



Flood-Irrigated Ridge-Till Corn Cost-Return Budget

Department of Agricultural Economics



Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

James D. Sartwelle, III

Extension Agricultural Economist, SW

Darl W. Henson

Extension Agricultural Agent, Grant County

Producing flood-irrigated corn under a ridge-till cropping system has several advantages over conventional tillage. Profit potential is increased through decreased per-acre variable costs. Fewer tillage operations before planting reduce labor, fuel, and machinery repair expenses. Herbicide expense also is reduced as most chemicals are band-applied rather than broadcast. After several years, weed pressure is often decreased, resulting in lower chemical application rates and usage. Another potential saving is the possibility of applying less irrigation water, reducing pumping costs, and irrigation equipment repairs.

Ridge-till requires a different level of management than conventional tillage. Residue management and distribution are major concerns during the first watering with furrow irrigation. Water flow through the field can be a problem and could require increased labor during the initial watering period. It is also crucial that the ridges are not disturbed by implement tires. This may require adjustments in machinery tire size and spacing so that travel is confined to the area within the furrows. Some benefits of ridge-till (reduced weed pressure, improved yields) are only seen after several years of continuous ridge-till. It is important that producers commit to ridge-till for a minimum of three years to assess its effect fully.

Making the switch from conventional to ridge-till will require an added machinery investment. The amount of extra investment will vary depending on whether old machinery is modified or new machinery is purchased. With time, less machinery investment is required under ridge-till.

Yield Goals

Cost per bushel and net returns in crop production are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budget includes three different yield levels. Yield goals vary for a number of reasons such as soil type or land quality, historical weather patterns, input levels, and management. Budgeting for alternative yield goals can help producers compare the profitability of crop enterprises on farmland tracts with varying yield potentials.

Multi-peril crop insurance expenses at a 65 percent yield level are included in this budget as a cost of managing production risk in an area prone to great yield variability. Land values have been adjusted for alternative yield goals in this budget. In customizing this budget to fit your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's productive capacity.

Table 1. Factors Used for Cost-Return Budget

Item	Yield Level (bu)			
	160	190	220	
Water, 260 TDH	20	22	24	\$1.74/inch
Fertilizer:				
N (Anhy.)	185	225	265	\$0.17/lb
N (Dry)	0	0	0	\$0.31/lb
P	45	45	45	\$0.28/lb
K	0	0	0	\$0.13/lb
Lime	0	0	0	\$0.005/lb
Seed,				
(seeds/acre)	28,000	32,000	36,000	
Seed price,				
\$/1,000 seeds	\$1.15	\$1.15	\$1.15	
Labor hours	2.57	2.85	3.13	
Labor price/hour				\$10.80
Land value/acre	\$540	\$675	\$810	
Land interest rate				6.00%
Land real estate tax rate				0.50%
Machinery investment				\$208
Machinery life				10 yrs
Salvage value				35.00%
Interest rate on machinery				10.00%
Well, pump and gearhead value				\$228
Well, pump and gearhead life				15 yrs
Power unit and meter value				\$39
Power unit and meter life				7 yrs
Irrigation system value				\$33
Irrigation system life				10 yrs
Insurance rate on machinery				0.25%
Interest on variable costs				10.00%

COST-RETURN PROJECTION — FLOOD-IRRIGATED RIDGE-TILL CORN

	Yield Level (bu)			Your Farm
	160	190	220	
VARIABLE COSTS PER ACRE: ¹				
1. Labor	\$ 27.76	\$ 30.78	\$ 33.80	
2. Seed	32.20	36.80	41.40	
3. Herbicide	22.73	22.73	22.73	
4. Insecticide	42.15	42.15	42.15	
5. Fertilizer and Lime	44.05	50.85	57.65	
6. Fuel and Oil — Crop	3.79	4.20	4.61	
7. Fuel and Oil — Pumping ²	34.80	38.28	41.76	
8. Machinery and Equipment Repairs	20.39	22.60	24.81	
9. Irrigation Repairs and Maintenance	4.00	4.40	4.80	
10. Crop Insurance	5.80	6.26	6.45	
11. Drying				
12. Custom Hire ³				
13. Crop Consulting	6.50	6.50	6.50	
14. Miscellaneous	7.00	7.00	7.00	
15. Interest on 1/2 Variable Costs	12.56	13.63	14.68	
A. TOTAL VARIABLE COSTS	\$ 263.73	\$ 286.18	\$ 308.35	
FIXED COSTS PER ACRE: ¹				
16. Real Estate Taxes (including well)	3.84	4.52	5.19	
17. Interest on Land and Well ⁴	46.08	54.18	62.28	
18. Rent for Rented Land ⁵				
19. Depreciation on Crop Machinery	13.52	13.52	13.52	
20. Interest on Crop Machinery ⁶	14.04	14.04	14.04	
21. Depreciation on Irrigation Equipment and Well	24.07	24.07	24.07	
22. Interest on Irrigation Equipment ⁶	3.60	3.60	3.60	
23. Insurance on Machinery and Equipment	0.70	0.70	0.70	
B. TOTAL FIXED COSTS	\$ 105.85	\$ 114.63	\$ 123.40	
C. TOTAL COSTS (A + B)	\$ 369.58	\$ 400.81	\$ 431.75	
D. YIELD PER ACRE	160	190	220	
E. PRICE PER BUSHEL ⁷	\$ 2.62	\$ 2.62	\$ 2.62	
F. NET GOVERNMENT PAYMENT ⁷	\$ 12.18	\$ 13.23	\$ 14.29	
G. INDEMNITY PAYMENTS	\$	\$	\$	
H. MISCELLANEOUS INCOME	\$	\$	\$	
I. RETURNS PER ACRE [(D × E) + F + G + H]	\$ 431.38	\$ 511.03	\$ 590.69	
J. RETURNS OVER VARIABLE COSTS (I – A)	\$ 167.65	\$ 224.85	\$ 282.34	
K. RETURNS OVER TOTAL COSTS (I – C)	\$ 61.80	\$ 110.22	\$ 158.94	
L. VARIABLE COSTS PER BUSHEL (A ÷ D)	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.40	
M. TOTAL COSTS PER BUSHEL (C ÷ D)	\$ 2.31	\$ 2.11	\$ 1.96	
N. NET RETURN ON INVESTMENT				
[(K+15+17+20+22) ÷ INVESTMENT] ⁸	13.18%	22.16%	24.91%	

¹Totals were derived using information listed in Table 1.²See MF-836, "Irrigation Capital Requirements and Energy Costs."³If using custom hire, adjust lines 6, 8, 19, and 20.⁴Assumes interest rate shown in Table 1.⁵If cash rented, insert zero in lines 16 and 17.⁶Assumes one-half the average investment [(initial investment + salvage value) ÷ 2] at the interest rate shown in Table 1.⁷See MF-1013 "Prices for Crop and Livestock Cost-Return Budgets" and MF-2236 "Government Program Payments for Crop Cost Return Budgets" for additional information on crop prices and program payments.⁸Investment equals total value of all fixed assets shown in Table 1.**Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service, Manhattan, Kansas**

MF-969 Revised

October 1997

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, Richard D. Wootton, Associate Director. All educational programs and materials available without discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability.

File Code: Farm Management 3-1

10-97—1.5M