A STUDY OF THE NUMBER OF GENES FOR LEAF RUST
REACTION DIFFERENTIATING CERTAIN WHEAT VARIETIES

by

CHARLES J. MODE

B.S., North Dakota Agricultural College, 1952

―――――

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agronomy

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

1953

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

Wheat leaf rust, <u>Puccinia triticina</u> Eriks., may be partially
controlled by such cultural measures as change in date of sowing
and fertilization. These are, however, only adjuncts to the
fundamental solution of the problem, the breeding of resistant
varieties. To plan and carry out a breeding program designed to
produce resistant varieties a knowledge of the genetics of rust
reaction is essential to make most rapid progress. Relatively
little is known about the genetics or the nature of leaf rust re-
action. Before complete control of the disease is attained by
the production of resistant varieties, the genetics of the host
as well as the nature of leaf rust reaction must be more fully
understood.

Leaf rust, one of the most destructive diseases of wheat in
Kansas, is prevalent during most seasons and causes losses in the
forms of reduced pasturage in the fall or yield of grain the fol-
lowing summer. A genetic study, with the purpose of determining
the inheritance of rust reaction in crosses among the eight
leaf rust differential varieties and Pawnee, is being conducted
at Kansas State College. The inheritance of rust reaction in the
seven wheat crosses reported in this thesis is only a part of the
program.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Nature of Leaf Rust Reaction

Rust reaction may be classified into two general response types, resistance and susceptibility. The inherent properties of a plant, functional, morphological, and physiological, which inhibit or allow the development and sporulation of the rust, determine whether it is resistant or susceptible.

Chester (1946) cited studies of various investigators in which they attempted to explain resistance in terms of such properties and constituents of cells as pH, osmotic pressure, aromatic compounds, and enzymes. Correlations have been obtained between resistance and content of phenolic compounds and gums. Such compounds, according to Chester, may mark off broad categories of resistance and susceptibility between species, but cannot explain physiologic specialization within a species. Chester stated that Gassner's hypothesis of dependence of resistance on specific proteins and antibody reactions seemed to be the most plausible and made progress toward explaining obligate parasitism.

Chester also presented a hypothesis of dependence of resistance and susceptibility on certain nutritional relationships between the host and parasite. In both resistant and susceptible plants, the fungus invades the host cells, but in resistant plants the cells of the host and fungus die. Chester believes that the fungus cells die as a result of starvation, the wheat plant being unable to supply specific nutrients needed by the parasite. Since the fungus is intracellular, its degenerate products kill the host

cells, causing the necrotic flecking observed in resistant
reactions.

In higher plants resistance to wheat leaf rust is common.
Susceptibility is rare, being confined to varieties of Triticum
and to species of Thalictrum and Isopyron, alternate hosts. Since
susceptibility is rare, Chester suggested that the key to varietal
resistance in Triticum lies in determining the cause of suscep-
tibility. He suggested that the cause of susceptibility may be
certain proteins peculiar to species and varieties of Triticum.

Allen (1923) made critical cytological studies of the re-
lation of certain races of wheat stem rust to resistant and sus-
ceptible hosts. Baart, a variety susceptible to races 3 and 19;
Kanred, a variety susceptible to race 3 and highly resistant to
race 19; and Mindum, which is immune to race 3 and susceptible to
race 19, were studied comparatively. Race 3 formed normal haus-
toria in susceptible Baart and Kanred. The host cells proximal to
the fungus showed increased metabolic activity, while the host
nuclei increased in volume. Plastids decreased in size, but in-
creased metabolic activity appeared to check this effect. The
host nuclei eventually collapsed. Race 3 also formed haustoria
in immune Mindum. In this case, the living contents of the host
cells flowed rapidly to the haustoria and condensed around them.
The protoplasm of the intercellular hyphae moved to the haustoria,
as the haustoria formed and the host cells collapsed. Death of
the haustoria followed collapse of the host cell. The fungus was
thus impoverished, while the surrounding host cells became plas-
molyzed. Essentially the same reaction was observed when resis-

tant Kanred was inoculated with race 19.

A more or less congenial relation exists between a suscep-
tible host and the parasite during the initial states of infec-
tion; whereas this is not true in the case of a resistant host.
According to Walker (1950), it is still a matter of conjecture
whether the fungus produces a material toxic to the host cell or
whether something in the host cell is toxic to the fungus. Walker
also stated that the approach to the nature of disease reaction on
the basis of presence or lack of nutrients essential to the path-
ogen deserves much more consideration than it has been given in
the past.

Wheat seedlings, susceptible to leaf rust, may become re-
sistant as they become older. Johnston (1937) has called this
"the maturative type of resistance" which is now referred to as
the mature or adult plant resistance. This type of resistance may
appear very early in the development of the wheat plant, the sec-
ond, third, and fourth leaves showing resistance as compared with
the primary leaf (Johnston and Mains 1932). In contrast there
are no clear cut cases in which varieties resistant to leaf rust
as seedlings become susceptible as mature plants, a resistant re-
action to a given race on the primary leaf being a good index of
rust reaction to the same race throughout the entire life of the
plant.

Inheritance of Leaf Rust Reaction

The literature on inheritance studies with wheat leaf rust
are presented in Table 1. Chester (1946) reviewed the literature

up to 1939. In all crosses studied varieties have been found to
be differentiated by one or two genes pairs.

Table 1. Inheritance of resistant reactions to wheat leaf rust.

Cross	:	Reference
Monohybrid Dominant		
Malakof (R)* x several (S)** varieties		(Mains et al., 1926)
Malakof (R) x C.I. 3778, Race 12		"
Norka x C.I. 3756, Races 5, 12		"
Webster (R) in various crosses		(Cited by Chester,1946)
Rumpaus n. Schlanstedter x Normandie (R)		"
1014 x Normandie (R), Race 15		"
Webster x Mediterranean		(Mains, 1926)
Cannberra x Thew (R) Austr. 1		(Waterhouse, 1930)
Riverina x Thew (R) Austr. 1		"
Gluyas x Thew (R) x Federation Austr. 1		"
Japanese bearded (R) x Federation Austr. 1		"
Norka (R) x Ceres, Race 3		(Mains, 1934)
Vulg. 037 x Ukrainka, Poltavka		(Leighty, 1929)
Hope (R) x Leap's Prolific		(Adams, 1939)
Hope (R) x Fulcaster		"
Hope (R) x Purplestraw		"
Peragis x Normandie (R), Race 15		(Cited by Chester,1946)
Blausamtiger Kolbers x Peragis (R), Race 15		"
Malakof x Susceptible wheats		(Leighty, 1926)
Malakof x several vars., Race 12, 3		(Leighty, 1929)
(H44-Reward x Baringa) x (Hard Federation x Dicklow)		(Wells and Swenson, 1944)

Table 1. (Con't.)

Cross	:	Reference
Monohybrid Dominant		
Malakof x Democrat, Races 5, 58		(Woodward, 1950)
Lee (R) x Mida, Race 5		(Wu and Ausemus, 1953)
Monohybrid Recessive		
Malakof x C.I. 3778 (R), Race 5		(Mains et al., 1926)
Kanred x Fulcaster		(Cited by Chester,1946)
Harvest Queen x Fulcaster		"
Harvest Queen x Kanred		"
Ardito (R) x San Martin		"
Ardito (R) x various S varieties		"
Varonne (R) x various S varieties		"
Normandie (R) x various S varieties		"
Dinkel x emmers (usually)		"
Durum x vulgare		"
Turgidum x compactum		"
Polonicum x spelta		"
Malakof x C.I. 3778 (R), Race 5		(Leighty, 1929)
Susceptible vars. x Democrat, Mediterranean 35.27		(Cited by Chester,1946)
Kanred x Fulcaster		"
Hope (R) x Ukrainka		"
Marquis x Ukrainka		"
Odessa 0719 x Mediterranean (R)		"
Chino 166 (R) x Lin Calel		"

Table 1. (Con't)

Cross	: Reference

Monohybrid Recessive

Cross	Reference
Kanred x several susceptible varieties	(Mains et al., 1926)
(Mediterranean-Hope x Pawnee) x Comanche, Race 9	(Schlehuber, 1950)
(Oro x Mediterranean x Hope) x Comanche, Race 9	"
(Kawvale - Marquillo x Kawvale-Tenmarq) x Cheyenne, Race 9	"
(Kawvale - Marquillo x Kawvale-Tenmarq) x Comanche, Race 9	"
Wabash x Michigan Amber, Races 9, 31, 65, 78, 79, 80, 101, 110	(Caldwell, et al., 1947)
Lee x Mida, Race 126	(Wu and Ausemus, 1953)

Monohybrid Incomplete Dominance

Cross	Reference
Kanred x several S varieties	(Mains, et al., 1926)
Malakof (R) x several S varieties	"
Malakof x Webster (R)	"
Fulcaster (R) x Kanred	"
Vulgare Chinese x dicoccum (R)	(Guard, 1938)
Malakof x R varieties	(Leighty, 1926)
037 x Cooperatorka	(Cited by Chester, 1946)
Marquis x Lutescens 0329	"
Pawnee x RedChief, Race 9	(Penny, 1947)

Dihybrid Dominant

Cross	Reference
Norka x C.I. 3756	(Mains et al., 1926)
12H x 38 MA (R)	(Cited by Chester, 1946)

Table 1. (Concl.)

Cross	Reference

Complimentary Dominant Factors

Pawnee x Chinese, Race 9	(Heyne and Livers, 1953)

Dihybrid Recessive

Vulgare 037 x Minhardi	(Cited by Chester,1946)
Vulgare 037 x Belaya Tserkov 6182	"
Malakof x Democrat, Race 9	(Woodward, 1950)

Dihybrid Incomplete Dominance

Lee (R) x Mida (mixture of races)	(Wu and Ausemus,1953)

Transgressive Segregation for Resistance

Thatcher x Triumfo (mixture of races)	(Swenson et al.,1947)
Oro x Tenmarq (mixture of races)	(Wismer,1934)

Linkage Between Reactions to Races

Lee x Mida	Races 5 and 126, 21 ± 2.73	(Wu and Ausemus, 1953)

*(R) Resistant
**S   Susceptible

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The mode of inheritance of seedling reaction to physiologic races 5, 9, 15, 19, and 58 of wheat leaf rust was studied in seven crosses, using F_3 lines and bulk F_3 populations. Seedling plants were classified by the rust reaction on the primary leaf, according to standard reaction types used in identifying physiologic races. These standard reaction types were described by Mains and Jackson (1926) as follows:

0. Highly resistant; no uredinia formed; flecked.
1. Very resistant; more or less flecked; accompanied by few small uredinia.
2. Moderately resistant; uredinia fairly abundant and of moderate size, accompanied by necrosis.
3. Moderately susceptible, uredinia fairly abundant and of moderate size, sometimes chlorosis.
4. Very susceptible; uredinia abundant, sometimes in more or less pronounced green islands.

In addition to the types described above, two reaction types, considered to be in the resistant category and designated as X and Y, were used. An X reaction, described by Johnston and Mains (1932), commonly observed in hybrids, is characterized 0 and 4 reaction types occurring at random on the same leaf. Abundant pustules of the 4 type on the upper one-third of the leaf grading down to a 0 type reaction at the base of the leaf characterizes the Y reaction.

The parental varieties and their reactions to the races used

in the study are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Parental varieties and their reactions to the five
          physiologic races of leaf rust.

Variety	Physiologic Race				
	5	9	15	19	58
Malakof CI 4898	4	4	0	4	0
Carina CI 3756	0	1-2	0	4	2
Brevit CI 3778	0-1	1-2	0-1	2	3-4
Webster CI 3780	0-1	4	0	4	1
Loros CI 3779	0-1	4	0-1	4	3
Mediterranean CI 3332	4	0-1	4	0	4
Hussar CI 4843	2+	1-2+	0-1	1	2
Pawnee CI 11669	4	0-1	4	0-1	4

Seedlings were tested to only one physiologic race at a time.
Purity of race was maintained by keeping each race isolated in a
greenhouse section. Racial purity was checked by growing at
least four differential varieties in each test. After completion
of each race study, the greenhouse was thoroughly cleaned before
another race was introduced.

Fresh inoculum for each seedling test was provided by growing
and inoculating six 3-inch pots of the susceptible wheat variety,
Cheyenne. Inoculations, using pure race cultures, were made ten
days following each planting. The same day Cheyenne was inocu-
lated, twenty-five to fifty seeds of each F_3 line together with
the parents and at least four differential varieties were planted

in one-hundred 3-inch pots. In order to identify its progeny,
the seed from an individual F_2 plant was assigned a number which
was then used throughout the tests. Ten days following planting,
the seedlings were inoculated by brushing them with infected
Cheyenne plants and incubated in a portable, cloth moist-chamber
for twelve hours.

Readings to determine the breeding behavior of the F_3 lines
were taken approximately ten days after inoculation. The lines
were classified homozygous resistant, homozygous susceptible or
segregating for rust reaction. In homozygous lines a single
reading was taken, while in segregating lines individual plants
were classified as to reaction type.

The phenotypic expectation for the general case in segrega-
ting F_3 lines with duplicate genes involved, where p and 1-p are
the recombination values for repulsion and coupling respectively,
were calculated in the following manner.

Genotype	Proportion of Segregating Genotypes	Manner of AB		Segregation ab
AaBb	$(1-2p + 2p^2)$	$\dfrac{(4-p^2}{4}$	:	$\dfrac{p^2)}{4}$
Aabb or aaBb	$2p (1-p)$	$\dfrac{(3}{4}$	:	$\dfrac{1)}{4}$
		$\dfrac{4-2p+p^2+2p^3-2p^4}{4}$	:	$\dfrac{2p-p^2-2p^3+2p^4}{4}$

Thus, the expectation for the ab class is $(1-2p+2p^2)$ $\dfrac{(p^2)}{4}$ +
$\dfrac{2p(1-p)}{4} = \dfrac{2p-p^2-2p^3+2p^4}{4}$. When p is 0.5, the AB and ab classes
occur in a ratio of 0.844:0.156 or 27:5. Similarly, the pheno-
typic or genotypic expectation for segregating F_3 lines in any

cases where two genes were involved were calculated by the same method.

Phenotypic expectation in the bulk F_3 populations for three independent factors was calculated as follows:

(5A:3a)(5B:3b)(5C:3c) = 125ABC:75ABc:75AbC:75aBC:45Abc:
                        45aBc:45abC:27abc.

The breeding behavior of F_3 lines, i.e., whether they are homozygous or heterozygous, can be classified with accuracy and precision. Classification of individual plants in segregating lines was, however, subject to greater error, due to variation in environmental conditions in the greenhouse affecting the development of both the host and parasite.

In certain cases, the observed fraction of resistant plants in segregating lines was far below expectation, suggesting that gene penetrance was incomplete. Penetrance of genes for resistance was defined as Penetrance = $\frac{1}{T}\left[O \pm t_{.05}\sqrt{\frac{O(1-O)}{n}}\right]$, where T = theoretical fraction of resistant plants, O = observed fraction of resistant plants, n = number of observations, and $t_{.05} = 5\%$ t-value for degrees of freedom equal to infinity.

The recombination value for the case of linked duplicate genes in coupling was estimated by the method of maximum likelihood. The formulae were derived by Dr. Fryer, Statistics Department, Kansas State College.

$$\hat{p} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

$$S. E. \hat{p} = \sqrt{\frac{2n_1}{n(n_1 + n_2)}}$$

n_1 = number of lines breeding true for the AB class.

n_2 = number of lines breeding true for the ab class.

n = number of observations.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Seedling Reaction to Five Races of Leaf Rust

The breeding behavior of the F_3 lines and the phenotypic classification of the segregating F_3 lines and bulk F_3 populations are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

Mediterranean was resistant to race 9 and susceptible to races 5, 15, and 58. Webster was resistant to races 5, 15, and 58, but susceptible to race 9. These varieties were differentiated by a single gene pair for reaction to race 9 and for reaction to races 5, 15, and 58 as supported by the data (Tables 3 and 4). The resistant reaction of Webster to races 5, 15, and 58 was completely dominant to the Mediterranean susceptible reaction. The breeding behavior of the F_3 lines tested to races 5, 15, and 58 was the same, indicating that the resistance of Webster to these races was due to the same gene. The resistant reaction of Mediterranean to race 9 was incompletely dominant to the reaction of Webster. Heterozygotes were presumably of the X type reaction, but could not be distinguished accurately from homozygous resistant plants. If readings were taken before the rust was sporulating freely, the X reaction could not be separated from a 4 type as indicated by the penetrance value, $0.942 \pm .01$ (Table 4). Penetrance was complete if readings were taken when the rust was fully developed.

Carina and Hussar were both resistant to races 5, 9, 15, and 58. The Hussar reaction to these races varied from 2-2+. The

Carina reaction was 0 to races 5 and 15, and 1-2 to races 9 and
58. Transgressive segregation for susceptibility to all four
races was obtained. The data support the hypothesis that two
different factors, segregating independently in the F_2, were re-
sponsible for the resistance of these varieties to the four races.
With few exceptions, probably due to errors in classification,
all lines breeding true for resistance and segregating 0-2 to
races 5 and 15 bred true for resistance to race 58, suggesting
that the same genes were involved in the reaction to these races.
The Carina reaction to races 5 and 15 was epistatic to the Hussar
reaction when the Carina genes for resistance were homozygous,
as shown by the small departure from the 1:4 ratio (Table 4). The
Carina and Hussar genes behaved as independent-dominant dupli-
cate factors when the F_3 lines were tested to races 9 and 58.
Penetrance of Carina genes for resistance was 0.897± .012 and
0.742± .014 for races 9 and 58 respectively (Table 4).

Pawnee was susceptible to races 5, 15 and 58. The Carina
resistant reaction to these races in the cross, Pawnee x Carina,
was dominant to susceptibility. Both parents were extremely
variable in their reaction to race 58 but gave stable reactions
to races 5 and 15. Each line breeding true or segregating to
races 5 and 15 behaved in the same manner when tested to race 58,
suggesting that the same genes were responsible for the reactions
to all three races. Linked duplicate genes in the coupling
phase with 16.3 ± 9.3 percent crossing over differentiated the
reactions of these varieties to the three races as evidenced by
the data (Tables 3 and 4). Apparently Carina was composed of

Table 3. Classification of leaf rust reaction of F3 lines with hypotheses and Chi-square test of goodness of fit.

Cross	Physiologic Race	Leaf Rust Reaction of F3 Lines 0-1*	Seg.	4	Hypothesis	X²	P lies between
Webster x Mediterranean	9	50	90	53	1:2:1	0.968	0.7 - 0.5
	5	46	96	47	1:2:1	0.070	0.98 - 0.95
	15	40	93	48	1:2:1	0.728	0.5 - 0.3
	58	34	87	39	1:2:1	0.728	0.5 - 0.3
Carina x Husser	9	$\frac{1-2*}{52}$	$\frac{Seg.}{72}$	$\frac{4}{17}$	7:8:1	0.355	0.7 - 0.8
	5	$\frac{0}{34}$ $\frac{0-1\#}{11}$	$\frac{0-2-1\#}{39}$ $\frac{2-1\#}{20}$	$\frac{0-2\#}{17}$ $\frac{2}{9}$ $\frac{4}{9}$	4:4:4:2:2:1:1	3.504	0.8 - 0.7
	15	$\frac{0}{34}$ $\frac{0-1\#}{7}$	$\frac{0-2-1\#}{41}$ $\frac{2-1\#}{18}$	$\frac{0-2\#}{21}$ $\frac{2}{6}$ $\frac{4}{9}$	4:4:4:2:2:1:1	9.030	0.2 - 0.1
	58	$\frac{2-2*}{58}$	$\frac{Seg.}{62}$	$\frac{4}{9}$	7:8:1	0.249	0.7 - 0.5
Carina x Pawnee	5	$\frac{0-1*}{51}$	$\frac{Seg.}{72}$	$\frac{4}{27}$	Linked duplicate genes 16.3 ±9.3 crossing over	0.247	0.9 - 0.8
	15	50	72	27	"	0.168	0.95 - 0.9
	58	$\frac{2-2*}{50}$	$\frac{Seg.}{67}$	$\frac{4}{25}$	"	0.555	0.8 - 0.7

Table 3. (Concl.)

Cross	Physiologic Race	Leaf Rust Reaction of F3 Lines			Hypothesis	X^2	P lies between
Brevit x Husar	9	$\frac{2\text{-}24^{**}}{52}$	$\frac{Seg.}{83}$	$\frac{4}{8}$	7:8:1	3.733	0.2 -0.1
	15	$\frac{0\text{-}1^{*}}{63}$	$\frac{Seg.}{64}$	$\frac{4}{13}$	7:8:1	2.628	0.3 -0.2
Carina x Malakof	5	$\frac{0}{95}$	$\frac{Seg.}{115}$	$\frac{4}{138}$	4:6:6	2.948	0.3 -0.2
Loros x Pawnee	5	$\frac{0\text{-}1^{*}}{39}$	$\frac{Seg.}{101}$	$\frac{4}{51}$	1:2:1	4.822	0.1 -0.05
Webster x Pawnee	5	$\frac{0}{58}$	$\frac{Seg.}{120}$	$\frac{4}{68}$	1:2:1	0.959	0.7 -0.5

*: Range of reaction
#: Manner of segregation
Seg. Segregating

Table 4. Phenotypic classification of leaf rust reaction of individual plants in segregating lines and bulk F3 populations with hypotheses and Chi-square test of goodness of fit.

Cross	Physiologic Race	Phenotypic Classification		Hypothesis	X^2	P lies between	Penetrance
Webster x Mediterranean	9	$\frac{0-1**}{1380}$	$\frac{4}{574}$	3:1	20.026	<0.01	0.94±.01
	5	1654	585	3:1	1.459	0.3-0.2	100
	15	2876	950	3:1	0.051	0.9-0.8	100
	58	2978	1087	3:1	6.475	0.02-0.01	100
Carina x Husser	9	$\frac{1-2**}{1799}$	$\frac{4}{577}$	27:5	134.882	<0.01	0.879±.012
	5	$\frac{0}{427}$	$\frac{2-4**}{1772}$	1:4	0.430	0.7-0.5	100
	15	790	2956	1:4	2.704	0.2-0.1	100
	58	$\frac{2-2**}{2272}$	$\frac{4}{1391}$	27:5	1386.123	<0.01	0.74±.014
Carina x Pawnee	5	$\frac{0-1**}{1069}$	$\frac{4}{375}$	Linked duplicate genes 16.3:49.3 crossing over	37.428	<0.01	0.92±.014
	15	2546	672	"	3.549	0.1-0.5	100
	58	$\frac{2-2**}{1151}$	$\frac{4}{1416}$	"	>100	<0.01	0.56±.019

Table 4. (Con't.)

Cross	Physio-logic Race	Phenotypic Classification			Hypothesis	X²	P lies between	Penetrance
Brevit x Hussar	9	$\frac{1\text{-}24**}{2758}$	$\frac{4}{731}$		27:5	74.683	< 0.01	0.937±.008
	15	$\frac{1924}{1082}$	$\frac{4}{972}$		27:5	758.894	< 0.01	0.759±.066
Carina x Malakof	5	$\frac{0}{2916}$			3:1	1.233	0.3-0.2	100
Loros x Pawnee	5	$\frac{0\text{-}1*}{2302}$	$\frac{4}{776}$		3:1	0.285	0.7-0.5	100
Webster x Pawnee	5	$\frac{0}{2786}$	$\frac{4}{974}$		3:1	1.640	0.3-0.2	100
Phenotypic classification of F₃ populations								
Carina x Malakof	5	$\frac{0}{2375}$	$\frac{4}{1198}$		3.35:1.77	1.665	0.2-0.1	100
	15	$\frac{436}{1209}$	$\frac{4}{245}$		3.17:1.95	1.213	0.3-0.2	100
	19	$\frac{0}{1209}$	$\frac{Y}{902}$	$\frac{4}{812}$	1.65:1.25:2.22	0.686	0.5-0.3	100
Webster x Mediterranean	9	$\frac{0\text{-}x**}{1405}$	$\frac{4}{812}$		5:3	0.686	0.5-0.3	100
	5	$\frac{0}{1371}$	$\frac{4}{665}$		5:3	8.067	< 0.01	100

Table 4. (Concl.)

Cross	Physio-logic Race	Phenotypic Classification		Hypothesis	X^2	P lies between	Penetrance
Loros x Pawnee	5	$\dfrac{0-1*}{1562}$	$\dfrac{4}{1022}$	5:3	4.551	0.05-0.02	100
Webster x Pawnee	5	$\dfrac{0}{1164}$	$\dfrac{4}{670}$	5:3	0.693	0.5-0.3	100

* Range of reaction.

different genotypes with respect to rust reaction, since inde-
pendent segregation was observed in the Carina x Hussar cross
tested to races 5, 15, and 58. Estimated penetrance of genes
for resistance to 5 and 58 was $0.92\pm .014$ and $0.56\pm .019$ respec-
tively (Table 4).

Both Brevit and Hussar were resistant to races 9 and 15.
Transgressive segregation for susceptibility to both races was
obtained, indicating Brevit and Hussar possessed different domi-
nant factors for resistance to each race. These factors segre-
gated independently in the F_2 as shown by the nonsignificant de-
viation from the 7:8:1 ratios (Table 3). Penetrance was estimated
as $0.937\pm .008$ for race 9 and $0.759\pm .066$ for race 15 (Table 4).

Malakof was susceptible and Carina was resistant to race 5.
Resistance was completely dominant in this cross, being condi-
tioned by a single gene. However, the number of homozygous sus-
ceptible and segregating F_3 lines were far from expectation in a
monohybrid ratio. It appeared as if the gene for resistance and
a sticky gene, causing non-disjunction at anaphase I of meiosis
if homozygous and hypostatic to homozygous genes for resistance,
were located on the same chromosome at least fifty crossover
units apart. Parental and F_2 genotypes together with their
breeding behavior in the F_3 are as follows:

Parents: Carina AABB x Hussar aabb

F_2 Genotype	Breeding Behavior in F_3
1 AABB	Breeds true; 0
2 AaBB	Breeds true phenotypically; 4
2 AABb	Breeds true phenotypically; 0

4 AaBb	Segregates; 10=0, 6=4.
1 AAbb	Breeds true; 0
2 Aabb	Segregates; 3=0, 1=4
1 aaBB	Breeds true; 4
2 aaBb	Breeds true phenotypically; 4
1 aabb	Breeds true; 4

If it is assumed that A is the gene for resistance, under the above hypothesis all AA--genotypes breed true for resistance. Non-disjunction seemed to occur in AaBB and aaBB genotypes. Apparently chromosomes carrying the B factor in the homozygous condition were lost during meiosis, resulting in a high proportion of nullisomics which were susceptible because the A gene was not present. Chi-square tests show the data closely fit the expected ratios of 4:6:6 and 3:1 for the breeding behavior of the F_3 lines and in the segregating lines, P lying between 0.3 and 0.2 in both cases (Tables 3 and 4). Resistant and susceptible plants occurred in a ratio of about 2:1 in the bulk F_3 population. This 2:1 ratio is not explainable with the above hypothesis, but may be explained on a three factor basis. With a three factor hypothesis phenotypes ABC, AbC, Abc, abC, and Abc are resistant; phenotypes aBC, ABc and abc are susceptible, and occur in a ratio of 3.35:1.17 (Table 4). The Chi-square test for goodness of fit was good, P lying between 0.2 and 0.1. It seems paradoxical that the breeding behavior of the F_3 lines and the segregation observed in the bulk F_3 population cannot be explained with the same hypothesis. The peculiar behavior of the Carina x Malakof cross, when tested to race 5, remains an enigma which

will probably be clarified only by cytological investigations.

Carina and Malakof were both highly resistant to race 15 and susceptible to race 19. Transgressive segregation for susceptibility to race 15 and high resistance to race 19 was obtained in bulk F_3 populations. Three factors appeared to be involved in both cases. The segregation in the bulk F_3 population tested to race 15 may be explained by the following factorial hypothesis.

Parents: Carina (abc) x Malakof (ABC).

Phenotype	Reaction to Race 15
ABC	0
AbC	0
abC	0
aBc	0
abc	0
aBC	4
ABc	4
Abc	4

The Chi-square test indicates the observed numbers of resistant and susceptible plants do not deviate significantly from the expected ratio of 3:17:1.95 (Table 4). Transgressive segregation for resistance to race 19 was explainable with the following factorial hypothesis.

Parents: Carina (xyZ) x Malakof (XYz).

Phenotype	Reaction to Race 19
XYZ	Y
XyZ	0
Xyz	0

Phenotype	Reaction to Race 19
xYz	0
XYz	4
xYZ	4
xyZ	4
xyz	4

A fair fit to the expected ratio, 1.65-0:1.25-y:2.22-4 was obtained, P lying between 0.1 and 0.05 (Table 4).

Loros was resistant to race 5 and Pawnee susceptible. A single gene, as supported by the data in tables 3 and 4, differentiates these varieties with respect to reaction to race 5, resistance being incompletely dominant.

Pawnee was susceptible to race 5; Webster resistant. As indicated by the data (Tables 3 and 4) a single gene differentiates these varieties. The resistant reaction of Webster is also completely dominant in this cross.

Inheritance of Glume Color

Carina had brown glumes and Malakof white glumes. Three genes differentiated these varieties with respect to glume color. In this cross, brown glume color appeared to be dependent upon gene dosage, at least two dominant genes being required for color. Genotypes with six dominant genes were very dark brown. The following F_2 data support this hypothesis.

Dark Brown	Medium Brown	White
5	291	52

$x^2 = 0.171$, D. F. = 2, P = 0.95-0.9.

Loros had brown glumes and Pawnee white glumes. The F_2 was classified as follows as to glume color. Deviations from a 3:1 ratio are not significant, indicating a monofactorial basis of inheritance with brown dominant.

Brown   White

159   42

$x^2 = 1.195$, D. F. = 1, P = 0.3-0.2

Associations Between Characters

Chi-square tests for independence of inheritance between characters are presented in Table 5. In the Webster x Mediterranean cross, the reaction to race 9 and the reaction to races 5, 15, and 58 were inherited independently, the deviations from a two-factor genotypic ratio being nonsignificant. The reactions to race 9 and races 5, 15, and 58 in the Carina x Hussar cross, and the reactions to race 9 and 15 in the Brevit x Hussar cross were not inherited independently. Resistant reactions to all four races were definitely associated in these crosses. Unfortunately, the paucity of genetic data in these studies prohibits explaining these associations with factorial hypotheses. The Chi-square test for independence indicates reaction to race 5 and glume color was inherited independently in the Loros x Pawnee cross.

Table 5. Chi-square test for independence of inheritance between characters.

Cross	Characters	N	D.F.	x^2	P lies between
Webster x Mediterranean	Reaction to race 9 vs. Reaction to races 5, 15 and 58	189	8	7.200	0.5-0.3
Carina x Hussar	Reaction to race 9 vs. races 5, 15, and 58	137	4	24.140	<0.01
Brevit x Hussar	Reaction to race 9 vs. Reaction to race 15	137	4	36.548	<0.01
Loros x Pawnee	Reaction to race 5 vs. Glume color	201	1	3.211	0.1-0.05

DISCUSSION OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Genetically speaking, a resistant reaction to leaf rust under particular environmental conditions may be due to the action of a single gene, as illustrated by the completely dominant reaction of Webster to races 5, 15 and 58 in the progeny of the Webster x Mediterranean cross; to intraallelic interaction, as illustrated by the progeny giving 0 type reactions in the Carina x Hussar cross; or to the additive effects of allelic genes as illustrated by the incompletely dominant reaction of Mediterranean to race 9. In the reaction of the Webster x Mediterranean cross to race 9, individuals homozygous for resistance genes displayed a higher type of resistance than heterozygotes. That resistance to leaf rust may also be due to both inter- and intraallelic interaction was suggested in the reaction of the Carina x Malakof to races 15 and 19. Resistance appeared to be due to either the interaction of dominant genes, XZ, or recessive genes, yz and xz. In the Carina x Malakof cross the phenotype, abc, was among those resistant to race 15. In the case of trihybrid recessive phenotypes, all genes must be in the homozygous condition to express resistance, indicating that both inter- and intraallelic interactions were involved in the resistant reaction. Similar genic relationships appeared to condition susceptibility. The evidence presented for the hypothesis explaining the reactions of the Carina x Malakof cross to races 15 and 19 was not conclusive, however, since the data were based entirely on the reaction of individual plants.

In all seven crosses studied, whenever both parents were resistant to a physiologic race, transgressive segregation for susceptibility was obtained, demonstrating that resistance to a race may be caused by at least two distinct genes. These genes, which appeared to be acting independently and were non-additive in their effects, were exemplified by the reaction of the Brevit x Hussar cross to race 9. Lines homozygous for two genes for resistance gave the same phenotypic reaction as lines homozygous for one gene, as indicated by the 7:8:1 ratio (Table 3). Any cumulative effects of genes for resistance were not detectable.

The occurrence of transgressive segregation for both resistance and susceptibility indicated that the varieties possessed genes for leaf rust reaction that were inhibited in their expression. The expression of these genes became manifest when combined in new combinations by segregation and recombination. The fact that different combinations of genes, as in the reaction of the Carina x Malakof cross to races 15 and 19, gave the same phenotypic expression suggested there may be many genes for leaf rust reaction. Any two varieties probably possessed many genes for rust reaction, but were differentiated by one or two gene pairs as determined by genetic tests.

That a series of multiple alleles for leaf rust reaction may exist was suggested by the reactions of the Carina x Hussar and Carina x Malakof crosses to race 5. The resistant reaction of Carina was completely dominant in the Carina x Malakof cross. In the Carina x Hussar cross, it was necessary for the Carina genes for resistance to be homozygous in order for the Carina re-

action to be expressed. Phenotypically the resistant reaction of Carina was the same in both crosses. Two explanations were possible. Either alternative alleles for the 0 type reaction to race 5 occurred in the variety, Carina, or Hussar and Malakof carried different alleles for susceptibility. The fact that Carina was found to possess linked duplicate genes for resistance in Carina x Pawnee cross tested to race 5 strongly suggested that the variety, Carina, was composed of a series of genotypes in which alternative alleles for rust reaction existed.

The expression of leaf rust reaction was not only dependent upon the genetic constitution of the host but also upon a particular race of pathogen. For example, Webster gave a 0 type reaction with small necrotic flecks to races 5 and 15 and a 1 type reaction with very large necrotic areas to race 58, yet the same gene was responsible for resistance to all three races. Similarly the expression of the Carina genes for resistance was of the 0 type reaction to races 5 and 15 but of a 2 type to race 58.

If it were assumed that the host genes for leaf rust reaction were necessary for the production of specific nutrients essential for the growth of the pathogen and that physiologic races of rust have specific nutrient requirements determined by their genetic constitution, the behavior of wheat leaf rust on wheat seemed analogous to the behavior of certain mutant strains of Neurospora on minimal media as reported by Emerson (1952). Emerson cited instances in which the growth of mutant strains of Neurospora having specific nutrient requirements for particular amino acids was inhibited by the presence of other amino acids not ordinarily

interfering with growth. This inhibition of growth seemed comparable to the Y reaction of the Carina x Malakof cross to race 19. Phenotypes XYz and xYZ were among those which gave a susceptible reaction to race 19. The growth of the rust, apparently, was not inhibited when XYz or xYZ factors were present. On the other hand, the presence of XYZ factors seemed to inhibit the growth of the rust. Emerson cited other cases in which mutant strains of Neurospora would not grow unless supplied a specific nutrient. Such cases may be comparable to the Webster reaction to races 5, 15 and 58 in which a single completely dominant gene was responsible for resistance. Webster, evidently, could not supply essential factors for the growth of the rust.

Comparisons between leaf rust and Neurospora may be untenable, but superficial analogies as given above seemed to exist. Neurospora may be readily cultured on artificial media; whereas, the 2n stage of wheat leaf rust is an obligate parasite found only on wheat. Whether or not the host genes are factors influencing the nutrition of the pathogen can only be decided by further research.

SUMMARY

The inheritance of leaf rust reaction was studied in seven
wheat crosses, Webster x Mediterranean, Carina x Hussar, Carina
x Pawnee, Carina x Malakof, Brevit x Hussar, Loros x Pawnee, and
Webster x Pawnee. With the exception of Pawnee, the parents com-
prise seven of the eight leaf rust differential varieties used in
identifying physiologic races. Physiologic races 5, 9, 15, 19,
and 58 were used in the study.

Webster had one dominant factor for resistance to races 5,
15, and 58. Mediterranean had one incompletely dominant factor
for resistance to race 9. In the Webster x Mediterranean cross,
resistance to race 9 and to races 5, 15, and 58 were inherited
independently. The resistant reaction of Webster was also com-
pletely dominant and monofactorially inherited in the Webster x
Pawnee cross tested to race 5. The behavior of Carina was in-
consistent in the three crosses tested, suggesting the variety
was composed of different genotypes for rust reaction. Carina and
Hussar carried different genes for resistance to races 5, 9, 15,
and 58. The Carina reaction to races 5 and 15 was epistatic to
the Hussar reaction, when the Carina genes for resistance were
homozygous. The reaction to race 9 and to races 5, 15 and 58,
which appeared to be due to the same genes, were not independently
inherited. Carina and Pawnee were differentiated by linked dupli-
cate genes in coupling with 16.3±9.3 percent crossing over in
their reactions to races 5, 15, and 58. The behavior of the
Carina x Malakof cross tested to race 5 in which resistance ap-

peared to be conditioned by a single gene, could not be adequately
explained. Three factors appeared to be involved in the trans-
gressive segregation for susceptibility to race 15 and high re-
sistance to race 49 in the Carina x Malakof cross tested to these
races. Brevit and Hussar carried different genes for resistance
to races 9 and 15. The reactions to races 9 and 15 were associ-
ated in inheritance in this cross. The resistance of Loros to
race 5 was differentiated from the susceptibility of Pawnee by
a single gene. The reaction of Loros was incompletely dominant.

The inheritance of glume color was studied in the Carina x
Malakof and Loros x Pawnee crosses. Three genes differentiated
Carina and Malakof with respect to glume color. Brown glume
color was dependent upon at least two dominant genes. Gene
dosage appeared to influence color intensity. The brown glume
color of Loros was differentiated from the white glumes of Pawnee
by a single dominant gene. Brown glume color and reaction to race
5 were inherited independently.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

LITERATURE CITED

Adams, W. E.
    Inheritance of resistance to leaf rust in common wheat.
        Jour. of Amer. Soc. Agron. 31: 35-40. January, 1939.

Allen, R. F.
    Cytological studies of infection of Baart, Kanred, and
        Mindum wheats by Puccinia graminis tritici forms III and
        XIX. Jour. Agr. Res. 26:571-604. 1923.

Caldwell, R. M.
    Correlated inheritance of resistance to eight races of wheat
        leaf rust, powdery mildew, and glume color (abstract).
        Phytopath. 37:4. 1947.

Chester, K. S.
    The cereal rusts. Waltham, Mass., Chronica Botanica Co.,
        1946. 269p.

Emerson, S.
    Biochemical Models of heterosis in neurospora. Heterosis.
        Ames, Iowa, Iowa State College Press. 1952. 199-217.

Guard, A. T.
    Studies on cytology and resistance to leaf rust of some inter-
        specific and intergeneric hybrids of wheat. Am. Jour.
        Bot. 25:478-480. 1938.

Heyne, E. G. and Livers, R. W.
    Monosomic analysis of leaf rust reaction, awnedness, winter
        injury and seed color in Pawnee wheat. Jour. Amer. Soc.
        Agron. 45:54-58. February, 1953.

Johnston, C. O.
    Resistance of winter wheat to leaf rust. Kan. Agr. Expt.
        Sta. Bienn. Rept. 1934-1936. 93-94. 1937.

Johnston, C. O. and Mains, E. B.
    Studies on physiological specialization of Puccinia triticina,
        U.S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bul. 313. 1932. 22p.

Leighty, C. E.
    Breeding wheat for disease resistance. Proc. Int. Cong. Pl.
        Sci. Ithaca, N. Y. 1926-1929. 1:149-153.

Leighty, C. E.
    Wheat breeding for resistance to leaf rust. U. S. Dept. of
        Agr. Yearbook of Agr. 1926: 761-763.

Mains, E. B.
    Inheritance of resistance to powdery mildew, *Erysiphe graminis*
        tritici, in wheat. Phytopath. 24:1257-1261. 1934.

Mains, E. B.
    Studies in rust resistance. Jour. of Hered. 17:313-325. 1926.

Mains, E. B. and Jackson, H. S.
    Physiologic specialization in the leaf rust of wheat, *Puccinia*
        triticinia. Phytopath. 16:89-120. 1926.

Mains, E. B., Leighty, C. E. and Johnston, C. O.
    Inheritance of resistance to leaf rust, *P. triticinia*, in
        crosses of common wheat, *T. vulgare*. Jour. of Agr. Res.
        33:931. 1926.

Penny, L. H.
    Inheritance studies in the cross, Pawnee x RedChief winter
        wheat. Unpublished Masters Thesis, Kansas State College
        Library. 1947. 39p.

Schlehuber, A. M.
    Reaction of varieties and inheritance of resistance to races
        of leaf rust, *Puccinia rubigo-vera tritici* (Erikss)
        Carleton,in hard red winter wheat. Sonderdruck aus Zeits-
        chrift fur Pflanzenzuchtung. 1950. Band 29, Heft 2.

Swenson, S. P., Buchholtz, W. F. and Grafius, J. E.
    Complementary genes for leaf rust resistance and the inheri-
        tance of stem rust resistance and awnlessness in a spring
        wheat cross. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 39:739-749.
        September, 1947.

Walker, J. C.
    Plant Pathology. New York: McGraw Hill. 1950. 699p.

Waterhouse, W. L.
    Australian rust studies III. Initial results of breeding
        for rust resistance. Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S. Wales 1930.
        55:596-636.

Wells, D. G. and Swenson, S. P.
    Inheritance and interaction of genes governing reaction to
        stem rust, leaf rust, and powdery mildew in a spring wheat
        cross (abstract). Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 36:991-992.
        December, 1944.

Wismer, C. A.
    Inheritance of resistance to bunt and leaf rust in the cross,
        Oro x Tenmarq. Phytopath. 24:762-799. 1934.

Woodward, V. W.
    The inheritance of leaf rust resistance in two simple wheat
    crosses. Unpublished Masters Thesis. Kansas State College
    Library. 1950. 42p.

Wu, C. S. and Ausemus, E. R.
    Inheritance of leaf rust reaction and other characters in a
    spring wheat cross. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 45:43-48.
    February, 1953.

A STUDY OF THE NUMBER OF GENES FOR LEAF RUST
REACTION DIFFERENTIATING CERTAIN WHEAT VARIETIES

by

CHARLES J. MODE

B.S., North Dakota Agricultural College, 1952

_____

AN ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agronomy

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

1953

To plan and carry out a breeding program designed to produce leaf rust resistant varieties a knowledge of the genetics of rust reaction is essential to make the most rapid progress. A study, with the purpose of determining the genetics of rust reaction in crosses between the eight leaf rust differential varieties and Pawnee, is being conducted at Kansas State College. Only seven of these crosses were reported on in this study.

The mode of inheritance of seedling reaction to leaf rust in the crosses, Webster x Mediterranean, Carina x Hussar, Carina x Pawnee, Carina x Malakof, Brevit x Hussar, Loros x Pawnee, and Webster x Pawnee, was studied using F_3 lines and bulk F_3 populations. Seedling plants were classified by the rust reaction on the primary leaf, according to standard reaction types used in identifying physiologic races. Physiologic races 5, 9, 15, 19, and 58 were used in this study.

Webster and Mediterranean were differentiated by a single gene in their reactions to races 5, 9, 15, and 58. The resistance of Webster to races 5, 15, and 58 was completely dominant, while the resistance of Mediterranean to race 9 was incompletely dominant. The reactions to races 5, 15, and 58, which were governed by the same genes in this cross, were inherited independently of the reaction to race 9. The resistant reaction of Webster was also completely dominant and monofactorially in the progeny of the Webster x Pawnee cross was tested to race 5.

Transgressive segregation for susceptibility was obtained in the Carina x Hussar cross tested to races 5, 9, 15, and 58. The Carina reaction to races 5 and 15 was epistatic to the resistant

reaction of Hussar when the Carina genes for resistance were in
the homozygous condition. When tested to races 9 and 58, Carina
and Hussar genes for resistance behaved as independent, dominant,
duplicate factors in the F_2. The reactions to races 5, 15, and
58, which appeared to be due to the same genes, and the reactions
to race 9 were associated in inheritance in this cross. The re-
sistance of Carina to races 5, 15, and 58 was differentiated from
the susceptibility of Pawnee by linked duplicate genes in coupling
with 16.3 ± 9.3 percent crossing over.

The reaction of the Carina x Malakof cross to race 5 could
not be adequately explained. Resistance seemed to be conditioned
by a single completely dominant gene from Carina, but the number
of segregating and homozygous susceptible lines were far from
expectation in a monohybrid ratio. The inconsistent behavior of
the Carina reaction in the three crosses suggested that the var-
iety may be composed of different genotypes for rust reaction.

Carina and Malakof were resistant to race 15 and susceptible
to race 19. Transgressive segregation for susceptibility to race
15 and high resistance to race 19 was obtained in bulk F_3 popu-
lations. Three factors appeared to be involved in both cases,
with resistance or susceptibility dependent on specific combin-
ations of genes.

The resistance of Brevit and Hussar to races 9 and 15 was
due to separate genes, as indicated by the transgressive segre-
gation for susceptibility to both races. These genes behaved as
independent, dominant, duplicate factors in the F_2. The reactions
to races 9 and 15 were not inherited independently in this cross.

The resistant reaction of Loros to race 5 was differentiated from the susceptibility of Pawnee by a single gene. The reaction of Loros was incompletely dominant.

The inheritance of glume color was studied in the Carina x Malakof and Loros x Pawnee crosses. Three genes differentiated Carina and Malakof with respect to brown glume color, the brown color of Carina being dependent upon the presence of at least two dominant genes. Gene dosage appeared to influence color intensity. The brown glume color of Loros was differentiated from the white glumes of Pawnee by a single dominant gene. Brown glume color and reaction to race 5 were inherited independently.