

Center-Pivot-Irrigated Corn Silage Cost-Return Budget in Western Kansas

Department of Agricultural Economics — www.agmanager.info

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

Troy J. Dumler
Agricultural Economist

Daniel M. O'Brien
Agricultural Economist

Brian L.S. Olson
Crops and Soils

This budget provides cost and return estimates for center-pivot-irrigated corn silage in western Kansas. Confinement livestock operations use most of the corn silage produced in the area. Production practices and input requirements for center-pivot-irrigated corn silage are nearly identical to those of corn for grain.

Income Per Acre

Crop production costs per unit and net returns are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budgets include three different yield levels, which are intended to represent expected yields for land of varying quality for a given level of management. Producers can compare the profitability of crop enterprises on farmland tracts with varying yield potential by considering alternative expected yield scenarios. Land values and government payments have been adjusted for alternative yield levels in this budget. In customizing a budget to your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's productive capacity and local farmland market conditions.

Price per ton is based on the expected corn grain harvest price in Scott City, Kan., accounting for government marketing loan price support levels. Corn silage producers in other

Table 1. Production Inputs — Center-Pivot-Irrigated Corn Silage

Item	Yield Level (ton)			
	22.0	26.0	30.0	
Seed, 1,000/a	28	32	36	\$1.69/1,000
Fertilizer:				
N (anhydrous)	220	260	300	\$0.57/lb
N	0	0	0	\$0.85/lb
P	70	83	95	\$1.09/lb
K	0	0	0	\$0.62/lb
Lime	0	0	0	\$0.01/lb
Herbicide				
Bicep Lite II Magnum	1.5	1.5	1.5	\$11.29/qt
Insecticide / Fungicide				
Force 3G	5.4	5.4	5.4	\$4.64/lb
Irrigation water, in	10	14	18	\$5.80/in

regions of western Kansas should use an expected price that is representative of local market conditions.

Crop insurance was not included as an input expense in this budget because yields reflect an average of all years

Table 2. Machinery and Land Resources — Center-Pivot-Irrigated Corn Silage

Item	Yield Level (ton)			Custom Rate
	22.0	26.0	30.0	
Tillage/Planting/Chemical Applications:				
Chisel	1	1	1	\$11.04/a
Disk	1	1	1	\$9.07/a
Field cultivate	1	1	1	\$8.29/a
Plant	1	1	1	\$12.48/a
Anhydrous application	1	1	1	\$9.68/a
Fertilizer application	0	0	0	\$4.80/a
Herbicide application	1	1	1	\$5.15/a
Insecticide / fungicide application	0	0	0	\$5.14/a
Harvest				
Base charge	1	1	1	\$0.00/a
Extra charge for yields exceeding	0	0	0	\$7.970/ton
Hauling	22	26	30	\$0.000/ton
Non-machinery labor	2.01	2.29	2.56	\$13.00/hr
Irrigation labor	0.50	0.50	0.50	\$13.00/hr
Land charge/rent	\$42.40	\$53.00	\$63.60	
Interest on capital				8.0%
Irrigation Equipment	Investment, \$/a		Years	Salvage value
Well, pump and gearhead value	\$424.00		25	0%
Power unit and meter	\$98.00		7	0%
Irrigation system	\$476.00		25	25%

(good and bad). If crop insurance is included as an input expense, then an expected value for indemnity payments should be included in the returns section. Historically, crop insurance indemnity payments have exceeded premiums due to government subsidies.

Costs Per Acre

Production costs at the three production levels are shown on lines 1 through 13. Kansas Custom Rates for specific field operations are used to represent fuel and labor costs

as well as machinery repair, depreciation, and interest expenses in these budgets. Table 1 identifies the typical seed, fertilizer, herbicide, insecticide, and irrigation water requirements (rate and cost/unit) for center-pivot-irrigated corn silage. Herbicide requirements include both pre-crop and in-crop treatments. Table 2 outlines the machinery, irrigation equipment, and land resources used for center-pivot-irrigated corn silage. Each tillage, planting, and harvest operation is identified.

COST-RETURN PROJECTION — CENTER-PIVOT-IRRIGATED CORN SILAGE

	Yield Level (ton)			Your Farm
	22.0	26.0	30.0	
INCOME PER ACRE				
A. Yield per acre.....	22.0	26.0	30.0	
B. Price per ton.....	\$ 35.52	\$ 35.52	\$ 35.52	
C. Net government payment.....	\$ 29.92	\$ 32.53	\$ 35.13	
D. Indemnity payments.....	\$	\$	\$	
E. Miscellaneous income	\$	\$	\$	
F. Returns/acre ((A × B) + C + D + E)	\$ 811.36	\$ 956.05	\$1,100.73	
COSTS PER ACRE				
1. Seed	\$ 47.32	\$ 54.08	\$ 60.84	
2. Herbicide.....	16.94	16.94	16.94	
3. Insecticide / Fungicide	25.06	25.06	25.06	
4. Fertilizer and Lime.....	201.70	238.67	274.55	
5. Crop Consulting.....	6.50	6.50	6.50	
6. Crop Insurance.....				
7. Drying				
8. Miscellaneous	10.00	10.00	10.00	
9. Custom Hire / Machinery Expense	231.05	262.93	294.81	
10. Non-machinery Labor.....	26.11	29.71	33.31	
11. Irrigation				
a. Labor.....	6.50	6.50	6.50	
b. Fuel and Oil	58.00	81.20	104.40	
c. Repairs and Maintenance	3.30	4.62	5.94	
d. Depreciation on Equipment and Well.....	45.24	45.24	45.24	
e. Interest on Equipment.....	44.68	44.68	44.68	
12. Land Charge / Rent	42.40	53.00	63.60	
G. SUB TOTAL	\$ 764.79	\$ 879.12	\$ 992.36	
13. Interest on ½ Nonland Costs.....	25.30	29.45	33.55	
H. TOTAL COSTS	\$ 790.09	\$ 908.57	\$1,025.92	
I. RETURNS OVER COSTS (F - H).....	\$ 21.27	\$ 47.47	\$ 74.81	
J. TOTAL COSTS/TON (H ÷ A).....	\$ 35.91	\$ 34.95	\$ 34.20	
K. RETURN TO ANNUAL COST (I + 13) ÷ G	6.09%	8.75%	10.92%	

Publications from Kansas State University are available on the World Wide Web at: www.oznet.ksu.edu

Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Troy J. Dumler et al., *Center-Pivot-Irrigated Corn Silage Cost-Return Budget in Western Kansas*, Kansas State University, October 2008.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

MF-589

October 2008

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, Fred A. Cholick, Director.