STEAM PASTEURIZATION TO REDUCE BACTERIAL POPULATIONS ON COMMERCIALLY SLAUGHTERED BEEF CARCASSES

R. K. Phebus, A. L. Nutsch, D. E. Schafer, and C. L. Kastner

Summary

A steam pasteurization system (SPS) has been shown in laboratory and commercial evaluations to effectivel yreduce bacterial populations on freshly slaughtered beef. Our study evaluated the bactericidal uniformity of SPS. Samples were collected from the five anatomical locations, one per carcass, 40 samples per location, so that 200 carcasses were evaluated before and 200 after pasteurization. Each carcass was sampled by wiping a 300 c m area of the specified location with a moist, sterile sponge. For all locations, the total aerobic plate count (APC) after pasteurization was lower $(P \le .01)$. Before pasteuri zation, the midline was contaminate d most heavil y $(2.5 \log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2)$. After pasteurization, the neck and midline had the highest residual APCs (1.3 and 1.1 \log_{10} cfu/cm², respectively). For all anatomical locations, the enteric bacteria (E. coli, total coliform, an d Enterobacteriaceae) were lower $(P \le .01)$ after than before pasteurization. Only two of 200 pasteurized carcasses ha dE. coli populations greater than 1 fu/cm². During pasteurization, steam blankets the carcasses, theoretically providing uniform bacterial destruction. This study demonstrated the effectiveness of SPS for reducing total aerobic and enteric bacterial populatio is uniformly over five anatomical locations on commerc *ally* processed carcasses.

(Key Words: Beef Carcasses, Antimicrobial Treatment, Steam Pasteurization.)

Introduction

The microbiological safety o fineat products has received increased attention in recent years. The potential for bacteria in meat products to cause illness and death has pushed this issue to the forefront for consumers, regulators, researchers, and the industry.

In July 1996, th eUSDA-FSIS issued a final rule on "Pathogen Reduction ;Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Systems". The regulations require changes in the way industry produces meat and meat products. Foremost is the requirement that all slaughter facilities deve bp HACCP systems. In addition, facilities will be required to implement sanitation standard operating procedures and microbiologica l testing of carcasses, with standards for generi c E. coli and Salmonella being Antimicrobial treatments during defined. slaughter will I kely be necessary to consistently meet these USDA microbial standards. In pasteurization previous studies. steam (Frigoscand ia Food Process Systems, Bellevue, WA) effectively reduced both pathogen (laboratory eval unitions) and naturally occurring bacterial population s (evaluations on commercial beef carcasses). The current study was designed to verify, in a commercial slaughter facility, the uniformity of bacterial destruction over the entire carcass surface.

Experimental Procedures

A commercial-s cale SPS was used after the final carcass wash in a beef slaughter facility. Sample s were collected during 2 processing days from randomly s dected carcasses immediately before and immediately after pasteurization. Samples were collected from inside round, loin, midline, brisket, and neck. One location was sampled per carcass and 40 carcasses were sampled per location before and after pasteurization (200 carcasses before and 200 others after pasteurization). Samples were collected using the sponge technique required under the new USDA-FSIS regulations for

carcass microbial sampling. Both sides of a single sterile sponge are passed over a 300 cm 2 area. The sponge is premoistened in a sterile stomacher bag cont aning 30 ml of diluent (.1% peptone diluent with .1% Tween 20) and, after sampling the specified area, is returned to the same diluent. Dilutions were plated on PetrifilmTM plates to enumerate APCs, enteric bacteria E. coli (generic), total coliforms, and Enterobacteriaceae. Counts were made according to manufacturer's instructions. The minimum detectable count for PetrifilmTM plates was .1 cfu/c m². All data were converted to \log_{10} cfu/c m². The significance level was set at P≤.01.

Results and Discussion

For all carcass sites, the APC was lower ($P \le .01$) after than before pasteurization (Table 1). Before pasteurization, the midline had the highest APCs; the loin had the lowest; and the inside round, brisket, and neck were intermediate. After pasteurization, the neck and midline had the highest APCs, approximately 1.2 log 10 cfu/cm². The inside round d loin, and brisket had similar APCs, approximately .6 log 10 cfu/cm². Pasteurization reduced bacteria by 65% for inside round, 84% for loin, 96% for midline, 92% for brisket, and 60% for neck.

E. coli was present at low levels before pasteurization and wa sdecreased ($P \le .01$) at all sites after pasteurization . *E. coli* populations on 189 of 200 carcasses fel lwithin the range <.1 to 1.0 cfu/cm² before pasteurization . Some sample counts were as high as 5 cfu/c n². After pasteurization, 198 of 200 carcasses fell within the <.1 to 1.0 cfu/cm² range, with only two carcasses having *E. coli* populations greater than 1 cfu/c m². Very similar results were found for coliform and *Enterobacteriaceae* populations.

In previous steam pasteurization evaluations, samples were collected from one carcass Those evaluations demonstrated location. effective bacterial destruction, but questions remained about the uniformity of bacterial destruction over th eentire carcass surface. Our study demonstrated that steam pasteurization reduces bacterial populations uniformly. A large surface area was sa mpled at each location, and the locatio is represented the entire carcass. Steam pasteurization can reduce the risk of pathogenic bacterial contamination in beef, but is not a replacement for good sanitation standards, clean and carefu Islaughter operations, or Good Manufacturin gPractices. Steam pasteurization can serve as a critical control point for pathogens during slaughte r Current technology allows automatic tracking of individual carcasses. Additionally, SP Sprovides assurance to processors that USDA-FSIS microbiological standards will be met continuously.

Steam Pasteurization				
Carcass Site	Before ¹		After	
	Mean $(\log_{10} cfu/cm^2)^2$	SEM	Mean (log $_{10}$ cfu/cm ²)	SEM
Inside round	1.8°	.1	.5 ^a	.1
Loin	1.4 ^b	.1	$.6^{a}$.1
Midline	2.5 ^d	.1	1.1 ^b	.1
Brisket	1.8°	.1	.7 ^a	.1
Neck	1.7°	.1	1.3 ^b	.1

Table 1.Aerobic Bacterial Populations on Five Beef Carcass Sites before and after
Steam Pasteurization

¹Before = population immediately b efore steam pasteurization treatment; After = population immediately after steam pasteurization treatment.

²Mean bacterial populations are averages of 40 replicates. SEM=standard error of mean.

^{a,b,c,d} Means with different superscripts are different (P $\leq .01$).