

Light Ion Isotope Identification in Space using a Pixel Detector Based Single Layer Telescope

M. Kroupa,^{1,2} A. A. Bahadori,³ T. Campbell-Ricketts,^{4,2} S. P. George,^{4,2} N. Stoffle,^{1,2} and C. Zeitlin^{1,2}

¹*Leidos Innovations Corporation*

Houston, TX 77258, USA

²*Space Radiation Analysis Group, NASA, JSC*

Houston, TX 77058, USA

³*Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering, Kansas State University*

Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

⁴*Department of Physics, University of Houston*

Houston, TX 77204, USA

(Dated: 10 October 2018)

It is demonstrated that modern pixel detectors can be utilized as single-layer particle telescopes, offering details of a particle's stopping power evolution surpassing those provided by multi-layer, non-pixelated instruments. For particles that stop in the detector, this advantage arises from repeatedly sampling the Bragg curve: we always know which part of the Bragg peak was measured. We can then create a dE/dx_1 vs dE/dx_2 plot where the stopping power at the beginning and the end of the track is compared. We are able to identify and analyze several fine-grained features on such plots, including several related to particles that stop inside the detector, termed 'stopping'. Using data from an instrument aboard the International Space Station, we show that different isotopes of stopping hydrogen can be identified as their stopping powers differ. Other features of the dE/dx_1 vs dE/dx_2 plot not resolvable in multi-layer particle telescopes are also exhibited, such as nuclear interactions that occur within the sensor active volume.

Keywords: Isotope Identification, Particle detectors, Telescopes

I. INTRODUCTION

Space radiation presents a unique radiation detection challenge, being comprised of a mix of various radiation types spanning very broad ranges of kinetic energy and energy deposition per unit path length (dE/dx). Recent advances in radiation risk modeling^{1,2} require ion charge (or Z - ions in the space are fully ionized) and energy spectra, resulting in demand for detectors providing a more detailed characterization than state of the art.

Newly-developed radiation monitors utilizing the Timepix chip³ permit a much more complete understanding of the space radiation environment. Integration of front-end electronics in the pixel footprint results in a compact array of more than 65,000 detectors capable of measuring energy deposition. Together with low mass and low power consumption, detectors based on this technology are well-suited for NASA's future Exploration Missions.

Significant advances have also been made in pixel detector data analysis. Specifically, particle-by-particle characterization, instead of simple rate measurements or techniques that rely on spectra processing, is possible^{4,5}. Pixel data can be used to reconstruct the incident kinetic energy of heavy charged particles by segmenting particle tracks into separate spatial regions⁶. A similar method can be used to address slowing-down and stopped particles⁷, which have been shown to impact absorbed dose measurements obtained at different detector orientations⁸.

Particle telescopes are commonly used in high-energy and nuclear physics. They consist of multiple layers of

detectors which are selected with respect to the quanta measured. Telescopes and conceptually-related systems can range from simple configurations of multiple silicon diodes to extremely large and complex systems, such as Time Projection Chambers which have been used at large accelerators for several decades. The particle telescope approach has been successfully employed in space, where mass, volume, and power constraints typically limit the size and performance of the instruments. Examples of such instruments are numerous and include detectors aboard the Advanced Composition Explorer and Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite spacecraft, among many others. In the context of human precursor missions to deep space, instruments include Martian Radiation Environment Experiment aboard the Mars Odyssey orbiter⁹, Mars Science Laboratory Radiation Assessment Detector on the Curiosity rover¹⁰, and Cosmic Ray Telescope for the Effects of Radiation on the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter¹¹. Similar instruments are also used on the International Space Station (ISS), such as Anomalous Long Term Effects on Astronauts¹². These telescopes, which vary in mass from less than 2 kg to 30 kg, use coincidence logic to trigger on events in which the incident particle traverses multiple detectors. By measuring the energy deposited in different layers of the telescope more information about interacting particles can be acquired. In some designs, a calorimeter is employed to measure the energy of medium-energy particles, typically those with energies less than a few hundred mega-electronvolt per nucleon, thereby allowing analysis via the ΔE - E_{tot} method.

Here, the advantages of pixel-based detectors are

demonstrated for characterization of the space environment. Although the pixel detectors described here are comprised of only a single active layer, segmentation of particle tracks provides multiple dE/dx samples, similar to the concept employed in earlier ground-based particle detectors¹³. These pixel detectors represent a less expensive, less massive, and more compact system than possible with previous generations of multilayer charged particle telescopes.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Detector Description

The Timepix read-out chip consists of a 256-by-256 array of pixels, each with spectroscopic capability. The pixel pitch is 55 μm . A 500 μm -thick pixelated silicon semiconductor sensor is bump-bonded to the Timepix chip. When an ionizing particle enters the sensor, it creates electron-hole pairs, which then travel through the reverse-biased semiconductor (100 V), producing signals measured in multiple pixels. Signal amplitudes are related to energy deposition in the sensor^{14,15}.

Timepix data acquisition consists of taking snapshots, known as “frames”, of charged-particle tracks over an adjustable time period. Within a frame, each ionizing particle traversing the sensor creates a collection of contiguous pixels with non-zero signal, referred to as a “cluster”. A cluster can be many tens of pixels in size. By analyzing the cluster, one can determine properties of the particle that produced the track, including incident angle and path length through the sensor. Coupled with accurate energy calibration, a high-fidelity measurement of dE/dx results.^{5,7,16}

B. Cluster Segmentation

Clusters can be separated into multiple segments, or sub-clusters⁶. While this methodology in general allows for very fine segmentation, in this application, we create two sub-clusters, permitting two-dimensional plots of dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 , where 1 and 2 denote two virtual detector layers mimicking a two-element particle telescope.

Each virtual layer is defined to provide a track length of about 500 μm , corresponding to typically about 10 pixels. The present analysis therefore includes only tracks with polar angles greater than 60° , where polar angle is defined as the angle between the detector surface normal and the particle track. It was empirically found that track lengths of 500 μm ensure good sampling of dE/dx while accepting sufficient particles to populate the plot of dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 . For tracks longer than 1000 μm , the sub-clusters are created at the ends of the track and the middle part is not utilized, as shown in Figure 1, as the evolution of dE/dx in the detector is best characterized by comparing the start and the end of the track.

The angular filtering also limits the fractional error in the cluster dx calculation due to uncertainty in the depth at which a particle stops.

Charge-sharing effects between pixels sometimes lead to anomalously low signal at the extreme ends of a track. To account for this, following our previously described procedures⁶, we ignore the end pixels of the track when forming sub-clusters, if their energy is below a certain threshold.




FIG. 1. Theoretical proton stopping curves in silicon for two different initial energies. The curves were calculated using the Bethe formula¹⁷. The regions corresponding to the 500 μm at either end of the track are marked with dashed lines.

C. Data Selection and Filtering

We utilize data from one of the Radiation Environment Monitor (REM) units^{5,16} located in the Columbus laboratory on the ISS during the period from January 1, 2014, to November 25, 2017 (more than 1200 days). The live time during this period, limited by station support computer availability, was 65%. Frames and corresponding clusters were separated into two categories corresponding to the ISS location in its orbit: South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) and non-SAA, where galactic cosmic rays (GCR) dominate. The regions were empirically defined using detector count rates¹⁸. Data collected in the GCR region is the focus of the present study.


III. RESULTS

Two-dimensional histograms exhibiting dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 are shown in Figure 2 for the GCR region. Several distinct features can be observed in these plots. Specific regions to be discussed are indicated in Figure 2a. It is notable that for penetrating particles, it is unknown which half of the cluster corresponds to the entry of the particle inside the sensor active volume. As a consequence, scatter plots show symmetry along the line defined by $dE/dx_1 = dE/dx_2$.


A. Areas A, B, and C

Area A corresponds to minimum ionizing particle (MIP) protons and other singly-charged particles. The vast majority of the events in this area lie on the axis where the dE/dx for both sub-clusters are equal. Broadening about the line $dE/dx_1 = dE/dx_2$ is caused by statistical fluctuations in energy deposition for penetrating charged particles, as characterized by the Landau-Vavilov distribution^{19,20}. The slice through Figure 2a at $\log_{10}(dE/dx) = -0.5$ (corresponding to 0.32 keV/ μm) agrees well with the MIP-proton Landau-Vavilov distribution.

Area B corresponds to a mix of slower protons and MIP



(a) dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 for data acquired on ISS outside of the SAA region with highlighted areas of interest.



(b) Zoom of the dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 for data from 2a

FIG. 2. Scatter plot of the the dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 for data taken outside of the SAA.

helium ions. At higher values of dE/dx , there is a split (Area C) where two branches diverge from the $dE/dx_1 = dE/dx_2$ line, shown in greater detail in Figure 2b. This part of the plot corresponds to less-energetic protons, which slow down enough in the first virtual layer to show significant change in dE/dx .

B. Areas D, E, F, and G

For particles that stop inside the detector active volume, the difference between the two sub-cluster dE/dx values is substantial. These particles populate horizontal or vertical regions in a plot of dE/dx_1 vs. dE/dx_2 . The appearance and location of these stripes is explained with the aid of Figure 1, which illustrates stopping curves for protons, calculated using the Bethe formula¹⁷.

Particle range in the detector increases with kinetic energy, while the stopping power decreases with kinetic energy. For different particle kinetic energies, therefore, the range and the energy deposited at the beginning of the track ΔE_1 differs. The energy deposited over the last 500 μm of a stopping track, however, is independent of the particle's initial kinetic energy and varies only with the particle's charge and mass.

Integrating over the calculated curves in Figure 1, it is found that for stopping protons, ΔE_2 is always 8.1 MeV. This value corresponds to an average dE/dx of about 16 keV/ μm , the base-10 logarithm of which is 1.2. Performing similar calculations for deuterons and tritons, vertical stripes are expected at dE/dx_2 equal to 20 and 24 keV/ μm , respectively ($\log_{10}(dE/dx)$ values of 1.30 and 1.38). The differences compared to protons are due to different masses and stopping powers of those isotopes. Fitting over Areas D, E, and F with Gaussian curves produces means at 15.1, 19.1 and 23.1 keV/ μm , respectively, strongly supporting the association of these features with the three mentioned isotopic species, especially in view of the known bias for overestimating dx due to a lack of knowledge of the stopping depth in the sensor. For tracks long enough to result in an inferred polar angle not less than 60°, however, the resulting error in dE/dx is less than 15%. In cases where dx is over-estimated, dE/dx_2 is slightly underestimated.

Figure 1a represents very nearly the lowest proton energy capable of producing a track long enough to be divided into two 500 μm virtual layers. Because stopping power decreases with increasing kinetic energy (and consequently with range), ΔE_1 of 4 MeV thus represents almost the highest possible first sub-cluster energy for a proton. This corresponds to a dE/dx of 8 keV/ μm , the base-10 logarithm of which is 0.9, corresponding to the highest point on the stripe in Area D. Calculating stopping curves for deuterons and tritons, and applying similar analysis, it is found that the tops of the corresponding stripes are expected to be approximately 10.9 and 13.1 keV/ μm , respectively ($\log_{10}(dE/dx)$ of 1.04 and 1.12). Figure 2b, with reference to the areas indicated in

Figure 2a, shows that Areas D, E, and F comply closely with these predictions. Using data in these regions, the measured ratio for stopping protons to deuterons is 7.9, while for deuterons to tritons the ratio is 4.9. Understanding these ratios has value in evaluating the accuracy of space radiation environment and transport models.

Observation of features corresponding to three hydrogen isotopes in a single layer is the result of a level of track-length resolution not possible with a single, monolithic sensor of the type used in traditional particle telescopes. In Figure 2, dE/dx_2 is calculated using a track length corresponding to the width of 10 pixels. With a monolithic sensor, however, the track length is usually assumed to be the average path length through the sensor, resulting in large errors for some particles. Figure 3 shows the stopping-power evolution for protons stopping at different depths within a monolithic 500 μm silicon sensor, demonstrating that the integrated energy deposition in the silicon can vary considerably. Consequently, the calculated dE/dx values will exhibit significant errors, due to a lack of knowledge of the true track length.




FIG. 3. Bragg curves for protons stopping at different locations within a 500 μm monolithic silicon sensor. The integral over the deposited energy in the sensor, ΔE_2 , and consequently, the calculated dE/dx depends on the depth reached by the proton before stopping.

Stopping-curve integrals for ^3He and ^4He predict ΔE_2 values of 55 and 62 $\text{keV}/\mu\text{m}$ respectively (1.74 and 1.79 in logarithmic scale). These values agree well with Area G in Figure 2a. The number of clusters in this area is small, but separating ^3He and ^4He appears feasible with Timepix-based radiation detectors.

C. Area H

Area H represents fragmentation in the silicon sensor, producing a stopping proton. An example of such a reaction is shown in Figure 4. Separation of these events is possible using cluster shape characteristics, or morphology. To the right of Area H in Figure 2, a fainter stripe corresponding to interactions generating a stopping deuteron can also be resolved. These events are not discernible in multi-layer telescopes since the signal from both the nuclear interaction and the stopping proton or deuteron would be summed.




FIG. 4. An example of a nuclear interaction resulting in the stopping proton.

D. Carbon-Nitrogen-Oxygen Group

dE/dx spectra measured with Timepix on ISS exhibit an artifact in the form of a spurious peak at about 12 $\text{keV}/\mu\text{m}$ corresponding to miscalculation of the track length for stopping particles⁷. This artifact can be seen in the blue curve in Figure 5, showing the whole-cluster dE/dx spectrum for all particles represented in Figure 2a. The red curve in Figure 5 results from filtering the data such that all events outside the dashed lines in Figure 2a are rejected, thus representing clusters for which dE/dx is relatively constant. This filtering enhances the MIP peaks of the carbon-nitrogen-oxygen ion group, with a slight indication of a boron peak. The expected locations of these features are determined using Landau-Vavilov theory^{19,20}, and agree well with the peaks in Figure 5.

IV. SUMMARY

A single layer pixel detector can be used for identification of stopping isotopes, among other features of the space radiation environment. The ability to sample particle dE/dx along the trajectory, together with determining cluster morphology, make pixel detectors an excellent tool for space radiation monitoring and environment characterization.

A pixel-readout, single-layer telescope is shown to be capable of hydrogen isotope identification. Isotopic separation of ^3He and ^4He is feasible and could be used to help predict the source and time course of an SPE during upcoming missions outside of Earth's geomagnetic field^{21,22}. Missions outside of low-Earth orbit require efficiency in all systems due to strict power and mass constraints. Systems using pixel-based space radiation detectors can meet these constraints, and have been shown to provide data products that meet and exceed state-of-the-art space radiation monitoring hardware.




FIG. 5. dE/dx spectra from all data shown in Fig. 2a (blue), data within the dotted lines in Fig. 2a (red), and inferred for clusters in Areas D, E, and F (green).

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the NASA Human Health and Performance Contract (NNJ15HK11B), and by the Kansas State University Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering and the Kansas State University Office of Engineering Research and Graduate Programs.

VI. CITATIONS

- ¹Francis A. Cucinotta, Myung-Hee Y. Kim, and Lori J. Chappell. Space radiation cancer risk projections and uncertainties – 2012. *NASA Technical Paper*, 2012.
- ²Francis A Cucinotta. A new approach to reduce uncertainties in space radiation cancer risk predictions. *PLoS One*, 10(3):e0120717, 2015.
- ³Xavier Llopart, Rafael Ballabriga, Michael Campbell, Lukas Tlustos, and Winnie Wong. Timepix, a 65k programmable pixel readout chip for arrival time, energy and/or photon counting measurements. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 581(1-2):485–494, 2007.
- ⁴Nicholas N. Stoffle. *Viability of Determining Ion Charge and Velocity Utilizing a Single Silicon Timepix Detector*. PhD thesis, University of Houston, 2014.
- ⁵Martin Kroupa, Amir Bahadori, Thomas Campbell-Ricketts, Anton Empl, Son Minh Hoang, John Idarraga-Munoz, Ryan Rios, Edward Semones, Nicholas Stoffle, Lukas Tlustos, Daniel Turecek, and Lawrence Pinsky. A semiconductor radiation imaging pixel detector for space radiation dosimetry. *Life Sciences in Space Research*, 6:69 – 78, 2015.
- ⁶M Kroupa, AA Bahadori, T Campbell-Ricketts, SP George, and C Zeitlin. Kinetic energy reconstruction with a single layer particle telescope. *Applied Physics Letters*, 112(13):134103, 2018.

- ⁷Nicholas Stoffle and Lawrence Pinsky. Identification of stopping ions in a silicon Timepix detector. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 880:35–39, 2018.
- ⁸Amir A. Bahadori, Rajarshi Pal Chowdhury, Martin Kroupa, Thomas Campbell-Ricketts, Ana Firan, Dan J. Fry, Ramona Gaza, Stuart P. George, Lawrence S. Pinsky, Nicholas N. Stoffle, Ryan R. Rios, and Cary J. Zeitlin. Slowing-down and stopped charged particles cause angular dependence for absorbed dose measurements. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, pages –, 2018.
- ⁹Gautam D Badhwar. Martian radiation environment experiment (marie). In *2001 Mars Odyssey*, pages 131–142. Springer, 2004.
- ¹⁰DM Hassler, C Zeitlin, RF Wimmer-Schweingruber, S Böttcher, C Martin, J Andrews, E Böhm, DE Brinza, MA Bullock, S Burmeister, et al. The radiation assessment detector (rad) investigation. *Space science reviews*, 170(1-4):503–558, 2012.
- ¹¹Harlan E Spence, AW Case, MJ Golightly, T Heine, BA Larsen, JB Blake, P Caranza, WR Crain, J George, M Lalic, et al. Crater: The cosmic ray telescope for the effects of radiation experiment on the lunar reconnaissance orbiter mission. *Space science reviews*, 150(1-4):243–284, 2010.
- ¹²L Narici, F Belli, V Bidoli, M Casolino, MP De Pascale, L Di Fino, G Furano, I Modena, A Morselli, P Picozza, et al. The altea/alteino projects: studying functional effects of microgravity and cosmic radiation. *Advances in Space Research*, 33(8):1352–1357, 2004.
- ¹³W.B. Christie, J.L. Romero, F.P. Brady, C.E. Tull, C.M. Castaneda, E.F. Barasch, M.L. Webb, J.R. Drummond, H.J. Crawford, I. Flores, D.E. Greiner, P.J. Lindstrom, H. Sann, and J.C. Young. A multiple sampling ionization chamber (music) for measuring the charge of relativistic heavy ions. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 255(3):466 – 476, 1987.
- ¹⁴Jan Jakubek. Precise energy calibration of pixel detector working in time-over-threshold mode. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 633:S262 – S266, 2011. 11th International Workshop on Radiation Imaging Detectors (IWORID).
- ¹⁵M. Kroupa, T. Campbell-Ricketts, A. Bahadori, and A. Empl. Techniques for precise energy calibration of particle pixel detectors. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 88(3):033301, 2017.
- ¹⁶Nicholas Stoffle, Lawrence Pinsky, Martin Kroupa, Son Hoang, John Idarraga, Clif Amberboy, Ryan Rios, Jessica Hauss, John Keller, Amir Bahadori, Edward Semones, Daniel Turecek, Jan Jakubek, Zdenek Vykydal, and Stanislav Pospisil. Timepix-based radiation environment monitor measurements aboard the International Space Station. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 782:143 – 148, 2015.
- ¹⁷H. Bethe. Zur Theorie des Durchgangs schneller Korpuskularstrahlen durch Materie. *Annalen der Physik*, 397:325–400, 1930.
- ¹⁸Nicholas Stoffle, John Keller, and Edward Semones. Initial report on international space station radiation environment monitor performance. *NASA Technical Paper*, (TM-2016-219278), 2016.
- ¹⁹P. V. Vavilov. Ionization losses of high-energy heavy particles. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 5:749–751, 1957. [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 32,920(1957)].
- ²⁰B Schorr. Programs for the Landau and the Vavilov distributions and the corresponding random numbers. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 7(CERN-DD-73-26. 4):215–24. 21 p, Aug 1973.
- ²¹Donald V Reames. Energetic particles from impulsive solar flares. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 73:235–251, 1990.
- ²²Donald V Reames. The two sources of solar energetic particles. *Space Science Reviews*, 175(1-4):53–92, 2013.

