

COMFORT AND COOLING WITH BOX FANS

by

Eric R. Rosen

B. S., Bowling Green University, 1974

---

A Master's Thesis

submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements of the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Industrial Engineering

Kansas State University

Manhattan, Kansas

October, 1981

Approved by



---

Dr. Stephan Konz, Major Professor

SPEC  
COLL  
LD  
2668  
T4  
1981  
R67  
c.2

A11200 093258

COPYRIGHT

COMFORT AND COOLING WITH BOX FANS

ERIC R. ROSEN

1981

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iy
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	2
Forced convection is physiologically effective in maintaining comfort	2
Forced convection is appropriate within a narrow temperature range	4
Many considerations influence the perception of effectiveness of forced convection	9
Preference of wind level is situation-dependent	14
There is some ambiguity in the use of comfort values	20
Affective considerations are important in near-neutral thermal environments	27
A behavioral approach should minimize the effect of individual differences	32
PROBLEM	36
METHOD	37
Task	37
Subjects	37
Procedure and Experimental Design	37
Measurements	47
Non-thermal Factors	59
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
Influence of Independent Variables on Subject Behavior	61
Subject Characteristics Not Important	62
Validity of Subject Preferences	62

Influence of Fan Velocity on Temperature Estimated by Subjects	64
Influence of Independent Variables on Thermal Sensation and its Analogs	67
Influence of Independent Variables on Thermal Comfort, Raw and Loaded	69
ANOVA for Thermal Sensation Group and Thermal Comfort Group Variables	91
Relationship of Comfort to Coolness	93
Relationship of Comfort to Perception of Fan and Task	105
Interrelationship of Fan-Task and of Thermal Sensation Group Variables	108
Predetermined Conditions Influence PPC and PPD	108
Exposure Time Unimportant	121
Influence of Environment on Task Performance	121
Influence of Weather on Balloting	124
Convective Cooling with Fans Contrasts Favorably with Air Conditioning	131
CONCLUSION	134
REFERENCES	141
APPENDICES	146

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks go to Tom Shrimplin for the difficult task of limiting the unavoidable fluctuations in chamber conditions to  $\pm 1$  C and to  $\pm 5\%$  rh. Thanks to Neil Strunk for running nearly half the experiment in a consistent manner. Thanks to Dr. Rohles for suggestions leading to crucial alterations in the experimental design. Thanks to Dr. Konz for his patience, which exceeded my own, with this time-consuming project. Thanks to Dr. Bennett for providing the uplift of a detached viewpoint. Finally, thanks to the participants for the cooperation which is essential in any human factors study.

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1	Estimated values for the specific cooling power of air	5
2	Mean preferred air temperatures	8
3	Thermal Sensation Votes on 7-category scale expected	19
4	Predicted Thermal Sensation Votes on the 7-category scale	21
5	Votes of "comfortable" or cooler and the corresponding Predicted Percent Comfortable	26
6	Air velocity measurements	41
7	Display of time-weighted average sound levels of the same fan in two different rooms	44
8	Sound levels for both fans operating simultaneously	45
8a	Sound levels for fans in isolated operation	46
9	Factor analysis of the Thermal Comfort Votes (Scales A & B)	49
10	Factor analysis of the Thermal Comfort Votes (Scale A alone)	50
11	Factor analysis of the Thermal Comfort Votes (Scale B alone)	51
12	Factor analysis of the Fan Votes	52
13	Factor analysis of subset of the Fan Votes	53
14	Factor analysis of the Task Votes	54
15	Factor analysis of subset of the Task Votes	55
16	Factor analysis of the Fan-Task Interaction Votes	56
17	Factor analysis of subset of the Fan-Task Interaction Votes	57
18	Influence of fan velocity on Fahrenheit Temperature Estimated	65
19	Duncan's multiple range rankings for the Thermal Sensation Variable Group	70
20	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for Thermal Sensation	71

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
21	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for Preference for Temperature change	72
22	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for Temperature Estimated by subjects	73
23	Duncan's multiple range rankings for the Thermal Comfort Variable Group	81
24	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for STCV	86
25	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for LAPC_R	87
26	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for LAPC_A	88
27	Paired t-test for Predetermined Conditions for LAPC_B	89
28	Summary of 30 sequential ANOVA analyses	92
29	Correlation of Fan-Task and of Thermal Sensation Group Variables with Thermal Comfort at 25.6 C (78 F) DBT	98
30	Correlation of Fan-Task and of Thermal Sensation Group Variables with Thermal Comfort at 27.8 C (82 F) DBT	99
31	Correlation of Fan-Task and of Thermal Sensation Group Variables with Thermal Comfort at 30.0 C (86 F) DBT	100
32	Correlation of Fan-Task and of Thermal Sensation Group Variables with Thermal Comfort for all conditions combined	101
33	Mean values for Thermal Sensation and for LAPC_A	106
34	Pearson Correlation Coefficients for the Thermal Sensation Variable Group	109
35	Pearson Correlation Coefficients for the measures derived from the Fan-Task ballot	110
36	Mean values for Predicted Percent Dissatisfied and for Predicted Percent Comfortable	114
37	Best models for Thermal Comfort and for the Fan vote	129
38	Best models for Thermal Sensation Group Variables	130
39	Continuous current draw for fan motors	132