 \sim 1000 \mathrm{~K}$ with rotation
rates between $50 \sim 100 \mathrm{GHz}$. At higher temperature, these molecular gears did not work well due to the inefficient conversion of input energy to rotational motion. ${ }^{49}$


Figure 3.20 a) Carbon [14,0]nanotube-based gears with benzyne teeth on every two sixmembered ring. b) In-line multiple rows of teeth gears. ${ }^{49}$ (Taken form reference ${ }^{49}$ without permission)

### 3.3 Synthetic studies towards cyclododeciptycene based molecular gears

### 3.3.1 Retrosynthetic analysis of cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone








Figure 3.21

The target cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone molecule gear can be conveniently divided as teeth parts and shaft part. Teeth parts are simple benzene rings with two single bonds connected to the shaft, a [12]cyclacene based macropolycycle. For convenience, the six quinone rings in the shaft are named by numbers as $1,3,5,7,9,11$ according to a clock. The teeth are labelled as 2 , $4,6,8,10,12$ clockwisely.

There are many different ways to break the molecule to different sizes of fractions in retrosynthetic analysis. For convenience, these small fractions or intermediates are categorized by the number of teeth remained in them. A list of possible intermediates is shown in Figure 3.22. Based on these synthetic intermediates, two strategic retrosynthetic routes could be proposed: stepwise and convergent.

One tooth intermediates

3.53

Three teeth intermediates

3.56


3.17

Two teeth intermediates

3.55

3.21
Four teeth intermediates


Figure 3.22 Synthetic intermediates for cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone with different number of teeth remained


Scheme 3.3 Proposed stepwise retrosynthetic analysis of cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone to iptycene quinones.

For the stepwise route, a retrosynthetic Diels-Alder transformation converts 3.1 to key synthetic intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 6 1}$ which contains a quinone end and a dimethoxyanthracene end. The disconnection position is between ring No. 7 and ring No. 6. From intermediate 3.61, one tooth is taken off to form 5 -teeth intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ by an $o$-quinodimethane Diels-Alder transformation. Then, further teeth reduction transformation to take off either one tooth or two teeth at a time will convert cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone 3.59 to lower iptycene quinones 3.57 , 3.23, 3.21, and 3.17. Triptycene bisquinone 3.17 is synthesized from 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene and 1,4-benzoquinone. The transformation of increased teeth number starting from 1,4benzoquinone to cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone 3.59 is generalized in Scheme 3.4. One quinone end in an iptycenequinone or benzoquinone is first reacted with 1,4dimethoxyanthracene, a one tooth intermediate, in toluene. The Diels-Alder adducts are separated and enolized in refluxing acetic acid (sometimes catalyzed by hydrobromic acid). The final oxidative demethoxylation by CAN gives a new iptycenequinone with one more tooth. For iptycene quinone with two quinone ends, two teeth are added if the first Diels-Alder reaction using excess amount of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene. Theoretically, 5-teeth intermediate 3.59 can be
synthesized in nine steps from 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene and 1,4-benzoquinone through three repititive tooth expansion transformation cycles.


Scheme 3.4 Tooth expansion transformation
For the convergent route, cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone (3.1) are retrosynthetically split into two parts, one bisdienophile intermediate such as iptycene quinone. (3.57, 3.23, 3.21, 3.17) and one bisdiene tweezer-like intermediate (3.55, 3.56, 3.58, 3.60) or two identical three teeth intermediate (3.62) which contain both quinone ring and 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene moiety as shown in Scheme 3.5. The bisdiene intermediate can be synthesized from corresponding quinone through o-quinodimethane Diels-Alder reaction as key transformation. (Scheme 3.17)








Scheme 3.5 Proposed convergent retrosynthetic analysis of cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone

### 3.3.2 Synthesis of iptycenequinones

From retrosynthetic analysis, the key intermediates towards the cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone are iptycenequinones (3.17, 3.21, 3.23, 3.57, 3.59). The prior three of them are known compounds. The latter two have never been synthesized before. The general synthetic strategy follows the tooth expansion transformation outlined in Scheme 3.4.

### 3.3.2.1) Synthesis of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene (3.54)

1,4-Dimethoxyanthracene (3.54) is an important one-tooth building block for iptycenequinones. 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene was synthesized from commercially available quinizarin (3.63) in three steps. ${ }^{41}$ First, quinizarin was reduced with sodium borohydride in methanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours and then quenched with hydrochloric acid to afford $95 \%$ of $1,4-$ anthracenedione. The reaction mechanism was shown in Scheme 3.6. The addition of hydride to the quinone ring to form a tetraol intermediate, which was protonated in acidic condition and underwent elimination of water to form 1,4-anthracenedione (3.65). Then 1,4-Anthracenedione (3.65) was treated with sodium hydrosulfite in a $1: 1$ mixture solvent of water and dioxane at room temperature for 10 hours. The 1,4-anthracenediol (3.66) was obtained in $82 \%$ yield and further methylated in dry DMF solution using sodium hydride as base and methyl iodide as methylation agent to give 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene (3.54) in $65 \%$ yield.


Scheme 3.6 Synthesis of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene, an important one-tooth building block
The 1,4-anthracenedione (3.65) can also be synthesized starting from 1,4-dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.67) and 1,4-benzoquinone as shown in Scheme 3.7. The sultine 3.67 was refluxed in an excess amount of 1,4-benzoquinone in dry benzene for 2 hours. The monoadduct 3.68 was obtained in a yield of $39 \%$ after crystallization of the crude mixture from methanol. ${ }^{50}$ Then 3.68 was enolized and oxidized in refluxing acetic acid with choranil to get
quantitative yield of 1,4 -anthracenedione. This route is not economically better than the route mentioned above, but it shows that the 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene can be synthesized from even smaller building blocks 3.67 and 1,4-benzoquinone. All the iptycenequinones (3.17, 3.21, 3.23, $3.57,3.59$ ) and the target cyclododeciptycenehexaquinone (3.1) are retrieved back to simple onetooth component (3.67) and shaft component (1,4-benzoquinone) in synthesis (Figure 3.21).


Scheme 3.7 Another route to 1,4-anthracenedione

### 3.3.2.2) Synthesis of triptycene bisquinone (3.17)

The synthesis of triptycene bisquinone was first reported by Iwamura in 1978 starting from 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene and 1,4-benzoquinone. ${ }^{13}$ We modified the procedure with basically the same reaction sequence described in Scheme 3.4. First, the Diels-Alder reaction of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene and 1,4-benzoquinone in refluxing toluene for 36 hours afforded a mixture of $1: 1$ endo- and exo-adducts ( $\mathbf{3 . 6 9}, 3.70$ ) in a yield of $96 \%$. The two adducts were partially separable in column chromatography and they can be differentiated by chemical shifts in ${ }^{1}$ HNMR. It was predicted the chemical shift of methoxy protons of endo-adduct should be larger than that of exo-adduct, since the methoxy groups in endo-adduct are closer to electronegative carbonyl oxygen atoms, as are protons on the 2,3-position of dimethoxybenzene ring. On the contrary, the chemical shift of protons in half-quinone rings of endo-adduct should be smaller than that of exo-adduct due to the better shielding effect of 1,4-dimethoxybenzene ring in endo-adduct compared to benzene ring in exo-adduct. The less polar one is believed to be endo-adduct 3.69 from its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum compared to that of more polar one. Some chemical shifts of two isomers were given in Scheme 3.8.

The adducts $(3.69,3.70)$ were then treated with refluxing acetic acid with a catalytic amount of hydrobromic acid for 10 minutes. An offwhite solid was then precipitated. Simple filtration will give enolized intermediate 3.71 in $87 \%$ yield. ${ }^{42}$ The enolisation could be done in basic conditions using potassium hydroxide in aqueous dioxane reported by Iwamura. ${ }^{13}$ Previous work in our lab showed that the basic condition gave $82 \%$ yield of 3.71 after extra acidic workup.
(Reference ${ }^{51}$, page 88) Apparently, the acidic conditions gave better yield and an easier procedure. Moreover, based on mechanism, $\alpha$-protons of carbonyl group were first deprotonated by hydroxyl anion in basic condition to form enolate. This was sometimes difficult because of steric hindrance of the carbonyl $\alpha$-proton. In acidic condition, there would no such problem because the enolisation is catalyzed by the protonation of more open carbonyl group.

Finally, oxidative demethoxylation of intermediate 3.71 using excess amount of cerium ammoniuim nitrate (CAN) in a mixture of dioxane and water at room temperature for 5 hours gave triptycene bisquinone (3.17) in $93 \%$ yield. According to the mechanism proposed by Jacob et.al., a bis-methoxyhemiacetal intermediate 3.72 was formed and then methoxy groups was cleaved to form quinone ring. ${ }^{52}$


Scheme 3.8 Synthesis of triptycene bisquinone
When 2 eq. of CAN was used instead of 6 eq. in the same reaction condition, dimethoxytriptycene monoquinone 3.73 was formed predominantly because of the much faster rate of phenol oxidation compared to the oxidative demethoxylation of the $1,4-$ dimethoxybenzene ring. The intermediate 3.73 is synthetically useful as triptycenebisquinone equivalence with one quinone end protected as 1,4-dimethoxybenzene. Compound 3.73 was also
synthesized in one pot by treating the Diels-Alder adducts 3.69 and 3.70 with sodium hydride and silver oxide in refluxing benzene and THF in our lab. (Reference ${ }^{53}$, page 57)

### 3.3.2.3) Synthesis of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone (3.21)

The synthesis of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone (3.21) has been reported by two other groups. ${ }^{9,54}$ In the recent Zhu and Chen's paper, ${ }^{9}$ a modified procedure was taken as shown in Scheme 3.9. First, the Diels-Alder reaction of 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene and the dimethoxytriptycene monoquinone 3.73 in refluxing acetic acid with $p$-chloranil as oxidizer afforded a mixture of 3.74 and 3.75 in a yield of $82 \%$. Compounds 3.74 and 3.75 were not separable and used as a mixture for CAN oxidation. Two pentiptycene trisquinones were formed in a yield of $28 \%$ and $45 \%$ for cis-isomer (3.21) and trans-isomer (3.22) respectively, which were separable by column chromatography. The shortcoming of their synthesis was the wanted cis-isomer was obtained as minor product. In the other paper by Spyroudis et al., even less yield of the similar synthesis was reported. ${ }^{54}$ They also reported an unusual route to compounds 3.74 and 3.75 by reaction of dimethoxytriptycene choloquinone (3.76) with sodium methoxide in refluxing methanol with impractical yield of $10 \%$.


Scheme 3.9 Literature syntheses of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone
Before the publication of Zhu's work, we synthesized same intermediates 3.74 and 3.75 in two steps (Scheme 3.2).The four Diels-Alder adducts of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene (3.54) and dimethoxytriptycene monoquinone (3.73) in toluene were first separated and then treated with sodium hydride and silver oxide in refluxing benzene to give a mixture of 3.74 and 3.75. But the CAN oxidation gave an approximately $2: 1$ ratio of pentiptycene trisquinones in favor of cis-
pentiptycene trisquinone (more polar spot in TLC). The only explanation was that the mixture of 3.74 and 3.75 synthesized in our lab have a higher portion of 3.74 .




Scheme 3.10
Later, we modified the synthesis of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone. The Diels-Alder reaction of dimethoxytriptycene monoquinone (3.73) with 3 eq. of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene (3.54) underwent at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath temperature) in a sealed tube using dry toluene as solvent for 36 hours. The yellow precipitate was collected by filteration to give $44 \%$ yield of a mixture of only two adducts (about 7:3 ratio), which were identified as endo-cis-adduct (3.77) and exo-trans-adduct (3.78) based on both NMR methods and derivtization. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (silica gel) to give another $49 \%$ yield of a mixture of
four isomers in a ratio of approximately 1:4:7:6 (endo-cis-adduct 3.77, exo-trans-adduct 3.78, endo-trans-adduct 3.79, exo-cis-adduct 3.80) from integrals in ${ }^{1}$ HNMR. The calculated total yield of four adducts was $93 \%$ with $31 \%$ for $3.77,25 \%$ for $3.78,19 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}, 18 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ respectively. A mixture of two isomers $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ could be obtained from column chromatography followed by recrystalization.


Scheme 3.11
The ${ }^{1}$ HNMR assignments of four adducts were given in Scheme 3.10. They were both deduced from the derivatization results and 2D-NOESY/COSY experments. For example, the precipitate containing two isomers only gave more polar cis-pentiptycene trisquinone after enolization and oxidative demethoxylation. From the structure of four possible adducts, we knew only endo-cis and exo-trans-adducts would give cis-pentiptycene trisquinone. Thus we knew which two isomers were in the precipitate. Then from the cross peaks in 2D-COSY and 2DNOESY spectra, the chemical shift of each proton in two isomers could be identified. The upshifted chemical shift values were underlined. They are characteristic to the corresponding structural moiety, which were useful for identifying the structure of Diels-Alder adducts and their derivatized iptycenequinones.

With four Diels-Alder adducts identified, the synthesis of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone could be started either from two isomers $(3.77,3.78)$ or four isomers. Enolisation of adducts in refluxing acetic acid with a catalytic amount of hydrobromic acid gave over $90 \%$ yield of tetramethoxypentiptycene monophenol ( $\mathbf{3 . 8 1}$ or a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 8 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}$ ). Then CAN
oxidation in aqueous acetonitrile gave over $80 \%$ yield of pentiptycene trisquinone ( $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ or a mixture of 3.21 and 3.22).

In our synthetic route, the total yield of the three-step-synthesis of cis-pentitycene trisquinone was at least $40 \%$ compared to $23 \%$ yield of two-step synthesis reported by Zhu. ${ }^{9}$ Also, pure intermediates 3.74 and 3.75 could be obtained using diacetoxyiodobenzene as oxidant from pure 3.81 or $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}$, which were derivatized from a mixture of two adducts [ 3.77 and $\mathbf{3 . 7 8}$ ) or ( $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ )]. The tetramethoxypentiptycene monoquinone 3.74 and 3.75 are useful intermediates for derivatization as the middle quinone ring can be converted to many functional groups. ${ }^{10}$

### 3.3.2.4) Synthesis of cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23)

cis, cis-Heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23) was first reported in 1982, ${ }^{11}$ however, its first detailed synthesis was only reported recently in a yield of $11 \%$ from triptycene bisquinone (3.17) by Zhu et al.. ${ }^{9}$ Their synthesis was a two step synthesis starting from a one-pot Diels-Alder-enolisation-oxidation reaction of triptycene bisquinone with excess amount of 1,4dimethoxyanthracene in refluxing acetic acid in the presence of chloranil as oxidizer and then CAN oxidative demethoxylation to give a mixture of three heptiptycene tetraquinones in a ratio about 1:2:1 for cis,cis-(3.23), cis,trans-(3.24), trans,trans-(3.25) isomers respectively. (Scheme 3.2)

We tried a Diels-Alder reaction of triptycene bisquinone and 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube using toluene as solvent for 2 days. To our surprise, a 1:1 ratio of two adducts ( $\mathbf{3 . 8 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$ ) precipitated in $87 \%$ yield showed extraordinary face-selectivity in the Diels-Alder reaction. If we considered that two faces of triptycene bisquinone have no facial seletivity, there would be seven possible diadducts formed as shown in Figure 3.23. (This conclusion is also applied to iptycenequinone 3.21 and 3.23 )

So what is the face-selectivity and where does it come from? One would guess that since the endo-face contains two electron deficient quinone rings compared to only one in the exo-face. Thus endo-face would attract electron-rich 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene better based on chargetransfer interaction as discussed in the background part. Let's suppose the first equivalent 1,4dimethoxyanthracene added from endo-face of anthracene bisquinone and blocked the second 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene molecule from adding to the same face. Because methoxy groups are electron-donating, the dimethoxybenzene ring (C ring, Scheme 3.4) has more electron density
than benzene ring (A ring, Scheme 3.4) in the 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene molecule, 1,4dimethoxyanthracene preferred inward alignment with C ring close to the other quinone ring that was not reacting. The second 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene molecule then had to add from exo-face. The $1: 1$ ratio of two diadducts suggested that the addition of second 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene did not have inward-outward selectivity. That is reasonable since the addition of second molecule of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene didn't have a quinone ring to induce such selectivity.


endo-out, exo-out
3.85


3.87

exo-in, exo-out
3.88

exo-out,exo-out,
3.89

Figure 3.23 Seven possible diadducts formed by triptycene bisquinone and 1,4demethoxyanthracene. (in, means the dimethoxy ring pointing inward to triptycene bisquinone; out, means the dimethoxy ring pointing outward to triptycene bisquinone.)
To verify this hypothesis, one equivalent of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene was reacted with triptycene bisquinone, predominantly one monoadduct was formed. The proposed structure was verified by 2D-NOESY experiment.


3.17




Scheme 3.12 Synthesis of cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23).
From structure of the monoadduct $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$, two diadducts precipitated were deduced as $\mathbf{3 . 8 3}$ and 3.84 respectively. The assignments of chemical shift were based on 2D-COSY and 2DNOESY. In careful column chromatography conditions, two isomers could be separated with the less polar one being 3.83. The synthesis of heptiptycene tetraquinone 3.23 and 3.24 were then pretty straight-forward as shown in Scheme 3.12. Enolisation of corresponding diadducts 3.83 in refluxing acetic acid with catalytic amount hydrobromic acid would afford corresponding tetramethoxyheptiptycene bisphenol (3.85) in $68 \%$ yield. Then the CAN oxidative demethoxylation would give the cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23) in a yield of $68 \%$. The total yield of 3.23 in three steps was $20 \%$, which was almost twice the yield reported
by Zhu. ${ }^{9}$ The structure of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ was further verified by X-ray crystal diffraction as shown in Figure 3.24.


Figure 3.24 X-ray structure of cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23)

### 3.3.2.5) Synthesis of cis,cis,cis-noniptycene pentaquinone (3.57)

Similar to the synthesis of cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone, the four-teeth iptycene quinone 3.57 was synthesized from cis-pentiptycene trisquinone (3.21) which is two-teeth less. The Diels-Alder reaction of 3.21 with excess amount of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene in a sealed tube at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using toluene as solvent for 36 hours gave mainly two diadducts which were separated by careful column chromatography. The less polar one corresponded to the (endo-in, exo-out)-isomer, while the more polar was the (endo-in, exo-in)-isomer. The structural assignments were based on so called "structural inherent NMR indicators." In other words, certain structural moieties in Diels-Alder adducts of iptycene quinone have unique patterns of peaks reflected in proton NMR which is inherent to the structure and can be used to identify the existence of this structure. As we seen in adducts 3.78, 3.83, the typical two upshift doubletdoublet peaks around $\delta 6.2 \sim 6.3$ and $6.7 \sim 6.8$ suggest an exo-out 1,4-methoxyanthracene moiety. The upshift of OMe proton (singlet around 3.6) and the corresponding $\alpha$ aromatic proton (singlet around $\delta 5.8$ ) in adducts $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$ indicate an exo-in 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene moiety. Here an even stronger upshift of OMe group (singlet around $\delta 3.5$ ) and the corresponding $\alpha$ aromatic proton (singlet around $\delta 5.3$ ) for both diadducts $\mathbf{3 . 9 2}$ and 3.93 indicates a common new structural moiety for them. It could only be endo-in 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene moiety since endo-out should have typical upshifted doublet-doublet peaks and the possiblity of exo-in and exo-out had already excluded. This is reasonable, since the first equivalent of 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene was
added to the more electrodeficient endo-face of cis-pentiptycene tetraquinone to form endo-in monoadduct 3.91 (Similar to the case of triptycene bisquinone 3.17), which was also verified from 2D-COSY and 2D-NOESY experiment. Then the second equivalence of 1,4dimethoxyanthracene added from exo-face of the other end quinone moiety with no in-out selectivity without the help of the other quinone moieties.


Scheme 3.13
Then pure diadduct 3.92 was treated with refluxing acetic acid and a catalytic amount of hydrobromic acid for 30 minutes. After evaporation of acetic acid, an unexpected messy crude product was formed, and the desired enolised intermediates 3.94 were difficult to purify because of high polarity and are inclined to be oxidized by air. We then treated the crude enolized product with diacetoxyiodobenzene in acetone. The oxidation took about 10 minutes to half an hour. The tetramethoxynoniptycene trisquinone intermediate 3.95 was obtained in a yield of $20 \%$. Final oxidative demethoxylation using CAN would give the cis, cis, cis-noniptycene pentiquinone(3.57) in $30 \%$ of yield. The reduced yield of oxidative demethoxylation was probably because of the electron withdrawing effect of middle quinone rings, which might be caused by through-bond homoconjugative interaction as we discussed in the background part.

The electron density in the terminal 1,4-dimethoxybenzene rings was reduced, as were their susceptiblity to oxidation reagent.



Scheme 3.14 Synthesis of cis,cis,cis-noniptycene pentaquinone (3.57).
The yield of cis,cis,cis-noniptycene pentiquinone (3.57) dropped tremendously compared with its one-tooth less cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23). Better conditions for enolization and oxidative demethoxylation are needed for practical synthesis of it as a building block for cyclododeciptycenes.

### 3.3.2.6) Synthesis of cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone (3.59)

Similar to the synthesis of four-teeth iptycene quinone, the five-teeth all cis-iptycene quinone 3.59 was synthesized from three-teeth cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone (3.23). The Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ with excess amount of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene in a sealed tube at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using toluene and tetrachloromethane as solvent for 36 hours, two diadducts were precipitated in a ratio of $4: 1$. Tetrachloromethane was used as cosolvent to increase the solubility of the tetraquinone (3.23). It is a nonpolar solvent like toluene and would not change the faceselectivity of the Diels-Alder reaction. Similarly, structures of two diadducts were deduced both from "structural inherent NMR indicators" and predicted face-selectivity. As before, we predict the first equivalent of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene added from endo-face and formed endo-in monoadduct 3.96 as the major isomer. The second equivalent of 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene reacted from exo-face of the other quinone moiety in the other end without in-out selectivity.

This prediction was mostly correct. The two isomers in the precipitate showed the predicted "structural inherent NMR patterns". They both have a tremendous upshift OMe protons (singlet, $\delta \approx 3.34$ ) and corresponding $\alpha$ protons (singlet, $\delta \approx 4.8$ ), which means they have an endo-in dimethoxyanthracene moiety. The major isomer in precipitate (also less polar spot from careful column chromatography) has a characteristic set of two doublet-doublet peaks around $\delta 6.20$ and $\delta 6.70$, which is an indicator for exo-out configuration. The major isomer in the precipitate was then identified as the structure 3.97a in Scheme 3.15. The other isomer was determined as $\mathbf{3 . 9 7 b}$.


Scheme 3.15
From the above discussion, one would expect the formation of 3.97 a and $3.97 b$ be equal. However, after combined recovered diadducts from filtrate, yields for $\mathbf{3 . 9 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 9 7 b}$ were $58 \%$ and $25 \%$ respectively. What was the reason for a less amount of (endo-in, exo-in)-diadduct formed in the reaction? We noticed an abnomal upshift of the $\alpha$ protons of carbonyl groups in the exo-site for both adducts 3.97 a and 3.97 b with adduct $3.97 b$ upshifted $\delta 0.21$ more (Scheme 3.15, protons labeled with arrow and chemical shift). This big difference in chemical shift means the $\alpha$ protons of carbonyl groups in exo-site of 3.97 b are closer to a deshielding 1,4dimethoxybenzene ring of the endo-in dimethoxyanthracene moiety. It may explained by a
"seesaw" model as shown in Figure 3.25. The exo-dimethoxyanthracene moiety together with the $\alpha$ proton of carbonyl group worked like a "seesaw" with the $\alpha$ carbon of carbonyl as pivot. Because the diemthoxybenzene ring is sterically larger than benzene ring, it is relatively "downward" in isomer 3.97b. So the $\alpha$ proton of carbonyl group is relatively "upward" and closer to the inner dimethoxy benzene ring, which increases the repulsion between them. The raised repulsion in its closely packed structure caused diadduct $\mathbf{3 . 9 7 b}$ being energetically less favorable than the desired (endo-in, exo-out)-diadduct 3.97a used for synthesis of all cisundeciptycenehexaquinone (3.59). It was somewhat amazing how a combination of faceseletivity and steric effects would finally afford one out of seven possible isomers in $58 \%$ yield in this special case.


Figure 3.25
Similarly, pure diadduct 3.97 was treated with refluxing acetic acid with catalytic amount of hydrobromic acid for 30 minutes. After evaporizing acetic acid, a messy crude product was formed and the enolised intermediates $\mathbf{3 . 9 8}$ were difficult to purify because of high polarity and inclined to oxidation by air. We then oxidized the crude enolized product with diacetoxyiodobenzene in acetone. The tetramethoxyundeciptycene tetraquinone intermediate 3.99 was obtained in a yield of $29 \%$. Final oxidative demethoxylation using CAN only gave the cis, cis, cis, cis-undeciptycene hexaquinone (3.59) in a yield of $30 \%$. The low yield was probably related to through-bond homoconjugative interaction of inner quinone moieties. Again, better conditions for enolization and oxidative demethoxylation are need.





Scheme 3.16 Synthesis of cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone (3.59).

### 3.3.3 Synthesis of bisdimethoxyanthracenes

The bisdimethoxyanthracenes (3.56, 3.58, and 3.60) are bisdiene building blocks for cyclododeciptycenes. Pentacene 3.55 can also be seen as a bisdiene building block. After first Diels-Alder reaction with its anthracene part, the remaing three rings can be aromatized to generate another anthracene unit for a second Diels-Alder reaction. Currently we have only synthesized a 2 -teeth bisdiene building block 3.55 and a 3 -teeth bisdiene building block 3.56. The key step to them were the Diels-Alder reaction of corresponding quinone with 1,4-dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.53), an " $o$-quinodimethane" precursor.
" $O$-quinodimethane" is a useful and efficient diene intermediate for Diels-Alder reactions. ${ }^{55,56}$ There are many ways to generate it, including 1,4-elimination of $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ 'dihalo-oxylenes, thermolysis of benzocyclobutenes, photoenolization of o-methylbenzaldehydes, Hoffman elimination of (o-methylbenzy 1)trimethylammonium hydroxide, decomposition of benzo-fused heterocyclic compounds. ${ }^{55}$ We chose 1,4-dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.53)
as precursor because it generates o-quinodimethane at mild conditions upon heating around 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and does not form other organic or inorganic byproducts except for sulfur dioxide in presence of a dienophile. The gereration of sulfur dioxide in situ has another advantage. Sulfer dioxide catalyzes the enolisation of initial formed Diels-Alder adducts, so the Diels-Alder reaction and enolisation can be performed in one-pot. If there is no dienophile present, o-quinodimethane generated at the reaction temperature will react back with sulfur dioxide to form sideproduct 1,3-dihydro-2-benzothiophene-2,2-dioxide (3.100)


Scheme 3.17 Formation of an 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene from quinone end.
The general reaction sequences and typical reaction conditions for transformation of a quinone to 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene moiety were given in Scheme 3.17. The reaction of quinone with excess amount of 1,4-dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.53) in a sealed tube at temperature around $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ would give phenol as the major product. After methoxylation and oxidation, the 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene unit was installed.

### 4.3.3.1) Synthesis of 1,4-dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.53) ${ }^{57}$

The sultine 3.53 was synthesized in two steps. First, o-xylene 3.101 was brominated in refluxing benzene using N -Bromosuccinimide (NBS) as a brominating reagent and benzoyl peroxide as a radical initiator for 2 hours. During concentration of the reaction mixture, dibromide 3.102 was precipited together with succinimide. The latter can be washed away by aqueous workup and the dibromide was obtained in $41 \%$ yield. The next step is treatment of dibiromide 3.102 with sodium hydroxymethanesulfinate (Rongalite) and a cataylic amount of tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide (DMF) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The yield for 3.53 was $65 \%$ from the dibromide.


Scheme 3.18
The mechanism for the last step was proposed in Scheme 3.19. Intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction of Rongalite with the dibromide first formed sulfone intermediate 3.103, which underwent intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ to displace the second bromide anion. The intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 4}$ then eliminated a molecule of formaldehyde to give the sultine 3.53.


Scheme 3.19

### 3.3.3.2) Synthesis of 6,13-dimethoxy-5,14-dihydropentacene (3.55)

As generalized in Scheme 3.17, synthesis of the pentacene diene component 3.55 started from the Diels-Alder reaction of 1,4-anthracenedione (3.65) and the sultine (3.53). When two reactants were refluxed in a sealed tube using toluene as solvent at an oil bath temperature of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 hours, a dark green solid $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 5}$ precipitated in a yield of $91 \%$. The phenol $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 5}$ was methylated by methyl iodide in DMF using sodium hydride as base to afford $62 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$.


Scheme 3.20
3.3.3.3) Synthesis of 6,8,15,17-tetramethoxy-7,16-dihydro-7,16-(o-benzeno)heptacene (3.56)

The bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 was the diene component used for successful cyclization as we would discuss later. Its synthesis basically followed the general sequence in Scheme 3.17, though it had some problems.

First, the Diels-Alder reaction of triptycene bisquinone (3.17) and an excess amount of 3.53 in refluxing toluene gave almost exclusively monoadduct. It seemed the Diels-Alder reaction of the second quinone was much slower. Increasing temperature by changing to a sealed tube condition would certainly enhance the reaction rate, but also would increase the rate of decomposition of sultine 3.53 to sulfone $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 0}$. The right temperature was important for getting the diadducts. Besides, the monoadducts would cause a purification problem in later steps since it would be converted to monoanthracene 3.108 , which was difficult to separate from bisanthracene 3.56 by column chromatography. It was necessary to find a reliable procedure to get diphenol 3.106 in good purity. A modified procedure was as following. First, Diels-Alder reaction of 3.17 and 4 equivalents of 3.53 was refluxed in a sealed tube at $140^{\circ}$ for 24 hours. The precipitate usually contained over $70 \%$ of diadducts and partially or fully enolised phenols. An extra step of enolisation in refluxing acetic acid with small amount of hydrobromic acid would give a precipitate which contains mainly diphenol 3.106 since the monophenol derived from monoadduct has better solubility in acetic acid and remained in solution. This enolisation step served as an extra purification step. The diphenol was obtained in a yield of $51 \%$ in two steps.


3.107

3.56

3.108

Scheme 3.21 Synthesis of bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56.
Second, the methylation of diphenol $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 6}$ also proved difficult at the beginning. The low solubility of $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 6}$ in DMF caused the previous methylation conditions for $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 5}$ not feasible here. A harsh methylation condition using methyl $p$-toluenesulfonate, potassium carbonate in refluxing 1,2-dichlorobenzene at around $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was found and gave a tetramethoxy intermediate 3.107 in a yield of $91 \%$.

The last step aromatization was an easy step. The tetramethoxy intermediate 3.107 was stirred with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) in toluene to give bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 in $89 \%$ yield.

### 3.3.4 Towards the synthesis of cyclododeciptycene based molecular gears

### 3.3.4.1) Unsuccessful effort starting from cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone (3.59)

Since the 5-teeth iptycene quinone 3.59 was the closest building block to the target molecular gear 3.1, we tried to convert it to 6 -tooth intermediate 3.61, which has a quinone moiety and an anthracene moiety ready for Diesl-Alder reaction. However, the attempted DielsAlder reaction of either the sultine or benzocylcobutene failed to give the expected adduct $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 0}$. The iptycene quinone 3.59 seemed to undergo fragmentation upon heating. The stepwise synthetic route did not work.



Scheme 3.22

### 3.3.4.2) Convergent synthesis: finding suitable bisdiene and dienophile components.

The unsuccessful synthesis of stepwise route made us resorted to convergent synthetic routes shown in Scheme 3.5. Among five proposed routes, route I and II have starting materials that have already been synthesized.

Route I uses the four-tooth iptycene quinone 3.57 as the dienophile and pentacene $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$ as the bisdiene component. This route has an advantage over the others because most likely only one adduct will be formed. For a curved structure like 3.57, the endo-face is hindered. If endoadducts are excluded, the remaining two possible adducts would be exo-in and exo-out-adducts. The transition state energy analysis shows the exo-in alignment would be more steric hindered (Figure 3.26). Howerver, the pentacene 3.55 turned out to be not a reactive diene component. Usually, the Diels-Alder reaction of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene with simple quinone happened above $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. But a model Diels-Alder reaction of the dimethoxy pentacene 3.55 with simple 1,4-benzoquinone was slow even at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a seal tube using toluene as solvent. Since the pentiquinone 3.57 may not be stable in high temperature as hexaquinone $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ and also the current synthetic yield to 3.57 was low, this route was abandoned.

exo-out alignment of pentacene

exo-in alignment of pentacene

Figure 3.26
We then resorted to route II. Since slightly change of anthracene structure greatly changed its reactivity in Diels-Alder reaction as in the case of 3.55, to ensure the reactivity of bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56, a model reaction with cis-pentiptycene tetraquinone 3.21 was carried out. To our delight, the Diels-Alder reaction happened in refluxing benzene, which means bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 is as active as 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene. Two major monoadducts were separated in about 1:1 ratio (Scheme 3.23). However, as we looked for typical doublet-doublet peaks around $\delta 6.2 \sim 6.3$, which is characteristic for exo-adducts as one of "structural inherent NMR indicators", we did not find them. Instead, we found a pair of more
upshifted doublet-doublet peaks around $\delta 5.9$ for two isomers, which could only be explained as endo-adducts. The endo-face is more electron-deficient and thus, it is easier to form chargetransfer complexes with more readily accessible benzene rings in the dimethoyanthracene moiety.





Scheme 3.23

From this model reaction, we would expect that cis,cis-heptiptycene tetraquinone 3.23 react with bisdimethoxyanthracene $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ following the same face-seletivity and give the endoadducts as major products. For this reason, the direct use of 3-teeth iptycene quinone 3.23 as
dienophile was initially not considered. Instead, a 3-tooth iptycene quinone equivalent 3.91 was chosen. Compound 3.91 was previously separated in the Diels-Alder reaction of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone with excess amount of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene (Scheme 3.13) . Its structure had been verified by NOESY and COSY (Figure 3.27). Since the endo-face was already blocked by one 1.4-dimethoxyanthracene unit, another diene moiety has to react from exo-face unless a retro-Diels-Alder reaction happens and the 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene moiety in endo-face was split out.


Figure 3.27 Importane NOE correlations of $\mathbf{3 . 9 1}$
3.3.4.3) Synthesis of (5R,5aS,7R,9S,10aS,11S,13S,22R,24R,24aR,26S,28S,29aR,30S)-5,5a7,9, 10a,11,13,22,24,24a,26,28,29a,30-Tetradecahydro-1,4,12,14,21,23-hexamethoxy-5,30:7,28:9,26: 11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-6,8,10,25,27,29-hexaone (3.117)

The Diels Alder reaction of endo-face blocked dienophile 3.91 with bisdimethoxyanthracene 4.56 in toluene at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 hours to give a $3: 7$ ratio of adducts 3.117 and 3.118 in a yield of $51 \%$ (Scheme 3.24). They were difficult to separate from each other by column chromatography. But they can be differentiated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$. The typical doublet-doublet peaks around chemical shift $\delta 6.20 \sim 6.30$ not only verified the addition from exo-face, but also reflect slight disturbance of structure due to the "flip" of bisdimethoxyanthracene moiety. Their chemical shifts were $\delta 6.30$ and $\delta 6.25$ for cis-isomer 3.117 and trans-isomer 3.118, respectively. From the chemical shifts, we knew the distance between benzene ring $A$ and benzene ring $B$ of cis-isomer 3.117 is longer than that of trans-isomer 3.118 (Scheme 3.24). A seasaw model shown in Figure 3.28 would give a simplified explaination. The barycenter of bisanthracene moiety for adduct 3.117 is relatively downwards compared with its isomer $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 8}$, so the other
benzene end (ring B) moves upward and the distance of two benzene rings were increased. The full assignment of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peaks were based on 2D-ROESY experiment.





Scheme 3.24


Figure 3.28
3.3.4.4) Enolization of (3.117) under basic conditions to afford (5R,7R,9S,11S,13S,22R, 24R,26S,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30- decahydro-1,4,6,8,10,12,14,21,23,25,27,29-dode-camethoxy-5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene (3.119)

Compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 7}$ has all six teeth installed in all cis-fashion. The next step was to enolise two Diels-Alder reaction sites in the molecule to bring two ends closer together. The attempt of enolization in refluxing acetic acid with hydrobromic acid gave messy decomposed products. Then we tried basic conditions using sodium hydride and methyl iodide in DMF, hoping enolate formed in situ would be quenched by methyl iodide and give more stable products. Actually, the mixture of two adducts $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 7}$ and 3.118 was stirred with sodium hydride in dry DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for half an hour before adding methyl iodide and letting the reaction mixture slowly warm up to room temperature over the course of two hours. The typical brown color of charge-transfer band between endo-face dimethoxybenzene and quinone rings disappeared. Two new fluorescent spots close to each other in TLC together with several other spots appeared. It was a little of a surprise that these two products might not contain a quinone moiety since if there were fluorescence quenching quinone moiety in the molecule, the whole molecule should show no fluorescent acitivity. The less polar spot was later identified as $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$, which was clearly derived from adduct 3.117. The more polar spot was the corresponding product $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 0}$ from adduct 3.118.


3.119



Scheme 3.25

3.119


Figure 3.29
The circular structure of 3.119 was verified by its 2D-ROESY spectrum. From ${ }^{1}$ H NMR, the peaks of $2,3-\mathrm{H}$ in the terminal dimethoxy benzene was easily distiguished by the big upshift of the chemical shift at $\delta 4.53$. Another group of protons easy to identify are the $15,20-\mathrm{H}(\delta 8.57)$ in anthracene ring as the most downshifted protons in the molecule. In 2D-ROESY spectrum, spatially close protons show cross peaks. For molecule 3.119, we can check for space relationship of nearby protons either starting from $2,3-\mathrm{H}$ or $15,20-\mathrm{H}$. Since $2,3-\mathrm{H}(\delta 4.53)$ is close to $1,4-\mathrm{OMe}$, this two peaks should show strong crosspeak. Among six OMe peaks, only one ( $\delta 3.33$ ) shows cross peaks with $2,3-\mathrm{H}$, then we know this peaks corresponds to $1,4-\mathrm{OMe}$. 1,4-OMe ( $\delta 3.33$ ) shows two crosspeaks with chemical shift $\delta 4.53$ and $\delta 5.80$ respectively. From its structure, we know 1,4 -OMe group is close to $2,3-\mathrm{H}$ and 5,30 -bridge H . Since we already know $\delta 4.53$ belongs to $2,3-\mathrm{H}, \delta 5.80$ must be of $5,30-\mathrm{H}$. Then we check the crosspeaks of $5,30-$ bridge-H ( $\delta 5.80$ ) not counting the already known $1,4-\mathrm{OMe}$, we find the chemical shift of 6,29OMe is $\delta$ 3.66. In this way, we assigned all the chemical shifts in the "shaft " part of the molecule 3.119, from 2,3-H to $15,20-\mathrm{H}$ as $\delta 4.53$ ( $2,3-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 ( $1,4-\mathrm{OMe}$ ), 5.80 ( 5,30 -bridgeH), 3.66 ( $6,29-\mathrm{OMe}$ ), 5.78 (7,28-bridge-H), 3.77 ( $8,27-\mathrm{OMe}$ ), 5.82 ( 9,26 -bridge-H), 3.81 ( $10,25-$ OMe), 5.92 (11,24-bridge-H), 3.91 (12,23-OMe), 6.14 (13,22-bridge-H), 4.03 ( $14,21-\mathrm{OMe}$ ), and 8.57 ( $15,20-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ). Similar crosspeaks can be found in 2D-ROESY of $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 0}$ for assignment of
chemcal shifts. Besides the nomal crosspeaks indicated by bond connections, a crosspeak of 2,3H and $15,20-\mathrm{H}$ is found only in 2D-ROESY of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$ and not 3.120, indicating that 2,3-H and $15,20-\mathrm{H}$ are close to each other in space. The strong upshift of $2,3-\mathrm{H}$ is because $2,3-\mathrm{H}$ are strongly shielded by dimethoxyanthracene ring in that close range. From the 2D-ROESY of 3.120, cheimcal shifts of $2,3-\mathrm{H}(\delta 6.30$ ) in $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 0}$ is determined by its abnormal smaller cross peaks with $13,22-\beta \mathrm{H}$ (larger chemical shift) than $13,22-\gamma \mathrm{H}$. A bridge-H should have a bigger crosspeak for its $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ than $\gamma-\mathrm{H}$, because $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ is closer. Based on the $2,3-\mathrm{H}$ in both $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$ and 3.120, an estimated 1.77 upfield shift of chemical shift due to the closeness of two ends of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$ was deduced.
3.3.4.5) Enolization of (3.117) in acidic conditions to afford (5R,7R,9S,11S,13S,22R, 24R,26S,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-decahydro-1,4,12,14,21,23-hexamethoxy-
(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene (3.121)


3.121
( 3 : 7)


3.122

Scheme 3.26
The mixture of six-teeth adduct $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 7}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 8}$ was stirred at room temperature for 36 hours in acetic acid with a catalytic amount of hydrobromic acid. After solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in acetone and oxided with diacetoxyiodobenzene to
afford the enolized product $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 2}$ in a yield of $25 \%$. Similar to 3.119, chemical shift of 1,2-H ( $\delta 4.81$ ) in $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 1}$ is upshifted 1.46 relative to that in $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 2}$.
3.3.4.6) Unsucessful effort to selectively convert 1,4-dimethoxybenzene ring of 3.119 and 3.121 to quinone ring.


Scheme 3.27
The six-tooth intemediate 3.119 and 3.121 are circular and two ends are close to each other. The next goal is to selectively oxidize the 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene end to quinone and form 3.127 or 3.128, which are predicted to cyclize under suitable conditions. However, the CAN oxidative demethoxylation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$ gave demethoxylation product at the anthracene ring first. Only $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 3}$ or $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 5}$ were separated. If excess amount of CAN was used, compound with two quinone ends, $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 4}$ or $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 6}$, were formed, which cannot be cyclized. Here
we met another dead synthetic route. A rational detour was to change the protecting group in the 1,4-dimethoxybenzene end.
3.3.4.7) Unsucessful attempt to synthesize 3.127 or 3.128 by introducing pmethoxyphenylmethoxy protecting group.

Since the methoxy group on benzene ring was difficult to remove without affecting the methoxy groups in anthracene ring, we started to explore the possible replacement of 1,2dimethoxy groups in 3.119 or 3.121 by p-methoxyphenylmethoxy (MPM) group. The retrosynthetic analysis went back to replacement of methoxy group in 3-teeth quinone intermediated 3.91, which was easy to realize by reacting the 1,4-di-MPM-anthracene 3.129 with cis-penticene trisquinone to afford the MPM protected 3-teeth quinone intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 0}$ in $36 \%$ yield. Further Diels-Alder reaction with bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave $28 \%$ of 3:7 ratio of two adducts $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 1}$ and 3.132.


Scheme 3.28
However, when a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 2}$ was treated in either $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$ or HBr / HOAc conditions, no identificable enolised product was isolated. Side reaction became dominant in both conditions. Possible reasons might be in basic conditions, bulky MPM group blocks hydride attacking $\alpha$-proton of carbonyl group, while in acid conditions, MPM group might come off and destablize the molecule.

How about removing MPM groups in $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 2}$ first before enolization? The model reaction of deprotection of intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 0}$ by DDQ in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for overnight gave deprotected product $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 3}$ from crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. However compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 3}$ decomposed during workup probably due to loss of stablizing charge-transfer interactions. So, it is not a good idea to remove MPM group before enolization. Besides, it was predicted that deprotection of MPM groups in $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 2}$ might need even a higher temperature due to steric hinderance. At that temperature, retro-Diels-Alder reaction might also occur.



Scheme 3.29

### 3.3.4.8) Diels-Alder reaction of tetraquinone and bisdimethoxyanthracene and final cyclization

Since both previous efforts of selective demethoxylation to form one quinone end while leaving the other dimethoxyanthracene end intact and protecting group manipulation failed. The direct Diels-Alder reaction between the tetraquinone and bisdimethoxyanthracene seemed to be a possible route left for trying. Although this route was abandoned from previous study because of the formation of predominantly unwanted endo-adducts. (That was the reason why previously we tried to go around this face-selectivity problem by so-called endo-face blocking strategy.) We hoped a small amount of exo-adducts could be separated and identified from proton NMR spectroscopy from their distinguishable structural units. It was predicted the exo-cis adduct would undergo cylization after enolization.

When tetraquinone 3.23 and 1 eq. of bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 was refluxed in toluene under argon for 24 hours, the crude proton NMR showed predominantly two endoadducts were formed as expected, which was characterized by the upfield shift of newly formed benzene tooth proton up to $\delta 5.7$ because of strong shielding effect of endo-face. For exo-adducts, the expected new formed benzene tooth proton is around $\delta 6.3$, which was difficult to identify in crude ${ }^{1}$ HNMR due to overlapping with other signals. We then took a closer look at protons near
the Diels-Alder connection sites. Beside the major $\delta 4.86$ peak, a minor $\delta 4.93$ peak with integral only about $1 / 10$ that of the major pear might be the evidence of forming exo-adducts. A similar pattern was found for $\delta 2.92$ and 2.87 in a ratio of $10: 1$, which are $\alpha-$ protons of carbonyl groups.

The separation of endo-adducts and exo-adducts turned out to be difficult. The four 1:1 adducts were only partially separated in column chromatography. Pure samples of each of the two endo-adducts together with a mixture of two endo-adducts were obtained by PTLC methods using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent. HPLC conditions for separation of these isomers are absolutely needed later for scaled-up reactions. The yield for exoadducts after purification in the first trial reaction was only about $2.7 \%$. Starting from 57 mg of the tetraquinone and 45 mg of bisdimethoxyanthracene, only 2.8 mg of unseparable two exoadducts $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 7}$ in a 1:1 ratio was obtained, but, it was enough for a trial of cyclization.


Scheme 3.30 Diels-Alder reaction of tetraene 3.23 and bisanthracene 3.56.
The small amount of exo-adducts was first stirred in acetic acid at room temperature over night for enolizing the keto $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}$. No appreciable change happened after workup. The recovered sample was treated with refluxing acetic acid under argon for half an hour. After aqueous
workup, the residue was dissolved in acetone and treated with diacetoxyiodobenzene to oxidize phenol to quinone. Besides unreacted starting material, TLC showed two newly formed spots with higher Rf. values. After column chromatography, the less polar new spot was isolated in 0.6 mg. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR showed the disappearance of quinone proton peak (around $\delta 6.6$ ) and DA adducts characteristic peaks (around $\delta 4.9,2.9$ ). The single methoxy peak around $\delta 3.8$ and 1:2:2:1 ratio of bridge proton peaks around $\delta 6.0$ showed symmetry of the molecule, which perfectly matches the predicted cyclized product $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 8}$ from the exo-cis adduct 3.136. The more polar new spot was isolated in 1.2 mg and it was believed to be derived from the other exo-trans adduct 3.137. It is reasonable that circular molecule 3.138 has higher Rf. value than its open chain analoge 3.139.


Scheme 3.31 Final cyclization of Diels-Alder adduct $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 6}$ in refluxing acetic acid.
A single crystal X-ray structure of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 8}$ was achieved, which shows unquestionable cyclized structure with a inner ring dimeter about $8.9 \AA$ and the distance between two protons in the opposite teeth about $19.6 \AA$. However, due to low resolution of current generated structure from X-ray data, positions of methoxy groups are undetermined. An average structure shows a hybridization of one third of 1,4-dimethoxybenzene rings and two thirds of quinone rings in the shaft probablily because of fast rotation of the molecule in the crystal. We also discovered each unit cell in a single crystal contains four $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 8}$ molecules. Two of them (colored red and green)
are almost parallel to each other and the other two molecules (colored blue and cyan) intercalate between them. (Figure 3.30)


Figure 3.30 Stacking of cyclododeciptycene $\mathbf{3 . 3 1 8}$ molecules in a unit cell of a single crystal. Methoxy groups are omitted for clarity. (Due to low resolution of current generated structure from X-ray data, the relative positions of methoxy groups are undetermined. )
Although the cyclized cyclododeciptycene derivative was obtained, improving yields and reducing separation work are needed for later property study. First, the low ratio of exo- and endo-adducts is not acceptable. By simply changing the solvent from toluene to 1,2 dichloroethane, the ratio was improved to about 1:2. But the reaction has to be maintained in a sealed tube for reaction temperature $\left(120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ above boiling point of 1,2-dichloroethane. That was a great improvement, but not enough. How can we improve the ratio of exo- and endoadducts even more for favoring exo-adducts?

The favored endo-selectivity is due to donor-acceptor interaction of electron poor endoface with electron rich diene moiety. If in a reaction solution, a better electron donor was added to "combine" at endo-face and "block' the reaction of bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 from endoface, this would change the facial selectivity. Based on this assumption, 1,4-dimethoxybenzene, which has a melting point of $54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a boiling point of $213{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is an ideal electron rich
solvent with high boiling points for Diels-Alder reaction in a wide range of temperatures. Since it was used as a solvent, it also has population advantage over the reactant dimethoxyanthracene. Actually, the ratio of exo- and endo-adducts was improved to about 3:2 ratio when using 1,4dimethoxybenzene as solvent. The reaction time was also reduced to four hours at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

After successfully solving the facial selectivity problem of Diels-Alder reaction, we looked into the tedious separation of four Diels-Alder adducts. Since the cyclized product $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 8}$ has higher Rf. value than its open analog 3.139. So there would expected similar Rf. value differences between 3.138 and products from endo-adducts in refluxing acetic acid condition. A mixture of four adducts was refluxed in acetic acid. To our delight, the introduced two new unwanted products from endo-adducts did not increase the task in getting pure 3.138, since they have almost same Rf. value as 3.139. However, better conditions for purification of cyclized cyclododeciptycene 3.138 are still need to be developed.

### 3.3.4.9) Failed demethoxylation of 3.138 to cyclododeciptycene hexaquione 3.1

The methoxy group in the molecule 3.318 seemed to be quite inert. An attempted oxydemethoxylation of 3.138 using CAN failed even at a temperature as high as $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The demethoxylation using $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, a strong Louis acid efficient for demethoxylation in many other condtions, also failed to give demethoxylated product. The difficulty of demethoxylation maybe due to highly hindered methoxy groups surrounded by rigid o-benzeno "teeth" and carbonyl groups in nearby quinone rings.

### 3.4 Conclusion and future work

After a year of hardwork and going through many difficulties in synthetic efforts towards cyclododeciptycene hexaquinone, the first cyclododeciptycene derivative 3.318 was synthesized. The work showed how beautifully a complicated molecule such as $\mathbf{3 . 3 1 8}$ can be assembled from small simple building blocks as $o$-xylene and $p$-benzoquinone. We learned a lot from previous failed synthesis of [10]beltene derivative and literature study. Compared to the previous system of [10] beltene, the key Diels-Alder intemediates tetraquinone 3.23 and bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 have rigid and parallel reaction ends. Also inherent NMR structure indicators within the molecule helps to determine structures of Diels-Alder adducts. Besides, the synthetic route was much shorter and convergent.

The synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 3 1 8}$ opens possible synthesis of a variety of cyclododeciptycene derivatives with various substitutions by starting from different building blocks. The target cyclododeciptycene hexaquinone 3.1 may be synthesized by bisanthrancene with different protecting groups like 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl (SEM) group which are easier to remove under milder conditions than the methoxy group. The cyclododeciptycene $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ would be synthesized from bisanthracene 3.143 and bisquinone 3.144. Similarly, dodecamethoxy cyclododeciptycene 3.145 would be synthesized from bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 and bisquinone 3.147 .

We may also synthesize more complicated cycloiptycene structures shown in Figure 3.4 such as 3.148, a [36]cycloiptycene derivative. The compound 3.148 can be assembled by four bisanthracene 3.56, two triptycene bisquinone 3.17, and two pentiptycene trisquinone 3.21 through eight Diels-Alder reactions. The purification would be inevitably difficult, but the right intermediate for cyclization still can be figured out by inherent NMR structual indicators.


Scheme 3.32


Scheme 3.33




Scheme 3.34


Scheme 3.35
We can also synthesize cycloiptycene-containing poly(p-phenyleneethynylene)s similar to what Swager's group has done. ${ }^{25}$ This new structure of polymers may have some new interesting properties as new materials.


Scheme 3.36

### 3.5 Experimental section

General Methods: Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained at Varian Unity Plus ( 400 MHz ) and Varian Gemini $2000(200 \mathrm{MHz})$. Mass spectra were taken from a Hewlett Packard 5890A Series II, GC-MS and a Bruker Esquire 3000 Plus electrospray ionization mass spectrometer. FAB spectra were taken by using Xe beam ( 8 KV ) and m-nitrobenzyl alcohol as matrix. Silica gel (200~400 mesh) from Natland International Coporation was used for the flash chromatographic separation. THF and diethyl ether were distilled over sodium and benzophenone. Methylene chloride was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Toluene and benzene were distilled over $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$.

### 3.5.1 Synthesis of one tooth synthons


3.5.1.1) 1,4-Dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.53) ${ }^{57}$

1) 1,2-Bis(bromomethyl)benzene (3.102)

To a solution of $10 \mathrm{~g}(94 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,2-dimethylbenzene in 250 mL benzene was added $33.5 \mathrm{~g}(188 \mathrm{mmol})$ of N -bromosuccinimde and $912 \mathrm{mg}(3.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ of benzoyl peroxide. The mixture was heated to reflux under argon for 2 hours, and then concentrated to about 50 mL by a rotavapor. The white solids precipitated were filtered and redissolved in 200 mL ethyl ether, washed by 150 mL water three times, and 150 mL brine once. The ether layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and then concentrated to give 10.2 g ( $41 \%$ yield) of the title compound, 3.102, as white solids: m.p. $92 \sim 94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literature: $\left.{ }^{58} 94 \sim 95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.28 \sim 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 136.8,131.3,129.7$, 30.2 .
2) 1,4-Dihydro-2,3-benzoxathiin-3-oxide (3.53) ${ }^{57}$

A mixture of $12.4 \mathrm{~g}(80.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium hydroxymethanesulfinate, $2.60 \mathrm{~g}(8.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetrabutylammonium bromide, and $10.6 \mathrm{~g}(40.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,2-bis(bromomethyl)benzene
(3.102) in 40.3 mL DMF was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction was worked up by adding 200 mL of ethyl ether, washing with 150 mL water twice and 150 mL brine. The ether layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was applied to column chromatography using a mixture of petrol ether and ethyl ether $(1: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford $4.34 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%$ yield $)$ of the title compound, 3.53 , as a light yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.18 \sim 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 133.8,129.8$, 128.7, 127.9, 126.3, 125.8, 63.1, 57.0.

### 3.5.1.2) 1,4-Anthracenedione (3.65) ${ }^{41}$

Route 1: To the solution of $24.0 \mathrm{~g}(100 \mathrm{mmol})$ of quinizarin (3.63) in 500 mL methanol was added $15.1 \mathrm{~g}(400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) of sodium borohydride in portions at 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours and then was added dropwisely to 350 mL of conc. HCl and 1 L of water. The solid precipitated was filtered, washed several times with distilled water, and dried under vacuum to give 19.8 g (yield $95 \%$ ) of the title compound, $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$, as yellow solids: m.p. 214~216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literature: $\left.{ }^{59} 218 \sim 221{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.71\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 184.8,140.2,135.0,130.4,129.7,129.0,128.6$.

Route 2:

1) cis-4a,9,9a,10-Tetrahydroanthracene-1,4-dione (3.68) ${ }^{50}$

To a solution of 216 mg ( 2.00 mmol ) of 1,4-benzoquinone in 10 mL of benzene under argon was added $168 \mathrm{mg}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the sultine 3.53 . The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 hours and benzene was removed by rotavapor. The residue was washed by petrol ether for twice and recrystalized from methanol to afford $83 \mathrm{mg}(39 \%$ yield) of the title compound, $\mathbf{3 . 6 8}$, as offwhite needles: m.p. $123 \sim 125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literature: ${ }^{50} 122 \sim 123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.08 \sim 7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16 \sim 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83 \sim 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 199.8,139.7,133.0,129.1,126.7,47.0,28.6$.
2) 1,4-Anthracenedione (3.65)

A mixture of $52 \mathrm{mg}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.68 and $181 \mathrm{mg}(0.736 \mathrm{mmol})$ of chloranil was refluxed in acetic acid overnight. The acetic acid was removed by rotavapor. The residue was dissolved in 20 mL of ethyl acetate, washed by water ( 20 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous mageniusm sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and applied to column
chromatography using petrol ether and methylene chloride as eluent to afford 46 mg ( $90 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.65 .

### 3.5.1.3) 1, 4-Dimethoxyanthracene (3.54) ${ }^{41}$

## 1) 1, 4-Dihydroxyanthracene (3.66)

To the solution of $20.1 \mathrm{~g}(100 \mathrm{mmol}) 1,4$-anthraquinone 3.65 in 625 mL 1,4-dioxane was added a solution of 75.0 g ( 366 mmol .) $85 \%$ sodium hydrosulfite in 625 mL water. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 hours and then poured into a solution of 172 mL concentrated HCl in 3 L water. The precipitation was filtered, washed several times with distilled water, and dried under vacuum to give $17.3 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%)$ of the title compound, 3.66, as green powder: m.p. $165 \sim 167{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 145.4,136.2,130.5,128.4,125.4,120.7,105.6$.

## 2) 1, 4-Dimethoxyanthracene ( 3.54 )

To a cool solution of 5.00 g ( 23.8 mmol ) 1, 4-dihydroxyanthracene 3.66 in 25 mL DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 2.29 g sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in oil, 57.1 mmol ) in portions under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for half an hour. Then a solution of 3.7 mL methyl iodide in 25 mL DMF was added dropwisely at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed up to room temperature and stirred in room temperature for 2 hours. The salts precipitated out were filtered and washed with 200 mL ethyl ether. The filtrated was washed with water ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), brine ( 150 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, concentrated, and applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using a mixture of petroleum ether and methylene chloride ( $1: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 3.69 g (yield $65 \%$ ) of the title compound, 3.54 , as yellow crystals: m.p. 131~133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literature: ${ }^{60} 134 \sim 136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}\right.$ $\left.=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 149.7,131.7,128.8,125.7,121.0,101.1,55.9$.
3.5.1.4) 9,10-Dihydro-9,10-(o-benzeno)anthracene-1,4,5,8-tetrone (triptycenebisquinone) (3.17)

1) (4aR,9R,9aS,10S)-5,8-Dimethoxy-4a,9,9a,10-tetrahydro-9,10-(o-benzeno)anthra-cene-1,4-dione ( $\mathbf{3 . 6 9}$, endo-)
(4aR,9S,9aS,10R)-5,8-Dimethoxy-4a,9,9a,10-tetrahydro-9,10-(o-benzeno)anthracene-1,4-dione (3.70, exo-)

A solution of $10.2 \mathrm{~g}(42.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,4 -dimethoxyanthracene 3.54 and $6.80 \mathrm{~g}(60.6$ mmol ) of 1,4-benzoquinone in 180 mL of toluene was refluxed for 36 h , then cooled to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered and weighted 13.4 g . The filtrate was concentrated to dryness, and applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using an eluent series with increasing polarity starting from petrol ether and methylene chloride $(2: 3, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$, to $(1: 4, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$, followed by methylene chloride and acetone ( $50: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ), and finally methylene chloride and acetone (25:1) to afford another batch of the Diels-Alder adducts 0.85 g . Total yield of two adducts 3.69 and 3.70 (in about $1: 1$ ratio from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) was $96 \%$. 3.69 and 3.70 can be partially separated by silica gel column chromatography using petrol ether and methylene chloride ( $1: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) with $1 \%$ volume of ethyl ether as eluent. The less polar adduct 3.69: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.21$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 198.6, 148.7, 140.7, 140.2, 131.4, 126.7, 125.1, 109.3, 56.2, 48.7, 42.3. More polar adduct 3.70: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}\right.$ $\left.=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{t}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 3.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 198.3,149.4,142.0,140.5$, 129.7, 126.7, 124.2, 110.0, 56.6, 49.0, 42.6.

## 2) 9,10-Dihydro-1,4-dihydroxy-5,8-dimethoxy-9,10-(o-benzeno)anthracene (3.71)

To a suspension of $14.0 \mathrm{~g}(40.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 3.69 \& 3.70$ (about $1: 1$ ratio) in 300 mL acetic acid under argon was added 132 drops of hydrobromic acid ( $40 \%$ in water). The mixture was refluxed for 10 min and poured into 100 g ice. The white precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuum to afford 12.7 g (yield 87\%) of the title compound 3.71: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$, 50 MHz ) $\delta 148.6$, 146.2, 144.9, 135.2, 132.3, 124.6, 123.6, 112.9, 109.0, 56.2, 40.4.

## 3) 9,10-Dihydro-9,10-(o-benzeno)anthracene-1,4,5,8-tetrone (3.17)

Route 1: To a stirred solution of $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.73 in 40 mL acetonitrile and 40 mL THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwisely a solution of $4.78 \mathrm{~g}(8.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ammonium cerium (IV) nitrate (CAN) in 20 mL water. After stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, another portion of 797 $\mathrm{mg}(1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ of CAN was added. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 30 minutes, then diluted with water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with ethyl acetate $(150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined ethyl
acetate layer was washed by water ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), brine ( 150 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using an eluent series with increasing polarity starting from petrol ether and methylene chloride $(2: 3, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$, then petrol ether and methylene chloride $(1: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$, followed by petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether ( $1: 2: 0.06, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) to afford 921 mg ( $100 \%$ yield) of the title compound, 3.17, as yellow solids: m.p. 297~298 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, decomp. $\left[\right.$ literature ${ }^{13}>220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.)]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.49$ (dd, $J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}), 7.08\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $50 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 182.5,151.8,142.3,135.7,126.2,125.7,42.4$.

Route 2:
To a suspension of $4.00 \mathrm{~g}(11.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.71 in 200 mL dioxane at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwisely a solution of $25.3 \mathrm{~g}(46.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ ammonium cerium (IV) nitrate in 200 mL water. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, diluted with 400 mL water, extracted with 400 mL ethyl acetate for twice. The combined ethyl acetate layer was washed with water (400 $\mathrm{mL} \times 3$ ) and brine ( 400 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered. The yellow precipitate formed during concentration was filtered to afford 2.66 g of the title compound. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness and applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using an eluent series with increasing polarity starting from petrol ether and methylene chloride (2:3, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$, then petrol ether and methylene chloride ( $1: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ), followed by petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether $(1: 2: 0.06, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ to afford 698 mg of the title compound. The total yield calculated was $92.6 \%$.

### 3.5.1.4) 9,10-Dihydro-5,8-dimethoxy -9,10-(o-benzeno)anthracene-1,4-dione (3.73)

To a suspension of $3.45 \mathrm{~g}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.71 in 200 mL (actonitrile : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=6: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $10.9 \mathrm{~g}(20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of CAN. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for half an hour. The red precipitate was filtered to afford 3.30 g ( $96 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.73. m.p. 269~270 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literature: $\left.{ }^{13} 271.5 \sim 272.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 183.8,153.1$, $149.9,144.4,135.5,133.8,125.5,124.8,109.8,56.6,41.6$.

### 3.5.2 Synthesis of 2-teeth synthons


3.21

3.55


3.90
3.5.2.1) (5R,7S,12R,14S)-5,7,12,14-Tetrahydro-5,14:7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-1,4,6,8,11, 13-hexaone (cis-pentiptycenetrisquinone) (3.21)

1) (5R,5aS,7S,12R,14aR,14S)-1,4,8,11-Tetramethoxy-5,5a,7,12,14,14a-hexahydro5,14: 7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-6,13-dione (3.77)
(5R,5aR,7S,12R,14aS,14S)-1,4,8,11-Tetramethoxy-5,5a,7,12,14,14a-hexahydro-5,14:
7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-6,13-dione (3.78)
(5R,5aR,7R,12S,14aS,14S)-1,4,8,11-Tetramethoxy-5,5a,7,12,14,14a-hexahydro-5,14:
7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-6,13-dione (3.79)
(5R,5aS,7R,12S,14aR,14S)-1,4,8,11-Tetramethoxy-5,5a,7,12,14,14a-hexahydro-5,14: 7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-6,13-dione (3.80)

A solution of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene ( $715 \mathrm{mg}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 5,8-di-methoxy-9,10-dihydro-9,10-(o-benzeno) anthracene-1,4-dione ( $\mathbf{3 . 7 3}$ ) ( $689 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 12 mL dry toluene was heated to $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath temperature) in a sealed tube for 36 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with toluene ( 2 mL ) and ethyl ether ( 5 mL ), and dried in vacuum to give $508 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%$ yield) of a mixture of 3.77 and 3.78 (about 7:3 from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using a mixture of toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate (25:25:1, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to give 570 mg ( $49 \%$ yield) of a mixture of four isomers in a ratio of 1:4:7:6. The calculated total yield of four adducts was $93 \%$ with $30 \%$ for $3.77,24 \%$ for $3.78,49 \%$ for 3.79, and $18 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$, respectively.

Diels Alder adduct 3.77 (the less polar spot of 3.77 and $\mathbf{3 . 7 8}$; pure sample was available by careful column chromatography): m.p. $277 \sim 278{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.37$ (dd, $\left.J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$5.17(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 194.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 157.2,149.3,148.7,144.3,141.8,133.8,128.5,126.6$, $125.3,124.6,124.2,109.6,109.0,56.2$ (OMe), 56.0 (OMe), 50.4, 43.5, 41.7.

Diels-Alder adduct 3.78 (hard to separate from 3.77): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, COSY \& NOESY of a 7:3 mixture of 3.77 and 3.78) $\delta 7.18$ (dd, $J_{1}=$ $\left.5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMRs of pure 3.77 and a $7: 3$ mixture of 3.77 and 3.78 ) $\delta$ 194.6 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 157.6, 149.9, 148.8, 143.9, 138.9, 133.6, 131.6, 126.5, 125.1, 124.4, 124.1, 110.1, 109.4, 56.9 (OMe), 56.3 (OMe), 49.9, 43.4, 41.8.

Diels-Alder adduct 3.79 (hard to separate from 3.80, no pure sample was available): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, COSY, NOESY of a 1:1 mixture of 3.79 and 3.80) $\delta 7.35\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.93\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.89\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.00(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) (deduced from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of a $1: 1$ mixture of 3.79 and 3.80 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of a $70 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ sample) $\delta 194.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 157.5,149.8,148.8$, $144.0,139.1,133.6,131.5,126.3,125.3,124.6,123.9,110.0,109.4,56.9,56.3,50.0,43.5,41.7$.

Diels-Alder adduct $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ (hard to separate from 3.79, only a $70 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ sample is available by careful column chromatography): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}, \mathrm{COSY}$, NOESY of a 1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ ) $\delta 7.37\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}$, $J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of a 1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of a $70 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ sample) $\delta 194.0$ (C=O), 157.6, 149.7, 148.3, 144.4, 141.9, 133.4, 128.2, 126.5, 125.1, 124.3, $124.2,109.9,109.8,56.8,56.2,50.1,43.3,41.9$.
2) (5R,7S,12R,14S)-6,13-Dihydroxy-5,7,12,14-tetrahydro-1,4,8,11-tetramethoxy-

A suspension of 7:3 mixture of $3.77 \& 3.78(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrobromic acid ( $40 \%$ solution, 24 drops) was refluxed for 10 mins . The grey precipitate formed was filtered and washed with toluene ( 4 mL ) and ethyl ether ( 10 mL ) and dried in vacuum to give 564 mg (yield $94.0 \%$ ) of the title compound 3.81: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.87\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 148.2,146.1$, 140.1, 135.1, 131.1, 124.2, 123.4, 108.6, 55.8 (OMe), 40.4.
3) (5R,7S,12R,14S)-5,7,12,14-Tetrahydro-5,14:7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-1,4,6,8, 11,13-hexaone (cis-pentiptycenetrisquinone) (3.21) ${ }^{9}$

To a suspension of $\mathbf{3 . 8 1}(442 \mathrm{mg}, 0.759 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 120 mL acetonitrile and 20 mL water at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added ammonium cerium (IV) nitrate $(3.74 \mathrm{~g}, 6.82 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours, and extracted with chloroform ( 300 mL ), washed with water ( $200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) and brine ( 200 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography using a mixture of toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 350 mg ( $89 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.21: m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literatue: ${ }^{9}>320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.3,177.8,151.9,151.3,142.3$, 135.6, 126.2, 125.7, 42.6.

### 3.5.2.2) 6,13-Dimethoxy-5,14-dihydropentacene (3.55)

1) 5,14-Dihydro-6,13-dihydroxypentacene (3.105)

A suspension of $416 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $1,4-\mathrm{anthracenedione}$ (3.65) and $672 \mathrm{mg}(4.00$ mmol ) of benzosultine (3.53) in 8 mL dry toluene was heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 30 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with toluene ( 2 mL ) and ethyl ether ( 5 mL ), dried in vacuum to give 568 mg ( $91 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.105: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.37\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $J_{2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.21\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, $50 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 140.9,136.9,130.2,128.3,127.4,126.1,125.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.4,118.4,29.9$.
2) 6,13-Dimethoxy-5,14-dihydropentacene (3.55)

To a solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ of phenol 3.105 in 2 mL DMF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 30.7 mg NaH ( $60 \%$ in oil). The resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for half an hour, then 51.8 $\mu \mathrm{L}(0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ of methyl iodide was added by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours and diluted with 40 mL ethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with ammonium chloride solution ( 100 mL ), brine ( 100 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography using petro ether and ethyl ether ( $8: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford $86 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.55: m.p. $147 \sim 148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.04\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=\right.$ $\left.5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 148.5,136.8,131.7,128.7,127.8,126.7,126.0,125.7,121.1$, 62.1, 30.5.

### 3.5.2.3) (5R,6aR,7S,12R,12aS,14S)-8,11-Dimethoxy-5,6a,7,12,12a,14-hexahydro-5,14:7,12-di(o-benzeno)pentacene-6,13-dione (3.90)

A solution of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triptycene bisquinone (3.17) ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL dry toluene was refluxed for 8 h under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The red precipitate was filtered, washed with toluene $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl ether ( 2 mL ), dried in vacuum to give 125 mg crude red precipitate, which was further purified by column chromatography over silica gel using toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to provide 85 mg ( $30 \%$ yield) of the title compound, $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$, as red solids: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{bs}, 2$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 192.9,188.7,182.6,155.6,150.8,149.0,141.9,141.4,135.5$, $129.3,126.8,126.0,125.5,124.4,108.6,55.9$ (OMe), 51.1, 43.5, 42.5 .

### 3.5.3 Synthesis of 3-teeth synthons
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3.5.3.1) (5R,7s,9S,14R,16s,18S)-5,7,9,14,16,18-Hexahydro-5,18:7,16:9,14-tris(o-benzeno)-heptacene-1,4,6,8,10,13,15,17-octaone (cis,cis-heptiptycenetetraquinone) (3.23)

1) (5R,5aR,7S,8aR,9S,14R,14aS,16S,17aS,18S)-5,5a,7,8a,9,14,14a,16,17a,18-Deca-hydro-1,4,10,13-tetramethoxy-5,18:7,16:9,14-tris(o-benzeno)heptacene-6,8,15,17-tetraone (3.83)
(5R,5aS, $7 R, 8 a S, 9 R, 14 S, 14 a R, 16 S, 17 a R, 18 S)-5,5 a, 7,8 a, 9,14,14 a, 16,17 a, 18-D e c a h y d r o-$ 1,4,10,13-tetramethoxy-5,18:7,16:9,14-tris(o-benzeno)heptacene-6,8,15,17-tetraone (3.84)

A solution of $314 \mathrm{mg}(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triptycenebisquinone and $715 \mathrm{mg}(3.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene was heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 2 days. The orange solids precipitate was filtered to afford $690 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$ of $1: 1$ mixture of two isomers, which can be partially separated by column chromatography (silica gel) using petrol ether-methylene chloride-ether $(1: 2: 0.12, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent.

The less polar isomer (3.83): m.p. $>220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 7.33\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=\right.$ $\left.5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.73\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10$ (bs, 2 H ), 5.08 (bs, 2 H ), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.06 (bs, 2 H ), 2.95 (bs, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 193.3,192.9,155.2,155.0,148.7,148.6,141.4,141.3,138.4$, $131.1,129.1,126.7,126.6,125.6,125.1,124.3,124.0,109.3,108.2,56.2(\mathrm{OMe}), 55.8(\mathrm{OMe})$, 50.9, 50.2, 43.6, 43.4, 42.6.

The more polar isomer (3.84): m.p. $>220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, OMe), $3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.07(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $50 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 192.9,192.6,155.4,155.0,148.5,148.0,141.8,141.50,141.46,128.9,127.7,126.8$, $126.7,125.6,125.0,124.3,124.2,109.6,109.4,108.1,56.0(\mathrm{OMe}), 55.6(\mathrm{OMe}), 50.9,50.5,43.4$, 43.3, 42.7.
2) ( $5 R, 7 s, 9 S, 14 R, 16 s, 18 S)-5,7,9,14,16,18-H e x a h y d r o-6,8,15,17$-tetrahydroxy-1,4,10, 13-tetramethoxy-5,18:7,16:9:14-tris(o-benzeno)heptacene (3.85)

A suspension of $66 \mathrm{mg}(0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.83 in 2 mL acetic acid and 2 drops of $40 \%$ hydrobromic acid in water was refluxed under argon for half an hour, and then cooled to room temperature. The gray precipitate was filtered, washed with 2 mL toluene and 5 mL of diethyl ether, and dried in vacuum desiccator to afford 45 mg ( $68 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.85 : m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-\mathrm{d}}^{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OH}), 7.27\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.21\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.86\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.79\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, OMe); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$, 50 MHz ) $\delta 148.2,146.4,146.2,139.8,135.1,131.4,131.1,124.3$, 124.1, $123.4,123.2,108.5,55.8,41.0,40.5$; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ $\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right] 791.3$, found 790.6.
3) (5R,7s,9S,14R,16s,18S)-5,7,9,14,16,18-Hexahydro-5,18:7,16:9,14-tris(o-benzeno)-heptacene-1,4,6,8,10,13,15,17-octaone (cis,cis-heptiptycenetetraquinone) (3.23)

To a suspension of $25 \mathrm{mg}(0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.85 in a mixture of 6 mL of acetonitrile and 1 mL of water was added $208 \mathrm{mg}(0.380 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ammonium cerium (IV) nitrate. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 hours and then diluted with ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous magnesium, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was applied to flash column chromatography using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate $(25: 25: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford $15.7 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%$ yield $)$ of the title compound 3.23: m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (literature: ${ }^{9}$ m.p. $>320{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.40$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.36\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{2}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.2,177.7,151.8,151.3,151.2,142.1,142.0,135.5,126.2$ ( 2 peaks overlap), 125.64, 125.57, 42.5, 42.4.
3.5.3.2) 6,8,15,17-Tetramethoxy-7,16-dihydro-7,16-(o-benzeno)heptacene (3.56)
1)
i) A suspension of $467 \mathrm{mg}(1.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ triptycene bisquinone and $1 \mathrm{~g}(5.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ benzosultine 3.53 in 15 mL dry toluene was heated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with toluene ( 2 mL ) and ethyl ether $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuum to give 523 mg ( $67 \%$ yield) of a mixture of Diels-Alder adducts and their partially enolised derivatives.
ii) A suspension of the above Diels-Alder adducts mixture ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.765 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrobromic acid ( $40 \%$ in water, 10 drops) was refluxed for 10 min . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with toluene ( 2 mL ) and ethyl ether ( 5 mL ), dried in vacuum to give 306 mg ( $77 \%$ yield) the title compound 3.106: m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 146.5,141.5,135.7,130.7,127.4,125.7,124.1,123.3,121.5,40.8,30.6$; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right] 523.2$, found 522.3.
2) 6,8,15,17-Tetramethoxy-5,7,9,14,16,18-hexahydro-7,16-(o-benzeno)heptacene (3.107)

A mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 6}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.570 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate ( $629 \mathrm{mg}, 4.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl $p$-toluenesulfonate ( $1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 6.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 12 ml 1,2-dichlorobenzene was refluxed for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with 150 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water ( 100 mL ), 1M HCl solution ( 100 mL ), saturated sodium dicarbonate water solution ( 100 mL ), brine ( 100 mL ); dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate; filtered and ethyl acetate was removed by rotavapor. The remaining dichlorobenzene was removed by vacuum distillation. The residue was applied to flash column chromatography (silica gel) using petrol ether-ethyl ether-methylene chloride $(8: 2: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford 299 mg (yield 91\%) of the title compound 3.107: m.p. $286 \sim 288{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 148.8,145.5,136.2,128.2$, 127.7, 126.3, 125.6, 123.8, 62.4 (-OMe), 42.8, 29.7.
3) 6,8,15,17-Tetramethoxy-7,16-dihydro-7,16-(o-benzeno)heptacene (3.56)

To a stirred solution $123 \mathrm{mg}(0.210 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 7}$ in 10 mL toluene at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $290 \mathrm{mg}(1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours and diluted with 100 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water ( 100 mL ), saturated sodium thiosulfate water solution ( 100 mL ), saturated sodium bicarbonate water solution ( 100 mL ), brine ( 100 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to flash column chromatography (silica gel) using petrol ether-diethyl ether ( $4: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 109 $\mathrm{mg}\left(89 \%\right.$ yield) the title compound 3.56: m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.59$ (s, 4 H), $7.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}\right.$ $\left.=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, OMe); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta$ 146.7, 143.5, 131.9, 130.1, 128.6, 126.9, 126.7, 125.7, 124.4, 121.3, 63.3 (-OMe), 41.8.

### 3.5.3.3) (5R,7S,8aR,9S,14R,14aR,16R,18S)-5,7,8a,9,14,14a,16,18-Octahydro-5,18:7,16:9,14-10,13-dimethoxy-tris(o-benzeno)-heptacene-1,4,6,8,15,17-hexaone (3.91)

A mixture of $556 \mathrm{mg}(1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone (3.21) and 225 mg ( 1.07 mmol ) of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene was refluxed in 50 mL toluene under argon for 36 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the unreacted cis-pentiptycene trisquinone was filtered. About 6 g of silica gel was added to the filtrate and concentrated to dryness by rotavapor. The dried silica gel was then applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 312 mg ( $38 \%$ yield) of the title compound, 3.91, as red solids: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32 \sim 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37$ (s, 2 H ), 5.08 (t, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 192.8,182.8,178.0,155.0,152.3,150.7,150.1,148.9,141.9,141.6,141.4,135.8,129.6$, $126.8,126.4,126.1,125.7,125.5,124.3,106.9,55.6,51.1,43.6,42.6$ (2 peaks overlap).

### 3.5.4 Synthesis of 4-teeth synthon


3.5.4.1) (5R,7R,9S,11S,16R,18R,20S,22S)-5,7,9,11,16,18,20,22-Octahydro-5,22:7,20:9,18:11, 16-tetra(o-benzeno)nonacene-1,4,6,8,10,12,15,17,19,21-decaone (cis,cis,cis-noniptycenepentiquinone) (3.57)

1) (5R,5aR,7R,8aR,9S,10aR,11S,16R,16aS,18R,20S,21aS,22S)-5,5a,7,9,10a,11,16,16a, 18,20,21a,22-Dodecahydro-1,4,12,15-tetramethoxy-5,22:7,20:9,18:11,16-tetra(o-benzeno)-nonacene-6,8,10,17,19,21-hexaone (3.92)
(5R,5aS,7R,9S,10aS,11R,16S,16aR,18R,20S,21aR,22R)-5,5a,7,9,10a,11,16,16a,18,20, 21a,22-Dodecahydro-1,4,12,15-tetramethoxy-5,22:7,20:9,18:11,16-tetra(o-benzeno)nona-cene-6,8,10,17,19,21-hexaone (3.93)

A mixture of $567 \mathrm{mg}(1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cis-pentiptycene trisquinone ( 3.21 ) and 650 mg ( 2.73 mmol ) of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene was refluxed in 57 mL toluene under argon for 24 hours. After cooling to room temperature, about 6 g of silica gel was added to the filtrate and concentrated to dryness by rotavapor. The dried silica gel was then applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate (25:25:2, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 275 mg ( $25 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.92 (less polar spot) and 315 mg ( $29 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.93 (more polar spot).

The less polar isomer 3.92: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.33\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.11$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.93 (s, 2 H ), 5.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.13 (bs, 2 H ), 5.04 (bs, 2 H ), 3.78 ( s, 6
H), 3.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.15 (bs, 2 H ), $2.98(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 194.4, 192.9, 177.9, 156.7, 155.7, 150.3, 149.9, 148.9, 148.8, 141.6 ( 2 peaks overlap), 141.4, 138.5, 131.0, $129.3,126.9,126.8,126.0,125.9,125.4,125.3,124.3,124.1,109.5,107.1,56.2,55.7,51.1,50.3$, 43.6 (2 peaks), 42.8, 42.6.

The more polar isomer 3.93: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.26 \sim 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}\right.$ $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.23 (s, 2 H), 5.16 (bs, 2 H ), 5.04 (bs, 2 H ), $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3,59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (bs, 2 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 193.8,192.9,177.9,156.8,155.7,150.4,149.9,148.9,148.3$, 142.2, 141.7, 141.4 ( 2 peaks overlap), 129.3, 127.9, 126.9, 126.7, 125.9 ( 2 peaks), 125.4, 125.2, 124.3, 124.2, 109.9, 107.0, 56.2, 55.7, 51.1, 50.6, 43.5, 43.3, 42.9, 42.5; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Na}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}\right]$1019.3, found 1019.4.
2) $(5 R, 7 R, 9 S, 11 S, 16 R, 18 R, 20 S, 22 S)-5,7,9,11,16,18,20,22-O c t a h y d r o-1,4,12,15-t e t r a-$ methoxy-5,22:7,20:9,18:11,16-tetra(o-benzeno)nonacene-6,8,10,17,19,21-hexaone (3.95)

A solution of $37 \mathrm{mg}(0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.92 in 5 mL acetic acid and 5 drops of $40 \%$ hydrobromic acid was refluxed for 10 minutes under argon. The solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was dissolved in 5 mL of acetone. Diacetoxyiodobenzene ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for half an hour. Then acetone was removed by a rotapavor. The residue was appled to column chromatography (silica gel) using petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether (1:1:0.02, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford $7.4 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%$ yield $)$ of the title compound 3.95: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8$ H), $7.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 12$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.8,177.9,152.3,151.4,150.9,149.8,144.2,142.3,133.9$, 126.0, 125.5, 125.4, 124.7, 109.8, 50.7, 42.5, 41.6.
3)5R,7R,9S,11S,16R,18R,20S,22S)-5,7,9,11,16,18,20,22-Octahydro-5,22:7,20:9,18:11, 16-tetra(o-benzeno)nonacene-1,4,6,8,10,12,15,17,19,21-decaone (cis,cis,cis-noniptycenepentiquinone) (3.57)

To a suspension of $55 \mathrm{mg}(0.055 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.95 in 12 mL acetonitrile and 2 mL water was added $182 \mathrm{mg}(0.330 \mathrm{mmol})$ of CAN. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for overnight and diluted with 40 mL of chloroform. The organic layer was washed by water ( 50 $\mathrm{mL} \times 2$ ), brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The
residue was applied to column chromatography using petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether (1:1:0.04, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford $4.8 \mathrm{mg}\left(9.3 \%\right.$ yield) of the title compound $3.57:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.38\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=\right.$ $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.95\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.2,177.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 151.8$, 151.3 (2 C), 151.1, 142.1, 141.9, 135.5, 126.1 (2 C), 125.7, 125.6, 42.5, 42.4.

### 3.5.5 Synthesis of 5-teeth synthon


3.5.5.1)
(5R,7R,9s,11S,13S,18R,20R,22s,24S,26S)-5,7,9,11,13,18,20,22,24,26-Decahydro-5,26:7,24:9,22:11,20:13,18-penti(o-benzeno)undecacene-1,4,6,8,10,12,14,17,19,21,23,25dodecaone (cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone) (3.59)

1) (5R,5aR,7R,9S,11S,12aR,13S,18R,18aS,20R,22R,24S,25aS,26S)-5,5a,7,9,11,12a, 13,18,18a,20,22,24,25a,26-Tetradecahydro-1,4,14,17-tetramethoxy-5,26:7,24:9,22:11,20:13, 18-penti(o-benzeno) undecacene-6,8,10,12,19,21,23,25-octaone (3.97)
(5R,5aS,7R,9R,11S,12aS,13R,18S,18aR,20R,22S,24S,25aR,26S)-5,5a,7,9,11,12a,13,18, 18a,20,22,24,25a,26-Tetradecahydro-1,4,14,17-tetramethoxy-5,26:7,24:9,22:11,20:13,18penti( $o$-benzeno)undecacene-6,8,10,12,19,21,23,25-octaone (3.98)

A mixture of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.138 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cis,cis-heptiptycenetetraquinone (3.23) and 131 mg ( 0.550 mmol ) of 1,4-dimethoxyanthracene in 10 ml toluene and 2 ml carbon tetrachloride was heated to $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath) in a sealed tube under argon for 24 hours. The precipitation was
filtered to give 80 mg of a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 9 7}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 9 8}$ in a ratio of 8:1. The filtrate was absorbed in 1 g of silica gel and applied to column chromatography using toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate (25:25:2, V:V:V) as eluent to give 27 mg of a mixture of 3.97 and 3.98 in a ratio of 3:7. The calculated yield of two isomers is $83 \%$ with $58 \%$ for 3.97 and $25 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 9 8}$.

The less polar isomer 3.97: m.p. $>240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomp.; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.20$ $\sim 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.16\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.84 \sim 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.69\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.47$ (s, 2 H), 6.21 (dd, 2 H ), 6.15 (s, 2 H ), 5.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.79 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.00$ (bs, 2 H), 4.86 (bs, 2 H), 4.84 (s, 2 H), 3.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.34 (s, 6 H), 2.93 (bs, 2 H ), 2.39 (bs, 2 H ).

The more polar isomer 3.98: m.p. $>240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomp.; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.35$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.88 \sim 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.92 (s, 2 H), 5.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.71 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.98 (bs, 2 H ), 4.85 (bs, 2 H ), 4.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6$ H), $3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MALDI-TOF MS: m/z= $1225.4\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}\right]$, $1241.4\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}\right]$
2) (5R,7R,9s,11S,13S,18R,20R,22s,24S,26S)-5,7,9,11,16,18,20,22-Octahydro-1,4,14,17-tetramethoxy-5,26:7,24:9,22:11,20:13,18-penti(o-benzeno)undecacene-6,8,10,12,19,21,23,25Octaone (3.99)

A solution of $50 \mathrm{mg}(0.042 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended and heated to reflux in 30 mL acetic acid with 30 drops of HBr ( $40 \%$ water solution) under argon for 45 mins . The acetic acid was removed by a rotavapor. Toluene ( 25 mL ) was added and was removed by the rotavapor for the purpose of further removing remaining acetic acid. The residue was vacuumed for 10 mins before was dissolved in 20 mL acetone. $60 \mathrm{mg}(0.186 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for overnight. Silica gel ( 500 mg )was added and solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product absorbed in silica gel was applied to column chromatography (Silica gel) using petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether (1:2:0.03, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to give 14.5 mg ( $29 \%$ yield) of the title compound, 3.99 , as orange solids: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.28 \sim 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.88 \sim 6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.7$, 177.7, 152.1, 152.3, 151.1, $150.8,149.6,144.1,142.3,142.2,134.1,126.0$ ( 2 peaks), 125.5, 125.4 ( 2 peaks overlap), 124.7, 109.4, 56.5, 42.4 ( 2 peaks overlap), 41.5; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z (M+H) ${ }^{+} 1200.2561$ (Cal. $1199.3068),(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 1221.2578(\mathrm{Cal} .1221 .2887),(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+} 1237.1980(\mathrm{Cal}$ 1237.2626).
3) (5R,7R,9s,11S,13S,18R,20R,22s,24S,26S)-5,7,9,11,13,18,20,22,24,26-Decahydro-

5,26:7,24:9,22:11,20:13,18-penti(o-benzeno)undecacene-1,4,6,8,10,12,14,17,19,21,23,25dodecaone (cis,cis,cis,cis-undeciptycenehexaquinone) (3.59)

A suspension of $15.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.0125 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.99 was suspended in 3 mL acetonitrile. The mixture was sonicated for $30 \mathrm{mins}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ water and $41 \mathrm{mg}(0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ of CAN was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. 40 mL of methylene chloride was added. The organic layer was then washed by water $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was purified via column chromatography (silic gel) using a mixture of petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether ( $1: 2: 0.12, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}$ ) to give 4.2 mg (yield $30 \%$ ) of the title compound, 3.59, as yellow solids. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.28 \sim 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.88 \sim 6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.1,177.5$ (2 peaks), 151.8, 151.1 ( 3 peaks overlap), 151.0, 142.1 ( 2 peaks overlap), 141.6, 135.4, 126.2, 126.1 (2 peaks overlap), 125.7, 125.6 ( 2 peaks overlap), 42.4 ( 3 peaks overlap); MS (MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}: 1162.3230$ (Cal. 1161.1948).

### 3.5.6 Synthesis of 6-teeth "molecular roll"


3.119

3.125

3.126

3.121

3.123
3.5.6.1) (5R,7R,9S,11S,13S,22R,24R,26S,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-Decahydro-1,4,6,8,10,12,14,21,23,25,27,29-dodecamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno) tridecacene (3.119)

1) (5R,5aS,7R,9S,10aS,11S,13S,22R,24R,24aR,26S,28S,29aR,30S)-5,5a7,9,10a,11,13, 22,24,24a,26,28,29a,30-Tetradecahydro-1,4,12,14,21,23-hexamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24: 13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-6,8,10,25,27,29-hexaone (3.117)
(5R,5aS,7R,9S,10aS,11S,13R,22S,24R,24aR,26S,28S,29aR,30S)-5,5a7,9,10a,11,13,22, 24,24a,26,28,29a,30-Tetradecahydro-1,4,12,14,21,23-hexamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13, 22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-6,8,10,25,27,29-hexaone (3.118)

A mixture of $142 \mathrm{mg}(0.187 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3.91 and $107 \mathrm{mg}(0.197 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bisdimethoxyanthracene 3.56 in 10 mL of toluene under argon was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 hours. Toluene was removed by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using a mixture of toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to give 126 mg ( $51 \%$ yield) of a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 7}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 8}$ in a ratio of 3:7.

Isomer 3.117 (the minor isomer): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ROESY of a $3: 7$ mixture of 3.117 and 3.118) $\delta 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.86 \sim 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ (s, 2 H ), 4.98 ( bs, 2 H ), 4.95 ( bs, 2 H ), 4.10 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.93 (s, 6 H ), 3.41 (s, 6 H ), 3.09 (bs, 2 H ), 2.94 (bs, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) (deduced from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of a $3: 7$ mixture of 3.117 and 3.118) $\delta 194.0,192.9,177.8,156.7,155.6,150.0,149.8,148.7,146.9,146.5,144.0,141.5$, $141.4,141.2,138.1,136.7,132.7,131.7,130.2,129.5 \sim 125.0$ (m, 11 C), 124.2, 123.0, 123.9, $121.2,106.7,63.5,63.2,55.5,51.0,50.3,44.4,43.4,42.6,42.4,42.0$; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$1333.4, found 1333.5; MS (MALDI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$1333.4, found 1333.5.

Isomer 3.118 (the major isomer): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ROESY of a $3: 7$ mixture of 3.117 and 3.118) $\delta 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.86 \sim 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23$ (s, 2 H ), 5.03 (bs, 2 H ), 4.96 ( bs, 2 H ), 4.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.95 (s, 6 H ), 3.46 (s, 6 H ), 3.09 (bs, 2 H ), 2.97 (bs, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of a $3: 7$ mixture of 3.117 and 3.118) $\delta 194.0,192.9,177.8,156.7,155.6,150.0,149.8,148.8,146.9,146.4,144.1,141.5$, $141.4,141.3,138.0,136.6,132.7,131.7,130.2,129.5 \sim 125.0$ (m, 11 C), 124.3, 124.1, 123.9,
$121.2,106.8,63.5,63.1,55.6,51.0,50.4,44.4,43.4,42.6,42.4,42.0$; MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$1333.4, found 1333.5.
2) ( $5 R, 7 R, 9 S, 11 S, 13 S, 22 R, 24 R, 26 S, 28 S, 30 S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-D e c a h y d r o-$ 1,4,6,8,10,12,14,21,23,25,27,29-dodecamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno) tridecacene (3.119)
(5R,7R,9S,11S,13R,22S,24R,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-Decahydro-1,4, 6,8,10,12,14,21,23,25,27,29-dodecamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene (3.120)

To a solution of $87 \mathrm{mg}(0.065 \mathrm{mmol})$ of a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 7}$ and 3.118 in 5 mL DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 26 mg ( $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ in oil). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for half an hour. Then $162 \mu \mathrm{~L}(2.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ of methyl iodide was added by a syringe. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 3 hours, diluted with 30 mL water, and extracted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The organic layer was washed with 30 mL 1 M HCl , conc. sodium bicarbonate solution ( 30 mL ), and brine ( 30 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was appled to column chromatography using toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate (5:5:1 to 5:5:2, V:V:V) as eluent to afford 23 mg (a mixture of two isomers $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 0}$ in a ratio of about 1:1. The two isomers are partial separated with the less polar isomer being 3.120 and the more polar isomer being 3.119.

The more polar isomer 3.119 (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12 \sim 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $6.76 \sim 6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

The less polar isomer 3.120 (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12 \sim 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75 \sim 6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 6.30 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.15 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5,86 (2s overlap, 4 H ), 4.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.91 (s, 6 H ), 3.82 ( 2 s overlap, 12 H ), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$1419.5, found 1418.7 .
3.5.6.2) (7R,9R,11R,13R,15R,20S,22S,24S,26S,28S)-7,9,11,13,15,20,22,24,26,28-Decahydro-8,10,12,14,16,19,21,23,25,27-decamethoxy-(7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)-trideca-cene-6,29-dione (3.125)

To a stirred solution of $7.6 \mathrm{mg}(5.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 9}$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and water (6:1) was added $3.0 \mathrm{mg}(5.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of CAN. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours and diluted with 20 mL of ethyl acetate, washed by water ( 20 mL ), brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography using toluene, chloroform and ethyal acetate ( $5: 5: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 2.4 mg ( $32 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.125 (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8$ H), $6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76 \sim 6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85$ ( 2 s overlap, 4 H), 5.81 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS (MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{94} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}\right] 1411.5$, found 1411.6.
3.5.6.3) (5R,7R,9S,11S,13S,22R,24R,26S,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-Decahydro-6,8,10,12,23,25,27,29-octamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-1,4,14,21-tetraone (3.126)

To a stirred solution of $2.4 \mathrm{mg}(1.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of 3.119 in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and water (6:1, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ was added $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of CAN. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours and diluted with 20 mL of ethyl acetate, washed by water ( 20 mL ), brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography using toluene, chloroform and ethyal acetate $(5: 5: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford 1.0 mg ( $43 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.126 (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18 \sim 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.80 \sim 6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS (MALDITOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}\right]$1381.4, found 1381.7.
3.5.6.4) (5R,7R,9S,11S,13S,22R,24R,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-Decahydro-1,4, 12,14,21,23-hexamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-6,8,10, 25,27,29-hexaone (3.121)
(5R,7R,9S,11S,13R,22S,24R,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-Decahydro-1,4, 12,14,21,23-hexamethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-6,8,10, 25,27,29-hexaone (3.122)

A suspension of $40 \mathrm{mg}(0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 7}$ and 3.118 in 6 mL of acetic acid and 6 drops of $40 \%$ hydrobromic acid was stirred at room temperature for 36 hours. The solvent was
removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 5 mL of acetone followed by adding $29 \mathrm{mg}(0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ of diacetoxyiodobenzene. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes. 400 mg of silica gel was added and solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The dried silica gel was applied to column chromatography (silica gel) using toluene, chloroform and ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford $10 \mathrm{mg}(25 \%$ yield) of the mixture of title compounds 3.121 and 3.122 , which could be separated by PTLC using petrol ether, methylene chloride and ethyl ether $(1: 2: 0.06, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent.

Compound 3.121 (more polar isomer) (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18 \sim 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.82 \sim 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 6.16 (s, 2 H), 6.00 ( s, 2 H), 5.98 ( s, 2 H), 5.96 (s, 2 H), 5.89 (s, 2 H), 4.81 (s, 2 H), 4.07 (s, 6 H), 3.90 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (s, 6 H ).

Compound 3.122 (less polar isomer) (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18 \sim 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.82 \sim 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 6.27 (s, 2 H), $6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.11$ (s, 2 H ), 6.02 (2 s overlap, 4 H ), 5.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

### 3.5.6.5) (7R,9R,11R,13R,15R,20S,22S,24S,26S,28S)-7,9,11,13,15,20,22,24,26,28-Decahydro-

 8,10,12,14,16,19,21,23,25,27-decamethoxy-(7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)-trideca-cene-6,29-dione (3.123)To a stirred solution of $3 \mathrm{mg}(2.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 1} \mathrm{in} 0.25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and water (6:1, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ was added $2.0 \mathrm{mg}(3.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of CAN. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and diluted with 20 mL of ethyl acetate, washed by water ( 20 mL ), brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by a rotavapor. The residue was applied to column chromatography using toluene, chloroform and ethyal acetate $(25: 25: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ as eluent to afford 1.6 mg ( $54 \%$ yield) of the title compound 3.123 (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12 \sim 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.80 \sim 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 6.26 (s, 2 H), 6.01 ( s, 2 H), 5.98 (s, 2 H), 5.97 (s, 2 H), 5.93 (s, 2 H), 4.95 (s, 2 H), 3.86 (s, 6 H), 3.34 (s, 6 H).
3.5.7 Synthesis of new cyclododeciptycene based molecular gear 3.318

3.5.7.1) 2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24-Dodecahydro-9,11,21,23-tetramethoxy-(2,14:4,16:6, 18:8,20:10,22:12,24)-hexa(o-benzeno)-[12]cyclacene-1,3,5,7,13,14,17,19-octaone (3.318)

1) $(5 R, 7 R, 9 S, 10 a R, 11 S, 13 S, 22 R, 24 R, 24 a S, 26 R, 28 S, 30 S)-5,7,9,10 \mathrm{a}, 11,13,22,24,24 \mathrm{a}, 26$, 28,30-Dodecahydro-12,14,21,23-tetramethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno) tridecacene-1,4,6,8,10,25,27,29-octaone (endo-cis adduct) (3.314)
(5R,7R,9S,10aR,11S,13R,22S,24R,24aS,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,10a,11,13,22,24,24a,26,28, 30-Dodecahydro-12,14,21,23-tetramethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)-tridecacene-1,4,6,8,10,25,27,29-octaone (endo-trans adduct) (3.315)
(5R,7R,9S,10aS,11S,13R,22S,24R,24aR,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,10a,11,13,22,24,24a,26,28, 30-Dodecahydro-12,14,21,23-tetramethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)-tridecacene-1,4,6,8,10,25,27,29-octaone (exo-cis adduct) (3.316)
(5R,7R,9S,10aS,11S,13S,22R,24R,24aR,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,10a,11,13,22,24,24a,26,28, 30-Dodecahydro-12,14,21,23-tetramethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)-tridecacene-1,4,6,8,10,25,27,29-octaone (exo-trans adduct) (3.317)

A mixture of the tetraquinone $3.23(116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.160 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bisdimethoxyanthracene $3.56(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated in 1,2 -dichloroethane at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 24 hours. The solvent was removed by a rotavapor. The residue left was applied to column
chromatography (silica gel) using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate (25:25:2, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent to afford 117 mg ( $56 \%$ yield) of four monoadducts in a ratio about 2:2:1:1 based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Endo-cis adduct 3.314 (a small amount of pure sample was obtained by PTLC method using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate (25:25:2, V:V:V) as eluent, not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20 \sim 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 6.87~7.04 (m, 8 H), 6.80 (m, 2 H), 6.33 (s, 2 H), 6.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.15 (s, 2 H), 6.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.74 ( \& dd, 4 H), 4.86 (bs, 2 H), 4.14 ( s, 6 H, OMe), 3.90 (s, 6 H, OMe), 2.92 (bs, 2 H).

Endo-anti adduct 3.315 (a small amount of pure sample was obtained by PTLC method using toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate (25:25:2, V:V:V) as eluent, not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.20 \sim 7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89 \sim 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.77\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.91$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 2.93 (bs, 2 H ).

Exo-cis adduct $\mathbf{3 . 3 1 6}$ (hard to separate from 3.317 , no pure sample was available): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of a $4: 6$ mixture of 3.316 and 3.317 ) $\delta 8.55(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H), 7.98 (m, 2 H), 7.53 (m, 2 H), 6.80~7.48 (m, 10 H), 6.70 (m, 2 H), 6.43 (s, 2 H), 6.29 (m, 2 H), 6.25 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.04 (s, 2 H ), 6.03 (s, 2 H ), 5.79 (s, 2 H ), 4.93 (bs, 2 H), 4.14 ( s, 6 H, OMe), 3.91 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 2.87 (bs, 2 H ).

Exo-trans adduct 3.317 (hard to separate from 3.316, no pure sample was available): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) (deduced from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of a $4: 6$ mixture of 3.316 and 3.317 ) $\delta 8.45$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80 \sim 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, OMe), 2.87 (bs, 2 H ).
2) 2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24-Dodecahydro-9,11,21,23-tetramethoxy-(2,14:4,16: 6,18:8,20:10,22:12,24)-hexa(o-benzeno)-[12]cyclacene-1,3,5,7,13,14,17,19-octaone (3.138)
(5R,7R,9S,11S,13R,22S,24R,26R,28S,30S)-5,7,9,11,13,22,24,26,28,30-Decahydro-12,14,21,23-tetramethoxy-(5,30:7,28:9,26:11,24:13,22)-penti(o-benzeno)tridecacene-1,4,6,8, 10,25,27,29-octaone (3.139)

A 1:1 mixture of two Diels-Alder adducts ( $2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was refluxed in 2 mL of acetic acid under argon for 30 min . The reaction mixture was poured into 50 mL of water and
extracted with 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was then washed with water ( 50 $\mathrm{mL} \times 2$ ), concentrated sodium bicarbonate water solution ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), brine ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in 2 mL acetone. Diacetoxyiodobenzene ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and shaked well. Then the solution was applied to PTLC using a mixture of toluene, chloroform, ethyl acetate (25:25:2, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) as eluent. The Rf. $=0.29$ band was cut and eluented with the same eluent [toluene, chloroform, ethyl acetate $(25: 25: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V})$ ], concentrated and was further purified by column chromatography using a mixture of petrol ether, methylene chloride, diethyl ether (1:2:0.12, $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ) to give 0.6 mg ( $43 \%$ yield) of the title compound, $\mathbf{3 . 3 1 8}$, as orange solids: $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.25 \sim 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.85 \sim 6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.6$, $177.6,152.0,151.4,151.3,151.0,147.8,145.0,143.5,141.9,141.8,137.4,135.0,126.1$ (2 peaks overlap), 125.9, 125.7, 125.5(2 peaks overlap), 124.5, 123.8, 63.4 (OMe), 42.4 (3 peaks overlap), 42.2.

The band just below the cyclized product $(\mathrm{Rf} .=0.26)$ was cut and eluented with toluene-chloroform-ethyl acetate ( $25: 25: 2, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{V}$ ), concentrated to afford 1.2 mg ( $86 \%$ yield) of compound 3.139 (not enough materials for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), $7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20 \sim 7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.80 \sim 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H), 6.04 (s, 2 H), 6.03 (s, 2 H), 5.99 (s, 2 H), 4.05 (s, 6 H), 3.88 (s. 6 H).
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## Appendix

${ }^{1}$ H-NMR SPECTRA, ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR SPECTRA AND MASS SPECTRA

| Acquisition Time (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.6809 | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (MHz) | 399.79 | Nucleus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solvent | CDCl3 | Sweep Wi |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Acquisition Time (sec) 4.6809 |  | Comment LK-3-102-dp-redo |  |  |  | Date Oct 242005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency ( MHz ) | 399.79 | Nucleus | 1 H | Number of Transients | 32 | Original Points Count | 25908 | Points Count | 32768 |
| Solvent | CDCl 3 | Sweep Width ( Hz ) | 7000.35 | Temperature (grad C) | 29.000 |  |  |  |  |




| Acquisition Time (sec) | 6.5536 | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frequency (MHz) | 399.79 | Nucleus |
| Solvent | CDCl3 | Sweep Wid |


| Acquisition Time (sec) 6.5536 |  | Comment | LK-3-112-dp-redo |  |  | Date Oct 262005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency ( MHz ) | 399.79 | Nucleus | 1H | Number of Transients | 32 | Original Points Count | 18505 | Points Count | 32768 |
| Solvent | CDCl 3 | Sweep Width ( Hz ) | 5000.00 | Temperature (grad C) | 29.000 |  |  |  |  |
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| Acquisition Time (sec) 2.6214 |  | Comment | LK-9-046-f2-c 13 |  |  | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nucleus | 13C | Number of Transients | 20000 | Original Points Count | 18720 | Points Count |  |
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## LK-8-073-precipitate


$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{8}$
Exact Mass: 791.2645
m/z: 791.26 (100.0\%), 792.27 (57.0\%), 793.27 (17.6\%), 794.27 (3.7\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Na}$
Exact Mass: 813.2464
m/z: 813.25 (100.0\%), 814.25 (57.0\%), 815.25 (17.3\%), 816.26 (2.9\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~K}$
Exact Mass: 829.2204
m/z: 829.22 (100.0\%), 830.22 (56.6\%), 831.23 (15.9\%), 831.22 (8.9\%), 832.22 (4.1\%), 832.23 (3.9\%), 833.23 (1.8\%)







## LK-10-043-dp


$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{2} 7 \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Exact Mass: 523.1909
m/z: 523.19 (100.0\%), 524.19 (38.9\%), 525.20 (8.4\%), 526.20 (1.3\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$
Exact Mass: 545.1729
mz: 545.17 (100.0\%), 546.18 (39.4\%), 547.18 (8.4\%), 548.18 (1.2\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~K}$
Exact Mass: 561.1468
m/z: 561.15 (100.0\%), 562.15 (39.4\%), 563.15 (8.3\%), 563.14 (7.2\%), 564.15 (3.2\%)















## LK-9-033-dp-isomer



Exact Mass: 1019.2832
m/z: 1019.28 (100.0\%), 1020.29 (72.3\%), 1021.29 (27.8\%), 1022.29 (7.4\%), 1023.30 (1.0\%)

$$
(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~K}
$$

Exact Mass: 1035.2572
m/z: 1035.26 (100.0\%), 1036.26 (72.3\%), 1037.26 (34.6\%), 1038.26 (6.7\%), 1038.27 (6.0\%), 1039.26 (2.0\%), 1039.27 (1.6\%)

## D:IDATAIHualLK-9-033-dp-isomerlo_M211
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$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}$
Exact Mass: 1225.3200
m/z: 1225.32 (100.0\%), 1226.32 (87.0\%), 1227.33 (37.9\%), 1228.33 (12.9\%), 1229.33 (3.2\%), 1227.32 (2.5\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~K}$
Exact Mass: 1241.2939
m/z: 1241.29 (100.0\%), 1242.30 (87.6\%), 1243.30 (40.3\%), 1244.30 (19.0\%), 1243.29 (7.2\%), 1245.30 (3.9\%), 1245.31 (2.3\%)
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## LK-9-042-dp



$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{O}_{12}$
Exact Mass: 1333.4163
m/z: 1333.42 (100.0\%), 1334.42 (98.5\%), 1335.
42 (49.8\%), 1336.43 (15.4\%), 1337.43 (4.8\%), 1336.42 (2.4\%), 1338.43 (1.1\%)
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$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{O}_{12}$
Exact Mass: 1419.5259
m/z: 1420.53 (100.0\%), 1419.53 (95.1\%), 1421.53 (53.5\%), 1422.54 (17.9\%), 1423.54 (5.8\%), 1422.53 (2.4\%), 1424.54 (1.3\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}$

## Exact Mass: 1441.5078

m/z: 1442.51 (100.0\%), 1441.51 (95.1\%), 1443.51 (53.1\%), 1444.52 (20.3\%), 1445.52 (5.8\%), 1446.52 (1.3\%), 1443.52 (1.3\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~K}$
Exact Mass: 1457.4817
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 1458.49$ (100.0\%), 1457.48 (95.1\%), 1459.49 (54.4\%), 1460.49 (20.4\%), 1460.48 (7.2\%), 1459.48 (6.9\%), 1461.49 (5.0\%), 1461.50 (4.6\%), 1462.49 (1.5\%), 1462.50 (1.3\%)
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## LK-9-097-f6


$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{94} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{O}_{12}$
Exact Mass: 1389.4789
m/z: 1390.48 (100.0\%), 1389.48 (97.9\%), 1391.49 (51.3\%), 1392.49 (19.7\%), 1393.49 (5.5\%), 1391.48 (2.4\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{94} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}$
Exact Mass: 1411.4608
mz: 1412.46 (100.0\%), 1411.46 ( $98.4 \%$ ), 1413.47 (54.0\%), 1414.47 (19.8\%), 1415.47
(5.4\%), 1416.48 (1.3\%), 1412.47 (1.2\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{94} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~K}$
Exact Mass: 1427.4348
m/z: 1428.44 (100.0\%), 1427.43 ( $97.2 \%$ ), 1429.44 (53.3\%), 1430.44(26.1\%), 1429.43 (7.0\%), 1431.45 (5.5\%), 1431.44 (3.9\%), 1432.44 (1.4\%), 1432.45 (1.3\%)


## LK-9-098-dp


$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{O}_{12}$
Exact Mass: 1359.432
mz: 1360.44 (100.0\%), 1359.43 (99.3\%), 1361.44 (52.3\%), 1362.44 (18.8\%), 1363.45 (4.0\%), 1363.44 (1.2\%), 1364.45 (1.2\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}$
Exact Mass: 1381.4139
m/z: 1382.42 (100.0\%), 1381.41 (99.3\%), 1383.42 (52.2\%), 1384.42 (18.5\%), 1385.43 (4.0\%), 1385.42 (1.2\%), 1386.43 (1.2\%)
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~K}$
Exact Mass: 1397.3878
m/z: 1398.39 (100.0\%), 1397.39 ( $99.3 \%$ ), 1399.39 (58.3\%), 1400.40 (18.8\%), 1400.39 (7.2\%), 1401.40 (5.3\%), 1401.39 (3.7\%), 1402.40 (2.3\%), 1399.40 (1.2\%)









