K

Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Different Cattle Types

M. E. Dikeman, H. D. Loveday and D. M. Allen

Summary

Different cattle types were evaluated for growth, feed efficiency, and carcass and meat traits. Hereford (H), Angus (A), Red Poll (RP), Brown Swiss (BS), Gelbvieh (G), Maine Anjou (MA) and Chianina sires were mated artificially to Angus and Hereford cows to obtain different crossbred (X) cattle types. Two calf crops were born in March, April and May of 1973, and 1974, and weaned when 200 days old. All male calves (787) were castrated, fed out and slaughtered in a commercial plant. Carcasses were graded in the cooler and the right side was transported to KSU for detailed cutout and meat quality evaluations.

Feedlot A.D.G. and final slaughter weight were slightly higher for MAx followed in order by Gx, Cx, BSx, HAx, H & A and RPx with about .05 lb. difference in A.D.G. between each descending pair. The HAx controls were generally 55 to 100 lb. lighter at slaughter than BSx, Gx, Cx or MAx. Straightbred H & A required slightly less feed per lb. of gain, RPx required slightly more feed per lb. of gain and the remaining breed crosses were very similar to each other. Dressing percentages were essentially the same for all breed crosses.

The large type cattle (MAx, BSx, Gx and BSx) were slaughtered at later dates than small type cattle--in an attempt to slaughter all cattle at the same quality grade end point. However, Cx graded lowest among all groups, MAx and Gx were intermediate and H & A, HAx, RPx and and BSx graded highest. Yield grades and fat thicknesses were generally lowest in Cx followed by BSx, MAx and Gx which were all similar. Rib steaks evaluated by a taste panel were judged equal across all breed crosses. Warner-Bratzler shear values slightly favored H & A, HAx and RPx compared with the large breed types. Good nutritional background, young age and a long time on feed resulted in equal palatability among breed groups even with the variation in quality grades that existed.

Carcass fat trim varied more than the other two carcass components. The Cx generally had the highest retail product and lowest fat trim percentages; BSx, Gx and MAx were intermediate; H & A, HAx and RPx had the lowest retail product and highest fat trim percentages.

In general, the larger breed types fed longer can reach the same quality grade end point as smaller type cattle. The larger types will use feed as efficiently and will produce higher cutability carcasses.

Introduction

Two-year results from the U.S. Meat Animal Research Center's "cattle germ plasm evaluation program" are reported here. Dr. Keith Gregory, director of the Meat Animal Research Center (MARC), initiated the project. Kansas State University and the Standardization Branch, A.M.S., U.S.D.A. cooperated on the carcass and meat aspects of the study.

The project was designed to characterize breeds from <u>different</u> <u>cattle</u> types by important economic beef production traits.

Data on calving difficulty and pre-weaning performance resulting from the matings in this project were obtained. Also, data on reproduction and maternal traits of the female progeny were studied. This information can be obtained by writing for Progress Reports No. 2 and 4, 1975 and 1976 from the Germ Plasm Evaluation Program, U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, Clay Center, Nebraska 68933.

Experimental Procedure

Hereford and Angus females were artificially bred to Hereford, Angus, Brown Swiss, Red Poll, Maine Anjou, Gelbvieh and Chianina bulls. The two calf crops were born in March, April and May of 1973 and 1974 and were weaned when approximately 200 days old. All male calves were castrated and fed in a feedlot by sire breed groups to obtain growth and feed efficiency. The steers were fed a corn silage-and-concentrate ration that approximated 80% TDN (total digestible nutrients on a 100% dry matter basis) most of the feeding period for the 1973 calf crop ('73 calves) and 76% TDN for the 1974 calf crop ('74 calves).

Approximately one-third of the '73 straightbred Herefords (H) and Angus (A), Angus-Hereford crosses (HAx) and Red Poll crosses (RPx) were slaughtered at each of three slaughter times (220, 248 and 282 days on feed after weaning). Approximately one-third of the '73 Maine Anjou crosses (MAx), Chianina crosses (Cx) and Gelbvieh crosses (Gx) were slaughtered at each of three slaughter times (248, 282 and 338 days on feed). Brown Swiss crosses (BSx) were slaughtered at all four times. For the '74 calves, H & A, HAx and RPx were slaughtered at each of three slaughter times (254, 282 and 318 days on feed). The MAx, Cx and Gx were slaughtered at each of three slaughter times (318, 352 and 387 days on feed). BSx were slaughtered all five times. The later slaughter schedule for large type cattle (MAx, Gx, Cx and BSx) was an attempt to slaughter all cattle at a similar quality grade or carcass composition rather than at the same age.

Steers were slaughtered in a commercial slaughter plant and carcass data were obtained after a 24-hour chill. Carcasses were evaluated for yield grade and quality grade factors by representatives of the U.S. Meat Animal Research Center; Standardization Branch, A.M.S., U.S.D.A.; and Kansas State University.

The right side of each carcass was transported to Kansas State University for detailed cutout and meat quality evaluations. Each side was cut into essentially boneless, closely trimmed retail cuts. Rib steaks were cooked at 350°F to an internal temperature of 150°F and evaluated for tenderness, flavor, juiciness and overall acceptability by an experienced taste panel; tenderness also was measured by Warner-Bratzler shear.

<u>Results</u> and Discussion

Results from this research are presented in a series of tables, but important observations are also discussed. We should emphasize that most comparisons are made only for slaughter dates common to all breed groups.

Slaughter weights and average daily gains (A.D.G.) are shown in tables 31.1and31.2 for the two calf crops. Maine Anjou crosses generally had the highest A.D.G.'s and final weights, and were followed by Gx, then Cx, then BSx. There was about .05 lb. difference in A.D.G. between each of these groups. The spread in slaughter weights was about 45 lb. from heaviest to lightest. The HAx controls averaged about .07 lb. less A.D.G. than BSx and about .20 lb. less than MAx. However, HAx final weights were about 100 lb. less than MAx, partially because HAx weaning weights were lower.

Red Poll crosses generally were lowest in A.D.G.'s and final weights of all breed crosses. Steers out of Hereford dams gained about .10 lb. more per day than steers out of Angus dams, but their final weights were essentially equal, primarily because steer calves out of Angus dams were heavier at weaning. The '73 calves generally had higher A.D.G.'s than '74 calves primarily because '73 calves were fed a higher energy ration, but there may also have been a year effect on A.D.G.

Feed efficiencies (tables 31.3 and 31.4) among breed crosses did not differ greatly, primarily because breed crosses did not differ greatly in A.D.G.'s and they were compared at similar quality grade end points (except that Cx graded lower than the other breed crosses). The most consistent trends in feed efficiencies were that H & A straightbreds required somewhat less TDN per lb. of gain than all other breed crosses, while RPx required more TDN per lb. of gain than all other breed crosses. The remaining breed crosses had very similar feed efficiencies. The '74 calves were generally less efficient than '73 calves primarily because '74 calves had lower A.D.G.'s so more TDN was used just for their maintenance. Feed efficiency may also have been affected by a difference in year.

Dressing percentages for the two calf crops did not differ among breed crosses. Dressing percentages and meat palatability are the only traits presented in this paper that showed no significant differences.

The large type cattle (MAx, Gx, Cx and BSx) were slaughtered at later dates than small type cattle (H & A, HAx and RPx) in an attempt to slaughter all cattle when they had similar quality grades. As shown in tables 31.5 and 31.6, quality grades were somewhat similar except that Cx graded lower than all breed crosses. Straightbred H & A, HAx, RPx and BSx were very similar in quality grade. Gelbvieh crosses and MAx were very similar in quality and both were about one marbling degree lower than H & A, HAx, RPx and BSx. The Cx were about $1\frac{1}{2}$ marbling degrees lower than MAx and Gx. The MAx and Gx probably need to weigh 1250 to 1300 lb. for a high percentage to reach low choice, while Cx probably need to reach 1300 to 1400 lb. Breed crosses that were one-half Angus graded about one-third of a grade higher than crosses with no Angus breeding. Yield grades and fat thickness were lowest in Cx followed by BSx, MAx and Gx which were all very similar. Straightbred H & A, HAx and RPx were all similar and were generally one-half yield grade higher than MAx, BSx and Gx. An interesting comparison between BSx and RPx shows BSx used feed more efficiently to the same quality grade end point and produced heavier carcasses with more desirable yield grades than RPx. That comparison illustrates the affect that superior performance and sufficient time on feed have on carcass merit.

Carcass yields of bone, fat trim and retail product percentages are shown in tables 31.7 and 31.8. The data indicate that carcass fat trim varied the most of the three carcass components. Fat trim percentage ranged about 7% from highest to lowest breed cross each year. Bone percentage ranged only about 2% and retail product percentage ranged about 4 1/2 %. There were significant differences between calf crops in percentages of retail product, fat trim and bone. Cx were highest in retail product percentage followed by BSx, GX and MAx which were all similar. HAx, H & A and RPx were all similar in retail product and lower than BSx, GX and MAx.

Rib steaks evaluated by a taste panel were judged equal across all breed crosses and all breed cross averages were judged as "moderately desirable." Warner-Bratzler shear values slightly favored H & A, HAx and RPx compared with the large breed types. Even though quality grades varied among breed crosses, the good nutritional background, young age and long time on feed resulted in palatability for all breed crosses. Table 31.1Postweaning Average Daily Gains and Adjusted Final Weights for the 1973 Calf Crop.

Breed of	Steer		No	. Ste	eers	a	Postwe	aning	Averag	e Dail	ly Gain ^b		Adjı	isted F	inal W	leight ^C	
Sire	Dam	220	248	282	338	Total	220	248	282	338	Avg. ^d	220	248	282	338	Avg.d	Ratio ^e
Hereford Angus	Hereford Angus Average	4 8 12	4 7 11	5 7 12	 	13 22 35	2.53 2.37 2.45	2.33 2.30 2.32	2.29 2.24 2.26	····	2.31 2.27 2.29	969 951 960	986 974 980	1045 1053 1049		1016 1014 1015	99.1 98.9 99.0
Angus Hereford	Hereford Angus Average	8 9 17	7 9 16	8 9 17	 	23 27 50	2.47 2.25 2.36	2.48 2.34 2.41	2.29 2.25 2.27	····	2.39 2.30 2.34	961 913 937	1010 984 997	1059 1047 1053	 	1035 1016 1025	101.0 99.1 100.0
Red Poll	Hereford Angus Average	9 8 17	7 9 16	8 9 17	 	24 26 50	2.25 2.10 2.18	2.46 2.02 2.24	2.19 1.93 2.06	····	2.33 1.98 2.15	914 8 98 906	1026 943 985	1035 991 1013	••••• ••••	1031 967 999	100.6 94.3 97.5
Brown Swiss	Hereford Angus Average	4 6 10	5 5 10	4 5 9	7 8 15	20 24 44	2.61 2.53 2.57	2.48 2.57 2.53	2.54 2.32 2.43	2.55 2.48 2.52	2.51 2.45 2.48	998 1010 1004	1035 1084 1060	1156 1099 1128	1310 1315 1312	1096 1092 1094	106.9 106.5 106.7
Gelbvieh	Hereford Angus Average	 	8 10 18	6 10 16	7 10 17	21 30 51	 	2.49 2.39 2.44	2.48 2.34 2.41	2.49 2.32 2.41	2.49 2.37 2.43	· · · · · · · · ·	1052 1052 1052	1120 - 1130 1125	1287 1241 1264	1086 1091 1089	106.0 106.4 106.2
Maine Anjou	Hereford Angus Average	 	3 8 11	4 7 11	7 10 17	14 25 39	····· ····	2.63 2.61 2.62	2.59 2.51 2.55	2.33 2.29 2.31	2.61 2.56 2.59	••••	1085 1126 1105	1186 1158 1172	1212 1213 1213	1136 1142 1139	110.8 111.4 111.1
Chianina	Hereford Angus Average	 	6 7 13	6 7 13	8 8 16	20 22 42	····· ····	2.56 2.51 2.53	2.46 2.24 2.35	2.39 2.38 2.38	2.51 2.38 2.44	••••	1084 1092 1088	1114 1105 1110	1264 1294 1279	1099 1099 1099	107.2 107.2 107.2
Average All Sire Breeds	Hereford Angus Average	25 31 56	40 55 95	41 54 95	29 36 65	135 176 311	2.47 2.31 2.39	2.49 2.39 2.44	2.41 2.26 2.34	2.44 2.37 2.40	2.45 2.33 2.39	960 943 952	1040 1036 1038	1102 1083 1093	1268 1266 1267	1071 1060 1066	104.5 103.4 104.0

Number of steers slaughtered after 220, 248, 282 and 338 days postweaning. ADG = (actual final wt. - actual weaning wt.) + days on feed. Adj. final wt. = 200-day wt. + (postwn. ADG x days on feed postwn.). Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups. Ratio relative to 1025 lb. average of Hereford-Angus crossbreds. a

С

d

e

Tab	le 31	.21	Postweaning	Average I	Daily	Gains	and	Adjusted	Final	Weights	for	the	1974	Calf	Crop.
-----	-------	-----	-------------	-----------	-------	-------	-----	----------	-------	---------	-----	-----	------	------	-------

Breed of Steer	No. Steers ^a	Postweaning Average Daily Gain ^b Adjusted Final Weight ^C
Sire Dam	254 282 318 352 387 Total	254 282 318 352 387 Avg. ^d 254 282 318 352 387 Avg. ^d Ratio ^e
Hereford Hereford Angus Angus Average	9 10 10 29 12 13 13 38 21 23 23 67	2.122.062.052.069289721051101296.71.991.971.941.969299801059102097.42.062.022.002.019289761055101697.0
Angus Hereford Hereford Angus Average	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.222.212.082.14997103310781056100.92.102.101.922.0198110301045103899.12.162.162.002.08989103210621047100.0
Red Poll Hereford Angus Average	6 6 6 18 13 13 14 40 19 19 20 58	2.042.071.982.0293110011054102898.21.941.921.901.91916965103199895.31.992.001.941.979249831042101396.8
Brown Swiss Hereford Angus Average	6 7 6 6 7 32 8 11 11 7 7 44 14 18 17 13 14 76	2.222.132.242.242.222.18101110601152122112971106105.62.082.122.032.092.112.08102610651095118812701080103.22.152.132.162.172.13101910631123120512841093104.4
Gelbvieh Hereford Angus Average	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.31 2.34 2.38 2.28 2.32 1081 1189 1248 1277 1135 108.4 2.27 2.20 2.17 2.28 2.24 1127 1184 1270 1362 110.4 2.29 2.27 2.27 2.28 2.28 1104 1186 1259 1320 1145 109.4
Maine Anjou Hereford Angus Average	8 10 7 7 32 10 14 7 7 38 18 24 14 14 70	2.42 2.29 2.42 2.34 2.36 1107 1164 1239 1365 1136 108.5 2.21 2.22 2.33 2.26 2.22 1107 1164 1239 1365 1136 108.5 2.21 2.22 2.33 2.26 2.22 1107 1188 1307 1363 1148 109.6 2.31 2.26 2.37 2.30 2.29 1107 1176 1273 1364 1142 109.1
Chianina Hereford Angus Average	10 11 7 7 35 11 15 7 6 39 21 26 14 13 74	2.26 2.15 2.24 2.25 2.20 1057 1123 1208 1320 1090 104.1 2.25 2.22 2.09 2.21 2.24 1103 1162 1227 1332 1132 108.1 2.25 2.18 2.17 2.23 2.22 1080 1143 1217 1326 1111 106.1
Average Hereford All Sire Angus Breeds Average	32 58 61 25 26 202 46 80 92 29 27 274 78 138 153 54 53 476	2.152.212.162.322.272.1896710441116122913151080103.22.022.122.062.172.212.0996310541109124813321082103.32.092.162.112.242.242.1496510491113123913231081103.2

a Number of steers slaughtered after 254, 282, 318, 352 and 387 days postweaning.
b ADG = (actual final wt. - actual weaning wt.) ÷ days on feed.
c Adj. final wt. = 200-day wt. + (postwn. ADG x days on feed postwn.).
d Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups (282 and 318 days).
e Ratio relative to 1047 lb. average of Hereford-Angus crossbreds.

		1		F	eed Eff	iciency					
Breed of	Steer			(TD	N per 1	b. gain)			Dressi	ng Perc	enta
Sire	Dam	220	248	282	338	Avg. ^b	220	248	282	338	Avg. ^b
Hereford Angus	Hereford Angus Average	5.95	6.11	6.23		6.10	58.1 58.8 58.5	59.8 60.1 60.0	60.5 60.2 60.4	····	60.2 60.2 60.2
Angus Hereford	Hereford Angus Average	6.31	6.44	6.57		6.44	58.7 60.9 59.8	59.0 59.1 59.1	59.6 60.3 60.0	 	59.3 59.7 59.5
Red Poll	Hereford Angus Average	6.72	6.81	6.94		6.82	58.5 59.2 58.8	58.9 59.7 59.3	59.7 59.3 59.5	····	59.3 59.5 59.4
Brown Swiss	Hereford Angus Average	6.31	6.48	6.62	6.71	6.47	58.3 60.2 59.2	59.2 60.2 59.7	59.5 60.7 60.1	60.2 61.9 61.0	59.4 60.5 59.9
Gelbvieh	Hereford Angus Average	6.18	6.44	6.62	6.62	6.41	 	59.2 59.7 59.4	59.3 61.0 60.2	59.8 60.1 59.9	59.3 60.4 59.8
Maine Anjou	Hereford Angus Average	5.98	6.34	6.54	6.54	6.29	 	59.7 61.9 60.8	60.7 61.5 61.1	60.7 61.8 61.2	60.2 61.7 61.0
Chianina	Hereford Angus Average	6.44	6.65	6.82	6.88	6.64	 	61.3 61.8 61.5	60.6 60.4 60.5	61.6 62.5 62.0	61.0 61.1 61.0
Average All Sire Breeds	Hereford Angus Average	6.27	6.47	6.62	6.69	6.45	58.4 59.7 59.1	59.6 60.3 60.0	60.0 60.5 60.2	60.6 61.6 61.1	59.8 60.4 60.1

Table 31.3. Feed Efficiencies and Dressing Percentages for the 1973 Calf Crop.

^aDressing percent equals hot carcass weight divided by final weight on feed and water (without shrink). ^bAverage calculated only for dates common to all breed groups. Table 31.4 Feed Efficiencies and Dressing Percentages for the 1974 Calf Crop.

Durad of	Steen		F (TD	eed Eff	iciency b gain	1			Dr	essing	Percent	a	111.0
Sire	Dam	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.b	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.b
		10 10		and the second second				57.6	57.2	58.9	·		58.0
Hereford	Hereford							59 0	61.1	60.8			61.0
Angus	Angus		c . c .	6 70			6 57	58 3	59.2	59.8			59.5
	Average	6.42	6.58	6.70			0.57	30.5	55.6		1000		
	Ununfond							57.7	59.3	60.1			59.7
Angus	Hereford							58.4	59.5	60.3			59.9
Hereford	Angus	6 76	6 04	7 48			7.06	58.0	59.4	60.2			59.8
	Average	0.70	0.94	7.40			1.00						
	Unnofond							58.1	59.1	59.0			59.0
Red Poll	Hereford							58.9	60.5	60.5			60.5
	Angus	7 47	7 50	7 93			7.66	58.5	59.8	59.8			59.8
	Average	1.4/	1.55	1.55									
Dunum Cuder	Horoford							56.8	57.6	59.4	60.2	62.0	58.5
Brown Swiss	April							58.2	59.1	59.7	59.9	59.4	59.4
	Average	6 87	7.05	7.26	7.21	7.21	7.06	57.5	58.3	59.6	60.1	60.7	59.0
	Average	0.07	1.00										
Galbyieh	Hereford								58.6	59.1	60.4	60.1	58.8
derbyren	Angus								59.5	59.7	61.8	60.5	59.0
	Average	6.74	7.03	7.30	7.27	7.32	7.02		59.1	59.4	61.1	60.3	59.2
	C. S. C. S.	1									co 1	60 E	50.1
Maine Aniou	Hereford								59.4	58.8	60.1	00.5	59.1
	Angus					shows:			60.7	60.6	60.0	61.5	60.0
	Average	6.57	7.03	7.20	7.11	7.27	6.93		60.0	59.7	60.3	61.0	59.0
	NEW CONSERVE								co 2	F0 7	60 A	50 0	50 F
Chianina	Hereford								60.3	50.6	60.4	59.9	60.0
	Angus			1. 1. 50			c 07		60.4	59.0	61 3	59.5	59 7
	Average	6.62	6.90	7.10	7.25	7.08	6.8/		60.3	29.1	01.3	59.7	33.7
	THE PLANE							57 6	50 0	50 2	60 3	60.6	59.0
Average	Hereford							50.6	60 1	60.2	61 2	60.2	60.2
All Sire	Angus	33				7 00	7 00	50.0	50 5	50 7	60 7	60.4	59.6
Breeds	Average	6.78	7.02	7.28	7.21	1.22	1.02	58.1	59.5	59.7	00.7	00.4	00.0

^aDressing percent equals hot carcass weight divided by final weight on feed and water (without shrink).

^bAverage calculated only for dates common to all breed groups (282 and 318 days).

Table 31.5 Quality Grades, Yield Grades and Fat Thicknesses for Carcasses from the 1973 Calf Crop.

Breed of	Steer	U	.S.D.A	. Qual	ity Gr	adea	U.	.S.D.4	A. Yi	eld G	rade		Fat 1	hicknes	s. in.	
Sire	Dam	220	248	282	338	Avg.b	220	248	282	338	Avg.b	220	248	282	338	Avg.b
Hereford	Hereford	10.8	11.9	10.9		11.4	3.2	37	27		2.7	50				
Angus	Angus	12.3	13.5	12.4		13.0	2.2	2.0	2.6		3.7	. 53	.05	.63		.64
	Average	11.6	12.7	11.7		12 2	2.2	3.0	3.0		3.7	.53	.60	.60		.60
		222.0020					5.5	3.0	3.1		3.1	.53	.63	.62		.62
Angus	Hereford	12.0	11.1	12.8		12.0	32	36	4.1		2.0			-		
current and a second	Angus	11.2	10.6	12.2		11 4	2 5	2.4	4.1		3.9	.54	.55	.75		.65
	Average	11.6	10.9	12.5		11.7	3.5	3.4	4.3		3.9	.54	.52	.82		.67
				****		11.7	3.4	3.5	4.2		3.9	. 54	.54	.79		.66
Red Poll	Hereford	10.4	10.1	11.8		11 0	2 1	26								
	Angus	10.5	12.0	12.3		12 2	2.2	3.0	4.0		3.8	.48	. 54	.65		.60
	Average	10.4	11.0	12 1		11 6	3.5	3.1	3.0	•••	3.4	.47	.41	.52		.47
						11.0	3.2	3.3	3.8		3.6	.47	.48	. 59		. 54
Brown Swiss	Hereford	10 1	11 0	11 0	12 0	11.4	27	2.0								
and a second	Angus	11 2	12.2	12 7	12.0	11.4	2.1	3.0	3.2	3.8	3.1	.33	.39	.48	.63	.44
	Average	10.6	11 6	12.7	12.0	12.5	2.9	3.2	3.3	4.1	3.3	.42	.53	.53	.70	.53
	Arciuge	10.0	11.0	12.5	12.2	12.0	2.8	3.1	3.3	4.0	3.2	. 38	.46	. 51	.67	.49
Gelbvieh	Hereford		10.2	10 4	10.0	10.4		2.2					1334			
	Angus		10.0	11 0	11.0	10.4		3.3	2.8	2.9	3.1		.44	. 36	.46	.40
	Averade		10.0	11.0	11.9	11.3		2.9	3.8	3.8	3.4		.47	. 55	.59	.51
	Average		10.0	11.1	11.3	10.9		3.1	3.3	3.4	3.2		.45	.46	.53	.46
Maine Aniou	Hereford		11 0	12 2	10 5	11.7				-	20.27					
	Angus		11.0	12.5	10.5	11./		2.1	3.6	2.6	3.2		.36	.51	.33	.44
	Averade		11.6	12.2	12.5	11./		3.1	2.7	4.1	2.9		.48	.41	.65	.45
	nverage		11.1	12.2	11.5	11.7		2.9	3.2	3.3	3.1		.42	.46	.49	.44
Chianina	Hereford		0.1	0.0	10.1			-	-	-						
Christian	Angus		9.1	9.9	10.1	9.5		2.9	2.8	3.1	2.9		.38	.37	.44	.38
	Angus		10.8	11.4	11./	11.1		2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9		.43	.41	.39	.42
	Average		10.0	10.7	10.9	10.3		2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9		.41	.39	.42	.40
Average	Hereford	10.0	10 6	11 /	10.0	11 0		-	NAUTHOR						5.00 m	
All Sire	Apque	11.0	10.0	11.4	10.8	11.0	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.1	3.4	.47	.47	.54	.47	.51
Broods	Augus	11.5	11.0	12.2	12.1	11.9	3.2	3.2	3.5	3.7	3.4	.49	.49	.55	.58	.52
breeus	Average	11.0	11.1	11.8	11.5	11.5	3.2	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.4	.48	.48	.54	.52	51

^a U.S.D.A. Quality Grade as revised in 1976. 10 = average good, 11 = high good, 12 = low choice, 13 = average choice, etc.
 ^b Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups.

Breed of	Steer	Ì	U.S.D.	A. Qua	lity G	rade ^a		~~~	U.S.D.A.	Yiel	d Gra	de		Fat T	hickn	ess,	in.	
Sire	Dam	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.b		254 282 318	352	387	Avg.b	254	282	318	352	387	Avg. ^b
Hereford Angus	Hereford Angus Average	9.5 11.7 10.6	10.1 11.8 11.0	9.9 12.5 11.2			10.0 12.1 11.1		2.9 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.0 3.0 3.3 3.4	2 5 1	· · · · · · ·	3.2 3.5 3.4	.35 .43 .39	.43 .49 .46	.44 .53 .48			.44 .51 .47
Angus Hereford	Hereford Angus Average	10.5 10.9 10.7	10.9 11.5 11.2	11.4 11.3 11.4	 	••••	11.1 11.4 11.3		3.1 3.2 3.8 3.2 3.3 3.6 3.2 3.2 3.7	3 5 7	· · · · · · ·	3.5 3.4 3.4	.42 .43 .42	.44 .46 .45	.59 .56 .58			.52 .51 .52
Red Poll	Hereford Angus Average	10.4 11.1 10.8	10.4 11.1 10.7	10.8 11.3 11.0	 		10.6 11.2 10.9		2.9 3.6 3.3 3.1 3.0 3.6 3.0 3.3 3.5	· · · ·		3.4 3.3 3.4	.36 .38 .37	.43 .38 .40	.42 .46 .44	 	 	.42 .42 .42
Brown Swiss	Hereford Angus Average	8.2 10.6 9.4	10.1 9.9 10.0	10.2 11.6 10.9	10.9 11.2 11.0	10.8 12.2 11.5	10.2 10.8 10.5		2.2 2.6 2.8 2.8 2.9 3.0 2.5 2.8 2.9	3.0 3.1 3.1	3.5 3.6 3.5	2.7 3.0 2.8	.18 .31 .24	.27 .36 .32	.27 .37 .32	.32 .39 .35	.47 .49 .48	.27 .36 .32
Gelbvieh	Hereford Angus Average	 	9.6 10.8 10.2	8.6 10.8 9.7	11.1 12.4 11.7	9.5 11.8 10.6	9.1 10.8 10.0		2.8 2.9 3.0 2.7 2.9 2.6	5 3.1 3.8 5 3.4	3.3 4.0 3.6	2.6 2.8 2.7		.28 .32 .30	.27 .36 .31	.39 .51 .45	.43 .61 .52	.28 .34 .31
Maine Anjou	Hereford Angus Average	 	9.1 10.2 9.6	9.9 11.1 10.5	9.8 11.5 10.6	10.0 11.6 10.8	9.5 10.6 10.0		2.7 2.9 3.0 3.1 2.8 2.8	5 2.7 3.5 3.1	3.2 3.5 3.3	2.6 3.0 2.8	···· ···	.27 .34 .31	.26 .39 .32	.30 .50 .40	.44 .48 .46	.26 .36 .31
Chianina	Hereford Angus Average	 	8.3 9.5 8.9	8.5 8.6 8.6	8.6 10.9 9.8	9.6 10.7 10.1	8.4 9.0 8.7		2.3 2.2 2.7 2.4 2.5 2.3	2.5	3.2 3.1 3.2	2.2 2.6 2.4	 	.21 .31 .26	.20 .29 .25	.23 .34 .28	.39 .43 .41	.20 .30 .25
Average All Sire Breeds	Hereford Angus Average	9.7 11.1 10.4	9.8 10.7 10.2	9.9 11.0 10.5	10.1 11.5 10.8	10.0 11.6 10.8	9.8 10.8 10.3		2.8 2.9 2.9 3.1 3.0 3.1 2.9 3.0 3.0	2.8 3.3 3.1	3.3 3.6 3.4	2.9 3.0 3.0	.33 .39 .36	.33 .38 .36	.35 .42 .39	.31 .43 .37	.43 .50 .47	.33 .42 .38

^a U.S.D.A. Quality Grade: 10 = average good, 11 = high good, 12 = low choice, 13 = high choice, etc. ^b Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups. 100

Table 31.7Percentages of Bone, Fat Trim and Retail Product for Carcasses from the 1973 Calf Crop.

Breed of	Steer	-		Bone,	%			Fa	t Trin	1, %			Retail	Produ	ict, % ⁸	l.
Sire	Dam	220	248	282	338	Avg.b	220	248	282	338	Avg.b	220	248	282	338	Avg.b
Hereford	Hereford	12.7	12.1	11.8		12.0	18.9	22.7	22.4		22.6	68.4	65.1	65.8	1000	65.5
Angus	Angus	11.8	11.2	11.2		11.2	20.6	23.7	23.5		23.6	67.6	65 1	65 3	in the second	65 2
all a set of the	Average	12.3	11.7	11.5		11.6	19.8	23.2	23.0		23.1	68.0	65.1	65.5		65.3
Angus	Hereford	11.9	11.5	11.4		11.5	20.2	23.5	24.4		24.0	67.9	65.0	64.3		64.7
Hereford	Angus	12.5	12.0	11.0		11.5	20.0	21.9	25.1		23.5	67.6	66.1	63.9		65.0
	Average	12.2	11.8	11.2		11.5	20.1	22.7	24.8		23.8	67.7	65.6	64.1		64.9
Red Poll	Hereford	12.7	12.0	11.8		11.9	19.5	22.1	23.9		23.0	67.8	65.9	64.3		65.1
	Angus	12.2	12.6	11.8		12.2	19.2	20.2	23.9		22.1	68.6	67.2	64.2		65.7
	Average	12.5	12.3	11.8		12.1	19.3	21.1	23.9		22.5	68.2	66.6	64.3		65.4
Brown Swiss	Hereford	13.7	13.6	12.4	11.9	13.0	15.8	18.0	21.8	23.2	19.9	70.5	68.4	65.7	64.9	67.1
	Angus	13.2	12.6	11.7	11.5	12.2	18.0	20.6	22.2	25.1	21.4	68.8	66.8	66.1	63.4	66.5
	Average	13.5	13.1	12.0	11.7	12.6	16.9	19.3	22.0	24.1	20.7	69.7	67.6	65.9	64.1	66.8
Gelbvieh	Hereford		12.5	12.2	11.9	12.4		20.3	18.6	20.0	19.5		67.2	69.2	68.1	68.2
	Angus		11.9	11.8	11.3	11.9		19.3	22.3	23.5	20.8		68.8	65.8	65.1	67.3
	Average		12.2	12.0	11.6	12.1		19.8	20.5	21.8	20.2		68.0	67.5	66.6	67.8
Maine Anjou	Hereford		13.6	12.9	13.0	13.3		16.0	20.8	16.4	18.4		70.4	66.3	70.6	68.4
	Angus		12.3	12.0	11.6	12.2		20.1	19.5	24.1	19.8		67.5	68.6	64.3	68.1
	Average		13.0	12.4	12.3	12.7		18.1	20.1	20.3	19.1		69.0	67.4	67.4	68.2
Chianina	Hereford		14.2	14.1	12.6	14.2		16.5	15.6	19.5	16.1		69.2	70.3	68.0	69.8
	Angus		12.6	12.9	12.4	12.8		18.5	18.3	18.0	18.4		69.0	68.8	69.6	68.9
	Average		13.4	13.5	12.5	13.5		17.5	17.0	18.7	17.3		69.1	69.5	68.8	69.3
Average	Hereford	12.8	12.8	12.4	12.4	12.6	18.6	19.9	21.1	19.8	20.5	68.6	67.3	66.5	67.9	66.9
All Sire	Angus	12.4	12.2	11.8	11.7	12.0	19.4	20.6	22.1	22.7	21.4	68.1	67.2	66.1	65.6	66.7
Breeds	Average	12.6	12.5	12.1	12.0	12.3	19.0	20.2	21.6	21.2	20.9	68.4	67.3	66.3	66.7	66.8

a Retail Product, % = Actual yield of boneless, closely trimmed beef from the carcass. Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups.

101

Breed of	Steer			Bone	, %				I	at Tr	rim, 9	6			Reta	ail Pr	oduct	t, % ^a	
Sire	Dam	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.b	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.b	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.b
Hereford Angus	Hereford Angus Average	13.6 12.4 13.0	13.4 12.2 12.8	13.3 11.9 12.6	 	 	13.4 12.0 12.7	13.3 15.3 14.3	15.2 17.7 16.4	15.6 18.0 16.8		 	15.4 17.8 16.6	73.1 72.3 72.7	71.4 70.2 70.8	71.0 70.1 70.6	 	 	71.2 70.2 70.7
Angus Hereford	Hereford Angus Average	12.9 12.7 12.8	12.8 12.6 12.7	12.3 12.2 12.2	 		12.6 12.4 12.5	15.4 15.3 15.4	15.6 15.8 15.7	18.2 17.8 18.0			16.9 16.8 16.8	71.7 72.0 71.8	71.5 71.7 71.6	69.4 70.0 69.7	 	 	70.4 70.8 70.6
Red Poll	Hereford Angus Average	13.5 13.3 13.4	13.5 12.9 13.2	13.0 12.5 12.8			13.2 12.7 13.0	14.1 15:0 14.6	16.4 15.5 15.9	16.9 18.0 17.4		 	16.6 16.8 16.7	72.4 71.7 72.0	70.1 71.6 70.9	70.1 69.5 69.8	 	 	70.1 70.6 70.4
Brown Swiss	Hereford Angus Average	14.7 14.1 14.4	14.9 13.7 14.3	14.6 13.4 14.0	13.7 13.0 13.3	13.5 12.8 13.2	14.8 13.6 14.2	10.8 12.6 11.7	12.0 14.1 13.0	12.5 15.1 13.8	16.0 16.7 16.4	16.4 17.1 16.8	12.2 14.6 13.4	74.5 73.2 73.9	73.2 72.3 72.7	72.9 71.6 72.2	70.3 70.2 70.3	70.1 70.0 70.1	73.0 72.0 72.5
Gelbvieh	Hereford Angus Average	 	14.0 13.0 13.5	14.2 13.3 13.8	13.7 12.0 12.8	13.1 12.0 12.6	14.1 13.2 13.6	 	12.6 14.9 13.8	13.0 13.9 13.5	15.6 19.7 17.6	16.4 19.0 17.7	12.8 14.4 13.6	 	73.4 72.0 72.7	72.8 72.7 72.8	70.7 68.4 69.5	70.5 69.0 69.8	73.1 72.4 72.8
Maine Anjou	Hereford Angus Average	 	14.5 13.5 14.0	14.4 13.3 13.9	14.0 12.9 13.5	13.2 12.9 13.1	14.4 13.4 14.0	 	13.1 15.0 14.0	13.2 16.0 14.6	14.2 18.0 16.1	15.9 16.7 16.3	13.2 15.5 14.3		72.5 71.5 72.0	72.5 70.6 71.6	71.7 69.1 70.4	70.9 70.3 70.6	72.5 71.0 71.8
Chianina	Hereford Angus Average	 	15.0 14.3 14.7	15.5 14.4 15.0	15.2 13.7 14.4	14.3 13.5 13.9	15.2 14.4 14.8	 	10.4 12.5 11.5	9.7 11.1 10.4	11.2 14.3 12.8	13.6 14.8 14.2	10.0 11.8 11.0	 	74.6 73.2 73.9	74.8 74.5 74.6	73.6 72.0 72.8	72.1 71.7 71.9	74.7 73.8 74.2
Average All Sire Breeds	Hereford Angus Average	13.7 13.1 13.4	14.0 13.2 13.6	13.9 13.0 13.5	14.1 12.9 13.5	13.5 12.8 13.2	14.0 13.1 13.6	13.4 14.6 14.0	13.6 15.1 14.3	14.2 15.7 14.9	14.3 17.2 15.7	15.6 16.9 16.2	13.9 15.4 14.6	72.9 72.3 72.6	72.4 71.8 72.1	71.9 71.3 71.6	71.6 69.9 70.8	70.9 70.3 70.6	72.2 71.6 71.9

^a Retail Product, % = Actual yield of boneless, closely trimmed beef from the carcass. ^b Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups (282 and 318 days).

Breed of	f Steer		Actual	Cutab	ility,	% ^a	Warn	er-Br	atzle	r Shea	ar, 16. ^b	Taste	Pane		cental	
Sire	Dam	220	248	282	338	Avg.d	220	248	282	338	Ava d	220	248	282	220	Aug d
Hereford Angus	Hereford Angus Average	55.5 53.6 54.5	52.5 51.4 51.9	52.3 51.6 51.9	····	52.4 51.5 51.9	7.6 7.0 7.3	7.0 6.9 7.0	6.9 6.1 6.5		7.0 6.5 6.8	6.8 6.9 6.9	8.0 7.9 7.9	7.6 7.9 7.7	 	7.8 7.9 7.8
Angus Hereford	Hereford Angus Average	54.3 54.4 54.3	52.0 53.1 52.5	51.0 50.4 50.7		51.5 51.8 51.6	6.5 6.6 6.5	7.0 7.9 7.5	6.5 7.1 6.8	 	6.8 7.5 7.2	7.5 7.4 7.5	7.9 7.2 7.5	7.6 7.8 7.7	 	7.8 7.5 7.6
Red Poll	Hereford Angus Average	54.5 54.8 54.6	53.3 54.2 53.7	51.3 51.2 51.3	····	52.3 52.7 52.5	6.9 7.3 7.1	7.4 7.7 7.6	6.8 7.2 7.0	 	7.1 7.5 7.3	7.8 6.7 7.3	7.6 7.3 7.4	7.6 7.6 7.6	 	7.6 7.5 7.5
Brown Swiss	Hereford Angus Average	57.0 55.8 56.4	55.6 53.9 54.8	52.7 52.9 52.8	52.3 50.6 51.5	54.2 53.4 53.8	7.8 6.5 7.1	8.4 6.8 7.6	7.4 7.6 7.5	6.1 6.9 6.5	7.9 7.2 7.6	7.3 7.4 7.4	7.3 7.8 7.6	7.6 7.6 7.6	7.7 7.8 7.7	7.5 7.7 7.6
Gelbvieh ~	Hereford Angus Average	 	54.1 54.7 54.4	55.5 52.6 54.1	54.9 52.1 53.5	54.8 53.7 54.3	···· ···	8.0 7.3 7.7	6.6 7.4 7.0	6.3 6.2 6.3	7.3 7.4 7.4	· • • • • • • •	7.2 7.4 7.3	7.2 7.6 7.4	7.7 7.5 7.6	7.2 7.5 7.4
Maine Anjou	Hereford Angus Average	 	57.0 54.3 55.7	53.5 55.2 54.3	56.9 51.2 54.1	55.3 54.8 55.0	 	6.7 6.8 6.8	6.5 6.9 6.7	6.8 6.9 6.9	6.6 6.9 6.8	 	7.4 7.5 7.5	7.6 7.5 7.5	7.7 7.7 7.7	7.5 7.5 7.5
Chianina	Hereford Angus Average	 	56.8 55.8 56.3	57.1 55.7 56.4	55.3 56.4 55.9	57.0 55.8 56.4		8.3 7.3 7.8	7.5 6.6 7.1	6.1 6.5 6.3	7.9 7.0 7.5	••••	7.3 7.7 7.5	7.2 7.5 7.3	7.5 7.7 7.6	7.3 7.6 7.4
Average All Sire Breeds	Hereford Angus Average	55.3 54.7 55.0	54.5 53.9 54.2	53.3 52.8 53.1	54.9 52.6 53.7	53.9 53.4 53.7	7.2 6.8 7.0	7.6 7.2 7.4	6.9 7.0 6.9	6.3 6.6 6.5	7.2 7.1 7.2	7.3 7.1 7.2	7.5 7.5 7.5	7.5 7.6 7.6	7.6 7.7 7.7	7.5 7.6 7.5

Table 31.9 Percentages of Cutability, Warner-Bratzler Shear Values and Taste Panel Evaluations for Carcasses from the

Actual Cutability, % = Actual yield of boneless, closely trimmed beef from the round, loin, rib and chuck. b A measure of the pounds of force required to shear one-half inch cores of steaks cooked at 350°F to 150°F internal temperature and cooled for 30 minutes at room temperature. Warner-Bratzler shear was obtained from all 311 steers. С

Taste panel scores are based on a 9-point hedonic scale, with higher scores indicating greater acceptability. d Taste panel traits were measured on steaks from 4 steers per sire-dam breed group per slaughter date.

Breed of	Steer	A	ctual	Cutabi	lity,	%a	d	War	ner-B	ratzl	er Sh	ear,	<u>16.</u> d	Tas	te Pa	nel A	ccept	abili	ty ^C d
Sire	Dam	254	282	318	352	387	Avg."	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.	254	282	318	352	387	Avg.
Hereford Angus	Hereford Angus Average	58.3 57.4 57.8	57.1 55.5 56.3	56.6 55.1 55.8	····	····	56.8 55.3 56.0	7.2 6.4 6.8	9.0 7.6 8.3	8.7 7.2 8.0		 	8.8 7.4 8.1	6.7 7.3 7.0	6.3 7.4 6.8	6.4 6.9 6.6	 	 	6.4 7.1 6.7
Angus Hereford	Hereford Angus Average	57.3 57.8 57.6	57.1 57.6 57.4	54.7 55.2 55.0	· · · · ·	 	55.9 56.4 56.2	7.0 7.6 7.3	7.8 8.1 8.0	7.7 8.3 8.0	 	 	7.8 8.2 8.0	6.9 7.2 7.1	6.5 7.0 6.8	7.1 6.8 7.0	···· ···	 	6.8 6.9 6.9
Red Poll	Hereford Angus Average	58.0 57.1 57.6	56.1 57.4 56.8	56.0 55.2 55.6	· · · · · ·	·····	56.0 56.3 56.2	10.1 7.0 8.6	7.2 8.2 7.7	7.8 8.3 8.1	••••	 	7.5 8.2 7.9	6.8 7.4 7.1	7.5 7.3 7.4	6.8 6.7 6.8	 		7.2 7.0 7.1
Brown Swiss	H ere ford Angus Average	60.1 58.9 59.5	59.2 58.3 58.7	58.7 57.2 57.9	56.8 56.7 56.8	56.2 56.2 56.2	58.9 57.8 58.3	9.7 7.6 8.6	8.9 9.5 9.2	7.8 8.3 8.0	8.6 9.3 9.0	7.3 7.9 7.6	8.4 8.9 8.6	6.8 6.2 6.5	6.8 6.7 6.8	6.7 7.4 7.0	6.6 6.4 6.5	7.3 7.4 7.3	6.8 7.0 6.9
Gelbvieh	Hereford Angus Average	 	59.2 57.8 58.5	58.8 58.4 58.6	57.2 54.2 55.7	57.0 54.4 55.7	59.0 58.1 58.6	 	9.3 8.8 9.0	8.8 8.9 8.8	8.2 7.7 7.9	6.3 8.0 7.2	9.0 8.8 8.9	 	7.2 6.7 7.0	6.6 6.6 6.6	6.8 7.2 7.0	7.0 6.8 6.9	6.9 6.6 6.8
Maine Anjou	Hereford Angus Average	 	58.7 57.5 58.1	58.1 55.4 56.8	58.2 55.1 56.6	57.3 56.2 56.7	58.4 56.4 57.4	 	8.4 8.5 8.5	8.4 8.8 8.6	8.7 8.6 8.6	7.4 7.0 7.2	8.4 8.6 8.5	 	6.8 6.5 6.7	7.1 6.5 6.8	6.4 6.7 6.5	7.1 7.4 7.2	7.0 6.5 6.8
Chianina	Hereford Angus Average	····	61.3 59.7 60.5	61.0 60.3 60.6	60.2 58.0 59.1	58.3 57.9 58.1	61.2 60.0 60.6	 	7.7 9.0 8.4	9.3 8.9 9.1	8.8 8.4 8.6	7.8 7.9 7.9	8.5 9.0 8.8	•••• •••• ••••	7.3 6.3 6.8	7.3 6.7 7.0	5.8 6.9 6.3	7.5 6.8 7.1	7.3 6.5 6.9
Average All Sire Breeds	Hereford Angus Average	58.4 57.8 58.1	58.4 57.7 58.0	57.7 56.7 57.2	58.1 56.0 57.0	57.2 56.2 56.7	58.0 57.2 57.6	8.5 7.1 7.8	8.3 8.5 8.4	8.3 8.4 8.4	8.6 8.5 8.5	7.2 7.7 7.5	8.3 8.4 8.4	6.8 7.0 6.9	6.9 6.8 6.9	6.9 6.8 6.8	6.4 6.8 6.6	7.2 7.1 7.1	6.9 6.8 6.8

Table 31.10Percentages of Cutability, Warner-Bratzler Shear Values and Taste Panel Evaluations for Carcasses from the 1974 Calf Crop.

^a Actual Cutability, % = Actual yield of boneless, closely trimmed beef from the round, loin, rib and chuck.
 ^b A measure of the pounds of force required to shear one-half inch cores of steaks cooked at 350°F to 150°F internal temperature and cooled for 30 minutes at room temperature. Warner-Bratzler shear was obtained from all 476 steers.

^c Taste panel scores are based on a 9-point hedonic scale, with higher scores indicating greater acceptability. Taste panel traits were measured on steaks from 4 steers per sire-dam breed group per slaughter date.

d Average calculated only for dates common to all breed groups (282 and 318 days).

104