EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENT STRATEGY ON INTAKE AND DIGESTION OF PRAIRIE HAY BY BEEF STEERS

R. H. Greenwood, E. C. Titgemeyer, C. A. Löest, and J. S. Drouillard

Summary

The effects of supple mental corn (4 lb/day), rumen-protecte d methionine (4.25 grams DLmethionine per day), or a cooked molasses block (1 lb/day) on intake and digestion of prairie hay were measured i nbeef steers. Steers that consumed the cooked molasses block ate more forage than control steers, whereas forage intake was decreased by supplemental corn. Total tract organic matter digestion, expressed as a percent of intake, was numerically greatest for steers consuming the cooked molasses block. Digestible organic m atter intake, a rough estimate of energy available to the steers, was unaffected by methionine but was increased by supplementation of either corn or the cooked molasses block. Digestible organic matter intake tended to be greater for he block than for corn. Provi ding protein in a more concentrated form (block) tended to be more beneficial, because the negative effects of starch (corn) on forage intake were avoided.

(Key Words: Steers, Forage, Intake, Digestibility.)

Introduction

Intake of dorma nt forage often is limited by nutrient deficiencies . Degradable intake protein often is the most limiting nutrient. Deficiencies of degradable intake protein can reduce forage digestion and intake, thereby reducing the energy available for maintenance and growth of cattle grazing dormant forages. To increase available energy, supplements based on grains or on more concentrated sources of protein often are fed.

Differences have been noted in the ability of these supplements to increas eavailable energy to cattle.

Methionine is thought t obe the first limiting amino acid in micr dial protein. Supplying that amino acid to cattle may improve performance with low levels of total supplement.

Another aspect of supplementing cattle grazing dormant forages is the time and cost associated with supplementati on. Blocks can be used to supplement cattle with less time expenditure than hand-feeding supplements. With these points in mind, our objective was to investigate the effects of supplementation strategy on forage intake and digestion.

Experimental Procedures

Twelve British and British cross steers (average BW = 820 lb) were used in three, 4×3 incomplete Latin squares to ev auate the effect of supplement strategy on forage intake and digestion. Steers were penne dindividually in an open-front barn and provided ad libitum access to water and prairie hay (5.7% crude protein, 67.6% NDF (dry basis).

Treatment's were: 1) control, no supplement, 2) 4 lb/day (as fed) of supplemental corn (.31 lb crude protein per day), 3 5 grams/day of Smartamine-M®, a rumen-protected methionine product that provided 4.25 grams/day of DL-methionine, and 4) 1 lb/day of a cooked molasse's block (.31 lb crude protein per day). All steers received 20 grams of salt daily. Smartamine-M was mixed with the salt.

The experimental periods were 2 days with a 14-day ad aptation period followed by a 7-day intake and total fecal collection period. Orts

and fecal samples were collected daily in the morning, after which supplements and forage were offered.

Results and Discussion

One animal assigned to the cooke dmolasses block refused to consume his daily supplement; data from this steer were deleted from our analyses. Forage organic matter (OM) intake increased (P<.05) with cooked molasses block supplementation, but decreased with corn supplementation; rumen-protected methionine did not improve intake or digestion of forage (Table 1). Because animals assigned to the corn treatment received mor esupplemental OM than steers assigned to the other treatments, total OM intakes were similar between steers receiving corn and those receiving the cooked molasses block. This illustrates the substitution effect on intake of corn for forage. Organic mat-

ter digestibility was numerically highest for steers consuming the cooked molasses block. Corn did not affect digestion of the total diet, probably indicating that forage digestion was decreased when the highly digestible corn was included. Digesti He OM intake, an indicator of energy available for maintenance and(or) growth, was i receased by supplementation with either block or corn but tended to be higher for the block than for corn (P=.06).

In conclusion, supplemental corn increased digestible OM intake because the highly digestible starch more than offset its negative effect on forage intake. Digestible OM intake increased when animals received the cooked molasses block, because the additional protein (without extra starch) increased forage digestion, which subsequently increased forage and energy intake. Rumen-protected methionine was ineffective in stimulating forage intake or digestion by steers fed prairie hay.

Table 1. Intake and Digestion of Prairie Hay by Steers Fed Different Supplements

		Supplement			
Item	Control	Corn	Methionine	Block	SEM
Forage OM intake, lb/day	13.7ª	12.1 ^b	13.0 ^a	15.3°	.24
Supplement OM intake, lb/day	.0	3.4	.0	.7	
Total OM intake, lb/day	13.6 ^a	15.5 ^b	13.1 ^a	16.0^{b}	.25
Digestible OM intake, lb/day	6.8ª	7.9 ^b	6.4ª	8.6 ^b	.25
OM digestibility, % of intake	49.6	50.3	49.6	53.5	1.2

^{a,b,c} Means within rows without common superscript differ (P<.05).