26

# SEMANTIC DATABASE MODEL LANGUAGE (SDML): GRAMMAR SPECIFICATION AND PARSER

bу

## RICHARD VERNON LANE

B. S., Michigan State University, 1980

#### A MASTERS THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Computer Science

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1986

Approved by:

Major Professor

LD 2668 .T4 1986 L364

## CONTENTS

Acknowledgements		
Chapter 1: Introduction		
2.1 Background	2	
2.2 High Level Project Description	4	
2.3 Low Level Project Description		
2.3 Low Level 1 Toject Description	6	
Chapter 2: SDM Description		
3.1 Classes	8	
3.2 Interclass Connection	10	
3.2.1 Subclass Connection	10	
3.2.1.1 Attribute-Defined Subclass	11	
3.2.1.2 User-Controllable Subclass	11	
3.2.1.3 Set-Operator-Defined Subclass	12	
3.2.1.4 Existence Subclass.	13	
3.2.2 Grouping Connection.	13	
3.2.2.1 Expression-Defined Grouping Class.		
2.2.2.1 Expression of Grand Touping Class.	13	
3.2.2.2 Enumerated Grouping Class	14	
3.2.2.3 User-Controllable Grouping Class.	15	
3.3 Attributes	15	
3.3.1 Attribute Mappings	17	
3.3.2 Attribute inheritance	17	
3.3.3 Member Attribute Interrelationships	18	
3.3.3.1 Member Attribute Derivations	21	
3.3.3.2 Member Attribute Definition Rules	24	
3.3.4 Class Attribute Derivations.	28	
3.4 Name Classes	29	
3.4.1 Format Directives	30	
3.5 Built-In Classes		
3.6 Summary	32	
3.6 Summary	33	
Chapter 3: SDM Extensions	34	
4.1 Assertions	35	
4.1.1 Member Attribute Assertions	37	
4.1.2 Class Attribute Assertions	38	
4.1.3 Interclass Assertions	39	
4.2 Grouping Name Classes	41	
4.3 Constant Name Class Members	41	
4.4 Sizeof Class Operator		
4.5 Summary	43	
4.5 Summary	43	
Chapter 4: SDML Grammar Definitions	45	
5.1 Languages and Grammars	45	
5.2 Notation	49	
5.3 SDML Grammar Specification	50	
5.4 Summary	95	
	93	
Chapter 5: SDML Parser Implementation	96	

6.1 SDML Parser Theory	96
6.1.1 Shift-Reduce Parser Theory	96
6.1.2 Yacc Grammar Conflicts and Error Recovery	98
6.2 The SDML Parser	99
6.3 Restrictions	100
6.3.1 The Symbol Table	101
6.3.2 Class and Attribute Structures	103
6.3.3 Semantic Checks	105
6.3.4 SDML Parser Output	105
6.4 Summary	106
5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100
Chapter 6: Conclusion	107
7.1 Future Research Areas	108
7.1 I deate Research Areas	100
References	110
101/17/17/001	110
Appendix 1: Example SDM Schema	112
ripponeix i. Brampie SDM Scholita	112
Appendix 2: SDM Structure Specification	120
Appendix 2. SDM Structure specification	120
Appendix 3: Domain Definition Language (DDL) Structure	100
Appendix 3. Domain Dennidon Language (DDL) Structure	126
Annandis A. VACC SDMI Cramman Specification	100
Appendix 4: YACC SDML Grammar Specification	129
Amandly 5. Evenue 1. CDM Constitution	
Appendix 5: Example SDML Specification	142
American Control of the Control of t	
Appendix 6: SDML Manual Page	150

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1.	SDM Inverse Attribute Relationship	19
Figure 3-2.	SDM Attribute Matching Relationship	20
Figure 3-3.	Combined Use of Match and Inverse Attribute Interrelationships	26
Figure 3–4.	Example of Match/Inverse Combined Derivation	28
Figure 3 <b>-5.</b>	SDM Format Directive Specification	32
Figure 4-1.	Use of Member and Class Attribute and Interclass Assertions	40
Figure 4-2.	Use of the Interclass Assertion as Multiple Interclass Connections	41
Figure 4-3.	Example of Grouping Name Class Usage	42
Figure 6-1.	SDML Symbol Types and Uniqueness	102
Figure 6-2.	Symbol Table Key Layout	102

## Acknowledgements

I would like to give special thanks to my major professor, Dr. Beth Unger, for her support throughout this project. Also, I would like to thank my committee members, Dr. Bill Hankley and Dr. Auston Melton for their time. Additionally, thanks to Dr. David Schmidt for his assistance and knowledge of grammars and parser theory.

Finally, I would like to thank my mother and father for supporting me during my undergraduate study, thus enabling me to pursue further education.

Chapter 1: Introduction

2.1 Background

A database is a collection of elements (data items) and relationships between these elements which model some application environment. At any given point in time, the database will represent a state of the application environment. An update to the database represents a transition action taking the database from one state to another. A database model (or schema) provides a mechanism for representing the elements of a database along with their relationships. The structure of a database system should parallel the structure of the real world system it represents. This will allow for better user understanding of the database being designed, and allow the use of simple user front-ends, which adheres to the users understanding of the system.

The semantic integrity of a database is defined as how well the database adheres to the rules of the application environment. Hammer and McLeod [3] observe the following:

"Every ... application environment has a set of rules which define its legitimate configurations. Any correct version of a data base must satisfy these rules .... The semantic integrity of a data base is violated when it ceases to represent a valid state of its application domain, because it fails to adhere to some of these rules."

Conventional database models (e.g., Network, Hierarchical, CODASYL, and Relational) have provided different methods of representing data and relationships in a database. Each of these database models, however, have been deficient in the semantic integrity of the data and relationships each represent. Conventional

database models have not adequately captured the meaning of data in a real world system. Hammer and McLeod state the following:

"Conventional data models are not satisfactory for modeling data base application systems. The features that they provide are too low level and representational to allow the semantics of a data base to be directly expressed in the schema."

Some work has been done, however, to extend the relational database model [3][4][10] to capture more data meaning.

Semantic data models have been introduced to allow a database model to better describe the meaning of the data it represents. Semantic data models typically model the database application using some type of Data Definition Language (DDL). The DDL provided by a semantic data model allows the use of additional constructs to specify data meaning explicitly. McLeod and King [5] give four advantages of a semantic data model over conventional database models:

- it allows a user-oriented formal specification and documentation aid to be established (in the form of a semantic schema),
- 2. it provides a basis for powerful, high-level user interface facilities,
- it can serve as a conceptual database model in the database design and evolution process, more directly capturing the meaning of data then conventional database models, and
- it can be used as the database model for a new kind of database management system, with increased functional capability and improved user interface characteristics (compared with conventional DBMSs).

Two such semantic data models are the Semantic Association Model (SAM) introduced by Su and Lo [7] and the Semantic Database Model (SDM) introduced by Hammer and McLeod [1]. SAM and SDM are similar in nature. Each uses a DDL to identify the entities in the database and list the attributes of each entity. The major difference is the method used to define the relationships between the entities in the database.

The Semantic Database Model (SDM) was introduced by Hammer and McLeod [1] as a higher-level database model which allows for greater semantic integrity in a database schema. SDM utilizes a formal specification approach to database modeling by providing a Data Definition Language (DDL). The SDM DDL, however, does not enforce a strict syntax. The SDM was previously only used as a documentation tool for database modeling and had no use for a strict grammar. Chapter 2 gives a detailed description of the SDM.

## 2.2 High Level Project Description

It can be seen from the DDL provided by the SDM that, with a few additional constraints, one could build a static data dictionary from the SDM specification. To perform this function automatically, the SDM specification would have to take on programming language qualities. The DDL provided by this specification must enforce a strict syntax to allow the DDL specification to be parsed by a data dictionary generation tool. Thus, the specification of a SDM Language (SDML) is desired.

The project involves the generation of a static data dictionary from an SDM specification. The database designer will be provided with a screen interface which will query for the information required to build an SDM for the database. The SDM specification will then be checked for syntactic and semantic correctness. Finally, the SDM specification will be used to build a static data dictionary for the database.

The primary use of this system will be in developing under-graduate skills in database development. The users of this tool will have a general knowledge of the SDM. The tool developed must be IBM PC compatible since the under-graduate

students utilizing the tool will be using an IBM PC compatible system.

The project logically partitions itself into three components:

## 1. User Interface.

The user interface will provide the interactive interface into the system. The user of the tool will be queried for each class definition for the SDM. The user interface should be as user-friendly as possible while generating a SDM specification which is as syntactically sound as possible. This component should provide a listing of the SDM by class and a listing of the final SDM specification.

## 2. SDM Syntax Specification and Parser.

The SDM described by Hammer and McLeod does not provide a strict syntax for its DDL. Since the SDM specification will, in this project, be used as an intermediate in the generation of a static data dictionary, a strict syntax is required. The specification of a BNF for the SDM specification is therefore required. Once this SDML is specified, a parser is required to verify the SDM generated by the user interface. The parser will verify correct syntax of the SDM generated as well as some semantic checks.

# Data Dictionary Generation.

Once a syntactically correct SDM is generated, the data dictionary must be generated. The data dictionary generation component will output a valid data dictionary of the database ordered by class.

## 2.3 Low Level Project Description

This paper will deal with the SDM syntax specification and parser. This will involve the development of a grammar for the SDM and the specification of the grammar in a Backus-Naur Form (BNF). This grammar will be referred to as the SDM Language (SDML). The basic grammar rules will stem from the SDM description as given in reference [1]. The grammar developed for SDML will be a deterministic context-free grammar. A deterministic grammar is one in which, given the next input token and the current state, only one rule application is possible. Thus, a deterministic grammar will support the design of a parser which requires a look ahead of only one input token. Chapter 4 will describe the design of the grammar for SDML.

Some extensions to the SDM will be included in the grammar for SDML. These extensions will be introduced and described in Chapter 3. These extensions will both enhance the existing SDM specification as well as provide some additional capabilities not provided by the SDM described by Hammer and McLeod. The additional capabilities introduced in this paper are intended to add to the semantic integrity of the SDM.

After the definition of SDML, the design of an SDML parser will be discussed in Chapter 5. The parser designed will be LR(1). This means that the input token stream will be processed left-to-right with a look ahead of one input token. This is the simplist form of parser to develop.

## Chapter 2: SDM Description

This chapter will describe, in detail, the SDM as presented by Hammer and McLeod in reference [1]. Extensions to the SDM specification presented here will be introduced in Chapter 3. The SDML grammar definition will be discussed in Chapter 4.

An SDM specification is an organized grouping of entities which exist in an application's database. The structure of the SDM specification is as follows:

- Entities are logically grouped into classes. Each class in the SDM is, therefore,
   a logical collection of entities describing the class.
- Classes are related by interclass connections.
- Entities and classes have attributes. The attributes of an entity or class are what actually provide the semantic integrity for the SDM.

Consider the relational database model where the database is represented by a number of tables. Each table consists of a number of rows (tuples) and columns. The SDM class is synonymous with the relational database table. The entities in the SDM class represent the rows (tuples) in the relational database table. The attributes of the entities in the class represent the relational database table columns. Appendix 1 contains an example of an SDM schema. This schema represents car dealerships and cars in stock in each dealership. This schema will be used to support the discussion of some of the features of the SDM schema throughout the rest of this chapter.

### 3.1 Classes

A class is a logical collection of entities. Each class in SDM can be either a base class or a nonbase class. A base class is one which is defined independently of all other classes in the database. That is, it is not related in any way to any other class in the SDM schema. Therefore, base classes do not have interclass connections. The class CARS in Appendix 1 is an example of a base class. The class CARS cannot be described in terms of any other class in the SDM schema. A nonbase class is one which is described in terms of one or more other classes in the SDM schema. This relationship is defined with the interclass connection. For example, the nonbase class BUICKS is defined as a subclass of the base class CARS. The entities in any nonbase class, therefore, are dependent on the entities in the classes in which they are based.

Classes in the SDM schema can represent any one of the following abstractions:

- i. Concrete object such as CARS or DEALERS.
- Events such as car preparations (PREPS).
- iii. Categorizations or aggregations of entities.
- iv. Names (syntactic identifiers).

The first three types of classes represent definitions of base and nonbase classes and will be described in the following sections. The last type of class (name classes) represent the data in the SDM schema which is communicated with the outside world (i.e., the database user). The name class defines the domain of the data item which will be used to enter data into and retrieve data from the database. The

first three types of classes will define the value class of their attributes as one of the name classes defined elsewhere in the SDM schema. Name classes will be defined in detail in section 2.4.

Each class in the SDM schema has the following features:

- A class name, which uniquely identifies the class in the SDM schema.
   Multiple synonymous class names are permitted. Class names in this paper
   are represented by a string of upper-case letters and special characters (e.g.,
   CARS and BUICKS).
- A collection of members, which represent the entities in the class. The
  concept of class members is implicit in the definition of the SDM schema.
   That is, class members are not explicitly defined in the SDM schema.
- An optional class description, which gives an English language description of the class. The class description allows for better documentation of the SDM schema.
- 4. A collections of attributes, which describe the members of the class or the class as a whole. The two types of attributes are:
  - a. Member attributes describe a member of a class by logically associating the class member to one or more entities in the same or another class.
  - b. Class attributes describe the given class as a whole. Attributes are described in section 2.3.
- 5. A base class has a list of identifiers which uniquely identify a member of a class. Thus, the identifiers serve as a "key" for uniquely identifying a specific

member (or entity) of a class. Use of identifiers implies that duplicate members are not allowed (see item 6).

- 6. A base class is specified as either containing duplicates or not containing duplicates. This defines whether the class can contain duplicate members or not. The default is that duplicate members are allowed.
- 7. A nonbase class is defined to be related to one or more other classes by specification of an interclass connection. The interclass connection is described in the following section.

### 3.2 Interclass Connection

Any nonbase class has an interclass connection describing how the nonbase class relates to other classes in the database. Interclass connections define the entities in a nonbase class by specifying a predicate P which, when applied to the entities of another class C, yield the desired nonbase subclass S. The two types of interclass connections are the subclass connection and the grouping connection.

3.2.1 Subclass Connection. This type of interclass connection is used to define a nonbase class S as a subclass of a parent class C. Thus, the members of the nonbase class S form a subset of the members of the parent class C. The members of the subclass S are determined by the definition of a predicate P which is applied to the members of C. The subclass connection defines the nonbase subclass S as consisting of all entities of the parent class C which satisfy a given predicate P. The following sections describe the four types of subclasses which can be defined via subclass connections.

3.2.1.1 Attribute-Defined Subclass. This type of subclass connection defines the subclass S to be all members of C such that the given attribute(s),  $A_i$  of C satisfy a given regular expression involving a value  $V_{A_i}$ , of the value class of  $A_i$ . An attribute predicate is used to define this type of subclass connection. The attribute predicate can be either a simple predicate:

where 
$$< A_1 > = < V_{A_1} >$$
,

or a compound predicate:

where 
$$\langle A_1 \rangle = \langle V_{A_1} \rangle$$
 and  $\langle A_2 \rangle = \langle V_{A_2} \rangle$ .

Any of the logical operators and scalar comparators can be used in the attribute predicate where the attribute is single valued. The attribute predicate can also be a set operation:

where 
$$\langle A_1 \rangle$$
 contains  $\langle V_{A_1} \rangle$ ,

where the attribute is multivalued.

An example of the use of this type of subclass connection is in the definition of the class BUICKS which is a subclass of CARS. The interclass connection for the BUICKS nonbase class would be

subclass of CARS where Make = 'buick'.

Here, the BUICKS nonbase class is made up of all members of class CARS where the "Make" attribute has a value of 'buick'.

3.2.1.2 User-Controllable Subclass. This type of subclass connection defines the subclass S to be any members of the class C as specified by the database administrator. The predicate

where specified

is used to define this type of subclass connection.

An example of the use of this type of subclass connection is in the definition of the nonbase class PREPARED\_CARS which is a subclass of CARS. The interclass connection for the PREPARED\_CARS nonbase class would be

subclass of CARS where specified.

Here, the members of the PREPARED\_CARS class would be CARS which are prepared for delivery (as specified by the database administrator).

3.2.1.3 Set-Operator-Defined Subclass. This type of subclass connection defines the subclass S to be any members of C which adhere to some set operation on two other database classes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Possible set operations are intersection, union, and difference. The classes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  must be subclasses of class C for the set operations to be valid. The predicates

is in  $\langle C_1 \rangle$ 

and

is not in <C1>

are used to define a set-operator-defined subclass.

An example of the use of this type of subclass connection is in the definition of the nonbase class PREPARED\_BUICKS, which is a subclass of CARS. The interclass connection for the PREPARED\_BUICKS nonbase class would be

subclass of CARS where is in PREPARED\_CARS and is in BUICKS.

This interclass connection also describes the use of the intersection set-operator-

defined subclass.

3.2.1.4 Existence Subclass. This type of subclass connection defines the subclass S to be any members of C which are currently values of some attribute A of another class  $C_1$ . The predicate

where is a value of A of C1

is used to specify a nonbase class S as an existence subclass of C.

An example of the use of this type of subclass connection is in the definition of the nonbase class BUICK\_DEALERS, which is a subclass of DEALERS. The interclass connection for the BUICK\_DEALERS nonbase class would be

subclass of DEALERS where is a value of Dealership of BUICKS.

3.2.2 Grouping Connection. The grouping connection defines a nonbase class which has members which are classes. The nonbase grouping class G defines a class which has members which are classes of the underlying class U. Thus, grouping classes are of a higher order than subclasses. In the relational database model, they would be representational of a table of tables. The following sections describe the three types of grouping classes which can be defined via the grouping connection.

3.2.2.1 Expression-Defined Grouping Class. This type of grouping class allows definition of a nonbase class G which is made up of all classes formed by collecting the members of the underlying class U into classes based on a common value for one or more member attributes of U. The predicate

on common value of <attribute >
is used to specify this type of grouping class.

Note that each class in the grouping class is also an attribute-defined subclass of the underlying class U. If this attribute-defined subclass is explicitly defined in the SDM schema elsewhere, the qualifier

groups defined as classes are C1. C2. .... Cn

is used to state that this explicit nonbase class definition is already made. This implies a duplicate class definition, one explicitly made in the SDM schema, and one as a class member of a grouping class.

An example of the use of this grouping class is in the definition of the nonbase class CAR\_MODELS. This class defines a grouping of all of the different car models. The predicate used to define CAR\_MODELS is

grouping of CARS on common value of Make and Model.

Since the attribute-defined subclass SOMERSETS is defined explicitly in the SDM schema, the qualifier

groups defined as classes are SOMERSETS

is also stated.

3.2.2.2 Enumerated Grouping Class. This type of grouping class allows definition of a nonbase class G which is made up of all the given classes. Thus, G is defined as a grouping of classes  $C_1, C_2, ..., C_n$ , where each of the classes,  $C_i$ , are subclasses of the underlying class U. The predicate

consisting of classes C1. C2. .... Cn

is used to define this type of grouping class.

3.2.2.3 User-Controllable Grouping Class. This type of grouping class allows definition of a nonbase class G which is made up of a user defined number of classes, each with a user defined number of members. The predicate

#### where specified

is used to define this type of grouping class.

#### 3.3 Attributes

Each class in the SDM schema has a collection of attributes (representing the columns in the relational database model) describing the class. Attributes can either describe the members of a class (member attributes) or the class itself (class attributes). A member attribute has a value for each member (or each tuple) of the class. A class attribute has only one value for the class as a whole (independent of the number of members of the class).

### Each attribute has the following features:

- An attribute name which uniquely identifies the attribute within the class, the underlying base class, and all eventual subclasses of the underlying base class. As with class names, multiple synonymous names are permitted.
- A value which is either an entity in the database or a collection of entities.
   An attributes value class defines the class which the value comes from. An attributes value can also be null.
- An optional attribute description is an English language description of the attribute. This serves as a documentation tool in the SDM schema.

4. The attribute can be either single valued or multivalued. The default is single valued. A single valued attribute defines the value of the attribute as a single tuple of the value class. A multivalued attribute defines the value of the attribute as a collection of tuples of the value class. Therefore, the multivalued attribute is itself an SDM class.

A multivalued attribute can also have a constraint on the size of the class (i.e., number of members) placed by specifying multivalued with size between X and Y, where X and Y are integers.

- An attribute value can be specified as mandatory, which means that it cannot
  contain a null value. The term may not be null is used to specify a
  mandatory attribute value.
- 6. An attribute can be specified as *not changeable*. Here, once a non-null value is specified for an attribute, it cannot be changed.
- 7. An attribute can be specified to be exhaustive of its value class. Here, every value of the value class must be the attribute value of some entity in the class. The phrase exhausts value class is used to specify this feature.
- 8. A multivalued attribute can be specified as having nonoverlapping values. This means that no two values of the attribute have any entities (tuples) in common. The term no overlap in values is used to specify nonoverlapping values.
- An attribute can be related to other attributes in the SDM schema with attribute relationships. These attribute relationships are described in the following sections.

3.3.1 Attribute Mappings. Attribute mappings provide a mechanism of directly referencing an attribute within the value class of an attribute. An attribute mapping is specified by listing each attribute in the sequence separated by periods. An example of an attribute mapping is when referencing the name of a dealership for cars. Here, the attribute mapping "Dealership.Name" references the "Name" attribute for the DEALERS class, which is the value class for the "Dealerships" attribute of the CARS class. Thus, "Dealership.Name" gives the dealership name for the given car.

Formally, an attribute mapping is a sequence of attributes,  $N_i$  defined within classes  $C_i$  respectively  $(N_1N_2...N_m)$  where the value class of  $N_i$  is  $C_{i+1}$  for all i=1,...,m-1.

The following rules apply to attribute mappings:

- i. An attribute mapping AM is multivalued if any one of the attributes  $N_i$  is multivalued.
- ii. the value class of the attribute mapping AM is the value class of the last attribute  $N_m$  in the mapping (i.e., class  $C_m$ ).
- 3.3.2 Attribute Inheritance. When defining a subclass S of a class C, the attributes of class C are inherited by the subclass S according to the following rules:
  - 1. A class S defined as an attribute-defined subclass or a user-controllable subclass of a parent class C inherits all of the member attributes of C.
  - 2. A class S defined as an intersection subclass of classes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  inherits all

of the member attributes of both classes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

- A class S defined as a union subclass of classes C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> inherits all of the member attributes common to C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>.
- 4. A class S defined as a difference subclass of classes C and  $C_1$  (i.e., members of class C not in class  $C_1$ ) inherits all of the member attributes of class C.

Member attributes inherited by a subclass are not explicitly defined, but are assumed based on how the subclass is defined (by the above rules). One can, however, place constraints on the value class of an inherited member attribute by explicitly specifying the inherited member attribute in the subclass definition with the new value class specification. An example of this is in the specification of the subclass BUICKS of class CARS. Here, an additional constraint is put on the inherited member attribute "Model" of the BUICK subclass. The constraint is that the "Model" attribute of class BUICKS can only be from the value class BUICK\_MODELS (instead of the inherited value class CAR\_MODELS).

3.3.3 Member Attribute Interrelationships. Member attribute interrelationships allow member attributes of two or more classes to be related in the SDM schema. The three types of member attribute interrelationships are the inverse, the match, and the derivation. The inverse and matching interrelationships are described here. The derivation is described in the next section. Formal definitions are also given for the inverse and matching interrelationships, since they may be easier to comprehend then the english definition.

The first member attribute interrelationship is the *inverse* relationship. The inverse relationship defines a symmetrical relationship between two attributes of

two classes. Consider classes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , with attributes  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively. Member attribute  $A_1$  of  $C_1$  is defined as the inverse of attribute  $A_2$  of  $C_2$  if the value of  $A_1$  for a member  $M_1$  of  $C_1$  consists of those members of  $C_2$  whose value of  $A_2$  is  $M_1$ . Since inversion establishes a symmetrical relationship, attribute  $A_2$  of  $C_2$  is also defined as the inverse of attribute  $A_1$  or  $C_2$  in the SDM schema.

Formally, the value of  $A_1$  for member  $M_1$  of class  $C_1$  is defined in terms of attribute  $A_2$  of class  $C_2$  as follows:

```
A_1 of M_1 \equiv members of C_2 such that M_1 \in A_2
```

The SDM schema segment in Figure 3-1 describes how the inverse relationship would be described in an SDM schema. The value class restrictions are also displayed.

Figure 3-1. SDM Inverse Attribute Relationship

Operationally, the inverse works as follows. When class  $C_1$  (or  $C_2$ ) is changed in some way (i.e., member record added, deleted, updated, etc.), the corresponding appropriate will be made to members of class  $C_2$  (or  $C_1$ ). Thus, the inverse relationship ensures that the two attributes remain consistently defined throughout the life of the database.

An example of the inverse relationship is that of the attribute "Dealership" of class CARS and attribute "Cars\_in\_stock" of class DEALERS. Here, the value of the "Dealership" attribute of a car is those DEALERS whose "Cars\_in\_stock" contain the given car. Also, the value of the "Cars\_in\_stock" for a dealer contain those members of CARS which have a "Dealership" attribute value of the given dealership. The inverse relationship would ensure that the "Cars\_in\_stock" attribute of DEALERS is updated automatically when members are added to class CARS which specify the appropriate value of the "Dealership" attribute.

The second attribute interrelationship is the matching relationship. The value of the match attribute  $A_1$  for the member  $M_1$  of class  $C_1$  is determined as follows:

- a. A member  $M_2$  of some class  $C_2$  is determined such that  $C_2$  has  $M_1$  as its value of member attribute  $A_2$ .
- b. The value of member attribute A for  $M_2$  is used as the value of  $A_1$  for  $M_1$ .

The matching relationship is specified in the SDM schema as shown in Figure 3-2.

Figure 3-2. SDM Attribute Matching Relationship

Formally, the value of the  $A_1$  attribute for member  $M_1$  of class  $C_1$  is determined

from attributes A and  $A_2$ , and member  $M_2$  of class  $C_2$  as follows:

 $A_1$  of  $M_1 \equiv A$  of  $M_2$  in  $C_2$  such that  $M_1 \in A_2$  in  $M_2$ 

The matching attribute, therefore, automatically derives the value of attribute  $A_1$  of class  $C_1$  from the given information. This implies that the attribute  $A_1$  can never be given a value directly by the user.

An example of the use of the matching relationship is in the definition of the "Owner" attribute of the CARS class. Here, the owner of a car is the same as the owner of the dealership where the car is in stock. To specify this relationship, the "Owner" attribute of a car is defined to be the "Owned\_by" attribute value for the member of DEALERS which has this car as a value of "Cars\_in\_stock". Notice that the class of "Owners" of class CARS and "Owned\_by" of class DEALERS must be the same.

3.3.3.1 Member Attribute Derivations. The third and last type of member attribute interrelationship is the derivation. The derivation is used to define an attribute as being derived (or calculated) from other attributes in the SDM schema. A number of derivation primitives are supported by the SDM. Each derivation primitive supplies a mechanism for computing a derived attribute. Combined use of these derivation primitives can lead to the development of arbitrarily complex derivations.

A description of all the derivation primitives supported by SDM follows. The descriptions involve the definition of a derived attribute  $A_1$  of class  $C_1$  with member  $M_1$ .

1.  $A_1$  can be defined as an ordering attribute. Here, the value of attribute  $A_1$  is

the sequential position of  $M_1$  within  $C_1$  when the members of  $C_1$  are ordered by other specified attributes of  $C_1$ . Members of  $C_1$  can be ordered by increasing (default) or decreasing value. The phrase

order by A2

is used to define an ordering derivation. Ordering of the members  $M_i$  of class  $C_1$  can also be specified in groups based on another attribute  $A_3$  of  $C_1$ . Here, the value of  $A_1$  is the sequential position of member  $M_1$  within the group of members of  $C_1$  which have a common value of attribute  $A_3$ . The phrase

order by A2 within A3

is used to specify ordering withing groups.

2.  $A_1$  can be defined as an existence attribute. Here,  $A_1$  contains the value "yes" if member  $M_1$  of  $C_1$  is a member of some other specified class  $C_2$ , and "no" otherwise. The phrase

if in C2

is used to define an existence attribute.

3.  $A_1$  can be defined by recursively tracing the values of some attribute  $A_2$ . The value class of  $A_2$  must be the same as the value class of  $A_1$ . The phrase

all levels of values of A2

is used to define this type of attribute derivation. This attribute derivation can also specify a limit to the number of recursions of tracing the values of  $A_2$ . The phrase

up to n levels of values of  $A_2$ 

is used to place a restriction of n levels on the recursive tracing of attribute  $A_2$ .

- 4. The derived multivalued member attribute Contents is automatically defined when a grouping class is defined. This member attribute has a value representing the contents of each class underlying the grouping class. That is, each value of "Contents" represents all of the members of one of the classes underlying the grouping class.
- 5.  $A_1$  can be defined to be directly derived from another attribute  $A_2$ . Here, whatever value is given to attribute  $A_2$  is also given to attribute  $A_1$ . The description

same as A 2

is used to define this type of derivation.

6.  $A_1$  can be defined as a *subvalue* of some other attribute  $A_2$  which satisfies some predicate P. The predicate, P, can be any of the attribute predicates defined in section 2.2.1. The description

subvalue of  $A_2$  where P

is used to define a subvalue attribute. Predicate P may contain mappings which are used to determine which values of  $A_2$  are applicable. These mappings, however, must be consistent with the value class of attribute  $A_2$ .

7.  $A_1$  can be defined as the intersection, union, or difference of two other multivalued attributes. A union derivation would be specified as

where is in  $A_2$  or is in  $A_3$ .

- 8. A<sub>1</sub> can be defined in terms of other attributes with an arithmetic expression. All of the attributes involved in the arithmetic expression must have a value class of the built in class NUMBERS. The set of possible arithmetic operators are addition ("+"), subtraction ("-"), multiplication ("\*"), division ("/"), and exponentiation ("!").
- A<sub>1</sub> can be defined to be the "minimum", "maximum", "average", or "sum" of another multivalued attribute. The defining attribute must have a value class of the built in class NUMBERS.
- 10.  $A_1$  can be defined to be the number of members in some other multivalued attribute  $A_2$ . The phrase

number of members in A2

is used to specify this derivation. The user can also specify that  $A_1$  be the number of unique members of attribute  $A_2$ . The number of unique members differs from the number of members only when duplicates are present in the attribute  $A_2$ .

- 3.3.3.2 Member Attribute Definition Rules. Hammer and McLeod describe how the use of derivation specifications must be used to avoid inconsistent attribute specifications. Every attribute,  $A_1$ , satisfies one of the following cases:
  - a.  $A_1$  has exactly one derivation. Here, the value of  $A_1$  is completely specified by the derivation. If an inverse of  $A_1$  exists, it may not have a derivation or a matching specification.

- b.  $A_1$  has exactly one matching specification. Here, the value of  $A_1$  is completely specified by its relationships with an entity (or entities) to which it is matched. If an inverse of  $A_1$  exists, it may not have a derivation. The inverse of  $A_1$  can, however, have a matching specification, but must be consistent with the matching specification of  $A_1$ .
- c.  $A_1$  has neither a matching specification nor a derivation. Here, it may be the case that the inverse of  $A_1$  has a matching specification or a derivation. If this is the case, one of the above two cases applies. Otherwise,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  form a pair of primitive values that are defined in terms of one another, but which are independent of all other information in the database.

The concept of defining an inverse and a matching relationship within the same attribute definition warrants some discussion. Recall from the discussions of the inverse and matching attribute interrelationships, the operation of the two types of relationships. Inverse supplies an automatic update mechanism for one attribute when the other corresponding attribute is modified. Matching supplies an automatic derivation of an attribute from other information in the database. Consider an attribute  $A_1$  defined in class  $C_1$  with both an inverse and matching attribute interrelationship defined as shown in Figure 3-3.

Figure 3-3. Combined Use of Match and Inverse Attribute Interrelationships

The inverse relationship between  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  means that whenever one of the two attributes is changed, the other one is changed appropriately. The match relationship for  $A_1$  means that  $A_1$  cannot ever be directly assigned a value, but is automatically derived from attributes A and  $A_2$  in class  $C_3$ . This implies an indirect dependence of  $A_3$  of class  $C_2$  on attributes A and  $A_2$  in class  $C_3$ . If this dependence were not recognized by the model, a change to attribute  $A_3$  for class  $C_2$  would initiate a corresponding change to attribute  $A_1$  for class  $C_1$ . This could invalidate the matching relationship between attribute  $A_1$  and the attributes in class  $C_3$ . Therefore, when a matching relationship is defined within an attribute definition, and an inverse relationship also exists, a matching relationship is also assumed for the inverse attribute (here  $A_3$ ). Further, if the database designer specifies a matching relationship for the inverse attribute, it had better be the matching relationship which is assumed.

The matching relationship which is assumed for  $A_3$  is

match:  $A_2$  of  $C_3$  on A

To see this (and in fact to conceptualize the inverse/match combined definition), an example will be given. Referring to Figure 3-3, consider the following notation:

- i.  $M_i^{C_j}$  will represent member i of class  $C_i$ .
- ii.  $M_i^{C_j}(A_k:M_n^{C_p},\cdots)$  means that the  $k^{th}$  attribute of member  $M_i^{C_j}$  contains the members  $M_n$ , etc. from class  $C_p$ . Note that this implies that the value class of  $A_k$  is  $C_p$ .

Figure 3-4 shows a derivation for the attributes  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  based on the values of A and  $A_2$  in class  $C_2$ . First, given the values for A and  $A_2$  for members  $M_1^{C_3}$  and  $M_3^{C_3}$  of class  $C_3$ , and using the formal definition for matching, we can attempt to derive the  $A_1$  values for all members of class  $C_1$ . Given only the two specified members of class  $C_3$ , only the  $A_1$  attribute for member  $M_1^{C_1}$  is derivable since this is the only member of  $C_1$  which appears as a member of the A attribute of  $C_3$ . Using the formal definition of matching, the  $A_1$  attribute for member  $M_1^{C_1}$  is the two members  $M_2^{C_2}$  and  $M_3^{C_2}$  of class  $C_2$  (which is the value class of  $A_1$ ). Now applying the inverse relationship between  $A_1$  and  $A_3$ , we can attempt to derive the  $A_3$  attribute value for all members of class  $C_2$ . Using the formal definition for inverse, members  $M_2^{C_2}$  and  $M_3^{C_2}$  both have  $M_1^{C_1}$  as their value (note that  $C_1$  is the value class of  $A_3$ , which is consistent with the definition). Now, it can be seen that using the assumed matching definition for attribute  $A_3$ , the values derived for  $A_3$  using the inverse with  $A_1$  are exactly the same as the matching relationship between  $A_3$  and attributes A and  $A_2$  of class  $C_3$ .

$$C_{1}: \\ M_{1}^{C_{1}} \left(A_{1}: M_{2}^{C_{2}}, M_{3}^{C_{2}}\right) \\ M_{2}^{C_{1}} \left(A_{1}: 7\right) \\ C_{2}: \\ M_{1}^{C_{2}} \left(A_{3}: 7\right) \\ M_{2}^{C_{2}} \left(A_{3}: M_{1}^{C_{1}}\right) \\ M_{3}^{C_{2}} \left(A_{3}: M_{1}^{C_{1}}\right) \\ M_{4}^{C_{2}} \left(A_{3}: 7\right) \\ C_{3}: \\ M_{1}^{C_{3}} \left(A: M_{2}^{C_{2}}, A_{2}: M_{1}^{C_{1}}\right) \\ M_{2}^{C_{3}} \left(A: 7, A_{2}: 7\right) \\ M_{3}^{C_{3}} \left(A: M_{3}^{C_{2}}, A_{2}: M_{1}^{C_{1}}\right) \\ M_{2}^{C_{3}} \left(A: 7, A_{2}: 7\right) \\ M_{3}^{C_{3}} \left(A: M_{3}^{C_{2}}, A_{2}: M_{1}^{C_{1}}\right) \\ \end{array}$$

Figure 3-4. Example of Match/Inverse Combined Derivation

This example is by no means a proof of the assumed matching relationship, but only shows how the assumed matching relationship logically fits in with the inverse and matching relationships explicitly defined.

- 3.3.4 Class Attribute Derivations. Attribute derivation primitives analogous to those listed in items 5 through 10 in the previous section can be used to define class attribute derivations. Instead of using other member attributes to derive the member attribute, other class attributes are used to derive the class attribute. In addition to items 5 through 10 of the previous section, two additional primitives can be used to derive a class attribute:
  - The class attribute can be defined to be the number of members in the class in which it resides. The phrase

number of members in this class
is used to define this type of class attribute derivation.

2. The class attribute can be defined to be the "minimum", "maximum", "average", or "sum" of one of the member attributes in the class in which it

resides. The phrase

sum of A over members of this class is an example of this type of class attribute derivation.

#### 3.4 Name Classes

Name classes define the *domain* of the data which is used to communicate with the outside world; that is, the data which can be entered by a user and which is supplied to the user as a response to queries, etc. A name class in SDM is a subclass of one of the built-in classes, formed by application of some predicate, P, to to the built-in class. The predicates which can be used to define a name class are as follows:

- The name class can be defined as a subclass of a built-in class. Here, all legal members of the built-in class can be entered.
- 2. The name class can be defined as a subclass of a built-in class, where specified by the database administrator. Here, the members of the name class are those members of the built-in class which are specified by the database administrator.
- 3. The name class can be defined as a subclass of STRINGS which follows some specified format. Here, the name class is defined as all members of STRINGS which satisfy some data format directive which is specified in the SDM schema. This name class represents a class of STRINGS which satisfy the format directive; it will not actually have every member of STRING satisfying the format directive. The next section details the use of the format directive, F, when used in this type of name class definition.

3.4.1 Format Directives The format directive is defined in terms of the Domain Definition Language (DDL) as detailed in reference [13]. DDL was created to allow a relational database model to specify the semantics of the data which it represented. In the SDM schema, DDL is used to specify the format directive, F, used in the subclass interclass connection when defining a name class. The format directive (as in the domain definition of reference [13]), establishes the domain of the name class when it is defined in the SDM schema; that is, the domain of the name classes are static (do not change).

McLeod defined a domain definition to consist of four parts: (1) domain name, (2) domain description (establishing the domain), (3) ordering of the domain values, and (4) a violation-action to be taken if the domain description is violated. In the SDM schema, the domain name will be the class name of the name class. The domain description will be for format directive in the name class. Also, as part of the format directive, the ordering of the domain values defined in the domain description will be supplied.

A domain description is specified by any one (or a combination of) the following:

- a. decomposing the domain values into subunits which restrict the domain values for the name class by specifying a format for the data,
- b. enumerating the domain values; that is, specifying that the domain consists of a finite number of values (note that the enumeration of the domain values is done at the subunit level in the format directive),
- c. placing restrictions on the set of domain values by definition of a predicate, p, which limits the set of domain values.

The format directive can also be a number of alternative domain decompositions or enumerations for the domain of the name class. Each subunit specification can be prefixed with a *label* which allows reference to the value of the subunit within the predicate p.

The format directive can specify that the subunits defined be ordered by some criteria. The possible ordering alternatives are as follows:

- i. list of subunits which define the ordering precedence for the domain value  $\mbox{ when compared,}$
- ii. no ordering ("none") which implies that only equality comparators are possible,
- iii. atomic ordering; that is, the when the domain value is compared, numeric ordering is used for real numbers and lexicographic ordering is used for character strings.

A domain violation action can be supplied to determine a course of action if data is entered by the user which does not satisfy the given format directive. The DDL presented in reference [13] provides three basic domain violation actions:

- flag an error and supply an optional message,
- 2. substitute a known value for the value in error, or
- call a user-defined procedure to handle the error.

The basic format of a format directive for an interclass connection of a name class is shown in Figure 3-5.

```
subclass of STRINGS where format is

[label 1a:] subunit 1a
[label 2a:] subunit 2a

.

[or

[label 1b:] subunit 1b
[label 2b:] subunit 2b
.
.
.]

[where p]
[ordering: ordering-spec]
[violation action: violation-action-spec]
```

Figure 3-5. SDM Format Directive Specification

An example of the use of the format directive in the definition of name classes is in the definition of the name class VIN\_CODES in Appendix 1. The exact syntax of the format directive will be detailed in Chapter 4.

## 3.5 Built-In Classes

For convenience, SDM provides some built-in class definitions. The built-in classes provided by SDM are as follows:

YES/NO This class has the two members "yes" and "no". This provides the boolean class definition.

REALS This class has members representing all real numbers.

INTEGERS This class has members representing all integers (positive and negative).

NUMBERS This class has members representing all members of the REALS and INTEGERS classes.

STRINGS This class has members representing all possible strings of characters.

# 3.6 Summary

This chapter has detailed the SDM as introduced by Hammer and McLeod in reference [1]. Chapter 3 will introduce extensions to the SDM which will provide greater capabilities as well as provide more semantic integrity into the SDM described in this chapter. Chapter 4 will introduce the reader to formal language theory and present the SDML grammar. Finally, Chapter 5 will discuss parsing of the SDML grammar and include a discussion of the semantic checks which should be made in the SDML parser which would not be caught in the SDML grammar itself.

# Chapter 3: SDM Extensions

This chapter describes the extensions to the SDM model which are included in the SDML grammar presented in Chapter 4. Since the SDM has been used in classroom study for some time, the SDML grammar was designed to be as syntactically close as possible to the SDM presented in Chapter 2. The two major changes to the SDM are:

- Description text in SDML is enclosed in curly-brackets. This is necessary to be able to recognize the end of the description (since any character or reserved words may appear in the description).
- 2. The equality predicate (item 9 in section 2.3.3.1) and the set-order-derived predicate (item 10 in section 2.3.3.1) were combined to form an enhanced equality predicate. The equality predicate allowed an arithmetic expression involving attribute mappings but did not allow set operators on multivalued attribute mappings. The set-order-derived predicate allowed a single set operator to be applied to a multivalued attribute mapping. SDML will allow an arithmetic expression involving attribute mappings and set operators on multivalued attribute mappings. Note that a simple equality predicate involving a single set operator on a multivalued attribute is exactly the set-order-derived predicate. Thus the reason for the merging of the equality predicate and the set-order-derived predicate.

Appendix 2 contains the structure of an SDML specification in a informal syntactic format. This structure specification is provided to allow the reader to gain a general understanding of the structure of an SDML specification without

attempting to follow the BNF specification.

The DDL presented in reference [13] was also included into the SDML grammar with some modifications. Reference [13] presented a BNF notation for the DDL. The BNF listed, however, included some constructs which resulted in a non-LR(1) grammar. Therefore, some additional syntax was added to the DDL which made it LR(1). Changes to the DDL will be noted in the next Chapter as the BNF for the DDL within SDML is presented.

The following sections describe some extensions which, when added to the SDM described in Chapter 2, will add some additional capabilities. Appendix 3 contains the structure of the DDL included in SDML.

### 4.1 Assertions

Assertions will allow the SDM designer to include statements of fact about the database being modeled. An assertion is a statement of fact which should always remain true independent of the state of the database. If the database enters a state such that the assertion is no longer true, the data in the database is in error. An assertion failure action is supplied to indicate the action necessary when the corresponding assertion fails. These assertions are considered run-time assertions which will flag invalid database states during actual database use. Any number of assertions can be defined in the SDM schema.

Three types of assertions are supplied to the SDM designer:

- Member Attribute Assertions,
- Class Attribute Assertions, and

## Interclass Assertions.

With each of the three types of assertions comes a choice of three failure actions:

- Flag the database state as an error state and optionally print a message about the condition.
- 2. Warn the database administrator about the condition with a message.
- 3. Call a user-defined procedure which will take the appropriate action. This alternative allows the database designer to build in notifications when certain data values in the database obtain specified values. The optional error message is not supplied on this option since the user-defined procedure should supply any failure messages necessary.

A failure action of error is assumed if no failure action is given for the assertion.

A third failure action could also be supplied which would allow the database designer to indicate how the database should be automatically repaired when the assertion fails. For example, a member attribute assertion failure action could be supplied such that the member attribute value is substituted with a derived MEMBER\_ATTRIBUTE\_DERIVATION (see Appendix 2). A class attribute assertion failure action could indicate that the class attribute value is substituted with a derived CLASS\_ATTRIBUTE\_DERIVATION (see Appendix 2). Finally, an interclass assertion failure action could be supplied such that the class is repopulated using some other interclass connection. More sophisticated automatic repair specifications could be employed to detect the source of the problem and correct any other attributes which are found in error. Automatic database repair specifications within an SDML specification will not be dealt with here since they

lend themselves to much more study then could be supplied in this paper. They are, however, a possible topic for further research.

The automatic repair of an invalid database state could also be thought of as a function of the user-defined procedure. This way, the procedure specified would be responsible for detecting the source of the error, correcting the error, and reverifying the state of the corrected database.

- 4.1.1 Member Attribute Assertions Member attribute assertions assert something about the value of the given member attribute in terms of other member attributes, class attributes for that class, or constants. The member attribute assertion can either
  - specify an arithmetic expression which should always evaluate to "true" independent of the current database state, or
  - 2. specify a procedure to call to provide the necessary assertion checking.

The second type of assertion allows the database designer to indicate that the attribute value must be checked in a non-trivial manner which can be described by a procedure interface.

An example of the use of the first type of member attribute assertion is asserting that the Date\_of\_preparation attribute of class SCHEDULED\_PREPS is always \lequiv CURRENT\_DATE. The CURRENT\_DATE used here is another extension of the SDM schema and is presented in section 4.3. This also demonstrates the use of the attribute assertion to notify the database administrator when the value of an attribute takes on some specified values or exceeds some specified range of values. This example illustrates the use of the so called notification-assertion by notifying

the database administrator when a car is past due for preparation. This database state is not actually in error, but requires some further action on the record to resolve the conflict (e.g., either to change the preparation date or to prepare the car for delivery and move the record to PREPARED\_CARS class). The Date\_of\_preparation member attribute of class SCHEDULED\_PREPS Here the assertion

assertion: Preparation\_date ≤ CURRENT\_DATE failure action: call \_notify\_past\_due

is given within the member attribute "Date\_of\_preparation" of class SCHEDULED\_PREPS.

An example of the second type of member attribute assertion occurs when specifying an interior color for a car. Typically, only certain interior colors can be used based on the exterior color of the car. Since the selection of interior colors based on the exterior color is non-trivial, an assertion can be supplied to verify the choice of interior colors based on the exterior color. Here the assertion

assertion: call \_verify\_interior\_color failure action: error 'invalid exterior/interior combination'

is given within the member attribute "Interior\_color" of class CARS to show this relationship between interior and exterior colors.

4.1.2 Class Attribute Assertions Class attribute assertions assert something about the value of the given class attribute in terms of other class attributes, member attributes within that class, or constants. As with member attribute assertions, the assertion can either specify an arithmetic expression which should evaluate to "true" independent of the current database state, or provide a procedure call which verifies the current database state.

An example of the use of the class attribute assertion is asserting that the Number\_of\_cars\_sold attribute of class CARS\_SOLD is exactly equal to the number of members in class SCHEDULED\_PREPS plus the number of members in class PREPARED\_CARS. Figure 4-1 shows how the class definition of CARS\_SOLD would be defined to show this assertion. The *sizeof* class operator is another extension to the SDM schema and is presented in section 4.4.

4.1.3 Interclass Assertions Interclass assertions assert something about the interclass connection for a nonbase class. This is used to ensure that other interclass connections are also true for the nonbase class. Thus, the interclass assertion specifies one or more other interclass connections which must also be true. The interclass assertion would be most beneficial when dealing with classes which are user-controllable classes; that is, the database administrator populates the class. An example of the use of the interclass assertion to verify user-controllable classes is shown in Figure 4-1.

```
CARS SOLD
  description: { All cars sold to customers. }
  interclass connection: subclass of CARS where
                            specified
  interclass assertion; subclass of CARS where
                            is in PREPARED CARS or
                            is in SCHEDULED PREPS
  failure action: warning
                'car not scheduled for preparation'
  member attributes:
       Sold to
         value class: PERSON_NAMES
      Sold_by
         value class: PERSON_NAMES
         assertion: Sold by contained in
                     Dealership.Employees
         failure action:
             error 'Salesman not employee of dealership'
  class attributes:
      Number_of_cars_sold
         value class: INTEGERS
         derivation: number of unique members in
                           this class
         assertion: Number_of_cars_sold =
                    sizeof( SCHEDULED_PREPS ) +
                    sizeof( PREPARED_CARS )
        failure action:
                    call _determine_cars_not_scheduled
```

Figure 4-1. Use of Member and Class Attribute and Interclass Assertions

Other known interclass connections which can be used to define the same class can
also be stated within the interclass assertion. An example of the use of the
interclass assertion is shown in Figure 4-2.

PREPARED\_BUICKS

description: { This class contains all prepared Buick's for any dealership. }

interclass connection: subclass of CARS where

is in BUICKS and is in PREPARED\_CARS

interclass assertion: subclass of PREPARED\_CARS where

Make = 'Buick'

Figure 4-2. Use of the Interclass Assertion as Multiple Interclass Connections

The failure action for the interclass assertion in Figure 4-2 is assumed to be the

error action. If the interclass assertion were to fail, the database administrator

would receive an error message indicating that an invalid database state has been

entered.

4.2 Grouping Name Classes

In the real world, the value of one attribute,  $A_1$ , may determine the value class of

another attribute,  $A_2$ . An example of this is the attributes "Make" and "Model" of

class CARS. Any combination of Make and Model of cars may not make sense. In

fact, the make of a car determines the possible models which can be defined. That

is, the value of name class CAR\_TYPES determines the value class for the name

class CAR\_MODELS. Thus, the name class CAR\_MODELS is actually a grouping

of value classes, where each value class is made up of a list of possible car models.

Since the value of an attribute  $A_1$  belonging to name class  $C_1$  determines the value

class,  $VC_i$ , of an attribute  $A_2$  belonging to name class  $C_2$ , the number of value

classes for class  $C_2$  is exactly the same as the number of members of name class  $C_1$ .

This is because each value of name class  $C_1$  determines one and only one value class

 $VC_i$  belonging to name class  $C_2$ .

How this extension would be represented in the SDM schema is shown in Figure

- 41 -

4-3.

CAR TYPES

determines: CAR MODELS

CAR\_MODELS

interclass connection: grouping of STRINGS as specified by CAR\_TYPES

Figure 4-3. Example of Grouping Name Class Usage

In Figure 4-3, the term "determines" means that a value of the given name class (e.g., CAR\_TYPES) determines the value class of the other specified name class (e.g., CAR\_MODELS). Logically, the CAR\_MODELS name class is thought of as being a class which has members which are name classes (as in the grouping subclass definition). The interclass connection for the CAR\_MODELS name class would then specify that a "grouping" of name classes exist and then specify the predicate, p, which is used to formulate each name class in the group. Finally, the grouping name class will indicate the name class (e.g., CAR\_TYPES) which determines which value class of the group will be selected. This defines a symmetrical relationship between the name class "determining" the second and the name class being "determined by" the first.

# 4.3 Constant Name Class Members

Constant name class members are a method of identifying a permanent member of a given name class which can then be used throughout the rest of the SDM schema. Constant name class members are most beneficial when used with the assertion clause presented in section 3.1. An example of constant name class members might

be CURRENT\_DATE of name class DATES and CURRENT\_YEAR of name class YEARS. Typically, constant name class members would be values which would be assigned by the database administrator or some other external source.

Constant members would be declared within the name class which it is defined as follows:

definition: ...
interclass connection: ...
constant members:  $M_1M_2$ ,..., $M_n$ 

where the value of  $M_1M_2....M_n$  would be automatically defined as the value class of C.

# 4.4 Sizeof Class Operator

The size of class operator is supplied to add to the capabilities of defining member and class attribute assertions. Simply, the size of operator defines the size of the class C. That is,

 $sizeof(C) \equiv number of members in class C$ .

Figure 4-1 demonstrates the use of the sizeof class operator.

# 4.5 Summary

This chapter discusses some entensions to the SDM which are included in the SDML grammar as described in Chapter 4. Appendix 2 and 3 give the structure of the SDML specification which will be the foundation for the development of the SDML grammar in Chapter 4. All of the examples used in this chapter are included in the SDML specification shown in Appendix 5 (which is an upgrade of the example in Appendix 1).

The following items are identified as warranting further study:

- Automatic database recovery specifications in SDML.
- Procedure specifications in SDML,
- Further combining some of the derivation predicates to support a smaller subset of possible predicates while retaining current capabilities.
- On a larger scale, the SDM schema should be re-worked to provide a more cohesive set of capabilities instead of seamingly ad-hoc capabilities.

Chapter 4 will give a brief introduction to formal language theory and begin describing the design of the SDML grammar.

# Chapter 4: SDML Grammar Definitions

Before presenting the design of the SDML grammar, a short discussion on languages and grammars is beneficial. Afterwards, the notation used in the rest of this chapter is described. Finally, the grammar for SDML will be presented.

# 5.1 Languages and Grammars

A language is an ordering of symbols based on some pre-defined set of rules. The symbols of a language constitute its alphabet. Symbols of an alphabet are referred to as tokens in compiler design. The rules which govern how the symbols of the alphabet may be arranged in the language is called the grammar for the language. Thus, given an alphabet,  $\Sigma$ , the following holds for the language L:

$$L C \Sigma^*$$

where  $\Sigma^*$  is defined as the set of all *sentences* formed by concatenation of the tokens from  $\Sigma$  (including the *null* sentence).

A terminal symbol is any member of  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, a terminal symbol is synonymous with a token. A nonterminal symbol represents a substring of a sentence in L. Nonterminal symbols are used in a grammar as a building block for sentence construction. The set of terminal symbols,  $\Sigma$ , and nonterminal symbols, N, are disjoint; that is

$$\Sigma \bigcap N = \Phi$$
.

The production rules, P, of a grammar,  $\Gamma$ , are a set of rules which define how the terminal symbols (or tokens) are built to form the language, L. A production rule has the following form:

$$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$$
.

where  $\alpha,\beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ . In other words, a production rule defines a derivation step where a string of terminal and nonterminal symbols  $(\alpha)$  derive another string of terminal and nonterminal symbols  $(\beta)$ . A grammar  $\Gamma$  is then defined to be the four-tuple

$$\Gamma \equiv (\Sigma, N, P, S_r)$$

where  $S_s$  is the start symbol for the grammar  $\Gamma$ . The start symbol is a special nonterminal symbol such that, through one or more iterations of production rules from  $\Gamma$ , it will derive all sentences, s, in L. That is,

$$S_s = >^+ s$$
, for any  $s \in L(\Gamma)$ .

We can therefore define the language defined by grammar  $\Gamma$  to be as follows

$$L(\Gamma) \equiv \left\{ s \mid s \in \Sigma^s \text{ and } S_s = >^+ s \right\}.$$

where  $S_s = >^+ s$  means that s can be derived from the start symbol by application of one or more production rules, P, from  $\Gamma$ .

Placing restrictions on the form of production rules in a grammar  $\Gamma$  produces several well known classes of grammars. A grammar  $\Gamma$  is context-sensitive if

a.  $\alpha$  contains at least one nonterminal symbol

b. 
$$|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$$

The above restrictions imply that a context-sensitive grammar is also  $\epsilon$ -free; that is, no productions of the form  $\alpha \to \epsilon$  exist in the grammar, where  $\epsilon$  is called the *empty* symbol. A grammar  $\Gamma$  is defined as a context-free grammar if the left hand side (LHS) of every production rule contains one and only one nonterminal

symbol. Then the form of each production in a context-free grammar is

 $X \rightarrow \beta$ .

where  $X \in N$  and  $\beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ . Notice that the right hand side (RHS) of a context-free grammar rule can be the empty string  $\epsilon$ . This implies that context-free grammars need not be context-sensitive. A *left-recursive* grammar is a context-free grammar which includes productions of the form

 $X \rightarrow X\nu$ .

where  $X \in N$  and  $\nu \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ .

The SDML grammar will be designed to be context-free, allowing empty symbols on the RHS of rules. The SDML grammar will, therefore, not be context-sensitive. The SDML grammar will be defined as a left-recursive grammar to implement list structures. Reasons for left-recursion (vs. right-recursion) will be discussed in Chapter 5.

It is desirable a grammar to be unambiguous; that is, for each sentence,  $s \in L$ , there exists a unique parse tree for s defined by  $\Gamma$ . When a ambiguous grammar is parsed, the parser must anticipate the intended meaning of the ambiguous sentence and "guess" at a derivation for the sentence. It is possible that, if the parser guesses incorrectly, the sentence cannot be fully reduced given the derivation chosen by the parser. Since it has been proven that there exists no algorithm which can take an arbitrary context-free grammar and prove that it is ambiguous or not [10][11], a general statement about the ambiguity of the SDML grammar presented cannot be made here. Therefore, the question of SDML grammar ambiguity will be left to Chapter 5 (where the SDML parser will be discussed). Note, however, that some automatic parser generators (including the one chosen for the SDML grammar) do

supply disambiguating rules for resolving ambiguities in the grammar.

The SDML grammar will be developed as an LR(1) grammar. The primary reason for choosing an LR(1) grammar for SDML is to allow the use of already existing parser generators for parsing an SDML specification. An LR(1) grammar is one which can be parsed L eft-to-right producing a R ightmost derivation in reverse, with one token look ahead. Barrett and Couch [10] give the following definition for an LR(1) grammar. Consider a grammar  $\Gamma$  with start symbol  $S_s$  and a rightmost derivation of a terminal string w as follows:

$$S_x => w_1 => w_2 => \cdots => w$$
.

Now consider a typical step in the derivation:

$$\alpha At = > \alpha \beta t$$
.

where  $A \to \beta$  is the production used to reduce  $\alpha At$  to  $\alpha \beta t$ , and  $\alpha \beta t$  is one of the  $w_i$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is LR(1) if, for every such derivation step, the production  $A \to \beta$  can be inferred by scanning  $\alpha \beta$  and the first token of  $\nu$ . In this definition,  $t \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $A \in N$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in (\Sigma \bigcup N)^*$ . Developing an LR(1) grammar for SDML will allow for the design of a shift-reduce parser for SDML with a one token look ahead.

Notice that an LR(1) grammar provides an extremely powerful language specification. That is, the amount of information used to infer a reduction can be immense. That is, the entire stack of shifted symbols (i.e.,  $\alpha\beta$ ) as well as the first token of  $\nu$ , is used to infer the reduction by the production  $A \to \beta$ . How the parser actually implements this is left to Chapter 5.

## 5.2 Notation

In the SDML grammar specification described in the next section, the following notation is used:

- Nonterminal symbols are lower-case characters enclosed within the < and > symbols (e.g., <class-attributes>).
- 2. Terminal symbols are denoted as upper-case strings,
- 3. If two productions (e.g.,  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Z$ ) have the same LHS, they are shown as

$$X := Y \mid Z$$

where the '' symbol represents an alternative. A production of the above form represents the Backus-Naur Form (BNF) for the grammar,  $\Gamma$ . The SDML grammar will be presented in the BNF format.

- When referring to a mapping, the terminology AM<sub>1</sub> will be used (read Attribute Mapping sub 1). When referring to a mapping list, AM<sub>k</sub> will be used.
- 5. Defining the attribute mapping  $AM_1$  as a mapping of attributes  $N_i$  (i.e.,  $N_1.N_2...N_m$ ), then attribute  $N_i$  in the mapping sequence within  $AM_1$  is referenced as  $(AM_1)^{N_i}$ .
- 6. The value class for an attribute or mapping (say  $AM_i$ ) will be denoted by  $VC_{AM_i}$  (read "the value class of  $AM_i$ ").

 The underlying base class for class C<sub>i</sub> is denoted as U<sub>C<sub>i</sub></sub> (read "the underlying base class of C<sub>i</sub>").

Class names, attribute names, procedure names, constant member names, and labels are considered as terminal symbols in the discussion since they are passed from the lexical analyzer as such.

# 5.3 SDML Grammar Specification

This section will discuss the development of the grammar for SDML. With each production sequence, the semantic checks which will be performed by the SDML parser are listed. All production rules are listed in Appendix 4 as they were given to the Yacc program. The order of the discussion follows the order of the productions given in Appendix 4.

The following checks will be made in reference to class and attribute name definitions:

- a. class name definitions must be unique for all classes defined in the SDML specification.
- b. attribute name definitions must be unique for the underlying base class, U, and all eventual subclasses of U.
- c. constant member name definitions must be unique with respect to all constant member names defined in the SDML specification.

As discussed in Chapter 2, the SDM schema is made up of one or more class definitions. Thus, the SDML grammar start symbol will be <sdm-schema> and will, therefore, be defined as a class definition list as follows:

<sdm-schema> ::= <class-definition-list>

A class definition list can be either a single class or multiple class definition lists. In either case, a first (and last) class definition must be present. The <class-definition-list> nonterminal could then consist of a single class definition or a last class definition preceded by other class definitions (i.e., another class definition list):

Base and nonbase class definitions can be mixed withing the SDML specification.

As discussed in Chapter 2, a class in the SDM schema is either defined as as base class or a nonbase class. The distinction between base and nonbase classes is not declared explicitly in the SDM schema with the class name, but is implicit in how the class is defined. A distinction can be made between base classes and nonbase classes by the presense of an *interclass connection*. All nonbase classes have interclass connections and no base classes have interclass connections. Therefore, no conflict should arise in the grammar if the class definition produces either a base class definition or a nonbase class definition as follows:

Let us first concentrate on the base class definition. Every class, whether base or nonbase, is identified with a unique class name possible followed by multiple synonymous names. The class name list is then followed by the body of the base class as follows:

 followed by the list of synonymous names separated by comma's or and's:

<comma-and-sep> ::= ',' | AND

Within the list of semantic checks for declarations within the body of this class, the primary name of the class presently being defined will be C.

Now for the definition of the body of a base class. A base class is made up of an optional description, an optional base class features declaration, one or more member attributes, zero or more class attributes, and a list of identifiers. Thus, the body of a base class is as follows:

The description clause allows the designer to provide an Engligh language sentence for documentation purposes. The description clause has the following format:

<desc-clause> ::= 6 |
 DESCRIPTION : DESCRIPTION\_TEXT

Base class features allow the designer to specify whether or not duplicates are allowed within this class. If not specified, the default is that duplicates are allowed. The base class features declaration is then

<bc-feat-decl> ::= € |
 DUPLICATES NOT ALLOWED |
 DUPLICATES ALLOWED

Every base class must have one or more member attributes defined for the class. Thus the productions

<member-attr-decl> ::= MEMBER ATTRIBUTES : <member-attr-list>

define the format of member attribute definitions within a class. Member attributes are identified by an attribute name optionally followed by multiple

synonymous attribute names. Member attribute names must be unique within all

attributes (member and class) of this class and all eventual subclasses of this class.

The body of a member attribute contains the description clause (exactly as in the

class definition), the value class declaration for the attribute, an optional inverse

relationship, an optional match or derivation, a member order defining the order of

the attribute, and member attribute options. Added to the member attribute

definition is the member attribute assertion. Thus, the production defining a

member attribute definition is as follows:

<match-or-derivation> <member-order>
<member-attr-opts> <attr-assertion-decl>

<attr-name-list> ::= ATTRIBUTE\_NAME |

<attr-name-list> comma-and-sep ATTRIBUTE\_NAME

The primary name for the attribute currently being built will be A (within class C).

The value class declaration defines the value class from which the attribute will derive its value. The value class declaration is as follows:

<value-class-decl> ::= VALUE CLASS : CLASS\_NAME

The value class definition for A will be denoted  $VC_A$ .

The inverse declaration allows the designer to define an inverse attribute

interrelationship between this attribute and another. An inverse relationship logically defines an assertion between this attribute and the inverse attribute. Therefore, any additional assertions will be redundant. Recall from Chapter 2 that the inverse attribute must have a value class of the class presently being defined and this attribute must have a value class of the inverse attributes underlying class. These checks, however, will not be made here since the inverse attribute may not have been defined yet. The inverse checks will be made at the end of the first pass after all classes and attributes have been discovered and appropriate symbol tables have been built. The inverse declaration is defined as follows:

<inverse-decl> ::= INVERSE : ATTRIBUTE\_NAME

The semantic checks which will be performed on the <inverse-decl> production are as follows:

Production:

<inverse-decl> -→ inverse: A1

Checks:

- i.  $A_1$  is defined as a member attribute within  $VC_A$
- ii. A1 has a value class of C
- iii. A1 has "inverse: A" definition

As well as an inverse declaration, the member attribute can contain either a match or derivation (but not both). Therefore, the production

will provide the exclusive-or capability. Any member attribute definition rules will be applied to the class definition after pass 1 when all required data is available to perform the checks.

The match attribute interrelationship is defined by the following production:

All checks on attribute value classes and underlying classes noted in Chapter 2 will be done after pass 1 of the parser when all required data is available. These semantic checks are as follows:

## Production:

$$<$$
 match-decl $> -\rightarrow$  match:  $A_1$  of  $C_1$  on  $A_2$ 

### Checks:

- i.  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  must be defined as member attributes within  $C_1$
- ii. A1 has a value class of VC4
- iii. A2 has a value class of C.
- iv. if A has an inverse declaration (call it  $A_3$ ), then
  - a.  $A_3$  has no derivation declaration.
  - b. if  $A_3$  has a match declaration then it must be:

match: 
$$A_2$$
 of  $C_1$  on  $A_1$ .

The derivation attribute interrelationship is defined by the following production:

<derivation-decl> ::= DERIVATION: <member-attr-deriv>

where the <member-attr-deriv> defines all legal attribute derivations for member attributes. The following semantic checks will be make for the given production:

## Production:

<derivation-decl> --> derivation: <member-attr-deriv>

#### Checks:

- i. if A has an inverse declaration (call it  $A_3$ ) then:
  - a.  $A_3$  has no derivation declaration.

## b. $A_3$ has no match declaration.

Member attribute derivations will be discussed later in this chapter.

The member order for a member (or a class) attribute defines the member (or class) attribute to be either single valued or multivalued. Multivalued attributes can also have a restriction on the number size of the attribute (i.e., array size). If member order is not specified, then single valued is assumed. The production for member order is as follows:

Note that the bounds on the array size must be specified as being between two integer constants.

Member options allow the designer to specify one of four possible attribute options. The phrase "may not be null" may be specified which indicates that the attribute cannot contain the special value null. The attribute can be declared as "not changeable" which implies that once a non-null value is given to the attribute, it cannot be changed. The "exhausts value class" phrase within the definition of attribute A for class C indicates that for every value V in the value class for the attribute A, there must exist at least one member  $M_i$  of the underlying class C such that the attribute A value for that member  $M_i$  is that value V. The "no overlap in values" phrase indicates that if some member  $M_i$  of class C has contains a value V for attribute A, then there cannot exist another member  $M_j$  such that the attribute A value of member  $M_j$  is contains V. The "no overlap in values" option is only valid for multivalued member attributes since single valued

attributes are nonoverlapping by definition. Any or none of the above mentioned options can be specified for a member attribute. The BNF sequence for definition of member attribute options is thus:

<member-attr-opts> ::= <member-options> !  $\epsilon$ 

<member-opt-item> ::= MAY NOT BE NULL |
NOT CHANGEABLE |
EXHAUSTS VALUE CLASS |
NO OVERLAP IN VALUES

The reason for designing the grammar to allow a list of the available options is to allow the options to be entered in any order. This does, however, allow a single option to be entered more than once within the same member attribute definition. The parser can detect this at the time of the parsing and simply reduce the redundant definitions to a single definition. The following semantic checks are made for the indicated member options:

### Production:

<member-opt-item> → exhausts value class
<member-opt-item> → no overlap in values

### Checks:

# i. A is defined as a multivalued attribute.

The member attribute assertion extension allows some statement of fact to be made about this member attribute, other member attributes within this class, class attributes within this class, or constants. Mappings can be used when referencing member attributes within this class to reference lower level data values. A member attribute assertion is made up of one or more assertion statements followed by a failure action if any one of the assertions were to fail. Therefore, the productions involving declaration of a member attribute assertion are as

follows:

One of three possible assertions can be used in the member attribute assertion:

- A call to a user-defined procedure which will provide the necessary data checks to verify the current database state.
- 2. A comparison of two arithmetic expressions involving member attributes and class attributes within this class and constants. With this type of member attribute assertion, each of the arithmetic expressions must evaluate to a number value (INTEGER\_C or REAL\_C). The comparison can be made with any one of the relational operators.
- 3. A statement indicating a set relationship between this member attribute and one of: (1) some other attribute or mapping within this class, (2) a class attribute within this class, or (3) another class. The two set operators which can ge used (defined later) are the "is contained in" and the "contains" operators. When the "is contained in" operator is used, the RHS of the comparison must be a multivalued attribute or a class. When the "contains" operator is used, the LHS of the comparison (representing the attribute being defined) must be a multivalued attribute.

The productions which define the member attribute assertion is then as follows:

<assertion> ::= CALL PROCEDURE\_NAME |

<mapping-expression> <relop> <mapping-expression> |

<setop-predicate>

With a member attribute assertion list, an optional failure action can be supplied

which indicates the action to take when the member attribute assertion fails. One

of three possible failure actions are possible:

1. Call a user-defined procedure which will handle the error recovery from the

invalid database state. Note that the user-defined procedure is then

responsible for re-checking the new database state if an attempt was made

to correct the error.

2. Flag the database state as an error state and report the error to the database

administrator. An optional action message can be supplied to supply

additional information to the database administrator. The action message is

defined later in the SDML grammar.

3. Warn the database administrator of the condition with a message. This

assertion may not actually represent an invalid database state, but might be

used to notify the database administrator when the member attribute takes

on some specified value(s).

The productions involved in specifying the failure action for a member attribute

assertion are then:

<fail-action-clause> ::= € |

FAILURE ACTION: < failure-action >

WARNING STRING\_C

- 59 -

The optional class attributes declaration section of a class definition can contain one or more class attribute definitions. Thus the productions

<class-attr-decl> :=  $\epsilon$  | CLASS ATTRIBUTES : <class-attr-list>

begin the definition of the class attribute declaration section.

Any given class attribute is uniquely identified with the attribute name. Class attributes can also be given multiple synonymous names. As with member attribute names, the class names must be unique within the underlying base class and all eventual subclasses of the base class. Class attributes contain an optional description clause, a value class declaration, an optional class derivation declaration, member order definition, class attribute options, and an optional class attribute assertion. The definition of the class attribute is then

where the <attr-name-list>, <desc-clause>, <value-class-decl>, <member-order>, and <attr-assertion-decl> are defined in exactly the same way as for the member attribute definition. The class attribute options, however, are either "may not be null" or "not changeable". The "exhausts value class" and the "no overlap in values" options do not make sense here since there is only a single instance of the class attribute independent of the number of members of the class. The productions for the class attribute options are

<class-attr-opts> ::= <class-options> | €

The optional class derivation declaration is specified as follows:

<class-deriv-decl> ::= € |

DERIVATION: <class-attr-deriv>

where <class-attr-deriv> defines the valid derivation primitives which can be used for class attributes.

Let us first begin the discussion of derivation primitives with the member attribute derivation since it was introduced first in the SDML grammar. A member attribute derivation can be either an interattribute derivation or a member-specific derivation. Interattribute derivations are those which can appear in either the member attribute derivation or the class attribute derivation. Thus, the productions for the member attribute derivation are

An interattribute derivation used within a member attribute derivation requires the following semantic check(s) be made:

Production:

<member-attr-deriv> -→ <interattr-deriv>

Checks:

i.  $(AM_i)^{N_i}$  must be defined as a member attribute within class C.

Member-specific derivations are derived using one of four predicates: (1) ordering predicate, (2) existence predicate, (3) recursive trace predicate, or (4) contents

predicate. The member-specific derivation is then defined as follows:

The ordering predicate defines the member attribute to be the sequential position of the member when ordered by some specified attribute(s). The ordering can be either increasing or decreasing (default is increasing). The ordering predicate can also define the attribute to be the sequential position of the member when ordered by some specified attribute(s) grouped on a common value of some other specified attribute(s) by using the within clause. Since the attribute has a value representing the sequential position of the member within some list, the ordering-predicate requires the value class of the attribute to be an integer constant (value class INTEGERS). The productions which define an ordering predicate are

The productions from above with their checks are listed below:

## Production:

<ordering-predicate>  $\longrightarrow$  order by  $AM_k$  <within-clause>

### Checks:

- i.  $VC_A \equiv INTEGERS$
- ii.  $(AM_i)^{N_1}$  must be defined in C for all i in k.

## Production:

< within-clause> -→ within AM,

Checks:

i.  $(AM_j)^{N_1}$  must be defined in C for all j.

The existence predicate defines an attribute to be either "true" or "false" based on whether the member is a member of some specified class. The production

<existence-predicate> ::= IF IN CLASS\_NAME

defines an existence predicate. Since the existence predicate defines a yes/no alternative, the value class of the defining attribute must be the built-in class YES/NO. The checks for the existence predicate are as follows:

Production:

<existence-predicate>  $\rightarrow$  if in  $C_1$ 

Checks:

i.  $C_1 \equiv YES/NO$ 

ii.  $U_C \equiv U_C$ ,

The recursive trace predicate defines the value of the attribute A to be all members which are found by recursively tracing the values of some specified attribute  $A_1$  of the same class C. In order for the recursive trace predicate to make sense, both attributes A and  $A_1$  must have a value class of the underlying class C. A limit can also be placed on the level of the recursive trace. The productions which define the recursive trace predicate are

<ievei-clause> ::= UP TO INTEGER\_C | ALL

The semantic checks for the recursive trace predicate are as follows

Production:

<recursive-trace-pred $> -\rightarrow <$ level-clause> levels of values of  $A_1$ 

Checks:

i.  $VC_A \equiv C$ .

ii.  $VC_A \equiv C$ 

iii.  $A_1$  is defined as a member attribute attribute within class C.

Next, the class attribute derivation will be discussed. The class attribute derivation can be either an interattribute derivation or a class-specific derivation. The production for the class attribute derivation is then

An interattribute derivation used within the class attribute derivation requires the following semantic check:

Production:

Checks:

i.  $\left(AM_i\right)^{N_1}$  must be defined as a class attribute within class C .

First, the class specific derivation will be discussed followed by the interattribute derivation (which can be used by either the class attribute derivation or the member attribute derivation). Two types of class-specific derivation predicates are allowable: the class size predicate and the class member predicate:

The class size predicate defines the attribute to be the number of members in the underlying class. The class member predicate defines the attribute to be the sum, average, minimum, or maximum of some member attribute taken over all members

of the underlying class. The following productions define the class-specific derivation predicates:

<uniqueness> ::= UNIQUE | €

<set-function> ::= MINIMUM | MAXIMUM | AVERAGE | SUM

The following semantic checks must be made within the following productions from above:

### Production:

<class-size-pred> → number of <uniqueness> members in this class

## Checks:

i.  $VC_A \equiv INTEGERS$ .

### Production:

- i.  $A_1$  must be defined as member attribute within class C.
- ii. Given  $C_1$  is the value class of A ,  $U_{C_1} \equiv \text{NUMBERS}$ , REALS, or INTEGERS.
- iii. Given  $C_2 \equiv$  value class of  $A_1, U_{C_2} \equiv$  NUMBERS, REALS, or INTEGERS.

The interattribute derivation can be specified using one of four predicates: (1) setderived predicate, (2) equality predicate, (3) set-order predicate, or (4) subvalue predicate:

The derived predicate specifies that the attribute A is directly derived from another attribute mapping. The production

<derived-predicate> ::= SAME AS <mapping>

defines a derived predicate. The semantic checks required for the derived predicate are as follows:

### Production:

<derived-predicate> -→ same as AM<sub>1</sub>

#### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_1)^{N_1}$  is defined in C
- ii.  $VC_{AM_1}$  (i.e., value class of  $(AM_1)^{N_m}$ )  $\equiv VC_A$

The set-derived predicate defines the members of the attribute to be those members which are either in the union of two attribute mappings, in the intersection of two attribute mappings, or in the difference of two attribute mappings. The production

defines the set-derived predicates for the interattribute derivations. The following semantic checks will be performed for the set-derived predicate:

### Production:

```
\langle set-derived-pred\rangle \longrightarrow where is in AM_1 and is in AM_2 \langle set-derived-pred\rangle \longrightarrow where is in AM_1 or is in AM_2 \langle set-derived-pred\rangle \longrightarrow where is in AM_1 and is not in AM_2
```

#### Checks:

- i. both  $\left(AM_1\right)^{N_1}$  and  $\left(AM_2\right)^{N_1}$  must be defined appropriately within class C
- ii. Given  $C_1$  is the value class of  $AM_1$  and  $C_2$  is the value class of  $AM_2$ , then  $U_{C_1} \equiv VC_A$  and  $U_{C_2} \equiv VC_A$
- iii.  $AM_1$  and  $AM_2$  must be multivalued attribute mappings

The equality predicate defines the attribute to be directly derived from an arithmetic expression involving the following:

- set functions on multivalued member attributes,
- other member attributes,
- number constants.
- · constant member names.
- size of multivalued member attributes, or
- size of classes.

Thus the series of productions which define an equality predicate are as follows:

```
<equality-predicate> ::= EQ <mapping-expression>
<mapping-expression> ::= <mapping-term> |
      <mapping-expression> <addition-operator> <mapping-term>
<mapping-term> ::= <mapping-factor> |
      <mapping-term> <multiply-operator> <mapping-factor>
<mapping-factor> ::= <mapping-primary> !
      <mapping-factor> <exponent-operator> <mapping-primary>
<mapping-primary> := ( <mapping-expression> ) |
              <set-function > ( < mapping > ) |
              <mapping> |
              <number> |
              CONST_MEMBER NAME |
              SIZEOF ( < mapping > ) |
              SIZEOF (CLASS NAME)
<addition-operator> ::= +1-
<multiply-operator> ::= *1/
<exponent-operator> ::= !
```

The following semantic checks will be made for the productions withing the equality predicate:

### Production:

### Checks:

- i. for all mappings  $AM_i$  in <mapping-expression>,  $(AM_i)^{N_1}$  must be appropriately defined within C
- ii.  $U_{VC_A} \equiv$  NUMBERS, REALS, or INTEGERS

# Production:

 
$$\longrightarrow$$
  (  $AM_1$  )

### Checks:

- i. Given  $C_1 \equiv VC_{AM_1}$ ,  $U_{C_1} \equiv NUMBERS$ , REALS, or INTEGERS
- ii.  $AM_1$  must be a multivalued attribute or mapping

#### Production:

 
$$\longrightarrow AM_1$$
  
  $\longrightarrow$  sizeof ( $AM_1$ )

### Checks:

i. AM1 must be a multivalued attribute or mapping

#### Production:

#### Checks:

i. CONST\_MEMBER\_NAME must be defined within a name class  $C_1$  such that  $U_{C_1} \equiv$  NUMBERS, REALS, or INTEGERS

The set-order predicate defines the value of the attribute to be the number of members in some specified eventual attribute of a mapping. The production

defines the set-order predicate. The semantic checks which will be performed for the set-order predicate are as follows:

#### Production:

<set-order-predicate>  $\longrightarrow$  number of <uniqueness> members in  $AM_1$ 

#### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_1)^{N_1}$  is defined appropriately within class C
- ii.  $AM_1$  is a multivalued attribute or mapping
- iii. VCA ≡ INTEGERS

The subvalue predicate defines the attribute A to be a subvalue of another mapping  $A_1$  which satisfies some condition. The conditions can be either (1) values of  $A_1$  which are members of some class  $C_1$ , or (2) values of  $A_1$  which satisfy some attribute predicate. The attribute predicate will be described later in this chapter. All mappings,  $C_1$ , and  $A_1$  must be the value class of A (i.e., must be  $VC_A$ ). The

productions which form the subvalue predicate are:

The semantic checks which will be made for the above productions are as follows:

Production:

<subvalue-predicate $> --\rightarrow$  subvalue of  $AM_1$  where <subvalue-selection>

### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_1)^{N_1}$  is defined appropriately within class C
- ii.  $AM_1$  is a multivalued attribute or mapping
- iii.  $VC_{AM_1} \equiv VC_A$

### Production:

 $\langle \text{subvalue-selection} \rangle \longrightarrow \text{ is in } C_1$ 

# Checks:

i. given that  $C_2 \equiv VC_{AM_1}$ , then  $U_{C_2} \equiv U_{C_1}$ 

#### Production:

<subvalue-selection $> -\rightarrow <$ attribute-predicate>

## Checks:

i.  $\langle$ attribute-predicate $\rangle$  must satisfy definition for class  $VC_A$ 

A mapping is a sequential reference of attributes based on the value class of each attribute. Mappings are defined in the SDML grammar as follows:

Consider a mapping  $N_1 N_2 \cdots N_m$  where each  $N_i$  is defined within class  $C_i$ . In order for the mapping to make sense, the following must be true for any attribute

within the mapping:

i. 
$$VC_{N_i} \equiv C_{i+1}$$
 for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., m-1$ 

Finally, the last part of a base class definition is the base class identifiers. The base class identifiers can be

- i. no identifiers.
- ii. a single attribute identifier list separated by plus (+) signs, or
- iii. multiple attribute identifier lists separated by commas.

The productions defining the identifier declarations are then:

The following semantic checks will be made for the identifier declaration:

#### Production:

#### Checks:

i. class C cannot specify that duplicates are allowed (since a unique member specification is given).

#### Production:

```
<identifier> \longrightarrow A_1
<identifier> \longrightarrow <identifier> + A_1
```

#### Checks:

i.  $A_1$  must be defined as a member attribute within class C

The next topic for discussion is the construction of a nonbase class definition. As in the definition of the base class, nonbase classes are made up of a primary class name and possible synonymous names, followed by a nonbase class body.

The nonbase class body is made up of an optional description clause (like that of the base class), a mandatory interclass connection, and the nonbase class alternatives.

The nonbase class alternatives will identify the nonbase class as a definite name class (if the determines clause or the constant members clause is specified) or a possible name class. A possible name class will be later identified as a name class if the class is specified as a subclass of one of the built-in classes and either the user-controllable subclass predicate or no subclass predicate is specified. A name class grouping predicate also identifies the nonbase class as a name class.

Nonbase class features allow non-name classes to be given member attributes and/or class attributes. Here (as opposed to base class definitions), nonbase class member attribute definitions are optional.

interclass connection specifies how this subclass derivation is determined. The interclass assertion is declared as follows:

where the connection establishes the interclass connection.

With the interclass connection, zero of more interclass assertions can be provided. The interclass assertion simply states additional interclass connections which must be satisfied by the nonbase class member list. With the interclass assertion list, a failure action can be specified. This failure action is the same as that of the attribute assertion failure action. The interclass assertion declaration is as follows:

<ic-assertion> ::= INTERCLASS ASSERTION : <connection>

An interclass connection can be either a subclass connection (specifying a subclass of the parent class) or a grouping connection (grouping the members of the parent class in some way).

An important side effect of establishing a grouping connection is the automatic definition of the derived multivalued member attribute *Contents*, whose value class is the parent class. The "Contents" attribute has as its value for a given member of the grouping class, all members of the parent class which belong to one of the given groupings.

The first type of interclass connection is the subclass connection. The nonbase class is established as a subclass of the parent class by application of a subclass predicate on members of the parent class.

Attributes and classes referenced in the <subclass-predicate> must satisfy semantic checks consistent with the definition of the parent class *PC* (see subclass predicate discussion below).

The second type of interclass connection is the grouping connection. A grouping connection can be established using any one of four methods: (1) expression defined grouping class, (2) enumerated grouping class, (3) user-controllable grouping class, or (4) name class grouping class.

The subclass predicate of the subclass connection allows definition of several types of subclasses: (1) attribute-defined subclass, (2) user-controllable subclass, (3) set-operator defined subclass, (4) existence subclass, or (5) name class subclass.

Attribute mappings referenced in the <attribute-predicate> of the attribute-defined subclass must satisfy semantic checks consistent with the definition of the parent class PC. The attribute-defined subclass and the user-controllable subclass PC will inherit all member attributes defined in the parent class PC.

The set-operator defined subclass defines the class C to be all members of the parent class PC which satisfies an intersection, union, or difference of two classes.

```
<set-oper-defined-sc> ::= IS IN CLASS_NAME AND
IS IN CLASS_NAME |
IS IN CLASS_NAME OR
IS IN CLASS_NAME !
IS NOT IN CLASS_NAME
```

The semantic checks which will be performed for the set-operator defined subclass are as follows:

### Production:

```
<set-oper-defined-sc> -\rightarrow is in C_1 and is in C_2 <set-oper-defined-sc> -\rightarrow is in C_1 or is in C_2 <set-oper-defined-sc> -\rightarrow is not in C_1
```

#### Checks:

i. 
$$U_{C_1} \equiv U_{PC}$$

ii. 
$$U_{C_2} \equiv U_{PC}$$
, if  $C_2$  specified

The attribute inheritance rules for the set-operator defined subclass are as follows:

- a. the intersection defined subclass C will inherit all member attributes common to  $C_1$  and  $C_2$
- b. the union defined subclass C will inherit all member attributes of both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$
- c. the difference defined subclass C will inherit all member attributes of the parent class PC

The existence subclass specifies the class C to be all members of the parent class PC which is currently a value of some member attribute  $A_1$  of class  $C_1$ .

The existence subclass C will inherit all member attributes of its parent class PC. The semantic checks which will be performed for the existence subclass definition are as follows:

Production:

 
$$-\rightarrow$$
 is a value of  $A_1$  of  $C_1$ 

Checks:

i.  $A_1$  is defined as a member attribute within class  $C_1$ 

ii. 
$$VC_{A_1} \equiv PC$$

A name class definition can either (a) not specify a subclass predicate, or (b) specify a format directive on class STRINGS.

The parent class *PC* of a name class must be one of the built-in classes; therefore, no member attribute inheritance is involved. The semantic checks which will be performed on a name class specification are as follows:

Production:

Checks:

i. 
$$PC \equiv STRINGS$$
, NUMBERS, REALS, or INTEGERS

Production:

Checks:

The first type of grouping connection was the expression defined grouping connection. This type of grouping connection defines the class C to be a grouping of the parent class PC based on common values of one or more attributes of PC.

Any groups of members of the parent class PC which are also explicitly defined as attribute-defined subclasses are listed. These groupings represent redundant class definitions, one within the grouping defined and the other explicitly defined as a subclass of PC. The semantic checks which will be performed for the expression defined grouping connection are as follows:

#### Production:

<expression-defined-gc>  $\longrightarrow$  grouping of PC on common value of  $A_k$ <explicitly-def-grps>

#### Checks:

i.  $A_k$  must be defined as a member attribute of PC for all k. The next type of grouping connection is the enumerated grouping connection. The enumerated grouping connection defines the class C as a grouping of classes  $C_k$ .

<enumerated-gc> ::= GROUPING OF CLASS\_NAME CONSISTING
 OF CLASSES <class-name-list>

The semantic checks which will be performed for the grouping connection are as follows:

#### Production:

<enumerated-gc>  $\longrightarrow$  grouping of PC consisting of classes  $C_k$ 

As mentioned earlier, name class features include the determines clause and the constant member clause.

<determines-clause> ::= determines: CLASS\_NAME

The determines clause allows the dependence of the value class of the specified class name upon a value of the given name class. That is, a value of this name class determines the value class of the specified name class. The semantic checks which will be performed for the above productions follows:

#### Production:

<determines-clause> -→ determines: C1

### Checks:

- the name class definition for C<sub>1</sub> must be defined as a grouping name class "where specified by C"
- ii. C must contain the user-controllable interclass connection

The interclass connection is what distinguishes a base class from a nonbase class in the SDM schema. The members of a nonbase class are eventually derived from the members of the underlying base class. This derivation could be directly from a base class or indirectly through a series of nonbase subclass definitions. The

Checks:

i. 
$$U_{C_*} \equiv U_{PC}$$
 for all  $k$ 

The user-controllable grouping class allows the user to specify the grouping of the parent class  ${\it PC}$  .

No semantic checks are required for the user-controllable grouping connection.

The name class grouping connection allows the database designer to specify the name class as a grouping of value class definitions; the value class group being determined by the value of another class  $C_1$ . Both  $C_1$  and C must be user-controllable connections to keep the grouping connection at a finite (and user controllable) level.

The semantic checks which will be made for the name class grouping connection are as follows:

Production:

 
$$\longrightarrow$$
 grouping of PC as specified by  $C_1$ 

Checks:

- i. Class definition for  $C_1$  must contain "determines: C" clause
- ii.  $C_2 \equiv$  STRINGS, NUMBERS, REALS, or INTEGERS

The attribute predicate used within the attribute-defined subclass definition and the subvalue derivation predicate will now be discussed. The attribute predicate is a boolean expression which relates mappings defined within the defining class C with (1) constant values, (2) other mappings within C, or (3) members of other

classes. The production sequence for the attribute predicate is as follows:

```
<attribute-predicate> ::= <attr-pred-term> |
      <attribute-predicate> OR <attr-pred-term>
<attr-pred-term> ::= <attr-pred-factor> |
       <attr-pred-term> AND <attr-pred-factor>
<attr-pred-factor> := <attr-pred-primary> |
      NOT <attr-pred-primary>
<attr-pred-primary> ::= ( <attribute-predicate> ) |
               <simple-predicate>
<simple-predicate> ::= <relop-predicate> |
              <setop-predicate>
<relop-predicate> ::= <mapping> <relop> <constant> |
              <mapping> <relop> <mapping>
<setop-predicate> ::= <mapping> <setop> <constant> |
              <mapping> <setop> <mapping> !
              <mapping> <setop> CLASS_NAME
<relop> ::= =| =| <| <=| >| >=
<setop> ::= IS contained in |
        properly > CONTAINS
properly> ::= PROPERLY | 6
```

The boolean operator precedence (NOT then AND then OR) has been built into the SDML grammar. The semantic checks which will be performed for the productions which make up the attribute predicate are as follows:

# Production:

$$<$$
relop-predicate $> -\rightarrow AM_1 <$ relop $> <$ constant $>$ 

#### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_1)^{N_1}$  must be defined as a member attribute of C
- Define C<sub>1</sub> as the value class of AM<sub>1</sub>, and type(<constant>) as the type of the nonterminal <constant> (STRING\_C, REAL\_C, or INTEGER\_C). Then:

- a. if type(<constant>) = STRING\_C then  $U_{c_1} \equiv STRINGS$
- b. otherwise,  $U_{C_1} \equiv \text{NUMBERS}$ , INTEGERS, or REALS

# Production:

<relop-predicate $> - \rightarrow AM_1 <$ relop $> AM_2$ 

#### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_i)^{N_1}$  must be defined as a member attribute of C for i=1,2
- ii. Given that  $C_i$  is the value class of  $AM_i$  for i=1,2, then  $U_{C_i}\equiv STRINGS$ , NUMBERS, INTEGERS, or REALS
- iii.  $U_{C_1} \equiv U_{C_2}$

#### Production:

<setop-predicate $> \rightarrow AM_1 <$ setop> <constant>

#### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_1)^{N_1}$  must be defined as a member attribute of C
- ii. Since <constant> denotes a single string or numeric constant, then <setop> must be the "[properly] contains" set operation.
- iii. Letting  $C_1$  be the value class of  $AM_1$ , then:
  - a. if type(<constant>) = STRING\_C then  $U_{C_1} \equiv$  STRINGS
  - b. otherwise,  $U_{C_1} \equiv \text{NUMBERS}$ , INTEGERS, or REALS

# Production:

<setop-predicate $> - \rightarrow AM_1 <$ setop $> AM_1$ 

#### Checks:

- i.  $(AM_i)^{N_1}$  must be defined as a member attribute of C for i=1,2
- ii. Given that  $C_i$  is the value class of  $AM_i$  for i=1,2, then  $U_{C_1} \equiv U_{C_2}$

### Production:

<setop-predicate $> -\rightarrow AM_1 <$ setop $> C_1$ 

Checks:

i.  $(AM_1)^{N_1}$  must be defined as a member attribute of C

ii. Defining  $C_2$  as the value class of  $AM_1$ , then  $U_{C_2} \equiv U_{C_1}$ 

Production:

<setop> -→ is properly> contained in

Checks:

 i. if RHS of <setop> is an attribute mapping, then it must be a multivalued attribute mapping

Production:

<setop> → properly> contains

Checks:

i. LHS  $(AM_1)$  must be a multivalued attribute mapping.

The format directive allows the database designer to provide a template for data to be entered for a given name class. With the format directive, comes a variety of constructs which can be used to specify the *subunits* of the domain and place restrictions on these subunits. The format directive used in the SDML grammar is a modified version of the Domain Definition Language (DDL) outlined in reference [13].

The format directive for a name class definition contains three distinct parts: (1) description clause, (2) ordering clause, and (3) violation action clause.

The description clause allows for several alternative domains for the format of the name class. Thus, each possible domain is specified in an "or"-list.

The description subclause specifies one of the possible domains for the format of the name class. The description subclause is made up of the description of the domain followed by any restrictions placed on subunits of the domain. The restrictions placed on the subunits of the domain is termed the *global where restriction*.

Here, additional syntax (comma's and period's) was added to the DDL grammar provided in reference [13] to resolve conflicts resulting from the DDL grammar being non-LR(1). The conflict arises because the parser cannot distinguish the "or" in the <where-restriction> from the "or" separating the <description-subclause> nonterminals in the <description-clause> production.

The description for a domain defines the subunit's which constitute the domain. The subunit's which make up the domain are separated by comma's.

A subunit is identified by an optional *label*. Use of the label allows this particular subunit of the domain to be used in the restriction clause as part of the domain definition. If the label is omitted, this subunit cannot be referenced further. The scope of a subunit label is only within the current domain definition.

```
<subunit> ::= <subunit-item> !
    LABEL : <subunit-item>
```

The subunit item can be defined to be any one of the following:

- 1. Subset of strings with an optional constraint on the strings allowed. *subunit* where restriction.
- 2. Subset of numbers with an optional constraint on the numbers allowed.
- An enumeration of string constants or types. The possible types of strings are alphabetics, numerics, and specials.
- 4. An enumeration of number constants.
- A string constant.

If only one enumeration is given, no parenthesis are required. If two or more enumerations are given, they must be enclosed in parenthesis. The restrictions placed on the subset of subunit values is termed the *subunit where restriction*. The productions which define the subunit definition follows:

```
<subunit-item> ::= STRING <str-where-clause> |
            NUMBER < num-where-clause > 1
            ONEOF <string-list> |
            ONEOF < number-list> |
            STRING C
<str-where-clause> ::= € |
              WHERE < string-boolean>
<num-where-clause> ::= € !
              WHERE < number-boolean >
<string-list> ::= <string-component> |
      ( <string-list> , <string-component> )
<string-component> ::= STRING_C !
              ALPHABETICS |
              NUMERICS |
              SPECIALS.
<number-list> := <number> |
     ( <number-list> , <number> )
```

The boolean expression which restricts the subset of strings in the subunit where restriction is described next. The predicates of the boolean expression are the typical boolean operations "and", "or", and "not" as well as an "if-then-else" construct. The conditions of the boolean expression are the following:

- 1. A lexicographic comparison of the subunit with a string constant.
- A scalar comparison of the size of the subunit with an integer constant ("size" operator).
- A boolean condition which evaluates to "true" if any one of a number of given string components are found within the subunit ("has" operator).
- 4. A boolean user-defined function which supplies a value of "true" or "false".

The productions which make up the string subunit where restriction follows:

```
<string-boolean> ::= <string-bool-term> |
       <string-boolean> OR <string-bool-term>
<string-bool-term> ::= <string-bool-factor> !
       <string-bool-term> AND <string-bool-factor>
<string-bool-factor> ::= <string-bool-primary> |
      NOT <string-bool-primary>
<string-bool-primary> ::= ( <string-boolean> ) |
                 <string-predicate>
<string-predicate> ::= <string-condition> |
      IF < string-condition> THEN < string-predicate> FI |
      IF <string-condition> THEN <string-predicate>
                       ELSE <string-predicate> FI
<string-condition> ::= <relop> STRING_C |
               SIZE < relop > INTEGER_C |
               HAS <string-list> |
               CALL PROCEDURE_NAME
```

Notice that the precedence of the boolean operators is built in to the SDML

grammar.

The boolean expression which restricts the subset of numbers in the subunit where restriction is described next. The predicates of the boolean expression are the typical boolean operations "and", "or", and "not", an integer and real restriction, and an "if-then-else" construct, . The conditions of the boolean expression are the following:

- 1. A scalar comparison of the subunit with a numeric constant.
- 2. A boolean user-defined function which supplies a value of "true" or "false".

The productions which make up the number subunit where restriction follows:

```
<number-boolean> ::= <number-bool-term> |
      <number-boolean> OR <number-bool-term>
<number-bool-term> ::= <number-bool-factor> |
      <number-bool-term> AND <number-bool-factor>
<number-bool-factor> ::= <number-bool-primary> |
      NOT < number-bool-primary >
<number-bool-primary> ::= ( <number-boolean> ) |
                <number-predicate>
<number-predicate> ::= <number-condition> |
      IF < number-condition > THEN < number-predicate > FI |
      IF <number-condition> THEN <number-predicate>
                      ELSE < number-predicate > FI |
      INTEGER 1
      REAL.
<number-condition> ::= <relop> <number> |
              CALL PROCEDURE_NAME
```

Based on the SDML grammar definitions of the number and string subunit where restrictions, it can be seen that no additional type checking is needed for these constructs. All type checking here is forced in the SDML grammar itself.

Now for the definition of the global where restriction. The global where restriction, like the subunit where restriction, is a boolean expression which restricts the domain definition as a whole. Any labels given to subunits within the subunit definitions can be referenced within the global where restriction. The same constructs apply to the global where restriction as in the string subunit where restriction. The conditions which can be used within the global where restriction are as follows:

- A boolean expression representing a scalar or lexicographic comparison between two subexpressions. The type of comparison depends upon the type of primitives used within the subexpressions.
- A boolean "present" operator which returns "true" if a second substring is contained within the first.
- 3. A boolean user-defined function which supplies a value of "true" or "false".

The productions which begin the construction of the global where restriction are as follows:

```
<where-restriction> ::= WHERE <boolean>
<boolean> ::= <boolean-term> |
       <boolean> OR <boolean-term>
<br/>
<boolean-term> ::= <boolean-factor> |
       <br/>
<boolean-term> AND <boolean-factor>
<br/>
<boolean-factor> ::= <boolean-primary> |
      NOT < boolean-primary>
<br/>
<boolean-primary> ::= ( <boolean> ) |
                 predicate>
condition > |
      IF < condition-expr> THEN < predicate> FI |
      IF < condition-expr> THEN < predicate>
                      ELSE < predicate > FI
<condition> ::= <expression> <relop> <expression> |
          PRESENT ( <expression > , <expression > ) |
          CALL PROCEDURE NAME
```

Since the expressions referenced in the global where restriction can evaluate to either strings or numerics, some type checking is required to verify the semantics. As previously defined, the type of nonterminal "expression" will be denoted as "type( $\langle expression \rangle$ )". The following type checks will be performed to the above production rules (where  $E_i$  is expression number i):

### Production:

$$<$$
condition $> -\rightarrow < E_1 > <$ relop $> < E_2 >$ 

Checks:

i. type(
$$$$
) = type( $$ )

Production:

$$<$$
condition $> -\rightarrow$  present (  $<$ E<sub>1</sub> $>$ ,  $<$ E<sub>2</sub> $>$  )

Checks:

i. type(
$$\langle E_1 \rangle$$
) = "string"

ii. type(
$$\langle E_2 \rangle$$
) = "string"

Some boolean operators were added to the condition of the if-then-else statements for the global where restriction which were missing from the grammar presented in reference [13]. The condition expression for the if-then-else can then be a boolean expression involving the conditions of the global where restriction. The productions for the condition expression are:

In case expressions involve numeric terms, a construct for building arithmetic expressions has been provided.

If an expression is a string constant, none of the production alternatives involving the arithmetic operators should be allowed. Therefore, the following type checks will be performed for the above productions:

Production:

$$\langle E \rangle \rightarrow \langle E \rangle \langle add-op \rangle \langle T \rangle$$

Checks:

i. 
$$type(\langle E \rangle) = "numeric"$$

ii. 
$$type(\langle T \rangle) = "numeric"$$

Production:

$$\langle T \rangle \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \langle \text{mult-op} \rangle \langle F \rangle$$

Checks:

i. 
$$type(\langle T \rangle) = "numeric"$$

ii. 
$$type(\langle F \rangle) = "numeric"$$

Production:

$$\langle F \rangle \longrightarrow \langle F \rangle \langle \exp - op \rangle \langle P \rangle$$

Checks:

i. 
$$type(\langle F \rangle) = "numeric"$$

ii. 
$$type(\langle P \rangle) = "numeric"$$

The subexpression definition supplies the following functions which can be applied to string or numeric terms:

- Specification of an atomic expression, which represents an individual item.
   The types of atomic expressions which can be specified are:
  - a. A subunit label which is defined within this domain description.
  - b. A string constant.
  - c. A (positive or negative) numeric constant.
  - d. The star (\*) operator. A star represents the current value of the domain being checked (i.e., the users input which is being checked for validity).
- 2. The minimum, maximum, sum, or average of a list of expressions.

- 3. The result of appending two string expressions.
- 4. The substring of a string expression between two given points.
- 5. The left substring of a string expression.
- The right substring of a string expression.
- 7. The location of one string within another.
- 8. The length of a string expression.
- 9. Repeated applications of a subunit range to the domain definition. This alternative allows a subunit range to be repeated some number of times when considering the domain of the name class.

The productions which specify the subexpression options are:

The type checks which will be performed for the above productions are as follows:

Production:

$$\langle \text{subexpression} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{set-function} \rangle (\langle E_k \rangle)$$

Checks:

i. type(
$$\langle E_i \rangle$$
) = "numeric" for all  $i$  in  $k$ 

Production:

$$\langle \text{subexpression} \rangle \rightarrow \text{append} (\langle E_1 \rangle, \langle E_2 \rangle)$$

Checks:

i. type(
$$\langle E_1 \rangle$$
) = "string"

ii. type(
$$\langle E_2 \rangle$$
) = "string"

Production:

$$\langle \text{subexpression} \rangle \longrightarrow \text{substring} (\langle E \rangle, \langle CP_1 \rangle, \langle CP_2 \rangle)$$

Checks:

i. 
$$type(\langle E \rangle) = "string"$$

Production:

$$\langle \text{subexpression} \rangle \rightarrow \text{left} (\langle E \rangle, \langle CP \rangle)$$

Checks:

i. 
$$type(\langle E \rangle) = "string"$$

Production:

$$\langle \text{subexpression} \rangle \rightarrow \text{right} (\langle E \rangle, \langle CP \rangle)$$

Checks:

i. type(
$$\langle E \rangle$$
) = "string"

Production:

$$<$$
subexpression $> -\rightarrow$ location ( $<$  $E_1>$ . $<$  $E_2>$ )

Checks:

i. type( 
$$\langle E_1 \rangle$$
 ) = "string"

ii. type(
$$\langle E_2 \rangle$$
) = "string"

Production:

$$<$$
subexpression $> -\rightarrow$  length ( $<$ E $>$ )

Checks:

i. type(
$$\langle E \rangle$$
) = "string"

The  $\langle$ char-pos $\rangle$  nonterminals (and the  $CP_i$ 's) describe a character position within a string. The character position could be: (1) the location of a substring within the string, (2) the location of a substring offset by an integer constant within the string, or (3) an integer constant defining a character position within the string.

The second part of the format directive is the ordering clause. This is an optional specification of how the elements of the domain will be ordered:

- 1. via a specified list of subunit labels,
- 2. no ordering will be done,
- atomic ordering will be done; that is, lexicographic ordering will take place, or
- 4. via a user-defined function.

The following productions define the ordering clause for the format directive:

Finally, a violation action can be specified, which will determine the action taken when data is entered which does not conform to the domain specification. Either an error can be flagged (and an optional message printed) or a user-defined function can be called to determine the course of action. Thus, the productions which define the violation action clause for the domain definition are as follows:

The final productions in the SDML grammar define the number and constant nonterminals used within the rest of the SDML grammar. A number is an integer or real constant. A constant is a string constant or a number constant. A constant also could be a CONST\_MEMBER\_NAME representing a string constant or a number constant.

### 5.4 Summary

This chapter has presented the design of the SDML grammar for the SDM model described in Chapter 2, with extensions added which were described in Chapter 3. Also presented were the semantic checks necessary which would not be detected by the simple syntax checking of the SDML parser. It was determined that some type checking within expressions was also necessary.

Chapter 5 will discuss issues involving the design of a parser for the SDML grammar. Also discussed will be the data structures used by the SDML parser to perform a complete semantic check of the SDML specification being parsed.

# Chapter 5: SDML Parser Implementation

This chapter will discuss the SDML parser for the grammar presented in Chapter 4. The structure of the tables used by the parser and the structure of the parser output will also be discussed. Before getting into the design of the SDML parser, however, an introduction to parser theory will first be given.

# 6.1 SDML Parser Theory

To allow for ease of implementation of the SDML parser, the Yacc (Yet Another Compiler-Compiler) program will be used to automatically generate a parser with the desired functionality. Yacc accepts a general class of LR(1) grammars which may or may not contain ambiguities. Yacc will resolve these ambiguities with disambiguating rules. Because of these disambiguating rules and the parsing algorithm which Yacc uses, SDML grammar ambiguity is not a concern once the grammar is accepted by Yacc with no shift-reduce or reduce-reduce conflicts reported.

Yacc generates a *shift-reduce* parser for the supplied grammar. The following sections will describe shift-reduce parsing theory and error recovery used by the Yacc program.

6.1.1 Shift-Reduce Parser Theory A shift-reduce parser is a bottom-up LR parsing technique which reduces an input token stream left-to-right producing a rightmost derivation in reverse. A rightmost derivation is achieved by replacing the rightmost nonterminal at every derivation step. A rightmost derivation is also referred to as a canonical derivation.

Using the rm subscript on the derivation symbol (=>) to denote a rightmost derivation, and given a rightmost derivation sequence

$$S_s = \sum_{rm}^{*} \alpha$$

then  $\alpha$  is a right-sentential form for the grammar  $\Gamma$ .

The handle of a right-sentential form  $\alpha\beta w$  of  $\Gamma$  is a substring  $\beta$  of  $\alpha\beta w$  such that

$$S_z = \sum_{rm}^{\epsilon} \alpha A w = \sum \alpha \beta w \text{ and } A \rightarrow \beta$$
.

where  $\alpha Aw$  is the previous right-sentential form and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . A rightmost derivation in reverse (also called a *canonical reduction sequence*) is obtained by handle pruning. Handle pruning is the act of building and reducing handles from the input token stream. In a canonical reduction sequence, the leftmost handle is located and reduced to form the previous right-sentential form. This single reduction is called a *canonical reduction* and is performed as follows:

i. Define w as the input token stream which is to be parsed. Thus  $\nu_n = w$  represents the  $n^{th}$  right-sentential form of the desired canonical derivation. The desired canonical derivation would be as follows:

$$S_s = v_0 = >_{rm} v_1 = >_{rm} \cdots = >_{rm} v_{n-1} = >_{rm} v_n = w.$$

- ii. Locate the handle  $\beta_n$  in  $\nu_n$  and replace  $\beta_n$  by the LHS of some production  $A_n \to \beta_n$  to obtain the  $(n-1)^n$  right-sentential form  $\nu_{n-1}$ .
- iii. Repeat step (ii.) until (assuming a successful parse) the  $0^{th}$  right-sentential form (i.e.,  $\nu_0 = S_r$ ) is found. When the last handle can be replaced with the start symbol, a successful parse is accomplished.

Parsers which perform this type of bottom-up parsing by handle pruning are

called shift-reduce parsers because tokens are *shifted* onto the token stack until a handle is found, when the handle is *reduced* to a nonterminal symbol representing the LHS of the production corresponding to the handle on the RHS.

The Yacc program performs such a shift-reduce parsing algorithm. Now that the basic theory behind shift-reduce LR parser algorithms is understood, the conflict and error recovery capabilities of Yacc will be discussed.

6.1.2 Yacc Grammar Conflicts and Error Recovery Based on the structure of the grammar supplied to Yacc, two types of conflicts may arise: (1) shift-reduce conflicts and (2) reduce-reduce conflicts.

Shift-reduce conflicts arise when the parser does not know whether to shift the current input token onto the stack as part of a handle or to reduce the current handle on the stack using one of the grammar rules. Shift-reduce conflicts typically result when an ambiguous grammar is specified.

Reduce-reduce conflicts arise when the parser does not have enough information to select one of two grammar rules when a handle can be reduced, even with the current look-ahead token. Reduce-reduce conflicts result when a non-LR(1) grammar is supplied to Yacc.

The SDML grammar listed in Appendix 4 contains no shift-reduce or reduce-reduce conflicts.

Yacc provides an error recovery mechanism in case of an error in parsing the input token stream. This mechanism uses the technique of discarding input tokens until a *safe-point* is reached on the stack, and attempting to continue parsing from that point. Yacc allows the grammar to include a special terminal symbol, *error*, which

defines a safe-point for the grammar (or a point where errors will most likely occur). When the Yacc parser detects an error in the input stream, it pops its stack until a safe-point marker is reached and attempts to continue from that point. Yacc will remain in an error state until three tokens have been successfully read and shifted onto the rebuilt stack.

# 6.2 The SDML Parser

The SDML parser will be automatically generated by the Yacc [15] program. Within the Yacc grammar specification, blocks of code called *actions* are supplied with certain grammar rules to specify some action to take when the given handle is found and reduced using that particular grammar rule.

The Lex [14] program will automatically generate the lexical analyzer which will tokenize the input stream representing the SDML specification. Lex will pass the next token from the input stream to Yacc, which will be parsing the tokens received. Additional implementation required (besides supplying the tokens and grammar to Lex and Yacc respectively) will be to supply the blocks of code within the Lex and Yacc input files and the subroutines used by these blocks of code to perform the actions necessary by the SDML parser.

The SDML parser will be a two-pass parser. Pass 1 of the parser will check syntax (by performing an LR(1) parse of the input token stream) and load symbols and constants into the symbol table. Only defined (as opposed to referenced) class names, attribute names, label names, and constant member names will be loaded into the symbol table during pass 1. The reason for this is two-fold:

1. attribute names must be entered in the symbol table with the underlying

base class as part of the key. Therefore, if an attribute name is referenced before it is defined, the reference will not know the class to which the symbol belongs. An attributes class definition when referenced depends on the context in which the attribute appears. This attribute definition check will be done by the parser during pass 2 of the compiler as part on the semantic checks for the reference.

undefined symbol references during pass 2 are recognized simply by their non-existence in the symbol table.

To make the code consistent for all symbol type definitions, all symbols will be handled in this fashion. Constant symbols, however, have no basis for definition and have no uniqueness criteria and will, therefore, be loaded into the symbol table when recognized on pass 1 of the SDML parser.

The following sections describe the various aspects of the SDML parser including symbol table administration, semantic checks, and parser output.

#### 6.3 Restrictions

The major restriction enforced by the SDML parser is when naming class, attribute, label, procedure, and constant member names:

- Class names must begin with an upper-case character and can be followed by zero or more upper-case characters, underscores, or digits.
- Attribute names must begin with an upper-case character and can be followed by one or more lower-case characters, underscores, or digits.

- Label names must begin with a lower-case character and can be followed by zero or more lower-case characters, underscores, or digits.
- Procedure names must begin with an underscore and can be followed by one or more lower-case characters, underscores, or digits.
- Constant member names must begin with an underscore and can be followed by one or more upper-case characters, underscores, or digits.

The other restriction enforced by the SDML parser is that all eventual parent classes must be defined prior to definition of a member attribute for a nonbase class. The reason for this restriction is so the uniqueness condition can be checked for attribute definition. This implies that the underlying base class for the class being defined is known so that it can be entered into the symbol table with the attribute name.

6.3.1 The Symbol Table The symbol table for the SDML parser will hold all label and constant symbols recognized by the lexical analyzer. Each type of symbol which can be loaded into the symbol table must be unique with respect to some defined scope. Figure 6-1 lists each symbol which can be loaded into the symbol table and its scope of uniqueness (w.r.t. means with respect to).

Symbol Type	Uniqueness
Class Name	w.r.t. SDM schema
Attribute Name	w.r.t. Underlying base class
Label Name	w.r.t. Description subclause
Procedure Name	w.r.t. SDM schema
Constant Member Name	w.r.t. SDM schema
String Constant	None
Integer Constant	None
Real Constant N	Ione

Figure 6-1. SDML Symbol Types and Uniqueness

To implement the different symbol uniqueness scopes, the symbol table *key* will consist of three elements: (1) symbol type, (2) supplementary key data (providing uniqueness), and (3) symbol name. Figure 6-2 shows the layout of the symbol table key with the size (in bytes) of the item in parenthesis.

type (2)	sup_data (4)	symbol name (80)

Figure 6-2. Symbol Table Key Layout

The symbol table is indexed by a hash table which is kept within the hsearch UNIX<sup>TM</sup> system library function. Hsearch provides a Knuth Algorithm D hashing function from the key. An hsearch hash table entry consists of two pointers: (1) a key pointer and (2) a supplementary data pointer. The key pointer simply gives the location within the application program (here the SDML parser) where the key resides for that entry and the supplementary data pointer gives the location within the application program where any additional data is stored. The size of the hash table is determined at the time that the first entry must be made. If the user did not change the minimum size of the hash table (using the "%h" control), a default minimum size is used.

The key and the additional data for a symbol table entry are allocated within the

SDML parser. The key for the symbol table entry is named st\_key and the additional data structure for the symbol table entry is named st\_data. The additional data for the symbol table entry contain the following data:

name : pointer to name of symbol within the key

type : type of symbol (part of key)

sup\_data : supplementary key data (part of key)

usage : symbol usage count

table\_p : pointer to table where symbol specific data is stored. This can be either a class structure or an attribute structure.

The size of the symbol table is defined the same as the size of the hash table. Attribute structure location is exactly the same as class structure location. The only difference is the template used for the memory pointed to by the *table\_p* pointer.

Additional synonymous names for classes and attributes are also entered into the symbol table but have a *table\_p* which points to the primary class or attribute structure. Thus, any references to synonymous names for classes or attributes will ultimately result in the same class or attribute structure being referenced.

6.3.2 Class and Attribute Structures The class and attribute structures contain all information pertinent to the class and attribute definitions. These structures contain the data which defines how the class or attribute was defined by the user in the SDM schema. The class structure contains the following information:

- index of symbol table entry identifying class
- base vs. nonbase indication
- parent class symbol table index
- underlying base class symbol table index
- description text (if any)
- if base class, whether duplicates are allowed or not
- number of member attributes defined in class
- index of first member attribute defined in class
- number of class attributes defined in class
- index of first class attribute defined in class
- if base class, identifiers which uniquely identify a member of this class
- if nonbase class, interclass connection defining how this class is formed from its parent class
- if name nonbase class, the class symbol table index of class which has a value class determined by this class
- $\bullet$  if name nonbase class, the number of constant members which are defined within this class
- if name nonbase class, the first constant member symbol table index

The number of classes and attributes which can be defined is a user-controllable value which can be changed with the "%c" and "%a" control respectively. The attribute structure contains the following data:

- index of symbol table entry for class defining this attribute
- index of next attribute in list (or nil)
- member vs. class attribute
- description text (if any)
- index of symbol table entry for value class
- index of symbol table entry for inverse attribute

- indication of match relationship. If match exists then contains: (1) derived attribute index, (2) matching class index, and (3) condition attribute index.
- indication of derivation and actual derivation
- single valued vs. multivalued
- if multivalued, then size range
- may not be null
- not changeable
- exhausts value class
- no overlap in values
- attribute assertion (if any)

Based on the contents of the class and attribute structures given above, member and class attributes are lined using a single link-list from the defining class with the attribute link of the last class definition being nil. Each attribute has a index pointer back to the defining class.

- 6.3.3 Semantic Checks The SDML grammar specification supplied to Yacc will contain all necessary semantic checks identified in Chapter 4 as actions for the appropriate grammar rule. All semantic checks will be performed in pass 2 of the SDML parser after all symbol table entries are built. Any semantic errors detected will not halt pass 2 of the compile but will simply issue warning messages with a line number. This will allow all semantic verifications to be made at once; thus allowing the user to correct semantic errors at one time.
- 6.3.4 SDML Parser Output The output of the SDML parser will be the structures which are built during passes 1 and 2 of the compiler. These structures will represent the class and attribute definitions which were specified in the SDML specification supplied by the user. The hash table, however, will not be generated

as output since it's purpose was realized during pass 2 of the parser for symbol lookup. For this reason, all references to classes or attributes are via a direct index into the symbol table. The structure dump is requested by specifying -d on the command line (indicating that data dictionary generation is to be performed).

The generated SDM schema can be directed to an output file by specifying the -o option on the command line. An output of the symbol table can be requested by using the -s option on the command line Finally, a verbose statistics report can be requested by requesting the -v option on the command line.

The manual page associated with the SDML parser is shown in Appendix 6.

### 6.4 Summary

This chapter has discussed the design of the SDML parser for the grammar designed in Chapter 4. The design of the SDML parser is primarily that of specifying a suitable grammar to the Yacc process and supplying actions to be performed when certain reductions are performed. The SDML grammar was designed to be easily expandable and maintainable. This ease of expansion and maintainability is a benefit which is inherent in the use of Yacc.

#### Chapter 6: Conclusion

This paper has developed a grammar defining a Semantic Database Model (SDM) language and discussed the design of a parser to parse the language. The Semantic Database Model Language (SDML) is used as an intermediate in the generation of a static data dictionary.

Some extensions to the SDM model which would both enhance capabilities provided by the SDM model and enhance the semantic integrity provided by the SDML specification over the SDM model which were introduced follows:

- assertions, which allow the database designer to include statements of fact within the SDML specification, thus greatly improving the semantic integrity of the SDML specification over the SDM model,
- grouping name classes, which allow the value of one attribute to determine the value class of another attribute.
- constant name class members, which allow the use of a constant member of a name class within expressions in the SDML specification, and
- sizeof class and attribute operator, which allows the reference to the number of members in a class or multivalued attribute.

An SDML grammar which includes the extensions was created. Along with the presentation of the production rules for the grammar, the semantic checks which should be made are listed for each production. These semantic checks will provide a mechanism for reporting misuse of SDM features. The semantic checks ensure

that the value class of a member attribute is consistent with the derivation for that attribute and that the interclass connection for a nonbase class is consistent with the underlying base class and all classes referenced in the connection. The semantic checks also verify that the member derivation rules which protect against inconsistent attribute derivations are enforced.

The design of the SDML parser for the grammar was developed. The Yacc program was used to automatically generate a shift-reduce parser for the SDML grammar. Symbol table administration and semantic check routines were added as actions to SDML grammar rules to complete the SDML parser design.

# 7.1 Future Research Areas

Chapter 3 introduced the concept of assertions into the SDML specification. The assertions provided are conceived as a minimal set of assertions. Therefore, any additional assertions which could be identified would add even more to the semantic integrity of the SDML specification.

Part of the failure action for an assertion and the violation action for format directives is the ability for the database designer to specify user-defined procedures within the SDML specification to generalize the failure action. The SDML specification could be expanded to allow definition of these procedures (procedure specifications) within the SDML specification.

Chapter 3 also talked about the possibility of specifying an additional failure action which would indicate the manner in which the database should be corrected in order to return to a valid database state. This idea is implicit in the SDML specification presented here in that database repair is considered a function of a

user-defined procedure. This concept, however, could be explicitly specified in the SDML specification, thus providing more semantic information within the model.

Some of the constructs provided by the SDM model presented by Hammer and McLeod can be difficult to understand. For this reason, the SDM model will probably not be realized as a widely used database modeling mechanism. Some of the constructs provided in the SDM model could be combined to form a more uniform set of capabilities instead of seamingly ad-hoc capabilities. On a larger scale, the development of a new SDM model, based on concepts from the old SDM, may be desirable. The new SDM model should provide a cohesive set of capabilities (probably not unlike the old SDM) which are easy to understand and use.

#### References

- Hammer, M. and McLeod, D., "Database Description with SDM: A Semantic Database Model," ACM T-DS, Vol. 6, No. 3, Sept. 1981, pp. 351-386.
- Hammer, M. and McLeod, D., "The Semantic Data Model: A Modelling Mechanism for Data Base Applications," ACP SIGMOD, Auston, Texas, 1978, pp. 26-36.
- Hammer, M. and McLeod, D., "A Framework for Data Base Semantic Integrity," Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Software Engineering, San Francisco, Calif., Oct. 1976, pp. 498-504.
- Hammer, M. and McLeod, D., "Semantic Integrity In a Relational Data Base System," Proc. Int. Conf. Very Large Databases, Framingham, Mass., Sept. 1975, pp. 25-47.
- McLeod, D. and King, R., "Applying a Semantic Database Model," in Entity-Relationship Approach to Systems Analysis and Design, P. P. Chen [Ed.], North-Holland, 1980, pp. 193-210.
- Roussopoulos, N. and Mylopoulos, J., "Using Semantic Networks for Data Base Management," Proc. Int Conf. Very Large Databases, Framingham, Mass., Sept. 1975, pp. 144-172.
- Su, S. Y. W. and Lo, D. H., "A Semantic Association Model for Conceptual Database Design," in Entity-Relationship Approach to System Analysis and Design, P. P. Chen [Ed.], North-Holland, 1980, pp. 169-192.
- Roussopoulos, N., "ADD: Algebraic Data Definition," Proc. 6th Texas Conf. Computing Systems, Auston, Texas, Nov. 1977, pp. 1C-16 to 1C-25.
- Lee, R. M. and Gerritsen, R., "Extended Semantics for Generalization Hierarchies," ACM SIGMOD, Auston, Texas, 1978, pp. 18-25.
- Barrett, W. A. and Couch, J. D. [1979], Compiler Construction: Theory and Practice, Science Research Associates.
- Gries, D. [1971], Compiler Construction for Digital Computers, Wiley, New York.
- Aho, A. V. and Ullman, J. D. [1979], Principles of Compiler Design, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- McLeod, D. J., "High Level Definition of Abstract Domains in a Relational Data Base System," Computer Languages, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1977, pp. 61-73.
- Lesk, M. E. and Schmidt, E., "Lex A Lexical Analyzer Generator," Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey.

15. Johnson, S. C., "Yacc: Yet Another Compiler-Compiler," Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey.

# Appendix 1: Example SDM Schema

This Appendix contains an example of an SDM schema to aid in the understanding of the concepts presented in Chapter 2 of this paper. This example represents the SDM schema, as it was presented by Hammer and McLeod in reference [1].

# CARS and AUTOMOBILES description: All cars produced by a plant. This is a base class definition. duplicates not allowed member attributes:

#### Make value clas

value class: CAR\_TYPES may not be null not changeable

# Model value class: CAR\_MODELS may not be null

may not be null not changeable

#### Year

value class: YEARS may not be null not changeable

Exterior\_color value class: COLORS

Interior\_color value class: COLORS

Base\_price value class: PRICES

Sticker\_price
value class: PRICES
derivation: = Base\_price + sum( Options.Price )

Options
description: Options on car
value class: OPTIONS

Dealership

description: Dealership stocking this car.

This attribute shows the inverse

relationship. Here, Dealership is the DEALER with this car in stock.

value class: DEALERS inverse: Cars\_in\_stock

Vin

description: Vehicle Identification Number

value class: VIN\_CODES

may not be null not changeable

Owner

description: Ultimate owner of vehicle until

purchased by a consumer. This attribute shows the matching relationship. Here, Owner is the owner of the Dealership

which has this car in stock. value class: OWNERS

match: Owned\_by of DEALERS on Cars\_in\_stock

identifiers:

Vin

DEALERS, DEALERSHIPS

description: Car dealerships which stock the cars built by a plant. This is a base class definition. member attributes:

Name

description: Dealership name value class: DEALER\_NAMES

Address

value class: ADDRESS

Dealer\_code

description: Code uniquely identifying a dealership

value class: DEALER\_CODES

may not be null

Makes

description: Makes of cars which this dealer carries

value class: CAR\_TYPES

multivalued

# Appendix 1 - Example SDM Schema

Cars in stock

description: Cars which this dealership has in stock.

This attribute closes the symmetrical inverse relationship with the Dealership attribute of class CARS.

value class: CARS inverse: Dealership multivalued

Owned by

description: Owners of this dealership value class: OWNERS

inverse: Dealers owned

Employees

description: Employees working as sales persons for this dealership.

value class: PERSON\_NAMES

multivalued with size between 1 and 20

#### class attributes:

Number\_dealer\_cars
description: Number of cars in dealership.
value class: INTEGERS
derivation: number of members in Cars\_in\_stock

identifiers:

Dealer code

#### **OWNERS**

description: This class contains all owners of car dealerships. This is a base class definition. member attributes:

Name

value class: PERSON\_NAMES

Address

value class: ADDRESS

Dealers owned

description: This attribute is the dealerships which this person or persons own.

value class: DEALERS inverse: Owned\_by multivalued

identifiers:

Name

OPTIONS

description: This base class contains all available car options.

member attributes:

Type

description: Type of option value class: OPTION TYPES

Price

description: Price of options value class: PRICES

identifiers:

Type

CARS\_SOLD

description: This class contains all cars sold to a customer.

interclass connection: subclass of CARS where specified member attributes:

Sold\_to

description: Customer buying the car. value class: PERSON\_NAMES may not be null

Sold by

description: Salesman selling car to customer. value class: PERSON\_NAMES

may not be null

Customer\_address value class: ADDRESS

Date sold

value class: DATES

Selling price

description: Final selling price of car. value class: PRICES

· urue vaassi i Rich

class attributes:

Number\_of\_cars\_sold value class: INTEGERS

derivation: number of unique members in this class

### SCHEDULED PREPS

description: This class contains all scheduled car preparations. This base class definition is an example of an event class definition. interclass connection: subclass of CARS where specified member attributes:

Date\_of\_preparation value class: DATES

#### class attributes:

Number of sched preps description: number of cars to be prepared value class: INTEGERS derivation: number of unique members in this class

#### BUICKS

description: This class contains all Buick cars. This nonbase class shows the use of the attribute-defined subclass connection utilizing the simple attribute predicate. interclass connection: subclass of CARS where Make = 'Buick' member attributes:

#### Mode1

description: this shows the concept of restricting the value class of an inherited member attribute.

value class: BUICK\_MODELS

#### SOMERSETS

description: This class contains all Buick Somerset model cars. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the attribute-defined subclass connection utilizing the compound attribute predicate. Note that the interclass connection for this class could also have been "subclass of BUICKS where Model = 'Somerset'".

interclass connection: subclass of CARS where Make = 'Buick' and Model = 'Somerset'

#### PREPARED CARS

description: This class contains all prepared cars for any dealership. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the user-controllable subclass connection.

interclass connection: subclass of CARS where specified

#### Appendix 1 - Example SDM Schema

PREPARED\_BUICKS

description: This class contains all prepared Buick's for any dealership. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the intersection set-operator-defined subclass connection. Note that the interclass connection for this class could also have been "subclass of PREPARED\_CARS where Make = 'Buick'". interclass connection: subclass of CARS where is in BUICKS and is in PREPARED CARS

BUICK\_DEALERS

description: This class contains all of the dealers which sell Buick's. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the existence subclass connection. Note that the interclass connection for this class could also have been "subclass of DEALERS where Makes contains 'Buick'" (which is an attribute-defined subclass connection). interclass connection: subclass of DEALERS where is a value of Dealership of BUICKS

CAR\_MODEL GROUPS

description: This class groups cars into models. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the expression-defined grouping class. Each member of this nonbase class is a class containing all models for cars. Note that the class definition also indicates that a SDM class was explicitly defined for the Buick Somerset make and model. interclass connection: grouping of BUICKS on common value of Make and Model

groups defined as classes are SOMERSETS

DEALER\_PREP\_CARS

description: This class groups prepared cars for specific dealerships. This nonbase class definition also shows the use of the expression-defined grouping class.

interclass connection: grouping of PREPARED\_CARS on common value of Dealership

CAR TYPES

description: This is the list of all available car types, interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified

CAR\_MODELS

description: This is the list of all available car models. interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified

#### BUICK\_MODELS

description: This is the list of all possible buick car models. interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified

#### YEARS

description: This is the format of a year.

interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is number where integer and >= 70 and <= 99

#### DATES

description: Calendar dates in the range "1/1/70" to "12/31/99". interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is month: number where integer and

>=1 and <=12

day: number where integer and >=1 and <=31

year: number where integer and >=70 and <=99
where (if (month=4 or month=5 or month=9 or month=11) then day <=30) and
(if month=2 then day <=29)

ordering: year, month, day

### COLORS

description: This is the available interior and exterior car colors.

interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified

#### PRICES

description: This is the possible car prices.

interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is number where >= 0

where length( right(\*, '.'+1) ) = 2

or not present(\*, '.')

ordering: value

#### Appendix 1 - Example SDM Schema

#### VIN CODES

description: This is the format of the Vehicle Identification Number Codes. For example: 1G4NK27U1GC612345. interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is

'1G'

number where integer and  $\geq =0$  and  $\leq =9$ string where has alphabetics and size = 2 string where has numerics and size = 2

engine\_code: oneof 'U', 'G'

number where integer and >=0 and <=9 model\_year: string where has alphabetics and size = 1 assy\_plant: string where has alphabetics and size = 1 string where has numerics and size = 6 ordering: call vin ordering

#### DEALER\_NAMES

description: Dealership names interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS

#### ADDRESS

description: Street Address interclass connection; subclass of STRINGS

#### DEALER CODES

description: Dealership identifier codes interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is number where integer

#### PERSON NAMES

description: Personal Names

interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS

#### OPTION TYPES

description: Option types

interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified

# Appendix 2: SDM Structure Specification

The structure specification used here and in Appendix 3 utilize the following notational conveniences:

- Productions are shown in the form LHS ← RHS, which is read: LHS "is made up of" RHS.
- The RHS of each production is indented once to enhance reading ability. All further indentation is typically supplied (but not required) within the SDM schema.
- 3.  $X^+$  means one or more occurrences of X, concatenated together.
- <x> means that one or more occurrences of X with appropriate separators (e.g., comma's or and's) are allowed.
- 5. << x>> means that one or more occurrences of X are listed vertically with no separators.
- 6.  $\{X \mid Y\}$  means that exactly one of X or Y can be used.
- [X] means that X is optional.
- A meta-description will be enclosed in stars (e.g., \* this is a meta-description \*).
- Reducible constructs are shown in upper-case, whereas all non-reducible constructs are shown in lower-case.

Those productions or lines marked with an asterik (\*) are extensions to the SDM schema presented in Chapter2.

```
SCHEMA ←
      <<CLASS>>
CLASS ←
      { BASE_CLASS | NONBASE_CLASS | NAME CLASS }
BASE_CLASS ←
      <CLASS NAME>
        [description: DESCRIPTION TEXT]
        [BASE_CLASS FEATURES]
        member attributes:
            <<MEMBER_ATTRIBUTE>>
        [class attributes:
            <<CLASS_ATTRIBUTE>>1
        identifiers:
           { <IDENTIFIER > | none }
NONBASE CLASS ←
      <CLASS_NAME>
        [description: DESCRIPTION TEXT]
        interclass connection: INTERCLASS_CONNECTION
        [member attributes:
            <<MEMBER_ATTRIBUTE>>]
        Class attributes:
            <<CLASS_ATTRIBUTE>>]
NAME_CLASS -
      <CLASS_NAME>
        [description: DESCRIPTION_TEXT]
        interclass_connection: NAME_CLASS_IC
        [determines: CLASS_NAME]
        [constant members: CONSTANT_MEMBER_NAME]
BASE CLASS_FEATURES ←
     { duplicates allowed | duplicates not allowed }
MEMBER_ATTRIBUTE ←
     <ATTRIBUTE_NAME>
       [description: DESCRIPTION_TEXT]
       value class: CLASS_NAME
       [inverse: ATTRIBUTE_NAME]
[{ match: ATTRIBUTE_NAME of CLASS_NAME on ATTRIBUTE_NAME |
         derivation: MEMBER ATTRIBUTE DERIVATION |
       [{ single valued |
         multivalued [with size between INTEGER and INTEGER] }]
       [may not be null]
       [not changeable]
       [exhausts value class]
       [no overlap in values]
       [<ATTRIBUTE_ASSERTION>]
```

```
CLASS ATTRIBUTE +
      <ATTRIBUTE NAME>
        [description: DESCRIPTION TEXT]
        value class: CLASS_NAME
        [derivation: CLASS_ATTRIBUTE_DERIVATION]
        [{ single valued |
          multivalued [with size between INTEGER and INTEGER] }]
        [may not be null]
        [not changeable]
        [<ATTRIBUTE ASSERTION>1
* ATTRIBUTE_ASSERTION ←
      assertion: { ASSERTION_EXPRESSION | call PROCEDURE_NAME }
     [failure action: FAILURE ACTION]
* ASSERTION_EXPRESSION ←
      MAPPING_EXPRESSION = MAPPING_EXPRESSION
* FAILURE_ACTION ←
      call PROCEDURE_NAME |
       error [STRING] I
       warning STRING }
IDENTIFIER ←
     { ATTRIBUTE_NAME |
       IDENTIFIER + ATTRIBUTE_NAME }
MEMBER ATTRIBUTE DERIVATION ←
     { INTERATTRIBUTE_DERIVATION |
      MEMBER-SPECIFIC_DERIVATION
CLASS ATTRIBUTE DERIVATION -
     INTERATIRIBUTE_DERIVATION |
      CLASS-SPECIFIC_DERIVATION
INTERATTRIBUTE DERIVATION -
     same as ATTRIBUTE NAME I
      subvalue of MAPPING where {is in CLASS_NAME |
                         ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE \ |
      where { is in MAPPING and is in MAPPING |
           is in MAPPING or is in MAPPING I
           is in MAPPING and is not in MAPPING} |
      MAPPING_EXPRESSION |
      number of [unique] members in MAPPING }
MEMBER-SPECIFIC_DERIVATION ←
     { order by [{ increasing | decreasing }] < MAPPING>
            [within <MAPPING>] [
      if in CLASS_NAME |
      { up to INTEGER | all } levels of values of
                       ATTRIBUTE NAME }
```

# Appendix 2 - SDM Structure Specification

```
CLASS-SPECIFIC_DERIVATION -
      number of [unique] members in this class |
       SET_FUNCTION of ATTRIBUTE_NAME over members of this class }
MAPPING EXPRESSION ←
      MAPPING I
       ( MAPPING EXPRESSION )
       MAPPING EXPRESSION NUMBER_OPERATOR MAPPING_EXPRESSION
      SET_FUNCTION ( MAPPING ) |
       sizeof( MAPPING ) |
       sizeof( CLASS NAME ) }
MAPPING ←
     { ATTRIBUTE NAME |
      MAPPING . ATTRIBUTE NAME }
NUMBER_OPERATOR ←
     {+T-|*|/|!}
SET FUNCTION ←
     { minimum | maximum | average | sum }
INTERCLASS_CONNECTION -
     { SUBCLASS_CONNECTION |
      GROUPING_CONNECTION }
     [<INTERCLASS_ASSERTION>]
* INTERCLASS_ASSERTION ←
     interclass assertion: INTERCLASS_CONNECTION
     [FAILURE_ACTION]
NAME CLASS_IC ←
     { NAME_CLASS_SCINAME_CLASS_GC }
NAME_CLASS_SC ←
     subclass of STRINGS [where NAME_CLASS_SCPRED]
NAME_CLASS SCPRED +
     specified |
      format is FORMAT_DIRECTIVE /*seeAppendix 3* /}
* NAME_CLASS_GC -
     grouping of STRINGS where specified by CLASS_NAME
SUBCLASS_CONNECTION ←
     subclass of CLASS_NAME where SUBCLASS_PREDICATE
```

```
GROUPING CONNECTION ←
      grouping of CLASS NAME on common value of <ATTRIBUTE NAME>
         Igroups defined as classes are < CLASS NAME>11
       grouping of CLASS_NAME consisting of classes < CLASS_NAME > | grouping of CLASS_NAME as specified }
SUBCLASS PREDICATE ←
      ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE I
       specified I
       is in CLASS_NAME and is in CLASS_NAME |
        is not in CLASS NAME |
        is in CLASS_NAME or is in CLASS NAME } I
       is a value of ATTRIBUTE_NAME of CLASS_NAME }
ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE -
      SIMPLE PREDICATE!
       (ATTRIBUTE_PREDICATE)
       not ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE!
       ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE and ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE!
       ATTRIBUTE_PREDICATE or ATTRIBUTE PREDICATE }
SIMPLE PREDICATE ←
      { MAPPING RELOP { CONSTANT | MAPPING } |
      MAPPING SETOP { CONSTANT | MAPPING | CLASS NAME } }
RELOP ←
      {=|=|<|<=|>|>=}
SETOP ←
      { is [properly] contained in |
      [properly] contains }
CLASS NAME ←
      UPPER_CASE NOT LOWER CASE+
ATTRIBUTE NAME ←
     UPPER_CASE NOT UPPER CASE+
PROCEDURE NAME ←
      "_" NOT UPPER CASE+
CONSTANT_MEMBER_NAME +
     "_" NOT_LOWER CASE+
DESCRIPTION TEXT ←
     "{" * any character string except } character * "}"
INTEGER ←
     DIGIT+
```

```
REAL ←
      INTEGER . INTEGER
NUMBER ←
      { INTEGER | REAL }
CONSTANT ←
      { NUMBER | STRING }
UPPER_CASE ←
      A | B | ... | Z }
LOWER_CASE ←
      \{\overline{a}|b|...|z\}
DIGIT ←
      {0|1|...|9}
NOT_LOWER_CASE ← { UPPER_CASE | _ | DIGIT }
NOT_UPPER_CASE ←
      { LOWER_CASE | _ | DIGIT }
STRING ← * any printable character except ' character * ****
```

# Appendix 3: Domain Definition Language (DDL) Structure

See Appendix 2 for a description of the notation used in the following structure specification.

```
FORMAT_DIRECTIVE -
      DESCRIPTION_CLAUSE
      [ORDERING_CLAUSE]
      [VIOLATION_ACTION CLAUSE]
DESCRIPTION_CLAUSE ≤
     { DESCRIPTION_SUBCLAUSE |
       DESCRIPTION_CLAUSE or DESCRIPTION_SUBCLAUSE }
DESCRIPTION_SUBCLAUSE ←
     DESCRIPTION
     [, WHERE-RESTRICTION].
DESCRIPTION ←
      <SUBUNIT>
SUBUNIT ←
     [LABEL :] SUBUNIT_ITEM
SUBUNIT_ITEM ←
     string [where STRING_BOOLEAN] |
      number [where NUMBER_BOOLEAN] |
      oneof STRING_LIST |
      oneof NUMBER LIST |
      STRING }
STRING_LIST ←
     { STRING_COMPONENT |
      ( <STRING COMPONENT> ) }
NUMBER_LIST ←
     { NUMBER I
      ( < NUMBER> ) }
STRING COMPONENT -
     { STRING | alphabetics | numerics | specials }
STRING BOOLEAN ←
     { STRING_PREDICATE | ( STRING_BOOLEAN ) |
      not STRING BOOLEAN I
      STRING_BOOLEAN and STRING_BOOLEAN I
      STRING_BOOLEAN or STRING_BOOLEAN }
```

```
STRING PREDICATE -
     { if STRING_CONDITION then STRING_PREDICATE |
                   [else STRING PREDICATE] fi |
      STRING_CONDITION }
STRING_CONDITION ←
     RELOP STRING I
      size RELOP INTEGER |
      has STRING LIST I
      call PROCEDURE NAME }
NUMBER_BOOLEAN -
     { NUMBER_PREDICATE |
      ( NUMBER BOOLEAN ) I
      not NUMBER_BOOLEAN |
      NUMBER_BOOLEAN and NUMBER BOOLEAN I
      NUMBER_BOOLEAN or NUMBER_BOOLEAN }
NUMBER_PREDICATE +
     If NUMBER_CONDITION then NUMBER_PREDICATE!
                   [else NUMBER_PREDICATE] fi |
      integer |
      real I
      NUMBER_CONDITION }
NUMBER_CONDITION -
     { RELOP NUMBER I
      call PROCEDURE NAME }
WHERE-RESTRICTION -
     where BOOLEAN
BOOLEAN ←
     { PREDICATE!
      (BOOLEAN)I
      not BOOLEAN I
      BOOLEAN and BOOLEAN I
      BOOLEAN or BOOLEAN }
PREDICATE ←
     { if CONDITION then PREDICATE [else PREDICATE] fill
      CONDITION }
CONDITION ←
     { EXPRESSION RELOP EXPRESSION |
      present (EXPRESSION, STRING LIST)
      call PROCEDURE NAME }
```

```
EXPRESSION ←
      { SUBEXPRESSION |
        (EXPRESSION)
        EXPRESSION RELOP EXPRESSION }
SUBEXPRESSION ←
      { ATOMIC_EXPRESSION |
       SET_FUNCTION ( < EXPRESSION > ) |
       append (EXPRESSION, EXPRESSION)
       substring ( EXPRESSION , CHAR_POS , CHAR_POS ) | left ( EXPRESSION , CHAR_POS ) | right ( EXPRESSION , CHAR_POS ) |
       location ( EXPRESSION , EXPRESSION ) |
       length (EXPRESSION)
       repetitions LABEL through LABEL }
ATOMIC_EXPRESSION ←
      { LABEL I
       STRING I
       [{ + I - }] NUMBER I
CHAR_POS ← {STRING |
       STRING { + I - } INTEGER I
       INTEGER }
ORDERING_CLAUSE ←
      ordering: ORDERING
ORDERING ←
      { <LABEL> |
       none l
       atomic I
       call PROCEDURE NAME }
VIOLATION_ACTION_CLAUSE -
      error [STRING]
       call PROCEDURE NAME }
```

This Appendix contains the SDML grammar specification as given to the Yacc program.

```
sdm schema:
                  class_definition_list
class_definition list:
                         class definition
                  class_definition_list class_definition
class_definition:
                  base_class_definition
                  nonbase class def
base_class_definition:
                         class_name_list base_class_body
class name list
                         CLASS NAME
                  class_name_list comma_and_sep CLASS_NAME
comma and sep
                  AND
                        desc_clause bc_feat_decl
base class body
                  member_attr_decl class_attr_decl ident_decl
desc_clause
                  /* empty */
                  DESCRIPTION ':' DESCRIPTION TEXT
bc_feat_decl:
                  /* empty */
                  DUPLICATES ALLOWED
                  DUPLICATES NOT ALLOWED
member_attr_decl : MEMBER_ATTRIBUTES ':' member_attr_list
member_attr_list: member_attribute
                  member_attr_list member attribute
member_attribute: attr_name_list desc_clause value_class decl
```

```
inverse_decl match_or derivation
                 member_order member_attr_opts
                 attr assertion decl
attr_name_list
                      ATTRIBUTE_NAME
                 attr_name_list comma_and_sep ATTRIBUTE_NAME
value_class_decl: VALUE_CLASS ':' CLASS_NAME
inverse decl:
                 /* empty */
                 INVERSE ':' ATTRIBUTE NAME
match_or_derivation:
                     /* empty */
                 match decl
                 derivation decl
match_decl :
                 MATCH ':' ATTRIBUTE_NAME OF CLASS_NAME ON ATTRIBUTEM
derivation_decl
                :
                      DERIVATION ':' member attr deriv
member_order
                      /* empty */
                 SINGLE VALUED
                 MULTIVALUED
                 MULTIVALUED WITH_SIZE_BETWEEN INTEGER_C AND INTEGE
member_attr_opts:/* empty */
                 member_options
member_options
                      member_opt_item
                 member_options member_opt_item
member_opt_item :
                      MAY_NOT_BE_NULL
                NOT CHANGEABLE
                EXHAUSTS VALUE_CLASS
                NO_OVERLAP_IN_VALUES
attr_assertion decl:
                      /* empty */
                attr_assertion_list fail_action_clause
```

```
attr_assertion_list:attribute_assertion
                  attr_assertion_list attribute assertion
attribute_assertion : ASSERTION ':' assertion
assertion
                   CALL PROCEDURE NAME
                   mapping_expression relop mapping expression
                   setop_predicate
fail_action clause: /* empty */
                   FAILURE_ACTION ':' failure action
failure action
                         CALL PROCEDURE NAME
                   ERROR action_message
                   WARNING STRING C
class_attr_decl
                         /* empty */
                   CLASS_ATTRIBUTES ':' class_attr_list
class attr list
                         class attribute
                  class_attr_list class_attribute
class_attribute
                         attr_name_list desc_clause
                   value_class_decl_class_deriv_decl
                   member_order class_attr_opts
                   attr_assertion_decl
class_attr_opts
                        /* empty */
                  class_options
class_options:
                  class_opt_item
                  class_options class_opt_item
class_opt_item
                         MAY_NOT_BE_NULL
                  NOT CHANGEABLE
class_deriv_decl:
                  /* empty */
                  DERIVATION ':' class_attr_deriv
```

```
member_attr_deriv:
                        interattr_deriv
                  member_spec_deriv
member_spec_deriv:
                        ordering_predicate
                  existence predicate
                  recursive trace pred
ordering_predicate: ORDER BY direction mapping_list within_clause
direction
                  /* empty */
                  INCRÉASING
            Т
                  DECREASING
within clause
                       /* empty */
                  WITHIN mapping_list
mapping_list:
                  mapping
                  mapping_list comma_and_sep mapping
existence predicate: IF IN CLASS NAME
                        level_clause LEVELS_OF_VALUES OF ATTRIBUTE_NAME
recursive_trace_pred:
                  UP_TO INTEGER_C
ALL
level clause :
class attr deriv:
                  interattr_deriv
                  class_spec_deriv
class_spec_deriv: class_size pred
                  class_member_pred
                        NUMBER OF uniqueness MEMBERS IN THIS_CLASS
class size pred
uniqueness
                  /* empty */
                  UNIQUE
class_member_pred:
                        set_function OF ATTRIBUTE_NAME OVER MEMBERS OF TIE
```

```
set function:
                  MINIMUM
                  MAXIMUM
                  AVERAGE
                  SUM
interattr_deriv
                        derived_predicate
                  subvalue_predicate
                  set derived pred
                  equality_predicate
                  set_order_predicate
derived_predicate: SAME AS mapping
set_derived_pred: WHERE IS IN mapping AND IS IN mapping
                  WHERE IS IN mapping OR IS IN mapping
                  WHERE IS IN mapping AND IS NOT IN mapping
equality_predicate: EQ mapping_expression
mapping expression:
                        mapping_term
                  mapping_expression addition_operator mapping_term
mapping_term
                        mapping_factor
                  mapping_term multiply_operator mapping_factor
mapping factor
                        mapping_primary
                  mapping_factor exponent_operator mapping_primary
mapping_primary
                         '(' mapping_expression ')'
                  set_function '(' mapping ')'
                  mapping
                  number
                  CONST_MEMBER NAME
                  SIZEOF '(' mapping ')'
SIZEOF '(' CLASS_NAME ')'
addition_operator: '+'
```

```
multiply_operator: '*
exponent_operator: '!'
set_order_predicate:
                         NUMBER OF uniqueness MEMBERS IN mapping
subvalue_predicate :SUBVALUE OF mapping WHERE subvalue_selection
subvalue_selection : IS IN CLASS NAME
                  attribute predicate
                         ATTRIBUTE NAME
mapping
                  mapping '.' ATTRIBUTE_NAME
ident decl
                  IDENTIFIERS ':' ident list
                  IDENTIFIERS ':' NONE
ident_list
                  identifier
                  ident_list ',' identifier
identifier
                  ATTRIBUTE_NAME
                  identifier '+' ATTRIBUTE_NAME
nonbase_class_def : class_name_list nonbase_class_body
                         desc_clause interclass_connection
nonbase class body:
                  nonbase_class_alts
nonbase_class_alts:nonbase_class_feat
                  name_class_feat
nonbase_class feat:
                        nbc_member_attr_decl class_attr_decl
```

```
nbc_member_attr_decl: /* empty */
                  member_attr_decl
name_class_feat: determines_clause
                  const members clause
                  determines clause const members clause
determines_clause: DETERMINES ':' CLASS NAME
const_members_clause: CONSTANT_MEMBERS ':' const_members list
const_members_list:
                        CONST MEMBER_NAME
                 const_members_list comma_and_sep CONST_MEMBER_NAME
interclass_connection: INTERCLASS_CONNECTION ':' connection
                  ic assertion decl
ic_assertion_decl: /* empty */
                  ic_assertion_list fail_action_clause
ic assertion list: ic assertion
                  ic_assertion_list ic_assertion
ic assertion :
                 INTERCLASS ASSERTION ':' connection
connection
                  subclass_connection
                  grouping connection
subclass_connection:
                        SUBCLASS OF CLASS_NAME subclass_predicate
            :
grouping connection:
                        expression defined gc
                  enumerated gc
                  user_controllable_gc
                  name_class_gc
subclass_predicate: WHERE attribute_predicate
                  WHERE SPECIFIED
                  WHERE set_oper_defined_sc
                  WHERE existence_sc
```

```
l
                 name_class_sc
set_oper_defined sc:
                       IS IN CLASS_NAME AND IS IN CLASS NAME
                 IS IN CLASS_NAME OR IS IN CLASS_NAME
                 IS NOT IN CLASS_NAME
existence sc :
                 IS A_VALUE OF ATTRIBUTE NAME OF CLASS NAME
name_class_sc
                       /* empty */
                 WHERE FORMAT IS format directive
expression_defined_gc: GROUPING OF CLASS NAME ON COMMON VALUE OF
                 attr name list explicitly def grps
explicitly_def_grps:
                       /* empty */
                 GROUPS_DEFINED_AS_CLASSES_ARE class_name_list
                       GROUPING OF CLASS_NAME CONSISTING_OF_CLASSES
enumerated gc
                 class name list
user_controllable_gc:
                       GROUPING OF CLASS_NAME AS_SPECIFIED
name_class_gc
                       GROUPING OF CLASS NAME AS SPECIFIED
                            BY CLASS NAME
attribute predicate: attr pred term
                 attribute predicate OR attr pred term
attr_pred_term
                       attr pred factor
                 attr_pred_term AND attr_pred_factor
attr_pred_factor: attr_pred_primary
                 NOT attr_pred_primary
attr_pred_primary:
                       '('attribute predicate')'
                 simple_predicate
simple_predicate: relop_predicate
```

```
setop_predicate
relop_predicate:
                   mapping relop constant
                   mapping relop mapping
setop_predicate:
                   mapping setop constant
                   mapping setop mapping
                   mapping setop CLASS_NAME
relop
                   EO
                   NĚ
                   LT
                   LE
                   GT
                   GE
setop
                   IS properly CONTAINED IN
             П
                   properly CONTAINS
properly
                   /* empty */
                   PROPERLY
             ı
format_directive:
                   description_clause ordering_clause
                   violation_actn_clause
description_clause: description_subclause
                   description_clause OR description_subclause
description_subclause:
                          description '.'
                   description ',' where_restriction '.'
description
                   subunit
            I
                   description ',' subunit
subunit
                         subunit item
                   LABEL ':' subunit item
subunit_item:
                   STRING str_where_clause
                   NUMBER num_where_clause
            Ī
                   ONEOF string list
```

```
ONEOF number list
                  STRING C
str_where_clause : /* empty */
                  WHERE string_boolean
num_where_clause:
                       /* empty */
                   WHERE number_boolean
string_list
                  string_component
                   '(' string_list ',' string_component ')'
string_component: STRING_C
                  ALPHABETICS
                  NUMERICS
                  SPECIALS
number_list :
                  number
                  '(' number_list ',' number ')'
string boolean
                        string bool term
                  string_boolean OR string_bool_term
string_bool_term: string_bool_factor
                  string_bool_term AND string bool factor
string_bool_factor:string_bool_primary
                  NOT string bool primary
string_bool_primary:
                         '('string boolean')'
                  string_predicate
                   IF string_condition THEN string_predicate FI
string_predicate:
                   IF string condition THEN string predicate
                    ELSE string_predicate FI
                  string condition
string_condition:
                  relop STRING C
                  SIZÈ relop INTEGER_C
                  HAS string_list
```

```
CALL PROCEDURE_NAME
number_boolean
                        number bool term
                  number_boolean OR number_bool_term
number_bool_term:
                        number_bool_factor
                 number_bool_term AND number_bool_factor
number_bool factor:
                        number_bool_primary
                  NOT number_bool_primary
number_bool_primary:
                        '(' number_boolean ')'
                  number predicate
number_predicate: IF number_condition THEN number_predicate FI
                  IF number_condition THEN number_predicate
                   ELSE number_predicate FI
                  INTEGER
                  REAL
                  number_condition
number_condition: relop number
                  CALL PROCEDURE NAME
where_restriction: WHERE boolean
boolean
                        boolean term
                  boolean OR boolean term
boolean_term
                        boolean_factor
                  boolean_term AND boolean_factor
boolean factor
                        boolean_primary
                 NOT boolean primary
boolean primary
                        '(' boolean ')'
                 predicate
predicate
                 IF condition_expr THEN predicate FI
```

```
IF condition_expr THEN predicate ELSE predicate FI
                      condition
condition
                       expression relop expression
                      PRESENT '(' expression ',' expression ')'
                      CALL PROCEDURE NAME
condition expr
                              condition_term
                      condition expr AND condition term
                              condition factor
condition term
                      condition_term OR condition_factor
condition_factor:
                      '('condition expr')'
                      condition
expression
                       arithmetic_term
                      expression addition_operator arithmetic_term
arithmetic term
                              arithmetic factor
                       arithmetic_term multiply_operator arithmetic_factor
arithmetic_factor: arithmetic_primary
                      arithmetic_factor exponent_operator arithmetic_primary
                              '(' expression ')'
arithmetic primary:
                       subexpression
subexpression:
                       atomic expression
                       set_function '(' expression_list')'
                       APPEND '(' expression ',' expression ')'
                       SUBSTRING '(' expression ',' char_pos ','
                                 char_pos')'
                      LEFT '(' expression ',' char_pos ')'
RIGHT '(' expression ',' char_pos ')'
LOCATION '(' expression ',' expression ')'
LENGTH '(' expression ')'
REPETITIONS LABEL THROUGH LABEL
               ı
               1
atomic_expression: LABEL
                       STRING C
```

### Appendix 4 - YACC SDML Grammar Specification

```
number
           Т
                  addition_operator number
           Ī
expression_list
                        expression
                  expression_list ',' expression
                  STRING_C
char_pos
                  STRING_C addition_operator INTEGER_C
                  INTEGER_C
ordering_clause
                        /* empty */
                  ORDERING ':' ordering
ordering
                  ordering_list
                  NONE
                  ATOMIC
                  CALL PROCEDURE_NAME
ordering list:
                 LABEL
                  ordering_list ',' LABEL
violation_actn_clause:
                        /* empty */
                  VIOLATION_ACTION ':' violation action
violation_action:
                 ERROR action_message
                 CALL PROCEDURE_NAME
action_message
                        /* empty */
                  STRING_C
number
                        INTEGER C
                  REAL C
constant
                 STRING_C
                  number
           1
                 CONST_MEMBER_NAME
```

# Appendix 5: Example SDML Specification

This Appendix contains the SDML specification for the SDM schema example in Appendix 1. The SDM schema in Appendix 1 was changed to adhere to the syntax of the SDML specification and was enhanced using some of the extensions provided in Chapter 3 of this paper.

CARS and AUTOMOBILES
description: { All cars produced by a plant.
This is a base class definition. }
duplicates not allowed
member attributes:

Make

value class: CAR\_TYPES may not be null not changeable

Model

value class: CAR\_MODELS may not be null not changeable

Year

value class: YEARS may not be null not changeable

Exterior\_color value class: COLORS

Interior\_color
value class: COLORS
assertion: call \_verify\_interior\_color
failure action: error
'invalid exterior/interior combination'

Base\_price value class: PRICES

Sticker\_price value class: PRICES

derivation: = Base\_price + sum( Options.Price )

Options
description: { Options on car }
value class: OPTIONS

```
Dealership
         description: { Dealership stocking this car.
                      This attribute shows the inverse
                      relationship. Here, Dealership is
                      tbe DEALER with this car in stock. }
         value class: DEALERS
         inverse: Cars in stock
      Vin
         description: { Vehicle Identification Number }
         value class: VIN_CODES
         may not be null
         not changeable
      Owner
         description: { Ultimate owner of vehicle until purchased
                      by a consumer. This attribute shows
                      the matching relationship. Here,
                      Owner is the owner of the Dealership
                      which has this car in stock. }
         value class: OWNERS
         match: Owned_by of DEALERS on Cars_in_stock
   identifiers:
      Vin
DEALERS, DEALERSHIPS
  description: { Car dealerships which stock the cars built by
               a plant. This is a base class definition.
  member attributes:
      Name
         description: { Dealership name }
         value class: DEALER_NAMES
      Address
         value class: ADDRESS
      Dealer code
         description: { Code uniquely identifying a dealership }
         value class: DEALER_CODES
         may not be null
      Makes
         description: { Makes of cars this dealer carries }
         value class: CAR TYPES
```

multivalued

# Appendix 5 - Example SDML Specification

Cars in stock description: { Cars which this dealership has in stock. This attribute closes the symmetrical inverse relationship with the Dealership attribute of class CARS. } value class: CARS inverse: Dealership multivalued assertion: Cars\_in\_stock.Make is contained in Makes Owned by description: { Owners of this dealership } value class: OWNERS inverse: Dealers owned Employees description: { Employees working as sales persons for this dealership. } value class: PERSON NAMES multivalued with size between 1 and 20 class attributes: Number\_dealer cars description: { Number of cars in dealership. } value class: INTEGERS derivation: number of members in Cars\_in\_stock identifiers: Dealer\_code OWNERS description: { This class contains all owners of car dealerships. This is a base class definition. } member attributes: Name value class: PERSON NAMES value class: ADDRESS Dealers owned description: { This attribute is the dealerships which this person or persons own. } value class: DEALERS inverse: Owned by multivalued identifiers:

Name

```
OPTIONS
  description: { This base class contains all available
               car options. }
  member attributes:
      Type
         description: { Type of option }
         value class: OPTION_TYPES
      Price
         description: { Price of options }
         value class: PRICES
  identifiers:
      Type
CARS_SOLD
  description: { This class contains all cars sold to a
               customer. }
  interclass connection: subclass of CARS where specified
  interclass assertion: subclass of CARS where
                           is in PREPARED_CARS or
                           is in SCHEDULED PREPS
  failure action: warning 'car not scheduled for preparation'
  member attributes:
      Sold to
         description: { Customer buying the car. }
         value class: PERSON NAMES
         may not be null
      Sold by
         description: { Salesman selling car to customer. }
         value class: PERSON_NAMES
         may not be null
         assertion: Sold_by is contained in Dealership.Employees
         failure action:
                    error 'Salesman not employed by Dealership'
      Customer address
         value class: ADDRESS
      Date sold
         value class: DATES
      Selling_price
         description: { Final selling price of car. }
         value class: PRICES
```

### class attributes:

Number\_of\_cars\_sold
value class: INTEGERS
derivation: number of unique members in this class
assertion: Number\_of\_cars\_sold =
sizeof( SCHEDULED\_PREPS ) +
sizeof( PREPARED\_CARS )
failure action: call \_determine\_cars\_not\_scheduled

### SCHEDULED PREPS

description: { This class contains all scheduled car preparations. This base class definition is an example of an event class definition. } interclass connection: subclass of CARS where specified member attributes:

Date\_of\_preparation
value class: DATES
assertion: Date\_of\_preparation <= \_CURRENT\_DATE
failure action: call \_notify\_past\_due

### class attributes:

Number\_of\_sched\_preps description: { Number of cars to be prepared } value class: INTEGERS derivation: number of unique members in this class

# BUICKS

description: { This class contains all Buick cars. This nonbase class shows the use of the attribute-defined subclass connection utilizing the simple attribute predicate. } interclass connection: subclass of CARS where Make = 'Buick' member attributes:

#### Mode1

description: { This shows the concept of restricting the value class of an inherited member attribute. }

value class: BUICK\_MODELS

### SOMERSETS

description: { This class contains all Buick Somerset model cars. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the attribute-defined subclass connection utilizing the compound attribute

predicate.

interclass connection: subclass of CARS where

Make = 'Buick' and Model = 'Somerset'

interclass assertion: subclass of BUICKS where

Model = 'Somerset'

### PREPARED CARS

description: { This class contains all prepared cars for any dealership. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the user-controllable subclass connection. }

interclass connection: subclass of CARS where specified

### PREPARED BUICKS

description: { This class contains all prepared Buick's for any dealership. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the intersection

set–operator–defined subclass connection. } interclass connection: subclass of CARS where  $\,$ 

ass of CARS where
is in BUICKS and

is in PREPARED CARS

interclass assertion: subclass of PREPARED\_CARS where
Make = 'Buick'

# BUICK DEALERS

description: { This class contains all dealers which sell
Buick's. This nonbase class definition shows
the use of the existence subclass connection. }
interclass connection: subclass of DEALERS where is a value of
Dealership of BUICKS
interclass assertion: subclass of DEALERS where
Make contains 'Buick'

### CAR\_MODEL\_GROUPS

description: { This class groups cars into models. This nonbase class definition shows the use of the expression-defined grouping class. Each member of this nonbase class is a class containing all models for cars. Note that the class definition also indicates that a SDM class was explicitly defined for the Buick Somerset make and model. }

interclass connection: grouping of BUICKS on common value of
Make and Model

groups defined as classes are SOMERSETS

# DEALER\_PREP CARS description: { This class groups prepared cars for specific dealerships. This nonbase class definition also shows the use of the expression-defined grouping class. interclass connection: grouping of PREPARED\_CARS on common value of Dealership CAR TYPES description: { This is the list of all available car types. } interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified determines: CAR\_MODELS CAR\_MODELS description: { This is the list of all available car models. } interclass connection: grouping of STRINGS where specified by CAR\_TYPES BUICK\_MODELS description: { This is the list of all possible buick car models. } interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified YEARS description: { This is the format of a year. } interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is number where integer and $\geq 70$ and $\leq 99$ . constant members: \_CURRENT YEAR DATES description: { Calendar dates in the range "1/1/70" to "12/31/99". } interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is month: number where integer and >=1 and <=12. day: number where integer and >=1 and <=31. ·/'. year: number where integer and > = 70 and < = 99, where if (month=4 or month=5 or month=9 or month=11) then day $\leq =30$ fi and if month=2 then day <=29 fi. ordering: year, month, day constant members: \_CURRENT\_DATE

#### COLORS

description: { This is the available interior and exterior car colors. }

interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified

```
PRICES
   description: { This is the possible car prices. }
   interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is
                            number where > = 0,
                            where length( right(*, '.'+1) ) = 2
or not present(*, '.').
                            ordering: value
VIN CODES
   description: { This is the format of the Vehicle Identification
                Number Codes. For example: 1G4NK27U1GC612345. }
   interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is
                        '1G'.
                        number where integer and \geq =0 and \leq =9.
                        string where has alphabetics and size = 2.
                        string where has numerics and size = 2,
              engine_code:oneof('U', 'G'),
                        number where integer and \geq =0 and \leq =9.
              model_year: string where has alphabetics and size = 1,
              assy_plant: string where has alphabetics and size = 1,
                        string where has numerics and size = 6.
              ordering: call _vin_ordering
DEALER NAMES
   description: { Dealership names }
   interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS
ADDRESS
   description: { Street Address }
   interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS
DEALER CODES
   description: { Dealership identifier codes }
   interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where format is
                       number where integer.
PERSON NAMES
   description: { Personal Names }
   interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS
OPTION TYPES
   description: { Option types }
  interclass connection: subclass of STRINGS where specified
```

# Appendix 6: SDML Manual Page

### NAME

sdml - Semantic Database Model (SDM) language compiler

### SYNOPSIS

sdm1 [ -dvs1 ] [ -o ofile ] [ file ]

### DESCRIPTION

Sdml compiles the SDM specification from the given file (standard input default). The compilation involves two passes. Pass 1 will verify syntax of the SDM specification and pass 2 will verify semantics of the SDM specification. Types of errors reported are class or attribute names used but not defined, multiple class or attribute name definitions, and inconsistent usage of various SDM capabilities. An output specification may be generated from the SDML compiler by specifying the -o option and a office to write the file to.

The available options are as follows:

- -d generate a file named sdm.ddl which will contain the structures built during pass 2 of the sdml compiler. This file is used by the data dictionary generation program to build a static data dictionary from the SDM specification given. Note that this option does not make sense with the -1 option.
- verbose mode. This option will result in a summary being directed to standard output with statistics on various label definitions and usage.
- -s dumps the symbol table generated by pass 1 (and 2) of the sdml compiler to standard output. The symbol table will contain information about symbol definition and usage on a per symbol basis.
- -o generates the compiled SDM specification and puts it into the file named ofile.
- run pass 1 only. This option may be useful when attempting to resolve syntax errors in a SDM specification without going through pass 2 (verifying semantics) if error recovery is attempted by the SDML compiler.

The SDML compiler pre-defines the maximum number of unique symbols, maximum number of class definitions, and maximum number of attribute definitions. The maximum number of unique symbol definitions (including constant symbols) can be increased from the default of 254 symbols  $(2^8-1)$  by using the

# Appendix 6 - SDML Manual Page

%h hval

control statement at the beginning of the SDM specification. This statement will increase the number of hash table entries possible from the default limit to the next highest power of 2 from hval. That is, the new limit L will be selected such that  $2^{n-1}-1 \le hval \le 2^n-1 = L$ .

The maximum number of class definitions can be increased from the default of 50 by using the

%c cval

control statement, where *cval* is the new maximum number of class definitions. The maximum number of attribute definitions can be increased from the default of 100 by using the

%a aval

control statement, where aval is the new maximum number of attribute definitions.

# SEE ALSO

R. V. Lane, Semantic Database Model Language (SDML): Grammar Specification and Parser

# SEMANTIC DATABASE MODEL LANGUAGE (SDML): GRAMMAR SPECIFICATION AND PARSER

bv

# RICHARD VERNON LANE

B. S., Michigan State University, 1980

\_\_\_\_\_

# AN ABSTRACT OF A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Computer Science

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1986

# ABSTRACT

This paper describes the Semantic Database Model Language (SDML). SDML is a high-level language based on the Semantic Database Model (SDM). SDM will allow a database designer to model a database application while retaining application data meaning. Some extensions to the SDM are introduced and are incorporated into the SDML grammar. SDML is used as an intermediate in the automatic generation of a static data dictionary for the application environment. This paper discusses the design of a context-free grammar for SDML and the design of an LR(1) parser for SDML. The SDML parser will check syntactic and semantic correctness of an SDML specification.