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Abstract

Ligand capped metal entities come in two sizes; (1) molecular clusters of 10 – 200 metal atoms, and (2) nanoparticles of 2000 – 10000 metal atoms. In numerous cases, certain “magic sizes” have been found to be most accessible and stable; clusters of 25, 38, 55, 102 atoms and nanoparticles of 3500 – 5000 atoms or 4 – 5 nm. The most familiar and studied system is that of gold (metal) and thiol (ligand). Herein the methods of synthesis of these gold clusters vs gold nanoparticles are carefully compared. In the cluster case, an important intermediate is \((\text{Au}^+ \cdot \text{SR})_n\) polymer, which is not the case in the synthesis of nanoparticles either from metal (vapor) atoms or metal ions. Also, it is shown that thiol can act as both a reductant \((\text{Au}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Au}^{1+})\), and as an oxidant \((\text{Au}^0 \rightarrow \text{Au}^{1+})\). The thermodynamic forces responsible for the favored formation of certain size clusters, and nanoparticles are discussed.

Thiol reduces gold(III) ion to gold(I), and oxidized gold atoms to gold(I).
Introduction

In what has become a large and vigorous research area, that of ligand capped metal nanoparticles, a tendency toward certain sizes has been observed by many workers.\textsuperscript{1-12} Gold has been studied most extensively, and it has been interesting to observe that the results can be separated into two categories: (1) small nanocrystals, better termed clusters of gold atoms, such as $\text{Au}_{24}, \text{Au}_{25}, \text{Au}_{38}, \text{Au}_{102}, \text{Au}_{130}, \text{Au}_{144}, \text{Au}_{225}$ and a few others; (2) gold nanoparticles of 3 – 5 nm (1000 to 4000 atoms)\textsuperscript{1-5} and sometimes 9 – 10 nm.\textsuperscript{24} For both categories it has been shown that there are clearly numerous examples of size focusing under apparent thermodynamic control. In the case of the “larger” particles this has been called digestive ripening, although with the clusters it has been called “size focusing”.

A fair question is “what features of the synthetic methods employed caused this thermodynamic control”? Also, “why are these vastly different sizes obtained?” The ligands employed are certainly important. For example, excess dodecylthiol with gold nanoparticles under reflux in toluene led to monodisperse 4.5 ± 0.4 nm particles,\textsuperscript{4} and variation in alkyl chain length led to only minor changes in the sizes achieved.\textsuperscript{25} On the other hand, dodecylamine led to narrow size distribution with 8.6 ± 1.3 nm size.\textsuperscript{24} Interestingly, these results were found with two quite different synthetic approaches; metal vapor derived nanoparticles\textsuperscript{4} where only zero-valent particles were present, and inverse micelle stabilized gold ions reduced by sodium borohydride eventually leading to zero-valent particles.\textsuperscript{26} It should be noted that the digestive ripening step was carried out in refluxing organic solvents, usually toluene. When a similar approach was carried out in water, using water soluble thiol ligands, digestive ripening still occurred, but the resultant nanoparticles were slightly smaller (4.2 ± 0.5 nm).\textsuperscript{27}
For the gold cluster work the synthetic approaches are quite different and usually include a two step reduction of Au(III) with polymeric Au(I)-SR formed as an intermediate.\textsuperscript{15} Also, the thiols studied in the gold thiol work were of different structures. The most commonly used was 2-phenylethanethiol \([C_6H_5CH_2CH_2SH]\) or water soluble thiols such as glutathione.\textsuperscript{10} In comparison, in the nanoparticles work, usually long chained alkyl thiols were employed such as straight chained dodecylthiol \([C_{12}H_{25}SH]\).

A comparative study was needed, which will be discussed now.

**A. Solvated Metal Atom Dispersion (SMAD)**

The metal vapor method employed the Solvated Metal Atom Dispersion (SMAD) process.\textsuperscript{4} Metal vapor (atoms) are trapped in frozen solvent at 77 K, and upon warming the atoms migrate to find other atoms, and nanoparticles are thus formed. Ultimate size depends on the solvent employed, amount used, and polarity. Solvents such as acetone, butanone, or tetrahydrofuran (THF) allow stable colloids to form with gold, which are red in color, show an absorption in the UV-vis representative of a plasmon resonance (520 nm), and by TEM bright field imaging 2 – 8 nm in size when butanone was used as a solvent (see scheme 1 and Figure 1a).

Scheme 1 Metal vapor method (SMAD) for preparing nanoparticles of gold in a weakly coordinating solvent, followed by treatment with thiols.
Figure 1 TEM images of SMAD (a) Isolated Gold-butane colloid and (b) Gold-2-phenylethenethiol immediately after isolation; (c) after digestive ripening in toluene; (d) Gold-dodecylthiol immediately after isolation; (e) after digestive ripening

When the gold-butane colloid matrix was allowed to melt down and mix with a thiol ligand, immediately the weakly solvating butane was replaced by R-SH. Two thiols were compared: dodecylthiol (DDT) and 2-phenylethenethiol (PET). The colloids formed were brown (DDT) and yellow-brown (PET). Both exhibited featureless UV-vis spectra with decreasing absorption with increasing wavelength. However, the two thiols did behave differently over time, the DDT colloid being very stable while the PET colloid was not and a precipitate formed that
resisted redissolution. This precipitate was probably a Au(I)-thiolate polymer (see later discussion).

**Digestive ripening**

A direct comparison of DDT and PET in toluene solvent was carried out. In these experiments DDT or PET was placed in the bottom of the reactor, vacuum and liquid nitrogen cooling was applied, and toluene vapor was deposited on the reactor walls. Then, the cooling dewar was removed and toluene allowed to melt and mix with the DDT (or PET). Then cooling was again applied, and the vapors of acetone (or butanone) were co-deposited with gold vapor. Upon completion, the acetone-gold matrix was allowed to melt and atom accretion began as the melt moved down and mixed with the toluene-DDT (or PET) solution.

(1) Au-acetone-toluene-dodecanethiol colloid

The as-prepared Au-acetone-toluene-dodecanethiol colloid was dark brown in color with particles of no definite geometrical shapes and size varying from 5 to 40 nm. The UV-visible spectrum of the as-prepared sample was very broad, which is consistent with the TEM results. The digestive ripening of the as-prepared dodecanethiol capped gold colloid in toluene after removal of the initial weak capping agent, acetone, gave great improvement in the particle size distribution. The average particle diameter was found to be 4.5 ± 0.4 nm after digestive ripening for 1.5 h. Also the UV-visible spectrum of the digestively ripened sample became narrow with an absorption maximum around 513 nm (see Figure 1).

(2) Au-acetone-toluene-2-phenylethanethiol colloid

The as-prepared Au-acetone-toluene-2-phenylethanethiol colloid was brownish red in color and the UV-visible spectrum showed a shoulder around 500 nm. The TEM bright field images of the as-prepared sample showed a mixture of particles: isolated spherical particles of
size varying from 2 to 40 nm and necked particles of no definite geometry. The digestive ripening of the as-prepared sample for 1.5 h in toluene after the removal of acetone did not show any change in the color (brownish red). The UV-visible spectrum did not change. The TEM bright field image of the digestively ripened sample showed network of necked particles along with a few isolated spherical particles (see Figure 1).

B. Inverse Micelle Method

To repeat, the main interest was in comparing DDT and PET. In the inverse micelle method, gold salts are reduced in the presence of a surfactant in an organic solvent containing small amounts of water. Usually the reducing agent is NaBH$_4$. When didodecyldimethylammonium bromide (DDAB) was used as the surfactant and NaBH$_4$ as a reducing agent, upon addition of DDT the orange solution turned purple-red immediately. However, when PET was added a dark blue color resulted. When the colloidal gold particles were isolated by precipitation with ethanol, washed, and dried, the DDT particles were readily soluble in toluene. However, the PET particles were quite insoluble and only by using sonication could they be resuspended. TEM studies (Figure 2a) showed in the case of PET, agglomerated masses of very small particles. Similar results were observed when THF was used as solvent in place of toluene. Thus, to summarize, nanoparticles formed with DDT followed by digestive ripening, always yielded spherical quasi-monodisperse particles in the 5 nm size range (4.6 – 5.5 nm). This was true even with comparing several preparations and even different solvents (toluene or THF). However, digestive ripening using PET led to a network of small particles, more polymeric in nature (Figure 2b).

At this point, it is useful to describe the differences between DDT and PET under the same experimental conditions: In the case of DDT the tendency is toward growing 5 nm gold
particles and these are honed to near monodispersity by digestive ripening. However, in the case of PET, the gold particles formed by the SMAD method or the Inverse Micelle method, tend toward very small particles that strongly aggregate. That is, under both experimental conditions, SMAD and inverse micelle, when PET is used, the product formed is aggregated networks of necked particles. Moreover, an Au(I)-thiolate polymer was formed from the as-prepared Au-butanone-PET SMAD colloid after storing under argon for several days.

Figure 2 Inverse Micelle TEM bright field images of Au-PET and Au-DDT colloids (a) PET before digestive ripening, (b) PET after digestive ripening, (c) DDT before digestive ripening, and (d) DDT after digestive ripening

In the introduction the two categories of gold nanoparticle research were brought up; (1) very small nanoparticles where Au clusters of 25, 38, 105, 225 atoms and others have been
isolated as thiolate complexes, either neutral or negatively charged overall, and (2) the large class of gold-thiolate particles are formed where 5 nm particles, or usually about Au₃₈₀₀(SR)₃₆₅. In the latter case, the 5 nm particles, since no X-ray crystal structures have been possible to obtain, the evidence for thiolate [RS⁻] vs RSH as the capping ligand has not been as clear.²⁹ However, based on the recent evidence of Creutz and co-workers,³⁰ when surfactant stabilized gold nanoparticles were allowed to react with thiols, a substantial portion, though not quantitative, of hydrogen gas was released. Inspired by the Creutz work and by years of uncertainty of what actually happens to the hydrogen in gold-thiol reactions, a series of SMAD experiments were carried out. Here, weakly solvated gold nanoparticles (acetone solvent) were allowed to contact dodecylthiol, and hydrogen gas was evolved. Control experiments with pure acetone, did not show hydrogen gas evolution.³¹

In the case of DDT, the amount of hydrogen evolved was 15 µmol while 230 µmol of gold was used, while in the case of PET 31 µmol H₂ and 456 µmol gold. In another set of experiments the isolated, purified gold-thiol nanoparticles were analyzed by Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) by heating in air, the thiol/thiolate was oxidized away leaving only gold. Results: DDT 0.75 µmol thiolate vs 6.2 µmol gold; PET 3.2 µmol thiolate vs 25 µmol gold.

\[
\text{Au}_3 + \text{HSR} \xrightarrow{\text{heat}} \text{Au}_n\text{(SR)}_m + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2
\]

\[
\text{Au}_n\text{(SR)}_m \xrightarrow{\text{heat}} n\text{Au} + \text{gases (SO}_2, \text{CO}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O)}
\]

Comparing these experimental approaches indicated that the moles of hydrogen gas produced were about equal to the moles of thiolate produced within 7%. These data also indicated that there are eight times as many atoms of gold as thiolate molecules; by H₂ production Au/H = 7.6, and by TGA Au/SR = 8.2. The same experiments with PET yielded essentially the same results with respect to hydrogen/gold and thiolate/gold. The ratios of Au/H =
7.4 and \( \text{Au/SR} = 7.7 \). It can be concluded that at room temperature both DDT and PET react with weakly solvated gold nanoparticles to form gold-thiolate structures plus hydrogen gas.

The TEM results show that SMAD gold-acetone or gold-butanone colloids before the addition of the thiols, range from 2 – 8 nm. These \( \text{Au/SR} \) ratios can be used to estimate the gold nanoparticles size. We assume spherical particles of gold with the bulk density of 19.34g/cc. We take the thiol to occupy a surface area of 0.215 \( \text{nm}^2 \). Then one can show that the total number of gold atoms in the nanoparticle, \( N(\text{Au}) \), and the total number of thiol ligands on the surface of the nanoparticles, \( N(\text{SR}) \), are given by: \( N(\text{Au}) = 31 \, d^3 \) and \( N(\text{SR}) = 14.67 \, d^2 \), where \( d \) = particle diameter in nanometers. The \( \text{Au/SR} \) ratio is identically equal to \( N(\text{Au})/N(\text{SR}) \). Then with the above equation one finds \( d \approx 0.47 \, \text{Au/SR} \). Above we found \( \text{Au/SR} \approx 8 \) to imply, by the above equation, \( d \approx 4 \, \text{nm} \). This is quite consistent with TEM observation of the particles which show the sizes ranging from 2 to 8 nm.

The main conclusion, however, is that these experiments yield gold-thiolate structures, not gold-thiol.

Other zero-valent metal particles readily undergo oxidation by thiol, to form thiolates, for example Pd and Cu. However, \( \text{Au} \) is clearly a borderline case.

**Gold size sensitivity to thiol attack**

Millimeter size pieces of bulk gold do not react with thiols in any refluxing solvent that has been used for digestive ripening, such as toluene, butanone, tert-butyltoluene, or diphenylether. However, nanoparticle gold does react, but only on the surface. Thus, \( \text{Au}_{3800} \) is a stable entity with 365 thiolates where the thiolate is \( \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{10}\text{CH}_2\text{S}^- \).

It is proposed herein that the surface energy of a 4 - 5 nm particle is high enough to allow an oxidation reaction with thiol, but only a surface reaction. Smaller particles would have a
higher surface energy and thus could be more fully consumed by the thiol $\rightarrow$ thiolate $+$ hydrogen process.

Digestive ripening results imply that both smaller and larger nanoparticles are modified until one preferred size is attained, about 5 nm. Smaller particles would be more reactive and under digestive ripening conditions gold atoms or clusters could be “dissolved” by thiols/thiolates and redispersed on larger particles, similar to Ostwald ripening. Larger nanoparticles would be slightly less reactive and perhaps less subject to the thiol $\rightarrow$ thiolate $+$ hydrogen process, but are subject to thiol-gold atom/cluster solvation and transport, thereby gold atoms or clusters could be transported to other particles. This seems especially likely if crystalline defects in the larger particles are present (scheme 2). Indeed, this type of movement was clearly shown to be possible when thiolated 5 nm particles of Au and 5 nm particles of Ag were digestively ripened together to form alloy particles of the same size.\textsuperscript{39-40} Thus, a thermodynamic equilibration could be set up and would be sensitive to nanoparticle’s size. These data suggest that 5 nm is a critical size, possessing the optimum surface energy to allow a shell of Au-SR to form and thus protect the core of Au\textsuperscript{0} from further reaction. Of course, this concept is perhaps acceptable for less reactive thiols such as dodecylthiol and not with more reactive thiols. Also, other head groups such as amines have been found to lead to larger digestively ripened particles and clearly other chemical forces are involved. These delicate thermodynamic equilibria can be affected by ligand head group size and reactivity, and metal nanoparticle size.

Next, the category of small clusters is considered. It would appear that a molecular intermediate such as the Au(I)-SR unit is involved. More reactive thiols would favor the formation of such species from zero-valent gold clusters. Furthermore, evidence presented above supports the idea of higher reactivity of PET vs alkyl thiols. Now, considering the second step in
synthesizing small gold clusters, the polymer Au(I)-SR (or written formally as \( \text{Au}^+\text{SR}^- \)) is exposed to a reducing agent such as NaBH4. Upon encountering this reducing agent \( \text{Au}^+\text{SR}^- \) species would tend to form \( \text{Au}^0\text{SR}^- \), and thus could build its core up to gold clusters. For example, the most well known system is \( \text{Au}_{25}\text{(SR)}_{18} \), is perhaps better depicted as \( 7\text{Au}^0 \), or \( \text{Au}_7(\text{Au-SR})_{18} \).

**Scheme 2** Illustration of thiol attack on larger, polycrystalline gold nanoparticles.41

Therefore, if thiols are reactive enough, and conditions (temperature, solvent) are vigorous enough, even nanogold zero-valent species could be attacked by thiol repeatedly until essentially all gold atoms were oxidized. Then under reducing conditions (NaBH4 or other reducing agent) and mild temperature small gold clusters could form and “build up” take place. Along the way, some slightly more stable clusters could be favored, such as \( \text{Au}_{25}, \text{Au}_{38}, \text{Au}_{105}, \) or \( \text{Au}_{225} \), due to electronic structure or closed shells, or other unique features.

Coming back to the larger zero-valent nanoparticles, they would be less reactive than the very small zero-valent clusters. However, under the right conditions, surfaces could be oxidized by thiol. Particle collisions taking place at elevated temperatures of solvent could cause material transfers. Au atoms/clusters or \( \text{Au}^+\text{SR}^- \) partners or clusters must be somewhat mobil, which would be assisted by the presence of excess thiol. In support of this idea, it has been found that
concentration of nanoparticle gold is important in order to achieve satisfactory digestive ripening results.\(^{40}\)

Scheme 3 attempts to clarify the possible reaction sequences, and scheme 4 shows balanced equations including side reactions for the three synthetic methods discussed herein.

Scheme 3 If gold nanoparticles are formed in one step, followed by thiol treatment larger (5 nm) core/shell Au/Au-SR particles can be formed. If Au-SR polymer is formed first, followed by thiol treatment, much smaller molecular Au/Au-SR molecules can be formed.
Scheme 4: The likely reactions involved in the Murray, et al. synthesis, the SMAD synthesis, and the Inverse Micelle synthesis.

Conclusions

Thiols react with Au(III) to produce Au(I)-SR polymer. Treatment of this thiol polymer with NaBH₄ causes reduction of some Au(I) to Au(0), but Au(I)-SR serves to cap and protect particularly stable very small gold cores. In this reaction scheme, Au(III) is reduced by thiol to form the Au(I)-SR thiolate polymer.

On the other hand, both the SMAD method and Inverse Micelle method leads to Au atoms that rapidly aggregate to form Au(0) nanoparticles. Thiol reacts with these zero-valent particles to form surface Au(I)-SR capping structures. In this reaction scheme, Au(0) is oxidized by thiol, but only surface gold atoms are attacked. Gold particle size has an influence on extent
of reactivity with thiols. Certain thiols are more reactive in this way, and PET is more reactive than DDT.
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