AN ANALYSIS OF THE AVAILABILITY OF CAPPER-VOLSTEAD COOPERATIVE MEMBERSHIP TO AGRICULTURAL PRODUCERS

by

KENT D. HATESOHL

B.S., University of Missouri-Columbia, 1977

A MASTER'S REPORT

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agricultural Economics

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1979

Approved by:

Milton J. Manuel
Major Professor

Spec. Coll. LD 2067 .R4 1779 H37 C.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																													Page
LIST	OF	TABLE	s	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iv
ACKN	OWLE	EDGEME	NTS.	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
CHAP'	TER																												
I	. 1	INTROD	UCTI	ON	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
		Stud	ly Ob	jec	ti	7es	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
		Meth	ods	Use	d.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ě	•	•	•	•	6
II	. [EVELO	PMEN	т о	F	LNT	IT	RU:	ST	LE	lG1	ESI	A	CIO	NC	Aì	D	Tł	ΙE	C.	\PI	PEI	R-						
		VOLS	TEAD	AC	T.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	8
		Sher	man	Ant	it	cus	t A	Act	٤.	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
		Clay	ton	Act			٠			•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•		10
		Capp	er-V	ols	tea	ad	Ac	t.	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	12
		Ag	ricu	itu	ral	LS	it	uai	iic	n	•			•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	12
		Co	oper	ati	ve	Si	tu	at:	Lor	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•	•	•		•	•		13
		Le	gisl	ati	ve	Hi	st	or	7•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
III	. (CORPORATE PRESENCE IN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND																											
		COOPERATIV																					•	•	•	•	•	•	19
		Agri	.cult	ura	1 I	ro	du	ct:	Lor	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•		19
		Di	rect	Со	rpo	ora	te	I	170	1v	eı	ner	ıt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
		Co	ntra	ct	Pro	odu	ct:	ioı	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
		Coop	erat	ive	s		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
IV	-	UDICI	ΔΤ. Τ	NTE	וקק	FT	ΔΤ	וחד	ı c	F	117	FE	250	ימו	٠,	MT	, ,	'RA	RN	Æ.	211								
-1	•		R TO																			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
		Elm	Spri	ng	Fai	m.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
		Mary	land	an	d V	/ir	gi	nia	a M	ii 1	.k	Pı	cod	luc	eı	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34
		Sunk	ist.				•	•	•								•	•	•	•		•				•		•	36

	P.	age
	Apparent Contradiction Between Judicial Interpretation and Congressional Intent	39
	Holly Farms	42
٧.	THE NBMA CASE	44
	The Broiler Industry and NBMA	44
	NBMA in the Courts	47
	District Court	48
	Court of Appeals	49
	Supreme Court	50
	Analysis of the NBMA Decision	54
	Exclusive Use of Congressional Intent as Test	55
	Must Cooperative Members Associate for Handling Processing,	5 0
	or Marketing Purposes?	59
VI.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	61
	Summary	61
	Conclusions	63
BTRLTO	OGRAPHY	66

LIST OF TABLES

				Page
TABLE				
I.	PUBLICLY HELD SURVEYED BY			30

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. Milton Manuel for his guidance and encouragement throughout my graduate program.

To Drs. John McCoy and John Riley, I express my appreciation for their suggestions and constructive reading of this report.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural marketing cooperatives are a unique type of business organization in the United States business structure. These associations are unique in that they offer individual agricultural producers an opportunity to join together and collectively process and market their products. This opportunity to collectively market their goods is made available to agricultural producers only through special enabling legislation, the Capper-Volstead Act, as such activity would otherwise likely be forbidden by antitrust laws. Section I of the Act provides that "[p]ersons engaged in the production of agricultural products as farmers, planters, ranchmen, dairymen, nut or fruit growers may act together in associations, corporate or otherwise, with or without capital stock, in collectively processing, preparing for market, handling, and marketing in interstate or foreign commerce, such products of persons so engaged."

Section 1. Persons engaged in the production of agricultural products as farmers, planters, ranchmen, dairymen, nut or fruit growers may act together in associations, corporate or otherwise, with or without capital stock, in collectively processing, preparing for market, handling, and marketing in interstate and foreign commerce, such products of persons so engaged. Such associations may have marketing agencies in common; and such associations and their members may make the necessary contracts and agreements to effect such purposes: Provided, however, that such associations are operated for the mutual benefit of the members thereof, as such producers, and conform to one or both of the following requirements:

First. That no member of the association is allowed more than one vote because of the amount of stock or membership capital he may own therein, or Second. That the association does not pay dividends on stock or membership capital in excess of 8 per centum per annum.

And in any case to the following:

Third. That the association shall not deal in the products of non-members to an amount greater in value than such as are handled by it for members. 7 U.S.C. 291 (1970).