Pas	Pasture number	1	G1	ęę.	4, 5, 6	6	1.0	11
Мап	Management	Moderately	Ottor. storked	Under-	Deferred and late- spring berned	Early- spring burned	Mid- spring berned	Late- spring burned
Nun	Number of steers per pasture	18	52	13	17	1.3	13	60
Acr	Acres in each pasture	99	0.0	69	3-60	++	÷+	7
S Acres	es per steer	70 70	2.4	er er	60	3.1	5.5	5.3
	Initial wt. per steer	556	17.10	573	568	10 t- 10	58.5	57.5
Gain	per steer	214	196	196	209	225	231	218
Dai	Daily gain per steer	1.18	1.35	1.35	1.44	1.55	1.59	1.50
Gain	n per acre	8.4.8	81.7	42.6	63.3	66,2	67.9	64.1

6 was 13 pasture

.02 Crom

Different Methods of Managing Bluestem Pasture, 1964 (Projects 253-3-5).

E. F. Smith, K. L. Anderson, F. W. Boren, and C. V. DeGeer

This experiment was to determine the effect of different stocking rates, of deferred grazing, and of pasture burning on cattle performance, productivity of pastures, and range condition as determined by plant population changes. In addition to the yearly report, a summary of cattle gains for the past 15 years is included.

Experimental Procedure

Yearling Hereford steers with an average U.S.D.A. feeder grade of choice were used in 1964. They were purchased as calves the previous fall near Sterling, Kansas, and received silage, prairie hay, and about 4 pounds per head daily of a mixture of grain, dehydrated alfalfa and bran in dry lot during winter before the test started. They were assigned to pastures on a random-weight basis.

The experimental treatment for each pasture was:

Pasture 1-Moderate stocking rate, 3.3 acres per steer.

Pasture 2-Overstocked, 2.4 acres per steer.

Pasture 3-Understocked, 4.8 acres per steer.

Pastures 4, 5, 6-Deferred grazing and burning, moderate stocking rate, 3.3 acres per steer. The steers were grazed on pastures 4 and 5 from May 5 to July 1. They were then moved to pasture 6 where they remained until September 17, when they were grazed in all three pastures until September 27, close of the trial. Deferred pasture 6 was burned April 30.

Pasture 9-Burned March 31, 1964, moderate rate of stocking.

Pasture 10-Burned April 8, 1964, moderate rate of stocking.

Pasture 11-Burned April 30, 1964, moderate rate of stocking,

The steers were gathered in the afternoon, held over night without feed or water and weighed the following morning about 8:00. Starting and final weights were obtained after putting all steers together and weighing them in random order.

Observations

Results are reported in Tables 20, 21, 22, and 23. Gain per steer under the various treatments ranged from 231 to 196 pounds per steer. Midand late-spring burning produced the highest gain, over- and understocking produced the least gain. It was dry and a 10-15 mile-per-hour wind

Table 21

Grass decreasers and grass increasers shown as percent of total vegetation and an estimated range condition percentage based on percent of the vegetation that is "original."

				Av. of				
Pasture number	1	2	3	4 & 5	61	91	10"	11
tange site Ordinary upland	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Grass decreasers	3.8	2.5	31	39	5.2	4.0	6.3	6.7
Grass increasers	3.8	4.5	1.6	4.5	30	32	17	15
Range condition	73	3.7	4.7	51	68	52	7.8	8.2
Limestone breaks								
Grass decreasers	4.9	3.4	54	57	68	54	6.2	72
Grass increasers	3.0	4.3	29	27	24	23	24	19
Range condition	7.2	61	7.7	7.8	90	80	84	92

1. Rurned late spring, 1964, before determent.

2 Burned annually early, mid, and late spring, respectively.

Table 22

Tearly account of summer gains (pounds per steer) under different methods of grazing pastures; 15-year summary, 1950-1964, the summer season of approximately 150 days.

Pasture no.	Г	01	90	4, 5, 6	ø:	1.0	11
Management	Moderately stocked	Ovar- started	Under- stocked	Deferred	Early- spring burned	Mid- speling burned	Late- spring burned
1950	153	210	7 7 7	205	216	+ 15 01	230
1961	242	256	290	234	50 	265	254
1952	246	209	00 04 05	197	192	27.2	28.93
1953	9 67	194	233	197	203	£ 01	2 44
1954	261	237	236	214	270	271	206
1955	27.0	224	80 80 91	64 12	53 53 53	202	307
1956	179	181	1.68	70	69 C0	10.01	216
1957	243	236	244	209	261	13 56	2 14
1958	208	202	202	19.8	01 01 61	270	253
1959	01 10 0)	241	262	203	254	64 12 12	295
1960	267	21.5	203	235	666	289	314
1961	6.5 FE	217	22 22	187	15 + 00	64 64 65	1- 00 01
1962	57 57 57 57 57 57	177	213	129	201	205	21.2
1963	202	180	195	170	187	200	67 60 60
	214	196	196	208	2.25	231	218
Average	61	214	51 53 50	200	53 S	91 60 91	255

(26)

Table 23 Per acre production and disappearance of forage, weeds, and mulch, Donaldson pasture, near Manhattan, Kansas, 1964,

Integer site		-	91	20	Av. of 4 & 5	- Of 6	g.	-0-	11
		36s./aere	lbs./ame	lle, /aerv	llis, /acro	lbs./acre	Bs./acre	Ibs./nere	lls./acre
AL.			Prod	Production					
Ordinary	Porage	1925	2412	3663	2974	3093	1681	2341	2892
upland)	Weeds	308	456	535	311	181	197	61 9 61 61	202
	Malch	1207	386	1985	976	229	i	ï	1
LB	21	9 0 6 7	118	72 12 12 12	9703	9934	1460	93.46	10 20 21
breaker	For any Weeds	000	0 00 00	0 00 0 00	12.1	177	00 00	156	01 01
		1401	262	2493	1029	1 6 6	1	I	I
		Disappea	rance (ind	Disappearance (index of amount grazed	nt grazed				
on	Forage	773	1953	848	111	2081	729	029	1128
	- 12	141	106	Est	6-61	132	106	174	130
	Mulch	1	1	156	1	9.0			
LB	Forage	86	856	621	195	1167	\$100 E-	1961	386
		11.7	311	123	1	1	181	84	1
	Mulch	1	1	60	119	108	15.00	2000	
	Remai	nder (an	Remainder (amount left	ungrazed at end of	to pue to	season)			
or	Forage	1152	419	2815	2263	1012	5100	1671	1764
	Weeds	167	350	264	214	50	161	888	15.
	Mulch	1207	366	1829	976	134		i	
LB	Forage	1306	309	1925	1908	1077	10.7	1285	0.261
	Weeds	271	25 25 8 25 8	- 1- 00 1-	121	10	150	91 	74
	Att act and	1.0.1	0.70	44.44	41.0	1.5.5			

No apparent disappearance..... No match in burned pastures

^{1.} The deferred pasture of these three pustures was burned in late spring in 1963 and 1964.

was blowing when the early-spring-burned pasture was burned; there was very little grass to burn and only about half the pusture burned. Very little of the late-burned pasture actually burned due to lack of old grass and much new growth. The deferred pasture, 6, was burned in late spring and more of it burned than any of the other burning treatments but parts of it failed to burn.

Despite greater precipitation in 1964, yields of herbage were not significantly greater than in the dry season of 1963. The growing scason of 1963 had started with ample reserve of soil moisture, so herbage growth continued well into the summer. Amounts of moisture at the beginning of the 1964 season were low in the upper 6 feet of soil, and the reserve was not replenished during the year.

Amounts of mulch remaining at the close of the 1964 growing season were generally somewhat smaller than a year earlier, reflecting the re-

duced production of dry 1963.

Range condition estimates in 1964 revealed little change from 1963. Light stocking, deferred grazing, and mid- to late-spring burning have resulted in increased grass production, however.

Supplementing Prairie Hay Rations with Urea and Trace Minerals, 1964-65 (Project 253-4-6).

E. F. Smith, F. W. Boren, D. Richardson, and D. W. Loeppke

The trace minerals, cobalt, iodine, copper, and zinc, were added to a prairie hay-limited sorghum grain ration in an effort to improve utilization of prairie hay. Since increased quantities of urea are being successfully used in high-energy rations, its value as a protein extender in a

primarily prairie hay ration was tested.

Prairie hay and rolled sorghum grain were the base feeds in all rations. In two of the lots, 18 and 19, those two feeds supplied the only source of protein. Lot 19 was fed a trace mineral supplement described in Footnote 1, Table 24, Lots 20 and 21 received enough urea to build their protein equivalent intake to 1.50 pounds per animal daily; one of those lots, 21, received the trace mineral supplement. Lots 22 and 23 received soybean oil meal to increase protein intake to 1.50 pounds per steer daily; Lot 23 received the trace mineral supplement.

The 60 steer calves, 6 lots of 10 steers each, used in the trial were

choice-grade feeder calves purchased near Alden, Kansas,

As much prairie hay was offered the calves as they would clean up without wasting it. The grain was fed once daily: mixed with it each day was 1 pound of finely ground sorghum grain carrier per steer to which the additives listed in Footnote 1. Table 24, were added as well as the urea and trace minerals for the indicated lots. Soybean meal fed to Lots

22 and 23 was fed once daily and mixed with the grain.

The urea supplement was unpalatable. It took from one feeding to another, 24 hours, for it to be eaten. After the first two weeks, 5% molasses was added but it seemed to have little effect. All the steers had been receiving some sorghum grain prior to the start of the test. The first one or two times urea was fed mixed with the sorghum grain, the animals ate it readily but then started to leave feed so that four hours after a feeding half the feed would be left. The cattle were not accustomed to soybean oil meal; lots receiving it did not clean up their feed the first one or two feedings but they quickly found it quite palatable.

Urea as well as soybean oil meal increased hay intake and rate of gain and reduced the amount of feed required to produce a pound of gain compared with the prairie hay-sorghum grain diet.

Performance was best in lots where soybean oil meal was fed.

This trial shows that urea is utilized in a prairie hay and limited sorghum grain diet but less efficiently than soybean oil meal,

The added coball, iodine, copper, and zinc seemed to have little measurable effect on the steers.

	1965 — 98 days.
	E
	March
	٥,
	1964
	December 23,
Table 24	nee minerals.
	nd tr
	rea a
	vith n
	iny rations v
	prairie 1
	Supplementing

	Prairie lus,	hay,	Usen, peable ling,	ark hay.	Soybean oil menl, peairie hay,	fl men), hay,
Experimental treatment	Ne trace True minerals miner added achle	trace minerals added	A suggest Ne trace milectals added	Trace Mitternis added	No trace True numerals miners added notices	Tone Minerals midded
Lot no	18	19	0.2	17	24 24	00 74
Initial wt. per steet, lbs	438	450	1.28	1.14	1.66	436 1.67
Daily ration per steer, 15s.: Urea	8	1	0.15	0.15	100	:
Sorbean off meal	1	1	****		1.0	1.0
Sorghum grain, rolled	6.0	0.0	4.85	4.85	4.0	4.0
Prairie hay	8.0	8.8	10.1	10.1	10.2	10.2
Trace minerals (cobalt, fodine, copper, and zinc)!		Yes		Yes		Yes
Monosodium phosphate, stilbestrol, aureomycin, vitamin A and molasses?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Уея
Feed per lb. of gain, lbs.: Contentrates	9.9	1.6	6.6	7	3.0	3.0
Prairie hay	10.5	10.1	e-	8.9	69.59	6.1
Total	17.1	15.8	11.7	13.3	9.2	9.1