A MORPHOLOGICAL STUDY OF SWITCHGRASS, PANICUM VIRGATUM

by

FRANCIS HOBART MEANS, JR.

B.S., Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1954

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agronomy

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LD 378,73 2668	ii	
1959 M43 C.2 Documents	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
INTRODUCTION	1	
LITERATURE REVIEW	1	
METHODS AND MATERIALS		1
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.		;
DISCUSSION		
SUMMARY		5
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		,
LITERATURE CITED		3

INTRODUCTION

Switchgrass is considered by many agronomists to be one of the more desirable native forage species of the Great Plains. It is used for grazing, for hay, and for soil conservation purposes. It is a vigorous productive warm season grass with wide adaptation and good seeding characteristics.

A wide range of morphological variation has generally been recognized in switchgrass. The present study was designed to investigate this variation and the interrelationship of various morphological characters. It was felt that the results of such a study would contribute to a better overall understanding of the species.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Hoover (1939) described switchgrass as a perennial occuring widely throughout the United States but being most useful in the Great Plains. Hoover (1939), Weaver and Fitzpatrick (1932), Wheeler (1950) and Hitchcock (1950) all described switchgrass as producing an abundance of leafy forage which can be grazed or made into hay. It spreads by both rhizomes and seed, the later usually being produced in abundance. Weaver and Fitzpatrick (1932) found that although abundant seed is produced, the germination rate is low. They stated, however, that those seedlings which do emerge developed rapidly and begin tillering within five to seven weeks.

Cornelius and Johnston (1941) studied biotypes of switch-

grass from Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, and North Dakota for differences in plant type as related to rust resistance. They found that of the material studied a selection from Blackwell, Oklahoma possessed not only the best resistance to the rust <u>Uromyces graminicola</u> but was leafy, fine-stemmed, and of good forage quality. Ross (1939) found that the only characters associated with rust resistance were erect type of growth and the presence of a waxy bloom.

Nielsen (1944) studied morphological variation in switchgrass, and found positive and highly significant regressions between plant height and the magnitude of other aerial vegetative characters. He studied plant height, leaf length and width, diameter of various nodes, and panicle length. In addition he made chromosome number determinations for the isolates studied. He found significant variation within groups of plants having the same chromosome number and between groups of plants having the same chromosome number and between groups of plants having different chromosome number.

Weaver and Fitzpatrick (1932) found that fully developed switchgrass plants in close stands usually have one to three dead basal leaves and six to seven living ones on a coarse woody stem, two to five mm. in thickness. They found that the leaves average fourteen to twenty-four mm. in width, and that they roll in dry weather. They also reported the panicle as being twelve to twenty inches long and sixteen to twenty inches wide.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Seed for this study was obtained from a plant introduction nursery and from switchgrass breeding nurseries at Kansas State University. The introduction nursery had been grown from seed collected throughout Kansas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas. The breeding nurseries consisted of selections from the variety Blackwell and of seed progenies of earlier breeding nurseries (table 1).

During the summer of 1954, seed was collected from plants which had been selected on the basis of morphological appearance. This seed was germinated in vermiculite in greenhouse pots during February, and the seedlings were transplanted into flats in mid-March. To facilitate comparison of greenhouse and field results the same planting plan was used for both greenhouse and field plantings. The selections were grouped as follows into five classes according to the physical appearance of the seed parent: tall and non-leafy, tall and leafy, medium tall and leafy, medium tall and non-leafy, and short and leafy. Selections were randomized within groups, and the groups were randomized in each of three replications.

Actual measurements were made of the following characters: leaf length, leaf width, internode diameter, panicle length, number of tillers per plant and two aspects of plant height. Two measurements of the average height of the top collar were made in the greenhouse at different dates. A measurement of the average height of the vertically-extended tips of leaves was make in the field. Visual ratings were made for greenhouse vigor, field vigor, extent of heading and leafiness.

The following measurement procedures were used. Many of the leaf tips were damaged, necessitating a modified leaf length measurement. It was found that all leaf tips were undamaged to a point having a width one-half that of the leaf width at the ligule. Therefore that point was used to determine a relative leaf length. The leaf arising from the third node above ground was used for leaf length and width determinations. Notes on leaf length and width, internode diameter, and panicle length consisted of five random measurements per plant of the first five plants of a row. The remaining measurements were an average of one random measurement on each of the first five plants of a row. Leaf width was taken in mm. one cm. from the ligule. Internode diameter was measured with a micrometer calibrated in hundredths of inches, the third internode above the ground being used for all determinations. Panicle length was taken as the distance in cm. from the lowest panicle branch to the tip of the mature panicle. The number of tillers per plant was determined by actual count, the small initial shoots being ignored. Average height of leaf tips in the field was measured in cm. as the distance from the ground to the vertically-extended tips of the top leaves. Height of collars in the greenhouse was taken in cm. as the average height to the top collars for each selection. For field vigor, greenhouse vigor and leafiness, plants were rated one to five with one representing the maximum and five the minimum condition with respect to each plant character. The following ratings were used to denote the various stages of

heading: fully headed, most heads out of the boot leaf, all heads remaining partially enclosed in the boot leaf, and no heads emerging from the boot leaf. The visual ratings were an average for each selection.

A germination study was conducted in the following manner. Fifty seeds of each selection were placed on moist filter paper in a petri dish. They were treated for mold, shaded by dark heavy blotter paper, and maintained at 65 to 70 degrees F. Germination counts were made on the first, second, fourth, sixth, eighth, eleventh and thirteenth days.

The study was originally designed to include comparisons of the parent clones with their respective progenies. Accordingly, an additional field planting, in which each of the parent clones was replicated nine times, was established adjacent to the progeny planting. Because of drought conditions, however, mortality among the parent clones was so high that this phase of the study had to be abandoned.

An analysis of variance was conducted for each measurement and L.S.D.'s were determined wherever the "F" test showed significance. Simple and partial correlation coefficients were determined for all comparisons that were considered valid.

Photographs of the greenhouse seedlings were taken with a graflex camera, 4" X 5", on tri-X daylight black and white film.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Mean leaf length for selections varied from 27.0 to 45.9 cm.

(table 2) with differences among selections being highly significant (table 17). Simple correlation coefficients between leaf length and leaf width, panicle length, number of tillers per plant, and height of leaf tips were highly significant, but the partial correlation coefficients were low (table 34). In some cases class names were misleading as they pertained to the seed parent and the open-pollination progeny did not always reflect the parent characters. Many of the means for "shorter" classes were greater than the means for "taller" classes (table 32).

Mean leaf width varied from 9.2 to 14.4 mm. with all classes having approximately the same range (table 3). Significant differences were found in all sources of variation (table 18). Many class mean differences were significant but in disagreement with the relationships suggested by the class names (table 32). Simple correlation coefficients were high for all characters except internode diameter (table 33). Partial correlation coefficients were low (table 34).

Mean panicle length ranged from 28.1 to 47.8 cm. (table 4). Significant differences were found in all sources of variation except replications (table 19). Simple correlation coefficients between panicle length and all other characters except internode diameter were highly significant (table 33). The only significant partial correlation coefficient was that of panicle length with height of leaf tips.

Mean internode diameter varied from 0.0918 to 0.1632 inches (table 5), and differences were highly significant for all sources of variation (table 20). Simple correlation coefficients

showed that internode diameter was not correlated with the other vegetative characters (table 33). Class mean differences were small with four being significant at the 5 per cent level (table 32).

Mean number of tillers varied from 27.5 to 58.9 per plant (table 6). Analysis of variance showed significant differences among selections (table 29), but only one class mean difference was significant (table 32). Simple correlation coefficients were all high except that with internode diameter (table 33). The partial correlation coefficient involving number of tillers and the height of tips of leaves was significant at the 1 per cent level (table 34).

In height of the tips of leaves (which could be considered a plant height measurement) selection means ranged from 25.8 to 56.0 cm. (table 7), and there was significant variation among selections (table 28). Class mean differences varied considerably (table 32). All correlation coefficients were high except that involving internode diameter (table 33). Height of the leaf tips exhibited high partial correlations with panicle length, leaf width, and number of tillers per plant, (table 34).

Average height of the top collar (in the greenhouse) ranged from 5.3 to 18.3 cm. on April 25 (table 8). However, differences proves to be non-significant for all sources of variation (table 25).

The average height of the top collar on May 7 varied from 9.3 to 33.0 cm. with the range of the tall leafy class being great enough to include the ranges of the other classes (table 9).

All sources of variation exhibited differences which were significant at the 1 per cent level (table 24). All calculated simple correlation coefficients were high (table 33).

Greenhouse vigor ratings (plate I) were generally low (tables 10 and 11), but ratings for both dates exhibited significant differences as shown in tables 22 and 23. The May 7 data agreed very closely with those of April 25. Consequently only the May 7 note was used in correlation studies. Greenhouse vigor was highly correlated with field vigor and with the height of the top collar (table 33). The correlation coefficient with the latter was negative, since high vigor was indicated by a low number.

Ratings for field vigor were generally higher than those in the greenhouse (table 12), but showed approximately the same variation (table 26). There were many significant differences between class means (table 32).

Selections varied significantly in degree of heading (table 27). Degree of heading was highly correlated with field vigor (table 33).

Selections differed significantly in type of growth (table 30), but the differences between class means were very small (table 32). In leafiness, selections exhibited a small range of variation (table 15) and failed to differ significantly (table 31).

Germination counts indicated considerable differences among selections (table 16), and the analysis on total germination showed significant variation among progenies (table 21). Three class mean differences were significant at the 5 per cent level.

	: Kansas State No.	. 1			ting to the later burder of the
Code No.	: of seed parent	1	Source of	parent ma	terial
tall leafy					
*6281	2161-14		Blackwell	selectio	n 151-32
*6282	2167-2		11	**	151-38
*6287	2181-19		**	**	169-1
6292	2211-7		88	: 11	183-1
*6297	2252-1		**	**	211-3
*6304	3141-1		breeding a	nursery	1416-3
6308	3148-1				1426-5
6318	3213-4		**	**	1473-6
*6331	51049-7		Treece, K	ansas	
*6336	51054-16		11	**	
*6341	51208-4		Cleo Sprin	ngs. Okla	ı.
*6345	51257-6		Arkansas		The state of the
tall non le	afy				
6275	2124-3		Blackwell	selectio	n 138-19
*6277	2146-1		22	11	151-16
6280	2159-3		**	Ħ	151-30
6285	2180-1		12	11	168-2
6288	2197-7		11	=	173-24
*6321	3231-1		breeding	nurserv	2173-15
*6320	2228-15		11	11	2228-11
medium leaf	5230-23 V				2230-11
*6282	2160-2		Blackwell	selectio	n 160-2
*6201	2210-15		I	11	182-6
*6202	2212-1		17		182-16
*6204	2220-1		11		186-1
6206	2228-11		**		101-7
6208	2008-1		icolation	block	16-18
*6200	2102-1		1SOTACION	H	12-10
6201	2127-1		m		124 10
6910	312/-1		heading		2149 19
USLY non	3230-1		preeding 1	nursery	2140-10
heatam non-	1eary 2102-1		inslation.	hlash	17.0
6300	3103-1		isolation	DIOCK	4/-3
6320	3230-2		preeding i	nursery	2140-10
0323	3232-1				2175-17
short leary	6001 00		D1		106 0
*0289	2201-20		BLackwell	selectio	n 170-2
0324	3232-2		breeding	nursery	2175-17
6327	3230-1				2235-14
0328	3237-1				2237-18
0339	51080-19		Ponca Cit;	y, Okla.	
0347	51259-3		Arkansas		
6349	51200-3		Arkansas		

Table 1. Source of plants studied

*Plants used in germination test

	1.			:	Ranked	mea	ans	:	Class
Sele	ction :	Replication	means	:	selection	1	mean		mean
tall	leafy	and the second							
6281	25.96	31.68	27.80		6331		45.88		
6282	30.80	32.56	30.08		6336		44.20		
6287	30.80	28.92	31.08		6345		42.56		
6292	35.52	34.60	32.88		6341		40.99		
6297	28.44	31.18	28.48		6318		40.01		
6304	29.40	31.84	44.08		6304		35.11		
6308	32.16	30.76	33.32		6292		34.33		
6318	37.04	41.96	41.04		6308		32.08		
6331	44.44	44.60	48.60		6282		31.15		
6336	45.36	44.24	43.00		6287		30.27		
6341	46.56	43.80	32.60		6297		29.37		
6345	46.64	40.28	40.76		6281		28.48		36.20
tall	non-leafy								•
6275	29.12	28.72	27.80		6277		30.97		
6277	30.48	31.32	31.12		6321		28.56		A A WARD
6280	30.08	29.12	25.88		6275		28.55		
6288	27.60	25.80	28.56		6280		28.36		
6321	29.60	28.04	28.04		6329		28.28		
6329	27.96	29.28	27.60		6288		27.32		28.67
medi	um leafy	PST 1 P LA PROPERTY							1.1.1.1.1.1
6283	26.60	31.44	29.40		6301		34.25		
6291	29.52	32.92	31.72		6319		33.68		
6293	32.56	35.52	30.92		6299		33.13		
6294	27.92	30.08	30.36		6293		33.00		
6296	25.40	29.12	26.52		6298		32.44	199	
6298	32.80	34.24	30.28		6291		31.39		
6299	31.68	33.68	34.04		6294		29.45		
6301	34.80	33.92	34.04		6283		29.15		
6319	32.16	39.00	29.88		6296		27.01		31.50
medi	um non-lea	fy							
6300	33.16	31.88	28.30		6323		35.28		
6320	31.84	31.48	33.84		6320		32.39		
6323	36.80	33.92	35.12		6300		31.11		31.93
short	t leafy								
6289	28.36	30.52	28.12	•	6349		40.41		
6324	33.88	34.08	31.32		6347		38.87		
6327	37.64	35.24	30.88		6339		37.81		
6328	35.56	35.28	33.80		6328		34.88		
6339	34.52	43.95	34.96		6327		34.59		
6347	39.20	43.40	34.00		6324		33.09		
6349	42.96	40.68	37.60		6289		29.00		35.52

Table 2. Leaf length

LSD for selection means = 4.40 Replication means involve 25 measurements

		:			:	Ranked	mea	ans	:	Class
Selec	ction	: 1	Replication	means	:	selection	:	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy									
6281		9.70	9.60	9.48	1	6331		14.37		
6282	1	0.88	3 10.64	9.56		6336		13.99		
6287	1	0.30	9.68	9.76		6345		13.68		
6292	1	0.70	5 10.32	10.16		6341		13.39		
6297	1	0.00	9.08	9.60		6318		11.95		
6304		9.84	9.52	14.12		6304		11.16		
6308	1	0.00	10.16	9.68		6292		10.41		
6318	ī	2.3	2 12.28	11.24		6282		10.36		
6331	ī	5.40	13.72	14.00		6308		0.95		
6336	ī	5.50	5 13.32	13.08		6287		9.93		
6341	ī	5.96	5 14.24	0.96		6281		9.50		
6345	ĩ	5.50	5 12.96	12.52		6297		9.56		11.45
tall	non-le	afv								
6275		0.68	8 9.32	0.00		6280		10.44		
6277	1	0.80	10.36	9.88		6277		10.35		×
6280	ī	1.0/	1 10.24	10.04		6321		0.03		
6288		0.60	10.30	9.72		6288		0.00		
6221	1	0.2	9.68	0.88		6220		0.51		
6220	î	0.40	0.12	9.04		6275		0.22		0.01
medi	um leaf	v	y•12	2.04		02/5		2.33		3.91
6283	1	0.48	8 10.08	10.00		6201		10.00		
6201	ĩ	0.60	10.72	10.04		6204		10.01		
6203	ī	0.0	0.60	0.12		6208		10.77		
6204	ī	0.0	2 10.72	11.08		6201		10.45		
6206	î	0.00	10.22	0.68		6210		10.28		
6208	ī	1 25	3 10.76	10.28		6200		10.21		
6200	i	0 4	10.28	0.02		6282		10.15		
6201	1	0 2/	11.00	11 72		6205		10.13		
6210	1	0.8	1 10.04	0.06		6202		0.58		10 27
medi	100 000-	100	Fw 10.04	2.90		0293		9.30		10.3/
6200	1	1.26	10.44	0.76		6220		10.87		
6220	ī	1 2	1 10.26	11.00		6200		10.52		
6222	ī	1.20	10.20	10.08		6222		10.40		10 62
short	t leafy		10.20	10.00		0323		10.49		10.03
6280	v icalj	0. 2	0.28	8.02		6240		12.05		
6224	1	0.2/	0.84	0.02		6247		12.68		
6327	ī	0.16	0.48	0.08		6220		10.72		
6328	i	0.05	8 0.02	10.28		6328		10.00		
6320	-	0.8	1 11.88	10.44		6324		10.00		
6347	1	2.80	12.68	11.56		6327		0.57		
6349	ī	3.00	13.04	12.80		6289		9.17		10.73

Table 3. Leaf width

LSD for selection means = 1.37 Replication means involve 25 measurements

:		:	Ranked	means	:	Class
Selection :	Replication	means :	selection	: mean	:	mean
tall leafy						
6281	22.52	35.32	6336	41.83		
6282	33.76	34.84	6345	40.52		
6287	27.12	29.16	6331	39.52		
6292	35.00	35.36	6341	39.30		
6297	29.04	36.04	6292	35.18		
6304	31.48	32.96	6318	34.40		
6308	34.56	31.12	6282	34.30		
6318	32.52	36.28	6308	32.84		
6331	34.20	44.84	6297	32.54		
6336	44.02	30.64	6304	32.22		
6341	38.76	39.84	6281	28.92		
6345	44.76	36.28	6287	28.14		34.08
tall non-lea	fv	00000	0207			34.70
6275	37.76	34.84	6275	36.30		
6277	33.60	33.16	6288	35.62		*
6280	34.00	30.80	6277	33.38		
6288	37.76	33.48	6280	32.40		
6321	30.96	32.32	6320	31.68		
6329	32.68	30.68	6321	31.64		22.50
medium leafy	52100	00.00	00-1	32.04		33.30
6283	28.76	33.92	6298	34.00		
6291	31.28	30.96	6299	34.68		
6293	33.36	34.04	6293	33.70		
6294	26.96	30.72	6283	31.34		
6296	31.16	28.24	6291	31.12		
6298	36.04	33.76	6301	30.60		
6299	31.76	37.60	6296	29.70	X	
6301	29.48	31.72	6294	28.84		
6319	29.44	27.92	6310	28.68		21.51
medium non-le	eafv	-, -,-	00-7	20.00		31.31
6300	33.28	31.36	6323	32.07		
6320	31.08	28.84	6300	32.32		
6323	34.95	31.00	6320	20.07		31.75
short leafy		0		-,.,,		0
6289	30.64	30.72	6349	47.81		
6324	34.04	30.84	6347	41.20		
6327	34.16	34.24	6339	40.44		
6328	31.04	31.36	6327	34.20		
6339	38.68	42.20	6324	32.44		
6347	41.36	41.04	6328	31.20		
6349	53.58	42.04	6289	30.68		36.85

Table 4. Panicle length

LSD for selection means = 18.59 Replication means involve 25 measurements

		padriting and a Canadrian discussions	2	Ranked	means	Class
Selection :	Replication	means	:	selection	: mean	: mean
tall leafy	an a					Constanting of the Constant of the South
6281	.1000	.1104		6341	.1632	
6282	.0948	.1064		6345	.1584	
6287	.1028	.1020		6336	.1564	
6292	.1052	.1096		6331	.1518	
6297	.0992	.1052		6318	.1406	
6304	.1012	.1000		6292	.1074	
6308	.1008	.1072		6281	.1052	
6318	.1212	.1600		6308	.1040	
6331	.1412	.1624		6287	.1024	
6336	.1404	.1724		6297	.1022	
6341	.1408	.1856		6282	.1006	
6345	.1512	.1656		6304	.1006	.1244
tall non-lea	fv					
6275	.1064	.1128		6288	.1150	
6277	.1104	.1056		6275	.1096	×
6280	.1048	.1136		6280	.1092	
6288	.1056	.1244		6277	.1080	
6321	.0952	.1040		6321	.1078	
6329	.1036	.1032		6329	.1034	.1088
medium leafy						
6283	.0924	.1064		6291	.1066	
6291	.1012	.1120		6293	.1066	
6293	.1036	.1096		6298	.1058	
6294	.1004	.1092		6294	.1048	
6296	.0908	.1036		6301	.1048	
6298	.1012	.1104		6319	.1004	
6299	.0904	.1044		6283	.0994	
6301	.1024	.1072		6299	.0974	
6319	.0976	.1032		6296	.0972	.1026
medium non-1	eafy					
6300	.1020	.1116		6300	.1068	
6320	.1012	.1076		6320	.1044	
6323	.0912	.1044		6323	.0978	.1030
short leafy						
6289	.0848	.1096		6349	.1532	
6324	.0844	.0992		6347	.1470	
6327	.0936	.0964		6339	.1196	
6328	.0816	.1028		6289	.0972	
6339	.1016	.1376		6327	.0950	
6347	.1384	.1556		6328	.0922	
6349	.1452	.1612		6324	.0918	.1137

Table 5. Internode diameter

LSD for selection means = .0515 Replication means involve 25 measurements

		:		999 - 200 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1		1	Ranked	mea	ans	:	Class
Sele	ction	:		Replication	means	:	selection	:	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy	7									
6281		31	.1	35.1	39.2		6336		58.90		
6282		39	.1	27.4	51.0		6331		55.33		
6287		34	.0	35.9	50.1		6341		51.43		
6292		31	. 6	38.9	23.3		6345		47.70		
6297		40	. 9	32.4	45.8		6308		47.60		
6304		48	.1	48.1	39.5		6318		45.86		
6308		39	. 3	42.3	61.2		6304		45.23		
6318		42	.4	50.3	44.9		6287		40.00		
6331		45	. 5	56.3	64.2		6297		39.70		
6336		56	.7	59.6	60.4		6282		39.16		
6341		47	. 8	35.9	70.6		6281		35.13		
6345		58	. 4	50.4	34.3		6292		31.26		44.78
tall	non-	leat	fv		04.0				0		44170
6275		28	. 4	35.0	39.6		6285		52.16		
6277		23	.1	36.6	47.1		6321		47.83		×
6280		11	.7	31.7	48.6		6280		41.66		
6285		52	2	54.0	50.2		6320		20.70		
6288		20	7	20.7	20.0		6277		28.02		
6221		24	. 2	55.6	52.7		6275		24.22		
6220		25	2	20.2	52.6		6288		34.33		41 15
medi		afv	• 3	30.2	33.0		0300		33.43		41.1)
6282	com 160	22	8	11.0	51.5		6202		45.76		
6201		20	7	50 1	16 6		6210		43.70		
6202		24	6	50.2	52 4		6200		42 70		
6201		22	.0	42.5	12.4		6282		43.70		
6206		25	• 0	43.3	26 8		6203		43.10		
6208		35	• 1	34.1	30.0		6208		44.13		
6200		33	. 4	49.9	10.0		6204		40.33		
6201		40	• 0	42.1	94.4		6206		30.90		
6310		3/	• 0	30.0	44.5		6290		33.33		10 65
U319		39	• 1	33.3	42.3		0301		33.43		40.05
6200		1-10	ed 0	24 5	10 1		6999		12 02		
6220		44	.0	34.5	40.1		6323		44.93		
6320		30	. 0	45.4	33+1		6320		39.70		20 47
0323	+ 1004	40	• 3	30.1	40.4		0300		33.33		39.41
6280	L leal	22	1	25 1	24 4		6228		CT 22		
6224		20	· 1	23.1	34.4		6340		10 76		
6324		30	• •	51.2	4/.0		6349		49.70		
6220		50	• 5	54.0	49.7		6224		40.93		
6320		50	.0	53.5	50.0		6324		43.93		
6339		41	. 0	40.9	33.9		6339		40.00		
0347		39	• 2	30.5	34.0		0347		37.23		10 00
0349	de la compañía de la	54	• .3	40.3	40.7		0289		41.53		42.80

Table 6. No. of tillers per plant

LSD for selection means = 12.46 Replication means involve 5 measurements

ACCURATE ACCURATE ACCURATE	:		an an an Anna an Anna an Anna Anna Anna		:	Ranked	mea	ins	:	Class
Sele	ction :		Replication	means	:	selection	:	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy		รคระสารที่ได้เสาะ แต่กละสารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่ 			enese inen algebrei konst alber alber alber met den met an gebiete alber er fen				
6281	23	. 5	30.8	21.7		6345		52.87		
6282	28	. 3	27.5	28.0		6331		52.47		
6287	25	.7	28.7	30.2		6336		50.30		
6292	29	. 8	36.1	24.0		6318		46.17		
6297	26	. 5	30.3	27.8		6341		45.07		
6304	32	.1	36.0	50.5		6304		39.53		
6308	26	.7	37.3	33.7		6308		32.57		
6318	41	. 7	50.8	46.0		6292		29.97		
6331	47	.7	57.9	51.8		6287		28.20		
6336	53	. 4	50.7	46.8		6297		28.20		
6341	49	.0	56.5	29.7		6282		27.93		
6345	53	. 6	55.7	49.3		6281		25.33		38.22
tall	non-lea	fy								
6275	31	.0	27.7	29.5		6288		32.17		4
6277	26	. 2	31.5	29.1		6285		31.47		
6280	24	. 8	29.6	26.3		6275		29.40		
6285	32	. 3	31.5	31.6		6329		29.20		
6288	32	. 4	33.3	30.8		6277		28.93		
6321	25	. 4	28.3	26.5		6280		26.90		
6329	28	. 3	29.3	30.0		6321		26.73		29.26
medi	um leafy		-//0	0		0.022				
6283	25	. 2	26.7	30.2		6293		36.13		
6291	23	. 3	30.5	27.5		6298		29.20		
6293	31	.7	41.2	35.5		6299		28.80		
6294	25	. 6	27.1	30.2		6296		28.30		
6296	26	.1	29.2	29.6		6301		28.03		
6298	30	. 2	32.4	25.0		6294		27.63		
6299	26	. 6	30.8	29.0		6283		27.36		
6301	28	. 3	27.9	27.9		6291		27.10		
6319	26	.3	28.7	25.1		6319		26.70		28.81
medi	um non-l	ea	fy							
6300	28	. 5	29.0	32.3		6320		33.83		
6320	32	.8	35.3	33.4		6300		29.93		
6323	27	.0	29.3	30.4		6323		28.90		30.89
shor	t leafy									••••
6289	22	.3	28.3	26.9		6349		56.00		
6324	26	.5	33.4	27.7		6347		40.33		
6327	29	.4	35.3	32.7		6328		35.56		
6328	32	.8	42.1	31.8		6327		32.46		
6339	33	. 2	35.6	27.6		6339		32.13		
6347	43	.7	43.8	33.5		6324		29.20		
6349	62	.4	56.8	48.8		6289		25.83		35.93

Table 7. Average height to tips of leaves

LSD for selection means = 6.39 Replication means involve 5 measurements

	:		:			1	
Selec	ction :	Replications	1	Ranked	means	: Class mean	-
tall	leary	,	-		-0.00		
0281	7 .	0	5	0331	18.33		
0282	5	5	8	6318	17.00		
6287	6 .	5	5	6345	15.66	•	
6292	9 .	5	3	6336	15.33	· · · · ·	
6297	10	7	4	6341	11.33	· ·	
6304	11 .	7	8	6304	8.66		
6308	10	7	6	6308	7.66		
6318	23	14	14	6297	7.00		
6331	24	18	13	6281	6.00		
6336	14	18	14	6282	6.00		
6341	15	15	4	6292	5.66	and the second second second	
6345	16	18	13	6287	5.33	10.33	
tall	non-leaf	fy					
6275	8	5	4	6321	7.66	이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 없다.	
6277	8	7	4	6329	7.66	A	
6280	0 .	7 .	5	6280	7.00		
6285	8	A .	5	6277	6.33	desire a present and have been	
6288	8	Å.	6	6288	6.00		
6221	10	7	6	6275	5.66		
6220	0	8	6	6285	5.66	6.57	
medi	um leafy	v	•	0205	3.00	0.37	
6282	8	6	8	6282	7.22		
6201	7	6	6	6208	7.22		
6202	6	E	5	6200	7.00		
6204	8	5	3	6201	6.22		
6906	0	5	4	6210	6 00		
6208	10	2	4 E .	6204	5.66		
6200	10	-	2	6200	5.00		
6203	10		0	6293	5+33	5	
6010	10	3	3	6290	5.33	6 19	
0319	0	0	4	0301	5.33	6.18	
mealu	um non-16	eary	1	(
0300	6	8	0	0300	7.00		
0320	8	8	5	0320	7.00	1 00	
0323	8	0	0	0323	0.00	0.89	
short	t leary	-	,	1			
6289	0	7	0	0349	14.00	•	
0324	7	7	5	0339	11.33		
0327	8	7	5	0347	10.33		
0328	11	9	9	0328	9.66		
0339	12	12	10	0327	0.66		
0347	12	9	10	6289	6.33		
0349	17	16	11	0324	6.33	9.33	

Table 8. Height top collar April 25

LSD for selection means ==6.13

New York (1999) Concerning of the second		:	an an ann an Anna an Anna ann an Anna Anna Anna Ann Anna ann an Anna ann an Anna ann an Anna ann an Anna Ann	an a	:	Ranked	mea	ns	:	Class
Selec	ction	:	Replication	means	:	selection	:	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy	r	Charle of the state of the stat							
6281		11	11	9		6331		33.00		
6282		11	8	15		6336		31.00		
6287		12	11	9		6345		28.33		
6292		15	9	4		6318		25.66		
6297		18	14	10		6341		24.33		
6304		22	15	25		6304		20.66		
6308		17	10	15		6297		14.00		
6318		27	26	26		6308		14.00		
6331		36	39	24		6282		11.33		
6336		34	34	25		6287		10.66		
6341		37	28	8		6281		10.33		
6345		34	26	25		6292		9.33		19.39
tall	non-1	leaf	y							
6275		14	13	7		6288		17.66		*
6277		12	12	8		6321		14.66		
6280		17	12	11		6329		14.00		
6285		18	7	10		6280		13.33		
6288		23	16	14		6285		11.66		
6321		18	13	13		6275		11.33		
6329		14	1.8	10		6277		10.66		13.33
medi	um lea	fy								
6283		17	12	15		6283		14.66		
6291		16	12	11		6299		14.66		
6293		18	10	10		6298		13.66		
6294		14	10	9		6291		13.00		
6296		15	12	7		6301		13.00		
6298		18	12	11		6293		12.66		
6299	State & State	16	16	12		6319		12.33		
6301		21	12	6		6296		11.33		
6319		15	13	9		6294		11.00		12.92
medi	um nor	1-16	afy							
6300		14	14	13		6320		15.00		
6320		19	15	11		6323		14.33		
6323		19	10	14		6300		13.66		14.33
shor	t leaf	fy.								
6289		13	8	9		6349		27.33		
6324		14	15	9		6347	4	18.33		
6327		15	11	13		6339		17.66		
6328		19	15	16		6328		16.66		
6339		22	17	14		6327		13.00		
6347	and the second	22	19	14		6324		12.66		
6349		32	233	27		6289		10.00		16.52

Table 9. Height top collar May 7

LSD for selection means = 5.62

	:			:	Ranked	mea	ans	:	Class
Selec	tion :	Replication	means	:	selection	1	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy								
6281	3	4	4		6287		4.00		
6282	4	4	3		6292		4.00		
6287	4	4	4		6281		3.66		
6292	3	4	5		6282		3.66		
6297	3	4	3		6297	•	3.33		
6304	2	3	2		6308		3.00		
6308	2	4	3		6304		2.33		
6318	1	2	2		6341		2.33		
6331	1	1	2		6318		1.66		
6336	1	1	1		6331		1.33		
6341	1	2	4		6345		1.33		
6345	1	2	i		6336		1.00		2.64
tall	non-leaf	r v					~ * * *		
6275	3	4	5		6275		4.00		
6277	3	Å	5		6277		4.00		jit.
6280	3	4	4		6285		4.00		
6285	3	4	5		6280		3.66		
6288	2	Å	3		6288		3.00		
6321	2	3	3		6329		3.00		
6329	2	3	Ă		6321		2.66		3.47
mediu	m leafy	•	•						3.41
6283	3	3	3		6293		4.00		
6291	3	Ă	3		6294		4.00		
6293	3	5	4		6296		4.00		
6294	3	Ă	5		6299		3.66		
6296	3	Ĩ.	š		6291		3.33		
6298	3	3	3		6301		2.33		
6299	3	Å	Ă		6310		2.22		
6301	2	Ă	Ă		6283		3.00		
6310	3	Ā	3		6208		3.00		2.52
mediu	m non-le	afv	•				3		3.3-
6300	3	3	2		6300		3.00		
6320	3	2	3		6320		3.00		
6323	3	3	2		6323		2.00		2.00
short	leafy		3	·	~3=3		3.00		3.00
6289	2	2	5		6.224		4.00		
6324	4	3	5		6280		3.66		
6327	2	2	Å		6227		2.22		
6328	2	2	2		6228		2.22		
6320		2	2		6220		2.00		
6247	2	2	2		6247		2.00		
6240	ĩ	1	2		6240		1 22		2 66
0.549	4		4		0349		1.33		2.00

Table 10. Greenhouse vigor April 25

LSD for selection means = 0.94

		:		:	Ranked	mea	ns	:	Class
Sele	ction	: Replic	ation means	:	selection	1	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy		na a di sana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana						
6281	. 4	5	4		6281		4.33		
6282	4	5	3		6282		4.00		
6287	4	4	4		6287		4.00		
6292	3	4	5		6292		4.00		
6297	2	3	3		6308		3.33		
6304	2	3	2		6297		2.66		
6308	3	4	3		6304		2.33		
6318	2	1	ĩ		6341		2.33		
6331	1	1	2		6318		1.33		
6336	5 1	1	1		6331		1.33		
6341	1	2	4		6345		1.33		
634 9	ī	2	i		6336		1.00		2.66
tall	non-le	eafv	_						
6275	3	3	5		6285		4.33		
6277	3	4	š		6277		4.00		·
6280	3	7	2		6275		3.66		
6285			5		6280		2.22		
6288	3	3	2		6288		2.66		
6221	2	3	3		6221		2.66		
6220	2 2	3	3		6220		2.66		2 22
medi	110 102	fv	3		0329		2.00		3.33
6282		2	2		6206		4.00		
6203		3	3		6201		2.66		
6202	4 2	3	7		6201		3.66		
6204	2	4	7		6202		2.22		
6206		4	7		6208		2.22		
6208	4 7	4 2	4		6200		2.22		
6200	3	3	4		6201		2 22		
6201	4 2	3	3		6210		2.22		
6210	2 2	4 2	4		6282		2.00		2.14
modi		leafy	4		0203		3.00		3.44
6200	2	-iealy	2		6222		2 22		
6220		3	3		6200		2 00		
6320		3			6220		2.00		2 11
0323) J	4	3		0320		3.00		3.11
6 n Q C	t lear	Σ -	-		6280		1 66		
6009	4	2	5		6209		2 22		
0324	3	3	4		6324		3.33		
0327	3	3	3		6327		3.00		
0328	2	3	2		0328		2.33		
0339	2	2	3		0339		2.33		
0347	1	2	2		0347		1.00		
6349) 1	1	2		0349		1.33		2.00

Table 11. Greenhouse vigor May 7

LSD for selection means = 1.32

	:			:	Ranked	mea	ns	:	Class
Selec	tion :	Replication	means	:	selection	:	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy								
6281	3.5	3.1	3.2		6281		3.22		
6282	3.2	3.1	3.1		6287		3.22		
6287	3.6	3.1	3.1		6292		3.16		
6292	3.4	2.9	3.2		6282		3.13		
6297	3.2	3.0	3.1		6297		3.10		
6304	2.8	2.7	1.4		6308		2.93		
6308	3.2	2.8	2.8		6304		2.30		
6318	1.9	1.3	1.7		6341		1.93		
6331	1.9	1.0	1.0		6345		1.66		
6336	1.7	1.2	1.1		6318		1.63		
6341	1.9	1.1	2.8		6336		1.33		
6345	1.5	1.4	2.1		6331		1.30		2.41
tall	non-leaf	v							
6275	3.0	3.1	2.8		6329		3.06		
6277	3.1	3.1	2.6		6288		3.00		C
6280	3.0	3.0	2.8		6275		2.96		
6285	2.7	2.5	2.9		6277		2.93		
6288	3.0	3.0	3.0		6280		2.93		
6321	3.0	2.9	2.8		6321		2.90		
6329	3.2	3.2	2.8		6285		2.70		2.03
mediu	m leafy	0.1							
6283	3.1	2.9	3.0		6296		3.13		
6291	3.3	2.7	2.9		6283		3.00		
6293	3.0	2.3	2.8		6291		2.96		
6294	3.0	2.8	2.7		6298		2.90		
6296	3.2	311	3.1		6200		2.90		
6298	3.0	2.7	3.0		6319		2.86		
6299	2.8	2.9	3.0		6294		2.83		
6301	2.6	2.4	3.0		6293		2.70		
6310	3.0	2.7	2.9		6301		2.66		2.88
mediu	m non-le	afv			0001				
6300	3.0	2.8	3.0		6300		2.93		
6320	2.7	2.8	2.8		6323		2.93		
6323	2.9	2.9	3.0		6320		2.43		2.76
short	leafy		3.0		0320				/-
6280	3.5	2.1	3.2		6280		2.26		
6324	2.8	2.8	2.0		6327		2.02		
6227	2.0	2.0	2.0		6224		2 82		
6328	2.6	2.4	2.8		6228		2.60		
6320	2.5	2.2	2.7		6220		2.50		
6347	1.0	1.0	2.2		6247		2.02		
6340	1.1	1.4	1.4		6240		1.20		2.40

Table 12. Field vigor

LSD for selection means = 0.43

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $:			:	Ranked	mea	ns	8	Class
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Selecti	on :	Replication	means	1	selection	:	mean	:	mean
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	tall le	afy								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6281	2.3	2.1	2.8		6345		2.93		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6282	2.0	2.1	2.3		6318		2.76		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6287	2.2	2.1	2.2		6336		2.76		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6292	2.3	2.1	2.7		6331		2.73		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6297	2.0	2.1	2.2		6341		2.60		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6304	2.1	2.1	2.9		6281		2.40		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6308	2.0	2.0	2.3		6292		2.37		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6318	3.5	3.3	3.5		6304		2.37		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6331	2.6	2.6	3.0		6287		2.16		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6336	2.8	2.5	3.0		6282		2.13		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6341	2.7	2.6	2.5		6297		2.10		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6345	2.7	2.8	3.3		6308		2.10		2.45
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	tall no	n-leaf	v							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6275	2.1	2.2	2.1		6321		2.66		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6277	2.1	2.1	2.4		6280		2.36		n
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6280	2.5	2.2	2.4		6277		2.20		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6285	2.0	2.0	2.0		6329		2.20		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6288	2.0	2.0	2.0		6275		2.13		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6221	2.7	2.6	2.7		6285		2.00		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6320	2.0	2.1	2.5		6288		2.00		2.22
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	medium	leafy								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6283	2.1	2.1	2.3		6319		2.53		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6201	2.1	1.9	2.3		6301		2.43		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6203	2.1	1.8	2.0		6299		2.26		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6204	2.3	2.2	2.2		6294		2.23		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6296	2.1	2.0	2.1		6283		2.16		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6208	2.0	1.9	2.2		6291		2.10		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6200	2.2	1.9	2.7		6296		2.06		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6301	2.1	2.3	2.9		6298		2.03		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6310	2.4	2.4	2.8		6293		1.96	•	2.20
Active intervention 2.1 2.1 2.1 6323 2.60 6320 2.0 2.0 2.5 6320 2.16 6323 2.7 2.4 2.7 6300 2.06 2.2 short leafy 6324 2.2 2.3 2.6 6347 2.80 6324 2.2 2.3 2.6 6349 2.46 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	medium	non-le	afv							
6320 2.0 2.0 2.5 6320 2.16 6323 2.7 2.4 2.7 6300 2.06 2.2 short leafy 2.2 2.0 2.1 6347 2.80 6324 2.2 2.3 2.6 6349 2.46 6327 2.1 2.1 6339 2.40 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	6200	2.0	2.1	2.1		6323		2.60		
6323 2.7 2.4 2.7 6300 2.06 2.2 short leafy 2.2 2.0 2.1 6347 2.80 6324 2.2 2.3 2.6 6349 2.46 6327 2.1 2.1 6339 2.40 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	6320	2.0	2.0	2.5	1	6320		2.16		
short leafy 2.2 2.0 2.1 6347 2.80 6324 2.2 2.3 2.6 6349 2.46 6327 2.1 2.1 6339 2.40 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	6323	2.7	2.4	2.7		6300		2.06		2.27
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	short 1	leafy								
6324 2.2 2.3 2.6 6349 2.46 6327 2.1 2.1 2.1 6339 2.40 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	6280	2.2	2.0	2.1		6347		2.80		
6327 2.1 2.1 2.1 6339 2.40 6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	6324	2.2	2.3	2.6		6349		2.46		
6328 2.4 2.0 2.5 6324 2.36	6227	2.1	2.1	2.1		6339		2.40		
	6328	2.1	2.0	2.5		6324		2.36		
6770 2.7 2.1 2.0 0.20 2.30	6220	202	2.1	2.8		6328		2.30		
6247 2.6 2.6 3.2 6289 2.10	6247	2.6	2.6	3.2		6289		2.10		
6249 2.4 2.2 2.8 6327 2.10 2.3	6240	2.4	2.2	2.8		6327		2.10		2.36

Table 13. Heading

LSD for selection means = 0.03

Changle approximation	:	an a		1	Ranked	mea	ans	:	Class
Sele	ction :	Replication	means	:	selection	:	mean	:	mean
tall	leafy								
6281	3.0	3.0	3.2		6297		3.43		
6282	3.4	3.1	3.0		6331		3.20		
6287	2.4	3.3	3.2		6282		3.16		
6292	3.0	3.1	3.4		6336		3.13		
6297	.3.5	3.2	3.6		6281		3.06		
6304	2.7	2.9	2.9		6318		3.03		
6308	3.1	3.0	2.5		6345		3.00		
6318	2.9	3.0	3.2		6287		2.96		
6331	3.0	3.1	3.5		6341		2.93		
6336	3.0	3.0	3.4		6308		2.86		
6341	2.0	2.8	3.0		6304		2.83		
6345	3.0	2.9	3.1		6292		2.16		2.98
tall	non-leaf	v	•••						
6275	3.5	3.3	3.4		6275		3.40		4
6277	2.7	3.1	2.7		6321		3.33		
6280	2.9	3.0	2.8		6285		3.10		
6285	2.8	3.5	3.0		6388		3.03		
6288	3.0	3.0	3.1		6280		2.90		
6321	3.3	3.3	3.4		6277		2.83		
6329	3.0	2.6	2.9		6329		2.83		3.06
medi	um leafy								0
6283	3.2	2.9	3.0		6301		3.33		
6291	3.3	2.9	3.0		6294		3.13		
6293	2.6	2.9	2.9		6298		3.10		
6294	3.3	3.1	3.1		5291		3.06		
6296	3.0	3.0	3.1		6283		3.03		
6298	3.2	3.0	3.1		6296		3.03		
6299	2.8	3.1	3.0		6299		2.96		
6301	3.6	3.3	3.2		6293		2.80		
6319	3.0	2.7	2.7		6319		2.80		3.03
medi	um non-le	afy							
6300	3.8	3.4	3.2		6300		3.46		
6320	3.0	2.8	2.6		6323		3.06		
6323	3.0	3.0	3.2		6320		2.80		3.11
shor	t leafy	-	-						
6289	4.0	4.0	3.6		6289		3.86		
6324	3.0	2.9	2.7		6339		3.33		
6327	3.2	3.2	3.2		6327		3.20		
6328	3.0	3.0	2.9		6349		3.03		
6339	3.6	3.0	3.4		6328		2.96		
6347	2.9	2.7	3.0		6324		2.86		
6349	3.1	3.0	3.0	A.	6347		2.86		3.16

Table 14. Type of growth

LSD for selection means = 3.24

Table 15.	Lea	afiness							
	1	1999) - Caling and Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna		:	Ranked	mea	ins		Class
Selection	1	Replication	means	1	selection	1	mean	1	mean
tall leaf	Y								
6281	2.0	2.8	2.3		6287		2.96		
6282	2.7	2.6	2.0		6292		2.76		
6287	2.5	2.7	2.7		6297		2.73		
6292	2.8	2.6	2.9		6308		2.50		
6297	2.8	2.8	2.6		6336		2.46		
6304	2.0	2.0	2.3		6282		2.43		
6308	2.5	2.4	2.6		6281		2.36		
6318	2.1	1.5	2.2		6341		2.36		
6331	2.4	2.0	2.3		6345		2.36		
6336	2.9	2.1	2.4		6331		2.23		
6341	2.7	2.4	2.0		6304		2.10		
6345	2.5	2.0	2.6		6318		1.93		2.43
tall non-	leaf	v							
6275	3.4	3.0	3.2		6275		3.20		
6277	2.7	2.6	2.3		6288		2.86		×
6280	2.8	2.7	2.3		6285		2.83		
6285	2.0	2.5	3.0		6280		2.60		
6288	2.7	2.0	2.0		6277		2.52		
6321	2.7	2.2	1.2		6220		2.53		
6220	2.5	2.8	2.2		6221		2.06		2.66
modium le	afv	2.0	200		0322				
6282	2.2	1.7	2.2		6204		2.06		
6201	2.6	2.1	2.2		6206		2.82		
6202	2.0	2.5	2.8		6202		2.76		
6204	2 0	2.5	2.0		6208		2.76		
6206	2.9	2.3	2 1		6201		2 22		
6208	2.0	9 7	2.0		6201		2.33		
6200	1 7	2.1	2.9		6200		2.33		
6201	2 1	2.1	2.9		6282		2.23		
6301	2.4	2.3	2.3		6210		1 66		0 44
0319	1.9	1.3	1.0		0319		1.00		2.44
Acco	n-lea	ary	0 77		6000		0 06		
6300	3.2	3.0	401		6300		2.90		
6320	2.3	1.0	1.0		6323		2.23		0 04
0323	2.4	2.4	1.9		0320		1.90		2.30
short lea	ry				6000				
0289	3.3	3.3	3.3		0289		3.30		
0324	1.7	1.9	1.4		0327		2.50		
0327	2.4	2.7	2.0		0349		2.40		
0328	1.3	1.7	1.4		0347		2.00		
0339	2.0	2.0	2.1		0339		2.03		
0347	2.3	1.9	2.0		0324		1.00		
0349	2.5	2.2	2.5	-	0328	-	1.40	-	2.21

LSD for selection means = 0.71

			averam	e or s r	ehtt	LUGU.	LOUB	1				
Sele	ction	:	Da	ys after	· sta	irt c	of s	tudy				
-	lst	1	2nd	: 4th	1	6th	1	Sth	:	llth	1	13th
tall	leafy											
6281	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6
6282	3.3		8.3	21.6	2	11.6		29.6		30.6		31.3
6287	0.6		2.6	9.0	1	2.0		13.6		14.0		14.3
6297	1.3		2.0	9.6	1	4.6		15.6		15.6		16.0
6304	7.6		10.0	12.6	1	4.3		15.3		15.3		15.3
6331	0.3		0.6	4.0		6.6		7.6		8.6		9.6
6336	0.0		0.0	0.6		3.0		4.6		6.0		6.6
6341	1.0		1.0	4.3		7.0		7.3		8.6		10.0
6345	0.0		0.6	3.6		6.3		7.6		9.6		11.3
tall	non-le	af	v	•								
6277	1.0		3.0	7.0	1	1.0		11.6		11.6		11.6
6321	1.0		5.6	10.0	1	1.3		12.6		13.0		13.3
6329	1.6		5.3	16.6	2	11.0		22.6		23.6		24.6
medi	um leaf	y										• >
6283	4.3		6.3	9.6	1	0.6		10.6		11.6		11.6
6291	3.6		11.6	21.6	2	25.6		25.6		25.00		26.3
6293	1.3		3.3	6.3	1	1.3		13.0		13.6		13.6
6294	1.3		3.0	4.6		6.0		6.3		6.3		6.3
6299	0.0		0.3	3.3		5.3		5.3		5.6		5.6
shor	t leafy											
6289	0.0	_	0.6	3.6		6.3		7.0		7.0		7.0

Table 16. Accumulative germination counts by days

Table 17. Analysis of variance of leaf length

Source of variation :	D.F.	: M.S.
Selections	36	1800.36**
Replications	2	622.22*
Selections X replications	72	181.12**
Plants same sel. and rep.	444	78.87**
Leaves same plant	2220	13.95
Total	2774	

Table 18. Analysis of variance of leaf width

1		1		
Source of variation :	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections	36		137.41**	
Replications	2		109.51**	
Selections X replications	72		17.50**	
Plants same sel. and rep.	444		5.08**	
Leaves same plant	2220		0.70	
Total	2774			

		and the second state of th		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF
1	and the second	:		
Sources of variation :	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections	36		943.30**	
Replications	1		7.79	
Selections X replications	36		261.03**	
Plants same sel. and rep.	296		116.14**	
Leaves same plant	1480		24.70	
Total	1849			

Table 19. Analysis of variance of panicle length

Table 20. Analysis of variance of internode diameter

:		:
Sources of variation :	D.F.	: M.S.
Selections	36	0.02111**
Replications	1	0.07000**
Selections X replications	36	0.00166**
Plants same sel. and rep.	296	0.00057**
Stems same plant	1480	0.00009
Total	1849	

Table 21. Analysis of variance of total germination count#

	:		:		
Sources of variation	:	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections		17		181.48**	
Replications		2		40.57*	
Error		34		11.94	
Total		53			

#Feb. 17 to Mar. 2

Table 22. Analysis of variance of greenhouse vigor, April 25

	1	:		
Sources of variation	: D.F.	:	M.S.	-
Selections	37		2.37**	
Replications	. 2		7.61**	
Error	74		0.36	
Total	113			

Table 23. Analysis of variance of greenhouse vigor, May /	
---	--

Sources of variation	:	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections		37		2.07**	
Replications		2		4.75**	
Error		74		0.66	
Total		113			

f v.	ariance	of	height	top collar,	May 7
:			1		da e contrato da la condición de la condición de la contra de la contra
:	D.F.		1	M.S.	
	37			112.15**	
	2			362.11**	
	74			11.96	
	113				
e	anionos	~	haight	ton collen	Ann 91
	ariance	01	neignu	cop collar,	Apr. 2
:	D.F.		:	M.S.	
	27			15.08	
	31			106 7444	
	74			14 22	
	112			14.66	
	1-1			ada manada kata ang sakadar ng saba dinag	
f v	ariance	of	field y	igor, Sept.	2
:	BANGALAN AP IN GAINER COULDING		:	8*************************************	~~*****
:	D.F.		:	M.S.	
	37			0.25**	
	0.				
	2			17.85**	
	2 7 A			17.85**	
	2 74 113			0.07	
	74 113			0.07	
	74 113			0.07	
fv	2 74 113 ariance	of	heading	17.85** 0.07	
f v.	2 74 113 ariance	of	heading	17.85** 0.07	
f v. :	2 74 113 ariance D.F.	of	heading :	17.85** 0.07 <u>x. Aug. 15</u> <u>M.S.</u>	
f v. :	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37	of	heading : :	17.85** 0.07 <u>5. Aug. 15</u> <u>M.S.</u> 0.287**	¥
f v. 1	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2	of	heading : :	17.85** 0.07 5. Aug. 15 M.S. 0.287* 0.990*	¥ ¥
f <u>v</u> . :	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74	of	heading ! !	17.85** 0.07 5. Aug. 15 M.S. 0.287* 0.990* 0.026	¥ ¥
f v. : :	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113	of	heading : :	17.85** 0.07 <u>. Aug. 15</u> <u>M.S.</u> 0.287* 0.990* 0.026	¥ ¥
f v. :	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113	of	heading ! !	17.85** 0.07 5. Aug. 15 M.S. 0.287* 0.990* 0.026	¥ ¥
<u>f v</u> : :	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance	of	heading : :	17.85** 0.07 5. Aug. 15 M.S. 0.287* 0.990* 0.026	* * tips of
<u>f v</u> : :	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance 1eaves	of of	heading : : average	17.85** 0.07 5. Aug. 15 M.S. 0.287* 0.990* 0.026	* * tips of
f v. : : f v.	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance leaves	of of	heading ! ! average	17.85** 0.07 5. Aug. 15 M.S. 0.287* 0.990* 0.026	* * tips of
f v : : f v.	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance leaves D.F.	of of 5	heading : : average	17.85** 0.07 <u>M.S.</u> 0.287* 0.990* 0.026 height to M.S.	* * tips of
f v : : f v	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance leaves D.F. 27	of of	heading : : average	17.85** 0.07 <u>M.S.</u> 0.287* 0.990* 0.026 height to <u>M.S.</u> 210.75**	* * tips of
f v : : f v.	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance leaves D.F. 37 2	of of	heading : : average : :	17.85** 0.07 <u>M.S.</u> 0.287* 0.990* 0.026 height to <u>M.S.</u> 210.75** 145.29**	* * tips of
f v.	2 74 113 ariance D.F. 37 2 74 113 ariance leaves D.F. 37 2 74	of of	heading : : average : :	17.85** 0.07 M.S. 0.287* 0.990* 0.026 height to M.S. 210.75** 145.39**	* * tips of
		<pre>i variance i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance i D.F. 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37</pre>	<pre>i variance of i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance of i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance of i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance of i D.F. 37</pre>	<pre>i variance of height i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance of height i D.F. 37 2 74 113 f variance of field v i i D.F. 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37</pre>	i i M.S. 37 112.15** 2 362.11** 2 362.11** 74 11.96 113 11.96 f variance of height top collar. i D.F. M.S. 37 15.08 2 106.74** 74 14.22 113 113

	:	1019 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -	:	#1.45.46 /14.47.65 / A. 1946 (B. 1974) AND	Inc. Oper and the second second
Sources of variation	:	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections		37		138.43	
Replications		2		307.78**	
Error		74		58.81	
Total		113			

Table 29. Analysis of variance of number of tillers per plant

T	ab.	Le	30.	Anal	ysis	of	var.	iance	of	type	of	growth	L
													_

	:		:		
Sources of variation	:	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections		37		0.1562**	
Replications		2		0.0200	
Error		74		0.0398	
Total		113			

Table 31. Analysis of variance of leafiness

	:		:		
Sources of variation	:	D.F.	:	M.S.	
Selections		37		0.27	
Replications		2		0.23	
Error		74		0.19	
Total		113			

		N	1									•				
Table 32. Difference	es between class	means			•											
Class :	Compared with	: : Leaf length	: : Leaf width	: Panicle : length	: Internode : t diameter :	Panicle	: Internode	No. of tillers	: Date of : : heading :	Field height of tips of leaves	Type of growth	: Vigor : : April 25 :	Vigor : May 7 :	Vigor, Field	: Height top of collar, May 7	: Germi-
tall leafy	tall non- leafy	7.47*	1.60*	1.56	.0072*	1.56	.0072*	3.63	.2294*	8.96*	#.0808	#.83*	#.67*	#.23*	6.06*	#2.64
	medium height leafy	4.00*	1.16*	3.45*	.0113	3.45*	.0113	4.13*	.2552*	9.41*	#.0475	# . 88*	#.78*	#.2 5*	6.47*	#0.66
	medium height non-leafy	3•35*	0.94*	3.28*	.0097	3.28*	.0097	5 .3 7	.1775*	7 • 33*	#.1275	#.36	#•45	#•35*	5.06*	
	short leafy	0.71	0.81*	#1.87	.0023	#1.87	.0023	1.98	.0908*	2.29*	#.1779*	#.02	.00	#.08	2.87*	5.80*
tall non-leafy	medium height leafy	#3.48*	#0.44	1.91	.0041	1.91	.0041	0.50	.0258	0.45	.0333	#.05	#.11	#.02	0.41	1.98
	medium height non-leafy	#4.13*	#0.66	1.74*	.0025	1.74*	.0025	1.74	#.0519	#1.63	#.0467	•47*	.22	#.12	#1.00	
	short leafy	#6 . 77*	#0.79*	#3.43*	#.0049	#3.43*	#.0049	#1.65	#.1386*	#6.67*	#.0971	.81*	.67*	.15	#3.19*	8.44*
medium height leafy	medium height non-leafy	#0.65	#0.22	#0.17	#.0016	#0.17	#.0016	1.24	#.0777	#2.08	#.08 01	• 52*	• 33	#.10	#1.41	
	short leafy	#3.29*	#0.35	#5.34*	#.0090	#5.34*	#.0090	#2.15	#.1644*	#7.12*	#.1304*	.86*	•78*	•17*	#3.60*	6.46*
medium height non- leafy	short leafy	#2.64*	#0.13	#5.17*	#.0074	#5.17*	#.0074	#3.39	#.0867*	#5.04*	#.0504	•34*	•45*	.27*	#2.19*	** ** ** **

*Differences at the 5 per cent level of significance #Differences in which the class in the second column had the

greater mean

 .029 .800 .720 .623 .74929 .002 .001 .001 .001 .695 .608 .861 .427 .780 .645 .710 .929948 .929948 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No*s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Fanicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	 .029 .800 .720 .623 .749294 .002 .001 .001 .001 .695 .608 .861 .427 .780 .645 .710 .929948 .929948 .929948 .929911 .883 .883 .712 .883 .712 .10 Level of significance .321 Level of Significance .413 Legend of Code No*s. Leaf length Internode diameter Leaf width Panicle length No. of tillers per plant Average height to tips of leaves Height top collar, May 7 Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 Vigor in field Heading Leafiness 		2	: 3	: 4	: 5	: 6	: 7	:	8	:	9	1	10	:	11
2 .002 .001 .001 .001 3 .695 .608 .861 4 .427 .780 5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7911 8883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No's. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Fanicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 5. No. of tillers per plant 5. No. of tillers per plant 5. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	2 .002 .001 .001 .001 3 .695 .608 .861 4 .427 .780 5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7911 8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No*s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 11. Leafiness	1	.029	.800	.720	.623	.749									298
3 .695 .608 .861 4 .427 .780 5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7 911 8 .883 9 712 10 .883 5 .11 8 .883 9 .712 10 .712 10 .883 5 .1 10 .883 5 .929 10 .883 .9 .712 10 .883 .9 .712 10 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9	3 .695 .608 .861 4 .427 .780 5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7	2		.002	.001	.001	.001									
4 .427 .780 5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7911 8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No [*] s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	4 .427 .780 5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7	3			.695	.608	.861									
5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7911 8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No [*] s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	5 .645 .710 6 .929948 7911 8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No ⁺ s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 11. Leafiness	4				.427	.780									
6 .929948 7911 8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No [*] s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	6 .929948 7911 8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No*s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 11. Leafiness	5					.645	.710)							
911 .883 712 .883 712 .883 712 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10	911 .883 712 .883 712 .883 712 .0 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10	6						.929)			948				
8 .883 9712 10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No's. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	 .883 .712 .883 .712 	7								.911						
 712 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No[†]s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 	 712 712 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No's. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 11. Leafiness 	8										883			• *	
10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No [‡] s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading	10 5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No [†] s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 11. Leafiness	9											7	12		
<pre>5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No[*]s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading</pre>	5% Level of significance .321 1% Level of significance .413 Legend of Code No*s. 1. Leaf length 2. Internode diameter 3. Leaf width 4. Panicle length 5. No. of tillers per plant 6. Average height to tips of leaves 7. Height top collar, May 7 8. Vigor in greenhouse, May 7 9. Vigor in field 10. Heading 11. Leafiness	10														
	11. Leafiness	1% Leg 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Level end of Leaf Inter Leaf Panic No. o Avera Heigh Vigor Head:	of sig f Code length rnode of width cle ler of till age hei ht top r in gr r in fi ing	nifica Nots. Nationation Nots. Nationation Nots. Nationation Nots. Nationation Nots. Nationation Nots.	er er plan o tips r, May use, Ma	tl3 of lea 7 ay 7	aves								

	:	2	:	3	:	4	:	5
1		2536		.0247		.1539		0506
2				.1778		0561		.4709
3						0651		.4379
4								.4897
5% I 1% I	evel.evel	of signif of signif	icance	•339 •437				
Lege 1. 2. 3. 4.	end of Leaf Leaf Panic No. o Heigh	Code No' length width le length f tillers t to tips	s. per pl	Lant				

Table 34. Partial correlation coefficients

DISCUSSION

Results of this study were in general agreement with the findings of Weaver and Fitzpatrick (1932) with respect to the size of the leaves, stems, panicle, and the overall height of a mature plant.

As mentioned earlier, switchgrass is useful for grazing, for hay production, and for soil conservation practices. Cornelius and Johnston (1941) are among the many who suggest that the best plant for these three uses would be a leafy, finestemmed plant of good height.

Results of the study of leaf length, leaf width, internode diameter, panicle length, height of leaf tips, and number of tillers per plant indicate that improvement of the species should not be difficult. High values for the simple correlation coefficients rl3, rl5, 416, r35, r36, and r56 indicate that no adverse linkage or pleitropic relationships exist among leaf length, leaf width, number of tillers per plant, and plant height. Indeed it is likely that improvement in any one of these characters would be accompanied by improvement in each of the others.

Panicle length also was highly correlated with the above characters. It is unlikely that panicle length would be directly involved in forage production, but it would presumably have an important bearing upon seed yield.

The lack of correlation between internode diameter and any of the other characters is encouraging. It indicates that selection for fineness of culms need not result in adverse changes in other characters contributing to forage value.

Heterogeniety LSD values indicate significant intra-class variation in most instances, the greater differences occurring in the tall leafy and the short leafy classes. These two classes consistently exhibited the greatest ranges which often were great enough to include the ranges of other classes. The interclass differences were generally small, significance generally occurring in comparisons involving the tall leafy and short leafy classes.

Two types of switchgrass have generally been recognized, a smaller, fine-stemmed "upland" type, and a larger, coarsestemmed "lowland" type. In the present study no attempt was made to distinguish these two forms, although a recent investigation of materials in the source nurseries has indicated that the parents of lines 6345, 6347 and 6349 were of the lowland type. These lines occurred in the tall leafy and short leafy classes, and an examination of the tabulated results strongly suggest that their inclusion in the study resulted in an increase in the variability found for most characters.

Results of recent studies indicate that the upland and lowland types differ cytologically, and that they may exist as distincy breeding populations. If this is true the high degree of variability demonstrated in the present study might be considered as being partly due to the inclusion of measurements from two distinct populations differing in their distribution of plant height, leaf width, etc. Additional research is required to determine whether the upland and lowland types should indeed

EXPLANATION OF PLATE I

Variation among selections while in greenhouse.



be considered separate populations.

High values for the correlation coefficients r69, r78, and r56 suggest that "vigor" is largely a reflection of plant height and number of tillers per plant. Vigor appears to be a rather vague and indefinite term which, in this study at least, could well have been replaced by the more meaningful term "plant size".

It is interesting to note that differences in leafiness were less significant than were those in leaf length, leaf width, and other characters directly involved in leafiness. A large part of this apparent discrepancy is no doubt explained by the fact that "leafiness" was a visual rating which would lack much of the consistency of ratings based on actual measurements.

As shown in table 16, the ranking of the various selections with respect to percentage of germination did not become stable until about the tenth day, after which there was little additional germination. The apparent variation in rate of germination implies that sufficient time must be allowed in evaluation of seed sources for percentage germination. It is interesting to note that in the main study only one selection failed to produce a good proportion of healthy vigorous seedlings.

It is unfortunate that the parent-progeny portion of this study was lost. It would have helped establish the heritabilities of the characters studied.

SUMMARY

A morphological study of switchgrass was established from

open-pollinated seed of selected clones. Progenies of the selected clones were established in the greenhouse and later transplanted to the field. Measurements were taken in the greenhouse and in the field. Fifteen sets of data, involving both actual measurements and visual ratings, were obtained and analyzed statistically.

The results showed high simple correlation among all factors contributing to plant yield except internode diameter. Only three partial correlation coefficients were significant. The inter-class variations were generally small with the greatest variation being present within the tall leafy and short leafy classes.

It was felt that improvement of the species should not be too difficult. A study of the correlation coefficients indicated that selections of tall plants with large leaves, slender culms, and many tillers was probably feasible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer is indebted to Doctor R. C. Pickett for the plan of the experiment and for his suggestions and advice during the time the work has been conducted. Special acknowledgment is also given to Doctor F. L. Barnett for his assistance and advice in writing the paper. The author also expresses his appreciation to Doctor H. C. Fryer for assistance and supervision in conducting the statistical analysis.

LITERATURE CITED

Cornelius, D. R. and C. O. Johnston

Differences in plant type and reaction to rust among several collections of <u>Panicum virgatum</u> L. Amer. Soc. Agron. Journ. 33, Feb., 1941. 9 p.

Hoover, M. M.

Native and adapted grasses for conservation of soil and moisture in the Great Plains and western states. U.S.D.A. Farmers: Bul. 1812, 1939.

Hitchcock, A. S.

Manual of the grasses of the United States. U.S.D.A. Misc. Pub. 200, 1950. 1051 p.

Nielsen, E. L.

Analysis of variation in Panicum virgatum. Journ. Agri.

Res. 69. Oct., 1944. 327 - 353 p.

Ross, M. M.

A study of rust resistance in Panicum virgatum. Kan. State

College. Master's Thesis. 1939. 38 p.

Weaver, J. E. and T. J. Fitzpatrick

Ecology and relative importance of the dominants of the

tall-grass prairie. Bot. Gazette. 93. 1932. 37p. Wheeler, W. A.

Forage and pasture crops. Van. Nostrand, 1950. 752 p.

A MORPHOLOGICAL STUDY OF SWITCHGRASS, PANICUM VIRGATUM

by

FRANCIS HOBART MEANS, JR.

B. S., Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1954

AN ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agronomy

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

A study of morphological variation of various characters and their interrelationship was made as an aid to a better overall understanding of switchgrass.

2

From nurseries on the Kansas State University Agronomy farms seed clones were selected and classified as tall leafy, tall non-leafy, medium tall leafy, medium tall non-leafy and short leafy. The open-pollinated seed was collected and germinated in the greenhouse. Seedlings of each selection were transplanted to flats and later to the field. Observations were made on leaf length, leaf width, panicle length, internode diameter, height of tips of leaves, height of collar, number of tillers per plant, type of growth, heading, greenhouse vigor, field vigor and leafiness. The results were analyzed statistically.

The characters leaf length, leaf width, panicle length, height of leaf tips, and number of tillers per plant were highly correlated with one another. Internode diameter was not correlated with any other character, and the visual ratings were correlated only with the characters of which they were an estimate. High positive correlations among most yield-contributing characters indicated that improvement of the species would be possible by careful selection.

The class names, although accurately describing the seed parents, were not completely satisfactory for the open-pollination progeny; however the larger variations found within the tall leafy and short leafy classes were believed to have been due in part to the difference in genetic background. From recent studies it is felt that there are two distinctly different types of switchgrass present in the Great Plains. It was believed that both types were present in the study but were not analyzed separately.

A germination study of several selections used in the main study was made. The results showed considerable variation between selections in percentage germination. However, the seedlings used in the main study were generally easily established and in only one selection was there a shortage of plants caused by seedling mortality.

A parent-progeny study was begun but had to be abandoned because of high mortality of the parent clones.

It was felt that further work including a parent-progeny study would be of value.