THE DETERMINATION OF PHOTON DETECTION EFFICIENCY PARAMETERS FOR LITHIUM-DRIFT GERMANIUM DETECTORS

by \

Gary Paul Agin

B. S., University of Kansas, 1963

A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Physics

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1967

Approved by:

indeville C.E.T Major Pro Professor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6

с.

Document INTRODUCTION														. 1
GAMMA RAY INT	ſER	AC.	r IO	NS	WII	ГН	MA	TTI	ER			•		. 3
DETERMINATION	N O	F D	ETI	ECT	ror	P.	ARA	M	CTE	RS	·	•		. 7
DETERMINATIO	N O	FR	EL	ATI	VE	INT	ren	ISIJ	TIES	5.				.40
APPENDIX				•		•					•	•	•	.51
ACKNOWLEDGM	ENT								•					.57
BIBLIOGRAPHY														. 58

ii

INTRODUCTION

The measurement of the relative intensities of the gamma rays emitted in the decay of a radioactive isotope is useful in determining certain properties of the nuclear energy states. When used with beta-gamma coincidence data, gamma-gamma coincidence data, and measured internal conversion coefficients the spins and parities of the nuclear states may be determined.

The first step in determining the relative intensities of the gamma rays is the detection of the radiation and the accumulation of differential pulse height spectra. In the present work two lithium drifted germanium PIN diodes, Ge(Li) detectors, were used. The theory of operation of these detectors has been explained previously (6,7,12). The pulse height spectra were accumulated in commercial multichannel analyzers using analog to digital conversion and random access digital memories (12).

Several problems prevent one from determining relative intensities by simple observation of the spectrum in the analyzer. Since one is interested in the intensities of the gamma rays emitted from a radioactive source, the effects of any intervening absorbers must be taken into account. The probability of a gamma ray interacting with the detector is not constant with energy. Thus this variation in detector efficiency introduces another correction. Finally, the probability of a gamma ray interacting by any one mode of interaction over another is not constant and another correction results. All of the above probabilities (cross-sections) are tabulated (13) and their effects may be calculated from theory. However, no table of probabilities is available for the chance of a gamma ray interacting two or more times with the detector and eventually depositing all of its energy in the detector. This effect depends upon detector size and geometry. Thus at least one experimental measurement is necessary to determine the response of the detector.

The measurement of the full energy peak to total ratio, and the calculation of the detection efficiency are described. Using these parameters, the relative intensities of gamma rays in the radioactive decay of Mo^{99} and Br^{82} are found.

GAMMA RAY INTERACTIONS WITH MATTER

There are a number of processes by which gamma rays interact with matter. An understanding of these is necessary to interpret the response of the detector. Since it is necessary that the gamma rays deposit energy in the Ge(Li) crystal for their presence to be detected, the interaction processes which remove energy from the gamma rays are the ones of interest. These are the Compton effect, the photoelectric effect, and pair production. Each of these are discussed and the other processes are mentioned.

Compton Effect

In the Compton effect incident gamma rays undergo elastic collisions with free electrons. An electron in the Ge crystal may be considered free if the gamma ray energy is greater than the binding energy of Ge, 11.103 keV. The application of the laws of conservation of energy and momentum to this process leads to the expression

$$E'_{x} = \frac{E_{x}}{1 + \frac{E_{x}}{m_{o}c^{2}}(1 - \cos \theta)}$$

where E_x is the energy of the incident gamma ray, E_Y is the energy of the recoil gamma ray, m_0 is the rest mass of the electron, c is the speed of light, and Θ is the angle at which the recoil gamma ray is scattered (5). The kinetic energy of the recoil electron may easily be found to be

$$E_e = \frac{E_r}{1 + m_o c^2 / E_s (1 - \cos \theta)}$$

From these relations one can see that the recoil electron energy spectrum will extend from zero energy, for $\Theta = 0^{\circ}$, to a maximum energy, for $\Theta = 180^{\circ}$, which is given by

$$E_{e_{max}} = E_{c} = \frac{E_{x}}{1 + \frac{m_{o}c^{2}}{2E_{x}}}$$

where E_c is called the Compton edge energy. The Compton interaction is the dominant mode by which gamma rays interact with Ge in the energy interval from 200 keV to 8 MeV (6).

Photoelectric Effect

In the photoelectric effect all of the energy of the incident gamma ray is absorbed by a bound electron of a Ge atom, appearing as kinetic energy of this electron as it is ejected from the atom. The kinetic energy of this ejected electron will be equal to the difference between the incident gamma ray energy and the binding energy of the atomic shell from which the electron was ejected. The remainder of the energy appears as characteristic X-rays and Auger electrons emitted by the filling of the vacancy in the atomic shell (5). The photoelectric effect is the primary mode of interaction in Ge for gamma rays of energies below 200 keV.

Usually all of the X-rays and electrons are detected by the Ge(Li) detector and the spectrum due to the photoelectric effect appears as a single peak. Sometimes, however, the X-rays may escape, yielding a secondary peak at an energy of $E_g - E_x$ where E_g is the energy of the incident gamma ray and E_x is the X-ray energy.

Pair Production

At gamma ray energies above 1.02 MeV, the process of pair production becomes increasingly important. In this interaction the gamma ray is completely absorbed and in its place appears a positron-electron pair whose total energy, including rest mass energy, is equal to the gamma ray energy. This process occurs only in the field of charged particles since they are necessary for the conservation of momentum (5).

This effect is most easily explained in terms of the Dirac electron theory, which considers positrons as holes in an otherwise filled sea of negative energy states of electrons. When the incident gamma ray interacts, it is thought to raise one of the electrons with negative energy to a state of positive energy, requiring a gamma ray energy of $2m_0c^2$. Thus both a positron and an electron are created at the same time with the excess gamma ray energy going into kinetic energy of the pair (5).

Other Effects

There are six other processes by which gamma rays may interact with matter, all of which are negligible. Each is discussed briefly (5).

In Rayleigh scattering the incident gamma ray is scattered elastically by the atomic electrons. This effect is neglected here because it does not deposit energy in the detector.

In Thomson scattering the incident gamma ray is scattered elastically by the nucleus. The effect is small due to the large mass of the nucleus

and again is neglected since it does not deposit energy in the detector.

Delbruck scattering has been proposed but not observed experimentally. In this process the incident gamma rays scatter elastically with the electric field surrounding the nucleus.

In nuclear resonance scattering the gamma rays scatter inelastically with the nucleus exciting a nuclear level with reemission of the energy. This effect is not normally observed.

The incident gamma ray may be completely absorbed by the nucleons followed by the disintegration of the nucleus. This occurs only when the gamma ray energy is greater than the separation energy for a nucleon and is confined to a region above 8 MeV. The probability of this occuring is negligible when compared to the Compton effect and pair production.

Finally, the gamma ray may produce mesons. This effect occurs only for a gamma ray energy greater than 150 MeV and its probability is negligible.

DETERMINATION OF DETECTOR PARAMETERS

The experimental measurement of the full energy peak to total ratio and the calculation of the detector efficiency were necessary for the determination of relative gamma ray intensities. Two Ge(Li) detectors were used. One, manufactured by RCA Victor of Canada, Ltd., had a sensitive thickness of 2 mm and was 18.5 mm in diameter. The other, manufactured by Solid State Radiations, Inc. (SSR), had a sensitive thickness of 4.2 mm and an area of 100 mm².

Full Energy Peak to Total Ratio

The full energy peak to total ratio was determined using the detectorsource geometry used by the Nuclear Physics group at Kansas State University to study radioactive isotopes. The Ge(Li) detector was mounted in an aluminum vacuum chamber on a metal finger in contact with liquid nitrogen. The vacuum chamber was necessary to prevent water vapor in the atmosphere from condensing on the surface of the detector. On one side of the vacuum chamber, facing the front of the detector, was a 1/4-inch thick clear "Lucite" window. This window allowed one to position the source on the centerline of the detector visually. It was of a lower Z than the aluminum sides of the vacuum chamber and thus transmitted low energy gamma rays more readily. The source of radiation was placed directly upon the "Lucite" window in order to obtain the largest possible solid angle and thus the highest counting efficiency. Plate I shows the geometry used and a block diagram of the

EXPLANATION OF PLATE I

A schematic drawing of the physical geometry used to accumulate data and a block diagram of the electronics.

ANALYZER BIAS VOLTAGE Ā AMPLIFIER LINEAR PLATE AMPLIFIER PRE-ALUMINUM VACUUM CHAMBER LIQUID NITROGEN DEWAR mmm. ~ DETECTOR 111111111 METAL FINGER "LUCITE" WINDOW SOURCE *

electronics.

The signals from the Ge(Li) detector were first amplified in a charge sensitive preamplifier. Tennelec Model 100C, with a charge sensitivity of 0.21 pv per ion pair and an integral nonlinearity of 0.3% of maximum output as given in the manufacturer specifications. From the preamplifier, the signal went through a linear amplifier, Tennelec Model TC 200. This amplifier had RC pulse shaping and a total amplifier gain of 4 to 2048, adjustable, with an integral nonlinearity of less than 0.05% of rated output as given by the manufacturer specifications.

Two analyzers were used in the accumulation of the data. A Technical Measurement Corporation Model 404-6 400-channel Pulse Height Analyzer was used in the determination of the ratio for the RCA Ge(Li) detector. The data were recorded on punched paper tape. A Technical Measurement Corporation 4096-channel Multiparameter Pulse Analyzer System with Model 213 Pulse Height Logic Units was used in the determination of the ratio for the SSR Ge(Li) detector. This unit had an integral linearity of $\pm 0.5\%$ of full scale. The 4096 system was used in the singles mode with an analog to digital converter range of 512 channels. The data were recorded on magnetic tape.

The spectra shown in Plates I-V were accumulated with the RCA Ge(Li) detector. Those shown in Plates VI-X were accumulated with the SSR Ge(Li) detector.

Internal energy calibration points were used to determine the position of energy zero. It was found that bremsstrahlung radiation from the

EXPLANATION OF PLATE II

 Cr^{51} gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed line is the theoretical Compton distribution used in the calculation. The peak to total ratio for the 321 keV gamma ray is 0,113.



COUNTS PER CHANNEL (X 10-4)

EXPLANATION OF PLATE III

Na²² gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed lines are the theoretical Compton distributions used in the calculations. The peak to total ratio for the 511 keV gamma ray is 0.065. The peak to total ratio for the 1274 keV gamma ray is 0.019.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE IV

Cs¹³⁷ gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Lai) detector. The dashed line is the theoretical Compton distribution used in the calculation. The peak to total ratio for the 662 keV gamma ray is 0.033.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE V

Co⁶⁰ gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed lines are the theoretical Compton distributions used in the calculations. The peak to total ratio for the 1173 keV gamma ray is 0.016.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE VI

 ${\rm Hg}^{203}$ gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the SSR 4.2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed line is the theoretical Compton distribution used in the calculation. The peak to total ratio for the 279 keV gamma ray is 0.205.



PLATE VI

EXPLANATION OF PLATE VII

the theoretical Compton distributions used in the cal-Na²² gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the SSR 4.2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed lines are culations. The peak to total ratio for the 511 keV gamma ray is $0.064.\;$ The peak to total ratio for the 1274 keV gamma ray is 0.021.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE VIII

theoretical Compton distribution used in the calculation. C_{s}^{137} gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the SSR 4.2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed line is the The peak to total ratio for the 662 keV gamma ray is 0.044.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE IX

Co⁶⁰ gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the SSR 4.2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed lines are the theoretical Compton distributions used in the calulations. The peak to total ratio for the 1173 keV gamma ray is 0.020. The peak to total ratio for the 1332 keV gamma ray is 0.017.



PLATE IX

EXPLANATION OF PLATE X

theoretical Compton distribution used in the calculation. 4.2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed line is the Bi^{207} gamma ray spectrum accumulated with the SSR The low energy portion of the spectrum is not shown. The peak to total ratio for the 1770 keV gamma ray is 0.011.



beta decay of some of the sources, low energy gamma rays, or electronic noise prevented one from simply using the observed spectra to find the total number of counts due to a single gamma ray energy. A table of cross-sections giving the number of Compton electrons per unit energy for certain energy gamma rays was used (10). The cross-sections for the gamma ray energies used were found from this table by log-log interpolation and are given in Table 1. The cross-sections for each gamma ray energy were normalized to the observed spectrum by using an appropriate point near the Compton edge. This point was chosen to minimize the effects appearing at lower energies. This normalization was accomplished by determining the appropriate multiplicative factor necessary to make the chosen point coincide with the experimental curve. The other cross-sections were multiplied by this same factor to obtain the theoretical distribution. This theoretical distribution is indicated in Plates I-X as a dashed line.

The point chosen for normalization on Plate I, Cr^{51} , was at $E/E_{max}=0.7$ (126 keV). This point was used to avoid the effect of the back-scatter peak at 138 keV and electronic noise at lower energies. The normalization factor was found to be 1.615×10^{28} . The determination of the theoretical distribution for Plate I is shown in Table 2. A similar process yielded the distributions in Plates I-X.

The total number of counts was found by taking the area under the dashed line from energy zero up to the energy at which the theoretical and actual distributions matched and the area under the experimental curve from there to the gamma ray energy. The number of counts in the full energy

m te HD	Differential cross-section for the energy distribution of Compton electrons. The table gives the number of Compton electrons per MeV interval at energy E_s , per electron of material per photon per cm^2 . Multiply by 10^{-27} to obtain de(E)/ ch in cm ² per electron (10).	Z79 321 511 662 1173 1274 1332 1770	145 180 341 480 Emax 961 1061 1116 1548	3240 2517 980 585 190 159 145 80.5
m te HD	Differe The tab energy to obtai	279	145	3240

1770	1548	80.5	79.0	78.0	77.0	77.0	79.0	0.18	82.5	86	91	66	111	129	158	213	330
1332	1116	145	141	136	134	130	131	133	134	138	146	157	173	200	241	314	458
y (keV) 1274	1061	159	155	150	146	143	143	145	146	150	158	170	186	215	259	339	490
Ray Energ. 1173	Emax 961	190	188	177	173	166	166	167	168	173	182	195	214	246	292	382	580
Gamma 662	480	585	552	520	490	465	445	436	435	444	458	482	530	594	705	870	1130
511	341	980	935	850	790	738	698	680	670	685	700	730	800	006	1050	1290	1630
321	180	2517	2294	2080	1880	1707	1577		1516		1569	1	1803	1	2338	8 8 8	3385
279	145	3240	2940	2650	2480	2160	1970		1920	8 8 8 8	1980		2270		2950		4200
1	E/ Emax	0	• 1	. 2	°.	• 4	• 5	• 55	. 60	. 65	.70	.75	.80	. 85	. 90	• 95	1.00

Theoretical Compton distribution in the $\ensuremath{\mathrm{Cr}}^{51}$ spectrum. Table 2.

peak was found by taking the area under the peak.

Plate X shows a plot of peak to total ratio verses energy for the RCA Ge(Li) detector and Plates XI-XII show the same for the SSR Ge(Li) detector. The errors shown for the points indicate only the statistical error in the data. No systematic errors are indicated. The dashed line on these plates indicates the ratio of the photoelectric cross-section to the total cross-section, the theoretical peak to total ratio.

The experimental curve falls above the theoretical curve due to multiple interactions in which all of the Compton scattered radiation is absorbed by the detector. The two curves would coincide if the detector was infinitesimal in size so that all of the scattered radiation would escape.

Detector Efficiency

The definition of detection efficiency used was the ratio of the number of gamma rays detected to the number of gamma rays that entered the detector. This was sufficient since one was interested in the intensities of the gamma rays relative to each other and not their absolute intensities.

The detector was a cylinder with radius "r", thickness "t" and total attenuation coefficient " $\mu(E)$ "; the point source was a distance "h" away



from the face of the detector on the symmetry axis, see Fig. 1. With $\Theta = \tan^{-1}(r/h)$ and $\bar{\Phi} = \tan^{-1}(r/h+t)$, the efficiency "e'(E)" at an energy "E" was (14)

EXPLANATION OF PLATE XI

A plot of the full energy peak to total ratio verses the gamma ray energy for the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Li) the detector. The dashed line is the ratio of the photoelectric cross-section to the total cross-section.



PEAK / TOTAL

EXPLANATION OF PLATE XII

A plot of the full energy peak to total ratio verses gamma ray energy for the SSR 4.2 mm thick Ge(Lu) detector. The dashed line is the ratio of the photoelectric cross-section to the total cross-section.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE XIII

A log-log plot of the full energy peak to total ratio verses gamma ray energy for the SSR 4.2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. The dashed line is the ratio of the photoelectric cross-section to the total cross-section.



$$\begin{split} e'(E) &= \frac{1}{1 - \cos \Theta} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\Phi} \left[1 - e^{-\frac{A(E)}{\cos \Theta}} \right] \sin \Theta \ d\Theta \\ &+ \int_{\Phi}^{\Theta} \left[1 - e^{-\mu(E)(\frac{r}{\sin \Theta} - \frac{h}{\cos \Theta})} \right] \sin \Theta \ d\Theta \right\} \end{split}$$

Since a correction for the effect of intervening absorbers was necessary, it was decided to include this correction in the efficiency calculation. Thus the efficiency was

$$e(E) = e'(E) \exp(-\sum_{i} \mu_i(E) t_i)$$

where each $\mu_{\text{and}} t_i$ were the total attenuation coefficient and thickness of each absorber (13).

A FORTRAN computer program was writted to calculate these efficiencies. A listing of the complete program appears in the Appendix. This program was run on Kansas State University's IBM 1401-1410 computer and the results used in the following calculation of relative intensities.

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE INTENSITIES

The relative intensities of the gamma rays of two radioactive isotopes were found. When compared to previous intensity determinations there is close agreement. Additional merit of Ge(Li) detectors over NaI(Tl) is better energy resolution and consequently better intensity determination.

After the detector parameters were determined, the area under each gamma ray peak was found. These quantities were the "raw data." The raw data was divided by the detection efficiency and the peak to total ratio to find the corrected data. This was the actual number of gamma rays entering the detector. The corrected data was normalized to one gamma ray whose intensity was called 100.

The spectra obtained from the beta decay of Mo⁹⁹ are shown in Plates XV-XV. The relative intensities calculated from these data are shown in Table 3. Also included in this table are the relative intensities quoted in previous work.

The spectra obtained from the beta decay of Br⁸² are shown in Plates XVI-XVI. The relative intensities calculated from these data are shown in Table 4. This table also included the relative intensities quoted in previous work.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE XIV

The low energy gamma ray spectrum of Mo^{99} accumulated with the SSR 4 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. No absorbers were used between the source and the detector.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE XV

The high energy gamma ray spectrum of M0⁹⁹ accumulated with the SSR 4 mm thick Ge(Lii) detector. Absorbers used between the source and the detector were of lead, cadmium, and copper.



Table 3. Relative intensities of gamma rays from the decay of $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}.$

Gamma	Peak	Efficiency		Relative]	Intensities	
Ray Energy (keV)	to Total	Hi E Lo E	This work	Cretzu & Hohmuth (3)	Cappeller & Klingelhofer (2)	Medicus et al. (11)
140	0.625	0.33	4 2600+200	625	890	006
181	0.455	0.25	2 160+10	38.8	100	35
372	0.120	0.0237 0.15	0 34+2	12.5	11	14
740	0.035	0,055	100	100	100	100
775	0.0326	0.056	32.1+0.7	25	11	1
810*	0.0298	0.057	1.00+0.06	8 8 8	1	
930*	0.0258	0,058	1.16+0.06		5 5 5	1
955	0.0250	0.0582	0.47+0.04	0.94	8 8 8	1 2 2
* Intensitie	s of 810 keV	V and 930 keV	gamma rays were	not known b	efore.	

EXPLANATION OF PLATE XVI

×. .

The low energy gamma ray spectrum of Br^{82} accumulated with the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. No absorbers were used between the source and the detector.



EXPLANATION OF PLATE XVII

The high energy gamma ray spectrum of Br^{82} accumulated with the RCA 2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector. No absorbers were used between the source and the detector.



Relative intensities of gamma rays from the decay of ${\rm Br}^{82}$. Table 4.

Ray Total (keV)to TotalEfficiency This workHultberg & Benczer- (9)Benczer- Koller220% 0.775 0.310 0.72 $$ $$ 220% 0.260 0.116 3.5 $$ $$ 550 0.055 0.0683 98.2 80 78 614 0.0425 0.0663 98.2 80 78 675 0.0653 0.0653 61 50 50 695 0.0653 0.065 61 50 78 775 0.032 0.0653 37 33 34 775 0.032 0.0653 100 100 100 825 0.0275 0.0571 27 30 28 1040 0.0202 0.0647 28 35 34 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 32 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 34	Peak			Relative	Intensities		
92% 0.775 0.310 0.72 220% 0.260 0.116 3.5 250 0.265 0.0683 98.2 80 78 614 0.0425 0.0683 98.2 80 78 695 0.0653 37 33 34 775 0.035 0.0653 37 33 715 0.035 0.0654 100 100 825 0.0275 0.0577 27 33 34 1040 0.0204 20 30 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0547 23 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0447 23 35 34	to Total	Efficiency	This work	Hultberg & Hedgran (9)	Benczer- Koller (1)	Waddell & Jensen (15)	Dzhelepov & Silant'e ⁽⁴⁾
220% 0.260 0.116 3.5 550 0.055 0.0683 98.2 80 78 614 0.055 0.0663 98.2 80 78 695 0.0425 0.065 61 50 78 775 0.035 0.065 37 34 34 775 0.030 0.059 100 100 100 825 0.037 0.057 27 33 34 1040 0.0275 0.0577 27 30 36 1315 0.0145 0.0577 23 35 34 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23 35 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 34	0.775	0.310	0.72		1	1	8
550 0.055 0.0683 98.2 80 78 614 0.0425 0.065 61 50 50 695 0.035 0.065 37 33 34 775 0.037 0.059 100 100 100 825 0.0275 0.0577 27 30 28 1040 0.0205 0.0577 27 30 28 1315 0.0145 0.0547 28 35 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 34	0.260	0.116	3.5	1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1
614 0.0425 0.065 61 50 50 695 0.035 0.062 37 33 34 775 0.030 0.059 100 100 100 825 0.0275 0.0577 27 30 28 1040 0.0205 0.0547 28 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 35 34 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 35 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20 34	0,055	0.0683	98.2	80	78	102	105
695 0.035 0.062 37 33 34 775 0.030 0.057 100 100 100 825 0.0275 0.0577 27 30 28 1040 0.0204 0.0547 28 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 32 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20	0.0425	0,065	61	50	50	50	1
775 0.030 0.059 100 100 100 825 0.0275 0.0577 27 30 28 1040 0.0200 0.0547 28 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 32 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20	0,035	0.062	37	33	34	37	
825 0.0275 0.0577 27 30 28 1040 0.020 0.054 28 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 32 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20	0* 030	0*059	100	100	100	100	100
1040 0.020 0.054 28 35 35 1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 32 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20	0.0275	0.0577	27	30	28	30	8 8
1315 0.0145 0.0467 23.9 32 34 1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20	0,020	0.054	28	35	35	36	28
1475 0.0125 0.0445 13.0 21 20	0.0145	0.0467	23.9	32	34	36	24
	0.0125	0.0445	13.0	21	20	18	11
1650* 0.011 0.0425 0.57	0,011	0.0425	0.57	1	1 2 2 2	5 4 5	

THE EFFICIENCY USED HERE IS THE RATIO OF THE NUMBER OF DETECTED PARTICLES TO THE NUMBER OF PARTICLES ENTERING THE CRYSTAL . THE TOTAL ATTENUATION COEFFICIENTS ARE IN CM*#2/GM. THE QUANTITY NAN MUST BE AN ODD INTEGER. UNIT(I) = 1. MEANS THE UNITS ARE IN INCHES. UNIT(I) = 2. MEANS THE UNITS ARE IN MG/CM**2. UNIT(I) = 3. MEANS THE UNITS ARE IN MM. THE ENERGY IS IN KEV ON THE DATA CARDS. Ŧ REFERS TO THE DETECTOR. 2 REFERS TO THE DETECTORS WINDOW. 3 REFERS TO THE DETECTORS CASE. 4 THROUGH 10 REFER TO THE ABSORBERS. DIMENSION E(60), UM(10,60), THIC(10), DEN(10), NX(10), F1(100). 1F2(100), ELEM(10), UNIT(10), THICK(10) 1 FORMAT(A8,3F8,4/(10F8,4)) 2 FORMAT(10F8.5) 3 FORMAT(3(2F8.3,12)) 4 FORMAT(//10X,4HR = ,F5.3,1X,3HIN.,3H = ,F5.3,1X,3HCM., 110X,4HT = ,F5.3,1X,3HIN.,3H = ,F5.3,1X,3HCM.////) 5 FORMAT(////19X,4HH = ,F8.5,1X,3HIN., 3H = ,F8.5,4H CM.// 110X,12HENERGY (KEV),7X, 110HEFFICIENCY, 5X, 17HCORRECTION FACTOR//) 6 FORMAT(11X, F10.4,7X,E11.6, 6X,E11.6) 7 FORMAT(24X,6HWINDOW,5X,F7.3,1X,3HIN.,1X,A8) 8 FORMAT(25X,4HCASE,6X,F7.3,1X,3HIN.,1X,A8) 9 FORMAT(I3) 11 FORMAT(7X, A8, 12, A4, F8.5, 10%, A8, 12, A4, F8.5, 4X, 8HGM/CM**3) 12 FORMAT(1X, F10.4, 3X, 5(E10.5, 3X))

```
13 FORMAT(/10x,61HSORRY, BUT THE ABSORBER STOPS ALL THE PARTICLES WIT
   1H ENERGY , F8.3,4H KEV/)
 14 FORMAT(2X, A6, 5H(KEV), 3X, 5(A3, 12, A1, 7X)/)
 15 FORMAT(///)
 16 FORMAT(1H1,7X,11H1 = CRYSTAL,5X,10H2 = WINDOW,5X,8H3 = CASE, ...
   15X \cdot 16H4 - 10 = ABSORBERS / / )
 17 FORMAT(23X,8HADSORBER,4X,F7.3,1X,3HIN.,1X,A8)
 18 FORMAT(24X, 6HWINDOW, 4X, F8.3, 1X, 8HMG/CM**2, 1X, A8)
 19 FORMAT(25X, 4HCASE, 5X, F8, 3, 1X, 8HMG/CM##2, 1X, A8)
 20 FORMAT(A7,A3,A1,A6,A3)
 21 FORMAT(23X,8HABSORBER,1X,F8.3,1X,8HMG/CM##2,1X,A8)
 23 FORMAT(1H1,37X,A6/)
124 FORMAT(24X, 6HWINDOW, 5X, F7.3, 1X, 3HMM., 1X, A8)
125 FORMAT(25X, 4HCASE, 6X, F7, 3, 1X, 3HMM, , 1X, A8)
126 FORMAT(23X,8HABSORBER,4X,F7,3,1X,3HMM,,1X,A8)
    READ(1,20)DENSIT ,UM1,UM2,ENERGY,EQ
211 READ(1.9)NAN
    IF (NAN. EQ. 0) STUP
    READ(1,22)NE
    READ(1,2)(E(I), I=1, NE)
    D0311=1.10
 31 READ(1,1)ELEM(I), THIC(I), UNIT(I), DEN(I), (UM(I,J), J=1, NE)
    READ(1,3)R, DR, NR, T1, DT, NT, H1, DH, NH
    D042I=1,10
    IF(UNIT(I).E0.2.)GOT041
    IF(UNIT(I).EQ.3.)GOT043
    THICK(I)=THIC(I)+2.54
    GOTG42
 41 THICK(I)=THIC(I)/(1000.*DEN(I))
 43 THICK(I)=THIC(I)/10.
42 CONTINUE
    D0341=1,10
 34 NX(I)=I
    WRITE(3,16)
    D035I=1.9.2
 35 WRITE(3,11)DENSIT ,NX(I),EQ,DEN(I),DENSIT ,NX(I+1),EQ,DEN(I+1)
    WRITE(3.15)
    WRITE(3,14)ENERGY, UM1, NX(1), UM2, UM1, NX(2), UM2, UM1, NX(3), UM2,
   1UM1,NX(4),UM2,UM1,NX(5),UM2
    D0361=1,NE
 36 WRITE(3,12)E(I), (UM(J,I), J=1,5)
    Walf5(2,15)
    WRITE, B, 14) ENERGY, UM1, NX(6), UM2, UM1, NX(7), UM2, UM1, NX(8), UM2
   1, UA1, NX(9), UM2, UM1, NX(10), UM2
```

0037I=1,NE

```
37 WRITE(3,12)E(I), (UM(J,I), J=6,10)
    D048I=1,10
    DU48J=1,NE
 48 UM(I, J)=UM(I, J)=DEN(I)
    R = R - DR
    T I = T I - D T
   H1=H1-DH
    A=ATAN(1.)
    D0101=1.NR
   R = R + DR
    RR=R/2.54
    T = T 1
   DUICJ=1,NT
    T = T + DT
    TT=T/2.54
    DOICK=1,NH
   E=H+DH
   HH=H/2.54
   WRITE(3,23)ELEM(1)
   WRITE(3,4)RR,R,TT,T
   DU45I=2.10
    IF(THIC (I).EQ.0.)GOT045
    IF(UNIT(I).EQ.1.)GOT046
    IF(UNIT(I).EQ.2.)G01047
    .F(UNIT(I).EQ.3.)GOTU120
 46 GOTC(90,90,91,91,92,92,92,92,92,92),I
 47 GUTE(93,93,94,94,95,55,95,95,95,95),1
90 WRITE(3,7)THIC(1), ELER(I)
 91 WRITE(3:8)THIC(I) ELEM(I)
 92 WRITE(3,17)THIC(I), ELEM(I)
 93 WRITE(3,18)THIC(I), ELEM(I)
   GOT645
94 WRITE(3,19)THIC(I) ELEM(I)
 95 WRITE(3,21)THIC(I),ELEM(I)
   GOT045
121 WRITE(3,124)THIC(I), ELEM(I)
122 WRITE(3,125)THIC(1), ELEM(1)
   GC 045
123 WRITE(3,126)THIC(1), ELEM(1)
```

123 WRITE(3,126)THIC(I),ELEM(I)
G0F045

45	CONTINUE
	WKIIE(3))HH;H
	1 - 1 K - CQ - U - 7 GU - GU - GU - GU - GU - GU - G
	COTLAG
63	A-1 57020525
64	IE(H.EO.O.)GOTUAS
	B = A T A N (R/H)
	GOT066
65	8=1.57029635
66	CONTINUE
	XY = NAN - 1
	DAN=A/XY
	DBN=(B-A)/XY
	DOIOL=1,NE
	AR6=0.
	D061I=2,10
61	ARG=ARG+UM(I_L)=THICK(I)
	IF(ARG.GT.224.)GOTO201
	GOTU210
201	WRITE(3,13)E(L)
	GOTOLO
210	ABSORB=1./EXP(ARG)
	A N = -D A N
	BN = A - DBN
	D04CH=1,NAN
	AN=AN+DAN
	BN = BN + DBN
	XPl=UM(1,L) + T/COS(AN)
	IF(XP1.GT.220.)G0T050
	F1(M)=(11./EXP(XP1))*SIN(AN)
	GO FU60
50	F1(M) = SIN(AN)
60	IF(H.EQ.0.)GOT030
	XP2=UM(1,L)*(R/SIN(Bi))-H/COS(BN))
	1FTXP2.G1.220.1G01070
	P2(M)=(11./LXP(XP2))*SIN(BN)
70	GUIU40
70	F2(M)=SIN(BN)
3.0	VD2-UM/1 ()all/STN/ON)
50	TELYDA CT 220 LCOTORO
	52 NI=11 _1 /5VD/V0211+STN/DAN
	COTUAN
0.0	E2 (W) = C THE DWY

- 80 F2(M)=SIN(BN) 40 CONTINUE

```
CALL SIMP(NAN, 0., A, F1, VAL1)
CALL SIMP(NAN, A, B, F2, VAL2)
EFF=ABSORB*(VAL1*VAL2)/(1.-COS(B))
CURR=1./EFF
WR ITE(3,6)E(L),EFF,CORR
10 CONTINUE
GOTO211
END
```

```
SUBROUTINE SIMP(N,A,B,Y,RESULT)
   DIMENSION Y(100)
   Y1=0.
   Y2=0.
   M = (n - 1)
   XN=M
   H=(B-A)/XN
   NEND=M/2
   DOIOI=1,NEND
   M1=2*1
   Y_{1} = Y_{1} + Y(M_{1})
   M2=2#I-1
10 Y2 = Y2 + Y(M2)
   Y_2 = Y_2 - Y(1)
   RESULT=H*(Y(1)+4.*Y1+2.*Y2+Y(N))/3.
   RETURN
   END
```

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Dr. C. E. Mandeville and Dr. V. R. Potnis for their support and guidance during this project. The author also expresses appreciation to Dr. L. D. Ellsworth and E. B. Nieschmidt for help with the electronics and to Dr. P. M. Rinard for writing the first version of the FORTRAN program to calculate efficiencies. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Benczer-Koller, Nodmie The Beta and Gamma Radiations of Br^{82} and Rb^{82} and the Energy Levels of Kr^{82} . Report, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, CU-177 (1958). Cappeller, U. and Klingelhöfer, R. 2. Über eine X-X-Winkelkorrelation beim Zerfall von 99Mo und das Termschema des ⁹⁹Tc. Z. Physik 139:402 (1954). 3. Cretzu, T. and Hohmuth, K. Zum Zerfall des Mo⁹⁹. Nuc. Phys. 66:391 (1965). Dzhelepov, B. and Silant'ev, A. 4. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 85:533 (1952). Phys. Abstr. 56, #7890 (1953). 5. Evans, R. D. The Atomic Nucleus. McGraw-Hill (1955). Ewan, G. T. and Tavendale, A. J. 6. High Resolution Studies of Gamma-Ray Spectra Using Lithium-Drift Germanium Gamma-Ray Spectrometers. Can. J. Phys. 42:2286 (1964). 7. Fowler, D. The Use of Semiconductor Devices in Nuclear Spectroscopy. M. S. Thesis, Kansas State University (1963). Heath, R. L. 8. Scintillation Spectroscopy, Gamma-Ray Spectrum Catalogue. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Report, IDO-16880-1 (1964). 9. Hultberg, Sölve and Hedgran, Arne Measurements of the gamma radiations from Br⁸² by the method of external conversion. Arkiv Fysik 11:369 (1957). 10. Johns, H. E., Cormack, D. V., Denesuk, S. A. and Whitmore, G. F. Initial Distribution of Compton Electrons. Can. J. Phys. 30:556 (1952). Medicus, H., Maeder, D. and Schneider, H. Der Zerfall des Mo⁹⁹ und die Isomerie des Tc⁹⁹. Helv. Phys. Acta 24:72 (1951).

- Price, W. J. Nuclear Radiation Detection. McGraw-Hill (1964).
- Storm, E., Gilbert, E. and Israel, H. Gamma-ray Absorption Coefficients for Elements 1 through 100 Derived from the Theoretical Values of the National Bureau of Standards. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Report, LA-2237 (1957).
- Vegors, S. H. Jr., Marsden, L. L. and Heath, R. L. Calculated Efficiencies of Cylindrical Radiation Detectors. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Report, IDO-16370 (1958).
- Waddell, R. C. and Jensen, E. N. Decay Scheme of Br⁸². Phys. Rev. 102:816 (1956).

THE DETERMINATION OF PHOTON DETECTION EFFICIENCY PARAMETERS FOR LITHIUM-DRIFT GERMANIUM DETECTORS

by

Gary Paul Agin

B. S., University of Kansas, 1963

AN ABSTRACT OF A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Physics

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Manhattan, Kansas

The measurement of relative intensities of gamma rays emitted in the decay of a radioactive isotope is useful in studying the properties of the nuclear energy states. In order to obtain relative intensities from an observed spectrum, it is necessary to knew the detection properties of the detector. In this work Ge(Li) detectors of two thicknesses, 2 mm and 4.2 mm, were used and detection parameters, full energy peak to total ratio and efficiency, were determined for each.

The full energy peak to total ratio was measured for the 2 mm thick Ge(Li) detector using Cr^{51} , Na^{22} , Cs^{137} , and Co^{60} . The full energy peak to total ratio was measured for the 4.2 mm thick detector using Hg^{203} , Na^{22} , Cs^{137} , Co^{60} , and Bi^{207} . The detection efficiency was calculated from tabulated cross-sections for each detector using a computer program writted in FORTRAN language.

Mo⁹⁹ was studied. Gamma rays of energy 140, 181, 372, 740, 775, 810, 930, and 955 keV were found with relative intensities 2600, 160, 34, 100, 32, 1, 1.00, 1.16, and 0.47 respectively.

Br⁸² was also studied. Gamma rays of energy 92, 220, 550, 614, 695, 775,825, 1040, 1315, 1475, and 1650 keV were found with relative intensities 0.72, 3.5, 98.2, 61, 37, 100, 27, 28, 23.9, 13.0, and 0.57 respectively.

There is reasonably close agreement of the quoted relative intensities with previously published work.