

Fescue Hay Cost-Return Budget in Central and Eastern Kansas

Department of Agricultural Economics — www.agmanager.info

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

Troy J. Dumler
Agricultural Economist

Douglas Shoup
Crops and Soils, SE

Fescue is a cool season grass that is a popular forage crop in eastern Kansas. It responds well to nutrient applications based on soil test recommendations where phosphate and potash are applied in the fall and nitrogen is applied in the winter. There are three main factors that influence the quality of fescue hay. The first is the timing of the cutting. The quality of fescue will decrease after heading with protein dropping one half of one percent per day until it reaches six percent. The second is the application of nitrogen, which will increase protein levels until the plant heads. The third is the presence of tall fescue endophyte, which is present in many fescue varieties and will produce a toxin, which reduces animal performance. Endophyte levels are highest in more mature plants. One factor that contributes to the popularity of fescue is the significant fall growth that is seen in most years, allowing producers late cuttings of hay or significant fall and winter grazing.

Fescue is very comparable to brome, the other cool season grass that is prominent in eastern Kansas. Yields between fescue and brome will be comparable so long as the brome pasture is not subject to grazing. One clear advantage of brome over fescue is that brome is endophyte-free. However, it does not produce as much fall growth that can be stockpiled for winter grazing.

Table 1. Production Inputs — Fescue *

Item	Yield Level (tons/a)			
	1.0	2.5	3.5	
Seed, lbs	0.75	0.75	0.75	\$1.25/lb
Fertilizer:				
N (anhydrous)	0	0	0	\$0.47/lb
N	0	80	120	\$0.65/lb
P	0	20	40	\$0.60/lb
K	0	20	40	\$0.51/lb
Lime	333	333	333	\$0.01/lb
Herbicide				
2, 4-D LV Ester	1.0	1.0	1.0	\$7.48/qt

*Inputs and number of applications represent annualized amounts over the 20-year stand.

Table 2. Machinery and Land Resources — Fescue*

Item	Yield Level (tons/a)			Custom Rate
	1.0	2.5	3.5	
Tillage/Planting/Chemical Applications:				
Disk	0.05	0.05	0.05	\$9.88/a
Harrow	0.1	0.1	0.1	\$7.25/a
Field cultivate	0.05	0.05	0.05	\$9.47/a
Drill	0.05	0.05	0.05	\$16.27/a
Anhydrous application	0	0	0	\$10.87/a
Fertilizer application	0.05	1.05	1.05	\$5.36/a
Herbicide application	1	1	1	\$5.46/a
Insecticide / fungicide application	0	0	0	\$5.53/a
Harvest				
Swathing and conditioning	1	1	1	\$13.11/a
Sideraking	1	1	1	\$4.15/a
Baling (number of 1,500 lb bales/acre)	1.33	3.33	4.67	\$15.68/bale
Non-machinery labor	0.40	0.72	0.90	\$13.00/hr
Land charge/rent	\$46.16	\$57.70	\$69.24	
Interest on capital				6.5%

*Machinery operations represent annualized amounts over the 20-year stand.

Income per Acre

Crop production costs per unit are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budget includes three different yield levels which are intended to represent expected yields for land of varying quality for a given level of management. Alternative expected yields can help producers compare the profitability of crop enterprises on farmland tracts with varying yield potentials. Land values have been adjusted for alternative yield levels in this budget. In customizing this budget to your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's production capacity.

Costs per Acre

Production costs at the three production levels are shown on lines 1 through 13. Kansas Custom Rates for specific field operations are used to represent fuel and labor costs as well as machinery repair, depreciation, and interest expenses in these budgets. Table 1 identifies the typical seed, fertilizer, herbicide, and insecticide requirements (rate and cost/unit) for fescue hay. Each tillage, planting, and harvest operation is identified. Table 2 outlines the machinery and land resources used for fescue hay. Each tillage, planting, and harvest operation is identified.

COST-RETURN PROJECTION — FESCUE HAY — CENTRAL AND EASTERN KANSAS

	Yield Level (tons/a)			Your Farm
	1.0	2.5	3.5	
INCOME PER ACRE				
A. Yield per acre.....	1.0	2.5	3.5	
B. Price per ton	\$ 127.78	\$ 127.78	\$ 127.78	
C. Net government payment	\$	\$	\$	
D. Indemnity payments	\$	\$	\$	
E. Miscellaneous income.....	\$	\$	\$	
F. Returns/acre ((A × B) + C + D + E)	\$ 127.78	\$ 319.45	\$ 447.23	
COSTS PER ACRE				
1. Seed	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.94	
2. Herbicide	7.48	7.48	7.48	
3. Insecticide / Fungicide				
4. Fertilizer and Lime	3.33	77.43	125.53	
5. Crop Consulting.....				
6. Crop Insurance				
7. Drying				
8. Miscellaneous.....	6.81	6.81	6.81	
9. Custom Hire / Machinery Expense.....	46.34	83.05	104.06	
10. Non-machinery Labor	5.24	9.38	11.76	
11. Irrigation				
a. Labor				
b. Fuel and Oil.....				
c. Repairs and Maintenance				
d. Depreciation on Equipment and Well.....				
e. Interest on Equipment.....				
12. Land Charge / Rent.....	46.16	57.70	69.24	
G.SUB TOTAL	\$ 116.30	\$ 242.80	\$ 325.82	
13. Interest on ½ Nonland Costs	2.28	6.02	8.34	
H. TOTAL COSTS	\$ 118.58	\$ 248.81	\$ 334.16	
I. RETURNS OVER COSTS (F - H)	\$ 9.20	\$ 70.64	\$ 113.07	
J. TOTAL COSTS/TON (H ÷ A).....	\$ 118.58	\$ 99.53	\$ 95.47	
K. RETURN TO ANNUAL COST (I + 13) ÷ G	9.87%	31.57%	37.26%	

Publications from Kansas State University are available at: www.ksre.ksu.edu.

Publications are reviewed or revised annually by appropriate faculty to reflect current research and practice. Date shown is that of publication or last revision. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Troy J. Dumlér and Douglas Shoup, *Fescue Hay Cost-Return Budget in Central and Eastern Kansas*, Kansas State University, December 2012.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

MF2146

December 2012

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, John D. Floros, Director.