

Forage Sorghum Silage Cost-Return Budget in South Central Kansas

Department of Agricultural Economics — www.agmanager.info

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

Troy J. Dumler
Agricultural Economist

Curtis R. Thompson
Crops and Soils

Silage can be a very good feed ingredient in livestock rations, but there is considerable variability in different types of silage. Forage sorghum silage will generally out-yield corn silage. However, because of the lower feed value, sorghum silage is often valued less on a per ton basis. Grain sorghum put up as silage typically has a higher feeding value than forage sorghum silage, but with lower yields. The type of silage to produce will depend on the producer's feeding program or the intended use of the silage buyer. There are several varieties that fall somewhere in between grain and forage sorghum that work well as silage. This budget is based on a forage sorghum put up as silage. Costs per acre would be similar for grain sorghum put up as silage, but with lower yields.

Income Per Acre

Crop production costs per unit and net returns are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budget includes three yield levels, which are intended to represent expected yields for land of varying quality for a given level of management. Comparing alternative expected yields can help producers analyze the profitability of crop enterprises on farmland tracts with varying yield potential. Land values and government payments have been adjusted for alternative yield levels in this budget. In customizing a budget to your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's productive capacity as well farm-specific government payments.

Table 1. Production Inputs — Forage Sorghum Silage

Item	Yield Level (ton)			
	11.0	13.7	16.4	
Seed, lbs*	2.33	3.00	3.67	\$2.37/lb
Fertilizer:				
N (anhydrous)	85	106	126	\$0.29/lb
N	20	25	30	\$0.50/lb
P	42	52	62	\$0.39/lb
K	0	0	0	\$0.23/lb
Lime	500	500	500	\$0.01/lb
Herbicide				
Bicep II Magnum (PRE)	2.0	2.0	2.0	\$8.75/qt
Insecticide / Fungicide				

* *Gaucha* treated

Price per ton is calculated using an expected harvest price for corn in Hutchinson, Kan. (corn price $\times 8.0 \times 80$ percent) and reflects the price of silage in the bunker. Producers in other areas should use an expected price representative of their location. A reasonable price expectation for corn or grain sorghum is the futures market adjusted by the historical basis for a particular location, where basis = cash price – futures price.

Crop insurance was not included as an input expense in this budget because yields reflect an average of all years (good

Table 2. Machinery and Land Resources — Forage Sorghum Silage

Item	Yield Level (ton)			Custom Rate
	11	13.7	16.4	
Tillage/Planting/Chemical Applications:				
Chisel	0	0	0	\$9.21/a
Disk	1	1	1	\$7.88/a
Field cultivate	1	1	1	\$7.46/a
Plant	1	1	1	\$9.77/a
Anhydrous application	1	1	1	\$6.83/a
Fertilizer application	0	0	0	\$4.20/a
Herbicide application	2	2	2	\$4.45/a
Insecticide / fungicide application	0	0	0	\$4.56/a
Harvest				
Base charge	1	1	1	\$0.00/a
Extra charge for yields exceeding	0	0	0	\$7.01/ton
Hauling	11	13.7	16.4	\$0.00/ton
Non-machinery labor	1.33	1.55	1.76	\$10.00/hr
Land charge/rent	\$33.60	\$42.00	\$50.40	
Interest on capital				8.5%

and bad). If crop insurance is included as an input expense, then an expected value for indemnity payments should be included in the returns section. Historically, crop insurance indemnity payments have typically exceeded premiums due to government subsidies.

Costs Per Acre

Production costs at the three yield levels are shown on lines 1 through 13. Kansas Custom Rates for specific field

operations are used to represent fuel and labor costs as well as machinery repair, depreciation, and interest expenses. Table 1 identifies seed, fertilizer, herbicide, and insecticide requirements (rate and cost/unit) for forage sorghum silage. Herbicide requirements include both pre-crop and in-crop treatments. Table 2 outlines the machinery and land resources used for silage produced in a reduced tillage system.

COST-RETURN PROJECTION — SORGHUM SILAGE — SOUTH CENTRAL KANSAS

	Yield Level (ton)			Yield Level (ton)	Your Farm
	11.0	13.7	16.4		
INCOME PER ACRE					
A. Yield per acre.....	11.0	13.7	16.4		
B. Price per ton.....	\$ 24.19	\$ 24.19	\$ 24.19		
C. Net government payment.....	\$ 14.12	\$ 15.35	\$ 16.58		
D. Indemnity payments.....	\$	\$	\$		
E. Miscellaneous income	\$	\$	\$		
F. Returns/acre ((A × B) + C + D + E)	\$ 280.23	\$ 346.78	\$ 413.33		
COSTS PER ACRE					
1. Seed	\$ 5.53	\$ 7.11	\$ 8.69		
2. Herbicide.....	17.50	17.50	17.50		
3. Insecticide / Fungicide					
4. Fertilizer and Lime.....	55.82	68.26	80.41		
5. Crop Consulting.....					
6. Crop Insurance.....					
7. Drying					
8. Miscellaneous	5.75	5.75	5.75		
9. Custom Hire / Machinery Expense	117.95	136.88	155.80		
10. Non-machinery Labor.....	13.33	15.47	17.61		
11. Irrigation					
a. Labor.....					
b. Fuel and Oil					
c. Repairs and Maintenance					
d. Depreciation on Equipment and Well.....					
e. Interest on Equipment.....					
12. Land Charge / Rent	33.60	42.00	50.40		
G. SUB TOTAL	\$ 249.48	\$ 292.96	\$ 336.16		
13. Interest on ½ Nonland Costs.....	9.17	10.67	12.14		
H. TOTAL COSTS	\$ 258.65	\$ 303.63	\$ 348.30		
I. RETURNS OVER COSTS (F - H).....	\$ 21.58	\$ 43.15	\$ 65.02		
J. TOTAL COSTS/TON (H ÷ A).....	\$ 23.51	\$ 22.16	\$ 21.24		
K. RETURN TO ANNUAL COST (I + 13) ÷ G	12.33%	18.37%	22.96%		

Publications from Kansas State University are available on the World Wide Web at: www.oznet.ksu.edu.

Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Troy J. Dumler and Curtis R. Thompson, *Forage Sorghum Silage Cost-Return Budget in South Central Kansas*, Kansas State University, October 2007.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

MF-648

October 2007

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, Fred A. Cholic, Director.