

TEST RELIABILITY AS A FUNCTION OF
SUBJECT ATTITUDE TOWARD
TEST TAKING

by

GERALD M. EADS II

B.A., Western Washington State College, 1967

A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree


MASTER OF SCIENCE

College of Education

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
Manhattan, Kansas

1976

Approved by:



Major Professor

LD
2668
74
1976
E37
C-2
Document

115

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are literally hundreds of individuals who have significantly touched my life in the nine years culminating in the completion of this exercise. The vast majority of them deserve thanks for their impact upon me both positive and negative.

In a more immediate sense, deserving of appreciation are those who provided assistance and support in the accomplishment of the task itself. I wish to thank Drs. Theresa Chang, Bob Newhouse, Leon Rappoport and Carole Urbansok for allowing access to their classes, and Major Ted Slifer for providing access to the correctional program trainees. A special note of thanks must be given to Lieutenant Carl Steiner, without whose assistance there would not have been a military sample.

To a fellow named Tom Gooch I owe the debt of my understanding of the Black Box that digested and regurgitated the numbers resulting in the following pages. I am honored to have known and learned from him.

Significant growth forces have also been bestowed by such good people as Drs. E. Robert Sinnet, Mike Rohrbaugh, Evelyn Gauthier, Dick Wampler, Steve Handel, Steve Carmean, B. L. Kintz, Louis Lippman and Kit and Sandra Taylor. Without their influence and support I surely would not have arrived here through my incredibly circuitous journey.

My committee, of course, has earned my gratitude for their support and assistance -- Drs. Fred Bradley, Mike Holen and Leon Rappoport. Mike, my primary mentor at this point in time, has managed the provision of a compendious assemblage of most useful knowledge and skill through his direction of this task. To Leon must go my appreciation and indebtedness beyond the scope of language for his unfailing support, direction, assistance, nurturance, and when appropriate, admonition, during the last six years of my frustrating search for purpose.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		
I.	INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	7
	Review of the Literature	9
	Intent of the Study	15
II.	PROCEDURES AND PRESENTATION OF DATA	18
	Subjects	18
	Procedure	18
	Instrument	19
	Presentation of Data	19
III.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	36
	Bibliography	39
	Appendix	41

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: Military Corrections Trainee, Normal Duty Military, College Male and College Female Samples Combined. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	21
2. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: Military Corrections Trainee and Normal Duty Military Samples Combined. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	22
3. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: College Male and College Female Samples Combined. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	23
4. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: Military Corrections Trainee Sample. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	24
5. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: Normal Duty Military Sample. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	25
6. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: College Male Sample. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	26
7. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: College Female Sample. Three Item "Interest in Questionnaire" Response Groups and Total Sample	27
8. KR-20 Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Rotter I-E Scale: Military Corrections Trainee, Normal Duty Military, College Male and College Female Samples Combined. Individual "Interest in Questionnaire" Item Response Groups "Interesting", "Like" and "Important"	28