A STUDY OF DATA IA AND TAXAD USED FOR TEACHING SOILS IN VOCITICIAL ACTICULTURE CLASSES

by

ROGER ELI NEO IER

B. S., Kansas State College of Agriculture and Applied Science, 1924

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

HASTER OF SCIENCE

EANSAS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

TABL. OF CONTENTS

Document LD 2668 •T4 1932 F42

C.L

ACKSONLEDCHEETS	1
REASONS FOR UNDERTAKING THE PHOBLEX	1
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	3
PROCEDURE	-4
Survey Used	5
PINDINGS	8
Organization of Facts Into Three Groups	8
Returns on Each Soil Fact	9
SUBMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	35
TERRICES	43

11

Page

ACTO ALL TS

Admowledgeent is due to Dr. C. V. Milliams for inspiration, suggestions, and criticism given in his capacity as major instructor. Dr. F. L. Duley gave valuable aid in compiling the list of "suil Facts" for the survey. Several of the Kansas teachers of vocational agriculture helped to make the list of soils therial complete. Suggestions for forming the survey were obtained from the Kansas State Beard for Vocational Education, Derics A-6, by Professor Renry . Schmitz, critic teacher at the Maniattan High School in cooperation with the teacher training department of Hansas State College. The cooperation of the teachers whe took time to fill out the survey is also appreciated.

TUARDES FOR UNDER KING THE PROPLEM

Every high school course in vocational agriculture includes some training in facts about the soil and in problems pertaining to its management. In states where vocational agriculture departments have a 180 minute period and offer, as they do in Kansas, a course in erops production one year and a course in livestook production another year, it is customary and logical to include the teaching of soils with the crops production work. In many states where vocational agriculture is taught four years, minty-minute periods being used, soils management is taken up as a separate course.

The outstanding feature of the vocational agriculture program is that instruction is based upon and motivated by a program of supervised home practice work which each bey earries on. The unit of instruction in vocational agriculture is the "Job". The information about and the experience in various phases of agriculture are as far as possible organized about the jobs which the boys will plan for and will earry through in their programs of supervised practice work.

It has been the writer's experience and observation that soils material is difficult to incorporate in a course of this kind. There seems to be great variation in the amount of soils material taught and in the time and methods of teaching it. Reasons for this difficulty and these variations seem to be as follows:

1. Facts about soils and soil management are technical and difficult for high school boys.

2. So much time is needed to teach some of the fundamental soll facts that there is a tendency for interest in the job being taught to lag if these facts are worked in as related science.

5. Vocational agriculture instructors, well trained in

soils work probably tend to overemphasize this work.

4. Many vocational agriculture teachers feel their own knowledge of soils is not sufficient to enable them to do a good job of teaching it.

5. Very few soils references have been prepared with the needs of the vocational agriculture student in mind.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Very little research has been carried on relative to material and method used for teaching soils in vocational agriculture. It was necessary to restrict the problem somewhat. The following phases were studied because they were basic:

1. What facts about the soil and its management should be taught in vocational agriculture?

2. Should soil facts be worked into the course as related science in connection with jobs of crops production enterprises?

5. Should soil facts be taught in a separate short course on soils?

4. What mathods are most effective for teaching the various facts about the soil and its management?

ROGICOUNE

4.

Because of the lack of reference unterial and research work in this field, it was necessary to depend almost entirely upon information obtained from men in the field who had experience in presenting soils work to high school vocational agriculture students.

A list of fifty Hansas teachers, who wave well trained to do a good job of soils teaching, was obtained by consultation with Nr. F. L. Duley and with Nr. L. B. Follom, State Supervisor of Vocational Agriculture. A list of supervisors of vocational Agriculture in Hebrashm, Iowa, missouri, Oklahoma, Colorado, and Ohio was obtained from Mr. Follom. Letters were addressed to each of these state supervisors asking for the names of ten teachers in the state whom they considered did a good job of teaching soils. A copy of the survey was enclosed with each letter, and the purpose of the survey was explained. Frompt answers were received from the supervisors of each state with the exception of Oklahoma.

The survey shown below was then sent to each teacher whose mame had been obtained. This was done in August, 1931. Postal card reminders were sent to those teachers who failed to return the survey after a reasonable length of time.

Survey Used

5.

A sample of the survey form used is given below. It is self explanatory. In the interest of brevity, the headings for columns to be checked are given only on the first page, the following pages simply listing the soil facts included in the survey.

Dear Fellow Teacher:

This survey, on the way you teach soils work in vocational agriculture, is arranged for your convenience. It can be filled in by checking. No writing required. Will you fill it out now and use the enclosed envelope to return it to me?

Instructions

In the columns opposite each soil fact that you teach put 1, 2, and 3, under the methods that your judgement and experience have shown you to be first, second, and third most effective in teaching these soil facts. Under "whan Taught" check one or the other of the columns to indicate whether this fact is taught as part of a soils unit course or whether it is taught in connection with a job of some enterprise. If none of the columns opposite a soil fact are checked, it will indicate that you do not teach this fact.



The first line checked would mean that "The effect of phosphorus on plant growth" is taught in connection with a job of gome enterprise, and that the supervised study and recitation, field study, and farm practice methods are considered most effective for teaching it. The second line left unchecked would indicate that "The nitrogen cycle"is not taught.

In the interest of uniformity, will you accept the following definitions of teaching methods? If your method differs only slightly from one of these described, list it under that one. If it is unlike any described, list it under "Other Methods" and explain it at the bottom of this page. LECTURE: The teacher instructs the class orally. He may use charts or illustrative material, but the main source of information is what he tells.

ILLUSTRATION: Teaching by the aid of charts, maps, films, pictures, or specimens with very little telling. May have been preceded by study or other instruction.

LABORATORY EXERCISE: Setting up and following of a specific laboratory exercise by the students.

DENORSTRATION: work done by teacher, boy, or group of boys before the class. Principles involved and facts revealed explained by persons conducting the demonstration. MARM PRACTICE: Teacher takes class to farm and performs practice that will teach a soils fact. For example, the teacher might take boys to farm and apply ground limestone, thus, teaching the material, "Then and how to apply ground limestone".

ASSIGNED MADING AND RECITATION: Student reads references selected by teacher and assigned to class. Class held for subject matter in the recitation.

FIELD STUDY: This method takes class to the field to study facts in their natural setting. This method will usually have been proceeded by supervised study and recitation. SUPERVISED STUDY AND RECITATION: Assignments are made, and the study supervised. Recitation and discussion bring out important points and clear up doubtful facts. I will appreciate your explaining below any methods you use that do not lend themselves to the classification I have used.

Surveys Sent Out and Returned

State	Surveys Sent Out	Surveys Returned
Kansas	50	46
Missouri	10	3
liebrasim	10	- 7
Iowa	10	1
Colorado	10	5
Ohio	10	8
Total	100	70

FINDINGS

Organization of Facts Into Three Groups

Tabulation of the seventy surveys returned is shown below for each of the eighty-eight soil facts included. The facts are not given in the order of the survey but are divided into three groups as follows:

1. Those facts which over sixty per cent of teachers who taught them handled as part of a soils unit course.

2. These facts which between forty and sixty per cent of teachers taught as part of a soils unit course.

5. Those facts which over sixty per cent of teachers

taught in connection with a job of some enterprise.

The reason for this grouping was the observation that a different type of soil facts seemed to be taught in unit courses than were taught in connection with jobs of crops enterprises. It seemed desirable to compare these facts and the methodology favored for the different types.

Returns on Each Soil Fact

The per cent of the seventy teachers who taught each soil fact is shown. The per cent of those teaching a fact, who taught it as part of a soils unit course, is shown in Tables I and II. The per cent teaching in connection with the job can be figured by subtracting this figure from 100 per cent. The per cent teaching a fact in connection with a job of some enterprise is shown for the last group in Table III.

Preference for the various methods is expressed as per cent, and was obtained as follows:

The number of first choices for the lecture method, for instance, on a certain fact were multiplied by three, the number of second choices by two, and the number of first choices by one. These three figures were added together and expressed as a percentage of the preference for all methods used having been obtained in the same way. Only the four most preferred methods are shown in each bar

diagram except where there was almost equal preference for several.

Table I

1. Definition of the soil

A.	18.0 %
B.	17.0 %
F.	43.0 %
He	Bol 70
C v	1.0 %

2. Soil forming materials

A.	8.0 %
Ba	15.8 %
0.	15.8 %
D manufacture and a manufactur	8.6 %
12 e europeanerecteranies	9-8%
Sin a	37 5 4
5 0	20000
He	10.9 %

S. Rocks containing soil forming materials

3 1	6
3 9	6
6 9	s

eA-Lecture, B-Assigned reading and recitation, C-Illustration, D-Demonstration, E-Laboratory, F-Supervised study and recitation. G-Farm practice, and H-Field study.

D E E	8.3 × 20.4 × 19.9 × 16.4 ×
4. The effect of plants on soil formation	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	92.8 % 68.2 %
A B C P	8.6 × 13.9 × 9.1 × 34.6 ×
5. How freezing and thawing help to form soils	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	99.1 % 75.7 %
A B C F H.	11.9 % 11.5 % 9.7 % 35.2 % 23.3 %
6. How action of water forms soil	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	92.8 % 74.0 %
A B C F E 7. How action of wind forms soil	8.2 % 12.5 % 9.9 % 34.9 % 26.0 %

A.* B.* C.* F.* H.*	11.3 % 15.2 % 11.3 % 35.4 % 21.2 %
8. How ice has formed soil	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	84.5 % 80.7 %
A. B. C. F. H.	12.3 % 20.4 % 10.5 % 39.0 %
9. Changes that occur after a soil is laid	
Per cent the teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	73.0 \$ 76.4 \$
А. В. С. Т. П.	10.4 % 13.8 % 9.3 % 38.3 % 23.5 %
10. Meaning of soil texture	
For cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	97.1 \$ 70.5 \$
A C D E H	9.1 x 11.5 x 11.5 x 19.9 x 25.6 x 13.5 x
11. The soil separates what they are	
Per cent who teach this fact Fer cent teaching in unit course Preference for various mothods	83.0 % 72.4 %

A B C D E F	9.1 × 7.0 × 11.1 × 16.5 × 29.0 × 22.3 ×
12. Making a settling test of soil texture	
Fer cout who teach this fact For cont teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	75.7 % 75.4 %
C D E F	10.8 % 28.2 % 42.8 % 9.6 %
13. Classes of soil based on texture	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	84.3 % 79.6 %
A	10.5 % 9.1 % 11.1 % 21.0 % 27.0 % 12.5 %
14. Field identification of soil classes	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	73.0 % 66.6 %
C E P H	6.0 % 10.9 % 15.2 % 50.2

15. Heaning of soil structure

13,

A B E F H	10.6 % 11.2 % 15.0 % 31.7 % 15.2 %
16. What colloidal material is	
Per cent who teach this fact For cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	63.0 % 68.1 %
A B D F	12.6 % 8.4 % 9.6 % 19.0 % 9.9 % 25.2 %
17. Effect of colloidal material on soil structure	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	51.1 % 63.9 \$
A C D R F H	12.5 % 12.0 % 14.5 % 14.0 % 24.0 % 11.7 %
18. What an element is	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	90.0 % 63.4 %
A B C D F	22.6 % 13.6 % 11.2 % 15.5 % 29.0 %
19. Now to study a soil survey	
Per cent who teach this fact	57.1 %

Preference for various methods

A B G F	24.5 % 10.1 % 11.6 % 30.5 %
20. Use of potassium in commercial fertilizers	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	54.2 % 65.7 \$
A B C F	19.8 × 18.3 × 8.9 × 58.6 ×
21. Reading fertilizer formulaes	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	90.0 % 60.3 %
A • B • Z • P •	19.5 % 14.0 % 14.0 % 26.9 %
22. How water moves in the soil	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	94.3 % 63.6 %
A • C • D • E • F •	11.1 × 10.4 × 20.2 × 24.0 × 24.6 ×
23. Kinds of bacteria that live in the soil	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	62.8 % 65.9 %

A • B • C • F •	24.0 % 21.7 % 7.3 % 42.0 %
24. What makes alkali spots	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	54.3 % 63.1 %
A B R H	18.5 % 14.2 % 59.8 % 14.6 %
25. How to correct alkali spots	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Proference for various methods	54.3 % 71.0 %
A • B • P • R •	17.8 % 14.3 % 33.0 % 11.9 % 13.7 %
26. Estimating soil fertility by examination of soil profile	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	47.1 % 60.5 %
A • C • E • F • H •	6.4 % 6.4 % 6.4 % 25.8 % 41.1 %
27. How soil surveys are made	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	52.3 % 72.9 %

16,

Λ.	43.5 %
B.	13.3 %
P .	21.7 %

28. Soil classification and mapping

A.	25.1 %
B.	13.9 %
C .	12.3 %
P.	26.2 %
Ho	11.1 %



Group of Pacts Which Forty to Fifty-nine Per Cent of Teachers Taught as Part of Soils Unit Course

29. Effect of bacteria on structure

A .	16.5 %
Β.	15.0 %
С.	 10.2 %
E a	40.2 %
11.	9.1 %

30. Effect of soil texture on structure

A	11.0 %
B	12.2 %
Ε	12.0 %
F.	31.6 %
Ho	14.3 %

31. Effect of dry weather on structure

32. Effect of working too wet on structure

D.	15.	.0 %	1
E	12.	.1%	1
F.	24.	.3 %	
H.	. 30,	.9 %	5

33. Elements essential for plant growth

A.	19.5 \$
B.	10.3 %
C.	9.5 %
E.	11.9 \$
F.	40.6 %

34. What a compound is

A.	19.1 %
В.	12.5 \$
C.	1004
D.	12.0 %
E.	10.9 \$
P	54.0 %

55. Compounds of some of the more important elements are found	
Per cent who teach this fact Fer cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	78.6 % 52.7 %
A B C D E F	17.5 % 14.0 % 11.1 % 13.4 % 10.8 % 32.4 %
36. The nitrogen cycle	
Per cent who teach this fact Fer cent teaching in unit course Freference for various methods	91.4 % 46.8 %
A B F	13.9 % 16.1 % 15.4 % 38.6 %
37. work of nonsymbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	70.0 % 55.1 %
≜ ≅ ₽ R	17,8 % 20.0 % 35.6 % 18.0 %
38. Factors affecting nitrification	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	81.4 %
A B H	15.4 × 19.8 × 44.0 × 7.5 ×

39. Effect of amount of nitrates on crop growth

	50 C 1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0000 /
	01 0 4
P	10200 1

40. Work of denitrifying bacteria

12

A.	16.9 %
B.	14.8 \$
C.	8.1 %
P.	40.8 %
He	8.1 %

41. Use of phosphorus in commercial fertilizers

A	12.3 %
B	14.4 %
F .	35.6 %
H.	10.7 %

42. Effect of potassium on crop yields

A.	14.6 %
B.	15.1 %
C	10.8 %
P	39.8 \$
II.	9.9%

43. Use of mixed fertilizer

21.

A.	11.0 %
B.	10.4 %
C.	10.8 %
F.	52.4 1
e.	8.9 1
H.	17.1 9

44. Purchase of commercial fertilizer

Per cent who teach this fact..... 55.0 % Per cent teaching in unit course..... 48.6 % Preference for various methods

A.	20.4 %
B	13.4 \$
P.	41.2 %
H.	7.5 %

45. How plants use water

A.	8.6 %
B.	9.7%
C.	11.4 %
D.	26.2 %
E.	16.5 %
P.	\$5.3 \$

46. Now movement of soil water can be affected

A	7.9%
C	7.3%
D. E.	23.0 %
F	26.7 %

47. Causes and offects of poor water drainage

B C F B G D	11.5 % 8.9 % 26.8 % 26.8 % 7.6 % 7.2 %
48. Kind of land that should be surface drained	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	61.4 % 51.1 %
A • B • C • F • E • H •	9.2 % 11.6 % 6.8 % 26.8 % 10.0 % 28.8 %
49. How to surface drain land	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	61.4 % 48.8 %
B	9.5 % 8.5 % 26.8 % 15.0 % 31.4 %
bu, Aind of Isna that should be tile drained	

A.	10.8 %
B.	12.8 %
C.	6.9 %
F	28.2 %

G	9.4 % 30.2 %
51. How to tile drain land	
Per cent the teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	52.8 % 56.7 %
A B C F G H	7.4 % 11.3 % 7.9 % 34.6 % 8.4 % 19.8 %
52. Conditions favoring helpful bacteria	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	78.6 % 52.7 %
A • B • C • F • H •	19.1 % 18.5 % 5.9 % 44.3 % 5.3 %
53. Effect of aeration on bacteria	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	70.0 %
AB G C E E H	18.1 × 20.0 × 4.9 × 4.1 × 4.5 × 41.1 × 4.1 ×
54. What soil acidity is	
Per cant who teach this fact Per cant teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	91.4 % 45.3 %

A. B. D. E. F. H. 55. Bolation of soil acidity to lime deficiency	13.0 x 11.0 x 12.7 x 15.5 x 33.6 x 8.2 x
in soil	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	85.7 % 45.0 %
A B D E F E	10.7 % 13.7 % 7.9 % 8.2 % 34.7 % 11.9 %
56. Now to interpret soil acidity tests	
For cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	80.0 % 46.4 %
A D E H	7.2 × 22.0 × 25.7 × 19.1 × 10.7 ×
57. Rich and poor soils and their relative need for lime	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	67.1 % 46.8 %
A B C Z F E	7.6 % 9.6 % 7.6 % 12.4 % 27.3 %

58. How to correct soil acidity and lack of calcium

A.	8.0 %
B	12.5 %
D.	12.5 %
E.	10.2 %
F	33.1 %
H	12.8 %

59. Kind of limestone to use

A.	13.7 %
B.	10.1 %
C.	8.3 %
D.	8.6 %
B.	10.1 %
F.	32.8 %
H.	10.5 %

60. Effect of lime on availability of plant foods

A.	16.6 %
B.	19.5 %
C.	7.8%
F.	35.4 %
H.	10.0 %

61. Where to get limestone

A B C F E	25.2 % 18.8 % 5.5 % 5.5 % 5.9 %
62. Tests for purity of limestone	
Per cent who teach this fact Fer cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	41.4 % 48.2 %
A D E F	12.4 × 26.2 × 30.6 × 20.0 ×
63. Losses due to soil erosion	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	87.1 % 50.8 %
A • B • C • C • H •	10.1 % 8.2 % 9.5 % 33.4 % 7.2 % 27.5 %
64. Controlling gullying	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in unit course Preference for various methods	84.3 % 50.8 %
B C P C E	8.3 × 8.3 × 24.2 × 19.1 × 28.7 ×
65. Meaning of soil fertility	
For cent who teach this fact	88.6 × 58.0 ×

A B F H	15.6 19.1 44.7 10.1	WWWW
66. How to conduct a fertility test		
Por cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	55.8 42.1	WW
A. B. C. C. E. F. F. G. H.	13.4 6.7 8.2 17.1 10.8 17.0 9.7 17.9	WAR WAR DE
67. Illinois test for available phosphorus		
For cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	24.2	WAR
A • B • D • E • E • E •	9.8 8.7 6.6 30.7 24.1 6.6 10.9	WERERERERERERE
Table III		

Soil Facts Which Sixty Per Cent or More of Teachers Taught in Connection With a Job of Some Crop Enterprise

68. What good tilth is

C D P G R	7.2 8.3 28.0 10.1 30.7	DEDEDEDEDE
69. Effect of nitrogen on plant growth Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	95.7 67.1	WW
B C E F R	10.7 8.2 8.0 10.1 31.0 8.2 12.6	WARNERBERR
70. How nitrogen can be supplied to the soil Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	95.7 70.1	PEPE
A B C F G R	8.0 14.3 7.9 38.9 10.9 12.0	MERMAN
71. Work of symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	90.0 60.0	WW
A • B • C • E •	18.0 16.1 9.0 54.5 12.6	WWWWW

72. Effects of bacteria on nitrates in green manure crops

A.	15.7 %
B.	20.0 \$
F.	40.0 %
Ε	9.0 %

75. Use of legumes for adding nitrogen to the soil

B.	13.5 %
C	7.2%
F.	38.0 %
G	7.4 %
He	22.1 %

74. Use of legumes for increasing available nitrogen

Per cent teaching in connection with job 76.6 % Preference for various methods

3.	14.7 %
F	56.8 %
G	9.5 %
H.	21.3 %

75. Commercial fertilizers that contain nitrogen

ha	12.6 \$
B.	1698
C.	12.0 4
Da	000
R	2.0 p
Comparison of the second secon	00. 70

P.	27.1 %
76. Effects of nitrates on available phosphorus	1
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	44.2 % 61.2 %
A B C F E	13.0 % 20.4 % 7.4 % 35.4 % 10.5 %
77. Effects of phosphorus on crop yields	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	81.3 % 73.6 %
A B C F H	11.3 × 14.3 × 10.3 × 34.9 × 17.9 ×
78. Field indications of need for lime	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	81.4 %
B D F C H	8.7 % 10.3 % 18.7 % 7.1 % 38.4 %
79. Tests for soil acidity	
Per cent who teach this fact Per cent teaching in connection with job Preference for various methods	87.1 % 65.5 %
D B F R	24.3 % 30.1 % 9.0 % 6.3 % 22.1 %

80. When and how to apply limestone

A.	11.5 %
B.	16.9 %
P.	37.6 %
G.	17.5 %
53 q	2702 7

81. Controlling erosion by cropping system

A.	7.4 %
B	9.9 %
C	7.1%
F.	52.2 %
G.	9.0%
lle	24.2 %

82. Controlling erosion by contour farming

B.	8.5 %
C.	9.7 \$
F.	32.6 \$
G,	14.1 %
H.	22.7 \$

83. Controlling erosion by terracing

B.	6.7 %
G.,	7.4 %
	28.7 %
H.	20.6 %

84. Estimating soil fertility by crops grown

A	8.5 %
Be	8.9 %
D.	6.8 %
F.	27.7 %
G.	7.2%
H.	54.1 %

85. Value of barnyard manure

A.	6.4 %
Ba	14.6 %
C.	7.4 %
P	37.5 %
0-	7.4 %
H	21.6 \$

86. Use of barnyard manure

A.	8.4 %
B	16.1 %
Fa	34.4 %
G	11.4 %
Ha	19.6 %

87. Advantages of crop rotation

A.	7.6%
Be	15.1 %
F 2	36.4 %
	24.1%

88. How to plan successful crop rotation

Α.		9.4 %
B.	6	14.6 \$
C.	6	8.9 %
E.	*	9.4 %
F.		36.2 %
G.		7.8 %
H.	*	11.0 %

89. Cropping systems adapted to local conditions

A.	8.4 %
B.	11.8 %
P	35.3 %
G.	10.6 %
Ε.	21.2 %

Additional Soil Facts Submitted by Cooperators (Data are not given on these because they were usually submitted by only one teacher.)

- 1. Soil types based on formation
- 2. Ways of preventing leaching
- 5. Effects of some crops on soils
- 4. Soil survey of home farm
- 5. Identify soil types of community
- 6. Organic test for soils
- 7. Effect of lime on puddled soils
- 8. Water holding capacity of soils
- 9. Capilliary rise of water
- 10. Winogradsky azotobacter soil test

Preference of teachers for methods of teaching the twenty-eight soils facts of Group I. (Facts which over sixty per cent of teachers favor teaching in soils unit course).

A.	14.2	100
B.	 11.6	2
C.	9.7	3
D.	8.2	2
10	10.1	Pa
2 ×	20.0	P
H.	14.0	S
		~

Figure 1

Preference of teachers for methods of teaching the thirty-nine soils facts of Group II. (Facts which forty to sixty per cent of teachers favor teaching in soils unit course).



Figure 2

Preference of teachers for methods of teaching the twenty-two soils facts of Group III. (Facts which over sixty per cent of teachers favor teaching with job of some enterprise).



8.4 × 12.6 × 7.1 × 5.1 × 32.3 × 8.7 × 23.1 ×

Figure 3

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Soils material that should be included in a course in vocational agriculture.

The most commonly taught fact in the list was taught by 99.1 per cent of the teachers answering. The least taught fact was taught by 24.2 per cent of teachers answering. Material most commonly taught falls into two groups:

1. Definitions and facts pertaining to soil formation.

2. Soil management problems common to all sections and not involving a great deal of technical information.

Listed below is the soils material which is taught by eighty per cent or more of the seventy teachers answering. It seems reasonable to assume that this material is fundamental to a high school course in vocational agriculture.

Definition of soil Soil forming materials The effect of plants on soil formation How freesing and thawing help to form soils How action of wher forms soils How action of wind forms soils How ice has formed soil What an element is



Figure 4

5 Average preterence off teachers for methods of 8 teaching the facts of groups I, D, D 2 Facts taught by 60% in unit course -Per cent Preference Facts tought by 40 to 60% in unit 2 Course Facts laught by over 60% in Connection with Job 18 5 12 σ m 0 arm Proc-Supervised Study and Recitation Field Study Lion Lion Assigned Redding Recitat Thustrat *lecture* chormel

37

Figure 5

Elements essential for plant growth What a compound is Meaning of soil texture The soil separates what they are Classes of soil based on texture Meaning of soil structure What good tilth is ffects of working too wat on structure How water moves in the soil How movement of soil water can be affected How plants use water Causes and effects of poor water drainage The nitrogen cycle Effect of nitrogen on plant growth How mitrogen can be supplied to the soil Factors affecting nitrification Tork of symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria Use of legames for adding nitrogen to the soil Leaning of soil fertility Use of legumes for increasing available nitrogan Value of barnyard manure Use of barnyard manure Effect of phosphorous on crop yields Reading fertilizer formulae Relation of soil acidity to line deficiency in the soil Field indications of need for lime Tests for soil acidity How to interpret soil acidity tests Advantages of crop rotation Cropping systems adapted to local conditions Losses due to soil erosion Controlling erosion by cropping system Controlling erosion by terracing Controlling gullying

The above list would, of course, not be a complete one for any department. Other soil management problems and material dealing with other soil fundamentals will be included in any local program because of local conditions, the existence of a special opportunity for teaching, the needs of a particular group, or the qualifications of the instructor.

Unit course versus the related science method of teaching soils material.

As shown in Table I, twenty-eight soils facts were taught by sixty per cent or more of teachers in a unit course. The range of sholes for the unit plan of teaching is from 62.7 per cent to 60.5 per cent for the facts in this group. The median per cent choice for the unit plan is 73.7 and the mean 71.3 per cent. It will be observed that the material in this group tends to deal with definitions and facts about soil formation.

In thirty-nine soils facts the choice between unit and job procedure was about evenly divided. This is shown in Table II. The range of choice for the unit plan of teaching is from 58.7 per cent to 40.8 per cent for the facts in this group. The median is 54.4 per cent and the mean is 50.7 per cent. There is considerable variation as to type of material in this group. There are only a few definitions and facts about soil formation. The more technical phases of soil management and some of the less frequently taught phases of soil management seem to fall in this group.

Twenty-two of the soils facts listed are taught by over sixty per cent of those teaching them in connection with a job of some enterprise. The range of preference for teaching with a job is from 76.8 per cent to 60.0 per cent. The median per cent is 67.0 and the mean 67.8 per cent. Examination of Table III will show that the material in this group consists mainly of the more commonly taught phases of soil management. The material in this group is taught more commonly than that of the other two groups. The mean percentage teaching the facts in Group I is 74.9, in Group II 71.8, and in Group III 82.0.

It is interesting to notice the choice of the various states between "unit" and "related to the job" teaching of soils material. Ten Kansas teachers marked every fact they tanght as taught in connection with some job. Three marked every one as taught in unit course. All others devided between the two. There was a tendency as noted above for definitions, facts about soil formation, and fundamental principles to be taught in unit courses while soil management tended to be taught with jobs. Replies from other states showed the same tendency where both methods were used.

Four of the eight teachers replying from Ohio used the unit procedure altogether, and the others leaned heavily toward it. The lone cooperator from lows taught all facts in the unit course. Nebrasks showed about equal division. Home used either method exclusively. Two of the three replying from Missouri taught everything with jobs.

Colorado was about evenly divided. One listed every fact under job while another one listed them all under unit.

Methods used in teaching soils material in vocational agriculture.

The lecture method, as shown in Figure IV is given an average preference of 12.2 per cent for use in teaching all facts listed. Figure V shows a greater use of the lecture method in Groups I and II. These groups, it has been observed, contain more material dealing with definitions, facts about soil formation, and the more technical phases of soil management.

The assigned reading and recitation method gets 12.0 per cent of the choice of methods. There is no significant difference between the three groups in connection with this method.

Teaching by illustration received 8.2 per cent of the total choice of methods. This method, like lecture, is more used in connection with the more technical and fundamental material of Groups I and II.

The demonstration method is less used than any other with the exception of farm practice, the choice for demonstration being 7.5 per cent out of 100 per cent choice for all methods. Considering the emphasis placed on the demonstration method by science teachers, it would seem that more demonstration work might be done in teaching soils in vocational agriculture.

The laboratory method is more favored for the material of Groups I and II. The relatively small amount of laboratory work done is due in part to the opportunity vocational agriculture teachers have for using field study. It is believed, however, that lack of soils laboratory equipment, lack of exercises suitable for correlating with vocational agriculture work, and lack of training on the part of instructors limits laboratory work.

Supervised study and resitation is most used of the eight methods listed. This method fits in well with project study. This helps to explain its popularity. Figure V shows that it is most used with those facts which are more often taught with jobs. In teaching many jobs, supervised study precedes such methods as laboratory, farm practice, or field study.

Farm practice ranks the lowest with 4.7 per cent choice. This is probably due to the relatively few soils jobs which are of such scope that a class can be taken out to perform them. Teachers have probably listed under "field study" those things which the class merely go out to observe. It would seem that more teaching by the instructor on his visits to the boys' farm would bring about greater use of the farm practice method. Farm practice

and field study, the natural setting methods, are both used more in connection with the facts of Group III.

Field study is the second most used method of teaching soils facts with 15.2 per cent preference. It is especially popular in teaching the facts of Group III. The fact that most of the states surveyed have the vocational half day, makes possible the general use of this natural setting method.

REFERENCES

Bear, Firman E.
1927. Soils Management. John Tiley & Sons, Inc. Hew York City.
Cox, Joseph Frank 1925. Grops Production and Soil Management. John Tiley & Sons, Inc. Hew York City.
Davis, Mary C.
1928. Field Grop Enterprises. J. B. Lippincott Gompany, Fhiladelphia, Fennsylvania.

Duley, F. L. 1930. Laboratory Exercises for Soils Nork in Vocational Agriculture in the High Schools of Manses. Series A-7, Mansas State Board for Vocational Education.

Lyon, Thomas L. and Buckman, Harry C. 1926. The Nature and Properties of Soils. The Macmillan Company. New York City.

Millar, Charles E.

1929. Soils and Soil Management. Webb Book Publishing Company, St. Paul, Minnesota

Schmitz, Henry W.

1989. A study of the Methods of Teaching Sciences Underlying Agriculture and Their Application to the Teaching of Vocational Agriculture. Series A-6, Kansas State Board for Vocational Education.

Weir, Wilbert W.

1920. Productive Soils. J. B. Lippincott Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Williams, C. V.

1925. Fundamentals Involved in the Organization and Conduct of Vocational Agriculture Schools and Classes. Enneas State Board for Vocational Education.

Worthen, Edmund L.

1927. Farm Soils. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York City.