

### Effects of embryo production method and day of transfer post-estrus into recipients on pregnancy rates with bovine embryos C. B. Hayden, K. E. Fike, & C. K. Jones Department of Animal Sciences and Industry, Kansas State University, Manhattan Results Figure 2. Pregnancy rate to embryo transfer with Figure 1. Pregnancy rate to embryo transfer with transfer of embryos occuring early (d 4, 5, or 6) conventional and in vitro (IVF) fertilization to typical (d 7 or 8) days post-estrus in recipients embryo production methods 100 P = 0.764% 8 Rate, n = 53 n = 66 60 60 44.3 41.6 40 regna regnal 20 Early Conventional IVF Days Transferred into Recipeints Post-Estrus (Estrus = d 0) Embryo Production Method Stage & Grades Results Quality of Embryos Code Quality Description No interaction between embryo production Excellent or good method and day of transfer post-estrus on Fair pregnancy rates (P = 0.228)Poor No effect of embryo production method on Dead or Degenerating pregnancy rate (P = 0.764) No effect of day of transfer post-estrus on pregnancy rate (P = 0.631) Conclusions **Examples of Bovine Embryo Grades and Production Methods** Both embryo production methods and day of transfer post-estrus achieve similar pregnancy rates Analysis with additional data and reproduction

### Introduction

- Reproductive efficiency is the most important trait for beef production
- ET is the only way to capitalize on genetic advancement opportunities from a superior dam
- Pregnacy rates are critical for the success and return on investment in embryo transfer
- Conventionally-produced (in vivo) embryos result in greater pregnancy rates to ET than In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) embryos (Hasler, 2000)
- Different pregnancy rates are expected when asynchrony exceeds 24 hours between the donor and recipient estrous cycle (Schmidt, 2010)

## Objective

• To evaluate potential effects of embryo production method and day of transfer postestrus in recipients on pregnancy rates to embryo transfer.

# **Experimental Procedures**

- 119 recipient beef cows each received one 7-d-old bovine embryo using standard insertion procedures (Donor Solutions, Rensselaer, IN; StockVets, LLC, Rensselaer, IN)
- **Cows were randomly assigned an embryo based** on the order of entrance into the chute
- Treatments (2 x 2 factorial)
  - **Embryo production method: In Vitro Fertilization** or Conventional (in vivo)
  - **Embryo transfer day of recipients post-estrus** (estrus = d 0) Early (d 4, 5, or 6) or Typical (d 7, 8)
- Embryos were stages 4 to 8 and grades 1 or 2
- **Cows were housed in dry lots with automatic** waters and ryelage based TMR fed 1 x d (High Quality Farms, LLC, Decatur, MI)
- 60 d post transfer cows were determined to be pregnant or not by transrectal ultra-sound
- Data was analyzed using GLIMMIX procedure of SAS with an alpha value of 0.05



Figure 3. Bovine Emb		C
	Stage of Development	
Code	Stage	
1	Unfertilized	
2	Two Cell to Twelve Cell	
3	Early Morula	,
4	Morula	
5	Early Blastocyst	
6	Blastocyst	
7	Expanded Blastocyst	
8	Hatched Blastocyst	
9	Expanded Hatched Blastocyst	



Grade 1



### Figure 5 Grade 2





Figure 6 Grade 3

- on pregnancy rates

### Acknowledgements

- Embryos; Dr. Stroud, 1995.



	P = 0.631
n = 40	n = 79
45.3	40.6
(4, 5, or (	5) Normal (7 or 8)
nsferred	into Recipeints Post-Estrus (Estrus = d 0)

factors such as age and number of parities of recipients would be beneficial to determine effects

**Embryos and Veterinary assistance from Donor** Solutions; StockVets, LLC, Rensselaer, IN Recipient cows owned and housed at High Quality Farms, LLC, Decatur, MI • Figures 4 to 8: A Consumer's Guide to Frozen