READABILITY COMPARISON OF A COMMERCIAL MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOK AND EXPERIMENTAL MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOKS

by

RICHARD JAMES DONALD

B. S., East Stroudsburg State College, 1957

A MASTER'S REPORT

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

College of Education

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1965

Approved by:

Sarlan Trennepohl
Major Professor

LD 2669 RY 1965 D675 C.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	2
DEFINITION OF TERMS	2
LIMITATIONS OF READABILITY FORMULA	AS 4
PROCEDURES FOLLOWED	5
SAMPLING WORK SHEETS	12
ANALYSIS OF DATA	40
CONCLUSIONS	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	46
BIBLIOGRAPHY	47
APPENDIX	49

LIST OF TABLES

I.	Correction Table
II.	Readability Comparison of Elementary School Mathematics,
	Grade Five and Experimental Mathematics Textbooks,
	Grade Five 42

INTRODUCTION

The revolution in the teaching of elementary school mathematics has created a great deal of controversy. Most of the controversy has centered around the use of new procedures. While the tempo of the debate over procedures gains momentum, one very important consideration, the readability of the mathematics material, seems to be overlooked.

Most often committees composed of teachers and/or administrators select the textbooks for their district. They examine many facets of the texts but seldom study the readability of the material, perhaps assuming if a book is written for a specific grade, the children will be able to read the material. Many methods-book authors such as Spitzer recommend techniques for selection of a text but ignore the problem of readability.²

How important is the readability aspect of a mathematics program? Cronbach has suggested that about 96 per cent of all curricula are based upon texts.³ In many classes the textbook is the course of study. It seems apparent that the readability level of the textbook used in the classroom would have a definite

lJames W. Heddens and Kenneth J. Smith, "The Readability of Experimental Mathematics Materials," The Arithmetic Teacher, XI, (October, 1964), pp. 391-394.

²Herbert F. Spitzer, <u>The Teaching of Arithmetic</u>, (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1954), pp. 318-329.

³Lee J. Cronbach (ed.), <u>Text Materials in Modern</u> <u>Education</u>, (Champaign, Illinois: University of Illinois Press, 1955), p. 207.

influence on the failure or success of the program. Thorpe and other mathematicians have indicated that most of the difficulties in problem solving are reading difficulties. The merits of the mathematics program may be of little value to the student if he is unable to read the text.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The purposes of this study were: (1) to compare the readability of a commercial elementary mathematics textbook, Elementary School Mathematics, grade five, with experimental mathematics textbooks, grade five; (2) to demonstrate the application of the Dale-Chall readability formula to elementary mathematics textbooks; 2 and (3) to bring to the attention of elementary teachers, textbook committees, and administrators the existing readability problems among many mathematics programs.

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Commercial elementary mathematics textbook. For this study the commercial elementary mathematics textbook is considered to be a textbook prepared for classroom use by

¹Cleata B. Thorpe, <u>Teaching Elementary Arithmetic</u>, (New York: Harper and Brothers, 1962), pp. 315-316.

²Edgar Dale and Jeanne L. Chall, "A Formula for Predicting Readability," <u>Educational Research Bulletin</u>, XXVI, (January 21, 1949), pp. 11-20, 28.

professional authors who are employees of the publishing company.

In some instances college professors also serve as writers or
editors for the commercial companies, for example, Harcourt

Brace and World, Incorporated; Ginn and Company; Laidlaw Brothers;
and Scott, Foresman and Company.

Experimental elementary mathematics textbook. For this study an experimental elementary mathematics textbook is a textbook prepared by a professional mathematics association or study group often comprised of classroom teachers, college professors, mathematicians, and psychologists. In most cases the leaders are college or university mathematicians and their primary purpose is to improve mathematics education. Most of the projects are supported by foundations and/or the federal government, for example, the School Mathematics Study Group, the University of Illinois Arithmetic Project, the Madison Project, the University of Maryland Mathematics Project, the Greater Cleveland Mathematics Project, the Geometry at Standford University, the Suppes Arithmetic Project, and the Minnesota School Mathematics Center.

Reading. Harris defines reading as, "the meaningful interpretation of verbal symbols."²

ljohn L. Marks, C. Richard Purdy, and Lucien B. Kinney, Teaching Elementary School Mathematics for Understanding, (New York, St. Louis, San Francisco, Toronto, London, and Sydney: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1965), p.7.

²Albert J. Harris, <u>How To Increase Reading Ability</u>, (New York: David McKay Company, Inc., 1961), p. 8.

Readability. For this study readability refers to the ease in which an elementary mathematics textbook can be read.

LIMITATIONS OF READABILITY FORMULAS

It seems if information obtained by readability formulas is to be of any value to the researcher he must constantly be aware of the limitations of the research tool. Therefore, Jeanne Chall places the following limitations on reading formulas.1

- (1) Reading formulas should be critically used. Often the grade-placement indexes are accepted as true measures of difficulty.
- (2) Readability formulas as prescriptions for writing should be approached with extreme caution. The formulas were not devised as rules for writing. They consider only limited aspects of difficulty.
- (3) Validation studies are needed to show the differences in actual reading comprehension as a result of change effected by typical readability campaigns in journalism and industry.
- (4) Validation studies on textbooks are needed to throw light on the degree of confidence that can be placed in the grade-level indexes of the various formulas and the extent of agreement among them.

lJeanne Chall, "This Business of Readability: A Second Look," Educational Research Bulletin, XXXV (April 23, 1956), pp. 89-99.

(5) There is a need for better exchange of results of readability appraisals, especially in education. Since the time and effort involved in appraising a book is still considerable, some provision should be made for exchange of information among publishers, teachers, school systems and libraries.

PROCEDURES FOLLOWED

The Dale-Chall readability formula was applied to a fifth grade commercial mathematics textbook, <u>Elementary School</u>

<u>Mathematics</u>, grade five. The results were compared with the findings of Hedden and Smith's readability study of experimental elementary mathematics textbooks, using the Dale-Chall formula. 2

The Dale-Chall formula bases its prediction of grade level difficulty on the average sentence length and vocabulary load. Rules for selecting samples of a textbook to be analyzed are as follows:

- I. Selecting Samples:
 Take approximately 100 words about every tenth page for books. For articles, select about four 100-word samples per 2,000 words. Space these samples evenly. For passages of about 200 to 300 words, analyze the entire passage. Never begin or end a sample in the middle of a sentence.
- II. Labeling Work Sheet: Enter such information as title, author, publisher, date of publication, etc., regarding the sample to be appraised.

lDale and Chall, loc. cit.

²Heddens and Smith, loc. cit.

III. Counting the Number of Words:

- A. Count the total number of words in the sample.
- B. Count hyphenated words and contractions as one word.
- C. Count numbers as words. 10 is one word. 1947 is one word.
- D. Count compound names of persons and places as one word.

 St. John, Van Buren, del Rio, Le Brun, and so on are each counted as one word.
- E. Do not count initials which are part of a name as separate words.

 John F. W. St. John is counted as two words—

 John and F. W. St. John.
- F. Record the number of words under No. 1 of the work sheet.

IV. Counting the Number of Sentences:

- A. Count the number of complete sentences in the sample.
- B. Record this under No. 2 of the work sheet.
- V. Counting the Number of Unfamiliar Words:
 Words which do not appear on the Dale list are
 considered unfamiliar. Underline all unfamiliar
 words, even if they appear more than once.

In making this count, special rules are necessary for common and proper nouns, verbs, and other parts of speech. These are given in the section which follows.

A. Common Nouns:

- Consider familiar all regular plurals and possessives of words on the list.
 boy's is familiar because boy is on the list (possessive).
 girls is familiar because girl is on the list (plural by adding s).
 churches is familiar because church is on the list (plural by adding es).
 armies is familiar because army is on the list (plural by changing y to ies).
- 2. Count irregular plurals as unfamiliar, even if the singular form appears on the list.

 oxen is unfamiliar, although ox is on the list.

 Several irregular plurals, however, are listed in the word list. When the plural appears as a separate word or is indicated by the ending in

parentheses next to the word, it is considered familiar.

goose and geese both appear on the list and are both considered familiar.

3. Count as unfamiliar a noun that is formed by adding er or r to a noun or verb appearing on the word list (unless this er or r form is indicated on the list).

burner is counted as unfamiliar, although burn is on the list.

owner is considered familiar because it appears on the list as follows--own(er).

B. Proper Nouns:

- 1. Names of persons and places are considered familiar. Japan, Smith, and so on, are familiar, even though they do not appear on the word list.
- 2. Names of organizations, laws, documents, titles of books, movies, and so on generally comprise several words.
 - a. When determining the number of words in a sample, count all the words in the name of an organization, law, and the like.

 Chicago Building Association should be counted three words.

 Declaration of Independence should be counted as three words.

SPECIAL RULE: When the title of an organization, law, and so on is used several times within a sample of 100 words, all the words in the title are counted, no matter how many times they are repeated.

b. For the unfamiliar word count, consider unfamiliar only words which do not appear on the Dale list, except names of persons or places.

Chicago Building Association is counted one unfamiliar word--Association.

Building and Chicago are familiar.

Declaration of Independence is counted as two unfamiliar words--of is on the list.

SPECIAL RULE: When the name of an organization, law, document, and so on is used several times within a sample of 100

words, count it only twice when making the unfamiliar word count.

<u>Security Council</u>, if repeated more than twice within a 100-word sample, is counted as four unfamiliar words.

- 3. Abbreviations:
 - a. In counting the words in a sample, an abbreviation is counted as one word.
 Y.M.C.A. is counted one word.
 Nov. is counted one word.
 U.S. is considered one word.
 A.M. and P.M. are each counted as one word.
 - b. In making the unfamiliar word count, an abbreviation is counted as one unfamiliar word only.
 Y.M.C.A. is considered one unfamiliar word.
 Nov. is considered familiar because the names of the months are on the word list.
 U.S. is considered familiar.
 A.M. and P.M. are each considered familiar.

SPECIAL RULE: An abbreviation which is used several times with a 100-word sample is counted as two unfamiliar words only.

C.I.O. repeated five times in a 100-word sample is counted two unfamiliar words.

- C. Verbs:
 - 1. Consider familiar the third-person singular forms (s or ies from y), present-participle forms (ing), past-participle forms (n), and past tense forms (ed or ied from y), when these are added to verbs appearing on the list. The same rule applies when a consonant is doubled before adding ing or ed.

 asks, asking, asked are considered familiar, although only the word ask appears on the word list.

dropped and dropping are familiar because drop is on the list.

- D. Adjectives:
 - 1. Comparatives and superlatives of adjectives appearing on the list are considered familiar. The same rule applies if the consonant is doubled before adding er or est.

 longer, prettier, and bravest are familiar

because long, pretty, and brave are on the list.

red, redder, reddest are all familiar.

2. Adjectives formed by adding n to a proper noun are familiar. For example, American, Austrian.

Count as unfamiliar an adjective that is formed by adding v to a word that appears on the list. But consider the word familiar if y appears in parentheses following the word. woolly is unfamiliar although wool is on the sandy is familiar because it appears on the list as sand(y).

E. Adverbs:

- 1. Consider adverbs familiar which are formed by adding ly to a word on the list. In most cases ly will be indicated following the word. soundly is familiar because sound is on the. list.
- Count as unfamiliar words which add more than ly, like easily.
- F. Hyphenated Words: Count hyphenated words as unfamiliar if either word in the compound does not appear on the word list. When both appear on the list, the word is familiar.

G. Miscellaneous Special Cases:

- 1. Words formed by adding en to a word on the list (unless the en is listed in parentheses or the word itself appears on the list) are considered unfamiliar. sharpen is considered unfamiliar although sharp is on the list. golden is considered familiar because it appears on the list gold(en).
- Count a word unfamiliar if two or more endings are added to a word on the list. clippings is considered unfamiliar, although clip is on the list.
- 3. Words on the list to which -tion, -ation, -ment, and other suffixes not previously mentioned are added are considered unfamiliar. unless the word with the ending is included on the list. treatment is unfamiliar although treat is on

protection is unfamiliar although protect is on the list.

preparation is unfamiliar although prepare is on the list.

- 4. Numbers:
 Numerals like 1947, 18, and so on, are considered familiar.
- H. Record the total number of unfamiliar words under No. 3 of the work sheet.

The number of words in the sample (No. 1 on the work sheet) have now been recorded, as well as the number of sentences in the sample (No. 2) and the number of words not on the Dale list (No. 3). The next steps can be followed easily on the work sheet.

VI. Completing the Work Sheet:

1. The average sentence length (No. 4) is computed by dividing the number of words in the sample by the number of sentences in the sample.

2. The Dale score or percentage of words outside the Dale list is computed by dividing the number of words not on the Dale list by the number of words in the sample, and multiplying by 100.

3. Follow through Steps 6 and 7 on the work sheet.

4. Add Nos. 6, 7, and 8 to get the formula raw score.

5. If you have more than one sample to analyze, get an average of the formula raw scores by adding all of these and dividing by the number of samples.

6. Convert the average formula raw score to a corrected grade-level according to the Correction Table given in Table I.

The corrected grade-level indicates the grade at which a book or article can be read with understanding. For example, a book with a corrected grade-level of 7-8 is one which should be within the reading ability of average children in Grades VII and VIII. For adults, the 7-8 grade level can be compared to the last grade reached. If materials are being selected for persons who have had an average of eight grades of schooling, passages with a corrected grade-level of 7-8 should be within their ability. The corrected grade-levels corresponding to the raw scores obtained from the formula are given in Table I. These will serve to determine the grade-level of materials being appraised with the use of the Dale list.

TABLE I

CORRECTION TABLE

Form	ıula	Raw	Sc	ol	re.						Co	orrected Grade-Levels
4.9	and	belo	ΟW									.4th grade and below
5.0	to	5.9					٠					5-6th grade
6.0	to	6.9							٠			
7.0	to	7.9		-								9-10th grade
8.0	to	8.9										grade
9.0	to	9.9			•							13-15th grade (college)
10.0	and	l abor	ve		•				,	٠		l6-(college graduate)

		Page	No. 10
		From	Because
		To_	Set B
1.	Number of words in the sample		106
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		7
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		10
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		15
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	grade in the control of	9
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496		.77440
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		1.4211
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		5.83

		Page	No. 20
		From	Chapter
		To	number
1.	Number of words in the sample		105
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		8
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		ŢŤ.
1 1 m	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		13
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)		4
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496		.6448
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		.6316
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		4.91

		Page No. 32 From Repeated
		To answer
1.	Number of words in the sample	100
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	10
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	6
11.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	10
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	6
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	496
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	9474
8.	Constant	3,6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	4,63

		Page	No. 42
		From	Number
		To	$(n \times 9) - 7$
1.	Number of words in the sample		106
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		8
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		10
Ľļ.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		13
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	- Accession for the Contract of the Contract o	9 ::
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	designation described in the second	.6448
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		1.4211
8.	Constant	To IP B	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		5.70

		Page No. 52
		From Chapter
		To example 2
1.	Number of words in the sample	105
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	11
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	15
11.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	10
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	14
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.496
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	2.2106
8.	Constant	3,6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	6.34

		Page	No. 64
		From	Reasoning
		To	red
1.	Number of words in the sample		196
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		9
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		17
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		12
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	-	16
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	. =	.5952
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	,	2.5264
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	V	6.76

	·	Page	No	74
		From	Chapt	ter
		To	men	
1.	Number of words in the sample		105	
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		9	
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		11	
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		12	
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)		10	
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	,	• = 1 .	5952
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	0	1.5	579
	Constant		3.6	
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		5.8	31

		Page No. 86
		From Chapter
		To example A
1.	Number of words in the sample	102
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	15
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	19
Ц.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	7
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	19
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.3472
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	3.0001
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	6.98

	100	Page No. 99
		From Transportation
	·	To trip
1.	Number of words in the sample	106
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	10
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	3
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	11
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	3
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.5456
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	.4737
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	4.66

		Page No. 110 From Averages To addends
l.	Number of words in the sample	103
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	12
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	15
11	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	9
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	15
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.4464
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	2.3685
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	6.45

		Page No. 120
		From Division
	v v	To weeks
1.	Number of words in the sample	111
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	9
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	17
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	12
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	15
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.5952
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	2.3685.
	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	6.60

		From	No. 130 Finding divisor
1.	Number of words in the sample		105
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		10
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		1.7
11.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		11
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	dans de l'indicate de l'indica	16
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496		.5456
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		2.5264
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		6.71

		Page	No.	141
			The	
		To	acres	5
1.	Number of words in the sample		112	
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	Chambridge Company of the Company of	10	
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		0	
	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		11	
	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply			
	by 100)		00	
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496			5456
7	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579			
-	indicapaly base dedic (5) by .2575			
8.	Constant		3.	6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	,	4.	18

		Page	No. 151
		From	Long
		To	exercise l
1.	Number of words in the sample		122
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	and the second	9 ,
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		12
Ļ.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		14
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)		io
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496		.6944
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		1.579
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw seore (add 6, 7, and 8)		5.91

		Page No. 168 From Chapter To of 65
1.	Number of words in the sample	1.05
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	12
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	21
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	9
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	20
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	4464
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	3.1580
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	7.24

		Page	No. 178
		From_	Common
		To_	of 10
1.	Number of words in the sample		106
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		15
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		20
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		7
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)		19
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	, campunoredante	.3472
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	emplantament relation	3.0001
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		6.98

		Page No. 188
		From Fraction
	·	To triangles
1.	Number of words in the sample	
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2)	10
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	10
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.0496
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	1.579
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	5.71

		Page	No. 202
		From	A
		To	ring
1.	Number of words in the sample		108
2.	Number of sentences in the sample		8
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		14
17	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		14
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)		13
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	emperate digensil to	6944
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		2.0527
8.	Constant		3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)		6.38

		Page	No. 213
		From	Fraction
		To	way
Ĺ.,	Number of words in the sample		101
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	Constitution of the Consti	20
3.	Number of words not on Dale List		6
Ļ.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		5
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	and reported the decoration of the second	6
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	Contract design of the Contract of the Contrac	.2480
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		9474
8.	Constant	magaga di malin yilindi masad	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 5, 7, and 8)		4.83

		Page No. 224
		From Equality
		To each
l.	Number of words in the sample	103
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	12
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	12
LĻ.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	9
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	12
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.4464
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	1.8948
8.	Constant	3,6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	5.98

		Page No. 236
	·	From Measurement
		To units
1.	Number of words in the sample	. 101
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	5
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	12
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2)	. 20
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	. 12
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	1.8948
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	6.52

		Page No. 246 From Sums To $\frac{11}{24} - \frac{1}{8} = j$
1.	Number of words in the sample	107
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	13 .
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	2
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	8
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	2
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.3968
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	.3158
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	4.35

A WORK SHEET FILLED IN FOR THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS-BOOK 5

		Page No. 257
		From Short To Joe's
1.	Number of words in the sample	108
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	21
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	3
11	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	5
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	3
5.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.2480
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	4737
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	4.35

A WORK SHEET FILLED IN FOR THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS-BOOK 5

		Page No. 267
		From Orbital
		To Juniter
1.	Number of words in the sample	100
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	8
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	8
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	1.3
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	8
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.6448
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	1.2632
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	5.54

A WORK SHEET FILLED IN FOR THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS-BOOK 5

		Page No. 278 From Decimals To \$6.25 is
1.	Number of words in the sample	103
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	8
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	6
4.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	13
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	6
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	. 6448
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	.9474
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	5.23

A WORK SHEET FILLED IN FOR THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS-BOOK 5

		Page No. 290 From Basic
		To choose
7.	Number of words in the sample	113
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	8
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	2]
Ų.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	14
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	19
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	6944
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	3:0001
	Constant	3,6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	7.33

A WORK SHEET FILLED IN FOR THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS -BOOK 5

		Page No. 300 From Measurement To fish is
1.	Number of words in the sample	109
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	9
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	9
Ц.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .	12
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)	8
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	.5952
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	1.2632
8.	Constant	3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	5.49

A WORK SHEET FILLED IN FOR THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS-BOOK 5

		Page	No. 310
		From	Chapter
	·	To	and 10
1.	Number of words in the sample	-	120
2.	Number of sentences in the sample	,	11
3.	Number of words not on Dale List	-	9
Ť.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2) .		11
5.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1, multiply by 100)		8
6.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496		.5456
7.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579		1.2632
			3.6365
9.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	name in the second	5.45

FINAL ANALYSIS WORK SHEET

l.	Total number of samples	28
2.	Total number of sentences in the samples	298
3.	Total number of words in the samples	2989
4.	Total number of words not on Dale List	310
5.	Average sentence length (divide 1 by 2)	10
6.	Dale score (divide 3 by 1 multiply by 100)	1.0
7.	Multiply average sentence length (4) by .0496	496
8.	Multiply Dale score (5) by .1579	1.579
9.	Constant	3.6365
.0.	Formula raw score (add 6, 7, and 8)	5.74
1.	Average raw score (all samples)	5.81
12.	Corrected grade level 5-6th g	grade
3	Range 4th grade to 9-10th s	građe

The data obtained by applying the Dale-Chall readability formula to the <u>Elementary School Mathematics</u> textbook, grade five, the <u>School Mathematics Study Group</u> textbook, grade five, and the <u>Greater Cleveland Mathematics Project</u> textbook, grade five, are presented in Table II.¹

The data reveals that the average readability of the

Elementary School Mathematics textbook and the School Mathematics

Study Group textbook are the same while the Greater Cleveland

Mathematics Project's textbook tends to be much higher than the

fifth grade level.

The data also reveals that the range of samples from the commercial textbook, grade five, and the experimental textbooks, grade five, indicate a readability level from the fourth grade to grade twelve.

The reading range of samples as given in Table II seems to indicate that many children using the fifth grade material would not be able to read portions of the Elementary School Mathematics, grade five, or portions of the experimental textbooks, grade five.

¹Hedden and Smith, op. cit., p. 393.

TABLE II

READABILITY COMPARISON OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MATHEMATICS, GRADE FIVE AND EXPERIMENTAL MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOKS, GRADE FIVE

Title	Publishers	Grade	Range of Samples by Grade Level	Average Grade Level.
Elementary School Mathematics	Addison-Wesley	5	1:-10	5-6
Greator Cleveland Mathematics Project	Education Research Council of Greater Cleveland	5	14-10	7-8
Sehool Mathematics Study Group	Yale University Press	Ŋ	4-12	. 9-6
		Alle Control of the C	en der bestehe der der sein eine der der der der der der der der der de	e per en englishe prime e en

CONCLUSIONS

Lorge states, "The readability of a text depends upon the kind and number of ideas it expresses, upon the vocabulary and its style, and upon format and typography."

Dale and Hager list seven techniques which are necessary for readable writing.² "Define your audience," is one technique, and, "Keep vocabulary as familiar as possible," is another technique for readable writing.

To quote Carleton Washburne:

Children cannot be expected to learn from books which are so written that the mechanical difficulty of reading them occupies the center of the children's attention.³

It seems that the authors of the elementary mathematics textbooks under discussion rather than having followed the advice of many authorities have written much of their material far above the level of their audience.

The <u>Elementary School Mathematics</u>, grade five, rather than logically developing its material beginning with easy to read material, randomly distributed the easy to read material and the

lirving Lorge, "Predicting Readability," Teachers College Record, XLV (March, 1944), pp. 404-419.

²Edgar Dale and Hilda Hager, "Now to Write to be Understood," Educational Research Bulletin, XXVII (November 10, 1948), pp. 207-216.

³Carleton Washburne and Mabel Vogel Morphett, "Grade Placement of Children's Books," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, XXXVIII (January, 1938), pp. 355-364.

more difficult material throughout the textbook. (The readability of the first sample of <u>Elementary School Mathematics</u> was 5-6th grade which would seem rather difficult for many children at the beginning of fifth grade.)

The following conclusions are drawn on the basis of the data:

- 1. If the elementary mathematics program is to be successful the material must be written on the child's independent reading level, not his frustration level.
- 2. Teachers, textbook committees, and administrators can not make the assumption that if a mathematics textbook is written for a specific grade, children of that grade will be able to read and understand the material.
- 3. It seems there is a need for a revision of Elementary School Mathematics, grade five, and the experimental mathematics textbooks, grade five, in order to bring the readability range closer to the grade five audience.
- 4. It seems that many problem solving difficulties in elementary mathematics can be caused by difficult reading material rather than lack of mathematical ability.
- 5. Recognizing the limitations of a readability formula, it seems that if careful and conscientious use of the Dale-Chall Readability Formula were carried out it could provide helpful information regarding the relative difficulty of the textbooks which are being considered.

6. Readability studies of elementary mathematics textbooks should be made before making the final selection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The writer wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Dr. Harlan J. Trennepohl for the constructive criticism, guidance, and encouragement he has given in the preparation of this report.

The writer's wife, Marie, and son, Richard must be remembered for the many sacrifices they have made during the writer's quest for education.

Dr. Lyle Dixon, Department of Mathematics, and the staff of the College of Education, Kansas State University, deserve the writer's gratitude for the inspiration provided to the writer during his stay at Kansas State University.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Chall, Jeanne. "This Business of Readability: A Second Look,"

 Educational Research Bulletin, XXXVII (February 23, 1956),

 pp. 17-25.
- Cronbach, Lee J. (ed.). <u>Text Materials in Modern Education</u>, Champaign, Illinois: University of Illinois Press, 1955.
- Dale, Edgar and Jeanne L. Chall. "A Formula for Predicting Readability," Educational Research Bulletin, XXVII (January 21, 1949), pp. 11-20, 28.
- Dale, Edgar and Hilda Hager. "How to Write to be Understood," Educational Research Bulletin, XXVII (November 10, 1948), pp. 207-216.
- Eicholz, Robert E., and others. Elementary School Mathematics, Book Five, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1964.
- Harris, Albert J. How to Increase Reading Ability, New York:
 David McKay Company, Inc., 1961.
- Heddens, James W. and Kenneth J. Smith. "The Readability of Experimental Mathematics Materials," The Arithmetic Teacher. XI (October, 1964), pp. 391-394.
- Lorge, Irving. "Predicting Readability," <u>Teachers College Record</u>
 XLV (March, 1944), pp. 404-419.
- Marks, John L., C. Richard Purdy, and Lucien B. Kinney.

 <u>Teaching Elementary School Matheratics for Understanding.</u>

 New York, St. Louis, San Francisco, Toronto, London, and Sydney: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1961.
- Ohmer, Merlin M., and others. Elementary Contemporary
 Mathematics, New York: Blaisdell Publishing Company,
 1964.
- Spache, George. "A New Readability Formula for Primary Grades," Elementary School Journal, LIII (March, 1953), p. 410.
- Spitzer, Herbert F. The Teaching of Arithmetic, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1954.
- Thorpe, Cleata B. Teaching Elementary Arithmetic, New York: Harper and Brothers, 1962.

Washburne, Carleton and Mabel Vogel Morphett. "Grade Placement of Children's Books," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, XXXVIII (January, 1938) pp. 355-364.

Dale List of 3000 Familiar Words

balloon alligator vmus banana able allow arose around band almost aboard bandage arrange about irrive (d) bang along arron banio aloud absent bank (er) already art accept artist bar accident also barber as account always bare(ly) ash(es) ache (ing) asida barefoot America acorn American acre asleep barn among aeross barrel amount at act(s) base ate an attack baseball and address basement admire attention basket adventure anger bat August afar angry batch aunt animal afraid author bath another after auto bathe answer afternoon bathing ant automobile afterward(s) autumn again any bathtub avenue anybody against batile awake (n) anyhow age battleship anyone PWay aged awful (ly) anything bay ago be (ing) awhile agree anyway beach ax an anywhere bead ahead aid apartment ape baby(ies) aim bear air apiece background beard airfield appear apple backward(s) airplane airport April beautiful apron ball(ly) airship beautify airy are beauty arenit gsa alarm became arise bake (r) alike alive arithmetic bakery because become all arm becoming armful ball alley

lEdgar Dale, and Jeanne L. Chall, "A Formula for Predicting Readability," Educational Research Bulletin, XXVII, (February 17, 1948), pp. 45-54.

bed biscuit borres bug bit boss buggy bedroom bite both build biting bedspread bother building bedtime bottle bitter built bee black bottom beech blackberry bought bull beef blackbird bounce bullet blackboard beefsteak bow blackness beehive blacksmith WOW-WOO bump beer blame bun best boxear blanket bundle beg bunny began blaze boohood bracelet bleed burst begged bless brain bury begin blessing brake bus blew beginning bran blind(s) begun bushel blindfold behave brass business behind brave busy believe blood bread but bell break butcher belong blossom breakfast butt below preast butter belt blow breath buttercup bench breathe butterfly blueberry bend breeze buttermilk beneath bluebird butterscotch bluejay bride bent button berry (ies) blush bridge buttonhole beside(s) board buy best boast brightness buzz bet boat by better bye between bobwhite broadcast cab body(ics) cabbage bible boil(er) brook cabin bicycle cabinet bid brother cackle big (ger) bonnet brought eage brown cake billboard calendar bin calf bubble bookkeeper bucket call(er)(ing) bird boot bud camel birthday born buffalo camp

campfire circus column cent center citizen comb can city cereal canal comfort elang certain(lv) canary chain comic candle class candlestick chair chalk classmate candy compare classroom champion cane conductor chance cannon cone change cannot clean(er) connect canoe clear 000 charge canit charm clerk cook (ed) canvon cook(ing) clever cap click cooky(ie)(s) cape cliff cool(er) chatter capital GOOD captain clip copper cheat car check clouk copy cardboard checkers cheek close care corn closer careful cheer corner cheese careless clothes correct cherry carelessness elothing cost carload chest cloud(y) cot carpenter cottage chick clover carnet actton chicken clown carriage club carrot cough child eluek carry could clump childhood cart children coach couldn't carve chill(y) coal count case cash chimmey coast counter coat country cashier county cob castle cobbler course cat catbird chipmunk cocoa court chocolate coconut cousin cocoon cover catcher choice caterpillar choose cod CC 7 coward(ly) catfish chop cowboy catsup coffeepot cozy chose (n) cattle coin erab caught cause Christmas erack collar eracker ehureh. cradle ceiling churn colle e cell cramps cigarette colt cranberry cellar circle

erank(v) crawl crazy creek creen crept cried croak erook (ed) eross (ing) cross-eyed crow crowd (ed) crown cruel erumb crumble crush crust cry(ies) eub cuff cupboard cupful cure curl (y) curtain curve custard customer cut cute cutting dad daily dairy daisy dam damage damp dance (r) dancing

Car. GV danger (ous) dare dark (ness) darling darn dach daughter daybreak daytime deal. dear December decide aeak deed deen deer defeat defend defense delight den dentist denosit describe desent desire desk destroy devil diamond didn't difference different

dig

dime

dine ding-dong dinner lirect dirt(y) discover dish dislike dismiss ditch diver Co dock coetor abes doesn't doll dollar dolly done dom'r dour doorbell doorsten cope Lot double dove down downstairs dozen dream dress dresser dressmaker drew

dried drift crill drive (n) driver drop drove drown drowsy drug drunk Cay duc dull dumb dimp dust (y) duty dwell dwelt dying eager eagle ear early earn east (ern) eat (en) edge eight eighteen eighth eighty either elbow elder eldest electric

electricity elephant eleven elf elm. else elsewhere emoty end(ing) enemy engine enginear English enjoy enough enter envelope equal erase(r) errand escape eve even evening ever every everybody everyday everyone everything everywhere evil exact except exchange excited exciting excuse exit expect explain extra eve eyebrow fable face facing fact factory fail

faint fair fairy faith fake fall false family fan fancy far faraway farmer farm (ing) far-off farther fashion fast fasten fat father fault favor favorite fear feast feather February fed feea feel feet fell fellow felt fence fever few fib fiddle field fife fifteen fifth fifty fig figure file

fill film finally find fine finger finish fire firearm firecracker fireplace fireworks firing first fish fisherman fist fit(s) five fix flig flame flap flash flashlight flea flesh flew flies flight flip flip-flop float flock flood floor flop f_cur flow flower (y) flutter fly form fog foggy fold folks fullew (ing)

fond food focl foolish foot football footprint for forehead forest forget forgive forgot (ten) fork form fort forth fortune forty forward fought found fountain four fourteen fourth fox frame free freedom freeze freight French fresh fret Friday fried friend(ly) friendship frighten frog frort Frost frown froze fruit fry fudge fuel

full(v) fun funny furniture further fuzzy gain gallop game gang garbage garden gas gasoline gate gather gave gear general gentle gentleman geography get getting giant gift gingerbread girl give(n) glad(ly) glass(es) glory glove glow goas gobble

God (g) godmother gold(en) goldfish good(s) good-looking goodness goody goose gooseberry government grade grain grandchildren granddaughter grandfather grandmother grandpa grapefruit grass grasshopper grateful gravel gravy gray green greet grocery

ground group grove guess guest guide guipowder gay habit hadn:t hail haircut hairpin halt hammer handful handkerchief handle happily happiness happy harbor hasnit

hasty hatch hatchet hate haul have haven't having hayfield haystack he headache heal heap hear(ing) heard heart heat (er) heaven heavy he'd height held he 111 helmet help(er) helpful her(s) h_re's hero herself he's hickory

hotel insact junk hound just hill hillside instead hilltop housetop intend hilly housewife kettle him housework ' interested himself interesting into hind however invite kill(ed) howl hint iron hip kind(ly) hire is kindness island his isnit kingdom humble history kiss its hundred hit kitchen it's hitch kite hung itself I've hunger kitten hive kitty ivory knee ivy hunt (er) hog kneel jacket hold(er) jacks hurried knife jail holiday knit hurt hollow knives holv husband knob January jar jaw jay knock homely hut lanot homesick jelly jellyfish jerk know I honest known ice lace jerk jig honeybea icv I'd honeymoon ladder idea job ladies ideal jockey lady honor laid hoof joke I:M joking hook jolly lame hoop important hop journey lamp joy(ful) hope (ful) impossible land hopeless improve joyous in language inch(es) jug juice horseback lap indeed horseshoe July Indian large hospital indoors Sing. June host lass hot inn junior last

Late	line	maid	merry
laugh	linen	mail	mess
laundry	lion	mailbox	message
law	lip	mailman	met
lawn	list	major	metul
lawyer	listen -	make	mew
lay	lic	naking	mice
lazy	listle	male	middle
lead	live(s)	mama	midnight
leader	Lively	marma	might (y)
leaf	liver	man	mile
leak	living		milk
lean	lizard	manager	
		maine	milkman
leap	load	manger	mill
learn(ed)	loaf	many	miller
least	loan	map	million
leather	loaves	maple	mind
leave (ing)	Lock	narble	mine
led	locomotive	march (M)	miner
left	103	mure	mint
leg	lone	mank	ninute
lemon	lonaly	market	mirror
lemonade	Lonesome	marriage	mischief
lend	long	married	miss (M)
length	lock	marry	misspell
less	lookout	mask	mistake
lesson	loop	mast	misty
let	loose	master	mitt
let's	lord	mat	mitten
letter	lose(w)	match	mix
letting	loss	matter	moment
lectuce	lost	mattress	Monday
level	lot	may (M)	money
liberty	loud	maybe	monkey
library	love	mayor	month
lice	lovely	maypole	moo
lick	lover	me	moon
lid	low	meadow	moonlight
lie	luck(y)	meal	moose
life	Lumber		
lift		mean(s)	mop
	lump	meant	more
light (ness)	lunch	measure	morning
lightning	lying	meat	morrow
like	ma	medicine	moss
likely	machine	meet (ing)	most(ly)
liking	machinery	melt	mother
lily	mad	member	motor
limb	made	men	n.ount
lime	magazine	mend	mountain
limp	mugic	meow	mouse

move moving Mr., Mrs. mud muddy mula multiply murder music must myself nail name nap napkin narrow nastv naughty navy near nearby nearly neat neck necktie naed needle neednit Negro neighbor neighborhood neither nerve net never nevermore new news

newspaper

next

nice

nibble

nickel

night

nine

no

noi

none

nor

nove

nothing

notice November

nowhere

number

oatmeal

o'eloek

nut

oak

outs

odd

of

north (ern)

nineteen

ninetv

office
officer
often
oh
oil
old
old-fashioned
on
once
one
onion
only

oth ard orchard order ore othur otherwise ought our(s) ourselves outiloors outfit outline oven uver overalls overecat overhead overhear overnight overturn owe owing or. L vainting pair

pal

Dan paneake pardon parent part (ly) partner party pass passenger past paste pasture pat pattch patter pave pavement pay payment pea(s) peace (ful) peach (es) peanut pear pearl peak peel peep peg pencil penny pacple pepper peppermint perhaps person pet

Jhone piano pickle pienie pieture pie pig pigeon piggy pill pillow pin pineapple pint pipe pistol pit pitcher pity place pla..e plant prite platter playgrou.d playlouse playmate plaything pleasant please pleasure plenty plow plum pocket pocketbook point

poison

poke police policeman nond pony pool roog gog popeorn porch possible pot potato(es) pound power (ful) pretty prince princers prize promise proper protect proud prove pull

punokin punish nurse TUSS pussyeat quart quarter cueen question quick(ly) quilt cruit. quite rabbit race rack radish railread railway rainbow raka ranch rang ru e rather

rattle ray read reader reading ready real really relo rear reason rebuild receive record redbird redbreast refuse reindeer rejoice remember remind remove repair repay report return review reward rib rice rich ride (r) riding right rip rine rise

rising	said	secret	shelf
river	sail	see(ing)	shell
road	sailboat	seed	shepherd
roadside	sailor	seek	shine
roar	saint	seem	shining
roast	salad	seen	shiny
rob	sale	scasaw	ship
robber	salt	select	shirt
robe	same	self	shock
robin	sanā(y)	sellish	shua
rock(y)	sandwich	sell	shoemaker
rocket	sarg	se.d	shone
rode	sank	sense	shook
roll	sap	sent	shoot
roller	sash	sentience	shop
roof	sat	separate	shopping
room	satin	September	shore
rooster	satisfactory	servant	short
root	Satur_ay	serve	shor
rope	sausage	service	should
rose	savage	set	shoulder
rosebud	Save	satuing	shoulan't
rot	savings	sertil	shout
rotten	saw	settlement	shovel
rough	say	seven	show
round	seab	seventeen	shower
route	scales	seventh	shut
row	scare	seventy	shy
rowboat	scarf	several	sick(ness)
royal	school	597	side
rub	schoolboy	shade	sidewalk
rubbed	schoolhouse	shadow	sideways
rubber	schoolmaster	snady	sigh
rubbish	schol Iroom	stake (r)	sight
rug	scorch	snaking	sig.
rule(r)	score	sh .ll	silence
rumble	serap	shane	silent
run	serape	shanit	silk
rung	scratch	shape	sill
runner	scream	share	silly
running	screen	sharp	silver
rush	screw	shave	simple
rust (y)	scrub	sne	sin
rye	sea	she'd	since
sack	Seal	sheill	sing
sad	seam	she's	singer
saddle	search	shear(s)	single
sadness	season	shed	sink
safe	sead	sheep	sip
safety	second	sheet	sir

Sis	snowwarl	spin	stir
sissy	snowflake	spinach	stitch
sister	snuff	spirit	stock
sit	snug	spit	stocking
sitting	so	splash	stole
six	soak	spcil	stone
sixteen	soap	spoke	stood
sixth	sob	spook	stool
	socks		
sixty		spoon	stoop
size	sod	sport	stop
skate	scda	Spot .	stopped
skater	sofa	spreau	stopping
ski	soft	spring	store
skin	soil	springtime	stories
skip	sold	sprinkle	stork
skirt	soldier	square	storm(y)
sky	sole	squash	story
slam	SCTC	squeak	stove
slap	somebidy	scueeze	straight
slate	somehow	squirrel	strange (r)
slave	son.cone	scable	strap
sled	somethin.	stack	straw
sleep(y)	sometime (s)	stage	strawberry
sleeve	somewhere	stain	stream
sleigh	son	stall	street
slept	song	stamp	stretch
slice	SCOL	stand	string
			String
slide	sole	sten	strip
	SUTTOW	stare	stripes
sling	sorry	start	strong
slip	sort	starve	stuck
slipped	soll	STOTE	study
slipper	sound	station	stuff
slippery	soup	stay	stump
slit	scan	steak	stung
slow(ly)	south (ern)	steal	subject
sly	spuce	STORM	steh
smack	spaue	stelmonat	suck
small	Jank	sleamer	sudden
smart	spannow	steel	suffer
smell	sīlak(er)	stcep	sugar
smile	spenr	steeple	suit
smoke	speach	steer	sum
smooth	speed	stem	simmer
snail	spell(ing)	stel	SUI
snake	spend	stepping	Suncay
Shan	sperit	stick(y)	sunflower
snapping	spider	stiff	
sneeze	spike		SUME
snow(y)	spill	still (ness)	sunk
2-10M (A)	Phiti	sting	sunlight

taste tho too SUMMY taught took sunrise thorn sunset tax those tool sunshine tea though toot supper teach (er) thought tooth suppose thousand toothbrush Thread sure(Ly) tear toothpick three surface tease top surprise teaspoon threw tore svallow teella throat torn telephone Throne toss tell through touch SWEMD temper throw(n) tow ten thumb toward(s) swear tennis thunder towel sweat Thursday tower sweater thy town terrible tick sweep tov test sweet (ness) ticket trace sweetheart than tickle track thunk(s) swell tie trade thankful swept tiger train swift Thanks tight tramp till swim giving trap swimming that time trav swing that's tin treasure switch the tinkle treat sword theater tinv tree swore thee trick table their tiptoe tricycle tablecloth Tire tried tired tablespoon Then trim tablet itis there trip tack these trolley to trouble Toad ! they'd tail truck they'll toadstool true take (n) toast they re truly taking They 've tobacco tale trust talk(er) toe truth tall thinble together trv tame toilet tub thing tan Tuesday tank tug tap tomorrow tulio tane thirsty ton tumble tar thirteen tune Tardy tolline thirty task this tonight turkev

cura turtle Twenty twice twig tr.0 umbrella unela under understand underwear undress unfinished unfold United States unknown unless unpleasant until unwilling up upon upper upset upside upstairs uptown Lpward use(ä) useful valentine vallev valuable value vase vegetable velvet vessel victory

view village vine violat visit visitor voice VOTE War wagon waist wait waku (n) WELLS wall valnut Wer WILDIN wash (cr) washtub wasn't waste water waterproof wave wax Wayside E.7 weak (ness) wearon neary web Desa

C.88.7 wellcome we'll went we re vest (ern) welve whale what what's wheat whicel when ver where while while whistle rhize who'd whole who 'll who's whose wicked wica wife wiggle wildcat will willing willow winamill wing

wing winner winter wipe wise wish TUT without wolf woman women won wonderful Won't woodnecker woods wool woolen word work (er) workman worla WORRY worse Worst worth wouldn't wound wrapped wireck wring written wrote

wrung yard yarn year yell yellow yes
yesterday
yet
yolk
yonder
you

you'll young youngster your(s) you're yourself yourselves youth you've

READABILITY COMPARISON OF A COMMERCIAL MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOK AND EXPLRIMENTAL MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOKS

by

RICHARD JAMES DONALD

B. S., East Stroudsburg State College, 1957

AN ABSTRACT OF A MASTER'S REPORT

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

College of education

KANSAS STALE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas The purposes of this study were: (1) to compare the readability of a commercial elementary mathematics textbook,

Elementary School Mathematics, grade five, with the results of a readability study of experimental mathematics textbooks by Hedden and Smith; (2) to demonstrate the application of the Dale-Chall readability formula to elementary mathematics textbooks; and (3) to bring to the attention of elementary teachers, textbook committees, and administrators the existing readability problems among many mathematics programs.

The descriptive method of research was used in writing the report. The research revealed that the commercial elementary mathematics textbook and the experimental elementary mathematics textbooks contained material far above the readability level of the specific grade for which it was written.

The Dale-Chall readability formula was applied to samples taken from a commercial elementary mathematics textbook and the results were compared to the readability of experimental mathematics material on the same grade level. While the average readability level of the commercial text, and the experimental textbooks was considered high by the writer, the readability range of the samples was even more striking. Although the samples were taken from fifth grade elementary mathematics textbooks, the readability range was from the fourth grade to the twelfth grade.

Recognizing the limitations of readability formulas and realizing they only provide estimates of readability, the writer

arrived at the following conclusions:

- 1. If the elementary mathematics program is to be successful the material must be written on the child's independent reading level, not his frustration level.
- 2. Teachers, textbook committees, and administrators can not make the assumption that if a mathematics textbook is written for a specific grade, children of that grade will be able to read and understand the material.
- 3. It seems there is a need for a revision of Elementary School Mathematics, grade five, and the experimental mathematics textbooks, grade five, in order to bring the readability range closer to the grade five audience.
- 4. It seems that many problem solving difficulties in elementary mathematics can be caused by difficult reading material rather than lack of mathematical ability.
- 5. Readability studies of elementary mathematics textbooks should be made before making the final selection.
- 6. It seems that if careful and conscientious use of the Dale-Chall readability formula were carried out it could provide helpful information regarding the relative difficulty of the textbooks which are being considered.
- 7. Measuring the recd bility of mathematics textbooks seems to place additional limitations on the Dale-Chall formula which indicates a need for a formula better suited to measuring the readability of mathematics textbooks.