

Results of Kansas Demonstrations on Implanting Suckling Calves and Yearlings



Larry Corah, Frank Schwartz, Frank Brazle, Tom Orwig, and Gene Francis



Summary

To encourage greater use of implants in beef cattle industry in Kansas, 62 implant demonstrations were conducted in 31 different counties in Kansas. 1,402 implanted suckling calves gained an average of 15.1 pounds more than 694 non-implanted calves. In 19 yearling trials, 616 implanted yearling steers and heifers gained 20.0 pounds more than 365 yearlings.

The results suggest utilizing implants as a regular management practice for suckling steer calves and yearling steers and heifers.

Introduction

Research at Kansas State University and other Universities has shown that implanting both suckling calves and yearling steers and heifers on summer grass is an excellent management practice. Research results have shown that implanting suckling steer and heifer calves with either DES or Ralgro usually improves weaning weights by 15 to 20 pounds. Likewise, implanting yearling cattle with either Synovex S or H, DES, or Ralgro improves summer gains on grass by 15 to 30 pounds.

Despite those research results, the percentage of implanted suckling calves and yearling cattle on growing programs in Kansas are still very low. To get further information on implanting, as well as to demonstrate the use of implants, a series of demonstrations were set up in all the Extension districts of Kansas.

Appreciation is expressed to the following County Extension Agents for conducting implant demonstrations:

Northwest District: Paul Wilson, Barton; Roger Hendershot, Ellis; Steve Tonn, Graham; Ross Nelson, Logan; Clifford Meireis, Norton; Tom Rutherford, Rawlins; Warren Harding, Rooks; Gene Algrim, Rush; Del Jepsen, Russell; Jim Grider, Sheridan; Wilber Dunavan, Smith; Don McWilliams, Wallace; Darrell Hager, Cheyenne

Southwest District: John Robertson, Comanche

South-central District: Kent Springer, Saline; Milton Krainbill, Lincoln; Virgil Carlson, Ellsworth; Ben McCully, Harper; Steve Westfahl, Sedgwick

Northeast District: Jim Adams, Atchison; Darrel Hosie, Cloud; Julian

Toney, Douglas

Southeast District: Glenn Gottlob, Crawford, Ted Wary, Cherokee; Mike Holder, Chase; Duane Jeffrey, Chautauqua; Tom Maxwell, Allen; Bob Bozworth, Franklin; Dan Shively, Labette; Dale Ladd, Morris

Demonstration Procedure

County Agents and Area Livestock Specialists in all areas of Kansas worked with cooperating livestock producers to set up 43 demonstrations on implanting suckling calves, and 19 demonstrations in four districts implanting yearling cattle primarily on summer grass. In most of the demonstrations conducted, Ralgro implants were used, but in some of the demonstrations, the cattle were allotted so that some were implanted with Ralgro and some with DES. Since the response to both DES and Ralgro was similar the data is not segregated by implant type. Likewise, results from steers and heifers were similar so sex is ignored.

Weights were recorded directly off pasture when the trials started and ended.

Results and Discussion

In the 43 trials conducted in 23 counties, 1,402 calves were implanted with 694 calves serving as a control. Average increase in weaning weight of the 1,402 calves was 15.1 pounds, very typical of previous research results. Considerable variation in response occurred from ranch to ranch.

The 616 implanted yearling steers and heifers were compared to 365 control calves. The average implant response was 20.0 pounds which again was very consistent with previous research.

Table 9.1.	Demonstration	results	from	implanting	suckling	calves.

Area of state	No. trials	No. counties	No. implanted calves	No. control calves	Average response to
Southeast South-central Northeast Southwest Northwest Total	15 2 9 1 16 43	6 2 3 1 <u>11</u> 23	323 35 180 110 754 1,402	253 18 129 12 282 694	implants, lbs +11.3 +14.9 +14.0 +31.0 +14.7 +15.1

Table 9.2. Demonstration results from implanting yearling steers and heifers.

Area of state	No. trials	No. counties	No. implanted calves	No. control calves	Average response to implants, lbs.
South-central Northeast Northwest Southeast Total	5 4 5 19	4 3 3 4 14	136 192 161 <u>127</u> 616	76 97 67 125 365	+30.2 +19.7 +15.3 +15.5 +20.0