

Soybean Cost-Return Budget in Northeast Kansas

Department of Agricultural Economics — www.agmanager.info

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

Daniel M. O'Brien
Agricultural Economist

Stewart R. Duncan
Crops and Soils, NE

While Kansas is not a leading soybean producing state, the total acreage of soybeans has grown considerably in recent years and is the leading crop in northeast Kansas. As with many northeast Kansas crops, soybeans are produced using various crop rotation and tillage systems. This budget is based on soybean production in rotation using a no-till system.

Income Per Acre

Crop production costs per unit and net returns are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budgets include three different yield levels, which are intended to represent expected yields for land of varying quality for a given level of management. Yield levels are based on historical data from Kansas Agricultural Statistics Service and the Northeast Kansas Farm Management Association, adjusting for trends over time. Based on K-State research findings, this budget assumes a higher yield for soybeans in rotation than would be expected for continuous soybean production. Land values and government payments have been adjusted for alternative yield levels in this budget. In customizing a budget to your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's productive capacity as well as government payments specific to your land.

Price per bushel represents an expected harvest price in Topeka, Kan., accounting for government marketing loan price support levels. Soybean producers in other areas of northeast Kansas should use an expected price that is repre-

Table 1. Production Inputs — Soybean

Item	Yield Level (bu/a)			
	26	33	40	
Seed, 1,000/a*	140	140	140	\$0.37/1,000
Fertilizer:				
N (anhydrous)	0	0	0	\$0.47/lb
N	0	0	0	\$0.65/lb
P	23	29	35	\$0.60/lb
K	0	0	0	\$0.51/lb
Lime	500	500	500	\$0.01/lb
Herbicide				
Glyphosate	24.0	24.0	24.0	\$0.11/oz
+ Ammonium Sulfate	1.5	1.5	1.5	\$0.34/lb
Roundup Weather Max	22.0	22.0	22.0	\$0.19/oz
+ Ammonium Sulfate	1.5	1.5	1.5	\$0.34/lb

*Roundup Ready

Table 2. Machinery and Land Resources — Soybean

Item	Yield Level (bu/a)			Custom Rate
	26	33	40	
Tillage/Planting/Chemical Applications:				
Chisel	0	0	0	\$11.54/a
Disk	0	0	0	\$9.88/a
Field cultivate	0	0	0	\$9.47/a
No-till drill	1	1	1	\$15.96/a
Anhydrous application	0	0	0	\$10.87/a
Fertilizer application	0	0	0	\$5.36/a
Herbicide application	2	2	2	\$5.46/a
Insecticide / fungicide application	0	0	0	\$5.53/a
Harvest				
Base charge	1	1	1	\$26.18/a
Extra charge for yields exceeding	28	28	28	\$0.198/bu
Hauling	26	33	40	\$0.179/bu
Non-machinery labor	0.50	0.52	0.54	\$13.00/hr
Land charge/rent	\$92.80	\$116.00	\$139.20	
Interest on capital				6.5%

sentative for their location. Typically, a reasonable forecast for price is to use the futures market adjusted by the historical basis for a particular location, where basis equals cash price minus futures price.

Crop insurance was not included as an input expense in this budget because yields reflect an average of all years (good and bad). If crop insurance is included as an input expense, then an expected value for indemnity payments should be included in the returns section.

Costs Per Acre

Production costs at the three yield levels are shown on lines 1 through 13. Kansas Custom Rates for specific field operations are used to represent fuel and labor costs as well as machinery repair, depreciation, and interest expenses. Table 1 identifies seed, fertilizer, herbicide, and insecticide requirements (rate and cost/unit) for soybeans. Fertilizer requirements (phosphorus) are adjusted up for the higher yields expected under rotation. Herbicide requirements include both pre-crop and in-crop treatments. Table 2 outlines the machinery and land resources used for soybeans in a no-till system.

COST-RETURN PROJECTION — SOYBEANS — NORTHEAST KANSAS

	Yield Level (bu/a)			Your Farm
	26	33	40	
INCOME PER ACRE				
A. Yield per acre	26	33	40	
B. Price per bushel	\$ 13.04	\$ 13.04	\$ 13.04	
C. Net government payment	\$ 12.51	\$ 13.60	\$ 14.69	
D. Indemnity payments	\$	\$	\$	
E. Miscellaneous income.....	\$	\$	\$	
F. Returns/acre ((A × B) + C + D + E)	\$ 351.55	\$ 443.92	\$ 536.29	
COSTS PER ACRE				
1. Seed	\$ 51.80	\$ 51.80	\$ 51.80	
2. Herbicide	7.84	7.84	7.84	
3. Insecticide / Fungicide				
4. Fertilizer and Lime	18.69	22.26	25.83	
5. Crop Consulting				
6. Crop Insurance				
7. Drying				
8. Miscellaneous.....	8.25	8.25	8.25	
9. Custom Hire / Machinery Expense.....	57.71	59.96	62.60	
10. Non-machinery Labor	6.52	6.78	7.07	
11. Irrigation				
a. Labor				
b. Fuel and Oil.....				
c. Repairs and Maintenance				
d. Depreciation on Equipment and Well.....				
e. Interest on Equipment.....				
12. Land Charge / Rent.....	92.80	116.00	139.20	
G.SUB TOTAL	\$ 243.61	\$ 272.88	\$ 302.58	
13. Interest on ½ Nonland Costs	4.90	5.10	5.31	
H. TOTAL COSTS	\$ 248.51	\$ 277.98	\$ 307.89	
I. RETURNS OVER COSTS (F - H)	\$ 103.04	\$ 165.94	\$ 228.39	
J. TOTAL COSTS/BUSHEL (H ÷ A)	\$ 9.56	\$ 8.42	\$ 7.70	
K. RETURN TO ANNUAL COST (I + 13) ÷ G	44.31%	62.68%	77.24%	

Publications from Kansas State University are available at: www.ksre.ksu.edu.

Publications are reviewed or revised annually by appropriate faculty to reflect current research and practice. Date shown is that of publication or last revision. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Daniel M. O'Brien and Stewart R. Duncan, *Soybean Cost-Return Budget in Northeast Kansas*, Kansas State University, December 2012.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

MF570

December 2012

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, John D. Floros, Director.