# THE INHERITANCE OF FLOWER AND POD COLOR IN PHASEOLUS VULGARIS AND P. COCCINEUS

by

HUSEIN ABUGREIN FRAG

B.Sc., Horticulture, Alfatteh University, Faculty of Agriculture, 1975

A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Horticulture

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas 1979

Approved by:

Major Professor

LD 2068 •T4 1979 F716	T	ABI	ĿE	OF	F (	CON	ITI	ENT	TS.					
C. 2														Page
LIST OF TABLES														i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS														ii
REVIEW OF LITERATURE														1
MATERIAL AND METHODS														3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSI	ON													4
SUMMARY														9
LITERATURE CITED														10

## LIST OF TABLES

able		Page
1.	Segregation for flower and pod color in <a href="Phaseolus">Phaseolus</a> <a href="vulgaris">vulgaris</a> <a href="vulgaris">· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</a>	4
2.	Segregation for flower color in the cross of Phaseolus vulgaris and $\underline{P}$ . $\underline{\text{coccineus}}$	7

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Dr. Carl D. Clayberg, major professor, for his assistance and patience in carrying out this research and writing the thesis.

I would like to thank Dr. J. K. Greig and G. H. Liang, members of my supervisory committee, for their assistance and encouragement.

Thanks are also due to the Libyan Government for sponsoring my graduate study at Kansas State University.

Finally, my special thanks and appreciation to my parents and brothers and sisters for their encouragement and support. The inheritance of flower and pod color in <a href="Phaseolus vulgaris">Phaseolus vulgaris</a> and <a href="P.coccineus">P.coccineus</a> HUSEIN A. FRAG AND CARL D. CLAYBERG

The genetic control of flower color in <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u> L., the garden bean, is due in part to a series of alleles at the <u>V</u> locus, with <u>V</u> producing Bishops Violet (81A, Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart<sup>12</sup>) flowers,  $\underline{v}_{lae}$  laelia (lilac) flowers, and  $\underline{v}$  white flowers. The sequence of dominance is  $\underline{v}$ ,  $\underline{v}_{lae}$ ,  $\underline{v}$  with  $\underline{v}$  the most recessive; but the heterozygotes  $\underline{v}\underline{v}$  and  $\underline{v}_{lae}\underline{v}$  are reported to be less pigmented than the corresponding dominant homozygotes  $\underline{v}\underline{v}$  and  $\underline{v}_{lae}\underline{v}_{lae}$ . In addition,  $\underline{v}$  produces red stem color and  $\underline{v}_{lae}$  rose stem color. Two dominant basic genes,  $\underline{P}$  and  $\underline{Gri}$ , are required for color in any plant part but produce no color of themselves, 11; and  $\underline{T}$  is also required for flower color but likewise produces no color alone.

Genes in <u>P. vulgaris</u> responsible for pod color include <u>Pur</u> for purple pod and <u>Ro</u> for rose pod, which interact so that <u>PurRo</u> = deep purple pod<sup>7</sup>. Dark purple pods have also been reported to result from the interactions of <u>Ro</u> and  $\underline{v}^{10}$ .

The authors are graduate student and professor, respectively, Department of Horticulture, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506

Another study has described purple pods as due to homozygosity for a single gene, with the heterozygote having green pods streaked with  $purple^9$ .

The inheritance of the scarlet flower color of  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{\operatorname{coccineus}}$  (Lam.) in crosses with  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{\operatorname{vulgaris}}$  has been described as requiring four dominant genes from  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{\operatorname{coccineus}}$ :  $\underline{\operatorname{Am}}$ ,  $\underline{\operatorname{Sal}}$ ,  $\underline{\operatorname{No}}$ , and  $\underline{\operatorname{Beg}}^6$ ,  $\underline{^8}$ . Each of these genes alone reportedly produces a different shade of red, but all of their interactions have not been described. Scarlet flower color in this cross has also been attributed to a single dominant gene, when scarlet-flowered  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{\operatorname{coccineus}}$  was crossed as female with white-flowered  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{\operatorname{vulgaris}}^3$ . In the reciprocal cross, which likewise showed monogenic segregation, the dominant phenotype was geranium pink, not scarlet, and behaved as if due to genic-cytoplasmic interaction.

The purpose of the present study was to see if scarlet flower color from  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{coccineus}$  could be combined with dark purple pod color of  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{vulgaris}$ . In the process we felt it desirable to confirm the inheritance of dark purple pod color within  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{vulgaris}$ .

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two cultivars of <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u> were used in the crosses. 'Royal Burgundy' was obtained from Stokes Seed Company, Buffalo, New York and has purple flowers (80A), purple pods (79A) and purplish foliage. 'Bush Blue Lake 290' was received from Asgrow Seed Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan and has white flowers, green pods, and green foliage lacking anthocyanin. The latter cultivar was crossed with the former to verify the inheritance of flower and pod color in an exclusively <u>P. vulgaris</u> genetic background. The <u>P. coccineus</u> parent used was PI 175,858 from the W-6 Plant Introduction Station, Pullman, Washington. It has scarlet flowers (33A) and green pods and foliage lacking anthocyanin pigmentation.

'Bush Blue Lake 290' was used as female parent in the cross with 'Royal Burgundy'. <u>Phaseolus coccineus</u> was used as male in the cross with 'Royal Burgundy'. Pollination was done using the rubbing and hooking methods described by Buishand<sup>2</sup>.

Backcross and  $\mathbf{F}_1$  progenies were grown in the greenhouse and  $\mathbf{F}_2$  families in the field.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Segregation for flower and pod color in Phaseolus vulgaris

The  ${\rm F_1}$  progeny consisted of 5 plants which were all medium purple for flower and pod color (Table I). The  ${\rm F_2}$  gave the results summarized in Table I.

Table I. Segregation for flower and pod color in  $\underline{\text{Phaseolus}}$  vulgaris.

	Observed	freque	ncies for	color of			
	Flower:		purple		white		
Generation	Pod:	dark purple	medium purple	green	green	x <sup>2*</sup>	P
P <sub>1</sub> (Bush Bl Lake 29					5		
P <sub>2</sub> (Royal B	Burgundy)	5					
F <sub>1</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>2</sub>	.)		5				
F <sub>2</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>2</sub>	.)	76	64	46	66	0.29	>0.95

<sup>\*</sup> Chi square was calculated to fit a ratio of 5:4:3:4

These results can be simply explained as resulting from segregation for two pairs of unlinked genes. One gene pair is  $\underline{v}-\underline{v}$ , which controls flower, stem, and pod color<sup>6</sup>. The other gene pair is described here for the first time as  $\underline{Prp}-\underline{prp}$  for  $\underline{Purple}$  pod color.  $\underline{Prp}$  interacts with  $\underline{v}$  to produce dark purple pod. The remaining interactions can be explained by the following scheme.

			Immature pod color	
Flower Color		Prp Prp	Prp prp	prp prp
purple	VV	dark purple	dark purple	green
purple	Vv	dark purple	medium purple	green
white	vv	green	green	green

 $F_2$ : 5 dark purple: 4 medium purple: 7 green (P > 0.90)

It is hypothesized by Lamprecht $^7$  that two genes control pod color according to the following scheme, with  $\underline{ro}$  epistatic to Pur.

	Immature	pod color
	Ro	ro
Pur	dark purple	green
pur	rose	green

On the other hand, Moraes and Vieira $^{10}$  reported that three alleles each at two unlinked loci controlled pod color. Their results are given in the following diagram.

77		I	mmature pod color	
Flower Color		A	a <sup>a</sup>	a
purple	V	dark purple	striped purple	dark pink
pink	<sup>V</sup> lae	red	yellow	yellow
white	v	red	yellow	yellow

Moraes and Vieira<sup>10</sup> said that  $\underline{A}$  and  $\underline{a}^{\underline{a}}$  are equal to  $\underline{Ro}$  and  $\underline{ro}$ , respectively, of Lamprecht<sup>7</sup>. However, they found that  $\underline{v}$   $\underline{a}^{\underline{a}}$  was yellow striped with purple, while Lamprecht reported that

 $\underline{\underline{Pur}}$  ro was green. If  $\underline{\underline{V}}$  corresponds to  $\underline{\underline{Pur}}$ , it is possible that the darker green pigmentation concealed the purple for Lamprecht.

It was necessary for us to describe the new gene,  $\underline{\text{Prp}}$ , because neither Lamprecht<sup>7</sup> nor Vieira and Moraes<sup>10</sup> reported two features we observed: (1) two shades of purple pods, and (2) the green phenotype of v Prp.

Segregation for flower color in P. vulgaris x P. coccineus

The F<sub>1</sub> progeny of this cross consisted of three plants, all with salmon-colored flowers (42B) and dark purple pods (Table II). Two of the plants showed the T dwarf characteristics described by Bemis and Kedar<sup>1</sup>: compact, dwarf plant habit and leaves with many small necrotic spots. The third plant was normal in appearance but failed to set any pods containing seeds from natural selfing or when used as a female parent in backcrosses with 'Royal Burgundy'. This plant, whose pollen stainability was 88.8%, was used successfully as male in the backcross to 'Royal Burgundy'.

Table II. Segregation for flower color in the cross of  $\underline{P.\ vulgaris}$  and  $\underline{P.\ coccineus}$ 

	Ob:	serve flo	l fre	for				
Generation	S	SL	R	PR	RP	P	x <sup>2</sup> †	P
Pl (P. vulgaris 'Royal Burgundy')						5		
P <sub>2</sub> (P. coccineus 175,858)	1							
F <sub>1</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>2</sub> )		3						
BC <sub>1</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>1</sub> )			2	2	3	3	0.40	> 0.90

<sup>\*</sup> Color of corolla standard: S = scarlet (R.H.S. Colour Chart<sup>12</sup>
33A), SL = salmon (42B), R = red (53B), PR = purplish red
(64B), RP = reddish purple (74C), P = purple (80A)

Ten backcross plants were obtained from more than 100 pollinations, and these plants could be grouped for flower color into four classes, as given in Table II. The results can be explained as segregation for two pairs of dominant, unlinked genes additive in action, with the dominant allele at one locus causing a higher level of red pigment production than the dominant allele at the other locus.

The ten backcross plants all had purple pods. Their pollen stainability ranged from 65% to 95% with an overall average of 82%. Recovery of fertility in backcrosses of this interspecific cross has also been reported by Lamprecht<sup>6</sup>.

Our results provide no evidence that four separate dominant genes from <u>P</u>. <u>coccineus</u> are required for the production of scarlet flowers. Although we did not recover in backcross

<sup>+</sup> Chi square was calculated to fit a ratio of 1:1:1:1

the scarlet color of  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{\operatorname{coccineus}}$ , or the similar salmon color of the  $F_1$ , the red segregants we obtained are consistent with the color shift from orange red to purplish red observed upon interspecific backcross transfer of genes for scarlet corolla from  $\underline{\operatorname{Sinningia}}$   $\underline{\operatorname{cardinalis}}$  into  $\underline{\operatorname{Sinningia}}$   $\underline{\operatorname{eumorpha}}$  (Clayberg, unpublished).

The present results also do not agree with the single gene inheritance described for this cross by Ibrahim and Coyne  $^3$ . Our  $F_1$  was fully pigmented, not diluted to pink like theirs, and the salmon-colored  $F_1$  we observed indicates nearly complete epistasis of the genes for scarlet color from  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{coccineus}$  over the  $\underline{V}$  allele from 'Royal Burgundy'. The BC $_1$  color shift is probably due to background modifiers in 'Royal Burgundy', but further backcrosses and sibcrosses are needed to verify this assumption.

The results obtained here demonstrate that scarlet, or red, flower color can be recombined with purple pod color in a <u>P. vulgaris</u> background, although these crosses did not allow us to determine whether the flower color genes from <u>P. coccineus</u> had any effect on pod color.

#### SUMMARY

Intraspecific crosses with  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{vulgaris}$  showed that the dark purple pods of the cultivar 'Royal Burgundy' resulted from the interaction of two genes:  $\underline{V}$  and  $\underline{Prp}$ , the latter gene not previously described. In the cross  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{vulgaris}$  'Royal Burgundy'  $\underline{x}$   $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{coccineus}$  flower color segregation in  $\underline{BC}_1$  indicated that the scarlet flower color of  $\underline{P}$ .  $\underline{coccineus}$  is due to two dominant, unlinked, additive genes of unequal action, both espistatic to  $\underline{V}$ .

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BEMIS, W. P. and N. KEDAR. Inheritance of morphological abnormalities in seedlings of two species of <u>Phaseolus</u>.
  Jour. Hered. 52:171-178. 1961.
- 2. BUISHAND, T. J. The crossing of beans (Phaseolus spp.). Euphytica 5:41-50. 1956.
- 3. IBRAHIM, A. M. and D. P. COYNE. Genetics of stigma shape, cotyledon position, and flower color in reciprocal crosses between Phaseolus vulgaris L. and Phaseolus coccineus (Lam.) and implication in breeding. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 100(6):622-626. 1975.
- 4. LAMPRECHT, H. Zur Genetik von Phaseolus vulgaris, XII. Über die Vererbung der Blüten--und Stammfarbe. Hereditas 21:129-166. 1935.
- 5. LAMPRECHT, H. Zur Genetik von <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u>, XIII. Ein neues Grundgen für Testafarben, ein weiteres Testafarben sowie etwas über Blütenfarben. <u>Hereditas</u> 22: 241-268. 1936
- 6. LAMPRECHT, H. On the effect and linkage of genes transmitted from Phaseolus coccineus to Phaseolus vulgaris. Agri. Hort. Genet. 6:64-81. 1948.
- 7. LAMPRECHT, H. Über die Vererbung der roten Hülsenfarbe bei Phaseolus vulgaris. Agri. Hort. Genet. 9:84-87. 1951.
- 8. LAMPRECHT, H. Weitere Kopplungsstudien an <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u> mit einer Übersicht über die Koppelungsgruppen. Agri. Hort. Genet. 19:319-332. 1961.
- 9. METCALF, H. N. Observation on pod-color ratio in a Cuban stock of the Black Turtle Soup bean. <u>Proc. Montana</u> Acad. Sci. 9:29-31. 1951.
- 10. MORAES, C. F. de and CLIBAS VIEIRA. Hereditariedade da côr das vagens de <u>Phaseolus</u> <u>vulgaris</u> L. II. <u>Rev. Ceres</u>, Vigosa 15:199-209. 1968.
- ll. PRAKKEN, R. Inheritance of colours and pod characters in Phaseolus vulgaris L. Genetica 16:177-294. 1934.
- 12. Royal Horticultural Society. R. H. S. Colour Chart. The Royal Horticultural Society, London. 1966.

# THE INHERITANCE OF FLOWER AND POD COLOR IN PHASEOLUS VULGARIS AND $\underline{P}$ . COCCINEUS

by

### HUSEIN ABUGREIN FRAG

B.Sc., Horticulture, Alfatteh University, Faculty of Agriculture, 1975

AN ABSTRACT OF A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Horticulture

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas 1979

### ABSTRACT

Intraspecific crosses with <u>P. vulçaris</u> showed that the dark purple pods of the cultivar 'Royal Burgundy' resulted from the interaction of two genes:  $\underline{V}$  and  $\underline{Prp}$ , the latter gene not previously described. In the cross <u>P. vulgaris</u> 'Royal Burgundy' x <u>P. coccineus</u> flower color segregation in BC<sub>1</sub> indicated that the scarlet flower color of <u>P. coccineus</u> is due to two dominant, unlinked, additive genes of unequal action, both espistatic to  $\underline{V}$ .