# NOVEL HYBRID MATERIALS: FUNCTIONALIZED POLYOXOMETALATES AS POTENTIAL METALLOLIGANDS 

by

## KRISTOPHER MIJARES

MChem , The Nottingham Trent University, 2002

## AN ABSTRACT OF A DISSERTATION

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Chemistry
College of Arts and Sciences

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
Manhattan, Kansas


#### Abstract

Polyoxometalates are self-assembled metal-oxygen anionic clusters formed primarily by Mo, W and the Group V transition metals. Their structural, chemical and physical diversity have attracted much attention from fields such as catalysis, imaging, magnetic materials, medicine and photochromism. While many of these inorganic systems are easy to prepare, their conversion to hybrid inorganic-organic materials through functionalization is an ongoing challenge.

Two approaches used for functionalization involve the insertion of metal-nitrido fragments into a lacunary polyoxometalate or the direct replacement of the terminal oxo ligands with the isoelectronic organoimido $[\mathrm{NR}]^{2-}$, hydrazido $\left[\mathrm{NNR}_{2}\right]^{2-}$, nitrosonium $[\mathrm{NO}]^{+}$and diazenido $[\mathrm{NNR}]^{+}$ligands. The later process has been proven successful with a variety of different nitrogenous ligands.

One of our group's goals has been to synthesize a functionalized hexamolybdate species capable of metal coordination, with the ultimate goal of forming self-assembled networks. However, previous results have been unsuccessful due to the electron withdrawing effect of the cluster which is transmitted to the metal binding site. In order to overcome this effect, several new organoimido delivery reagents (phosphineimines, isocyanates and arylamines) containing electron donating substituents have been synthesized and characterized. Attempts to attach these species to hexamolybdate are described. The synthesis and characterization of biarylimido ligands bearing remote $\sigma$-donor functionalities and their incorporation into the hexamolybdate cluster will be described.

A new and exciting avenue of polyoxometalate chemistry will be demonstrated through the successful metal coordination of the biarylimido functionalized hexamolybdate to a ruthenium(II) metalloporphyrin. This also brings the hexamolybdate polyoxometalate one step closer to being capable of forming the supramolecular architectures mentioned earlier.

A chromium $(\mathrm{V})$ nitrido polyoxometalate has been synthesized and characterized from a lacunary Keggin precursor, in collaboration with our colleagues in Paris. The ability of this complex as a nitrogen transfer reagent will be explored.


An alternative synthetic route to the osmium-nitrido-Dawson species, $\left[(\mathrm{OsN}) \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]^{4-}$ will also be described. These nitrido species could be an entry point to other derivatives through reactions with various nucleophiles and electrophiles.
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#### Abstract

Polyoxometalates are self-assembled metal-oxygen anionic clusters formed primarily by Mo, W and the Group V transition metals. Their structural, chemical and physical diversity has found use in fields such as catalysis, imaging, magnetic materials, medicine and photochromism. While many of these inorganic systems are easy to prepare, their conversion to hybrid inorganic-organic materials through functionalization is an ongoing challenge.

Two approaches used for functionalization involve the insertion of metal-ligand fragments into a lacunary polyoxometalate or the direct replacement of the terminal oxo ligands with the isoelectronic organoimido $[\mathrm{NR}]^{2-}$, hydrazido $\left[\mathrm{NNR}_{2}\right]^{2-}$, nitrosonium $[\mathrm{NO}]^{+}$and diazenido $[\mathrm{NNR}]^{+}$ligands. The latter process has been proven successful with a variety of different nitrogenous ligands.

One of our group's goals has been to synthesize a functionalized hexamolybdate species capable of metal coordination, with the ultimate goal of forming self-assembled networks. However, previous results have been unsuccessful due to the electron withdrawing effect of the cluster which is transmitted to the metal binding site. In order to overcome this effect, several new organoimido delivery reagents (phosphineimimines, isocyanates and arylamines) containing electron-donating substituents have been synthesized and characterized. Attempts to attach these species to the hexamolybdate are described. The synthesis and characterization of biarylimido ligands bearing remote $\sigma$-donor functionalities and their incorporation into the hexamolybdate cluster will be described.

A new and exciting avenue of polyoxometalate chemistry will be demonstrated through the successful coordination of the biarylimido functionalized hexamolybdate to a ruthenium(II) metalloporphyrin. This also brings the hexamolybdate polyoxometalate one step closer to being capable of forming the supramolecular architectures mentioned earlier.

A chromium $(V)$ nitrido polyoxometalate has been synthesized and characterized from a lacunary Keggin precursor, in collaboration with our colleagues in Paris. The ability of this complex as a nitrogen transfer reagent will be explored.


An alternative synthetic route to the osmium-nitrido-Dawson species, $\left[(\mathrm{OsN}) \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]^{4-}$ will also be described. These nitrido species could be an entry point to other derivatives through reactions with various nucleophiles and electrophiles.
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## CHAPTER 1 - Introduction

### 1.1 Polyoxometalates

Polyoxometalates (POMs) are self-assembled metal-oxygen anionic clusters formed primarily by Mo, W and the Group V transition metals. ${ }^{1,2}$ Each nano-sized assembly is accompanied by counter ions such as alkali metal cations, ammonium cation or polyalkylammonium cations. Assembly of these metal-oxide structures can be achieved via stoichiometric control or pH control (Fig 1-1).

$$
\begin{gathered}
18 \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}+32 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{6}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]+30 \mathrm{Na}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right]+18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
10 \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}+16 \mathrm{HCl}+4[\mathrm{TBA}] \mathrm{Br} \longrightarrow[\mathrm{BBA}]_{4}\left[\mathrm{~W}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{32}\right]+16 \mathrm{NaCl}+4 \mathrm{NaBr}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 1-1: Syntheses of POMs in acidic conditions. ${ }^{3}([T B A]=(n-C 4 H 9) 4 N)$

POMs can be classified into two broad groups, isopoly and heteropoly anions (Figure 1-2). Typically the isopolyanionic framework is composed of one type of metal atom (termed 'addenda' atoms), which are often molybdenum or tungsten atoms. Heteropolyanions or 'mixed addenda' clusters, typically possess one (sometimes two) hetero atoms which are located in the center of the framework, e.g. phosphorus in $\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]^{6-}$. So far over 70 different heteroatoms have been incorporated into various POMs.

> Isopoly anions ; $\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{O}_{y}\right]^{--}$
> Heteropolyanions $;\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]^{q-}$

Figure 1-2: Molecular formula of Isopoly and Heteropoly anions ${ }^{1}$

$$
(\mathrm{M}=\text { addenda metal, } \mathrm{O}=\text { oxygen, } \mathrm{X}=\text { heteroatom }) .
$$

Historically, Berzelius is credited for reporting the first POM in $1826,{ }^{4}$ namely the ammonium salt of $\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}$. This heteropoly salt was later utilized by Svanberg and Struve ${ }^{5}$ for the gravimetric and volumetric determination of phosphorus. However it would be almost another 100 years before its structure was determined by Keggin ${ }^{6}$. It is important to remember that there
are hundreds of structures pertaining to POMs both isopoly and heteropoly; three of the most common structures are shown in Figure 1-3.


Figure 1-3: Polyhedral representation of a) Keggin,
b) Evans-Anderson and c) Dawson POMs. ${ }^{2}$

The Keggin structure (Figure 1-3a) is perhaps the most commonly adopted structure and has a diameter of $\sim 1.2 \mathrm{~nm}$. It is composed of 12 vertex- and edge-sharing $\mathrm{MO}_{6}$ octahedra surrounding a central $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedron; X can be a wide range of elements typically from Group 4 or 5 metals (e.g. $\mathrm{P}^{5+}, \mathrm{As}^{5+}, \mathrm{Si}^{4+}, \mathrm{Ge}^{4+}$ ). ${ }^{2}$ Any POMs with a general ratio of 1:12 are labeled as 'Keggin' and those with a ratio of 2:18 are labeled as 'Dawson' types.

POMs are structurally and compositionally diverse and they also typically exhibit rich electrochemistry. ${ }^{7(a)}$ This can be attributed to their fully oxidized framework. It is common for POMs (those without cis- $\left[\mathrm{MO}_{2}\right]$ units) to display multiple and reversible one- or two-electron reductions often leading to mixed valence species referred to as 'heteropoly blues' due to their characteristic intense blue coloring. Perhaps this was why initial efforts of POM research focused greatly on their behavior as 'heteropoly acids'

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\mathrm{PW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}+23 \mathrm{OH} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}+12\left[\mathrm{WoO}_{4}\right]^{2-}+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
{\left[\mathrm{CrMo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]^{3-}+9 \mathrm{OH}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+6\left[\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right]^{2-}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 1-4: Cluster decomposition of POMs by hydroxide. ${ }^{1}$
and more recently as catalysts ${ }^{8}$. In their fully oxidized state POMs tend to be thermally, oxidatively and hydrolytically stable. However cluster decomposition can occur even at mildly
basic conditions ${ }^{1}$ (Figure 1-4). Physically, POMs can range anywhere between 6-25 $\AA$ in diameter with ionic weights upwards of $10,000 \mathrm{amu} .^{1}$

Table 1-1: Timeline of industrial processes catalyzed by heteropoly compounds. ${ }^{2}$

| Reaction | Year | POM Catalyst |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1972 | $\mathrm{H}_{4}\left[\mathrm{SiW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COH}$ | 1984 | $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| ${ }^{n} \mathrm{THF}^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{HO}-\left[-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}-\mathrm{O}-\right]^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1985 | $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{COOH}_{3}$ | 1997 | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{HPA}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ | 2000 | $\mathrm{H}_{4}\left[\mathrm{SiW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right] / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Homogeneous, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Biphasic (liquid-liquid), ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Heterogeneous; $\mathrm{HPA}=$ heteropolyanion )

Industrially, POMs have been utilized mainly as acid-, oxygenation- and photo-catalysts. In the early 1970 's Japan developed and commercialized the heteropoly salt, $\mathrm{H}_{4}\left[\mathrm{SiW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]$ for the homogeneous catalytic hydration of propene to 2-propanol (Table 1-1). ${ }^{2}$

### 1.2 Keggin Polyoxometalates



Figure 1-5 Various structural representations of a Keggin POM. a) Polyhedral; b) Ball and stick model (red $=$ oxygen, blue $=$ metals, purple $=$ addenda metal atom); c) Space filling model. ${ }^{7(b)}$

As mentioned previously, Berzelius is credited for the discovery and documentation of the first fully recognized POM; $\left.\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]\right]^{7}$ However structure resolution is credited to Keggin, thus heterolopolyanions of the $\left[\mathrm{XMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}$ type are often described as a 'Keggin type' (Figure $1-5) .{ }^{7}$


Figure 1-6: Baker-Figgis isomers of the Keggin Structure ( $\alpha$ ). Rotation of one, two, three or four $\mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ units (unshaded) by $\pi / 3$ produces the $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ and $\varepsilon$ isomers, respectively. ${ }^{1}$

Keggin POMs overall exhibit virtual tetrahedral $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ symmetry, with a central $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedral unit surrounded by $12 \mathrm{MoO}_{6}$ octahedral units which are arranged into four groups of three edge sharing $\mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ units. Each of the four $\mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ groups are linked to the central $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ unit and to each other by their corresponding edges. It was later found by Baker and Figgis that when groups of one, two, three or four of the $\mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ units are rotated by $\pi / 3$, different isomers of the Keggin POM can be related (Figure 1-6). ${ }^{9}$

In addition to the isomeric forms of the complete (or "plenary") Keggin structure, there are also "lacunary" derivatives in which one, two or three oxo-metal vertices have been removed by treating the complete Keggin ion with a suitable base such as bicarbonate. An example illustrating the formation of the lacunary $\left[\mathrm{XM}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}$ system is shown in Figure 1-7. It has also been shown that certain lacunary species can be synthesized via stoichiometric and pH control. ${ }^{10}$


Figure 1-7: Synthesis of the mono-lacunary Keggin species, $\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ via the abstraction of one $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathrm{O}\right]^{4-}$ unit. $^{3}$

The removal of an M-O unit from the Keggin exposes a 'cavity' within the lacunary species (Figure 1-7). This cavity presents five oxygen donors in a roughly square pyramidal arrangement and therefore is ideally suited for incorporating an added [ML] ${ }^{\mathrm{n}+}$ unit in a pseudo-octahedral geometry. Exploitations of such vacant sites have afforded an enormous range of complexes ( M $=$ e.g., $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$, etc.; $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, halide, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, pyridine, etc.). ${ }^{11}$ However it should be noted that not all lacunary Keggins are hydrolytically or thermodynamically stable and can often revert back to their parent Keggin. Similar lacunary species are also known with the Dawson series of POMs.

### 1.3 FUNCTIONALIZED POMs

### 1.3.1 - Transition metal

As described in the preceding section, the range of POMs can be further extended through functionalization of the clusters with transition metal cations. The ability of both heteropoly and isopoly POMs to incorporate transition metals has truly extended their structural, chemical and physical diversity. All first row and most of the second row transition metals have been incorporated into various Keggin and/or Dawson clusters. ${ }^{1}$ Derivatives containing multiple transition metal cations as well as organometallic species have been prepared. The resulting modified clusters can exhibit desirable properties such as magnetic behaviour ${ }^{12}$ or catalytic activity ${ }^{13}$; examples are illustrated in Figure 1-8.


Figure 1-8: Structural representations of a) the magnetic $\mathrm{POM}^{12}\left[\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}-\left(\mathrm{PW}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{34}\right)_{2}\right]^{10-}$ b) the oxidation catalyst ${ }^{13}\left[\mathrm{WZn}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{ZnW}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{34}\right)_{2}\right]^{12-}($ red $=\mathrm{W}$; black $=\mathrm{Zn})$.

### 1.3.2 - Main Group Elements

Although halide ions $[\mathrm{X}]^{-}$are formally isoelectronic with oxide ions $[\mathrm{O}]^{2-}$, there are few examples of halide functionalized POMs. One such species is $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NaW}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~F}_{6}\right]^{7-}$ (Figure 1-9). ${ }^{14}$ However, the fluoride ion does not occupy a terminal position, being instead contained within the cluster leaving it unavailable for further chemical reactions.


Figure 1-9: Ball and Stick representation of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NaW}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{56} \mathrm{~F}_{6}\right]^{7-}$ $($ Open circle $=$ oxygen; blackened circles $=$ fluoride; grey circles $=$ tungsten $) .{ }^{14}$

As with the halides, there are few known POMs clusters incorporating sulfur and selenium. Klemperer ${ }^{15}$ reported the first thio-POMs, $\left[\mathrm{W}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{MS}\right]^{3-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ta})$ from a reaction between $\left[\mathrm{MW}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{3-}$ and hexamethyldisilathiane. Another example of a thio POM is $\gamma-$ $\left[\mathrm{SiW}_{10} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}\right]^{6-}$, in which the POM acts as a tetradentate ligand to a $\left\{\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right\}^{2+}$ species (Figure 1-10). ${ }^{16}$


Figure 1-10: Molecular structure of $\gamma$-[ $\left.\mathrm{SiW}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{38}\right] . .^{6-16}$

### 1.3.3 - Alkoxo- and Group 14 derivatives

Alkoxo-ligands are one of the most common functionalities appended to POMs. Their syntheses encompass O-alkylations, ${ }^{17}$ esterifications with alcohols, ${ }^{18}$ controlled hydrolysis of metal alkoxides ${ }^{19}$ and self-assembly from alcohols and POMs. ${ }^{7}$ A majority of the alkoxo ligands are incorporated into POM clusters via $\mu^{2}$ or $\mu^{3}$ binding with one exception: the Lindqvist derivatives of $\left[(\mathrm{MeO}) \mathrm{XW}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})^{19}$ display terminal alkoxo ligands.


Figure 1-11: The tris-alkoxo Lindqvist POM, trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}\left\{\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{2-[ }{ }^{[20]}$

Zubieta exploited tris-alkoxo ligands to stabilize epolyoxovanadate cores (Figure 1-11). ${ }^{20}$ Similar tris-alkoxo-derivatives were prepared by Gouzerh in the form of the Anderson-Evans POM, $\left[\mathrm{XMo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{18}\left\{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Os}) .{ }^{21}$
Although alkylidenes $[\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}]^{3-}$ are isoelectronic to the oxo ligands of POMs, so far there are no known examples of alkylidene-functionalized POMs. In the late 1970's examples of organosilyl ${ }^{22}$ and organogermyl ${ }^{23}$ POMs were reported by Knoth and Pope. Almost 20 years later, the first organostannyl ligands were incorporated into various Keggin ${ }^{22}$, Dawson ${ }^{23}$ and Lindqvist ${ }^{24}$ POMs (Figure 1-12).
The organostannyl POMs are significant because they provide a versatile way to attach a broad range of organic substituents onto a POM via the tin sites leading to hybrid organic-inorganic materials.

(a)

(b)

Figure 1-12: Molecular models of $(\mathrm{a})^{17} \alpha-\left[\left(\mathrm{SiW}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{34}\right)_{2}\left({ }^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{BuSnOH}\right)_{3}\right]^{14-}$ and $(b){ }^{17} \beta-\left[\mathrm{SiW}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{37}(\mathrm{SnPh})_{3}\right]^{7-}$.

### 1.3.4 - Lindqvist Polyoxomoletalates

The Lindqvist ${ }^{25}$ family of POMs have the general formula, $\left[\mathrm{M}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-},(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Nb}$, $\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{V}$ ) (Figure 1-13). ${ }^{26}$ Unlike the Keggin structures, homometallic unsubstituted Lindqvist POMs do not exhibit isomerism. Lindqvist POMs contain three distinct type of metal-oxygen bonds, as revealed through x-ray crystallography (Table 1-2). A central oxygen atom is bound to six metal atoms, with local octahedral symmetry.


Figure 1-13: Structural representation of the Lindqvist POM, a) Ball and Stick Model $($ big/ blue $=$ Metal; small/red $=$ oxygen $)$ b) Polyhedron.

Each metal atom is capped by a terminal oxo ligand via a formal triple bond (one $\sigma$-bond and two $\pi$-bonds), and shares four bridging ( $\mu_{2}$ ) oxo ligands with adjacent meal atoms. These hexa-metalates have an idealized octahedral symmetry.

Table 1-2: Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ of some Lindqvist $\left(\left[\mathrm{M}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}\right)$ isopolyanions.

| Lindquist POM | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {(teminal) }}$ | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {(bridging) }}$ | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {(central) }}$ | Refs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ | 1.68 | 1.93 | 2.32 | 27 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ta}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{\beta-}$ | 1.80 | 1.99 | 2.38 | 1 |
| $\left[\mathrm{~W}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ | 1.69 | 1.92 | 2.38 | 28 |

### 1.3.5 - Synthetic routes for the surface modification of hexamolybdate with

## organoimido ligands.

The terminal oxygens of hexamolybdate $\left(\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}\right)$ can be substituted by various nitrogenous ligands such as organoimido $[\mathrm{NR}]^{2-}$, hydrazido $\left[\mathrm{NNR}_{2}\right]^{2-}$, nitrosonium $[\mathrm{NO}]^{+}$and diazenido $[\mathrm{NNR}]^{+}$species. Over the years, the ability to substitute a terminal oxo-ligand by an isoelectronic imido ligand has attracted considerable attention. This interest has been fuelled by the possible role of organoimido ligands in nitrogen transfer reactions, ${ }^{27}$ as intermediates in the catalytic ammoxidation of propylene into acrylonitrile ${ }^{28}$ and in olefin aziridination ${ }^{29}$. Given that


Figure 1-14: Polyhedral representations of (a) the nitrido-Dawson ion $\left[(\mathrm{MN}) \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}$ and (b) the nitrido-Keggin ion $\left[(\mathrm{MN}) \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{\mathrm{x}-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{Os}){ }^{30}$
the close-packed structures of the Lindqvist and Keggin systems resemble those observed in catalytically-relevant metal oxides such as $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$, it is not surprising that organoimido polyoxometalates have drawn widespread interest.

A variety of nitrogenous functionalities have been substituted into various POMs, and these will be discussed later in more detail. In the simplest form, metal nitride units $[\mathrm{MN}]^{\mathrm{n+}}$ were incorporated into both a Lindqvist ${ }^{31}$ and a Keggin ${ }^{32}$ POM by Zubieta. However the first series of fully characterized metal-nitride POMs were reported in 2002 and 2006 by Maatta and Proust. ${ }^{30}$ They showed that both Re- and Os-nitrido species can be incorporated in both Dawson and Keggin type POMs, respectively. In particular, the $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{N})-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]^{\mathrm{x}-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{N})-\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{\mathrm{x}-}$ ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Os}, \mathrm{Re}$ ) (Figure 1-14). ${ }^{30}$

The most common method for incorporating nitrogenous ligands into a Lindvist POM is via direct substitution. In 1995, Maatta and Hill reported a $p$-pyridylimido vanadium(V) complex. ${ }^{33}$ (Figure 1-15) They demonstrated that the pyridyl nitrogen ( $\sigma$-donor $/ \pi$-acceptor) remote functionality was capable of coordinating a second metal center producing new types of bimetallic complexes.

(a)

(b)

Figure 1-15: Bimetallic systems reported by Maatta and Hill, ${ }^{33}$ Vanadium(V) organoimido complexed with (a) rhodium and (b) tungsten.

With this knowledge, Maatta and Forster successfully incorporated the $m$-pyridylimido remote functional group into the hexamolybdate dianion in an attempt to convert the dianion into a metalloligand. ${ }^{34}$ Other remote functionalities have also been attached to the hexamolybdate with varying degrees of success such as carboxylic acid, p-pyridine, styrene, crown ether, phenol and hydro-quinoline groups.

Several routes to functionalizing hexamolybdates have been established over the past 2 decades. The method developed by the Maatta ${ }^{35}$ group relies on the direct reaction of the
tetrabutylammonium hexamolybdate salt, $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]$, with an organoimido delivery reagent. The organoimido deliver reagent can be a phosphineimine ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) or an isocyanate (R-NCO); the reactions are conducted under an inert atmosphere using mild heat. Though the detailed mechanism is not yet known, it was proposed that substitution (i.e. hexamolybdate


Figure 1-16: Proposed mechanism for the substitution of $\mathrm{TBA}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]$ with a phosphineimine delivery reagent. (Maatta's phosphineimine protocol). ${ }^{35}$
functionalization) occurs via an interaction between the terminal molybdenum-oxygen multiple bond and the phosphorus-nitrogen double bond. The resulting transition state, resembling that of the Wittig (net) $[2+2]$ intermediate, ${ }^{35}$ rearranges to form the desired functionalized POM (Figure 1-16). As is the case of the Wittig reaction, a by-product of the reaction is triphenylphosphine oxide which can be removed.

Substitutions involving isocyanates are suggested to proceed via a similar fourmembered transition state (Figure 1-17). However, the reaction by-product in this route (isocyanate protocol) is carbon dioxide which can be allowed to dissipate from the mixture (i.e. minimal workup required). The only disadvantage to this protocol is the generally longer reaction times (Figure 1-10). This has made the isocyanate protocol extremely attractive over that of the phosphineimine protocol; however it should be noted that not all isocyanates nor phosphineimines are able to functionalize the hexamolybdate dianion.


Figure 1-17: Proposed mechanism for the substitution of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]$ using an isocyanate delivery reagent (isocyanate protocol). ${ }^{35}$

Over the last few years, new methods for the functionalization of hexamolybdate have been introduced by Peng ${ }^{36}$ and $\mathrm{Wei}^{37}$. Both of these approaches utilize an arylamine delivery reagent in the presence of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) under reflux and inert atmosphere. Peng's protocol utilizes $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]$ and DCC as a dehydrating agent/activating reagent (Figure 18). Although the mechanism is not fully understood, it was proposed that DCC serves two purposes: (i) to form an activated intermediate species where a terminal molybdenum-oxygen of hexamolybdate interacts with the arylamine and (ii) as a sacrificial dehydrating reagent. Peng's protocol has reported high yields but as with Maatta's phosphineimine method, a by-product in (N,N'-dicyclohexylurea) requiring separation is formed.


Figure 1-18: Reaction Scheme for the functionalization of [TBA] $]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]$ with DCC and an arylamine (Peng's protocol). ${ }^{36}$

Recently Wei, ${ }^{36,37}$ in collaboration with the Peng group, introduced a fourth method for hexamolybdate functionalization. As with Peng's method, Wei utilized the arylamine aided by DCC. However the Wei protocol uses the octamolybdate anion [TBA] $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{26}\right]$ ) instead of hexamolybdate, and the reaction also requires the addition of the corresponding arylamine hydrochloride salt (Figure 1-19). The hydrochloride salt of the arylamine serves to assist the conversion of the octamolybdate to the hexamolybdate. ${ }^{38}$ In this method, the urea by-product is
accompanied by an uncharacterized molybdenum fragment from the conversion of octamolybdate to the hexamolybdate.


Figure 1-19: Reaction scheme for the production of imido-hexamolybdates from $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{26}\right]$, DCC, an arylamine and its hydrochloride salt (Wie's protocol). ${ }^{37,38}$

Clearly a variety of methods are available to functionalize hexamolybdate with an organoimido species. With these tools at hand, the only limitation should be our imagination. However in practice not all isocyanates nor phosphineimines are capable of forming functionalized hexamolybdates. ${ }^{39}$

Over the years the Matta group has synthesized various organoimido- functionalized Lindqvist POMs, of the general formula $\left[(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{2-}$, ranging from simple ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ butyl-imido ${ }^{40}$, diisopropylphenylimido, ${ }^{40}$ and cyclohexylimido ${ }^{40}$ species (Figure 1-20) to the more elaborate ferrocenylimido ${ }^{41}$ hexamolybdate (Figure 1-21b).

This series led to a better understanding of the effects of imido substitution on the hexamolybdate polyanion. The addition of an organoimido functionality to a POM was found to increase the electron density of the cluster, particularly with the Lindqvist type hexamolybdate POM, $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$. This was supported by the observed upfield shift of the imido substituted molybdenum atom $\left({ }^{95} \mathrm{Mo} \text { NMR) }\right)^{40}$ and the upfield shift of the remaining terminal oxygen atoms ( ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ NMR). ${ }^{40}$ The observed lengthening of the bridging oxo-molybdenum bonds at the imidosubstituted sites (from single crystal X-ray data) and the observation of more negative reduction potentials (from cyclic voltammetry studies) each support the idea of increased electron density of the cluster with increasing organoimido substitution. ${ }^{40}$

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 1-20: Representations of (a) ${ }^{\text {n }}$-butylimido- (b) cyclohexylimidoand (c) diisopropylphenylimido-hexamolybdate structures. ${ }^{33}$

The Maatta group also reported a polymerizable styrylimido-hexamolybdate (Figure 121a) capable of forming copolymers with divinylbenzene and 4-methylstyrene. ${ }^{42}$ Recently, the Peng group produced hexamolybdate clusters immobilized onto a polymeric backbone, produced via carbon-carbon (Suzuki) coupling of the aceteylinicimido-hexamolybdates and various arylhalides. ${ }^{43}$

(a)

(b)

Figure 1-21: Molecular Representation of (a) styrylimido- ${ }^{26 b}$ and
(b) ferrocenylimido-hexamolybdate ${ }^{41}$.

## 1.4 - Scope

This thesis will outline the pursuit of transforming hexamolybdate, $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{-}$into a metalloligand capable of metal coordination and electronic communication, with the ultimate goal of forming self-assembled networks. This goal was successfully achieved by the functionalization of hexamolybdate with biarylorganoimido ligands bearing remote $\sigma$-donor functionalities with a propensity for metal coordination. Herein will also be described the successful syntheses of several new organoimido delivery reagents (phosphineimimines, isocyanates and arylamines) and attempts to utilize in the formation of new imidohexamolybdates.

The latter part of this thesis will describe the successful and reproducible synthesis of a new chromium (V) nitrido-Keggin POM, $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{5-}$ and preliminary attempts to utilize it for N-transfer to an olefin. I will also describe the synthesis and characterization of an osmium-nitrido-Dawson species, $\left[(\mathrm{OsN}) \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]^{4-}$.
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## CHAPTER 2 - Can organoimido functionalized hexamolybdates act as metalloligands?

## 2.1 - Introduction - Functionalized Hexamolybdates

Over the last three decades, an increasing number of hexametalate ${ }^{1 a}$ derivatives with the general formula $\left[\mathrm{M}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}(\mathrm{~L})\right]^{2-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{V})$ have been reported, where the surface is appended with various organo ligands ( L ). These organic/inorganic hybrid materials have either been found to exhibit synergistic ${ }^{1 b, 2}$ or an additive combination of properties (e.g. redox, photochemical, ferromagnetic). ${ }^{3}$ The focus of our group centers around developing functionalized hexamolybdate dianions $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{~L})\right]^{2-}$ capable of metal coordination with a long term goal of forming supramolecular ${ }^{1 a}$ architectures. A number of functionalized hexamolybdate dianions ${ }^{3-7}$ have been successfully synthesized (Chapter 1 ), in which an isoelectronic exogenous ligand, L, (i.e. organoimido) has replaced one or more terminal oxo ligands on the surface of the cluster. ${ }^{4}$ The following discussion will highlight the path that led to the successful coordination of an organoimido functionalized hexamolybdate to a transition metal complex via the remote functionality ( $\sigma$-donor) of the exogenous ligand, effectively transforming the derivatized hexamolybdate dianion into a metalloligand.


Figure 2-1: Molecular structures of (a) carboxyphenylimido-, (b) pyridylimido- and (c) methoxypyridylimido-hexamolybdates. ${ }^{15}$

With the synthetic routes (Chapter 1) developed and established by Maatta ${ }^{5,6,7,8,9}$ Peng ${ }^{10,16}$ and more recently Wei, ${ }^{11,12,13,14}$ a number of hexamolybdate compounds modified with organoimido ligand have been reported ${ }^{4}$ and now form a significant sub- class of POM hybrid materials. Preliminary attempts at metal coordination within the Matta group produced the carboxyphenylimido-, 3-pyridylimido- and methoxypyridylimido-hexamolybdates as synthesized by Moore. ${ }^{15}$ (Figure 2-1) Each remote functionality was chosen by Moore ${ }^{15}$ for their propensity for metal coordination but none of were found capable of the desired metal coordination when attached to the hexamolybdate.

There are only a few examples of organoimido functionalized hexamolybdates reported to demonstrate metal coordination via a covalently bound remote functionality. ${ }^{8,16}$ One such example is the (previously mentioned) ferrocenylimido-hexamolybdate ( $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ coordinated via cyclopentadienylimido ligand) by Maatta. ${ }^{8}$ Another was the terpyridyl-phenylimidohexamolybdate reported by Peng et al. ${ }^{16}$ (Figure 2-2). This terpyimido hexamolybdate was demonstrated to coordinate $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. ${ }^{16(\mathrm{~b})}$


Figure 2-2: Structural representation of terpyimido-hexamolybdate. ${ }^{16}$

Hexamolybdates can undergo poly-substitution. As demonstrated by Strong, ${ }^{6}$ all six terminal oxo ligands can be replaced by isoelectronic organoimido ligands (Figure 2-3). It was observed (by cyclic voltammetry studies) that the electron density of the cluster increases with increasing organoimido incorporation. ${ }^{5}$ This effect was credited to the imido ligand's enhanced ability as an electron donor relative to terminal oxo ligands.


Figure 2-3: Molecular structures of mono-, bis-, tris-, tetrakis-, pentakis-, and hexakis-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimido)hexamolybdate. ${ }^{5}$

The overall octahedral nature of hexamolybdate wherein each terminal oxo ligand is related to another by either $90^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$ makes it well suited for constructing discrete supramolecular structures such as those shown in Figure 2-4. In theory, metal coordination can be used as a supramolecular "glue" and the multiply substituted functionalized hexamolybdates used as "building blocks" for constructing highly ordered structures that may exhibit unique physical, chemical and electronic properties.

With this in mind, one can imagine supramolecular structures bearing one or more of these organoimido ligands bound to a single hexamolybdate cluster coordinated to a common metal center (Figure 2-4). Metal coordination of two mono-functionalized (Figure 2-3) hexamolybdates onto a transition metal such as silver should produce a linear or "dumb-bell" structure (Figure 2-4). If multiply-appended hexamolybdate complexes were accessible, the formation of more elaborate supramolecular structures would be possible (Figure 2-4). Development of the synthetic methods needed to provide this type of substitution onto hexamolybdate will be crucial in producing these architectures. Strong demonstrated astonishing
control of substitution at hexamolybdate, through variations in reactions conditions (e.g. reaction times, temperature, stoichiotmetry) (Figure 2-3). ${ }^{40}$ Unfortunately this degree of structural organization by an organoimido appended hexamolybdate cluster is yet to be reported for imido ligands capable of binding metal centers and thus the ability to use hexamolybdates as "building blocks" is still in its infancy.


Figure 2-4: Supramolecular structures possible with increasing organoimido substitution.
$(\text { Orange }=\text { organoimido substituted hexamolybdate; Green }=\text { coordinated metal atom })^{17}$

The initial step in synthesizing an organoimido hexamolybdate complex is the preparation of the hexamolybdate dianion, $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$. The hexamolybdate cluster is conveniently prepared from modified literature methods, ${ }^{18,19,20}$ as its tetrabutylammonium ( $\left[^{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right] ;[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}\right)$salt which is readily soluble in organic solvents such as acetone, acetonitrile, dichloromethane and pyridine. After reaction with the chosen imido delivery reagent (Chapter 1), successful functionalization can be detected via infra-red spectroscopy (FT-IR). It has been observed that mono-functionalized hexamolybdate complexes exhibit a "shoulder/strong band" feature in the [Mo-O $\mathrm{O}_{\text {terminal }}$ ] stretching region of the IR spectrum at ca. $960-980 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$
${ }^{1}$ (shoulder) and $950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (strong), respectively. The observation of this shoulder (in conjunction with evidence from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra) is a reliable indicator of successful functionalization. ${ }^{15,21}$

### 2.1.1 - Hurdles to Hexamolybdate Coordination.

The inability of various functionalized hexamolybdates to bind transition metals (via a remote functionality) has been reported elsewhere ${ }^{15,21}$ and is attributed to the electron withdrawing effects of the attached cluster fragment, $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{2-}$. The lack of coordination demonstrates that the cluster (Figure 2-5; Part A) exerts a deactivating effect on the remote functionality (Part C) through the organoimido ligand (Part B). In order to rationalize this effect, it is necessary to recall that each cluster contains six $\left(d^{0}\right)$ molybdenum ions, each in their highest oxidation state (+6) rendering the cluster as an efficient electron sink (i.e. an electron 'sponge').


Figure 2-5: The segments of an organoimido functionalized hexamolybdate complex illustrating electron withdrawal from the remote functionality $\left(\sigma\right.$-donor). ${ }^{15}\left(\right.$ Black sphere $\left.=\mathrm{TBA}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]\right)$

Several attempts were undertaken within our group to overcome the "electron- sponge" behavior of hexamolybdate. ${ }^{15,21}$ The first step was to increase electron density at the organoimido substitutent. Moore ${ }^{15}$ prepared $p$-methoxy-m-pyridylimido-hexamolybdate (Figure 2-6). The addition of the methoxy group (an electron donor) served two purposes: (i) to increase electron density at the imido ligand and, (ii) offering the potential of a bidentate coordination mode for a suitable transition metal (Figure 2-6). ${ }^{15}$


Figure 2-6: Representation of two possible ways to improve $\sigma$-donor ability by (i) electron donation from the -methoxy group and (ii) bidentate coordination. ${ }^{15}$

Unfortunately, the introduction of the methoxy group was insufficient to overcome the electron withdrawing influence of hexamolybdate and metal coordination was never observed. Also, it was observed in its single crystal X-ray (solid state) structure, that the non-bonding electrons of the methoxy oxygen were rotated away from those of the pyridyl nitrogen, although this does not preclude adoption of the bidendate motif in solution.

With this in mind, a series of benzonitrile and pyridyl-biaryl organoimido delivery reagents containing multiple electron donor groups (dimethyl and tetramethyl cyanophenyl species) were envisioned and synthesized. Each was designed with the intent that the methyl substituents would provide increased electron density and in turn greater $\sigma$-donor capabilities for the cyano function. In the following sections, I will describe several successful (and attempted) syntheses of new organoimido delivery reagents, including aryl amines ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), phosphinimines $\left(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ and isocyanates ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NCO}$ ). I will also describe the synthesis of other biphenyl- and pyrimidylphenyl reagents and attempts to introduce these as organoimido ligands into the hexamolybdate.

## 2.2 - Results and Discussion

### 2.2.1 - Synthesis of Dimethyl and tetramethylphenylimido delivery reagents

Initially, attention was focused on molecules such as 1 and 2 (Figure 2-7) as well as the tetramethyl substituted analogue 3 , where Y can be $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (which could later be converted to their $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and -NCO organoimido delivery reagents, respectively). The proximity of the methyl groups to the nitrile ( -CN ) function in $\mathbf{2}$ made it a more attractive initial target than 1.


1


2


3

Figure 2-7: Series of methyl substituted cyanobenzene compounds as precursors for the syntheses of organoimido delivery reagents. $\left(\mathrm{Y}=-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$

The synthesis of target molecule 2 began from commercially available 3,5dimethylaniline, 4 (Figure 2-8). The amino group of 4 was converted into a carboxamide in order to favor nucleophilic (halogenation) substitution at the para position. Formation of the carboxyamides and subsequent halogenations proceeded with ease. However the syntheses of $\mathbf{6 a}$ proved unsuccessful, thus $\mathbf{5 b}$ (butyl-carboxamide) was synthesized. The cyanation of the $\mathbf{7}$ was achieved by a procedure adapted from the literature using copper(I) cyanide under harsh $\left(130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ reaction conditions (Figure 2-8). ${ }^{24}$



Figure 2-8: Schematic for attempted synthesis of 9. ( $\mathrm{a}^{22}=$ thionyl chloride, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{b}^{23}=$ NaOH , di-tbutyldicarbonate, 1,4-dioxane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{c}^{24}=\mathrm{CuCN}$, 2-pyrrollidinone, 4 days, reflux)

After numerous attempts, the desired phosphinimine delivery reagent 9 proved inaccessible. Hindered by the inconsistent synthesis, purification problems and extremely low yields of 8, focus was shifted to the tetramethyl analogues (Figure 2-9). Retrosynthetic analysis of the desired target molecule 10, identified a cheap and readily available starting reagent in the form of 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene, 15.


Figure 2-9: Retrosynthetic analysis of target molecule, 10 (4-cyano-2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-phenylimido hexamolybdate).

The new tetramethyl imido delivery reagents of interest became 11 and $\mathbf{1 3}$ which maybe accessed via the correspoinding amine 12 and carboxylic acid 14 . The tetramethylbenzene compounds 16 and 17 were synthesized from 15 through a series of substitutions according to modified literature methods ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 2-10).


Figure 2-10: Schematic for the synthesis of 12 and 14 from 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene.

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}^{25}=\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} ; \mathrm{b}^{26}=\mathrm{CuCN},\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PO}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)
$$

Cyanation of 16 imparted an unfortunate deactivating effect on the para-position rendering it inert to substitution. This made the synthesis of 12 and 14 via this method impossible. An alternative route was undertaken using di-halogenated tetramethylbenzenes and various substitution reactions outlined in the literature (Figure 2-11). ${ }^{25,27,28,29}$



Figure 2-11: Schematic for the synthesis of 17 and isocyanate 13.
$\left(\mathrm{a}^{25}=\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}^{28}=\mathrm{CuCN}\right.$, DMF, 8 hours, reflux; $\mathrm{c}^{28}=$ DIBAL- H , toluene, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{d}^{28}$ $=\mathrm{CuCN}, \mathrm{DMF}, 8$ hours, reflux; $\mathrm{e}^{29}=$ pyridinium chlorochromate $(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} ; \mathrm{f}^{31}$
$=$ diphenyl phosphoryl azide, 1,8-bis(dimethylamino)-naphthalene, THF, reflux, 14 hours)

The low yield of the desired mono-cyano product 19 was attributed to a competing side reaction that resulted in formation of di-cyano-tetramethylbenzene (di-CTB) as the major product. ${ }^{27}$ This was evident from the ' $95 \%$ ' conversion (based on 15) quoted in literature, ${ }^{28}$ with only $30 \%$ of this being the mono-substituted product, 19. Despite various attempts at altering the reaction conditions to favor mono-substitution (e.g. increased dilution and lowered reaction temperatures) the major product was always di-CTB. Further attempts were made to selectively convert one of the cyano groups of di-CTB to the aldehyde ${ }^{28}$ or the amine ${ }^{30}$ with no success.

The oxidation of 21 yielded the carboxyphenyl, 14. However 14 was never isolated as the pure compound upon acid-base workup and was prone to decomposition during column chromatography. Nevertheless, conversion to the isocyanate imido delivery reagent, $\mathbf{1 3}$ was attempted via a modified literature method ${ }^{31}$ using diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA) and 1,8-bis(dimethylamino)-naphthalene (Proton Sponge). Unfortunately no evidence of 13 was observed by either FT-IR or MALDI-ToF mass spectra.

Functionalization of hexamolybdate with the dimethyl- and tetramethyl-cyanophenyl moieties should not be dismissed as impossible. Further studies are needed in order to facilitate the conversion of the carboxylic acid to the isocyanate, as well as improving the overall yield of the cyanation (i.e. average of $24 \%$ ) (Figure 2-11). Other tetramethylphenyl systems should also be considered, especially those containing $\sigma$-donor groups other than cyano (e.g. pyridyl nitrogen), which may yield better results.

### 2.2.2 - Ligand extension via phenyl spacer groups: a series "extended" isocyanates and phosphinimines as novel imido delivery reagents.

As mentioned previously, the primary cause of ' $\sigma$-donor deactivation' is attributed to electron withdrawal by hexamolybdate. However, other factors such as proximity and conjugation between the hexamolybdate cluster and the $\sigma$-donor site were considered previously. ${ }^{21}$ As a starting point, Kwen ${ }^{21}$ proposed the use of ligands containing 'extended spacer groups' such as imines (e.g. 22 and 23). The increased separation was intended to decrease the electron withdrawing effect of the cluster (Figure 2-12), which in turn should lead to a more basic donor favoring the ability for metal coordination. Unfortunately the imines were found to
be unstable during their conversion to the phosphinimine. ${ }^{21}$ This made the Schiff bases 22 and 23 unsuitable imido delivery reagents.


Figure 2-12: Kwen's Schiff Base ligands. ${ }^{21}$

In light of this, the Schiff base motif was discarded in favor of the bi-aryl substituents, 27 - 29 and 38 - $\mathbf{4 0}$ (Figure 2-13 and 2-14). Although the biaryl ligands are shorter than the imines they do not suffer from hydrolytic decomposition. The biaryl ligands offer a versatile way of forming the organoimido moiety (through a preformed aryl containing the amino or carboxy functionality) with desired remote functionality (preformed on another aryl (Figure 2-13)).


Figure 2-13: Syntheses of $p$-carboxybiaryl ligands (bearing the extended spacer group) ${ }^{32}$ and their conversion to the isocyanate ${ }^{31}$ organoimido delivery reagent.

Subsequent conversion of these amino or carboxy biaryl ligands to their corresponding phosphinemine or isocyanate, respectively gave access to the necessary organoimido delivery reagent. This was also a good opportunity to recycle the previously synthesized $p$-cyanotetramethylbromobenzene 19, as a preformed remote functionality. (Figure 2-13)

The carboxylic acids 27, 28 and 29 were successfully synthesized using methods adapted from literature. ${ }^{32}$ However their conversion to the isocyanates 30, 31 and 32 (organoimido delivery reagents) were met with varying degrees of success using methods adapted from the literature. ${ }^{31}$ However purification of the isocyanates resulted in decomposition during column chromatography, recrystallization was likewise unsuccessful. Despite the lack of pure isocyanate samples, functionalization of hexamolybdate was attempted. Unfortunately the formation of the characteristic shoulder feature in the IR spectrum ( $c a .960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) was not observed. Several attempts were made, with varying reaction conditions such as time, temperature, concentration and stoichiometry. The lack of success was not completely surprising since not all isocyanate compounds are capable of functionalizing hexamolybdate. ${ }^{17}$


Figure 2-14: Attempted syntheses of p-aminobiaryl ligands (bearing extended spacer) via routes
A and B. $\left((\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{NaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$; (ii) $=\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, toluene $) .{ }^{21}$

With this knowledge, focus quickly shifted to the amino equivalents in order to access the phosphinimines 41, 42 and 43 (Figure 2-14). However, the high cost of 33' (paminophenylboronic acid; $\$ 53$ per gram; Sigma-Aldrich) and failed attempts at its synthesis prompted a search for an alternative. This was found in 34’ (i.e. Route B of Figure 2-14), obtained via a modified literature method (Figure 2-15). ${ }^{33 a}$ Conversions of the arylbromides containing the remote functionality to their corresponding boronic acids (35, 36 and 37$)^{33 \mathrm{a}}$ also proved unfruitful. The carboxylic acid 33'’ was explored as an optional route to access the

Table 2-1: Table of $p$-aminobiaryls not accessed via Suzuki coupling.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ |  | Z | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ |  | Z | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 |  | 38 | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  | 41 | $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ |  |
| 36 |  | 39 | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  | 42 | $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ |  |
| 37 | $-\left\{-\bigwedge_{N}^{-}\right.$ | 40 | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | S- | 43 | $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | S- |

isocyanates. However the extreme cost of 33 " $(\$ 450 / 1 \mathrm{~g})^{33 \mathrm{~b}}$ made this an unviable starting material and synthesis of the alternative 34" (via a literature method) ${ }^{33 a}$ was also found to be unsuccessful.


Figure 2-15: Syntheses of m-aminobiaryl ligands 45-47 (bearing the extended spacer group) ${ }^{32}$ and the phosphinimine imido delivery reagents (48-50). ${ }^{21}$

An alternative route to the desired amino-biaryl ligands ( $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{- 4 0}$ ) was needed and (Table 2-1) came in the form of the relatively cheap and commercially available ${ }^{34} m$ aminophenylboronic acid, 44. (Figure 2-15) Subsequent Suzuki coupling reactions of 44 with the desired $\sigma$-donor (as the corresponding arylbromide) yielded ligands 45, 46 and $47 .{ }^{32}$ Compound 45 was found to precipitate in situ during the reaction.

Table 2-2: Series of $m$-aminobiaryls and their phosphinimine derivatives.


Unfortunately 45 proved insoluble in solvents typically used for conversion to the phosphinimine (i.e. benzene and toluene) or for hexamolybdate functionalization (i.e. acetonitrile or pyridine) (Table 2-2). The synthesis of 48 was attempted in benzene and held at reflux temperatures. After 48 hours, no significant amounts of the desired phosphinimine could be detected by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. However, a fragment corresponding to $\left[48+{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right.$ ] at 511.56 amu was observed by ESI-MS (ElectroSpray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry; M/Z calculated $=510.62$ amu ). Although this suggested formation of some 48, the compound was not successfully isolated for further reaction with hexamolybdate.

Initially, syntheses of phosphinimines 49 and 50 were thought to have been successful. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed the ( $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ ) pattern typical of triphenylphosphine along with the absence of the amino protons. It should be noted that the chemical shifts and multiplicities of the desired phosphinimines are virtually indistinguishable from the triphenylphosphine oxide $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}=\mathrm{O}\right)$ formed in the presence of water. This rendered characterization by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR unreliable. The lack of new chemical shifts in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of 49 was a good indication of the failed synthesis. In contrast, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 0}$ showed a new weak and broad signal at 4.56 ppm (relative to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ). This is in the range of literature values for aryl phosphinimines. ${ }^{35}$ Interestingly, masses corresponding to $\left[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}+{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right]$ for 49 and 50 were observed by ESI-MS at 431.403 and 431.439 amu , respectively (calculated $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}=430.49 \mathrm{amu}$ ). The ESI-MS spectra of $\mathbf{4 9}$ and 50 strongly suggested the presence of the phosphinimines albeit in low quantities.

Functionalization of hexamolybdate was nevertheless attempted with crude samples of both 49 and 50 in pyridine under argon. Unfortunately, after 8 days at reflux temperatures the IR spectrum shoulder at $\sim 960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (characteristic of functionalization) was not observed. As with the isocyanates, these results were not completely surprising, since it is known that not all phosphinimines are capable of hexamolybdate functionalization. ${ }^{17}$

### 2.2.3 - Successful functionalization of hexamolybdate with 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylamine.

In light of the unfruitful grafting of the organoimido ligands onto hexamolybdate via the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NCO}$ and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NPPh}_{3}$ reagents, a more recent method introduced by Wei et al was explored. ${ }^{11-14}$ Wei utilized the amine along with its hydrochloride salt as the organoimido delivery reagents. Unlike the Maatta and Peng protocols, this method does not employ hexamolybdate but rather



Possible 6-membered transition state
Figure 2-16: Postulated mechanism reported by Wie ${ }^{36}$ for hexamolybdate functionalization via the amine, hydrochloride amine, DCC and octamolybdate.
a related POM, octamolybdate $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{26}\right]$. A structural rearrangement must occur in order to yield the final organoimido-appended hexamolybdate $\mathrm{TBA}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{~L})\right]$. The mechanism of this functionalization protocol has not been studied in detail but a proposed mechanism was discussed by Wei. ${ }^{36}$ It suggested that the dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) serves as a dehydrating agent with an activating effect on a terminal Mo-O bond of the cluster. (Figure2-16)

The function of DCC bears some resemblance to its use in biochemistry as a coupling reagent for the formation of amide bonds. ${ }^{37}$ Decreased reaction times, typically less than 24 hours, are an advantage of Wei's protocol over previous methods (Figure 2-17). Functionalization of hexamolybdate with 45 was not attempted, due to the lack solubility in acetonitrile but reactions were conducted using 46 and 47.




47

52

Figure 2-17: Functionalization of hexamolybdate adapted from Wei's method. ${ }^{14}$

$$
(\mathrm{DCC}=\text { dicyclohexylcarbodiimide })
$$

As outlined in Wei's method, ${ }^{14}$ an equivalent of tetrabutylammonium octamolybdate was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile, along with three equivalents of DCC, an equivalent of the arylamine and an equivalent of the corresponding amine hydrochloride salt. The resulting orange suspension was stirred at reflux temperature under argon (Figure 2-17). After 16 hours, an offwhite precipitate was collected from the cooled reaction mixture (urea by-product) and the red filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Both crude reaction mixtures from 51 and 52 were found to contain the characteristic shoulder at $960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectra. This indicated the successful functionalization of hexamolybdate with the biarylamine ligands. Red-orange crystals of 51 were obtained after triple recrystallization by slow vapor diffusion of diethyl-ether into a concentrated acetonitrile solution. The red-orange crystals were found to contain the dicyclohexylurea by-product as excess $\left[\mathrm{TBA}^{+}\right]$as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Crystals of 51 suitable for single x-ray crystallography have yet to be obtained.

Red-orange crystals of the hybrid POM 52 were successfully grown from a concentrated acetonitrile solution by slow vapor diffusion of diethylether over 20 days in $31 \%$ yield. It was
characterized fully by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, electronic spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry and elemental analysis. The molecular structure of 52 was determined by single crystal x-ray crystallography (Figure 2-18).


Figure 2-18: Thermal ellipsoid plot (50\% probability) for 52.
$\left(\right.$ Hydrogens and $\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$omitted for clarity; oxygen = red ellipsoids $)$

Table 2-3: Molybdenum-nitrogen bond distance and angles for complexes $\mathrm{TBA}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{L})\right]$

| L | Mo-N length ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {n-butyl-42 }}$ | 1.720(16) | 175.4(17) |
| Cyclohexyl-42 | 1.719(10) | 177.7(7) |
| 2,6-( Pr$)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-42$ | 1.739(15) | 176.3(15) |
| 4-cyanophenyl-21 | $1.727(7)$ | 164.0(7) |
| 4-iodophenyl-17 | $1.737(5)$ | 156.4(4) |
| $2,6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}-13$ | $1.731(4)$ | 176.3(4) |
| 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenyl- (52) | 1.746 (3) | 164.3(3) |

As with other reported mono-organoimido hexamolybdates structures, ${ }^{5-6,8-15}$ the organoimido moiety of 52 was observed to occupy a terminal position with an Mo1-N11 distance of $1.746 \AA$ and an (Mo1-N11-C11) angle of $164^{\circ}$ (Table 2-3 and Figure 2-20). The Mol - N11 bond in 52 is slightly longer than other examples reported in literature(Table 2-3) ${ }^{5-6,8-}$ ${ }^{15}$ This may indicate weaker $\mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ bond character as a possible consequence of decreased conjugation between the cluster and the biarylimido ligand.


Figure 2-19: Molecular representations of 52: (a) Ball and stick and
(b) Wire model depicting the $33^{\circ}$ torsion angle between the aryl rings.

$$
(\text { Blue }=\text { Mo, Red }=\mathrm{O} ; \text { Black } / \text { Grey }=\mathrm{C} ; \text { White }=\mathrm{H})
$$

A noticeable feature of 52 was the deviation from linearity of the imido linkage (Mol -N11-C11) by $16^{\circ}$ (Figure 2-19). This is not unusual and is consistent with other structures of hexamolybdates appended with monoaryl organoimido ligands. ${ }^{5-6,8-15}$ Also in the solid state, the pyridyl nitrogen (N2) is directed away from the cluster (Figure 2-19 and 2-20)

A weak interaction between the pyridyl nitrogen N 2 and an $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ unit of a methylene carbon of a neighboring $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$cation was noted with a distance of $3.325 \AA$ (N21-C21-2). In the solid state, the planes of the biaryl rings were observed to be twisted by $33^{\circ}$ relative to each other (Figure 2-19b). Furthermore, weak interactions between hydrogen atoms on C25 and C26 (of the biaryl) and bridging oxygens O 12 and O 23 of a neighboring cluster was inferred by C-O separations of $3.245 \AA$ and $3.504 \AA$, respectively (Figure 2-20).


Figure 2-20: Wireframe model of 52 from crystal structure.
(Atomic distances in $\AA \AA$; Hydrogens and counter cations omitted for clarity)

The proton assignments of 47 and 52 were determined from the observed chemical shifts, multiplicities, and COSY (2D homonuclear correlation spectroscopy NMR spectra). The upfield region, between 0 and 3.2 ppm , of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 52 was assigned to the [TBA] ${ }^{+}$ protons (Figure 2-21). As expected, the integration of these protons ( $72 \times{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) are consistent with presence of two $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$units per biaryl-imido hexamolybdate $\left(8 \mathrm{x}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$. This was later confirmed by elemental analysis and single x-ray crystallography.


Figure 2-21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of 52 (top) and 47 (bottom). $\left(\$=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \#=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$; dashed lines = pyridyl protons; solid lines = phenylimido protons)

Deshielding of the ligand protons coupled with the disappearance of the amino protons were good indicators of successful functionalization. The appearance of the complex multiplet at 7.48 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 52 presented two setbacks: (i) proton assignments based on multiplicities were no longer possible and (ii) the small $\Delta \delta$ values (within the complex multiplet) rendered 2D correlation NMR techniques such as COSY and NOESY ineffective (Figure 2-23).


Figure 2-22: 400 MHz COSY (top) and NOESY (bottom) spectra of $47\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$.

As a comparison to 52, the COSY spectra of 47 showed four cross peaks from the scalar coupling between six aromatic protons of the biaryl appendage (Figure 2-22 and Table 2-4). In
contrast, only two cross peaks were observed in the COSY NMR spectrum of 52, assigned to the protons of the pyridyl section of 52 ( $7.48,7.88,8.58 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) (Figure 2-23). The NOESY spectrum (Figure 2-23) showed some of the COSY signals as well as interaction between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ protons and that of a pyridyl.


Figure 2-23: 400 MHz COSY (top) and NOESY (bottom) spectra of $52\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$.
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Figure 2-24: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (ppm) assignments for 47 and $52\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$.
$\left(7.48^{*}=\right.$ median value $(7.41-7.55 \mathrm{ppm})$ of the complex multiplet of the phenylimido ring $)$

Table 2-4: Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ COSY/NOESY data of 47 and 52.

| Complex | Protons (ppm) | COSY | NOESY |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 6.68 and 7.20 | yes | - |
|  | 7.20 and 6.92 | yes | - |
|  | 7.91 and 7.38 | yes | - |
|  | 7.38 and 8.52 | yes | - |
|  | 6.90 and 7.91 | - | not observed |
|  | 6.68 and 8.79 | - | yes |
| $5 \mathbf{5 2}$ | 8.01 and $7.48^{*}$ | yes | - |
|  | $7.48^{*}$ and 8.58 | yes | - |
|  | $7.48^{*}$ and 8.01 | - | not observed |
|  | 7.30 and 8.85 | - | yes |

The small $\Delta \delta$ of the complex multiplet at 7.48 ppm was assigned to the phenylimido imido-hexamolybdate section of the biaryl. Unfortunately this rendered NOESY NMR as an ineffective tool for further assignment of the protons. Thus the cross peaks expected from the phenylimido ring of 52 were interpreted as indistinguishable from the strong diagonal signal in the spectrum.

The NOESY spectra of 47 also suggested possible interaction between the protons at 6.68 and 8.79 ppm , i.e. those the adjacent to the biaryl C-C bond. This interaction strongly suggested that rotation about the C-C bond is restricted in solution. Unfortunately the NOESY spectrum of 52 did not provide any further information.

Cyclic voltammetry of 52 (Figure 2-24a) revealed a one electron reduction wave at -849 $\mathrm{mV}\left(\right.$ vs. $\left.\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right)$. This is more negative than that of the parent $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=-707 \mathrm{mV}\right)$ and is typical for a mono-substituted system of this type. ${ }^{5-9}$


Figure 2-25: (a) Cyclic Voltammogram and (b) UV-Vis spectrum of 52. (CV: $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ag}^{+}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ of 52 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}, 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBA}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$;

UV-Vis: 1 mM of 52 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ).

The electronic spectrum of 52 (in acetonitrile) was found to have its lowest energy absorption maximum at $346 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ (Figure 2-24b). This is also consistent with observations on related systems such as $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{N}-\left(2,6-\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]^{2-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{N}-\left(2,6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]^{2-}$ with absorptions at $351 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=1.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{5}$ and $360 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon=2.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{13}$, respectively. Corresponding data for the parent $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ system are $\lambda_{\max }=325 \mathrm{~nm} ; \varepsilon=0.63 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. . The observed red shift in the electronic spectrum indicates the presence of Mo-N charge transfer, while the more negative reduction potential of 52 reflects the superior ability of the organoimido ligand to furnish the cluster with electron density compared to the terminal oxo that was replaced. ${ }^{5}$

The desired increase in distance between the $\sigma$-donor function and the cluster was successfully achieved with the synthesis of 52 and perhaps in the impure sample of 51 . The 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylimido hexamolybdate 52, at this time is the only hexamolybdate of its type, i.e. covalently functionalized with a biaryl ligand containing a remote $\sigma$-donor group. The combination of the observed 'twisted' configuration of 52 along with the extension provided by the biarylimido unit may both tend to reduce the electron withdrawing influence of the cluster. The metal coordination abilities of 52 will be demonstrated and discussed in the next section.

The successful synthesis and isolation of 52 prompted trials to determine the metal coordination capabilities of this novel hybrid POM. Preliminary attempts at metal coordination initially focused on suitable silver (I) salts. Since linear, two coordinate complexes dominate $\operatorname{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ chemistry, ${ }^{21}$ two equivalents of 52 were added to an equivalent of silver triflate $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Ag}\right)$ in acetonitrile at room temperature. Changes in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the organoimido POM were to be expected upon successful coordination. However after 2 days at room temperature, no such changes were observed. The experiment was repeated at reflux temperatures for 2 days. Unfortunately, no changes were seen in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture even at elevated temperatures.

Another transition metal complex capable of pyridyl coordination is bis(dibenzoylmethanato)nickel(II), $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}\right] .{ }^{38}$ Again two equivalents of 52 were added to a solution of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}$ in acetonitrile. The resulting green solution, $\mathbf{6 2 b}$, was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Figure 2-25). The paramagnetic nature of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ rendered the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum inconclusive and crystals suitable for single x-ray crystal diffraction were not obtained.


Figure 2-26: $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 2 b}$ (top) and 52 (bottom) $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$.

An alternative transition metal complex capable of pyridyl ligand coordination is bisbenzonitrile-dichloro palladium (II), [Pd(II)]. Gouzerh et al. ${ }^{39}$ reported that the pyridyl substituents in the bis-alkoxy functionalized Anderson-Evans POM, 67 readily displaced the benzonitrile molecules of $[\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{I I})]$ to form the adduct, $\mathrm{MnMo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\left\{\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CNHCO}-(4-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right\}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left[\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{I I}) \mathbf{- 6 7 ]} .{ }^{39}\right.$ The single crystal structure of the adduct $\mathbf{[ P d ( I I ) ] - 6 7}$ was not reported but its formation was confirmed by FT-IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR methods. ${ }^{39}$ In a similar fashion, two equivalents of 52 were added to a solution of $[\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{I I})]$ in acetonitrile at room temperature. The reaction solution was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. After 2 days no changes in the spectrum was observed.


Figure 2-27: Molecular structure of 67, a bis-alkoxy Anderson-Evans hexamolybdate.
(Blue octahedra $=\mathrm{Mo}$, Purple octahedra $=\mathrm{Mn}$; black spheres C , red spheres O , light blue spheres N , white spheres H). ${ }^{39}$

Another metal complex known to favor pyridyl coordination was found is meso-(5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl- porphyrinato)carbonyl ethanolate ruthenium (II) $\mathbf{6 8}^{40}$ (Figure 2-27). Such metalloporphyrins represent an interesting class of molecules utilized in a variety of fields. ${ }^{41 a-\mathrm{c}}$ Most relevant to this discussion are its applications in supramolecular devices (nanocars), ${ }^{41 \mathrm{a}}$ molecular chromophores (photovoltaic supramolecules) ${ }^{4 \mathrm{lb}}$ and NMR shift reagents (cis-trans motif of bis-substituted porphyrins). ${ }^{4 \mathrm{c}}$


Figure 2-28 Meso-(5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)carbonyl ruthenium (II) ethanolate complex 68. ${ }^{40}$

The axial ethanol ligand of $\mathbf{6 8}$ is labile and can be displaced readily by pyridyl-type ligands. ${ }^{42 \mathrm{c}}$ This capability has been exploited by various groups, ${ }^{42 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}}$ and most recently by Hasenknopf et al. ${ }^{43,44}$ They demonstrated that the pyridyl nitrogen of 67 was capable of displacing the axial ethanolate of $\mathbf{6 8}$ at room temperature to yield the adduct 69 (Figure 2-28).


Figure 2-29: Molecular representation of the adduct 69,

$$
\left[(\mathrm{TBA})_{3} \mathrm{MnMo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\left\{\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CR}\right\}\right][\mathrm{RuTPP}(\mathrm{CO})] .^{44}
$$

With this knowledge, an equivalent of 52 was added to a red suspension of 68 in anhydrous chloroform at room temperature under argon (Figure 2-29). As observed in this study and also reported by Gianferrara et al., ${ }^{41 \mathrm{c}} \mathbf{6 8}$ was only partially soluble in pure anhydrous chloroform and the coordination of 52 aided dissolution. The resultant dark red suspension was stirred and after 10 minutes a dark red solution formed. The dark red solution was analyzed by different spectroscopic methods in search of the coordination complex 70, [(3 (pyridin-3-yl)phenylimido-hexamolybate : meso-(5,10,15,20 tetraphenylporphyrinato)carbonyl ruthenium (II)] (Figure 2-30).


Figure 2-30: Coordination of the hexamolybdate 52 with 68 at room temperature.


Figure 2-31: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 70 (top) vs. 52 (bottom).
$\left(\right.$ Black sphere $=\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{2-} ;$ dashed lines $=$ pyridyl protons; solid lines $=$ phenylimido $)$.

Gianferrara ${ }^{41 \mathrm{~d}}$ and Hasenknopf ${ }^{43}$ noted that once formed, the Ru-N coordinate bond was strong and resistant to displacement by coordinating solvents such as acetonitrile. This was confirmed from cyclic voltammetry and UV-Vis studies conducted in acetonitrile. However, it should be noted that the effects of other coordinating solvents ( $\sigma$-donors) such as pyridine, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ dimethylsulfoxide ${ }^{45}$ and tetrahydrofuran were not studied.

Compound 70 was characterized by means of 1D and 2D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Signal integration established ligand, macrocycle and countercation stoichiometry while a combination of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, NOESY, CycleNOE and NOE irradiation experiments permitted and confirmed proton assignments. The absence of the amino protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{7 0}$ suggested that if the decomposition of 52 had occurred during coordination, it was not detectable. This is a very strong indication that the observed spectrum of $\mathbf{7 0}$ (NMR) is due to the coordination adduct $\mathbf{7 0}$. However, since the imidohexamolybdate ligand 52 might hydrolyze back to biarylamine 47 (especially in solution) adduct $\mathbf{7 1}$ was also synthesized, as a model compound for $\mathbf{7 0}$.


Figure 2-32: 400 MHz NMR, COSY (top) and NOESY (bottom) spectra of 70 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure 2-33: 400 MHz NMR COSY (top) and NOESY (bottom) spectra of 71 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

A characteristic feature of successful coordination is the drastic upfield shifts ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) of the pyridyl ring protons. This phenomenon has precedence and is attributable to the anisotropic (additive) shielding cone of the aromatic macrocycle. ${ }^{44-45}$ This is a feature common to metallo-porphyrins bearing axially-coordinated pyridyl ligands (Figure 2-31 and 32). ${ }^{43-44}$ The 2D COSY NMR spectra of adducts $\mathbf{7 0}$ and $\mathbf{7 1}$ confirmed the upfield shift in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, in
particular, that of proton $1^{\prime}$ of 70 and its appearance as a complex multiplet within the $\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$ cation signal at 1.53 ppm (Figure 2-31 and 2-32). Unfortunately, as was the case with 52, the NOESY spectrum of $\mathbf{7 0}$ did not provide any new information regarding the interactions between protons $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ and $7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$.

Table 2-5 ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) chemical shifts and $\Delta \delta$ values (in brackets) from ligands 47 and 52 and their adducts 70 and 71.

| Compound | $\delta$ of the ligand protons / ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | $2^{\prime}$ | $3 '$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 47 | 8.58 | 7.35 | 7.85 | 6.89 | 6.98 | 7.27 | 6.74 | 8.82 |
| 52 | 8.60 | 7.48 | 7.88 | 7.54 | 7.48 | 7.48 | 7.21 | 8.85 |
| $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 70^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.27 \\ (-7.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.26 \\ (-2.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.30 \\ (-1.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.31 \\ (-2.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.41 \\ (-1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.06 \\ (-0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.52 \\ (-1.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.51 \\ (-7.58) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ 71^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.52 \\ (-7.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.21 \\ (-2.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.27 \\ (-1.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.34 \\ (-1.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.47 \\ (-0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.86 \\ (-0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.64 \\ (-1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.63 \\ (-7.19) \end{gathered}$ |

The extent of shielding experienced by the biaryl protons of both adducts (70 and 71) are highlighted by the changes in chemical shift ( $\Delta \delta$ ) values before and after coordination (70* and 71**) as shown in Table 2-5. Protons 1' and $8^{\prime}$ (closest to the Ru-N (pyridyl) bond) of adduct 70 were shifted upfield by 7.09 and 7.58 ppm , respectively. In comparison, $6^{\prime}$ only shifted by 0.42 ppm (confirmed by COSY NMR). These drastic shifts by the bound pyridyl protons are consistent with those reported in literature. ${ }^{44-45}$

Although the NOESY spectrum of $\mathbf{7 0}$ did not provide correlation between protons 7' and $8^{\prime}$, their spatial proximity to each other permitted the use of cycleNOE (1D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; relaxation time $=25 \mathrm{~s} ; \operatorname{mix}=0.05 \mathrm{~s}$ ) experiments. The resonance assigned to 7 ' was irradiated causing the signal from any neighboring protons with NOE to $7^{\prime}$-in this case $6^{\prime}$ and $8^{\prime}$-to change in intensity (Figure 2-34). Protons 6' and $8^{\prime}$ were further distinguished by their distinct multiplicities in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (i.e., $6^{\prime}=$ doublet of doublets; $8^{\prime}=$ singlet). This method of selective irradiation proved valuable for confirming the assignments of the biaryl protons in the adducts (Figure 2-34 and 2-35). These cycleNOE NMR experiments also confirmed the presence of shifted pyridyl protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 70 and 71. It was especially
important in confirming the peak assigned as $8^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{7 0}$, which was initially shown by COSY NMR to be concealed within the resonance at 1.51 ppm from the $\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$cation.


Figure 2-34: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum(top; $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and CycleNOE NMR
(bottom) spectrum as a result of irradiating proton $7^{\prime}(5.53 \mathrm{ppm})$ of 70.


Figure 2-35: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and CycleNOE NMR (bottom) spectrum as a result of irradiating proton $7^{\prime}(5.64 \mathrm{ppm})$ of 71.

As mentioned previously, the crystal structure of 52 showed that the pyridyl nitrogen is oriented away from the imidohexamolybdate. This orientation was not expected to change upon
coordination to the metallo-macrocyle. Indeed, cycleNOE NMR experiments confirmed that the 'twisted and locked' orientation was preserved in 70. Similar changes were observed for the same protons of the model adduct 71 (Table 2-5).

As noted in the literature ${ }^{44-46}$ and as demonstrated here, the shielding effect on the pyridyl-phenyl protons progressively diminishes as their distance from the Ru coordination site increases. The upfield shifts of the pyridyl protons in 70 indicate successful coordination of the ruthenium porphyrin macrocycle by the pyridylimido-hexamolybdate, thus achieving the primary goal of this thesis.

Further evidence of successful coordination was found through UV-Vis spectrophotometry in acetonitrile (Table 2-6). The electronic spectrum of 70 bears a striking resemblance to that of $\mathbf{7 1}$ and $\mathbf{6 8}$ (ruthenium (II) porphyrin ethanolate). This was expected since the adduct [68-pyridine], ${ }^{46 \mathrm{a}}$ as reported by Ibers, was also found to display a UV-Vis spectrum very similar to that of $\mathbf{6 8}$. Upon displacement of the ethanolate with pyridine, the band of $\mathbf{6 8}$ at 528 nm was red-shifted to $532 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{45 \mathrm{a}}$ Adduct 70 was found to exhibit an identical red-shift to 533 nm . These observations also confirm that formation of $\mathbf{7 0}$ was successful.

Table 2-6: UV-Vis data of 52, 68, 70, 71 and [68-pyridine].

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ nm(loge) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 52 | 70 | 71 | $68^{\text {a }}$ | [68-pyridine] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | - | 585 (4.0) | 565 (2.85) | 563 (3.4) | 566 (3.6) |
|  | - | 533 (4.3) | 531 (3.07) | 528 (4.3) | 532 (4.2) |
|  | - | 495 sh (4.0) |  | 490 (3.6) | 495 sh (3.7) |
|  | - | 486 (4.0) |  | - | - |
|  | - | 410 (5.2) | 410 (3.91) | 412 (5.4) | 413 (5.4) |
|  | 346 (4.2) | 349 (4.2) | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | 313 (3.04) | - | - |
|  |  |  | 286 (2.96 |  |  |
|  | - | - | 239 (3.09) | - | - |

( $\mathrm{a}=$ Ref. ${ }^{46 \mathrm{a}}$ : Conditions: $5.53 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ in anhydrous acetonitrile)

In contrast, no evidence for the intact adduct $\mathbf{7 0}$ or $\mathbf{7 1}$ was found by mass spectrometry (MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS). Instead only fragmnts at 714.023 and $714.141 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ were observed; these are assignable as $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{TPP})]+$, indicating that both carbonyl and pyridyl-hexamolybdate ligands were detached in the mass spectrometer. Similar results were reported by Ibers, ${ }^{42 a}$ who noted that
in the mass spectrum of [68-pyridine] both axially bound ligands ( $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ and pyridine) became separated from the metalloporphyrin.

The $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ stretching frequencies of adducts 70 and 71 were observed at $1938 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in their IR spectra. These are comparable to values for the RuTPP(CO)[pyridine] and ethanolate adduct 68, as reported by $\mathrm{Ibers}^{42 \mathrm{~b}}$ and Cenini ${ }^{42 \mathrm{c}}$. The reported $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch for other RuTPP(CO)[L] compounds, where L is an axially coordinated pyridyl, aqua, or alcoholic ligand, were found to occur between $1940-1955 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR , (error margin of approximately $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right)^{45}$ c compared to 68.

Table 2-7: Selected FT-IR data for various RuTPP(CO)[L] adducts.

| Compound | $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})_{\text {teminal }}$ |  |
| RuTPP(CO) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1952 | $459{ }^{\circ}$ |
| RuTPP(CO)[EtOH] | $1942^{\text {e }}$ | 463 |
| RuTPP(CO) $[\mathrm{MeOH}]^{42}$ | 1949 | $n / \mathrm{r}$ |
| RuTPP(CO) $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{42 \mathrm{c}}$ | 1949 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$ |
| RuTPP(CO)[pyridine] ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1939 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{r}$ |
| $69^{\circ}$ | 1951 | 462 |
| 70 | 1938 | 460 |
| 71 | 1938 | 457 |

Cenini ${ }^{45 c}$ also noted that although the $\operatorname{RuTPP}(\mathrm{CO})$ complexes are widely used as precursors for most ruthenium tetraphenylporphyrin chemistry and are available commercially, they have not been characterized as thoroughly as would be expected. This includes the lack of reported IR assignments for the Ru-N(porphyrin) stretch when coordinated with various ligands. Table 2-7 shows assignments of the $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{N})$ vibrational frequency, assigned at 460 and $457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 70 and 71, respectively. ${ }^{47}$ Analogous to the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ values, the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching frequencies also remain virtually constant $\left( \pm 6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ with various axially coordinated ligands, L .

Although the reasons for the lack of changes in the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{N})$ stretching frequencies are unclear, the observed similarities between the UV-Vis and IR spectra of 70, 71 and those of the other complexes in Tables 2-7 and 2-6 serves to reinforce the observed NMR data, i.e. we can conclude that successful coordination to the Ru-macrocycle was achieved with
52. Thus the hexamolybdate POM has been transformed successfully and demonstrated capable of metalloligand behavior via an appended pyridyl-phenylimido moiety.

### 2.2.5 - Functionalization of hexamolybdate with ligands containing modified extended spacer and $\sigma$-donors groups.



Figure 2-36: Planned functionalization of hexamolybdate with pyrimidyl groups adapted from Wei's method ${ }^{13}\left(\mathrm{DCC}=\right.$ dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; $\mathrm{Z}=-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or imido- $\left.\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{2-}\right)$.

Encouraged by the successful syntheses and characterization of 52 and its metal coordination ability, other biarylamine compounds containing pyrimidine heterocycles were targeted (Figure 2-36). Several 'pyrimidyl-phenylamine' compounds have been reported by Aäkeroy. ${ }^{48}$ These possess functionalities analogous to those of 73-76 and 47. ${ }^{48}$

The incorporation of electron donating substituents at the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ position may further tend to favor metal coordination (Figure 2-36). The proximity of the pyrimidyl nitrogens to the hexamolybdate, in compounds 77-80, may offset the influence of the hexamolybdate through increased electron density of the ligand (compared to the biaryls 46 and 47). The functionality at $R_{1}$ was altered easily and readily by simply varying the starting materials $72\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{CH}_{3},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$; $\mathrm{Z}=-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ) which are commercially available. ${ }^{48}$ The $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ - boronic acids were synthesized from their corresponding arylbromide compounds according to the literature. ${ }^{48}$ (Figure 2-6) Compounds 73-76 were synthesized via Suzuki C-C coupling protocols modified from the literature (Table 2-8). ${ }^{32,48}$ Hexamolybdate functionalization was attempted using the phosphinimine derivatives of 73-76 (obtained by methods described earlier) ${ }^{15,21}$ but without success.

Table 2-8: Series of $m$-amino-pyrimidyl biaryl ligands and the $m$-pyrimidylimido functionalized hexamolybdates.


( $a=$ synthesized according to literature ${ }^{48} ; b=\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$salts $)$

Unfortunately, only 77 was found to show any evidence of the characteristic shoulder at $950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum. Attempted purification from recrystallization (acetonitrile: diethylether) and column chromatography (silica gel) yielded only the biarylamine and the parent hexamolybdate $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ with some urea byproduct. Since 77 was not isolated, metal coordination with 68 was not attempted. So far, crystals suitable for single crystal structure determination of 77 have to yet to be obtained.

## 2.3 - Conclusions and Future Work



Figure 2-37: Proposed structure of 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylimidohexamolybdate:porphyrin ruthenium(II) carbonyl adduct, 70.

A new and exciting avenue of polyoxometalate chemistry has been demonstrated. The successful synthesis and characterization of the biarylimidohexamolybdate species has further extended the family of known organoimido appended POMs. This new hybrid POM demonstrated and fulfilled the main goal of this project by achieving metal coordination with a ruthenium-porphyrin macrocyle (Figure 2-37). This also brings the hexamolybdate POM one step closer to being capable of forming the supramolecular architectures mentioned earlier. Further work will be needed in order to determine why the other synthesized biarylamine compounds were incapable of functionalizing the hexamolybdate POM. The biarylamine compounds might also be further extended via the incorporation of an 'ethylnyl' bridge via Sonogashira coupling reactions (Figure 2-38).



|  | X | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | S-AN |
| 82 | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | S-N |



Figure 2-38: Future target molecules with 'extended’ biaryl ligands (Sonogashira coupling protocol). ${ }^{49}$

## 2.4-Experimental

$\mathrm{TBA}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{20}$ and $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{26}\right]^{20}$ were prepared according to literature methods. All manipulations were done under an inert atmosphere of argon, unless otherwise stated. THF was distilled over sodium, ditheylether and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and distilled as needed. The boronic acids were purchased from Frontier Scientific and dried at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours prior to use, unless otherwise stated. Compounds 28, ${ }^{32} \mathbf{2 9},{ }^{32} \mathbf{4 6},{ }^{32} \mathbf{4 7}^{32}$ and $\mathbf{7 3}-\mathbf{7 6}{ }^{48}$ were synthesized following literature methods.

All other starting materials and $\operatorname{Pd}(I I)$ catalysts were purchased from Aldrich or Fisher Scientific; solids were dried under vacuum at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours prior to use. Oily and liquid reagents were purged of oxygen via the 'freeze-pump thaw' method ( 5 cycles) prior to use. NMR 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz spectrometer and processed with vNMRj. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectras were referenced to residual protonated solvent peaks $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.27 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}=1.94 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and DMSO- $\left.d_{6}=2.50 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Electrochemical (CV) data were collected in acetonitrile, with sample concentrations of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ and $0.1 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{TBA}] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ as the supporting electrolyte. A standard three-electrode cell was used, consisting of a carbon electrode, a polished auxiliary platinum electrode and aqueous $(\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{AgCl})$ reference electrode equipped on a CHI 720C instrument and Electrochemical Analyzer/Workstation V.501. Electronic (UV-Vis) spectra and data were collected on a Varian Cary 500 Scan with quartz glass cell with a path length of 1 cm at room temperature in anhydrous acetonitrile. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Protégé 460 instrument as KBr pellets. Mass spectra (MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS) were collected on a Bruker Daltronics Ultraflex ToF/ToF mass spectrometer. Silica gel was purchased from AnalTech (150 Á pore).

### 2.4.1 N-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)acetamide ${ }^{22}$ (5a)

To a stirred solution of 3,5 -dimethylaniline $(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 82.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(6.53 \mathrm{~g}$, $82.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, was added a solution of acetyl chloride ( $6.48 \mathrm{~g}, 84.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of
$\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ dropwise over 20 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The resulting yellow solution formed a white precipitate upon warming to room temperature. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Removal of the solvent (under reduced pressure) produced a yellow residue which was redissolved into ethyl acetate and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (2 x 15 mL ) and distilled water ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent yielded an off-white solid. Dissolution in acetic acid and cooling to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ precipitated the pure product as a white crystalline solid. The solid was washed with ice-cold water and dried under vacuum for 24 hours. Yield ( $8.26 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 20.9$, $25.4,118.5,120.6,139.4,140.3,172.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v 3546,3304,3264,1637 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.2 ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Butyl-3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate ${ }^{22}$ (5b)

To a stirred solution of 3,5-dimethylaniline ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0413 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 50 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0413 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of water. The resulting suspension was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and di- ${ }^{\text {b }}$ butyl dicarbonate $(9.91 \mathrm{~g}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 10 minutes. The resulting mixture was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 30 minutes, after which the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred overnight. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic phases were washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent yielded an offwhite solid. The product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as fine white needles that were dried under vacuum. Yield (7.21g, 79\%) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.64, $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.2,27.8,81.2,118.2,122.3,128.3$, 139.1, 169.4 ppm . FT-IR (KBr): $v 3360,2968,1694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.3 N -(4-bromo-3,5-dimethylphenyl)acetamide ${ }^{22}$ ( $\mathbf{6} \boldsymbol{a}$ )

To a stirred solution of $5 \mathbf{a}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added bromine $(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 6.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise over 10 minutes. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature. After 14 hours, the white suspension was poured into 100 g of ice. The pure product was collected on a
glass frit as a white solid. The product was dried overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under high vacuum. Yield (1.16g, $78 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 20.6,24.4,121.5,120.4,138.4,140.3,171.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v 3546,3304,3264$, $1637,903 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.4 t-Butyl-4-bromo-3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate ${ }^{22}$ (6b)

To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{5 b}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ and glacial acetic acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of bromine $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ in glacial acetic acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise over 10 minutes. The resulting brown suspension was stirred at room temperature until a white suspension formed (approx. 4 hours). The product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with water ( 3 x 30 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent yielded the pure product as a white solid. Yield $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03,(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 20.2, 29.8, 79.2, 121.2, 125.3, 138.3, 136.2, 155.7 ppm. FT-IR (KBr) v 3353.35, 2978.32, 1694.31, $905.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.5 4-Bromo-3,5-dimethylaniline ${ }^{22}$ (7)

To a stirred of solution of $\mathbf{6 b}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.862 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trifluoroacetic acid ( 2 mL ). The resulting brown solution was stirred at room temperature. After 3 hours, the dark brown solution was carefully basified ( pH 11 ) with a $30 \%$ solution of NaOH in water. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with water ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $5 \%$ citric acid solution ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and water again ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. After removal of the solvent, the desired product was isolated as a brown oil. (Yield $=0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 20.6,118.2,125.3,138.3,145.7$ ppm. FT-IR (KBr) $v 3353,2978,905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.6 4-Cyano-3,5-dimethylaniline ${ }^{25}$ (8)

To a stirred of solution of $7(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2-pyrrolidinone $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{CN}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting suspension was heated to $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 4 days, the black suspension was allowed to cool to room temperature and cooled further to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the cooled suspension was added concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting suspension stirred for 3 hours. The brown solid was collected and washed with cold water ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried overnight under vaccum. (Yield $=0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 21.6,115.2,117.5,144.9 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v 3450,3002,2999,2210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.7 Iodo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene ${ }^{25}$ (16)

To a stirred suspension of 2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iodine ( 3.78 g , $14.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and periodic acid $(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 7.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a solution of sulfuric acid ( 1.10 $\mathrm{mL})$, water $(7.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and glacial acetic acid $(37.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting purple solution was stirred and heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After an hour, the off-white suspension was filtered and the white solid recrystallized from acetone. The desired product was obtained as white crystalline needles. Yield (3.50g, 36\%) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.463(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.910(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 21.85,26.81,11.79,131.66,134.31,137.79 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): v 3001, 2919, $1466 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ 1

### 2.4.8 Cyano-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene ${ }^{26}$ (17)

To a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{CN}(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 9.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexamethylphosphoric triamide $(2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 14.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $16(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.69 \mathrm{mmol})$. The green suspension was stirred and heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 hours, the black solution was allowed to cool temperature. To the black solution was added an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M})$. The resulting black precipitate was collected and washed with 0.5 M solution of sodium bisulfite ( 3 x 15 mL )
followed by water ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The tan solid was air-dried and transferred into a Soxhlet thimble. The product was extracted with light petroleum ether (b.p. $38-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) using a Soxhlet extractor ( 17 hours). Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded 17 as a white crystalline solid. Yield ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 18.09,19.74,114.13,118.57,134.68,135.50,137.90 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ 3002, 2923, $2213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.9 1,4-Dibromo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene ${ }^{25}$ (18)

To a stirred solution of 2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene ( $15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 111.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 45 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added iodine $(0.233 \mathrm{~g}, 0.916 \mathrm{mmol})$. The dark brown solution was stirred for 10 minutes and bromine ( $86.18 \mathrm{~g}, 539 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly over an hour in the dark. After the addition, the red-brown solution was heated to reflux and stirred for 20 hours. The dark redbrown solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and 240 mL of 5 M NaOH solution was added slowly. The yellow mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with distilled water ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to leave fine white needles that were dried under vacuum. Yield ( $27.0 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 135.25,128.36,22.53 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v 2999,2853(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1414,1381(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 1170(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.10 4-Cyano-2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-bromobenzene ${ }^{28}$ (19)

To a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{CuCN}(1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of dimethylformamide was added $18(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.37 \mathrm{mmol})$. The green suspension was stirred and held at reflux temperature. After 15 hours, the orange colored mixture was cooled to room temperature and a solution of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(55.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ and distilled water ( 68.5 mL ) was added slowly over a period of 30 minutes. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \mathrm{x}$ 40 mL ) and washed with brine ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed by distilled water ( 3 x 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to yield an off-white crystalline solid. Pure product was obtained by column chromatography
( $9: 1$; petroleum ether:ethyl acetate) as white needles. Yield ( $0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 138.59,135.61,134.49,118.31,113.59$, 21.16, 20.04 ppm . FT-IR (KBr): v $2988(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2221(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{s}) 1219(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.11 4-Bromo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzaldehyde ${ }^{28}$ (20)

To a stirred solution of $19(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added diisobutylaluminium hydride $(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a 1 M solution in toluene over 20 minutes via syringe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After addition was completed, the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight under argon. The reaction mixture was quenched with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed for 30 minutes. The organic layer was washed with distilled water ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to leave a white crystalline solid. Pure product was obtained by column chromatography (9:1; petroleum ether:ethyl actetate) as white needles. Yield ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $10.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 20.03,21.15,113.58$, 118.30, 134.49, 135.60, 138.58 ppm. FT-IR (KBr): v 2955 (C-H, s), 1639 (C=O, s), 1127 (C-Br, s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.12 4-Cyano-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzaldehyde ${ }^{28}$ (21)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{CuCN}(0.104 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethylformamide $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $20(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.829 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting green solution was purged with argon and heated to $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 14 hours, the orange-brown solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 4.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(0.27 \mathrm{~mL})$ and distilled water $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully. The mixture was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes and allowed to cool back to room temperature. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed by distilled water ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. Filtration and removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the pure product as a pale yellow solid. Yield $(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 10.62$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.79,18.67,117.85,134.81$, 139.00, 141.56, 196.24 ppm. FT-IR (KBr): $v 2963$ (s), 2225 (s), 1702 (m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

### 2.4.13 4-cyano-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzoic acid ${ }^{29}$ (14)

To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6}(0.107 \mathrm{~g}, 0.470 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of 21 in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and a solution of pyridinium chlorochromate in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The orange solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction solution was then diluted with EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and washed with brine-water (as a $1: 1 \mathrm{mix}$ by volume; $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed by sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ solution ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic later was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Repeated attempts at purification by acid-base extraction and column chromatography were not successful presumably due to decomposition or unsuccessful elution of the product.

### 2.4.14 4'-cyano-2', $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-tetramethylbiphenyl-4-carboxylic acid ${ }^{32}$ (27)

To a stirred suspension of $19(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 2.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 4.82$ mmol). Argon was bubbled through the resulting colorless solution. After 15 minutes, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium (0) $(0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the flask was fitted with a condenser. The orange suspension was heated to reflux under argon with constant stirring. The reaction was monitored by TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate; 3/1). After 48 hours at reflux, a white solid precipitated from the orange solution. The solids were collected and washed with water and cold ether. The desired product was collected as a white crystalline solid and dried under vaccum for 24 hours. Yield $=0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), 7.21 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.97$ ), 8.23 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.97$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 15.43,18.54$, $112.66,118.04,128.79,129.73,132.67,137.27,145.02,145.16,167.07,194.59$ ppm. FT-IR (KBr): v ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $3206 \mathrm{~s}, 3104 m, 3032 w, 2930 w, 2238 s, 1735 s, 1209 s$, $1090 s, 1017 m, 864 m, 811 m, 698 m, 652 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MALDI-MS $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 251.39$ (calculated m/z 250.34).

### 2.4.15 4'-cyano-2',3',5',6'-tetramethylbiphenyl-4-isocyanate ${ }^{31}$ (30)

To a stirred suspension of $27(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of THF was added diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA) ( $0.309 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed quickly by $1,8-$ bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene $(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol})$. The vigorously stirred pale yellow solution was heated slowly to reflux under argon. After 48 hours the dark yellow solution was cooled to room temperature and filtered under argon. The solvent was removed from the dark yellow filtrate to leave a yellow/orange oil which was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR and MALDI-ToF mass spectroscopy. Unfortunately no trace of the desired isocyanate was observed.

### 2.4.16 4-(pyridine-4-yl)phenylisocyanate ${ }^{31}$ (31)

To a stirred suspension of $28(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of THF was added DPPA ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed quickly by $1,8-\mathrm{bis}$ (dimethylamino) naphthalene ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The vigorously stirred brown suspension was heated slowly to reflux and held at reflux temperature under argon. After 48 hours the yellow solution (with white precipitate) was cooled to room temperature and filtered under argon. The solvent was removed from the yellow filtrate. Unfortunately no evidence for the formation of the desired isocyanate was seen by FT-IR or MALDI-ToF mass spectroscopy.

### 2.4.17 4-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylisocyanate ${ }^{31}$ (32)

To a stirred suspension of $29(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of THF was added DPPA $(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed quickly by 1,8 -bis(dimethylamino) naphthalene ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The vigorously stirred brown suspension was heated slowly to reflux and held at reflux temperature under argon. After 48 hours the yellow solution (with white precipitate) was cooled to room temperature and filtered under argon. The solvent was removed from the yellow filtrate. The yellow residue still contained unreacted carboxylic acid, $\mathbf{1}(20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 7.62-7.69 (dd, 1H, J1=8.06, J2=5.04), 7.88-8.09 (dd, 4H, J1=24.64, J2=8.39) 8.31 (d, 1H, $\mathrm{J}=8.06), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.03), 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 125.34,135.82$, $139.95,145.02,146.17,155.61,160.39,163.25,175.04,188.26,190.64 \mathrm{ppm}$.

To a stirred suspension of $22(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.840 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-aminophenylboronic acid, 44 ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.840 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.178 \mathrm{~g}, 1.68$ mmol ). Argon was bubbled through the resulting white suspension. After 15 minutes, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium (0) $(0.0200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol})$ catalyst was added and the flask was fitted with a condenser. The orange suspension was heated to reflux under argon with constant stirring. The reaction was monitored by TLC (hexane:ethyl acetate; 3:1). After 36 hours at reflux, a white solid precipitated from the orange solution. The solids were collected and washed with water and cold acetonitrile. The white solid was the desired product and proved to have very poor solubility in both polar and non-polar solvents. (Yield $=0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.42 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 17.86,19.07,113.30$, $114.68,115.95,118.85,119.94,129.91,133.37,134.78,137.68,142.55,146.57 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $3445 m, 3335 m, 3234 m, 3097 w, 3053 m, 2991 m, 2922$ $m, 2219 s, 1640 s, 1492 s, 1453 s, 1384 m, 1329 s, 1244 s, 1019 s, 995 s, 874 m, 787 s, 707 s$, $656 w, 593 \mathrm{wcm}^{-1}$. MALDI-MS (m/z $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 251.39$ (calculated $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 250.34$ ).

### 2.4.19 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylamine ${ }^{32}$ (47)

To a 200 mL round bottom flask was added 3-aminophenylboronic acid $(2.000 \mathrm{~g}, 12.91$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromopyridine $(2.039 \mathrm{~g}, 12.91 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.736 \mathrm{~g}, 25.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium (0) $(0.373 \mathrm{~g}, 0.00323 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( 40 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Argon was bubbled through the resulting orange suspension for 15 minutes. A condenser was attached and the mixture was maintained at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under argon. The reaction was monitored by TLC, and was allowed to cool to room temperature upon completion (48 hours). The orange solution was then diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) and washed with distilled water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phase was separated and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the orange oil chromatographed on silica with petroleum ether:ethyl
acetate (2:1) as eluant. The product was isolated as an off-white solid. Yield $=1.93 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.22$ (br. s., 2H) 6.73 (d, $\left.J=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) 6.88$ (s, 1H) 6.96 (d, $J=7.69$ Hz, 1H) $7.23-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.35-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 4.27$ (br. s., 2H) $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.89$ - 6.93 (m, 2H) $7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.38$ (dd, $J=7.81,4.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.91$ (d, $J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 113.80,114.97$, $117.66,123.63,130.22,134.48,137.00,139.21,147.24,148.52,148.63 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ 3334, 3116, 1609, 1481, 1409, 1322, 1235, 1169, 1023, 864, 777, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MALDI-ToF/ToFMS: $m / z 171.23\left(\left[47+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$(calculated $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 170.043$ ).

### 2.4.20 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylamino hydrochloride salt (47.HCl)

To a solution of $47(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 6.693 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of 2 N HCl in ether ( $3.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.693 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via syringe. A pale yellow precipitate formed immediately upon addition of the HCl solution. The yellow suspension was stirred for another 20 minutes. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the precipitate washed with a several times with a minimal amount of chloroform. The pale yellow solid was dried under reduced pressure for 24 hours at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pure product was isolated as a pale yellow solid. Yield (1.03g, 74\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.88 (d, $J=8.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19$ (dd, $J=8.20,5.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.83$ (d, $J=5.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90$ (d, 1H), $9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

### 2.4.21 3'-(triphenylphosphine)imine-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbiphenyl-4-carbonitrile ${ }^{21}$ (48)

To a suspension of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 639 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $45(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 639 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by triethylamine $(0.178 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was maintained under argon and fitted with a condenser. The mixture was then heated to reflux and stirred overnight. After 16 hours, a pale yellow suspension was filtered. The colorless oil was dried under vacuum for 30 hours to yield the desired product as a off-white
solid. $($ Yield $=0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 19.09,25.48,50.21,74.52$, $106.32,111.98,113.10,118.72,128.68,128.79,132.17,132.20,132.37,133.20,146.71,157.46$, $159.32 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.\right.$; external reference $\left.=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right): \delta 11.43 \mathrm{ppm}$. MALDI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}+$ $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 511.56$ (calculated m/z 510.60).

### 2.4.22 3-(triphenylphosphine)imine-(4-pyridyl)-benzene ${ }^{21}$ (49)

To a suspension of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $46(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by triethylamine $(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.53 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was maintained under argon and fitted with a condenser. The mixture was then heated to reflux and stirred overnight. After 16 hours, a yellow suspension was filtered. The yellow oil was dried under vacuum for 36 hours to yield the desired product as a pale yellow solid. (Yield = $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.81), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.81), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 121.72$, $122.31,123.88,124.05,128.52,128.62,128.75,128.95,129.47,132.12,132.34,132.85,132.94$ ppm. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$; external reference $\left.=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right): \delta 16.41 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR $(\mathrm{KBr}): v(s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $3402 s, 3234 m, 3059 m, 2930 s, 1633 s, 1479 m, 1434 s, 1194 m, 1105 m, 902$ $m, 749 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MALDI-MS $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 431.40$ (calculated $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 430.49$ ).

### 2.4.23 3-(triphenylphosphine)imine-(3-pyridyl)-benzene ${ }^{21}$ (50)

To a suspension of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $47(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by triethylamine ( $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was maintained under argon and fitted with a condenser. The mixture was then heated to reflux and stirred overnight. After 16 hours, a yellow suspension was filtered. The pale yellow residue was dried under vacuum for 36 hours to yield the desired product as a pale yellow solid. $($ Yield $=0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.80(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76($ multiplet, 1 H$) 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 121.72$,
$122.13,122.31,123.88,124.05,128.52,128.62,128.75,128.95,129.06,129.47,132.12,132.34$, $132.85,132.94 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$; external reference $\left.=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right): \delta 13.68 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $3402 s, 3234 m, 3059 m, 2930 s, 1633 s, 1479 m, 1434 s$, $1194 m, 1105 m, 902 m, 749 \mathrm{mcm}^{-1}$ MALDI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$) 431.44 (calculated $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 430.49$ ).

### 2.4.24 3-(pyridin-4-yl)phenylimido hexamolybdate (51)

 DCC ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.977 \mathrm{mmol}), 46(0.066 \mathrm{~g}, 0.385 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The resulting off-white suspension was stirred for 10 minutes and allowed to settle before $46 . \mathbf{H C l}$ was added $(0.081 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.385 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was fitted with a condenser and purged with argon for 15 minutes. A light red color developed upon stirring and the mixture was heated to reflux $\left(75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After 17 hours, a dark red solution with a suspension had formed and the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature. The white precipitate (dicyclohexylurea by-product) was filtered and the filtrate concentrated. The product was recrystallized twice from the dark red filtrate by slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether. Pure product was obtained as dark red crystals (Yield (based on initial amount of 46) $=0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 27.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 0.97$ (s, 24H) 1.35 (s, 17H) 1.61 (br. s., 17H) 3.08 (s, 16H) 7.33 (br. s., 1H) 7.53 (br. s., 3H) 7.61 (br. s., 4H) 8.63 (br. s., 2H) ppm. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $2960 s, 2868 m, 1654 m, 1465 m$, $1373 \mathrm{~m}, 1342 \mathrm{~m}, 974 \mathrm{sh}, 953 \mathrm{~s}, 906 w, 794 \mathrm{~m}, 651 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

### 2.4.25 3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylimido hexamolybdate (52)

To a 50 mL round bottom flask was added $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{4}\right]_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{26}\right](0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 0.251 \mathrm{mmol})$, DCC $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.855 \mathrm{mmol}), 47(0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.337 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting offwhite suspension was stirred for 10 minutes and allowed to settle before $\mathbf{4 7 .} \mathbf{H C l}$ was added $(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.337 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was fitted with a condenser and purged with argon for 15 minutes. A light red color developed upon stirring and the mixture was heated to reflux $\left(75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After 18 hours, a dark red suspension had formed and the reaction was allowed to cool to room
temperature. The white precipitate (dicyclohexylurea by-product) was filtered and the filtrate concentrated. The product was recrystallized twice from the dark red filtrate by slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether. Pure product was obtained as dark red crystals. (Yield (based on initial amount of 47) $=0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA})$ $1.46-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) 1.63-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) 3.23-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) 7.21(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.32-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 7.54$ (br. s., 1 H ) $7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.60(\mathrm{t}, J=4.69 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H) 8.81 (br. s., 1H) ppm. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 0.96$ (t, $J=7.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}$ ) 1.32 $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) 1.60$ (quin, $J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) 3.06-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) 7.30$ (d, $J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.40-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 13.90$ (TBA), 20.42 (TBA), 24.42 (TBA), 34.44, 59.40 (TBA), 124.76, 126.82, 127.83, 130.59, 130.49, 135.48, 149.14, 150.05, 195.65 ppm . FT-IR (KBr): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak, $s h$ shoulder) $2959 m, 2933 m, 2873 m, 1481 m, 1465 m$, 1375 w, 1345 w, 975 (sh), $950 s, 785 s, 712(s h), 606 w, 446 w \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Elemental Analysis Observed (Calculated): \%C 36.91 (34.05), \%H 5.61 (5.32), \%N 3.92 (3.69).

### 2.4.26 [3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylimidohexamolybdate][meso-(5,10,15,20-tetraphenylpor-

 phyrinato)carbonyl ruthenium-(II)] (70)To a red suspension of $\mathbf{6 8}(4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00508 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $52(7.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.00508 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with stirring at room temperature under argon. A dark red solution formed within 5 minutes which was stirred for a further 30 minutes. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to leave a dark red solid. Yield assumed to be quantitative. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.87$ (t, $J=7.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}$ ), 1.27 (br. s., $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pyr}$ ), $1.33-1.43$ (m, 16H, TBA), 1.56 (d, $J=3.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}+1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{pyr}), 3.18$ (br. s., $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}$ ), $5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyr), $5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyr), 5.53 (d, $J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pyr}), 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pyr}), 6.47$ (s, 1H, pyr) 7.06 (m, 1 H , pyr), 7.72 (br. s., $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{RuTPP}$ ), 7.65 (br. s., 4H, RuTPP) 8.02 (br. s., 4H, RuTPP), 8.25 (br. s., 4 H , RuTPP), 8.63 (m, 8H, RuTPP) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.92$ (TBA), 19.88 (TBA), 24.30 (TBA), 58.93 (TBA), 121.73, 124.52, 126.49, 126.53, 126.67 (RuTPP), 126.74, 127.39, 127.48 (RuTPP), 128.39, 131.95, 131.99 (RuTPP), 134.36 (RuTPP), 134.53, 134.60, 142.82,
142.93 (RuTPP), 143.84 (RuTPP), $182.36(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O})$ ppm. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak, sh shoulder) $2959 m, 2920 m, 2871 m, 1938 \mathrm{w}, 1650 \mathrm{~m}, 1459 \mathrm{~m}, 1384 \mathrm{~m}, 1009 \mathrm{~m}, 963$ (sh), $951 \mathrm{~s}, 789 \mathrm{~s}, 811 \mathrm{~m}, 599 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}$ - . UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \lambda(\log \varepsilon): 585$ (3.97), 533 (4.25), 495 sh (4.00), 410 (5.24), 315 (4.34), 291 (4.37) nm. MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS: $714.023 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (calculated as $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{44}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{28}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{102} \mathrm{Ru}_{1 ;}[\mathrm{RuTPP}]^{+}=714.1353 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right)$.

### 2.4.27 [3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylamine][ meso-(5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-porphyrinato)

carbonyl ruthenium-(II)] (71)

To a 10 mL round bottom flask was added a dark red suspension of $\mathbf{6 8}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00635$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ followed by a colorless solution of $47(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00635 \mathrm{mmol})$ also in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ under argon at room temperature. Immediately upon addition, a dark red suspension formed which was stirred for a further 10 minutes. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to leave a dark red solid. Yield assumed to be quantitative. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.52$ (dd, $\left.J=5.66,1.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{pyr}\right) 1.63$ (d, $J=1.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyr) 3.53 (br. s., $2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.61,5.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyr) $5.34(\mathrm{t}, J=1.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyr) $5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyr) 6.26 (ddd, $J=8.00,1.76,1.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{pyr}) 6.46$ (dd, $J=7.81,1.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{pyr}) 6.86$ (t, $J=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ pyr) 7.65 (br. s., $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{RuTPP}$ ) 7.72 (br. s., $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{RuTPP}$ ) 8.03 (d, $J=7.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, RuTPP) 8.25 (br. s., 4H, RuTPP) 8.63 (s, 9H, RuTPP) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 114.90, 116.15, 121.78, 126.50 (RuTPP), 126.77, 127.44 (RuTPP), 131.97 (RuTPP), 132.16, 132.27, 134.27 (RuTPP), 134.57, 142.86, 143.86 (RuTPP) ppm. FT-IR (KBr): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak, $s h$ shoulder) $2965 s, 2931 m, 2874 m, 1938 w, 1637 m, 1482 m, 1382 m, 1192$ $w, 944$ (sh), $923 \mathrm{~m}, 904 \mathrm{~s}, 851 \mathrm{w}, 712 w, 665 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \lambda(\log \varepsilon): 531$ (3.07), 410 (3.91), 313 (3.04), 239 (3.09) (4.37) nm. MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS: $714.141 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (calculated as $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{44}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{28}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{102} \mathrm{Ru}_{1}:[\mathrm{RuTPP}]^{+}=714.1353 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right)$.
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# CHAPTER 3 - A Chromium(V) Nitrido-Keggin Polyoxometalate, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{5-}$ 

### 3.1 Introduction

### 3.1.1 Transition metal nitrido complexes.

Transition metal nitrido complexes, $\left[\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{M} \equiv \mathrm{N}\right]$, are those which contain the $[\mathrm{N}]^{3-}$ ligand. The nitrido ligand is isoelectronic ${ }^{1}$ with the oxo $[\mathrm{O}]^{2-}$ and organoimido $[\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R}]^{2-}$ ligands prominent in the first two chapters of this thesis. As with oxo and organoimido systems, nitrido complexes are most prevalent for transition metals in their higher oxidation states. The field has been reviewed. ${ }^{2,3,4,5}$ Although nitrido complexes are predominantly terminal or linearly bridging, other bonding modes have been identified (Figure 3.1). ${ }^{6}$

a

e


b

c

f

Figure 3-1: Known bonding modes of the nitrido ligand. ${ }^{6}$ ( $\mathrm{a}=$ linear; $\mathrm{b}=$ asymmetric; $\mathrm{c}=$ asymmetric, linear bridge of the donor acceptor type; $\mathrm{c}=$ asymmetric, linear bridge with covalent bond; $\mathrm{d}=$ symmetric, linear bridge; $\mathrm{e}=$ bent bridge; $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{T}$-shaped )

Current interest in nitrido complexes stems in large part from their demonstrated ability to serve as N -atom transfer reagents following treatment with a suitable electrophile. An early example of such reactivity was reported by Groves and Takahashi ${ }^{7}$ who showed that the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{V})$ nitrido-porphyrin complex $[\mathrm{MnN}(\mathrm{TMP})](\mathrm{TMP}=5,10,15,20$ - tetra-mesitylporphyrinato(2-)),
when treated with trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA), could transfer the $\left[\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right]$ unit to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of cyclooctene; such an "aziridination" reaction is the nitrogen analogue of the well-known epoxidation reaction of olefins (Figure 3-2). More recently, Carreira has demonstrated similar nitrogen transfers utilizing nitrido-manganese complexes of salen-type ligands. ${ }^{8,9}$



Figure 3-2: Groves reaction, $\left[\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right]$ transfer onto cyclooctene from $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{TMP})\right] .{ }^{7}($ TFAA $=$ trifluoroacetic anhydride $)$

Analogies between lacunary POM fragments and porphyrin (or salen-type) ligands have been recognized for some time. ${ }^{10,11}$ Both of these ligand types are capable of stabilizing high oxidation state metal systems, and they generally display considerable thermal and oxidative stability (with POM systems typically being less prone to deterioration under harsh conditions).

Unlike the situation for the Lindqvist dianion $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$, only a few examples of nitrogenous derivatives of Keggin-type anions $\left[\mathrm{XM}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-}$ species have been reported. ${ }^{12,13}$ Many of the methods commonly used for direct functionalization of $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]^{2-}$ fail in the case of the corresponding Keggin systems (either through unwanted redox reactions or simple inertness to substitution); the main route to nitrogenous Keggin systems involve the reaction of a lacunary polyanion with a preformed metal-nitrogenous ligand. ${ }^{12,13}$

### 3.1.2 Metal Nitrides incorporated into Keggin Type POMs.

The parent Keggin POMs are denoted by the general formula, $\left[\mathrm{XM}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{(\mathrm{n})}$ (where X typically $=\mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{Si} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W}$ or Mo$)$. Removal of one $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathrm{O}\right]^{4+}$ unit from the parent produces the lacunary structure, $\left[\mathrm{XM}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{(\mathrm{n}+4)-}$ (Figure 3-3). The lacunary structure can then be utilized as a pentadentate ligand through the five exposed oxygen atoms (Figure 3-3). ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ In such 'insertion' reactions, the tungsten cluster framework has been found more stable and less likely to undergo reduction or structural transformations compared to the molybdenum analogue. ${ }^{14}$

(a)

(b)

Figure 3-3: Polyhedral models of (a) the parent Keggin $\left(\left[\mathrm{XM}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{(\mathrm{n}-)}\right)$ and (b) the pentadentatelacunary Keggin $\left(\left[\mathrm{XM}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{(\mathrm{n}+4)-}\right.$, red balls $=$ exposed oxygen atoms $)$.

Zubieta ${ }^{15}$ reported the first nitrido-POM complex, $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\{\mathrm{~N}\}\right]^{3-}$ synthesized via clusterassembly from dimolybdate instead of metal insertion into the lacunary Keggin (Figure 3-4). Zubieta also reported a nitrido-POM, $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{TcN}\}\right],{ }^{16}$ obtained via metal insertion into the lacunary Keggin system $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$.
$2\left[\mathrm{MOCl}_{4}\{\mathrm{~N}\}\right]^{-}+5\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]^{2-} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} 2\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{18}\{\mathrm{~N}\}\right]^{3-}+6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{HCl}$
Figure 3-4: Cluster assembly reported by Zubieta, the first nitrido-POM. ${ }^{15}$

However, neither of these early nitrido-POM complexes were thoroughly characterized. More recently, Maatta and Proust synthesized and fully characterized $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\left\{\mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathrm{N}\right\}\right]^{12}$ and $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\left\{\operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right\}\right]^{13(a)}$ (Figure 3-5) as well as the Dawson-Wells analogue, $\mathrm{TBA}_{7}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right]^{17}$, the latter of which will be discussed further in the next chapter. These
[OsN] and [ReN] species were synthesized through insertion of a metal-nitrido fragment into the appropriate lacunary Keggin or Dawson-Wells POM.

$$
\left.\mathrm{TBA}^{2}\left[\mathrm{MC}_{4}\{\mathrm{~N}\}\right\}\right]+\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right] \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}]{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{TBA}_{7-\mathrm{m}}\left[\mathrm{PN}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\left\{\mathrm{MN}^{\mathrm{m}+1}\right]\right.
$$

Figure 3-5: Synthesis of lacunary Keggin metal nitride complexes reported

$$
\text { by Maatta and Proust. }\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Os}^{12}, \mathrm{Re}^{13(\mathrm{a})} ; \mathrm{TBA}=\left[{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}\right)
$$

Herein will be described a reproducible synthetic route to the titled, $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{N}$ Keggin, complex, as well as results from preliminary attempts at nitrogen atom transfer. These results, as a collaborative effort with our colleagues at the University of Paris, have been accepted for publication in the European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry. ${ }^{18}$

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

### 3.2.1 $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{P} W_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{Cr} \mathrm{N}\}\right]$

As part of a series of metal Keggin type POMs, the title compound was synthesized in a similar manner to those mentioned earlier i.e. via insertion of 2 , the $\left\{\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right\}$ moiety, into the lacunary Keggin, 1. (Figure 3-6)


Figure 3-6: Reaction scheme for the insertion of the $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N} 2$ into the lacunary Keggin $1 .{ }^{18}$

The previous $\{\mathrm{OsN}\}-$ Keggin $^{12} 5$ and $\{\operatorname{ReN}\}-$ Keggin $^{13} 7$ syntheses, relied upon the pentadentate lacunary Keggin 1, displacing either the chloride ${ }^{12}$ or the chloride and phosphine ${ }^{13}$ ligands of a preformed metal complex such as 4 and 6, respectively (Figure 3-7).


Figure 3-7: Delivery reagents 4 and 6 employed for the synthesis of the $\{\mathrm{OsN}\}-\mathrm{Keggin}^{12} 5$ and $\{\operatorname{ReN}\}-$ Keggin $^{13} 7$ Keggin POMs.

The synthetic method reported herein is similar but differs in the nature of the metal delivery reagent. The $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}^{19}$ precursor $\left[\mathrm{THF}_{\mathrm{x}}\left\{\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right\}\right]^{2+} \mathbf{2}$ was prepared via inter-metal N -atom transfer ${ }^{20}$ between $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right\} \text { salen }\right]^{20 \mathrm{~b}} \mathbf{8}$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right\} . \mathrm{THF}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{21} 9$ (Figure 3-8), all of which were synthesized according to literature methods. ${ }^{19 \mathrm{a}-21} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Mn}$ (III) chloride salen 10 by-product was precipitated during the reaction and removed from the solution leaving the $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}$ reagent in the filtrate.


Figure 3-8: Formation of the $\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right]^{2+}$ delivery reagent $2 .{ }^{20 b}$

The introduction of the solvated $\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}\right]^{2+}$ species 2, to a solution of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{22}$ 1 in the presence of excess triethylamine, TBABr and acetonitrile resulted in the rapid (within 30 seconds) formation of an orange mixture. ${ }^{23}$ The formed polyanion 3a (Figure 3-6), was isolated from the dark orange-brown reaction solution by slow diffusion of diethyl ether as orange crystals (yield 55\%). The excess triethylamine, was added in order to quench the HCl by-product with the TBABr providing the necessary counter-cation to the desired Keggin complex (Figure 3-6). The paramagnetic nature of the $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{d}^{1}\right)$ limited the characterization of the $\mathrm{CrN}-\mathrm{Keggin} 3 \mathbf{a}$
to infra-red (FT-IR), mass spectrometry, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR and elemental analysis. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum $\left(161.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ revealed a broad signal at $-11.85 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\Delta_{1 / 2}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; such a broadened signal is consistent with the presence of a paramagnetic $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{V})$ center.

Initial mass spectrometry studies (MALDI-ToF/ToF MS) of 3a suggested the presence of five $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$counter-cations (Figure 3-9) as illustrated by the peak at $3956.02 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (calculated $=$ $3956.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; as $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{80}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{181}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6}{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{134} \mathrm{~W}_{11}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{39}{ }^{52} \mathrm{Cr}_{1},[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}-\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]+{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and another at $3700.11 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. The latter corresponds to the loss of $256 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ from the former, consistent with the loss of one nitrogen $[\mathrm{N}]$ atom and one $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$cation. Another interesting feature was the extra peak of lower intensity at $3942.45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$, which strongly suggested the presence of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{Cr}\}\right]$ (calculated $=3941.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) formed by the loss of a nitrogen atom (i.e. 14 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ). These initial observations suggested the possible loss of a nitrogen atom, albeit in an unknown manner.


Figure 3-9: Observed Mass spectrum (Maldi-ToF/ToF) for $\mathrm{TBA}_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]$, 3a. (Inset top: simulated spectrum; Inset bottom: Expansion of 3925-4000 m/z)

Further analysis revealed the mass spectrum of the initial precipitate from the reaction mixture was almost identical to those of the purified orange crystals obtained after several
recrystallizations, with the exception of decreased intensity at $3956 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ accompanied by increased intensity of 3942 and $3700 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ in the mass spectra. Product decomposition occurred during attempted purification via column chromatography using silica gel. Furthermore, the CrN -Keggin 3a was found to decompose after multiple recrystallizations in the presence of air as evidenced by the increased intensity of $3942 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ along with a decreased intensity of $3956 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (mass spectrum).

An unexpected result came from the elemental analysis of the CrN-Keggin; instead of the expected five $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$cations, elemental analysis strongly suggested the presence of only four $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$units with one proton, which will now be called $\left[\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right]\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right] 3 \mathbf{3}$ (Table 3-1 and Figure 3-10).

Table 3-1: Elemental Analysis of 3a from acetonitrile recrystallization.

|  | $\% \mathrm{C}$ | $\% \mathrm{H}$ | $\% \mathrm{~N}$ | $\% \mathrm{Cr}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experimental results for $\mathbf{3 a}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | 20.78 | 3.79 | 1.98 | 1.17 |
| Calculated for $\mathrm{TBA}_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | 24.29 | 4.59 | 2.12 | 1.31 |
| Calculated for $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | 20.70 | 3.93 | 1.89 | 1.40 |

( $a=$ Analysis by Desert Analytics, Tucson, AZ, USA; $b=$ Calculated using Jasper V2.0)

These results suggested that the addition of excess triethylamine and TBABr are not necessary in order to produce the desired $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}$ Keggin POM. It is often observed that the protons will remain coordinated to POM fragments even in the presence of available [TBA] ${ }^{+}$ cations, and the reaction scheme in Figure 3-10 (i.e. for 3a) was followed.


Figure 3-10: Reaction scheme for the stoichiometric formation of the chromium (V) nitrido Keggin complex, $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$.

The FT-IR spectra of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ showed the expected characteristic features of $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 3-2). The parent Keggin $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]$ shows only one P-O stretch at $1081 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum, since it has ideal tetrahedral $\left(T_{d} ;\right.$ Figure 3$)$ symmetry.

Table 3-2: Selected FT-IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ data for $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Os}{ }^{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathrm{N})\right],{ }^{13 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{TBA}_{4}[\alpha-$ $\left.\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathrm{N})\right],{ }^{13 \mathrm{a}} \mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$.

|  | $v(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{W}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{e}}-\mathrm{W}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $v(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{TBA}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]$ | 1081 | 973 | 893 | 811 | - |
| $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]$ | 1106,1054 | 971 | 894 | 816 | - |
| $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{N})\right]$ | 1072,1053 | 963 | 884 | 811 | - |
| $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{N})\right]$ | 1072,1072 | 961 | 881 | 802 | - |
| 3a | 1096,1059 | 951 | 884 | 811 | 996 |
| 3b | 1098,1060 | 954 | 881 | 809 | 997 |

( $\mathrm{a}=$ wavenumber in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ terminal oxygen; $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ corner bridging oxygen;
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ edge bridging oxygen)

Upon formation of the mono-vacant Keggin 1, the P-O bands are observed at 1108 and $1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR spectrum). This large separation ( $\Delta v=53 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) is attributed to the lower symmetry of $\mathbf{1}$ since one of the P-O bonds is so different to the other three. This separation in the IR spectrum decreases upon metal insertion, as observed for both the $\{O s N\}$ ( 1072 and $1053 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right)^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\{\operatorname{ReN}\}\left(1096 \text { and } 1072 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ with $\Delta v$ values of 19 and $24 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively (Table 3-2). This is in very good agreement with the observed IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$ (1096 and 1059 with $\Delta v=37 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and 3b (1095 and 1058 with $\Delta v=37 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) (Table3-2). Another notable feature in the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ is the presence of a new weak signal at $996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, assigned to $v(\mathrm{Cr}-$ N) stretch. ${ }^{20 b, 24}$ Based on the above results, we can conclude that $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ are the same compound (i.e. " 3 ") with no distinction between them.

The CrN-Keggin was also characterized electronically (in acetonitrile) in collaboration with our colleagues in Paris, Proust et al. ${ }^{18}$ It was found to have its lowest energy absorption maximum at $467 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \varepsilon=2.1) .{ }^{18}$ This is consistent with the $\left\{\operatorname{Re}^{V} N\right\}{ }^{13 a}$ analogue, with its lowest energy absorption maximum at $500 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \varepsilon=3.06)$. The presence of the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{V})$ metal center was confirmed by the presence of the a reversible wave (cyclic voltammetry at a glassy carbon in acetonitrile) at 0.87 V (vs SCE) ${ }^{18}$ credited to the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{V})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI}) .{ }^{18}$

Unfortunately, as with the $\{\mathrm{OsN}\}-$ and $\{\operatorname{ReN}\}-$ Keggin complexes, crystals of the CrNKeggin belong to the cubic crystallographic system (cubic I a $=17.7 \AA ́, \mathrm{~V}=5500 \AA^{3}$ ), ${ }^{18}$ which rendered analysis by X-ray diffraction ineffective. Therefore the complex should display only one metallic center composed of $11 / 12 \mathrm{~W}$ and $1 / 12 \mathrm{Cr}$, one disordered terminal, one doubly
bridging oxygen and one quadruply bridging oxygen linked to the phosphorus, ${ }^{18}$ as reported previously by Proust and Maatta. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$

The orange CrN -Keggin polyanion was determined to be stable over 12 months, provided it was stored as a solid at room temperature under argon. However the compound was observed to decompose in solution as noted by the increased intensity (Mass spectrometry) of the signal at $3942 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (fragment $=[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{Cr}\}\right]+{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) coupled with the decrease in intensity of $3956 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\right.$ fragment $\left.=[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]+{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$.

### 3.2.2 Reactivity of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{P} W_{I I} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]$ 3.

The reactivity of the nitrido complex was tested for nitrogen transfer capability toward cis-cyclooctene, following conditions outlined by Groves. ${ }^{20 a}$ A slight excess of trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) was introduced to an orange solution of $\mathbf{3}$ in acetonitrile followed by ciscyclooctene (Figure 3-11).


Figure 3-11: Attempted nitrogen transfer reaction scheme of $\mathbf{3}$ (Groves protocol). ${ }^{20 a}$

The addition of cis-cyclooctene and TFAA to the orange solution of 3 resulted in rapid ( $\sim 30$ seconds) formation of a green solution. The color change from orange to green was a promising indication of $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}}$ reduction to $\mathrm{Cr}^{\text {III }}$ (commonly green in color). The crude green solution was analyzed by various spectroscopic methods in search of 13, the aziridine 9-(trifluoroacetyl)-9-azabicyclo[6.1.0]nonane. Unfortunately, residual para-magnetic $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}}$ species made analysis by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ineffective. Attempted removal of the $\mathrm{Cr}^{V}$ species through recrystallization or column chromatography proved unfruitful. The crude green solution was analyzed by mass spectroscopy (MALDI-ToF) and a fragment at $242 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ was attributed to a
$[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$unit. However, no fragments corresponding to the acylimido derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$ was observed in the mass spectrum (MALDI-ToF).

HPLC studies of the green solution confirmed the results previously reported by Karcher. ${ }^{23}$ The presence of a new peak with a retention time of $\sim 12.3$ minutes (c.f. ciscyclooctene $\sim 8.5$ minutes). This new peak could not be assigned to any of the starting materials and might be indicative of the presence of the aziridine $13 .{ }^{23}$ These observations were found to be reproducible but only with careful omission of oxygen and water during the synthesis.

As reported by our colleagues, ${ }^{18}$ the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$ showed the formation of two new bands at 1203 and $1682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, assignable to $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F})$ and $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ vibrational frequencies, ${ }^{20 b}$ respectively, along with disappearance of the band at $996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$. Further support came from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ data of 11 : two peaks, a broad peak at $-76.3 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\Delta_{1 / 2}=40 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and a sharp peak at $-77.4 \mathrm{ppm}(5 \%$ impurity from TFAA). As well as the presence of the broad peak at $-11.85 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\Delta_{1 / 2}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$. The broad peaks in the NMR spectra maybe consistent with a " $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ " group bound to a paramagnetic chromium center and parallels that of some chromium nitrido porphyrin systems. ${ }^{25}$

Considering the inconsistencies in the above results, and given that our Paris collaborators were also unable to detect aziridine formation using a very similar protocol, ${ }^{18}$ we conclude that no significant aziridination has taken place under the above set of conditions.

### 3.3 Conclusions and Future Work

A new chromium(V) nitrido Keggin complex has been synthesized and characterized by FT-IR, mass spectroscopy and elemental analysis in $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]$ 3. ${ }^{18}$ Synthetic reproducibility was achieved by working under stringently anhydrous conditions.

Further experiments should examine the reactivity of 3 as an N -atom transfer reagent toward other alkenes such as styrene ${ }^{26}$ and cyclohexene ${ }^{27,28}$. (Figure 3-12) Reactions with alkylating agents other than TFAA (such as methyl iodide should also be investigated to better screen for nucleophilic reactivity at the chromium nitrido function.


Figure 3-12: Future reaction schemes for the $\{\mathrm{CrN}\}$ Keggin, 3.

### 3.4 Experimental

$\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right],{ }^{22}\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{THF})_{\mathrm{x}}\right],{ }^{19}[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{N})$ Salen $],{ }^{20 b}$ and $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}(\mathrm{THF})_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{21}$ were prepared according to literature methods. All manipulations, including recrystallizations, were done under an inert atmosphere of argon, unless otherwise stated. THF was distilled over sodium and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. All glassware was thoroughly dried by flame under reduced pressure. Starting materials were purchased from Aldrich and the solids dried under vacuum at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours prior to use. Cis-cyclooctene and anhydrous triethylamine was purged of oxygen via the 'freeze-pump thaw' method ( 5 cycles). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz spectrometer and referenced to an externally to a solution of $85 \%$ $\sigma-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(0 \mathrm{ppm})$ in deuterated water. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Protégé 460 instrument as KBr pellets. Mass spectra (MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS) were collected on a Bruker Daltonics Ultraflex ToF/ToF-MS instrument.

The chromatographic system employed consisted of a HP1090 high-performance liquid chromatography unit with a HP3396 Series III integrator using a 10 mL injection loop. Detection was done at 254 nm . The stationary phase was a Zorbax ODS column (DuPont Instruments) 4.6 mm x 25 cm packed with $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ ( 5 micron particle size) with a mobile phase of acetonitrile/water mixture and a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The mobile phase was run as a gradient of increasing acetonitrile content ( 30 minutes per analysis). The mobile phase began as a 70/30 mix of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for the first 10 minutes and was increased to $90 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ over another 10 minutes at a rate of $2 \% / \mathrm{min}$ and held constant for the last 10 minutes.
3.4.1 $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{H}_{3} P W_{11} O_{39}\right]$ Keggin $^{22}$ (1)

# $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \xrightarrow[\text { (i) } \mathrm{TBABr}]{\text { (i) } 13.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}} \underset{\mathbf{1}}{ } \underset{1}{ } \mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]$ 

A 200 mL Erlenmeyer flask was charged with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 60.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dissolved in 40 mL of distilled water. To this colorless solution was cautiously added 4 mL of concentrated HCl over 20 minutes. If a white precipitate formed on addition, it was allowed to dissolve before any more HCl was added. After complete addition, the solution was stirred and maintained at room temperature for one hour until a white precipitate formed. The pH of the suspension was adjusted to 5.0 - 5.5 by careful dropwise addition of concentrated HCl causing dissolution. The pH of the solution was kept at this range for the next 30 minutes by further dropwise addition of dilute aqueous HCl . A solution of $\mathrm{TBABr}(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 60 mL of distilled water was added causing the product to precipitate as a white solid. More of the desired product was isolated from the filtrate after the pH was adjusted to $1.1-1.2$ with dilute HCl . The white product was collected on a glass frit and washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The desired product was dried under high vacuum at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Yield ( $18.5 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $161.83 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; external reference to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ at 0 ppm ): $\delta-11.91 \mathrm{ppm}$.. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{KBr} ; s=$ strong, $m=$ medium, $w=$ weak): $v$ $957 \mathrm{~s}, 886 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 3.4.2 $\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{V} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{2+}$ solution $^{19}$ (2)



To a flask charged with $8(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added $9(0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol})$, zinc dust ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ under agron. Upon addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, a dark brown solution developed which was stirred at room temperature. After 30 minutes, an insoluble brown precipitate formed and was filtered off to leave 2 in the yellow-brown filtrate. Yield assumed to be quantitative.
3.4.3 $[T B A]_{5}\left[P W_{11} O_{39}\left\{C r^{V} N\right\}\right]$ (3a)


To a solution of $\mathbf{1}(2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.163 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.613 mmol ) and a solution of 2 under argon. The resultant orange mixture became dark brown within 30 seconds of addition. The dark-brown mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon. After 10 hours, a white precipitate was removed by filtration to leave a brown-orange solution which was concentrated under reduced pressure. Slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into the concentrated dark brown-orange solution yielded orange crystals of 3a. Yield ( 0.10 g , $31 \%$ based on $\mathbf{1}){ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $161.83 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; external reference to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ at 0 ppm ): $\delta-$ $11.85 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\Delta_{1 / 2}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. FT-IR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $2960 s, 2870 s, 1096$ $m, 1059 \mathrm{~m}, 996 w, 951 \mathrm{~s}, 884 \mathrm{~s}, 811 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS): $3956.02 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{180} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Cr} ; \quad[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]+{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}=3956.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right), \quad 3942.45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ for $\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{180} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Cr} ;[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{Cr}\}\right]+{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}=3942.65 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right)\right.$ and $3700.11 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Cr} ;[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{Cr}\right]+1 \mathrm{H}=3700.18 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right)$.

### 3.4.4 $\left.[T B A]_{4} H\left[P W_{11} O_{39}{ }^{\{ } C^{V} N\right\}\right]$ (3b)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right] \\
\mathbf{1} & +\left[\begin{array}{|l}
\mathrm{N} \\
\mathrm{Cr}
\end{array}\right]^{2+} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}]{2.2 \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}} & \mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]+2\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right) \mathrm{Br} \\
\mathbf{2} & 3 \mathbf{3}
\end{array}
$$

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.1597 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0437 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.0097$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.00963 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2(0.00289 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0437)$ under argon. The dark brown mixture was stirred at room temperature. After 15 hours, a white precipitate was removed by filtration to leave a
brown-orange solution which was concentrated under reduced pressure. Slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into the concentrated brown-orange solution yielded white crystals, later determined to be 1 and an oily orange residue.The residue was washed repeatedly with diethylether and yielded the CrN-Keggin product as an orange powder. (Yield $0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%$ ) FT-IR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $2962 m, 2874 m, 1098 m, 1060 m, 997 w, 954 s, 881 \mathrm{~s}, 809 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
3.4.5 $\mathrm{Mn}^{V} N(\text { salen })^{20 b}$ (8)


To a suspension of $N, N^{\prime}$-ethylenebis(salicylimine) (salen; $8.26 \mathrm{~g}, 30.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 32.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in small portions. The brown solution was refluxed for 1 hour and allowed to cool to room temperature. Next, concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(31 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 5 minutes, followed by $0.7 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOCl}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}(280 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise over 40 minutes. After addition was complete, the dark green solution was cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted slowly with dichloromethane $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature with stirring and water was added ( 200 mL ). The organic layer was collected and the aqueous layer further extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude green product. Pure 2 was isolated as a dark green solid after column chromatography with dichloromethane as the eluent. Yield (5.50 g, 82\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

### 3.4.6 Chromium Trichloride Tetrahydrofuranate ${ }^{21}$ (9)

Under inert atmosphere of argon, a Soxhlet thimble was charged with anhydrous $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ $(12.21 \mathrm{~g}, 77.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and zinc dust $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol})$. The thimble was placed in a Sohxlet
extractor connected to a 250 mL flask charged with anhydrous THF ( 140 mL ) The solvent was heated to reflux under argon. After 16 hours, a dark purple solution had formed in the flask. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure yielded 3 as a purple solid. Yield ( $9.30 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ) FTIR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $1010 s, 880 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
3.4.7 Reactivity of $\left.[T B A]_{4} H\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}{ }_{2} \mathrm{CrN}\right\}\right]$ with cis-cyclooctene. ${ }^{20 a}$


To a stirred orange solution $3(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02528 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon was added a solution of TFAA (trifluoroacetic anhydride) ( $3.86 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02781 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (2 mL ) dropwise at room temperature, followed by cis-cyclooctene ( $32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 02528 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The orange solution rapidly (within 30 seconds) turned green upon complete addition of the cyclooctene. After 8 hours at room temperature, an aliquot of the green crude reaction solution was analyzed by mass spectrometry (MALDI-ToF) and liquid chromatography (HPLC). MS (MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS): $244 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (calculated for $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NOF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Na}\right]=244.41 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ). HPLC $\sim$ 12.3 minutes.
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## CHAPTER 4 - An Osmium Nitrido Dawson-Wells Polyoxometalate

### 4.1 Introduction

### 4.1.1 Synthesis of nitrido Dawson-Wells type complexes.

Unfortunately the successful functionalization protocols discussed earlier for the hexamolybdate POM are not applicable to the Keggin $\left[\mathrm{PM}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ nor the Dawson-Wells $\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]^{6-}$ type POMs (i.e. $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W}$, Mo, V). An example illustrating such incompatibility is the reaction of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{3}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]$ with an organic isocyanate $\left(\left(p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NCO}\right)\right.$ in pyridine leading to the neutral $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{10}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)_{12}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]$ complex instead of the desired covalently functionalized hybrid material (Figure 4-1). ${ }^{1}$


Figure 4-1: Molecular drawing of $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{10}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)_{12}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]$ : unexpected product of direct functionalization of $\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}$ with $p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NCO} .{ }^{1}$

Thus far, the most effective route has employed a preformed metallo-organoimido complex such as $\mathbf{1}$ to fill the vacant site of the lacunary (Keggin or Dawson-Wells) $\mathrm{POM}^{2}$ (Figure 4-3). However, this route also produces the corresponding [TBA] $]_{4}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{ReO}\}\right]$ species which cannot be separated from the desired $\{\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NPh})\}$ complex, 3 (Figure 4-2). ${ }^{3}$


Figure 4-2: Functionalization of the lacunary Keggin $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{4-}$ POM with $\{\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NPh})\}^{2}\left(\mathrm{TBA}=\left[{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}\right) .{ }^{3}$

Metal nitrido-POM derivatives offer another possible route to hybrid materials such as 3 (Figure 4-2) through reactions with electrophiles (e.g., alkylating agents) and nucleophiles (e.g. organophosphines) to yield organoimido or phosphoraniminato POMs; ${ }^{4}$ They may also be relevant to nitrogen fixation as outlined by Schrock and Yandulov ${ }^{5}$ in their studies on high oxidation state nitrido $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ complexes.


Figure 4-3: Synthetic reactions outlined by Maatta and Proust for $\mathrm{TBA}_{6} \mathrm{Li}\left[\alpha_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right]$ 6 ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetric) and $\mathrm{TBA}_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right] 7$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s symmetric }}$ ). ${ }^{2,3}$ $($ red ball $=$ oxygen, purple $=$ tungsten, brown $=$ osmium and green $=$ nitrogen $)$

The lacunary systems $\mathrm{K}_{9}\left[\alpha_{1}-\mathrm{LiP}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right] 4$ and $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right] 5$ are air-stable and were synthesized following methods reported in literature. ${ }^{2}$ The $\mathrm{TBA}_{6} \mathrm{Li}\left[\alpha_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right]^{3}$ complex 6 was synthesized via the reaction of ([TBA][OsNCl 4$]$ ) with 4 in a mixture (1:1) of methanol and water (Figure 4-3). Since the (equatorial) $\alpha_{1}$-isomer is known to be unstable in
organic media the (polar) $\alpha_{2}$-isomer, $\mathbf{5}$ will be used to determine the possibility of synthesizing 7 under anhydrous conditions as well as studying its electrochemical properties. Herein, will be described the synthesis and characterization of 7 in organic media (acetonitrile) through the reaction of the $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$derivative of 5 instead of aqueous methanolic media. ${ }^{3}$

### 4.2 Results and Discussion

The $\mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathrm{N}$ - Daswon-Wells complexes 6 and 7 were obtained in an analogous manner to that used for $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\left\{\mathrm{Os}{ }^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathrm{N}\right\}\right] 8$ and $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\left\{\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathrm{N}\right\}\right]$ 9. ${ }^{3}$ The metal-nitrido delivery reagent $[\mathrm{TBA}]\left[\mathrm{OsNCl}_{4}\right]^{9} \mathbf{1 0}$ was obtained from methods adapted from the literature: the reaction of potassium osmate with sodium azide in the presence of excess acid, followed by treatment with [TBA]OH precipitated 10 as a pink solid which was dried under vacuum (Figure 4-4). ${ }^{6,9}$


Figure 4-4: Synthesis of the osmium (VI) nitrido delivery reagent, 10. ${ }^{9}$

The lacunary system $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]^{8} 5$ was synthesized by the abstraction of one $[\mathrm{WO}]^{4-}$ unit from the parent Dawson-Wells POM, $\mathrm{K}_{6}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]^{8}$ with an equivalent of the mild base sodium bicarbonate, according to the literature. ${ }^{8}$ The $[\mathrm{TBA}]^{+}$salt of POM derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$ was precipitated as a white solid from an aqueous solution of 5 by the addition of [ TBA ] Br (Figure 45).


Figure 4-5: Scheme for cation exchange reaction to yield, 11.

Purification was achieved by washing 11 with distilled water and recrystallization from a concentrated acetonitrile solution. The formation of the lacunary species [TBA] $]_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]$ was confirmed by its ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ spectrum. Two distinct phosphorus environments are
seen as is typical for a lacunary Dawson-Wells POM: a peak at -7.0 ppm is assignable to the $\mathrm{PW}_{8}$ fragment (i.e. that with the abstracted vertex) and another at -13.8 ppm is assignable to the $\mathrm{PW}_{9}$ fragment (Figure 4-6).


Figure 4-6: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of the Lacunary species $\mathrm{TBA}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right], 11$.

Introduction of [TBA][OsNCl $] 6$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to a colorless solution of $\mathbf{1 1}$ (also in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) resulted in the immediate formation of a dark purple-black solution (Figure 4-7). The observed color change is analogous to that reported ${ }^{2}$ for the same reaction in methanol and water and is indicative of metal nitrido insertion into the cavity of 11. Successful metal insertion induces a change in the metal coordination environment of the osmium, from a pentacoordinated species 6 to the hexacoordinated species 12 (Figure 4-7). The [TBA]Cl by-product was never isolated as a precipitate from the reaction.


Figure 4-7: Synthesis of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right] 12$ in anhydrous acetonitrile.

Successful metal insertion induces a change in the metal coordination environment of the osmium, from a pentacoordinated species 6 to the hexacoordinated species 12 (Figure 4-7). The [TBA]Cl by-product was never isolated as a precipitate from the reaction.

Repeated attempts at purification by diffusion of diethylether into a concentrated acetonitrile solution of 12 were unfruitful. Removal of acetonitrile under reduced pressure yielded 12 as a dark purple powder, which was analyzed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, FT-IR (Fourier Transform Infra Red) and CV (Cyclic Voltammetry). Unfortunately, mass spectroscopy (ESI-MS: ElectroSpray Ionization Mass Spectroscopy) proved unsuccessful at characterizing the desired OsN-Dawson species 12.


Figure 4-8: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (161.85 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of $\mathbf{1 2}$. (Referenced externally to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; Chemical shift at -12.18 ppm

$$
\text { due to } \left.[\mathrm{TBA}]\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]\right)
$$

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of 12, matches that reported by Maatta and Proust, ${ }^{2}$ i.e. - 11.73 ppm for the $-\mathrm{PW}_{8}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}$ fragment and -12.92 ppm for the $-\mathrm{PW}_{9}$ fragment. The upfield shift of the signal assigned to the $-\mathrm{PW}_{8}$ fragment, from -7.0 ppm (in 11) to -11.73 ppm (in 12), is consistent with literature ${ }^{2}$ values and filling of the empty-vertex on the lacunary Dawson. Unfortunately as reported in literature, ${ }^{2}$ the final product is also contaminated by the parent $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{6}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]\left(\sim 25 \%\right.$, as judged from ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum) as evident by the peak at 12.18 ppm (Figure 4-8). Unfortunately the source of the parent POM contaminant is yet to be determined. Since the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of the lacunary POM 11 showed no signals at -12.18
ppm, it must be re-formed during the metal insertion reaction. Attempts at removing the parent POM by chromatography on silica gel resulted in either product decomposition or it was never eluted from the column.

The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was also consistent with that reported by Proust and Maatta, ${ }^{2}$ with the characteristic features of a Dawson-Wells type structure: four strong bands at 1089, 953, 915 and $794 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which correspond to the $v(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}), v\left(\mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {terminal }}\right)$ and $v\left(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{W}_{\text {bridging }}\right)$ stretching modes, respectively. ${ }^{2}$

Cyclic voltammetry studies (reduction cycle) revealed two reversible one electron processes, attributed to the reduction of $\mathrm{Os}^{6+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}^{5+}(-0.97 \mathrm{~V})$ and $\mathrm{Os}^{5+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}^{4+}(-1.35 \mathrm{~V})$, consistent with literature ${ }^{7}$ values (Figure 4-9).


Figure 4-9: Cyclic voltammogram (reduction cycle) of 12 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
( $0.1 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{TBA}]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$; scan rate $=100 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{V}$; Pt electrode vs. SCE ).

The oxidation cycle of 12 also showed two reversible waves, neither of which corresponded to the parent $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{6}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]$ or lacunary POM 11 or the [TBA][OsNCl ${ }_{4}$ ] moieties (Figure 4-10). These processes may correspond to the $\mathrm{Os}^{6+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}^{7+}$ and $\mathrm{Os}^{7+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}^{8+}$ oxidations respectively, but further work will be needed to establish that conclusively. The combination of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, FT-IR and the electrochemical (CV) data strongly suggest that the desired OsN-Dawson complex was successfully synthesized in organic media.


Figure 4-10: Cylic voltammograms (oxidation cycle) of 12 acetonitrile.
(0.1 M TBABF ${ }_{4}$; scan rate $=100 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{V}$; Pt electrode vs. SCE ).

### 4.3 Conclusions and Future work:

An alternative synthetic route to $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right] 12$ in organic media was demonstrated and confirmed by IR, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR and cyclic voltammetry studies. Unfortunately, the method outlined here also showed the presence of the parent POM, $\left[\mathrm{TBA}_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]$ in the final product. It is clear that further experiments are needed to determine the source of the parent Dawson or to least devise ways to remove the parent POM from the product. Ultimately the reactivity of the osmium-nitrido should be tested in a similar manner as those outlined in an earlier chapter for $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]$ (i.e. Groves protocol) to determine if the these metal-nitrido complexes are capable of nitrogen atom transfer reactions.

### 4.4 Experimental

The syntheses of the following POM precursors, $\mathrm{K}_{6}\left[\alpha / \beta-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right] \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{10} \mathrm{~K}_{6}[\alpha-$ $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right] \cdot 14 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{11} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{9} \mathrm{Li}\left[\alpha_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right] \cdot 20 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{8} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right] \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{8} \quad \mathrm{TBA}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right],{ }^{10}$ TBA[OsNCl $\left.{ }_{4}\right],{ }^{9}$ using slight literature modifications are reported here. The following reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used as received: $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{LiWO}_{4}, \mathrm{TBA}(\mathrm{OH}), \mathrm{TBA}(\mathrm{Br}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$. Acetonitrile was purchased from Fisher Scientific and distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use. All other solvents were purchased as ACS Reagent grade and used as received from the manufacturer. ${ }^{31}$ P NMR (146.21 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Plus and Inova 400 MHz spectrometer or a Bruker AC 300 spectrometer ( 121.5 MHz ) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Compounds were prepared for infrared (FTIR) analysis on a Nicolet Protégé 460 instrument as KBr pellets, unless otherwise stated. Mass spectra, MALDI-ToF/ToF-MS instrument, were recorded on a Bruker Daltonics Ultraflex ToF/ToF. Electrochemical (CV) data were collected in acetonitrile, with sample concentrations of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ and $0.1 \mathrm{M}[T B A] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ as the supporting electrolyte. A standard three-electrode cell was used, comprising a carbon electrode, a polished auxiliary platinum electrode and an aqueous saturated calomel electrode (SCE) equipped with a double junction on a PAR model 273 instrument.

### 4.4.1 $\left(\mathrm{K}_{9} \mathrm{Li}\left[\alpha_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} W_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right] \cdot 20 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{8}$ (4)

A solution of $\mathrm{LiCl}(13.45 \mathrm{~g}, 317 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was acidified with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5$ mL ) and allowed to cool to room temperature. To this solution was added solid $\mathrm{K}_{12}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{48}\right] \cdot 24 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{8}(20 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ with vigorous stirring. A white insoluble solid was filtered away and a $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}$ solution ( $25 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the filtrate over 20 seconds. Immediately after addition was complete, a 1 M HCl solution ( $55 \mathrm{~mL}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over a 3 minute period while carefully maintaining pH between 4 and 5 , followed immediately by a saturated KCl aqueous solution $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. A white precipitate formed and was collected on a glass frit. The product was air-dried under suction filtration for 4 hours
and washed by stirring in ethanol ( 125 mL ) for 15 minutes. The white product was collected and air-dried in an open container for 3 days. Yield $12.7 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (146.21 MHz; in $1 / 1$ mix of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; external reference to $85 \% \sigma-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ): $\delta-8.70$, -13.85 ppm . FT-IR (Nujol): v ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $1621 s, 1120 s, 1085 s, 1012 s, 944 s, 730 s \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.4.2 $\left(K_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-P_{2} W_{17} O_{61}\right] \cdot 15 H_{2} O\right)^{8}(5)$


To a solution of $\mathrm{K}_{6}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right] \cdot 14 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $1 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{KHCO}}^{3}$ ( $\left.47.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.3 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ with vigorous stirring. Upon addition, a white precipitate formed. The mixture was stirred and maintained at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 30 minutes. The white solid was collected and was recrystallized from boiling $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was isolated as white crystals and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 hours. Yield $8.31 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 146.21 MHz ; in $1 / 1 \mathrm{mix}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; external reference to $\sigma$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ): $\delta-7.01,-13.84 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (Nujol): $v(s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $1636 \mathrm{~m}, 1082 \mathrm{~s}$, $1049 m, 1015 m, 939 s, 887 s, 812 s, 740 s, 522 m, 462 w \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.4.3 $\left[\text { Tetra- }{ }^{n} \text { butylammonium Tetrachloronitrido-osmium (VI) }\right]^{9}$ (10)



In a concentrated solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dissolved $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 3.09$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and a solution of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 6.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added. The dark purple-black solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, after which, the product was precipitated as a red solid by addition of a 1 M solution of $[\mathrm{TBA}] \mathrm{OH}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{~mL})$. The red suspension was stirred for another 30 minutes and the solid was collected on a frit and washed with cold diethyl
ether. Yield 0.56 g (37\%). FT-IR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $2964 s, 2876 s, 1625$ $m, 1471 s, 1382 m, 1169 w, 1126 w, 1099 m, 1060 m, 925 m, 884 m, 830 w, 796 w \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.4.5 $\left([T B A]_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-P_{2} W_{17} O_{61}\right]\right)^{10}(11)$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right] \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+10 \mathrm{TBABr} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{TBA}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]
$$

To a solution of $5(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.354 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of TBABr $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The bi-phasic mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 minutes, after which, the layers were separated and the organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Removal of the solvent afforded a white residue which was washed with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) leaving the desired product as white solid. Yield $0.84 \mathrm{~g}(37 \%)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 146.21 MHz ; in $1 / 1$ mix of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; external reference to $\sigma-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ): $\delta-7.20,-13.54 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $2940 s, 1090 s, 1045 s, 1010 s, 958 s, 886 \mathrm{~m}, 805 \mathrm{~s}, 715 \mathrm{scm}^{-1}$.
4.4.6 ([TBA] $\left.]_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-P_{2} W_{17} O_{61}\{O s N\}\right]\right)$ (12)


To a stirred solution of $11(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0759 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $6(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0759 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ with vigorous stirring. The dark purpleblack solution was stirred overnight under argon. After 21 hours, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to leave a dark purple-black solid which was washed with cold diethyl ether and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.43 g ( $96 \%$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 146.21 MHz ; in $1 / 1 \mathrm{mix}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; external reference to $\sigma-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ): $\delta-11.32$, -12.27 , -13.02 ppm . FT-IR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $2956 s, 2872 s, 1645 m, 1474 s, 1380 m, 1169 w, 1109 s h, 1089 m$, $1030 w, 953 s, 915 m, 991 w, 794 s, 737 s h, 628 w \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.4.7 $\left(K_{6}\left[\alpha / \beta-P_{2} W_{18} O_{62}\right] \cdot 10 H_{2} O\right)^{10}$

To a suspension of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1515 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(175 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $85 \%$ $\sigma-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(75 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3860 \mathrm{~mol})$ dropwise over 30 minutes. The pale green solution was stirred and refluxed. After 8 hours, the crude product (mix of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ) was precipitated by the addition of solid $\mathrm{KCl}(50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ and recrystallized from boiling water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ after being cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The product was collected on a fine glass frit where it was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x}$ 25 mL ), $95 \%$ Ethanol ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The white solid was dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 hours. Yield $68 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 146.21 MHz ; $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} / \mathrm{KClO}_{4}$ in $1 / 1 \mathrm{mix}$ of $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta-10.80\left(\beta \mathrm{PW}_{8}\right),-11.57\left(\beta \mathrm{PW}_{9}\right),-12.29(\alpha) \mathrm{ppm}$. FTIR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $1090 s, 1045 m, 1010 m, 930 w, 870 m, 805 s, 750$ $m \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 4.4.8 $\left(\mathrm{K}_{6}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}_{2} W_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right] \cdot 14 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{11}$

To a solution of $\mathrm{K}_{6}\left[\alpha / \beta-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right] \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $\left(125 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ at $\left.80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was added a drop $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ of bromine (to oxidize the small amount of reduced POM which caused the light green solution). To the colorless solution was added a solution of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ ( $200 \mathrm{~mL}, 400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 5 minutes. A white precipitate formed during addition. The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes after which more of the precipitate had formed. The solution was reformed by the addition of a $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(75 \mathrm{~mL}, 900 \mathrm{mmol})$ over 10 minutes. Some insoluble impurities was filtered away through a Celite pad. To the stirred pale yellow solution was added solid $\mathrm{KCl}(50 \mathrm{~g}, 670 \mathrm{mmol})$ and it was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The white crystals obtained were recrystallized from water ( 200 mL ) at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Yield ( 27 g , ( $81 \%$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (146.21 MHz ; in $1 / 1 \mathrm{mix}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta-12.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. FT-IR (Nujol): $v$ ( $s$ strong, $m$ medium, $w$ weak) $1010 s, 880 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1}$ Proust, A., Taunier, S., Artero, V., Robert, F., Thouvenot, R., Gouzerh, P., J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1996, 2195.
${ }^{2}$ Dablemont, C., Hamaker, C.G., Thouvenot, R., Sojka, Z., Che, M., Maatta, E.A., Proust, A., Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 9150.
${ }^{3}$ Dablemont, C., Proust, A., Thouvenot, R., Afonso, C., Fournier, F., Tabet, J.C., Dalton, Trans. 2005, 1831.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Eikey, R.A., Abu-Omar, M.M., Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 243, 83.
${ }^{5}$ (a) Yandulov, D.V., Schrock, R.R., Science 2003, 310, 76.
(b) Schrock, R.R., Chem. Commun. 2003, 2389.
${ }^{6}$ Wu, A., Dehestani, A., Crevier, T.J., Kaminsky, W., Cohen, D.E., Mayer, J.M., Inorganica Chimica Acta, 2006, 359, 2842.
${ }^{7}$ Huynh, M.H.V., White, P.S., John, K.D., Meyer, T.J., Angew. Chem. 2001, 113 (21), 4173.
${ }^{8}$ Contant, R., Klemperer, W.G., Yaghi, O., Inorg. Synth. 1998, 27, 109.
${ }^{9}$ Griffith, W.P., Pawson, D., J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1973, 1315.
${ }^{10}$ Lyon, D.K., Miller, W.K., Novet, T., Domaille, P.J., Evitt, E., Johnson, D.C., Finke, R.G., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7209.
${ }^{11}$ Randall, W.J., Lyon, D.K., Domaille, P.J., Finke, R.G., Khenkin, A.M., Hill, C., Inorg. Synth. 1998, 32, 242.
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5a
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


Figure A-1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a}$.


Figure $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{2}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $5 \mathbf{b}$.


6a
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

Figure A-3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 a}$.


Figure A-4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 7 .


Figure A-5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{8}$.




## $\mathrm{CHCl}_{1}$



Figure A-7: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 14 contaminated with 21.

16
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


Figure A-8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 16 .


Figure A-9: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 16.



Figure A-10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{1 7}$.


Figure A-11: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 17.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


Figure A-12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 8}$.


19 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

Figure A-13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 9}$.


Figure A-14: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 19.

20
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Figure A-15: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 20.


Figure A-16: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 20.


Figure A-17: MALDI-ToF/MS-ToF spectrum of 20.


Figure A-18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 21.


Figure A-19: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of 21.

21 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


Figure A-20: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 21.


Figure A-21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 27.


Figure A-22: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 27.


Figure A-23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) of 28.


Figure A-24: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) of 29.


Figure A-25: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 5}$.


Figure A-26: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 5}$.


Figure A-27: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{4 6}$.


Figure A-28: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 46 .


Figure A-29: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 47 .


Figure A-30: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 7}$.
 47



Figure A-31: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 47 .


Figure A-32: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 47.


Figure A-33: MALDI-ToF/MS-ToF spectrum of 47.


Figure A-34: 2D COSY NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of 47 .


Figure A-35: 2D NOESY NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 47 .


Figure A-36: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 7 .} \mathbf{H C l}$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$


Figure A-37: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of 47.HCl.


51 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$


Figure A-38: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 51 .


Figure A-39: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of 51 .


Figure A-40: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of 52.


Figure A-41: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 52 .


Figure A-42: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 52 .


Figure A-43: 2D COSY NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of 52.


Figure A-44: 2D NOESY NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of 52 .


Figure A-45: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 52.


Figure A-46: UV-Vis spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 52 .


Figure A-47: Cyclic Voltammogram $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 52 .


Figure A-48: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 68 .


Figure A-49: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{R u T P P}(\mathbf{C O})$ [pyridine].


Figure A-50: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{7 0}$.


Figure A-51: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 70 .


Figure A-52: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 70.


Figure A-53: 2D COSY NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{7 0}$.


Figure A-54: 2D NOESY NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{7 0}$.


Figure A-55: UV-Vis spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 70 .


Figure A-56: Cyvlic Voltammogram $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 70 .


Figure A-57: MALDI-ToF/MS-ToF spectrum of 70 showing only the [RuTPP]+ fragment.
(Only detected the $[\text { RuTPP }]^{+}$peak)


Figure A-58: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 71 .


Figure A-59: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\operatorname{spectrum}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 71 .


Figure A-60: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of 71.


Figure A-61: 2D COSY NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{7 1}$.


Figure A-62: 2D NOESY NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\mathbf{7 1}$.


Figure A-63: UV-Vis spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of 71 .


Figure A-64: MALDI-ToF/MS-ToF spectrum of 71.
(Only found $[\text { RuTPP }]^{+}$peak)



Figure A-65: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of 77 .


Figure A-66: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 77.


Figure A-67: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{26}\right]$.


Figure A-68: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}\right]$.

## Appendix B-Chapter 3



Figure B-1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}(\right.$ salen $\left.)\right]$ complex, 8 .


Figure B-2: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum ( $161.83 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; external reference $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ) of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]$.


Figure B-3: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right] \mathbf{1}$.


Figure B-4: FT-IR spectrum ( KBr ) of $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} . \mathrm{THF}_{\mathrm{x}}$ complex, 9.


Figure B-5: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{5}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right]$, 3a. (Stored under argon for 12 months)


Figure B-6: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right], \mathbf{3 b}$. (Orange powder stored in the presence of oxygen and water for 6 months).


Figure B-7: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right], \mathbf{3 b}$.


Figure B-8: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum $\left(161.85 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, externally referenced to $\left.\sigma-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\{\mathrm{CrN}\}\right], 3$.

## Appendix C-Chapter 4



Figure C-1: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{K}_{6}\left[\alpha / \beta-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]$.


Figure C-2: FT-IR ( KBr ) spectrum [TBA][OsNCl $\left.{ }_{4}\right] 10$.


Figure C-3: FT-IR $(\mathrm{KBr})$ spectrum of TBABr .


Figure C-4: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $\mathrm{TBA}_{10}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\right]$.


Figure C-5: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right] 12$.


Figure C-6: FT-IR spectrum $(\mathrm{KBr})$ of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right]$ synthesized from literature methods. (Maatta E.A., Proust. A., Chem.Eur.J., 2006, 12, 9150)


Figure C-7: Voltammogram (Oxidation cycle) of parent POM, TBA ${ }_{6}\left[\alpha-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right]$.
(0.1 M TBABF 4 ; scan rate $=100 \mathrm{~ms} / \mathrm{V}$; Pt electrode vs. SSCE ).


Figure C-8: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{TBA}_{7}\left[\alpha_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{61}\{\mathrm{OsN}\}\right]\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$.

## Appendix D - Crystallographic Data

3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenylimido hexamolybdate


Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for km 0801 m .
Identification code
km0801m
Empirical formula
C43 H80 Mo6 N4 O18
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=33.14^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
1516.75

393(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
P2(1)/n
$a=17.0870(6) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$.
$b=15.9310(6) \AA \quad \beta=109.007(2)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=22.0320(7) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$.
5670.4(3) $\AA^{3}$

4
$1.777 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$1.355 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
3048
$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
2.33 to $33.14^{\circ}$.
$-26<=\mathrm{h}<=26,-24<=\mathrm{k}<=24,-33<=1<=30$
132285
$21607[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0340]$
99.9 \%

None
0.8764 and 0.7282

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
21607/18/625
1.033

Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\mathrm{km} 0801 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 4575(2) | 4323(2) | 1915(2) | 43(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 4406(2) | 5154(2) | 1762(2) | 36(1) |
| C(12) | 4410(2) | 5475(2) | 1170(2) | 33(1) |
| C(13) | 4238(2) | 6321(2) | 1025(2) | 33(1) |
| C(14) | 4056(3) | 6833(3) | 1476(2) | 45(1) |
| C(15) | 4046(3) | 6509(3) | 2058(2) | 49(1) |
| C(16) | 4221(3) | 5681(3) | 2204(2) | 45(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 3598(3) | 7648(3) | -463(2) | 55(1) |
| C(22) | 3669(3) | 7304(3) | 104(2) | 47(1) |
| C(23) | 4224(2) | 6670(2) | 397(2) | 34(1) |
| C(24) | 4746(2) | 6387(2) | 71(2) | 37(1) |
| C(25) | 4687(2) | 6738(3) | -517(2) | 41(1) |
| C(26) | 4111(3) | 7356(3) | -762(2) | 47(1) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 4783(1) | 3360(1) | 2314(1) | 36(1) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 4781(1) | 1402(1) | 1949(1) | 47(1) |
| Mo(3) | 6452(1) | 2292(1) | 2968(1) | 37(1) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 5334(1) | 2959(1) | 3843(1) | 35(1) |
| Mo(5) | 3680(1) | 2068(1) | 2839(1) | 43(1) |
| Mo(6) | 5360(1) | 949(1) | 3486(1) | 34(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5062(1) | 2204(1) | 2890(1) | 29(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 4620(3) | 863(2) | 1263(2) | 68(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 7469(2) | 2363(2) | 3046(2) | 52(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 5521(2) | 3465(2) | 4549(1) | 51(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2671(2) | 1966(3) | 2767(2) | 67(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 5545(2) | 38(2) | 3904(1) | 48(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 4662(2) | 2566(2) | 1652(1) | 41(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 5987(2) | 3280(2) | 2525(1) | 37(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 5032(2) | 3788(2) | 3214(1) | 39(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 3707(2) | 3066(2) | 2352(1) | 43(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 5999(2) | 1663(2) | 2211(1) | 43(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 6394(2) | 2868(2) | 3752(1) | 36(1) |


| $\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 4138(2) | 2680(2) | 3597(1) | 41(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 3741(2) | 1480(2) | 2050(1) | 47(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 5107(2) | 578(2) | 2604(1) | 46(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 6407(2) | 1279(2) | 3442(1) | 39(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 5464(2) | 1776(2) | 4118(1) | 35(1) |
| O(56) | 4173(2) | 1071(2) | 3274(1) | 42(1) |
| N11 | 6760(2) | 1010(2) | 5985(2) | 42(1) |
| C111 | 7099(3) | 805(3) | 6704(2) | 50(1) |
| C121 | 6502(3) | 904(3) | 7076(2) | 49(1) |
| C131 | 6914(4) | 573(3) | 7761(2) | 65(2) |
| C141 | 6491(6) | 793(5) | 8210(3) | 99(3) |
| C211 | 6183(2) | 1752(3) | 5857(2) | 46(1) |
| C221 | 6583(3) | 2582(3) | 6133(2) | 54(1) |
| C231 | 5941(4) | 3235(3) | 6122(2) | 64(1) |
| C241 | 6319(5) | 4093(4) | 6338(3) | 88(2) |
| C311 | 7509(2) | 1187(3) | 5780(2) | 51(1) |
| C321 | 7309(2) | 1371(3) | 5068(2) | 51(1) |
| C331 | 8065(3) | 1730(4) | 4926(3) | 62(1) |
| C341 | 8220(3) | 2648(4) | 5130(3) | 73(2) |
| C411 | 6259(2) | 274(3) | 5618(2) | 45(1) |
| C421 | 6720(3) | -531(3) | 5590(2) | 52(1) |
| C431 | 6099(3) | -1197(3) | 5225(2) | 51(1) |
| C441 | 6509(4) | -2008(4) | 5140(3) | 80(2) |
| N22 | 7715(3) | 10452(3) | 1663(2) | 71(1) |
| C112 | 8152(4) | 10041(4) | 2300(3) | 68(2) |
| C122 | 7759(3) | 10155(3) | 2822(2) | 59(1) |
| C132 | 8201(3) | 9634(4) | 3399(3) | 61(1) |
| C142 | 7836(4) | 9702(4) | 3940(3) | 63(1) |
| C212 | 7751(3) | 11401(3) | 1735(3) | 62(1) |
| C222 | 8592(4) | 11813(4) | 1939(4) | 83(2) |
| C232 | 8502(4) | 12768(4) | 1930(4) | 79(2) |
| C242 | 9310(5) | 13243(5) | 2072(5) | 113(3) |
| C312 | 8162(5) | 10161(5) | 1201(3) | 99(3) |
| C32A2 | 7849(6) | 10554(6) | 536(4) | 116(3) |
| C33A2 | 8164(7) | 10090(7) | 47(5) | 84(3) |
| C34A2 | 9073(8) | 10457(9) | 270(7) | 99(3) |


| C32B2 | $7849(6)$ | $10554(6)$ | $536(4)$ | $116(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C33B2 | $8559(12)$ | $10446(13)$ | $200(8)$ | $84(3)$ |
| C34B2 | $8437(14)$ | $10859(14)$ | $-411(9)$ | $99(3)$ |
| C412 | $6818(4)$ | $10213(4)$ | $1416(3)$ | $80(2)$ |
| C422 | $6627(6)$ | $9290(5)$ | $1319(5)$ | $114(3)$ |
| C43A2 | $5693(7)$ | $9129(8)$ | $1144(6)$ | $151(4)$ |
| C44A2 | $5492(14)$ | $8244(11)$ | $1085(11)$ | $139(5)$ |
| C43B2 | $5693(7)$ | $9129(8)$ | $1144(6)$ | $151(4)$ |
| C44B2 | $5153(12)$ | $9329(15)$ | $439(8)$ | $139(5)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for km0801m.

| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.374(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 1.746 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.398(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.403(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.394(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.397(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.485(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.387(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.367(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.333(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.340 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.393(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.389(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.385(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.373(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 1.889(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 1.926(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 1.960(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $2.006(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.199(2) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.681(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 1.865(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 1.895(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $1.955(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 2.014(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.348(2) |


| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.693(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 1.883(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 1.886(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 1.937(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 1.987(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.329(2) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 1.687(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1.862(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 1.890(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 1.971(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 1.986(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.332(2) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.688(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 1.872(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 1.904(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 1.927(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 2.006 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.336(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.693(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 1.883(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 1.896(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 1.938(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 1.940(3) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.354(2) |
| N11-C211 | 1.508(6) |
| N11-C311 | 1.516(5) |
| N11-C411 | 1.519(5) |
| N11-C111 | 1.536(5) |
| C111-C121 | 1.508(7) |
| C111-H11A1 | 0.9700 |
| C111-H11B1 | 0.9700 |
| C121-C131 | 1.538(6) |
| C121-H12A1 | 0.9700 |
| C121-H12B1 | 0.9700 |
| C131-C141 | 1.446(9) |
| C131-H13A1 | 0.9700 |


| C131-H13B1 | 0.9700 |
| :---: | :---: |
| C141-H14A1 | 0.9600 |
| C141-H14B1 | 0.9600 |
| C141-H14C1 | 0.9600 |
| C211-C221 | 1.522(6) |
| C211-H21A1 | 0.9700 |
| C211-H21B1 | 0.9700 |
| C221-C231 | 1.507(8) |
| C221-H22A1 | 0.9700 |
| C221-H22B1 | 0.9700 |
| C231-C241 | 1.521(8) |
| C231-H23A1 | 0.9700 |
| C231-H23B1 | 0.9700 |
| C241-H24A1 | 0.9600 |
| C241-H24B1 | 0.9600 |
| C241-H24C1 | 0.9600 |
| C311-C321 | 1.521(6) |
| C311-H31A1 | 0.9700 |
| C311-H31B1 | 0.9700 |
| C321-C331 | 1.536(6) |
| C321-H32A1 | 0.9700 |
| C321-H32B1 | 0.9700 |
| C331-C341 | 1.527(9) |
| C331-H33A1 | 0.9700 |
| C331-H33B1 | 0.9700 |
| C341-H34A1 | 0.9600 |
| C341-H34B1 | 0.9600 |
| C341-H34C1 | 0.9600 |
| C411-C421 | 1.517(7) |
| C411-H41A1 | 0.9700 |
| C411-H41B1 | 0.9700 |
| C421-C431 | 1.530(6) |
| C421-H42A1 | 0.9700 |
| C421-H42B1 | 0.9700 |
| C431-C441 | 1.510(8) |
| C431-H43A1 | 0.9700 |


| C431-H43B1 | 0.9700 |
| :---: | :---: |
| C441-H44A1 | 0.9600 |
| C441-H44B1 | 0.9600 |
| C441-H44C1 | 0.9600 |
| N22-C412 | 1.500(9) |
| N22-C112 | 1.508(6) |
| N22-C212 | 1.518(7) |
| N22-C312 | 1.531(7) |
| C112-C122 | 1.520(7) |
| C112-H11A2 | 0.9700 |
| C112-H11B2 | 0.9700 |
| C122-C132 | 1.500(6) |
| C122-H12A2 | 0.9700 |
| C122-H12B2 | 0.9700 |
| C132-C142 | 1.519(7) |
| C132-H13A2 | 0.9700 |
| C132-H13B2 | 0.9700 |
| C142-H14A2 | 0.9600 |
| C142-H14B2 | 0.9600 |
| C142-H14C2 | 0.9600 |
| C212-C222 | 1.509(9) |
| C212-H21A2 | 0.9700 |
| C212-H21B2 | 0.9700 |
| C222-C232 | 1.530(9) |
| C222-H22A2 | 0.9700 |
| C222-H22B2 | 0.9700 |
| C232-C242 | 1.515(10) |
| C232-H23A2 | 0.9700 |
| C232-H23B2 | 0.9700 |
| C242-H24A2 | 0.9600 |
| C242-H24B2 | 0.9600 |
| C242-H24C2 | 0.9600 |
| C312-C32A2 | 1.520 (9) |
| C312-H31A2 | 0.9700 |
| C312-H31B2 | 0.9700 |
| C32A2-C33A2 | 1.541(11) |


| C32A2-H32A2 | 0.9700 |
| :---: | :---: |
| C32A2-H32B2 | 0.9700 |
| C33A2-C34A2 | 1.580(13) |
| C33A2-H33A2 | 0.9700 |
| C33A2-H33B2 | 0.9700 |
| C34A2-H34A2 | 0.9600 |
| C34A2-H34B2 | 0.9600 |
| C34A2-H34C2 | 0.9600 |
| C33B2-C34B2 | 1.452(16) |
| C33B2-H33C2 | 0.9700 |
| C33B2-H33D2 | 0.9700 |
| C34B2-H34D2 | 0.9600 |
| C34B2-H34E2 | 0.9600 |
| C34B2-H34F2 | 0.9600 |
| C412-C422 | 1.507(10) |
| C412-H41A2 | 0.9700 |
| C412-H41B2 | 0.9700 |
| C422-C43A2 | 1.536(10) |
| C422-H42A2 | 0.9700 |
| C422-H42B2 | 0.9700 |
| C43A2-C44A2 | 1.446(15) |
| C43A2-H43A2 | 0.9700 |
| C43A2-H43B2 | 0.9700 |
| C44A2-H44A2 | 0.9600 |
| C44A2-H44B2 | 0.9600 |
| C44A2-H44C2 | 0.9600 |
| C44B2-H44D2 | 0.9600 |
| C44B2-H44E2 | 0.9600 |
| C44B2-H44F2 | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 164.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 119.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 121.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 119.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |


| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 118.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 120.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 120.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 120.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 120.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 119.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 116.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 124.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 117.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 117.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 116.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 122.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 120.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 119.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 118.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 123.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 118.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 118.1 |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 104.26(14) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 101.21(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 90.30(13) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 101.70(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 88.99(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 156.52(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 98.12(14) |


| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 157.59(11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 86.43(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 85.34(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 175.32(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 80.42(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 78.57(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 78.18(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.20 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 105.02(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 104.58(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 90.59(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 102.48(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 88.74(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 152.13(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 102.35(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 152.55(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 84.49(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 83.37(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 176.62(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.69(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.24(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 75.41(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 74.88(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 103.75(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 104.03(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 90.17(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 102.74(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 87.55(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 152.90(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 102.78(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 153.32(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 86.10(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 83.96(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 178.45(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.71(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.38(9) |


| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.75(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 75.73(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 105.70(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 103.52(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 91.03(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 101.84(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 152.11(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 86.76(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 103.16(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 86.83(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 152.80(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 82.70(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 177.34(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.71(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.42(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 75.68(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 75.70(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 104.49(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 103.74(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 89.85(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 103.64(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 89.27(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 151.93(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 102.39(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 153.10(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 84.71(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)$ | 83.49(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 177.58(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.67(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 77.24(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 75.17(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 75.43(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 103.92(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 104.67(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)$ | 88.87(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 102.23(13) |


| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 86.98(12) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)$ | 153.00(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 103.04(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 152.95(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 86.53(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 85.15(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 178.31(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.70(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.88(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.20(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 76.29(10) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 90.92(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 91.69(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 90.15(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 91.38(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 177.70(11) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 89.64(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 90.12(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 90.49(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 178.07(10) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 89.65(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 178.70(12) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 88.84(7) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 89.59(7) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 88.86(7) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 88.60(8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 113.83(12) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 114.21(12) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 114.36(13) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 114.87(13) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 116.90(14) |
| Mo (4)-O(34)-Mo(3) | 116.64(12) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 117.00(13) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 117.07(13) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 117.78(15) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 117.50(13) |


| $\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 117.86(12) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 117.44(12) |
| C211-N11-C311 | 111.3(3) |
| C211-N11-C411 | 106.6(3) |
| C311-N11-C411 | 111.7(3) |
| C211-N11-C111 | 111.3(3) |
| C311-N11-C111 | 106.1(3) |
| C411-N11-C111 | 110.0(3) |
| C121-C111-N11 | 116.3(3) |
| C121-C111-H11A1 | 108.2 |
| N11-C111-H11A1 | 108.2 |
| C121-C111-H11B1 | 108.2 |
| N11-C111-H11B1 | 108.2 |
| H11A1-C111-H11B1 | 107.4 |
| C111-C121-C131 | 108.8(4) |
| C111-C121-H12A1 | 109.9 |
| C131-C121-H12A1 | 109.9 |
| C111-C121-H12B1 | 109.9 |
| C131-C121-H12B1 | 109.9 |
| H12A1-C121-H12B1 | 108.3 |
| C141-C131-C121 | 114.7(6) |
| C141-C131-H13A1 | 108.6 |
| C121-C131-H13A1 | 108.6 |
| C141-C131-H13B1 | 108.6 |
| C121-C131-H13B1 | 108.6 |
| H13A1-C131-H13B1 | 107.6 |
| C131-C141-H14A1 | 109.5 |
| C131-C141-H14B1 | 109.5 |
| H14A1-C141-H14B1 | 109.5 |
| C131-C141-H14C1 | 109.5 |
| H14A1-C141-H14C1 | 109.5 |
| H14B1-C141-H14C1 | 109.5 |
| N11-C211-C221 | 115.2(3) |
| N11-C211-H21A1 | 108.5 |
| C221-C211-H21A1 | 108.5 |
| N11-C211-H21B1 | 108.5 |


| C221-C211-H21B1 | 108.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| H21A1-C211-H21B1 | 107.5 |
| C231-C221-C211 | 111.2(4) |
| C231-C221-H22A1 | 109.4 |
| C211-C221-H22A1 | 109.4 |
| C231-C221-H22B1 | 109.4 |
| C211-C221-H22B1 | 109.4 |
| H22A1-C221-H22B1 | 108.0 |
| C221-C231-C241 | 112.4(5) |
| C221-C231-H23A1 | 109.1 |
| C241-C231-H23A1 | 109.1 |
| C221-C231-H23B1 | 109.1 |
| C241-C231-H23B1 | 109.1 |
| H23A1-C231-H23B1 | 107.8 |
| C231-C241-H24A1 | 109.5 |
| C231-C241-H24B1 | 109.5 |
| H24A1-C241-H24B1 | 109.5 |
| C231-C241-H24C1 | 109.5 |
| H24A1-C241-H24C1 | 109.5 |
| H24B1-C241-H24C1 | 109.5 |
| N11-C311-C321 | 114.5(3) |
| N11-C311-H31A1 | 108.6 |
| C321-C311-H31A1 | 108.6 |
| N11-C311-H31B1 | 108.6 |
| C321-C311-H31B1 | 108.6 |
| H31A1-C311-H31B1 | 107.6 |
| C311-C321-C331 | 111.1(3) |
| C311-C321-H32A1 | 109.4 |
| C331-C321-H32A1 | 109.4 |
| C311-C321-H32B1 | 109.4 |
| C331-C321-H32B1 | 109.4 |
| H32A1-C321-H32B1 | 108.0 |
| C341-C331-C321 | 111.9(5) |
| C341-C331-H33A1 | 109.2 |
| C321-C331-H33A1 | 109.2 |
| C341-C331-H33B1 | 109.2 |


| C321-C331-H33B1 | 109.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| H33A1-C331-H33B1 | 107.9 |
| C331-C341-H34A1 | 109.5 |
| C331-C341-H34B1 | 109.5 |
| H34A1-C341-H34B1 | 109.5 |
| C331-C341-H34C1 | 109.5 |
| H34A1-C341-H34C1 | 109.5 |
| H34B1-C341-H34C1 | 109.5 |
| C421-C411-N11 | 117.6(3) |
| C421-C411-H41A1 | 107.9 |
| N11-C411-H41A1 | 107.9 |
| C421-C411-H41B1 | 107.9 |
| N11-C411-H41B1 | 107.9 |
| H41A1-C411-H41B1 | 107.2 |
| C411-C421-C431 | 109.1(3) |
| C411-C421-H42A1 | 109.9 |
| C431-C421-H42A1 | 109.9 |
| C411-C421-H42B1 | 109.9 |
| C431-C421-H42B1 | 109.9 |
| H42A1-C421-H42B1 | 108.3 |
| C441-C431-C421 | 112.9(4) |
| C441-C431-H43A1 | 109.0 |
| C421-C431-H43A1 | 109.0 |
| C441-C431-H43B1 | 109.0 |
| C421-C431-H43B1 | 109.0 |
| H43A1-C431-H43B1 | 107.8 |
| C431-C441-H44A1 | 109.5 |
| C431-C441-H44B1 | 109.5 |
| H44A1-C441-H44B1 | 109.5 |
| C431-C441-H44C1 | 109.5 |
| H44A1-C441-H44C1 | 109.5 |
| H44B1-C441-H44C1 | 109.5 |
| C412-N22-C112 | 111.3(5) |
| C412-N22-C212 | 107.0(4) |
| C112-N22-C212 | 110.1(5) |
| C412-N22-C312 | 110.7(6) |


| C112-N22-C312 | 106.6(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C212-N22-C312 | 111.1(5) |
| N22-C112-C122 | 116.5(4) |
| N22-C112-H11A2 | 108.2 |
| C122-C112-H11A2 | 108.2 |
| N22-C112-H11B2 | 108.2 |
| C122-C112-H11B2 | 108.2 |
| H11A2-C112-H11B2 | 107.3 |
| C132-C122-C112 | 110.5(4) |
| C132-C122-H12A2 | 109.5 |
| C112-C122-H12A2 | 109.5 |
| C132-C122-H12B2 | 109.5 |
| C112-C122-H12B2 | 109.5 |
| H12A2-C122-H12B2 | 108.1 |
| C122-C132-C142 | 113.8(4) |
| C122-C132-H13A2 | 108.8 |
| C142-C132-H13A2 | 108.8 |
| C122-C132-H13B2 | 108.8 |
| C142-C132-H13B2 | 108.8 |
| H13A2-C132-H13B2 | 107.7 |
| C132-C142-H14A2 | 109.5 |
| C132-C142-H14B2 | 109.5 |
| H14A2-C142-H14B2 | 109.5 |
| C132-C142-H14C2 | 109.5 |
| H14A2-C142-H14C2 | 109.5 |
| H14B2-C142-H14C2 | 109.5 |
| C222-C212-N22 | 117.8(4) |
| C222-C212-H21A2 | 107.9 |
| N22-C212-H21A2 | 107.9 |
| C222-C212-H21B2 | 107.9 |
| N22-C212-H21B2 | 107.9 |
| H21A2-C212-H21B2 | 107.2 |
| C212-C222-C232 | 110.3(4) |
| C212-C222-H22A2 | 109.6 |
| C232-C222-H22A2 | 109.6 |
| C212-C222-H22B2 | 109.6 |


| C232-C222-H22B2 | 109.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| H22A2-C222-H22B2 | 108.1 |
| C242-C232-C222 | 114.4(5) |
| C242-C232-H23A2 | 108.7 |
| C222-C232-H23A2 | 108.7 |
| C242-C232-H23B2 | 108.7 |
| C222-C232-H23B2 | 108.7 |
| H23A2-C232-H23B2 | 107.6 |
| C232-C242-H24A2 | 109.5 |
| C232-C242-H24B2 | 109.5 |
| H24A2-C242-H24B2 | 109.5 |
| C232-C242-H24C2 | 109.5 |
| H24A2-C242-H24C2 | 109.5 |
| H24B2-C242-H24C2 | 109.5 |
| C32A2-C312-N22 | 114.9(5) |
| C32A2-C312-H31A2 | 108.5 |
| N22-C312-H31A2 | 108.5 |
| C32A2-C312-H31B2 | 108.5 |
| N22-C312-H31B2 | 108.5 |
| H31A2-C312-H31B2 | 107.5 |
| C312-C32A2-C33A2 | 112.5(7) |
| C312-C32A2-H32A2 | 109.1 |
| C33A2-C32A2-H32A2 | 109.1 |
| C312-C32A2-H32B2 | 109.1 |
| C33A2-C32A2-H32B2 | 109.1 |
| H32A2-C32A2-H32B2 | 107.8 |
| C32A2-C33A2-C34A2 | 97.9(9) |
| C32A2-C33A2-H33A2 | 112.2 |
| C34A2-C33A2-H33A2 | 112.2 |
| C32A2-C33A2-H33B2 | 112.2 |
| C34A2-C33A2-H33B2 | 112.2 |
| H33A2-C33A2-H33B2 | 109.8 |
| C33A2-C34A2-H34A2 | 109.5 |
| C33A2-C34A2-H34B2 | 109.5 |
| H34A2-C34A2-H34B2 | 109.5 |
| C33A2-C34A2-H34C2 | 109.5 |


| H34A2-C34A2-H34C2 | 109.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| H34B2-C34A2-H34C2 | 109.5 |
| C34B2-C33B2-H33C2 | 107.8 |
| C34B2-C33B2-H33D2 | 107.8 |
| H33C2-C33B2-H33D2 | 107.1 |
| C33B2-C34B2-H34D2 | 109.5 |
| C33B2-C34B2-H34E2 | 109.5 |
| H34D2-C34B2-H34E2 | 109.5 |
| C33B2-C34B2-H34F2 | 109.5 |
| H34D2-C34B2-H34F2 | 109.5 |
| H34E2-C34B2-H34F2 | 109.5 |
| N22-C412-C422 | 116.6(5) |
| N22-C412-H41A2 | 108.1 |
| C422-C412-H41A2 | 108.1 |
| N22-C412-H41B2 | 108.1 |
| C422-C412-H41B2 | 108.1 |
| H41A2-C412-H41B2 | 107.3 |
| C412-C422-C43A2 | 110.9(7) |
| C412-C422-H42A2 | 109.4 |
| C43A2-C422-H42A2 | 109.4 |
| C412-C422-H42B2 | 109.4 |
| C43A2-C422-H42B2 | 109.4 |
| H42A2-C422-H42B2 | 108.0 |
| C44A2-C43A2-C422 | 112.5(12) |
| C44A2-C43A2-H43A2 | 109.1 |
| C422-C43A2-H43A2 | 109.1 |
| C44A2-C43A2-H43B2 | 109.1 |
| C422-C43A2-H43B2 | 109.1 |
| H43A2-C43A2-H43B2 | 107.8 |
| C43A2-C44A2-H44A2 | 109.5 |
| C43A2-C44A2-H44B2 | 109.5 |
| H44A2-C44A2-H44B2 | 109.5 |
| C43A2-C44A2-H44C2 | 109.5 |
| H44A2-C44A2-H44C2 | 109.5 |
| H44B2-C44A2-H44C2 | 109.5 |
| H44D2-C44B2-H44E2 | 109.5 |


| H44D2-C44B2-H44F2 | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| H44E2-C44B2-H44F2 | 109.5 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for km 0801 m . The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U^{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N(11) | 45(2) | 43(2) | 38(2) | 16(1) | 11(1) | 2(1) |
| C(11) | 37(2) | 40(2) | 32(2) | 9(1) | 11(1) | 3(1) |
| C(12) | 36(2) | 34(2) | 30(2) | 7(1) | 12(1) | 4(1) |
| C(13) | 36(2) | 35(2) | 30(2) | 5(1) | 13(1) | 4(1) |
| C(14) | 55(2) | 42(2) | 41(2) | 2(2) | 21(2) | 11(2) |
| C(15) | 56(2) | 59(2) | 37(2) | -3(2) | 23(2) | 12(2) |
| C(16) | 46(2) | 61(2) | 30(2) | 7(2) | 16(2) | 7(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 64(2) | 55(2) | 50(2) | 23(2) | 24(2) | 22(2) |
| C(22) | 57(2) | 43(2) | 47(2) | 15(2) | 27(2) | 17(2) |
| C(23) | 40(2) | 31(1) | 32(2) | 7(1) | 13(1) | 3(1) |
| C(24) | 37(2) | 40(2) | 36(2) | 9(1) | 15(1) | $6(1)$ |
| C(25) | 42(2) | 49(2) | 35(2) | 7(2) | 16(2) | 4(2) |
| C(26) | 55(2) | 53(2) | 37(2) | 17(2) | 19(2) | 6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 37(1) | 38(1) | 30(1) | 13(1) | 7(1) | -1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 65(1) | 44(1) | 29(1) | 1(1) | 12(1) | -9(1) |
| Mo(3) | 30(1) | 38(1) | 47(1) | 9(1) | 18(1) | 1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 39(1) | 38(1) | 26(1) | 3(1) | 9(1) | -1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 24(1) | 56(1) | 43(1) | 18(1) | 3(1) | -5(1) |
| Mo(6) | 34(1) | 33(1) | 31(1) | 10(1) | 6(1) | -3(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 28(1) | 32(1) | 24(1) | 7(1) | 7(1) | -1(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 108(3) | 57(2) | 37(2) | -8(1) | 21(2) | -17(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 36(1) | 57(2) | $71(2)$ | 10(2) | 27(1) | 1(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 64(2) | 53(2) | 34(1) | -5(1) | 16(1) | -2(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 27(1) | 99(3) | 68(2) | 31(2) | 7(1) | -7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 51(2) | 40(1) | 47(2) | 16(1) | 10(1) | -1(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 51(2) | 45(1) | 25(1) | 8(1) | 10(1) | -5(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 36(1) | 37(1) | 41(1) | 10(1) | 15(1) | -4(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 43(1) | 34(1) | 38(1) | 5(1) | 11(1) | 4(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 30(1) | 55(2) | 38(1) | 18(1) | 3(1) | 4(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 56(2) | 40(1) | 42(1) | 6(1) | 26(1) | 4(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 27(1) | 42(1) | 35(1) | 5(1) | 3(1) | -4(1) |


| $\mathrm{O}(45)$ | 33(1) | 56(2) | 37(1) | 12(1) | 15(1) | 7(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(52) | 46(2) | 51(2) | 34(1) | 9(1) | -2(1) | -16(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 63(2) | 35(1) | 39(1) | 3(1) | 12(1) | -5(1) |
| O(36) | 34(1) | 39(1) | 45(1) | 13(1) | 13(1) | 6(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)$ | 31(1) | 45(1) | 26(1) | 10(1) | 6 (1) | $0(1)$ |
| O(56) | 35(1) | 49(2) | 35(1) | 14(1) | 5(1) | -13(1) |
| N11 | 30(1) | 60(2) | 31(1) | 5(1) | 1(1) | -15(1) |
| C111 | 42(2) | 66(3) | 30(2) | 11(2) | -5(1) | -23(2) |
| C121 | 67(3) | 40(2) | 33(2) | -1(1) | 9 (2) | -17(2) |
| C131 | 104(4) | 48(2) | 35(2) | 4(2) | 13(2) | -20(3) |
| C141 | 162(8) | 76(4) | 59(4) | -2(3) | 37(4) | 14(5) |
| C211 | 40(2) | 62(2) | 28(2) | 3(2) | 1(1) | -14(2) |
| C221 | $66(3)$ | 59(3) | 33(2) | 7(2) | 10(2) | -19(2) |
| C231 | 93(4) | 65(3) | 31(2) | -1(2) | 18(2) | -14(3) |
| C241 | 156(7) | 63(3) | 68(4) | -4(3) | 70(4) | -24(4) |
| C311 | 27(2) | $72(3)$ | 44(2) | 16(2) | 1(1) | -16(2) |
| C321 | 30(2) | $79(3)$ | 41(2) | 11(2) | 8(2) | -9(2) |
| C331 | 38(2) | 83(3) | 66(3) | 19(3) | 18(2) | -10(2) |
| C341 | 53(3) | 90(4) | 63(3) | 31(3) | 1(2) | -21(3) |
| C411 | 30(2) | 64(2) | 36(2) | -3(2) | 6 (1) | -14(2) |
| C421 | 35(2) | 69(3) | 52(2) | 4(2) | 14(2) | -7(2) |
| C431 | 48(2) | 64(3) | 44(2) | 1(2) | 20(2) | -10(2) |
| C441 | 87(4) | 81(4) | 85(4) | -14(3) | 45(4) | -1(3) |
| N22 | $89(3)$ | 69(3) | 65(3) | 22(2) | 41(2) | 48(2) |
| C112 | 82(4) | 66(3) | 65(3) | 26(2) | 37(3) | 41(3) |
| C122 | 64(3) | 53(2) | 62(3) | 20(2) | 25(2) | 24(2) |
| C132 | 58(3) | 65(3) | 62(3) | 21(2) | 22(2) | 21(2) |
| C142 | 69(3) | 61(3) | 59(3) | 13(2) | 20(2) | 17(2) |
| C212 | $76(3)$ | 59(3) | 64(3) | 25(2) | 40(3) | 41(2) |
| C222 | $70(4)$ | 83(4) | 108(5) | 40(4) | 46(4) | 36(3) |
| C232 | 72(4) | 79(4) | 98(5) | 40(3) | 46(3) | 27(3) |
| C242 | 87(5) | 91(5) | 165(9) | 49(6) | 47(6) | 10(4) |
| C312 | 143(6) | 100(5) | 75(4) | 32(4) | 63(4) | 84(5) |
| C412 | 106(5) | 71(4) | 63(3) | 2(3) | 30(3) | 37(3) |
| C422 | 140(8) | 89(5) | 116(7) | -16(5) | 44(6) | 37(5) |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for km 0801 m .

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(12A) | 4528 | 5123 | 874 | 39 |
| H(14A) | 3939 | 7398 | 1385 | 54 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3921 | 6858 | 2352 | 58 |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4217 | 5469 | 2596 | 54 |
| H(22A) | 3321 | 7503 | 321 | 56 |
| H(24A) | 5132 | 5967 | 247 | 44 |
| H(25A) | 5031 | 6557 | -743 | 49 |
| $\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4075 | 7586 | -1158 | 56 |
| H11A1 | 7295 | 230 | 6752 | 60 |
| H11B1 | 7573 | 1163 | 6900 | 60 |
| H12A1 | 5999 | 592 | 6867 | 59 |
| H12B1 | 6358 | 1491 | 7089 | 59 |
| H13A1 | 6949 | -34 | 7744 | 78 |
| H13B1 | 7475 | 788 | 7922 | 78 |
| H14A1 | 6785 | 562 | 8624 | 148 |
| H14B1 | 5939 | 570 | 8062 | 148 |
| H14C1 | 6467 | 1393 | 8242 | 148 |
| H21A1 | 5743 | 1633 | 6033 | 55 |
| H21B1 | 5931 | 1815 | 5396 | 55 |
| H22A1 | 6941 | 2497 | 6571 | 65 |
| H22B1 | 6922 | 2781 | 5885 | 65 |
| H23A1 | 5635 | 3056 | 6402 | 76 |
| H23B1 | 5552 | 3279 | 5690 | 76 |
| H24A1 | 5887 | 4488 | 6321 | 131 |
| H24B1 | 6616 | 4276 | 6060 | 131 |
| H24C1 | 6692 | 4056 | 6771 | 131 |
| H31A1 | 7876 | 705 | 5889 | 61 |
| H31B1 | 7805 | 1663 | 6022 | 61 |
| H32A1 | 6856 | 1771 | 4933 | 61 |
| H32B1 | 7132 | 858 | 4824 | 61 |


| H33A1 | 8550 | 1401 | 5153 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H33B1 | 7980 | 1684 | 4470 | 75 |
| H34A1 | 8696 | 2850 | 5034 | 109 |
| H34B1 | 8316 | 2694 | 5583 | 109 |
| H34C1 | 7745 | 2977 | 4901 | 109 |
| H41A1 | 5835 | 144 | 5808 | 53 |
| H41B1 | 5979 | 457 | 5181 | 53 |
| H42A1 | 7013 | -726 | 6021 | 62 |
| H42B1 | 7123 | -429 | 5373 | 62 |
| H43A1 | 5715 | -1310 | 5456 | 61 |
| H43B1 | 5784 | -978 | 4806 | 61 |
| H44A1 | 6093 | -2403 | 4910 | 120 |
| H44B1 | 6812 | -2235 | 5554 | 120 |
| H44C1 | 6880 | -1903 | 4903 | 120 |
| H11A2 | 8712 | 10257 | 2459 | 81 |
| H11B2 | 8189 | 9444 | 2227 | 81 |
| H12A2 | 7783 | 10742 | 2944 | 70 |
| H12B2 | 7181 | 9990 | 2660 | 70 |
| H13A2 | 8776 | 9806 | 3559 | 73 |
| H13B2 | 8186 | 9051 | 3270 | 73 |
| H14A2 | 8153 | 9364 | 4296 | 94 |
| H14B2 | 7273 | 9509 | 3793 | 94 |
| H14C2 | 7850 | 10277 | 4074 | 94 |
| H21A2 | 7425 | 11643 | 1327 | 75 |
| H21B2 | 7483 | 11551 | 2046 | 75 |
| H22A2 | 8887 | 11646 | 1649 | 100 |
| H22B2 | 8911 | 11628 | 2368 | 100 |
| H23A2 | 8135 | 12938 | 1511 | 95 |
| H23B2 | 8242 | 12928 | 2244 | 95 |
| H24A2 | 9202 | 13836 | 2050 | 169 |
| H24B2 | 9570 | 13095 | 1761 | 169 |
| H24C2 | 9669 | 13100 | 2494 | 169 |
| H31A2 | 8746 | 10288 | 1390 | 119 |
| H31B2 | 8108 | 9556 | 1155 | 119 |
| H32A2 | 8027 | 11135 | 564 | 139 |
| H32B2 | 7248 | 10548 | 385 | 139 |


| H33A2 | 7856 | 10242 | -392 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H33B2 | 8158 | 9486 | 97 | 100 |
| H34A2 | 9364 | 10247 | -6 | 149 |
| H34B2 | 9358 | 10287 | 704 | 149 |
| H34C2 | 9050 | 11058 | 246 | 149 |
| H32C2 | 7730 | 11145 | 570 | 139 |
| H32D2 | 7343 | 10278 | 279 | 139 |
| H33C2 | 9077 | 10648 | 500 | 100 |
| H33D2 | 8627 | 9850 | 141 | 100 |
| H34D2 | 8956 | 10890 | -492 | 149 |
| H34E2 | 8228 | 11416 | -398 | 149 |
| H34F2 | 8048 | 10545 | -747 | 149 |
| H41A2 | 6565 | 10496 | 1009 | 95 |
| H41B2 | 6554 | 10426 | 1714 | 95 |
| H42A2 | 6920 | 8987 | 1709 | 137 |
| H42B2 | 6819 | 9081 | 978 | 137 |
| H43A2 | 5498 | 9372 | 1472 | 182 |
| H43B2 | 5407 | 9406 | 740 | 182 |
| H44A2 | 4911 | 8173 | 1012 | 209 |
| H44B2 | 5801 | 7961 | 1474 | 209 |
| H44C2 | 5631 | 8012 | 731 | 209 |
| H43C2 | 5488 | 9460 | 1429 | 182 |
| H43D2 | 5614 | 8542 | 1227 | 182 |
| H44D2 | 4612 | 9089 | 353 | 209 |
| H44E2 | 5409 | 9096 | 148 | 209 |
| H44F2 | 5105 | 9927 | 381 | 209 |

Table 6. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for km0801m.

| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -8.0(13) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 172.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -179.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 0.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -0.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -178.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 178.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -0.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -179.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -0.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -0.7(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 0.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -178.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -34.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 147.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 145.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | -32.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | -0.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 179.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 0.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 0.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 0.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 167.9(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 74.7(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | -100.2(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | -13.3(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -12(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -92.7(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 87.26(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 179.53(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -3.72(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -91.57(10) |


| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -2.5(16) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 177.44(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -90.29(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 86.45(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -1.40(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 87.2(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -92.89(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -0.62(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 176.13(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 88.28(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 176.8(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -3.24(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 89.04(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -94.22(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 177.93(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -172(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 8(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 100(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -83(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -171(100) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 109(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -89.30(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 3.90 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -179.67(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 93.29(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 18(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 179.01(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -87.79(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 88.64(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 1.60(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -67(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 94(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -172(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 4(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -83(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -160(5) |


| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 0.82(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 94.02(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -89.54(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -176.58(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -72(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 89.42(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -177.38(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -0.95(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -87.99(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 156(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 1.51(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -92.59(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 177.57(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 91.60(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -113(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 92.43(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -1.67(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -91.51(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -177.48(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 65(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -89.87(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 176.03(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 86.19(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 0.23(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -3(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -158(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 108(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 18(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -68(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -24(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -178.73(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 87.17(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -2.67(8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -88.64(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 61(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -91.92(11) |


| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 175.40(12) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 0.62(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 87.63(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -122(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 84(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -8(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 177(100) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -96(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 153(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -0.24(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -92.92(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 92.30(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 179.30(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -29(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 177.98(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 85.29(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -89.49(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -2.48(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -117(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 89.36(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -3.32(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -178.10(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -91.10(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 55(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -88.74(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 177.81(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 3.19 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 90.14(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -36(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -179.65(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 86.89(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -87.73(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -0.78(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -146(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 71(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -23(3) |


| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 163(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -110(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 146(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 2.65 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -90.81(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 94.57(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -178.48(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -125(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 91.52(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -1.94(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -176.56(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -89.60(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -76(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 172(100) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 80(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -98(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -9(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -155(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 92.94(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 0.97(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -176.93(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -88.62(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 114(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 2.78(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -89.19(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 92.92(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -178.78(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 25(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -86.86(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -178.83(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | $3.27(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 91.58(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -65(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -176.54(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 91.49(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -86.40(10) |


| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 1.90(11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -175.75(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -74.10(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 82.43(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 7.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | $4.25(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 178.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 73.55(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -15.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -80.10(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -4.06(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 176.74(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 72.51(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -12.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -81.04(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -4.80(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -179.74(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -75.38(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 13.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 82.92(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 5.04(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 179.36(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 75.00(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -10.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -77.86(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -1.82(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -178.17(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -1.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 81.00(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -76.99(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 1.92(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -174.41(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 80.98(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -7.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -76.83(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 0.82(14) |


| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -178.6(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 76.65(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -11.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -77.39(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | -0.79(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 178.42(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -77.09(1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 75.90(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 4.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -1.07(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 179.08(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 3.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -77.16(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 77.70(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 1.08 (12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 179.64(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -73.94(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 78.23(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 11.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 2.20 (12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 178.23(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -7.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 74.71(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -80.04(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -2.21(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -178.56(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 77.28(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -74.66(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -0.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 0.31(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -177.84(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 76.77(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -9.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -77.33(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -0.32(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 178.61(17) |


| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 73.33(16) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -78.80(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -5.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -3.46(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -177.58(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 4.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -74.65(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 79.83(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 3.52(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 179.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -74.58(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 13.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 78.36(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 2.66 (15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 175.75(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 0.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -79.99(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | 74.29(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | -2.66(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 177.99(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -77.92(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | $3.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | 75.41(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)$ | -1.31(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 179.83(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -76.62(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | $9.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 78.05(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 1.33 (14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 177.89(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | 73.06(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -80.25(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -7.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)$ | -3.73(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -177.20(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 12.0(3) |


| $\mathrm{O}(34)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -74.06(14) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 80.82(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(4)-\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | $3.78(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -177.73(19) |
| $\mathrm{O}(45)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | -72.84(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 15.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 80.77(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)$ | 4.54(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 176.10(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(46)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | 72.51(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(36)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -9.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -81.58(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(6)-\mathrm{O}(56)-\mathrm{Mo}(5)$ | -4.53(14) |
| C211-N11-C111-C121 | $37.9(5)$ |
| C311-N11-C111-C121 | 159.1(4) |
| C411-N11-C111-C121 | -80.0(5) |
| N11-C111-C121-C131 | 173.3(4) |
| C111-C121-C131-C141 | 167.1(5) |
| C311-N11-C211-C221 | -52.7(4) |
| C411-N11-C211-C221 | -174.7(3) |
| C111-N11-C211-C221 | 65.4(4) |
| N11-C211-C221-C231 | -166.4(3) |
| C211-C221-C231-C241 | -174.7(4) |
| C211-N11-C311-C321 | -61.2(5) |
| C411-N11-C311-C321 | 57.8(5) |
| C111-N11-C311-C321 | 177.6(4) |
| N11-C311-C321-C331 | 167.5(4) |
| C311-C321-C331-C341 | -75.9(6) |
| C211-N11-C411-C421 | 172.0(3) |
| C311-N11-C411-C421 | 50.2(5) |
| C111-N11-C411-C421 | -67.3(5) |
| N11-C411-C421-C431 | 177.6(3) |
| C411-C421-C431-C441 | 176.8(4) |
| C412-N22-C112-C122 | -52.4(7) |
| C212-N22-C112-C122 | 66.2(7) |
| C312-N22-C112-C122 | -173.2(6) |


| N22-C112-C122-C132 | $173.5(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| C112-C122-C132-C142 | $-179.0(5)$ |
| C412-N22-C212-C222 | $-176.2(5)$ |
| C112-N22-C212-C222 | $62.6(6)$ |
| C312-N22-C212-C222 | $-55.2(7)$ |
| N22-C212-C222-C232 | $175.2(5)$ |
| C212-C222-C232-C242 | $-175.0(6)$ |
| C412-N22-C312-C32A2 | $62.5(9)$ |
| C112-N22-C312-C32A2 | $-176.2(7)$ |
| C212-N22-C312-C32A2 | $-56.2(9)$ |
| N22-C312-C32A2-C33A2 | $-166.1(8)$ |
| C312-C32A2-C33A2-C34A2 | $-77.3(10)$ |
| C112-N22-C412-C422 | $-58.4(7)$ |
| C212-N22-C412-C422 | $-178.8(6)$ |
| C312-N22-C412-C422 | $60.0(7)$ |
| N22-C412-C422-C43A2 | $173.2(7)$ |
| C412-C422-C43A2-C44A2 | $-176.4(13)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ (a) Proust, A., Thouvenot, R., Gouzerh, P., Chem. Commun. 2008, 1837.

