Socioeconomic Disparities and Late-Onset Group B *Streptococcus*, Tennessee, 2010-2014

Cassandra Jones August 3rd, 2016



Outline

- Field Experience
- 2015 Database Audit
 - Background
 - Methods
 - Results
 - Discussion
- Late onset group B Streptococcus
 - Background
 - Methods
 - Results
 - Discussion
- Competencies

Field Experience

- Tennessee Emerging Infections Program
 - Vanderbilt University Medical Center
 - Dr. William Schaffner
 - Tennessee Department of Health
 - Dr. Tim Jones







- Addressing Emerging Infectious Disease Threats: A Preventative Strategy for The United States, Executive Summary¹
- Founded in 1995
- Four Goals:
 - Detect, investigate, and monitor emerging pathogens, the diseases they cause, and factors influencing their emergence
 - Integrate both laboratory science and epidemiology to optimize public health practice
 - Enhance communication of public health information about emerging diseases and ensure prompt implementation of prevention strategies
 - Strengthen local, state, and federal public health infrastructures to support surveillance and implement prevention and control programs





KANSAS STATE

- Main Programs
 - Active Bacterial Core surveillance (ABCs)
 - FoodNet
 - Influenza
 - Healthcare Associated Infections- Community Interface (HAIC)
- Minor Programs / Projects
 - TickNET
 - HPV IMPACT







- Active Bacterial Core surveillance (ABCs)
 - Collects surveillance data on invasive pathogens
 - Nesseria meningitides, Streptococcus pneumoniae, group A and B Streptococcus, Listeria monocytogenes, and Haemophilus influenzae
 - Largest section of the EIP
 - Total population over EIP: 42 million
 - Total population in TN: 3.95 million



Active Bacterial Core surveillance



• Flu-Surv Net

KANSAS STATE

- Collects surveillance on Influenza hospitalizations
- TN catchment area:
 - Eight middle Tennessee counties
 - Encompasses > 1.6 million people
- Submits data to the CDC for FluView Report







- HPV- IMPACT
 - Evaluates the impact of the HPV vaccination program and HPV vaccine efficacies



Human Papillomavirus Bivalent (Types 16 and 18) Vaccine, Recombinant

- Limited to Davidson County
- Surveillance on CIN2+ events in women





Internship Activities

- Attended meetings at TDH
 - Weekly Surveillance Meeting
 - Monthly meeting with field surveillance
- Assisted in extracting information from medical records for Case Report Forms (HPV, ABCs)
- Edited and reviewed the 2017 EIP grant application for VUMC sections
 - ABCs, Candidemia, Flu, HPV



	- ACTIVE BACTERIAL COR	E SURVEILLANCE CASE REPORT -		
Patient's Name: 0.ast. Pist.7	AD CAN		Phone No.:() Patient	
Address; Number Stree	rt, Apr. No.j		Chart No.;	
(City, State)	(2)	Code) Hosp	ital;	
Patient identifier information is not transmitted to CDC -				
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HEALAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND RECEMENT AND A SUB33 A CORE COMPON	2016 ACTIVE I RVEILLANCE (A NENT OF THE EMERG	BACTERIAL CORE BCs) CASE REPOR Ing infections progi	T ram network	CDC
1. STATE: 2. STATE LD.: 3. D	ATE FIRST POSITIVE CULTURE	COLLECTED 4. Date reported to	EIP site: 5. CRF Status	
(Residence of Patient)	(Date Specimen Collect Mo. Day Year	Ma. Day	Tear 1 Complet 2 Incomplet	e 3 Edited & Correct ete 4 Chart univailable after 3 requests
6. COUNTY: (Residence of Patient)	7a. HOSPIT	AL/LAB I.D. WHERE REIDENTIFIED:	7b. HOSPITAL I.D. W PATIENT TREATE	
8. DATE OF BIRTH: Mo. Day Year 99. AGE: 90. Is age in day 1 Days 2	10. SEX: 1	ale 1 Hispanic or Latino 2 Not Hispanic or Latino 9 Unknown	11b. RACE: (Check all that ap 1 White 1 Black 1 American Indian or Alaska Native	pby Asian Notive Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Unknown
12a. BACTERIAL SPECIES ISOLATED FROM ANY NORMALLY S 1 Neisseria meningitidis 3 Group B Streptococcus 2 Haemophilus influenzae 4 Listeria monocytogene	S Group A Streptococcu S Streptococcus pneum	s Dipecify)	ECIES ISOLATED FROM ANY NO	RMALLY STERILE SITE:
13. STERLS STES FROM WINCH ORGANISM SOUTON: (Check all that apply) 14. OTHER STES FROM WINCH ORGANISM 10. Bloom 10. Diversion of the step of				
INFLUENZA 15. Did this patient have a positive flu test 10	days prior to or following an	y ABCs positive culture? 1 Yes	2 No 9 Unknown	
16. WAS PATIENT HOSPITALIZED? If YES, date of admission: Ma. 1 Yes 2	Date of discharge: Mo. Day	Year ICU during to I Yes 2	as hospitalized, was this patient spitalization? No 9 Unknown	admitted to the
18a. Where was the patient a resident at time of initial cultur 1 Private residence 4 Homeless 7 2 Long term care facility 5 Incarcerated 8	re7 Non-medical ward Other (specify)	18b.If resident of a facility, wha was the name of the facility	t 19a.Was patient transferred from another hospital?	19b. If YES, hospital I.D.:
20a. WEIGHT	Unknown	Pacing to		
Ibs az OR kg OR Unknown 20b. HEIGHT:	1 TYPE OF INSURANCE: 1 Private 1 Medicare 1 Medicaid/state as	(Check all that apply) 1 Military 1 Dinclan Heald istance program 1 Dincarcerated	1 Other/spec Service (IHS) 1 Uninsured 1 Unknown	8y)
22. OUTCOME: 1 Survived 2 Died 9 Unknown	22a. If survived, patient dis	charged to: 1 Home 2 LTC/SN	3 LTACH 4 Other	9 Unknown
23. If patient died, was the culture obtained on autopsy? 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown		If discharged to LTC/SNI	or LTACH, what is the Facility II	·
24a. At time of first positive culture, patient was: 1 Pregnant 2 Postpartum 3 Neither 9 Unit	nown	26. TYPES OF INFECTION CAUSE 1 Bacteremia 1	D BY ORGANISM: (Check all that Peritonitis 1	apply) ndometritis
24b. If pregnant or postpartum, what was the outcome of fet 1 Survived, no apparent liness 4 Abortion/stillioth 2 Survived, chinal intection 5 Induced abortion 3 Live birth/meonatal deat 6 Still pregnant 24c. Markit Hhis is a HINSSS fetal death with placenta and a HINSS fetal death with placenta and	us: 9Unknown /or amniotic fluid isolate,	without Focus Without Focus 1 Meningitis 1 Otitis media 1 Preumonia 1 Celuitris 1 Episjottitis	Pericarditis Septic abortion Chorioamnionitis Septic arthritis Osteomyelitis 1 Charlower and the septic arthritis Charlower and t	TSS lecrotizing fasciitis uerperal sepsis eptic shock 2ther (specify)
M. Manifest of month of any indicate surgering from	blath malabit if assault			

27. UNDERVING CAUSES OR PRIOR ILLNESSES	(Check all that apply OR if NONE or CHART UNI	AVALABLE, check appropriate box)	None 1 Unknown	
1 AIDS or CD4 count <200	1 Complement Deficiency	1 INDU, Current	Peptic Ulcer Disease	
1 Alcohol Abuse, Current	1 Connective Tissue Disease (Lupus, etc.)	1 VDU, Past	Peripheral Neuropathy	
1 Alcohol Abuse, Past	1 CSF Leak	1 Leukemia	1 Peripheral Vascular Disease	
1 Asthma	1 Deaf/Profound Hearing Loss	1 Multiple Myeloma	1 Plegias/Paralysis	
1 Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease	1 Dementia	Multiple Sclerosis	Premature Birth (specify gestational	
ASCVD/CAD	1 Diabetes Mellitus	Myocardial Infarction	age at ovth) (wxs)	
Sone Marlow Transplant (SMT) Cambral Vascular Accident (CVA) (Stroke/T)	1 Emphysema/COPD	Nephrotic Syndrome	1 Sickle Cell Anemia	
Chronic Kidney Disease	1 Heart Failure/CHF	Obwity	1 Smoker icumenti	
1 Chronic Liver Disease/cirrhosis	1 HIV infection	1 Other Drug Lise Current	Solid Organ Malignancy	
1 Current Chronic Dialysis	1 Hobgen's Usease/Lymphoma	1 Other Drug Use, Past	1 Solid Organ Transplant	
1 Chronic Skin Breakdown	1 minutogradul bitchicy	1 Parkinson's Disease	1 Splenectomy/Asplenia	
1 Cochlear Implant	(Steroids Chemotherapy, Badiation)		1 Other prior illness (specify):	
HAEMODULUS INCLUENZAS	-IMPORIANT - PLEASE COMPLETE	FOR THE RELEVANT ORGANI	- M-	
28a. What was the serotype? 1 b 2 N	rt Typeable 3□a 4□c 5□d 6□e	7 f 8 Other (specify)	9 Not Tested or Unknown	
28b. If <15 years of age and serotype 'b' or 'unk	nown'did 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unkn	own	28c. Were records obtained to verify	
patient receive Haemophilus influenzae b	vaccine? If YES, please complete the list belo	1964,	vaccination history? (<5 years of age	
DOSE DATE GIVEN Mo. Day Year	VACCINE NAME MANUFACTURE	R LOT NUMBER	with Hib/unknown serotype, only)	
			1 Yes 2 No	
			If YES, what was the source of the	
2			information? (Check all that apply)	
3			1 Vaccine Registry	
			1 Healthcare Provider	
4			1 Other(specify)	
NEISSERIA MENINGITIDIS				
29. What was the 1 A 2 B 3 C	4 Y 5 W135 6 Not Groupable 8	Other 9_Unkno	30. Is patient currently attending college?	
serogroupr			1 Tes 2 No 9 Unwhown	
31.Did patient receive meningococcal vaccine?	1 Yes 2 No 9 Jinknown If YES, can	plete the table STREPTOCOCCUS PI	NEUMONIAE	
DOSE TYPE DATE GIVEN	NAME MANUFACTURER L	OT NUMBER	_	
	<u></u>	1 Yes 2 No	9 Unknown	
		If YES, please note w	which pneumococcal vaccine was received:	
2 (Checkall that apply)				
		1 Prevnar®7-valer	nt Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV7)	
3		1 Provide 13 13-	valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV13)	
			colori De companya i Debaserbari de Manie a (201/23)	
4			valent medinicoccal Polyseccheride vacone (PPv25)	
		1 Divaccine type no	t specified	
° [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] \ [] \ [] \ [] \ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ _ [] _ _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ _] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ [] _ _ [] _ _ [] _ _ _ _		If between 22 month	is and < 5 years of age and an isolate is available	
6		Children expanded f	form.	
Type Codes: In: ACWY conjugate (Menastra, Merry	eo, Menkibrin) 2º ACWV polyaccharide (Menor	manel	I	
3= B (Bessero, Trumenba) 9= Unkno	wn	outer,		
31b. If survived, did patient have any of the fol	owing sequelae evident upon discharge? (cher	k all that apply) 1 None 1 Unkno	own	
Hearing deficits 1 Amputation (digit) 1	Amputation (limb) 1 Seizures 1 Paralys	is or spasticity 1 Skin Scarring/neck	058 1 Other (specify)	
GROUP A STREPTOCOCCUS (#33-35 refer to the	14 days		35. Did patient have:	
prior to first positive	(culture)		1 Varicella 1 Surgical wound	
33. Did the patient have surgery 1 Yes	No 9 Unknown 34.Did the patient of	deliver a baby (voginal or C-section) ?	1 Penetrating trauma (post operative)	
or any skin incision?	1 Yes 2 N	ia 9 Unknown	1 Blunt trauma 1 Bums	
Mo.	Day Year	Mo. Dity Year	If YES to any of the above, record the number of days prior to the first positive culture	
If YES, date of surgery or skin incision:	If YES, data of deliveror		0f > 1, use the most recent skin injuryd	
			1 0-7 days 2 8-14 days	
36. COMMENTS:				
Or bir seconders is after of this collection of information	o is antisymptotic to process 10 miles may narrownees. Inc	de alla es tha time for cardenian instructions :	and the solution data on one orthogics and	
maintaining the data needed, and completing and revi	ewing the collection of information. An agency may no	ot conduct or sponsor, and a person is not n	equired to respond to a collection of information unless	
It displays a currently valid OM3 control number. Send	comments regarding this burden estimate or any othe	er aspect of this collection information, inclu	ading suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC,	
Cardon rate reports Clearance Officer, 1900 Clifton Ros	4, HUL-24, HERES, GA 2022A, ATTR. PRA(0520-0978).	Do not send the completed form	n to una averetta.	
37. Was case first 1 Yes 2 No	38. Does this case have 1 Yes 2 No	If YES, previous	39. S.O. initials	
audit? 9_Unknown	the same pathogen? 9 Unknown	(1st) state I.D.:		
Submitted By:		Phone No. : ()	Date: / /	
Dhurician's Name:		Dhone No. : ()		
Proyacian's reame:		Priorie Motor []		
CDC 52.15A REV. 10:2015	- ACTIVE BACTERIAL CORE SURVE	DILLANCE CASE REPORT -	Page 2 of 2	

Internship Activities

- Pneumococcal Carriage Study
 - 4/10 EIP sites
 - Objectives³:
 - Define Prevalence and serotype distribution of *S. pneumonia* in adults <u>></u>65 prior to widespread use of PCV-13
 - Assess risk factors for colonization
 - Provide baseline data to assess the impact of the new ACIP recommendation on carriage rates in the same patient population through later surveys
 - Cross sectional study that involved naso- and oropharyngeal swabs
 - Assisted in enrolling patients prior to being swabbed by the nurse



Internship Activities

- Flu–Surv Net
 - CDC site visit
 - Society of Clinical Research Associates
 - · Completed poster and abstract for annual meeting in October







Minor Project-2015 ABCs Database Audit



- Under the current grant cycle, the CDC does not require the EIP to perform audits
- Starting in 2017, each site will be required to perform audits on each database (ABCs, HPV, HAIC, etc.)
- This year, the ABCs database was housed in REDCap, previous years were in Access
 - REDCap is a secure web application created by Vanderbilt for building and managing online surveys and databases⁴





Objectives

- Create a database to house future audit information that can be merged with current database
- Complete a 10% audit of the 2015 ABCs database
- Assess the program's data entry protocol and highlight areas that need revisions or reeducation



Methods – Database

- Utilized REDCap to create a database to house the ABCs audit information
 - Can be merged with current and future ABCs databases

Can enter up to 10 discrepancies per CRF

 Two types of errors



Methods – Database

- Data Entry Error
 - An error in which an item is entered into the electronic database incorrectly
 - Spelling errors, checking incorrect boxes, correcting errors on a form without updating database
- Data Omission Error
 - An error in which an element of the hard copy CRF is not entered into the database
- Comments



Methods – Database

- Section for data entry manager includes:
 - A place to answer if the error was corrected
 - Date of correction
 - Initials
 - Comments on correction



1903 Addit 2013-2010	Save and Continue
ctions: 🔁 Download PDF of instrument(s) 🗢 🛛 😱	Share instrument in the Library
Error/Correction log	
Adding new State ID TNK0000	
State ID	TNK0000
Case Year	8 2015
must provide value	
les the sees been sudited?	🛞 🖲 Yes
tas the case been audited?	O No
	(eye
Was there an error?	H Ves
	🥪 🔾 No
Error 1	
	Omitted Error
Nature of Error 1	O Data Entry Error
	reset
Commente en Error 1	н
Comments on Error 1	\sim
	Expand
Was Error 4 corrected?	H OYes
Was Ellor 1 Conecteu :	⊘ O No
Date of Correction	
' must provide value	Now D-M-Y H:M:S
Editor's Initials	B
must provide value	
Comments on Correction	



Methods – Audit

- Random 10% of cases was pulled using SAS 9.4 from the 2015 database
- Errors were marked on CRF using post-it flags
- Question error was on and what the discrepancy was were annotated in the 'Comments on Error' box



- 129 Case Report Forms were audited
- All contained at least one error

Nature of Error 1

Total Count (N)	Missing	Unique	
129	0 (0.0%)	2	

KANSAS STATE

Counts/frequency: Omitted Error (77, 59.7%), Data Entry Error (52, 40.3%)



Number of Errors	Omitted Errors	Entry Errors	Total
1	77	52	129
2	54	63	117
3	67	39	106
4	71	26	97
5	72	10	82
6	52	8	60
7	32	2	34
8	15	1	16
9	11	1	12
10	7	0	7
Total	458	202	660
Average/ CRF	3.55	1.57	5.12

 There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

Field	Errors	%
Patient Information	66	51.2
Hospital ID	39	30.2
Lab ID	26	20.2
Treatment ID	40	31
Pregnancy Status	40	31
Symptoms	37	28.7
Underlying		
Conditions	33	25.6
Submitted By	81	62.8
Date	85	65.9



 There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

Middle Initial Omitted

(Last, First, MI.)

(Number, Street, Apt. No.)

	Field	%	
e	Patient Information	66	51.2
	Hospital ID	39	30.2
	Lab ID	26	20.2
- ACTIVE BACTERIAL CORE SURVEIL	LANCE CASE REPORT - Ph	one No.:()	
	Pa	atient	
o.)	Pa Cł Hospital <u>:</u>	atient hart No.:	
o.) (Zip Code)	Pa Ct Hospital:	atient hart No.:	23.0

85

65.9

Date



(City, State)

Patient's Name:

Address:

 There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

Field	Errors	%
Patient Information	66	51.2
Hospital ID	39	30.2
Lab ID	26	20.2

– ACTIVE BACTERIAL CORE SURVEILLANCE CASE REPORT –								
Patient's Name:		Phone No.:()					
(Last, First, MI.)		Patient						
Address:		Chart No.:						
(Number, Street, Apt. No.)								
(City State) (Zin Cov	Hospital:							
(City, state) (Zip Cod	e)							
	Conditions	55	23.0					
	Submitted By	81	62.8	_				
	Date	85	65.9					



CULTURE IDENTIFIED:

• There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

2. STATE I.D.:

ΔI		_						
			Field	E	rors	%		
nat were		Patient Information			66	66 51.2		
aaed			Hospital ID		39	30.2		
<u> </u>		Lab ID		26	20.2			
		Treatment ID		40	31			
	- 2041	UEU AREAS FUR UF	c.D	SCUNET Ctot		40	Դ40 ,	0.0720 0770
	3. DATE FIRST POSITIN	VE CULTURE COLLEC imen Collected)	TED	4. Date reported to EIP site:		5. CRF Sta	tus:	
Mo. Day Year			Mo. Day Year		1 Comp 2 Incon	olete 3 Edito nplete 4 Char afte	ed & Correct rt unavailable er 3 requests	
	7a. HOSPITAL/LAB I.D. WHERE 7b. HOSPITAL I.D. WHERE							



1. STATE:

6. COUNTY:

(Residence of Patient)

(Residence of Patient)

PATIENT TREATED:

 There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

10. SEX:

1 X Male

Female

%
51.2
30.2
20.2
31
31
28.7
25.6
62.8
65.9

24a. At time of first positive culture, patient was:

Pregnant 2 Postpartum 3 X Neither 9 Unknown



 There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

Field	Errors	%
Patient Information	66	51.2
Hospital ID	39	30.2
Lab ID	26	20.2
Treatment ID	40	31
Pregnancy Status	40	31
Symptoms	37	28.7
Underlying		
Conditions	33	25.6
Submitted By	81	62.8
Date	85	65.9

26. TYPES OF INFECTION CAUSED BY ORGANISM: (Check all that apply)					
1 🔀 Bacteremia	1 🗌 Peritonitis	1 🗌 Endometritis			
without Focus	1 Pericarditis	1 🗆 STSS			
1 🗌 Otitis media	1 🗌 Septic abortion	1 🗌 Necrotizing fasciitis			
1 🗌 Pneumonia	1 Chorioamnionitis	1 Puerperal sepsis			
1 🗌 Cellulitis	1 🗌 Septic arthritis	1 🗌 Septic shock			
1 🗌 Epiglottitis	1 Osteomyelitis	1 Other (specify)			
1 Hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS)	1 🗌 Empyema				
1 Abscess (not skin)	1 Endocarditis	1 Unknown			

KANSAS STATE

There were sections		Field		%
		Patient Information		51.2
of the CRF that were	Hos	pital ID	39	30.2
routingly flaggod	La	ab ID	26	20.2
Touthery hagged	Treat	ment ID	40	31
	Pregna	ncy Status	40	31
	Sym	ptoms	37	28.7
	Und	erlying		
	Con	ditions	33	25.6
27. UNDERYING CAUSES OR PRIOR ILLNESSES: (Check all that apply OR if NONE or CHART UNAVAILABLE, check appropriate box) 1 None 1 Unknown	2350	itted By	81	62.8
1 Alcohol Abuse, Current 1 Connective Tissue Disease (Lupus, etc.) 1 IVDU, Past 1 Peripheral Neuror 1 Alcohol Abuse, Past 1 CSF Leak 1 Leukemia 1 Peripheral Vascu	opathy Ilar Disease	ate	85	65.9
1 Asthma 1 Deaf/Profound Hearing Loss 1 Multiple Myeloma 1 Plegias/Paralysis 1 Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease 1 Dementia 1 Multiple Sclerosis 1 Premature Birth 1 Bone Marrow Transplant (BMT) 1 Diabetes Mellitus 1 Myocardial Infarction age at birth) 1 1 Cerebral Vascular Accident (CVA)/Stroke/TIA 1 Emphysema/COPD 1 Neuromuscular Disorder 1 Scikle Cell Anem 1 Chronic Kidney Disease 1 HIV Infection 1 Obesity 1 Sooild Organ Mal 1 Current Chronic Dialysis 1 Immunoglobulin Deficiency 1 Other Drug Use, Past 1 Solid Organ Tar 1 Cochlear Implant (Steroids, Chemotherapy, Radiation) 1 Other prior Illne 1 Other prior Illne	; (specify gestational](wks) Disorder nia) ignancy nsplant gplenia ss (specify):			



 There were sections of the CRF that were routinely flagged

Omitted

	Field	Errors	%	
	Patient Information	66	51.2	
	Hospital ID	39	30.2	
	Lab ID	26	20.2	
	Treatment ID	40	31	
_ P	hone No.:()		ate:/>	_/
_ P _ P	hone No. : () hone No. : ()	ĉ	ate: <u>/</u> >	/
_ Р _ Р	hone No.:() hone No.:() Underlying	Ē	∂ate: <u>/</u> >	_/
_ P _ P	hone No.:() hone No.:() Underlying Conditions	33	^{25.6}	/
_ P _ P	hone No.:() hone No.:() Underlying Conditions Submitted By	33 81	25.6 62.8	/



Submitted By:_

Physician's Name:_

Discussion – Database

- Easy to use interface
 - Decent reporting
- Needs new error type
 Blank CRF field
- Needed drop down menu for Question Number
 Added; needs to be refined



Discussion

- Audit
 - Met with Data Entry Manager and Lead SO
 - CDC needs vs. Site needs
 - Standardization and reeducation on parts of the CRF for data manager and SOs
 - Hospital ID codes
 - Bacteremia without focus
 - Pregnancy status for Males
 - Fully paperless in the future
 - If information isn't entered into electronic database, then it is lost



Socioeconomic Disparities and Late Onset Group B *Streptococcus*, Tennessee, 2010–2014



Late Onset Group B Streptococcus

Analysis of Tennessee's late onset group B
 Streptococcus (GBS) data from 2010-2014

 Provide an in depth look into raw data as a pilot study for future analyses



- GBS emerged as the leading cause of neonatal sepsis in the 1970's
- *Streptococcus agalactiae* is a gram positive bacterium that inhabits the GI tract
 - Secondary colonization site in the urogenital tract
- Causes invasive disease in infants, pregnant or post partum women, and the elderly
- Highest incidence is in neonates under 3 months of age

KANSAS STATE



- Two classifications in Neonates
 - Early Onset (EO): Less than 7 days of age
 - Late Onset (LO): 7-89 days of age
- Early onset is a result of vertical transmission
- Late onset is caused by environmental sources
- Infant infection can cause⁵:
 - Primarily: Sepsis, pneumonia, and meningitis
 - Meningitis can result in long term sequelae
 - Less: focal infection including osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, and cellulitis



Early Onset Risk Factors^{5,6} Late Onset Risk Factors⁷

- Maternal colonization with GBS in the urogenital tract
- Prolonged rupture of membrane
- Preterm delivery
- GBS bacteriuria during pregnancy
- Birth of a previous child with GBS
- Maternal chorioamnionitis
- Young maternal age
- Black race

KANSAS STATE

- Hispanic Ethnicity
- Low levels of GBS antigen specific antibodies

- Male
- Black Race
- Maternal Colonization with GBS
- Having a twin with LO GBS
- Extreme Prematurity

- Intrapartum Prophylaxis (IPP) with penicillin best prevention method for EO disease and maternal illness⁷
- The CDC created guidelines for the identification of candidates to be treated with IPP:
 - 1996- guidelines based on the 1992 recommendations from the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)⁸
 - 2002- Unified universal screening⁹
 - 2010– Current guidelines¹⁰





- Current Incidence
 (2014)¹¹
 - Early Onset: 0.25/ 1000 live births
 - Late Onset: 0.28/ 1000 live births
- Proportion of LO cases has risen from 25% to 50%

Abbreviations: ACOG = American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and AAP = American Academy of Pediatrics.

Source: Adapted from Jordan HT, Farley MM, Craig A, et al. Revisiting the need for vaccine prevention of late-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2008;27:1057–64.

* Incidence rates for 2008 are preliminary because the live birth denominator has not been finalized.

Image from Verani, et. al.



Objectives

- Clean and summarize raw LO GBS data
- Decide what risk factors to consider for analysis
- Evaluate data to assess risk factors at individualand neighborhood level
- Serve as a pilot for a larger, more in depth study of late onset GBS in Tennessee and other EIP locations



Methods – Data Collection

- GBS is collected under ABCs
 - Encompasses 20 counties and comprised of 3.95 million people (60% of total population)





Methods – Data Collection





infant's Name.	AL AT LOT ON	infant's Cha	rt No.;		
Mother's Name: 0.	ost, Field, MLL)	Mother's C	nert No.:		
Guillane of Birthi Culture date:	in, real with	Hospital Name:			
Patient identifier information is NOT transmitted to CDC. ACTIVE BAX NEONATAL II TATEID HOSPI Infant Information Were labor & del	TAL ID (of b	RE SURVEILLANCE XPANDED TRACKIN inth: if home birth leave b rds available?	(ABCs) IG FORM Iank) Yes (1) [□ No (0)	
1. Date of Birth: month / day / year (4 digts) Time of birth: (times in military format)	2. Did t Ve (1) IF YE Er	his birth occur outside es (1)	of the hosp nknown (9)	bital? Birth (1) [(4) [] Birthing Center (2] Unknown (9)
3a. Gestational age of infant at birth in 3b completed weeks: (do not round up)	L Date of ma (LMP):	ternal last menstrual / / / rth day year (4 dig)] Unknown (1)	period 4	Birth weig	ht:lbsoz grams
5. Date & time of newborn discharge from hos	pital of birth:	/////	Sigits)	ime	Unknown (1)
6. Outcome: Survived (1) Died (2)		own (9)	100		
AND date & time of admission: // 9a. Were any ICD-9 codes reported in the disc Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)	day year (4 i harge diagno	tigits) time sis of the infant's cha	Unknov	vn (1)	
9b. IF YES, Were any of the following ICD-9 or 041.02: Streptococcus group b (1) 041.0: Streptococcus, unspecified (1) 9c. Were any ICD-10 codes reported in the dii Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)	odes reporte 038.0: 1 320.2: 1 scharge diagr	d in the discharge dia Streptococcus septicer Streptococcal meningit nosis of the infant's ch	agnosis of t nia (1) is (1) nart?	he chart? (C	Check all that apply
9d. IF YES, were any of the following ICD-10 (Check all that apply)	codes repo	rted in the discharge	e diagnosis	of the cha	rt?
A40.1: Sepsis due to streptococcus, group	0 B (1) P	36.1: Sepsis of newbo	m to other u	nspecified st	reptococci (1)
A40.9: Streptococcus sepsis, unspecified	(1) 🗆 B e	95.1: Streptococcus, g Isewhere (1)	roup b as th	e cause of d	isease classified
P36: Bacterial sepsis of newborn (1)	□ 8 e	95.5: Unspecified strep Isewhere (1)	ptococcus as	the cause o	f disease classified
P36.0: Sepsis of newborn due to streptoc group B (1)	occus, 🗌 G	00.2: Streptococcal m	eningitis (1)		
 Did the baby receive breast milk from the m IF YES, did the baby receive breast milk b 	other? (for late	⊢onset GBS cases only): f GBS	Ves (1)	□ No (0)	Unknown (9)

Mate	ernal Information
11.	Maternal admission date & time: // / / year (4 dig7s) time Unknown (1)
12.	Maternal age at delivery (years): years 13. Maternal blood type: DA (1) DB (2) DAB (3) DO (4)
14.	Did mother have a prior history of penicillin allergy?
	IF YES, was a previous maternal history of anaphylaxis noted? Yes (1) No (0)
15.	Date & time of membrane rupture:/ / / / / / / Unknown (1)
16.	Was duration of membrane rupture greatrer than or equal to18 hours? Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)
17.	If membranes ruptured at less than 37 weeks, did membranes rupture before onset of labor? Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)
18.	Type of rupture: Spontaneous (1) Artificial (2)
19.	Type of delivery: (Check all that apply) Using a line of the section (1) Vaginal (1) Vaginal after previous C-section (1) Primary C-section (1) Econome (1) Vaginary (1)
	If delivery was Did labor begin before C-section? Use (1) No (0) Linknown (9)
	by C-section: Did membrane rupture happen before C-section?
20.	Intrapartum fever (T ≥ 100.4 F or 38.0 C): Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)
	IF YES, 1 st recorded T ≥ 100.4 F or 38.0 C at: month / day / year (4 digts) Unknown (1)
21.	Were antibiotics given to the mother intrapartum? Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)
	IF YES, answer a-b and Questions 22-23 a) Date & time antibiotics 1 st administered: (before delivery) month / day / year (4 dig(s)
	b) Antibiotic 1: IV (1) IM (2) PO (3) # doses given before delivery:
	Start date: / / Stop date (if applicable): / /
	Antibiotic 2: IV (1) IM (2) PO (3) # doses given before delivery:
	Start date:/ / Stop date (if applicable): / /
	Antibiotic 3:
	Start date: / / Stop date (if applicable): / /
	Antibiotic 4: IV (1) IM (2) PO (3) # doses given before delivery:
	Start date:// Stop date (if applicable)://
	Antibiotic 5: IV (1) IM (2) PO (3) # doses given before delivery:
	Start date:// Stop date (if applicable)://
	Antibiotic 6: IV (1) IM (2) PO (3) # doses given before delivery:
	Start date:/ Stop date (if applicable)://

Page 2 of 4

6/2015

	Interval between receipt of 1 st "Day variable should only be complet	antibiotic and delivery: (hours) ed if the number of hours >24	(minutes) (days)*		
23.	What was the reason for adm GBS prophylaxis (1) Suspected amnionitis/ chorioamnionitis (1)	inistration of intrapartum antibiotics? (Check all the Prolonged latency (1) Mitral val C-section prophylaxis (1) Other (1) Unknowr	t apply) ve prolapse prophylaxis (1) (1)		
24.	Did mother have chorioamnion	itis or suspected chorioamnionitis?	Yes (1) No (0)		
	Questions 25–3	3 should only be completed for early- and la	ate-onset GBS cases		
25.	Did mother receive prenatal ca	re? Yes (1) No (0) Unkn	own (9)		
26.	Please record the following: th as recorded in the labor and d No. of visits: First visit:	e total number of prenatal visits AND the first and I alivery chart // Last visit:// month day year (4 digits) month day	ast visit dates to the prenatal		
27.	Estimated gestational age (EG	A) at last documented prenatal visit:	(weeks)		
28. GBS bacteriuria during this pregnancy? □ Yes (1) □ No (0) □ Unknown (9) IF YES, what order of magnitude was the colony count? □ 0 (1) □ <10,000 (2) □ 10k-<25,000 (3) □ 25k-<50,000 (4) □ 50k-<75,000 (5) □ 75k-<100,000 (6) □ >100,0000 (7) □ Unknown (9)					
29.	Previous infant with invasive G	BS disease? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr	own (9)		
30.	Previous pregnancy with GBS	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr	own (9)		
30. 31a	Previous pregnancy with GBS . Was maternal group B strep co 	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr lonization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Jnkrown (9) and test results below:	own (9) atal care)?		
30. 31a	Previous pregnancy with GBS Was maternal group B strep oo Yes (1) No (0) U IF YES, list dates, test type, Test date (ist mest meent first);	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr ionization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Jnknown (9) and test results below: <u>Test type:</u>	own (9) atal care)? <u>Test Result</u> (Do not include urine here!)		
30. 31a	Previous pregnancy with GBS Was maternal group B strep co	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr ionization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Unknown (9) and test results below: Test.type: Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9)	Isst Result (Do not include urine here!) Positive (1) Negative (0) Unknown (9)		
30. 31a	Previous pregnancy with GBS . Was maternal group B strep co . Yes (1) No (0) IF YES, list dates, test type, Test date (list most moent finit); 1. _/	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unknown lonization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Juknown (9) and test results below: Test_type: Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9) Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9)	Test Result (Do not include urine here!) Positive (1) Unknown (9) Positive (1) Negative (0) Unknown (9)		
30. 31a 31b	Previous pregnancy with GBS . Was maternal group B strep co	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr lonization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Jaknown (9) and test results below: Image:	Isst Result (Do not include urine here!) Positive (1) Negative (0) Unknown (9) BEFORE admission (in prenatal care) nknown (9) nknown (9)		
30. 31a 31b 32a	Previous pregnancy with GBS . Was maternal group B strep co . Yes (1) No (0) L IF YES, list dates, test type, Test date (list most moent first); 1. _/	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr lonization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Jakrown (9) and test results below: Isst_type: Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9) Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9) Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9) Spositive was antimicrobial susceptibility performed town (9) ant to clindamycin? Yes (1) No (0) U Int colindamycin? Yes (1) No (0) U nization screened for AFTER admission (before deliver conf test, test type and test results below:	own (9) atal care)? (Do not include urine here!) Positive (1) Negative (0) Unknown (9) Positive (1) Negative (0) Unknown (9) BEFORE admission (in prenatal care) nknown (9) nknown (9) y)?		
30. 31a 31b 32a	Previous pregnancy with GBS . Was maternal group B strep co . Yes (1) No (0) L IF YES, list dates, test type, Test date dist most most finit; 1. _/	colonization? Yes (1) No (0) Unkr lonization screened for BEFORE admission (in pren Jakrown (9) and test results below: Isst_type: Culture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Cutture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Cutture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Cutture (1) PCR (2) Rapid antigen (3) Other (4) Unknown (9) Spositive was antimicrobial susceptibility performed town (9) ant to clindamycin? Yes (1) No (0) U nization screened for AFTER admission (before deliver tent test, test type and test results below: Test type:	own (9) atal care)?		

1/11/2011/2011/2010 00-101-2010

32b. If the <i>most recent</i> test was GBS positive, was antimicrobial susceptibility performed AFTER admission? □ Yes (1) □ No (0) □ Unknown (9) IF YES, Was the isolate resistant to clindamycin? □ Yes (1) □ No (0) □ Unknown (9) Was the isolate resistant to erythromycin? □ Yes (1) □ No (0) □ Unknown (9)				
33. Were GBS test results available to care givers at the time of delivery? Yes (1) No (0) Unknown (9)				
34. COMMENTS:				
26 Noopatal Infection Expanded Form Tracking Status:				
Complete (1) □ Partial (2) □ Chart unavailable (3) □ Edited & corrected (4)				

Methods – Data Cleaning





Methods- Geocoding

- Geocoding
 - Needed for neighborhood level analyses
 - According to the mother's residence at time of culture
 - Clean addresses and assign to a Census Tract using ArcMap
 - Merge with American Community Survey data



Methods- Geocoding

Census Tract

- Small, relatively permanent statistical subdivision of a county or equivalent entity
- Populations ranges from1,200-8,000 people, optimum 4,000
- Harvard Geocoding Project recommends the CT poverty measures the most apt for monitoring socioeconomic inequalities^{12,13}





Methods- Geocoding

- ACS
 - US Census Bureau's American Census Survey
 - Provides annual information about the nation and communities, aggregated over 5 years (2010-2014)
 - Extracted socioeconomic indicators at such as percent living below poverty, percent of population educated, etc.
 - Merge by CT

Case \rightarrow Census Tract \rightarrow Breakdown of SES factors/ population within CT



Methods- Data Analysis

• Calculated crude average incidence rates (IR) of LO GBS in Tennessee from 2010-2014

Individual Level

- Gender, Race
- Denominator: live birth data

Neighborhood Level

- Population density, % below poverty level, % college educated, % employed, % with female head of household
- Denominator: population less than 5 years of age in census tract
- Calculated Rate Ratio and Rate Difference
- Age standardization was not possible due to small age range

KANSAS STATE

Methods – Data Analysis

- Incidence Rate (IR)
 - # new cases / population at risk in a given time
- Incidence Rate Ratio (RR)
 - Incidence Rate of disease in exposed group/ Ratio of disease in unexposed (reference) group
- Rate Difference (RD)
 - Rate of disease in exposed group- Rate of disease in unexposed (reference) group



Gestational Age at Birth 50 46 41 40 21 50 21 20 21 5 21 10 20 3 3 0 Preterm Early Term Full Term Late Term



Breast Fed















Insurance	Percent	Mother's Age	Percent	Race	Percent
Medicaid	63.1%	16-25	53.2%	Black	56.6%
Private	24.3%	26-35	37.8%	White	37.8%
Other	12.6%	36+	9.0%	Other	5.6%



Cases Per County				
County	Cases	Percentage		
Anderson	1	0.9		
Blount	0	0.0		
Cheatham	2	1.8		
Davidson	21	18.9		
Dickson	1	0.9		
Grainger	0	0.0		
Hamilton	8	7.2		
Jefferson	3	2.7		
Knox	10	9.0		
Loudon	0	0.0		
Madison	4	3.6		
Roane	1	0.9		
Robertson	2	1.8		
Rutherford	5	4.5		
Seveir	1	0.9		
Shelby	45	40.5		
Sumner	3	2.7		
Union	0	0.0		
Williamson	4	3.6		
Wilson	0	0.0		
Total	111	100.0		

	Cases Per Year				
Year	Cases	Percentage			
2010	24	21.6			
2011	21	18.9			
2012	25	22.5			
2013	19	17.1			
2014	22	19.8			
Total	111	100			



KANSAS STATE

	Cases, no. (%) N=111	Incidence* (95% Cl)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)	Rate Diff. (95% CI)
Sex				
М	56 (50.5)	4.34 (3.2- 5.65)	Ref.	Ref.
F	55 (49.6)	4.47 (3.73- 5.31)	1.03 (0.71- 1.49)	0.13 (-1.23- 1.36)
Race				
White	42 (37.8)	2.45 (1.63- 3.27)	Ref.	Ref.
Black	63 (57.8)	8.82 (7.38- 10.27)	3.64 (2.47- 5.38)	6.37 (4.71- 8.03)
Other	6 (5.4)	6.58 (2.5- 10.67)	2.69 (1.14- 6.28)	4.13 (-0.05- 8.31)
* Per 10,000) population			



	Cases, no. (%) N=111	Incidence* (95% CI)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)	Rate Diff. (95% CI)
Sex				
М	56 (50.5)	4.34 (3.2- 5.65)	Ref.	Ref.
F	55 (49.6)	4.47 (3.73- 5.31)	1.03 (0.71- 1.49)	0.13 (-1.23- 1.36)
Race				
White	42 (37.8)	2.45 (1.63- 3.27)	Ref.	Ref.
Black	63 (57.8)	8.82 (7.38- 10.27)	3.64 (2.47- 5.38)	6.37 (4.71- 8.03)
Other	6 (5.4)	6.58 (2.5- 10.67)	2.69 (1.14- 6.28)	4.13 (-0.05- 8.31)
* Per 10,000) population			



	Cases, no. (%) N=111	Incidence* (95% CI)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)	Rate Diff. (95% CI)	
Sex					
М	56 (50.5)	4.34 (3.2- 5.65)	Ref.	Ref.	
F	55 (49.6)	4.47 (3.73- 5.31)	1.03 (0.71- 1.49)	0.13 (-1.23- 1.36)	
Race					
White	42 (37.8)	2.45 (1.63- 3.27)	Ref.	Ref.	
Black	63 (57.8)	8.82 (7.38- 10.27)	3.64 (2.47- 5.38)	6.37 (4.71- 8.03)	
Other	6 (5.4)	6.58 (2.5- 10.67)	2.69 (1.14- 6.28)	4.13 (-0.05- 8.31)	
* Per 10,000 population					



	Cases, no. (%) N=111	Incidence* (95% Cl)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)	Rate Diff. (95% CI)	
Sex					
М	56 (50.5)	4.34 (3.2- 5.65)	Ref.	Ref.	
F	55 (49.6)	4.47 (3.73- 5.31)	1.03 (0.71- 1.49)	0.13 (-1.23- 1.36)	
Race					
White	42 (37.8)	2.45 (1.63- 3.27)	Ref.	Ref.	
Black	63 (57.8)	8.82 (7.38- 10.27)	3.64 (2.47- 5.38)	6.37 (4.71- 8.03)	
Other	6 (5.4)	6.58 (2.5- 10.67)	2.69 (1.14- 6.28)	4.13 (-0.05- 8.31)	
* Per 10,000 population					







	Popu	ation Densit	y		Cases, no. (%) N=109	Incidence* (95% CI)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)	Rate Diff. (95% CI)
60 - 50 -	39		Pop. Density Person/sq.mi.					
40 -			0-<200 Rural	39 (35.8)	6.85 (5.09- 8.60)	Ref.	Ref.	
20 -		16	200-699 Suburban	54 (49.5)	7.15 (5.21- 9.09)	1.04 (0.69- 1.57)	0.30 (-2.31- 2.92)	
10 -			≥700 Urban	16 (14.7)	4.76 (0.7- 8.8)	0.70 (0.29- 1.24)	-2.09 (-6.51- 2.33)	
0 -	Rural	Suburban	Urban	* Per 10,000 popul	ation			







% of Population Employed







	Cases, no. (%) N=109	Incidence* (95% Cl)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)	Rate Diff. (95% CI)
% Female Head of Household	ł			
<20.0	21 (19.2)	5.96 (3.57- 8.36)	Ref.	Ref.
20.0- 39.9	34 (31.2)	6.95 (4.09- 9.81)	1.17 (0.68- 2.00)	0.99 (-2.74- 4.72)
40.0- 59.9	22 (20.2)	6.31 (4.72- 7.90)	1.06 (0.57- 1.88)	0.35 (-2.52- 3.23)
<u>></u> 60.0	32 (29.4)	6.91 (6.06- 7.75)	1.16 (0.67- 2.00)	0.95 (-1.59- 3.49)
* Per 10,000 po	opulation			



Discussion

- Black race as a risk factor was reflected in analysis
- Male gender as a risk factor was not reflected
- College education (75%) and low employment (68.8%)
- Sample size was not large enough to definitively define any neighborhood level risk factors



Limitations

- Abbreviated time period of 2010–2014
- Descriptive statistics instead of inferential
- Does not take into account interaction between variables
- Need for larger dataset



Future Directions

- Larger study encompassing all 10 EIP sites, 2010-Current
- Case-controlled study utilizing outpatient data
 - 4:1 control vs. case
 - Match on age, county
- Include same factors plus insurance type
- Logistic regression/ odds ratio



Core Competencies

- Biostatistics
 - Descriptive statistics
- Epidemiology
 - Measures of Association (IR, RR) and Effect (RD)
 - Collection and maintenance of data
- Environmental Health
 - HAIC
 - Environmental Factors of LO GBS (Breast feeding, Co-sleeping)
- Social and Behavioral Public Health
 - SES of LO GBS cases
- Healthcare Administration
 - TDH regional meetings



Acknowledgements

- Dr. Thu Nguyen
- Dr. Natalia
 Cernicchiaro
- Dr. Sally Davis
- Dr. Ellyn Mulcahy
- Barta Stevenson

- Dr. William Schaffner
- Dr. Tiffanie Markus
- Dr. Tim Jones
- Katie Garman
- Danielle Ndi
- EIP Staff



References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (1994). Addressing emerging infectious disease threats: a prevention strategy for the United States. Executive summary. *MMWR Recomm Rep, 43*(RR-5), 1–18.
- 2. Lynefield L., Schaffner W. Emerging Infections Program- 20 years of achievements and future prospects. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015 Sep (July 30, 2016). Retrieved from http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/21/9/15-0564_article.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). Adult Pneumcoccal Carriage Study. (6725).
- 4. Paul A. Harris, Robert Taylor, Robert Thielke, Jonathon Payne, Nathaniel Gonzalez, Jose G. Conde, Research electronic data capture (REDCap) A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support, J Biomed Inform. 2009 Apr;42(2):377-81.
- 5. Gibbs, R. S., Schrag, S., & Schuchat, A. (2004). Perinatal infections due to group B streptococci. *Obstet Gynecol, 104*(5 Pt 1), 1062–1076. doi:10.1097/01.AOG.0000144128.03913.c2
- 6. Schuchat, A., Oxtoby, M., Cochi, S., Sikes, R. K., Hightower, A., Plikaytis, B., & Broome, C. V. (1990). Population-based risk factors for neonatal group B streptococcal disease: results of a cohort study in metropolitan Atlanta. *J Infect Dis*, *162*(3), 672–677.
- 7. Le Doare, K., & Heath, P. T. (2013). An overview of global GBS epidemiology. Vaccine, 31 Suppl 4, D7-12. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.01.009
- 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease: a public health perspective. (1996). *MMWR Recomm Rep, 45*(RR-7), 1–24.
- 9. Schrag, S., Gorwitz, R., Fultz-Butts, K., & Schuchat, A. (2002). Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease. Revised guidelines from CDC. *MMWR Recomm Rep, 51*(RR-11), 1-22.
- 10. Verani, J. R., McGee, L., Schrag, S. J., Division of Bacterial Diseases, N. C. f. I., Respiratory Diseases, C. f. D. C., & Prevention. (2010). Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease--revised guidelines from CDC, 2010. *MMWR Recomm Rep, 59*(RR-10), 1-36.
- 11. ABCs Report: Group B Streptococcus, 2014. (2016, May 31). Retrieved July 31, 2016, from http://www.cdc.gov/abcs/reports-findings/survreports/gbs14.html
- 12. Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, Soobader M-J, Subramanian SV, Carson R. Choosing area-based socioeconomic measures to monitor social inequalities in low birthweight and childhood lead poisoning -The Public Health Disparities Geocoding Project (US). J Epidemiol Community Health 2003;57:186-99.
- Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, Soobader M-J, Subramanian SV. Monitoring socioeconomic inequalities in sexually transmitted infections, tuberculosis, and violence: geocoding and choice of area-based socioeconomic measures—The Public Health Disparities Geocoding Project (US). Public Health Reports 2003; 118:240-260.

