LOSSES FROM TOP SPOILAGE IN HORIZONTAL SILOS¹

D. L. Holthaus², M. A. Young, B. E. Brent L. Pfaff, and K. K. Bolsen

Summary

The top 3 ft of silage from 127 horizontal silos was sampled at three locations across the width of the silo during a 4-year period (1990 through 1993). Ninety-six p **c**cent of the silages were either corn or forage sorgh **un**, and only 18 percent of the silos were sealed with polyethylene sheeting. Losses of organic matter (OM) from spoilage were estimated by using ash content as an internal marker. Sealing silos dramatically reduced the estimated spoilage losses in the top 3 ft.

All silages had greater estimated spoilage losses in the top 18 inches in 1991 and 1993 than 1990 and 1992. Sealing reduced spoilage losses of OM in the to p18 inches by 16, 37, 19, and 36 percentage units in 1990 through 1993, respectively, and in the second 18 inches by 4, 13, 3, and 7 percentage units.

Dry matter (DM) contents were lower for forage sorghum silages in he top 18 inches than for corn silages in the first 3 years, and i nall 4 years, DM contents for sealed silages were lower than those for unsealed silages. Silage had higher pH values in the top 1 8 inches than in the second 18 inches.

(Key Words: Survey, Top Spoilage, Silage, Bunker, Trench.)

Introduction

Kansas produces about 3.0 million tons of silage annually from c on and sorghum. During the past three decades, large horizontal silos (i.e., bunkers, trenches, and stacks) have become the most common means of storage. However, because o flarge surface areas, a high percentage of the silage is exposed to weathering. The conventional method of protecting these silages has been polyethylene sheeting weighted with tres. However, efficacy depends on sealing techniques and the physical properties of the sheeting, and labor is extensive.

Because only limited information is available regarding the DM or OM losses in horizontal silos under field conditions, our objectives were to estimate the amount of those losses from the top layer in farm-scale, horizontal silos and to compare losses in unsealed and sealed, corn and forage sorghum silages. Preliminary results from 1990 and 1991 were presented in KAES Reports of Progress 623 and 651.

Experimental Procedures

In January of 1990, March of 1991, November of 1992, an dMarch of 1993, the top 3 ft of silage from 127 horiz ontal silos (bunkers, trenches and stacks) in the Colby, Dodge City, Leoti, Scott City, and Manhattan areas of Kansas was sampled at three locations across the width of the silos. Sampling depths were: 0 to 18 inches from the surfac e(depth 1) and 18

¹Financial assistance was provided by Kemin Industries, Inc., Des Moines, Iowa and Mr. Richard Porter, Porter Farms, Reading, Kansas.

²Former graduate student. Current address: Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas.

to 36 inch es from the surface (depth 2). Reference samples were taken at least 6 or 7 ft from the top at the feedout surface (depth 3 or face). All samples were taken with a coring device, then frozen and transported to Manhattan for analyses. Sealed silos were covered with a single sheet of .4 or .6 mm, black polyethylene, held in place with either tires or soil.

Additional DM and OM losses (losses in addition to the losses from well-preserved silage) were estimated by comparing ash in the samples to that fr on a well-preserved reference sample. The relationship between ash changes and DM or OM changes was described in KAES Reports of Progress 623 and 651.

Results and Discussion

The effects of crop and scaling treatment on ash contents and estimated additional spoilage losses of OM at the top t wo depths in horizontal silos are shown in Ta lies 1 and 2. In the top 18 inches (depth 1), additional OM loss ranged from 7 to 61%, and as expected, losses were higher in silages that were left unsealed. Applying a seal reduced OM loss in the top 18 inch & by a range of 16 to 37 percentage units. Similarly, sealing reduced additional spoilage losses in the second 18 inches by 3 to 13 percentage units.

The effects of crop and scaling treatment on silage DM and pH at the three sampling depths are shown in T ables 3 and 4. The DM contents were lower for forage sorghum silages in the top 18 inches than for corn silages in the first 3 years, and DM contents for sealed silages were lower than those for unsealed silages in all 4 years. The high silage pH values near the exposed surface of the unsealed silages. In the second 18 inches and at the face, sealing treatment did not appear to affect either DM content or pH. The relatively low pH values at these depths ranged from 3.78 to 4.04 for the 4 years, indicating satisfactory preservation.

Several of the sealed silages had OM losses and pH values in the top depth that were higher than expected, suggesting that some sealing methods were not effective, or that sealing material had been damaged.

	Dept	h 1 ²	Dep	oth 2	Dep	<u>th 1</u>	Depth 2				
Crop and Treatment ¹	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991			
		% Ash	ı	_	Estimated OM loss 3						
All crops (30, 30) ⁴	13.6	15.5	8.1	8.7	39	51	6	13			
Corn (14, 11)	11.8	12.3	7.0	7.1	38	49	7	17			
Sorghum (13, 19)	13.6	17.4	8.9	9.6	38	52	3	12			
unsealed (25, 22)	14.1	17.3	8.1	8.8	43	61	6	17			
sealed (5, 8)	10.2	10.7	8.3	8.4	27	24	2	4			
unsealed (12, 8)	12.0	13.8	6.8	7.3	49	60	9	19			
sealed (2, 3)	11.2	8.3	8.2	6.8	31	22	1	5			
unsealed (10, 4)	14.5	19.2	9.0	9.7	42	61	3	16			
sealed $(3, 5)$	9.5	12.2	8.4	9.4	23	26	2	4			

Table 1.Effects of Crop and Sealing Treatment on Ash Contents and Estimated Additional
Spoilage Losses of OM at the Top Two Depths in Horizontal Silos in 1990 and 1991

¹Number of silos per crop or treatment in parentheses for 1990 and 1991, respectively.

²Depth 1 = 0 to 18 inches and depth 2 = 18 to 36 inches from the surface on the day of sampling.

³Expressed as percentage unit increase in spoilage loss of OM.

⁴Includes data from unsealed alfalfa, wheat, and oat silages in 1990.

	Dept	th 1 ²	Depth 2			Dep	th 1	Depth 2		
Crop and Treatment ¹	1992	1993	1992	1993		1992	1993	1992	1993	
		% Ash-				——Е	stimated	I OM ³ loss ·		
All crops (46, 21) ⁴	13.6	16.6	8.1	10.1		38	41	11	10	
Corn (25, 13)	14.4	17.7	7.9	9.7		40	46	11	11	
Sorghum (19, 8)	12.1	14.9	8.0	10.8		33	32	9	9	
Unsealed (37, 20)	16.9	17.0	7.7	10.1		41	43	12	10	
Sealed (9, 1)	11.0	11.0	7.6	8.9		23	7	9	3	
Unsealed (21, 13)	17.7	17.9	8.3	9.7		44	46	12	11	
Sealed (4, 0)	15.2		6.5			17		6		
Sorghum										
Unsealed (14, 7)	12.5	15.5	7.8	11.1		36	36	18	10	
Sealed (5, 1)	11.1	11.0	8.5	8.9		27	7	12	3	

Table 2. Effects of Crop and Sealing Treatment on the Ash Content and Estimated Additional Spoilage Loss of OM at the Top Two Depths in Horizontal Silos in 1992 and 1993

¹Number of silos per crop or treatment in parentheses for 1992 and 1993, respectively.

²Depth 1 = 0 to 18 inches and depth 2 = 18 to 36 inches from the surface on the day of sampling.

³Expressed as percentage unit increase in spoilage loss of OM.

⁴Includes data from two unsealed soybean silages in 1992.

Depths	in Horiz	zontal	Silos in	1990	and 19	91	1				1 8	
	Dept	<u>h 1²</u>	Depth 2		Depth 2 Face		Depth 1		Depth 2		Face	
Crop and Treatment	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991
			% [DM		-				–pH–		-
All crops (30, 30) ^{1,3}	39.8	42.1	36.4	37.4	33.9	35.4	6.58	7.01	4.04	3.78	3.78	3.83
Corn (14, 11)	43.1	43.2	37.9	37.9	36.4	38.9	6.27	5.91	4.12	3.71	3.71	3.76
Sorghum (13, 19)	34.5	41.4	33.9	37.1	31.0	33.3	6.92	7.69	3.94	3.75	3.75	3.81
Treatment												
unsealed (25, 22)	41.8	45.7	36.5	38.7	34.7	35.7	7.07	7.52	4.08	3.75	3.75	3.78
sealed (5, 8)	26.5	31.9	33.2	33.2	29.7	34.2	4.43	5.79	3.84	3.63	3.64	3.82
Corn												
unsealed (12, 8)	45.6	46.0	38.5	38.3	37.6	39.1	6.59	6.46	4.15	3.73	3.73	3.72
sealed (2, 3)	28.2	35.7	34.0	36.7	29.3	38.3	4.35	5.22	3.92	3.59	3.59	3.86
Sorghum												
unsealed (10, 14)	37.3	45.6	34.2	38.9	31.3	33.8	7.65	8.12	3.99	3.77	3.77	3.82

Table 3.	Effects of Crop and Sealing Treatment on Silage DM and pH at the Three Sampling
	Depths in Horizontal Silos in 1990 and 1991

¹Number of silos per crop or treatment in parentheses for 1990 and 1991, respectively.

²Depth 1 = 0 to 18 inches; depth 2 = 18 to 36 inches; and face = at least 6 to 7 ft from the surface on the day of sampling.

25.3 29.6 32.7 32.0 29.9 31.8 4.49 6.14 3.79 3.67 3.67 3.80

³Includes data from unsealed alfalfa, wheat, and oat silages in 1990.

sealed (3, 5)

	_De	pth 1	D	epth_2_		Face	Dept	h 1	Dep	th 2	Fa	ice
Crop and Treatment ¹	1992	2 1993	199	2 1993	3 199	2 1993	1992	1993	1992	1993	1992	1993
			— %	DM —					— pł	I —		
All crops $(46, 21)^3$	30.2	30.9	32.2	33.4	33.9	33.6	6.25	5.94	4.03	4.03	3.84	4.03
Corn (25, 13)	31.6	32.8	32.8	31.6	33.8	34.9	6.21	5.94	4.04	4.01	3.82	4.03
Sorghum (19, 8)	27.9	36.2	31.4	34.3	34.2	35.2	6.13	5.95	4.03	4.06	3.87	4.02
Treatment												
Unsealed (37, 20)	30.6	30.3	32.9	32.8	33.8	31.9	6.42	5.99	4.05	4.03	3.63	4.00
Sealed (9, 1)	28.5	28.6	33.8	35.4	34.2	34.3	5.59	5.05	3.96	3.93	3.87	4.49
Corn												
Unsealed (21, 13)	32.7	33.6	33.0	30.3	34.2	31.7	6.43	5.94	4.09	4.01	3.82	4.03
Sealed (4, 0)	25.6	-	31.9	-	31.5	-	5.06	-	3.79	-	3.83	-
Sorghum												
Unsealed (14, 7)	26.9	34.9	30.1	31.9	33.5	32.6	6.17	6.08	4.01	4.07	3.86	3.95
Sealed $(5, 1)$	30.8	28.6	35.3	35.4	36.3	34.3	6.01	5.05	4.10	3.93	3.91	4.49

Table 4.	Effects of Crop and Sealing Treatment on Silage DM and pH at the Three
	Sampling Depths in Horizontal Silos in 1992 and 1993

¹Number of silos per crop or treatment in parentheses for 1992 and 1993, respectively.

²Depth 1 = 0 to 18 inches; depth 2 = 18 to 36 inches; and face = at least 6 to 7 ft from the surface on the day of sampling.

³Includes data from two unsealed soybean silages in 1992.

Ash and Organic Matter Loss During spoilage of silage, microbes use up organic nutrients such as proteins and carbohydrates. However, the absolute amount of minerals (ash) remains the same. Assume 100 g of wellpreserved silage contains (dry matter basis) 5% ash and 95% organic matter. Assume that after spoilage, the silage contains 10% ash. Because the absolute amount of ash stays the same, the dry weight of the silage has been reduced to 50 g. The original silage sample contained 95 g organic matter (100-5). The spoiled sample contains only 45 g organic matter (50-5). Therefore, 45/95=47.4% of the organic matter remains and 52.6% has been lost to spoilage.