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## INTRODUCTION

A much discussed topic in the American schools today concerne the wethod that is most useful in teaching our joung people to read. This is not only a current issue but dates back to the $1780^{\circ}$ s when Noak Webster introduced the idea of phonics. The phonic method was the prevalent method during certain periods of time from the 1780's until recently. This method declined in its use occasionally because of the introduction of new and different methods of reading. At no time, however, did the phonic method ever become completely obsolete from Noah Webster's time until presently. Today, phonics is used in conjunction with other methods in the teaching of reading.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The purposes of this study were to use the Califorala Phonics Survey test to determine (a) the degree of phonic adequacy or phonic disability, designated as some, serious, or gross disability, existing at the ninth grade level in Bennington, Minneapolis, and Tescott high schoole; and (b) to discover the number of items most frequently or least frequently missed in each of the diagnostic areas by the ninth grade students of these three high schools.

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

Terms which have been used in this study are defined as follows:

Phonics - a method of sounding letters or groups of letter so as to get clues to the meaning of printed words that the student cannot recognize at first sight.

Phonetics - involves the identification of the sounds of each language or dialect, the formation of these sounds by the speech organs, the auditory characteristics of the sounds, and their relation to other aspects of language. (13)

Configuration - visual perception of a word on the basis of its general appearance as opposed to the perception of accurate printed letter combinations. (4) Rigidity - the inability to dissociate auditory from visual associations in the perception of words. (4) Digraph - a combination of two vowels or consonants to represent a single sound, as bread or traffic. (3) Dipthong - a combination of two vowels each of which retains a sound. (3)

Blend - two consonant letters used together to spell a single sound, 28 blue. (13) These are also considered to be consonant digraphs by some authorities.

## REVIEA OF THE LITERATURE

## Elatory of Phonics

There is probably no form of instruction in the elementary schools of America with a more remarkable history than the teaching of phonics. As early as the 1780 's Noah Webster introduced the idea of teaching the "powers" as well as the names of the letters of the alphabet in beginning reading. (5) In using the alphabet method, the prevailing approach to reading, the studonts spelled out words in reading. With the coming of "phonetic" instruction in the schools, students were learning to sound out as well as to spell the words. Wobster's method was a forerunner of the phonetic approach to reading, which competed with the alphabet method over a period of nearly fifty jears as a "more sensible" approach to reading instruction in the schools.

America was still in its infancy when the nation was making unprecedented demands on its schools. While these demands did not interfere with the usual instruction in the ABC's, they pointed up the need for a systematic teaching of the letters in the linglish language. "Phonetic" instruction was specifically prompted by (a) the desire to unify the English language by getting rid of its numerous dialects and (b) the prevailing emphasis on elocution.

The first primer based on the word method of teaching
reading waa published in 1840. The word method exemplified en entirely new philosophy of beginning reading which was the exact opposite of the $A B C$ or alphabet-phonetic epproach. The word method of teaching reading with instruction in phonics was well received by educators throughout the country. In spite of the apparent acceptance of this word method and the mounting criticism of the ABC method and phonic approach to reading, the majority of the instructora clung to the older method; the tradition was too atrong to overcome easily. It was not until 1880 that the alphabetphonetic method was generally condemned and the word method was taking its place in the public achools.

It uas in the middle of the $1880^{\circ}$ s when the alphabetphonetic approach was being overtaken by the word method of teaching reading, that the famoua McGuffey Readers were published-mthe readers that were recomended for adoption in the public schools of Twin Lakes, Wisconsin, in 1960 "chiefly because of their phonetic approach to reading". (5)

The $1880^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ marked the beginning of two new education practices. One was the sentence and story method of teaching reading. The other practice involved elaborately organised systems of phonics. Although the systems varied somewhat in methodology, carefully organized prograns of phonics were an inseparable part of each succeeding baaic reading syatem for the next forty years.

The demand for instruction in phonics resulted from the growing dissatisfactions with the word method of teaching reading. Even before the introduction of the sentence and story methods, the word method was under severe atteck by those against it. It was pointed out that children were not learning to read as well as they should. The falling off in reading ability was particularly conspicuous in the upper elementary grades, where the inability to call the words readily interfered with efficiency in reading.

The following quotation from an educational journal published over aixty jears ago has overtones of the criticism directed against the so-called look-say method of teaching reading today. This is what the critics at the close of the nineteenth century had to say about the wholeword method of reading instruction in the achools:

There is quite a general complaint that the pupils in the upper grades are not able to read with ease and expression. They have so little mastery over the words that an exercise in reading becomes a laborious effort in word calling. Pupils usually read very well through the ilrst three readers, according to our present standard of reading in these grades. But the trouble begins in the fourth reader, and by the time the class is in the fifth, the reading recitation is torture to the teacher and a hateful task to the pupil.

There can be no good reading without the ability to call words readily, and it may be well to consider whether the methods of teaching primary reading are not at fault in preparing the pupil for the advanced reading.

While he (the pupil) should be leaming independence in raking out his words, he learns dependence, and his
dependence increases with the increase in difficulties. (5)

Since the word method of teaching reading was generally believed to be responsible for the poor reading in the schools, the pendulum began to swing away from the whole word to the sounds that compose the word. Ingtead of teaching word wholes, the trend was now toward the synthetic approach to reading.

The children's inability to call words readily captured the imagination of Rebecos S. Pollard, who, in her manual on the synthetic method of teaching reading pubiished in 1889, posed this question: "Instead of teaching the word as a whole, and afterward subjecting it to phonic analyais, is it not infinitely better to take the letters from the starting point, and with these sounds lay a foundation firm and broad upon which we can build whole fanilies of words for instant recognition?" (5)

From the time of the Pollard synthetic method of reading until 1928 all the various systems of phonics had three characteriatice in common: (a) they were based on the synthetic approach to phonics which began with the smallest unita, namely the individual sounds, which were then blended into larger units and finally into the wordwhole; (b) the sounds of the letters, vowels, and consonants were taught apart from the words in which the sounds occurred; and (c) their objective in teaching phonics was
to enable the children to sound out the unfanillar worde in their reading.

The word method of teaching phonics taught by Anna $D$. Cordts provided the analytic approach, as opposed to the synthetic methods of teaching phonics. Starting with whole word the pupil proceeded to the parts or components of which the word or syllable is composed. "Phonetic" units, vowel and consonant sounds, were learned not apart from but always in the words in which they occurred.

It had now been nearly a century and a half since Noah Webster advanced the idea of teaching the "powers" of the letters as well as their names, and forty years since the publication of the first elaborate system of phonics for promoting efficioncy in reading.

Before the end of the 1930's phonics had fallen into 111 repute, and the former indisputable aid to reading was under attack by supervisors of elementary education and by reading instructors in the nations teachers colleges. Among their reasons for objecting to phonics were the ovoremphasis on the mechanics of reading and the practice of instructing children to sound out the new words they encountered in reading.

While the progressive movement in education was making itself felt, several other developments were taking place, all of which were having an unfavorable effect on
the traditional methods of "phonetic" instruction.
Experimental research played a big role in this. When it was found that pupils who rated highest in their ability to sound out the unfamiliar words in reading, consistently made the lowest scores in apeed and comprehension, phonics was declared to be a handicap in reading.

The greater emphasis on silent reading rather than on orel reading decreased the mphasis on the teaching of phonics. With reading speed and comprehension receiving top rating and with the theory that the habit of "sounding out" words slowed the readers' rate and interfered with his thinking while reading, phonics was belleved to be incompatible with the new objectives in reading.

The application of psychology to reading instruction and the influence of newly established principles of child development had a damaging effect on phonics. With eack additional study in educational psychology and the neture and character of the reading process, the ultimate fate of phonics became increasingly clear. Arthur I. Gates summed up the situation in one sentence when he asid, "Carry-over of phonetic knowledge in reading is a olumsy and wasteful process with no certainty of results". (5)

By 1930 the mounting evidence against phonies could no longer be ignored. Many school administrators, basing theix judgment on the philosophy of Dewey and the investi-
gations of Thorndike, Judd, Buawell, Gates and Gray, stated phonics would have to hold only a minor role in the teaching of reading or be completely expelled from the system. The teachers were not milling to abandon this moans of teaching and many continued without the knowledge of theis supervisors.

By the beginning of the $1940^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$, after more than a decade of teaching reading with littio or no symtematic instruction in phonics, the parents and teachers were both distressed over the children's isilure in the various subjects that depended upon their ability to read.

Parents were urging the schools to return to aystematic instruction in phonics as the cure for the children's reading ills, and the teachers were londing their wholehearted support to the parents' demands.

Primary teachers contended that it was much easier to teach children to read with the aid of phonics than Without it. Teachers in the intermediate grades and in high school complained thet their pupils had not the slightest idea how to attack and 1dentify an unfamiliar word in reading.

Slowly at firat, as if unwlling to jield to public pressure, the school administratora and instructors of college reading relaxed their opposition to phonics and by the widdle $1940^{\prime}$ s phonics was rapidly regaining its former
prestige.
Once the lack of phonics started to show-up, it was decided then that it should not be used as a method of teaching reading, but a method of analyzing words. When used in this manner the teaching of phonies gets desired results, and is quite likely here to stay. (16)

If phonics is taught as a system of sounds there is grave danger of focusing the reader's attention on the sounding out of words rather than on the meaning of the passage. But many children, taught exclusively by the phonic method, do not learn to read for meaning. They learn reading as a word-calling game. Reading clinics and upper elementary and high school classes are filled with youngsters who have not learned to read for meaning.

## Present Day Theories Concerning the Teaching of Phonics <br> Phonics todey is one of the important methods used

 in teaching our children to read. Bducators today advocate the use of many methods; there is no one right method to use. This can be supported by Daniels and Diack who state, concerning the sight-word method and phonics, "Both are necessary and they should not be separated, for they complement one another adairably, and together form a unified and pleasing whole." (7)Staiger would support this theory with his bellef
that the sight-word method must be used, but phonios must be retained. To aubstantiate his beliel in phonice, Staiger says, "Without phonics, most children cannot become sellreliant, discriminating, efficient readers." (14)

Bloomer states,
Teaching phonics to Eiglish reading chilaren is not teaching reading. It is part of the readingteaching process, and is the most effective way of teaching material which can be handied phonetically." (1)

Another example of the support for some phonics in today's schools comes from Cowen; he states, "The need for some phonics training to dovelop the auditory visual perception of words in the early grades has been recognized." (6)

Gans would support the theory of an all-phonics approach in teaching beginning readers to be very dangerous. She states, "Teachers using one of the all-phonic systems are full of examples of the errors in pronunciation which develop and which must be eliminated later on." (8)

Information and Recomendations Made by the Authore of the Callfornia phonics Surver

The authors of the California Phonics Survey Test presented the following information about phonic inadequacy. This information they provide stems from the research they have conducted in phonics.

There are two principle types of "hidden disability" that tend to impair reading proficiency. Some individuals
have acquired all the phonio facts necessary for accurate reading, but are unable to make adequate use of this knowledge in their reading. Others actually lack essential phonic knowledge, but have effectively disguised this handieap with an extensive sight vocabulary. Individuals in either of these groups will tend to guess, substitute, or overlook any word in print that they cannot immediately recognize, often completely unaware they are doing so. Such students typically feel they can read much better silently than they can aloud. This, of course, is not the case. Their disability is revealed indirectly in such forms as slow or overcautious word-by-word reading, confusion in dealing with unfamiliar material, or a vocabulaxy level noticeably lower than might be expected from their measured intelligence or academic achievement. Analysis of several studies and of a variety of teat relationships shows high correlations between reading and phonics, as well as between spelling and phonics scores. These relationships appear to be particularly evident for students in the top and bottom scoring groups on the California Phonics Survey. Bright children seem to be helped more by phonics than slow-learning children. Where phonic ability is adequate, the student will achieve beyond expectation in reading and spelling. If phonic ability is severely limited, he will under-achieve in these two
subjecta, particularly in spelling.
In survey uses of the test, the grouping of scores to represent (a) adequacy, (b) some degree of disability, (c) serious difficulty in phonics, and (d) gross phonic disability, gives a general idea of the type of training or retraining that may be called for. Students in both the first and second groups can profit by most developmental reading courses. Those in the second group, particularly, may abow marked improvement in spelling once their specific phonic misconcoptions are oleared up. For students in the two lowest groups, however, intensive and systematic retraining in phonics will be necessary before any significant improvement can be expected in reading, spelling, composition, or mechanics of English. Speed-reading courses seem to be actually harmful for such students. Gains made by the end of the apeed-reading course often proved shortlived; within a few weoks, the student regresses, because he is burdened with further irustration and confusion.

The following summary of some of the conclusions reached by the authors of the test with regard to interpretation of individual profiles will prove useful to teachers and otbers who wish to use the findings of the test for individual analysis.

If Long-short Vowel errore are the only type of error made, it is sometimes more indicative of a speling
rather than a reading problew. A student may be a relatively accurate reador, but may have become so sccustomed to his own misapellinge that auch vowel misapelilngs have become the equivalent of "sight" words to him.

If all or mont of the errors are in Consonant-vowel Revereal, this may be residue iromearlier learning experience. Comsonant-vowel Reversals may often indicate inexperience in reading and a linited vocabulary, rather than a basic phonic disability.

The teacher will often discover that a single category has to be interpreted in relation to the other categorieg. For example, if there ase no Configuration or consonant errors, a high error score on Rigidity may represent a possible intellectual rigidity or set, rather than a phonic disability. In this way, wrooph or phurst may be read correctly by the student, but not recognized as having any connection with the real word with the same sound. If, however, these Rigidity errors accompany a high orror score in Configuration and/or Consonant errors, they should probably be regarded as indicating (a) ignorance of consonant digraphs, and/or (b) a tendency to rely on configurationel clues in word atteck. In such cases, they should be considered as extensions of one of these two categories.

Interpretation of certain categories in relation to the total profile will often reveal the fact that the
atudent knows his phonics, but is not using them. An examinee may have an error-free score in those exercises measuring simply knowledge of phonic principles (vowels and consonants) but at the same time make a high error score in the categories, Configuration and lindings. If so, it is extremely likely that for some reason his knowledge of phonics is separated from his reading, and that he is making gross substitutions in his reading speed. Such a student may even do well on tests of silent reading comprehension at the earlier grade levela, especially if they offer no great intellectual ohallenge. The more intelligent the student, the longer it may be before his inadequate reading pattern is uncovered. However, his competence in reading will tend steadily to diminish as he progresses in achool, and as the material he is required to read becomes increasingly demanding. (4)

In the review of the literature no material concerning phonics instruction at the secondary level could be found.

## DESCRIPTION OP THE TEST

The items in the California Phonics Survey inciuded all of the common apeech sounds of the Englisi language in their more usual spellings. Care was taken by the authors to avoid the possibility of exrors being caused from
regional speech differences, uncommon spelings, ambiguous combinations of letters, and finer points of pronunciation. The five exercises do not measure different kinds of phonic skill; each item contributes to the overall pattern of errors a student may make. The test is divided into five units largely to provide variety in the method of presentation and to avoid the offects of fatigue. The lively and unusual content of each exercise helps to maintain a high level of interest for students at all levels.
fnother important part of the tent consists of six diagnostic categories. The purpose of these is to give the reading instructor a more specipic idea of where phonic difficulty exists.

The first diagnostic category consists of Long-short Vovel Confusion. Errors in this ategory indicate confugion with regard to the rules for the pronunciation of long and short vowels. An example: lick wan an alternative to be chosen representing the spoken word like.

Another ares of vowel difficulty is labeled as Other Yowel Confusion. Errore in this category indicate confusion with regard to any of the other vowel sounds, and the correct pronunciation of the vowel digraphs. An examples the choice of wodpick might be chosen as representing the spoken sound yould peck.

The second diagnostic category pertains to Confusion

With Blends and Digraphs. Errorg in this category indicate ignorance of the correct pronunciation of consonant digraphs or of consonant blends, and confusion between single consonants and consonant blends. Example: clear might be chosen to represent the spoken mound cheer.

A second part of consonant difficulty is labeled Consonant-vowel Revergals. Brrors in this category show a tendency to transpose vowels and consonants and, theresore, to pronounce the word incorrectly by reversing the letter sounde. Example: slar is an alternative that ight be chosen as representiag the spoken sound salg.

The third diagnostic category deale with Configura tion. Included in this classification axe errors that occur because the student, ingtead of perceiving the printed letter combination accurately, guesses the angwer on the basis of general eppeerance, known as configuration. Example: phrsic alght be obosen for the spoken word parchie. The fourth diagnostic category deals with Findings (suffixes). Brrors in this category all dealt with misreading of suffixes, that is, gramatically significant ends of words. Example: easr-slimmer night be chosen for the spoken easy-glimming.

Negatives and Opposites; Sight Words made up the f1fth diagnostic category. Two error groups are combined In this clasaification because of the small number of items
in each, and bocause in both oategories an apparently ainor error in word perception could produce a major error in the perception of meaning. An example of negatives and opposites: determined might be chosen for undetermined. An example from the sight word group: though might be chosen as a match from apoken through.

Since the test conditions allowed suffleient opportunity for sounding out and for repetition of the spoken clue, even a single error in either group could likely be significant.

The sixth diagnostic category pertains to Risidity. Errors in this category seem to occur when the student is in some way too tied to the visual appearance of words. He can not dissociate auditory from visual associations in the perception of words, even with speoific ingtructions to do so. Example: The letter combination wrooph may be correctly identified as baving the verbal sound of roof. Completing the diagnostic categories is an area known as unclassified errors. These errors consists of an examinee marking two answers or no answers on an item. Also tabulated in this area are errors representing the difference between the examinee's total diagnostic errors and the total possible score of seventy-five. (4)

## PROCEDURES

Following are the procedures used in edministering the California Phonics Survey to the ainth grade classes in three Ottawa County high schools: Bennington, Tescott, and Minneapolis.
(a) The test was administered by the writer using a tape recorder. The writer administered the tests rather than involving school personnel to insure that the test was administered the same way in all schools. In Bennington and Tescott the test was administered to all of the students as a group in the English classes; in Minneapolis there were two ninth grade English classes. The test was administered to each class.

Each student had a test booklet and an answer sheet. All of the exercises were multiple choice items. The students listened to the tape recording and studied the choices in the test booklet before marking his choice on the aaswer sheet.
(b) The answer shoets were checked by the writer manually in order to discover if adequate phonics, some phonic disability, serious phonic disability, or gross phonic disability existed.
(c) Groups of items pertaining to nine diagnostic categories were scored in order to determine the number of

Items missed in each eategory by each student.
(d) The scored answer sheets, including the total
raw scores and the diagnostic proflies, were sent to the respective schools in order that the answer sheets could be analyeed by school personnel.

Table I presonts information about the date the test was given and the number of pupils tested.

## table I

THE DATE AND NUMBER OR MINTH GRADE STUDENTS TESTED IN THRETS OTTAWA COUNTY HIGH SGHOOLS

| School | Date tested. | Number of pupils tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bennington | December 9, 1965 | 18 students |
| Tescott | December 15, 1965 | 16 students |
| Minneapolis | December 17, 1965 | 31 students |
| Mianeapolis | December 22, 1965 | 27 students |
| Total |  | 92 students |

In analysing the results it is necessary to know the classification system for the total raw scores obtained. The teat authors provided four categories as follows:

| Adequate phonics | $70-75$ points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Some phonic disability | $58-69$ points |
| Serious phonic disability | $46-57$ points |
| Gross phonic disability | 45 points and below (4) |

Sable II shows the number of students from the three schools whose scores on the California Phonics Survey placed then in each of the four categories of phonic adequacy and disability given by the authors.

## TABL II

NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND THE PEM CENT OF STUDENTS IN HACH DISABILITY CATEGORY

| Phonic adequacy or <br> disability oategory | Vumber of <br> students | Per cent of <br> students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adequate phonics | 11 | 12.0 |
| Some phonic disability | 39 | 42.4 |
| Serious phonic disability | 21 | 22.8 |
| Gross phonic disability | 21 | 22.8 |
| Totals | 92 | 100 |

From this table it can be seen that approximately two-fifths of the students in the three schools used in the study had some phonic disability. Approxiaately 45 per cont of the students had either serious or gross phonic dieability. The per cent of those who possess grose phonic disability is almost twice the per cent of those having adequate phonies.

Table III Gives the medlan total score for each school and states the range of scores for each school. The schools are placed in the order from the school with the
highest median score to the school with the lowest median score. However, no attempt was made to determine whether or not the difference obtained was statistically significant.

> TABLE III

MEDIAR TOTAL SCORE AND RANGE OF SCORES POR EACH OF THE THRES SCHOOLS TE3TED

| School | Median total <br> score | Range of <br> seores |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tescott | 62 | $37-72$ |
| Minneapolis (both sections) | 59 | $18-75$ |
| Bennington | 53 | $20-70$ |

From observing the above table, one can readily see the large range of scores within each school. The lowest and highest score on the test were both recorded in Minneapolis High Sehool. The school acquiring the highest median total score (Tescott) also had the smallest range of scores.

Table IV shows the mean of errors from sixteen Tescott ninth graders on each of the diagnostic error categories.

The three diagnostic categories with the bighest per cents of error made by the Tescott ninth grade students, were Long-short Vowel Confusion, Configurations, and Con-sonant-vowel Reversals. Rigidity was another category
showing a high incidence of error. The category of Negatives and Opposites - Sight Words showed the least per cent of error. No attempt was made to deterwine whother there were statistically signifioant differences between these per cents.

## TABLE IV

ITEMS POSSIBLE, THE MEAR OP THE GRFORS, AND THE PER CENT Of errors mads for each jiagiostio categorl from SIXTEER TESCOTT KINTH GRADERS

| Diagnostic category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Items } \\ & \text { possible } \end{aligned}$ | Mean number of errors for each category | Per cent of errors made |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-short Vowel Confusion (rowels) | 20 | 2.81 | 14.1 |
| Configurations | 30 | 4.06 | 13.5 |
| Consonant-vowel Reversals (consonants) | 15 | 1.94 | 12.9 |
| Rigidity | 15 | 1.63 | 10.9 |
| Other Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 20 | 1.44 | 7.2 |
| Confusion With Blends and Digraphe (consonants) | 25 | 1.31 | 5.2 |
| Endings (suffixes) | 20 | . 56 | 2.8 |
| Negatives and Opposites Sight Words | 20 | . 06 | 0.3 |
| Unclassified | -- | 2.13 | -- |

Table $V$ shows the results from Iffy-eight Mnneapolis ninth graders on each of the diagnostic error categories.

## TABLE V

ITEMS POSSIBLE, THE MEAT OF THE ERRORS, AND THE
PER CENT OF ERRORS MADS FOR EACH DIAGNOSTIC
CATEGORI FROM FIPTY-MIGET MINNEAPOLIS
NINTH GRADERS

| Diagnostic eategory | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Items } \\ & \text { possible } \end{aligned}$ | Mean nuaber of errorg for each category | Per cent of errors made |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consonant-vowel Reversals (consonants) | 15 | 2.39 | 15.9 |
| Long-short Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 20 | 3.17 | 15.9 |
| Configurations | 30 | 4.72 | 15.7 |
| Rigidity | 15 | 2.01 | 13.4 |
| Other Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 20 | 1.70 | 8.5 |
| Confusions With Blends and Digraphs (consonsents) | 25 | 1.80 | 7.2 |
| Endings (suffixes) | 20 | 1.01 | 5.1 |
| Negatives and Opposites Sight Words | 20 | . 40 | 2.0 |
| Unclassified | - | 2.49 | -- |

It can be seen from the above table concerning the alnth grade mtudents of Minneapolia H 1 gh School that errorg
on the items consisting of Consonant-vowel Reveraals and Long-short Vowel Confusion had the highest per cent of error in comparison with the other diagnostic categories. The per cents of error made Configurations second high followed by Rigidity. Kegatives and Opposites - Sight Words showed the lowest per cent of error.

Table VI illustrates the mean number and the per cent of the diagnostic errors from eighteen Bennington ninth graders.

The bighest per cent of exror occurred on Consonantvowel Reversals by the ninth grade students at Bennington. Configuration, Long-short Vowel Confusion, and Rigidity ranked second, third, and fourth respectively in the incidence of errors on a per cent besis. The category of Negatives and Opposites - Sight Words had the least incidence of errors.

Additional results concerning the diagnostic error categories are the figures in Table VII, illustrating the mean and the per oent of the errors of each diagnostic category for all the pupils who took the test.

Since this table represents all the studenta, it can be expected the category most irequently missed by the individual schools would show the highest incidence of error on this table. Consonant-vowel Reversals, with the highest per cent of error on this table, was the category with the
highest per cent of error in two of the three schools tested. As in all of the individual schools, the lowest per cent of error was committed on Negatives and Opposites - Sight Words. No attempt was made to determine whether there was a statistically significant difference between any of the per cents preaented in the table.

## TABLE VI

ITEMS POSSIBLE, THE MEAN OF THE ERRORS, AHD THE PER CENT OR ERRORS MADE YOR EACH DIAGNOSTIC CATEGORY FROM EIGHTEREN BENNINGTOI NIETH GRADERS

| Diagnostic category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Items } \\ & \text { possible } \end{aligned}$ | Mean number of error: for each category | Per cent of errors made |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consonant-vowel Reversels (consonants) | 15 | 3.11 | 20.7 |
| Configurations | 30 | 5.72 | 19.1 |
| Long-short Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 20 | 3.72 | 18.6 |
| Rigidity | 15 | 2.33 | 15.9 |
| Other Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 20 | 2.17 | 10.9 |
| Confuaions With Blends and D1graphs (consonants) | 25 | 2.33 | 9.3 |
| Endings (auffixes) | 20 | 1.00 | 5.0 |
| Negatives and Opposites sight Words | 20 | . 56 | 2.8 |
| Unclasslified | -- | 3.83 | -- |

A MEAN OF THE ERRORS ATD THE PMR CENT OF BRRORS FOR EACH DIAGNOSTIC CATEGORI FROM NINETY-TWO SIRTH GRADE STUDENTS

| Diagnoatic category | Mean number of errors for each diagnostic category | Per cent of errors made |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consonant-vowel Reversals (consonant) | 2.48 | 16.5 |
| Long-short Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 3.23 | 16.2 |
| Configurations | 4.83 | 16.1 |
| Rigidity | 1.99 | 13.3 |
| Other Vowel Confusion (vowels) | 1.77 | 8.9 |
| Confusion With Blends and Digraphs (consonants) | 1.81 | 7.2 |
| Endinge (suffixes) | . 86 | 4.3 |
| Negatives and Opposites Sight Words | . 34 | 1.7 |
| Unclassified | 2.82 | - |

CONCLUSIONS DERIVED FROM THE TEST ANALYSIS

The conclusions which follow are based on an analysis of the teat reaults of ninety-two ninth grade studenta in tbree Kansas high schools who were administered the Califor nia Phonics Survey.
2. It appears that a large per cent of the ninth grade students in the three schools had a high degree of
phonic diaability. The test revealed that 88 per cent of the students were handicapped by their inability to hear or identify sounds accurately. These were distributed as follows:

| Some phonic diaability | 42.4 per cent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Serious phonic disability | 22.8 per cent |
| Gross phonic disability | 22.8 per cent. |

The authors of the California Phonics Survey warned that a normal distribution of scores could not be expected, and certainly the ninety-two test scores obtained in this study did not follow the normal curve of distribution.
2. Only 12 per cent of the students were classified as having adequate phonic ability. In other words the per cent of students having gross phonic disability was about twice the per cent having adequate ability in phonica.
3. There was a large range of test scores within each school. Two students involved in the study received perfect scores of seventy-five; one student had a very low score of eighteen.
4. By observing the diagnostic categories on Table VII, page 27, one can readily see there were four categories where there was a marked higher incidence of errors and four categories with lower incidence of errors, comparatively apeaking.

The four eategories in which the greater number of
errore were made were (a) Consonant-vowel Reversals, (b) Long-short Vowel Confusion, (c) Configuration, and (d) Rigidity. The four categories showing a lower incidence of errors were (a) Other Vowel Confusion, (b) Confusion With Blends and Digraphs, (c) Endings (suffixes), and (d) Megatives and Opposites - Sight Words.

No attempt was made to determine whether there were statistically algnificant differences between the per cent of errors made in the various categories.

## RECOMMEHDATIONS

On the basis of the results obtained in this study the following recommendations have been made concerning students who have phonic disability and other students.

1. The test answer sheets, each of which includes a diagnostic profile, were sent to the reapective schools. The school personnel should make a study of the profiles for the students who possess phonic disability. This should be done to determine what phonic weaknesses exist so steps to alleviate the problem can be taken.
2. An attempt should be made to determine the causes of phonic disability. (a) School personnel could eheck the audiometer test to find out if the phonic disability of individual students is caused by poor audio perception. (b) The oumulative records could be ohocked for information
that would serve as a reason for the existence of the phonic disability. (e) School personnel at the secondary level could perhapa obtain helpful information concerning students who possess phonic disability by holding discussions with teachers at various grade levels to see to what extent phonics have been taught previousif, and if phonic disability has been noted by teachers at various levels.
3. Schools should experiment to determine whether additional reading material of interest to students would help students overcome Consonant-vowel Revereals.
4. Students troubled by Long-short Vowels should be tested further to discover if the Long-short Vowel trouble is more of apelling problem then reading problem, and, if so, emphasis should be put on the students' spelling to overcome the Long-short Vowel problem.
5. Students who have low scores in the diagnostic category of Configuration and further teated to substantiate the fact, should be made avare of the fact that their problem might be their lack of knowledge of consonent digrephg and/or a tendency to rely on configurational clues in word attack. Measures to overcome these handicaps should be made available to the students.
6. Students having a high error score in Rigidity should be trained to recognize and associate the sound of a group of letters with a real word. With the use of this
training students could make better use of their phonic ability in identifying words.
7. This writer recommends that the training necessary to care for phonic inadequacies would be part of a developmental reading program at the ninth grade level.

It is the belief of this writer that if the above recommendations were implemented in a secondary school program at the ninth grade level, we would have better readers in our secondary schools in Ottawa County.
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NOTE: Author's appendix contained the following copyrighted material which has not been digitized:

Brown, G. M., \& Cottrell, A. B. (1963). Manual, California phonics survey: A group diagnostic test of the relationships between written and spoken words. Monterey, CA: California Test Bureau.

To view the copyrighted material, please request the archival copy from Kansas State University Libraries.
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There is probably no form of instruction in the elementaxy schools of America with a more remarkable hlstory than the teaching of phonics. Instruction in phonics goes as far back as the 1780 's when Noah Webster introduced the idea of teaching the "powerg" as well as the names of the letters of the alphabet in beginning reading. The use of phonics in teaching children to read has fluctuated in the degree of its use as other methods in reading were introduced. The most recent down-grading of phonies came during the 1930's but during the 1940's phonios regained in its degree of use. Today phonics is used in our schools in conjunction with other method of teaching our young people to read.

The California Phonics Survey was administered in order to discover (1) the degree of phonic adequacy or phonic disability, designated as some, serious, or gross disability, existing at the ninth grade level at Bennington, Minneapolis, and Teacott high schools; and (2) to discover the number of items most frequently or least frequently nissed in each of the diagnostic areas by the ninth grade students of these three high schools. This problem was undertaken with the idea that phonic problems are interferIng with the accomplishments of our elementary and secondary students. Phonics, which is a very integral part of reading, depends greatly on auditory and visual perception and
discrimiation. If students do not have the ability to distinguish the sounds as they hoar and see them it is felt the problem could greatly hamper their academic eareers. This phonice tost, writton and complied by two reading specialists, Grace M. Brown and Alice B. Cottrell, was administered to ninety-two students at the ninth grade level at three Ottava County Schools. The test was adminiatered by the use of a tape recorder. The students had before them teat booklets which contained sounds, syllables, and words. As the student listened to the recording he looked at the test booklet, which was arranged in multiple choice fashion, in an attempt to identify the sound, syllable, or word aaid on the recorder.

The test is comprised of seventy-five items, divided into live test exercises, which use listening and reading to reveal the most common reading errors. In addition there are eight diagnostic categories which basically deal with Vowels, Consonants, Configuration, Endings, Fegatives and Opposites along with Sight Words, and Rigidity. By atudying the diagnostic areas one can obtain a more specific idea of what phonic disability exists.

In summarizing the test results, the schools scored as follows: Tescott, Mineapolis, and Bennington, with respective median (raw) scores of sixty-two, fifty-nine, and fifty-three out of a possible of seventy-five points.

The test authors classified the scores into four areas: adequate phonies, some phonic disabllity, serious phonic disability, and gross phonic disability. Nearly 23 per cent of the students tested had gross phonic disability whereas only about 12 per cent had adequate phonics. The largest per cent of students were classified as having some phonic disability; more than 42 per cent were in this category.

There was a large range in the scores on the test. The lowest score was elghteen and the highest score seventyfive.

Another part of the test was the diagnostic error categories. The area of Consonant-vowel Reversals had the highest per cent of error, followed by Long-short Vowel Confusion, Configuration and Rigidity. The category of Endings had the least incidence of errors.

This writer recommends that the school personnel should make an attempt to determine causes of phonic disability by checking audiometer tests, cumulative records, and by holding discussions with teachers at various levels to see what extent phonics has been taught previously.

Sohool personnel should make an attempt to help students overcome their inability to understand Consonantvowel Reversals, Long-short Vowels, Configuration, and Rigidity, which were diagnostic categories showing the
highest incidence of exrors.
This writer recommends that a developmental reading prograin be inpleaented at the secondary level in theae three high sohools in order to better train all the gtudents at the ninth grade level in reading. By using a developmental reading prograin at the ninth grade level all students would be given en opportunity to improve their reeding ability.

