CLASSIFICATION OF WHEAT RERNELS 20" BY MACHINE-VISION MEASUREMENT/

by

TERRY EUGENE SCHMALZRIED

B. S., Kansas State University, 1983

A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1985

Approved by:

Major Professo



A11202 985045

ii

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Electrical and Computer Engineering and the Grain Science and Industry departments financially supported this research. Julie Liska-Mason patiently positioned and took pictures of hundreds of kernels of wheat. Gerald Schmaizride profread and made grammatical corrections on the rough draft of this report. Dr. Arthur Davis, Dr. Jon Faubion, Dr. Carl Hoseney, and Dr. Stephen Dyer took care of the politics and offered technical advice. To each of them, I would like to express my sincerest thanks.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

																			P	age
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS																			ii
LIST OF	FIGURES					•														iv
Chapter																				
1.	INTRODUCT	ION												•						1
	HISTORI	CAL	KERN	EL	CH1	RAG	TE	RIS	STI	ICS	8				•					2
	SELECTI	ON O	F DI	SCR	IMI	INAT	OR	s												5
	AN EXPL	ANAT	ION	OF	THE	PF	loc	ED	URI	В	•		•							6
2.	VISION SY	STEM	INT	ERN	AL S	з.	•						•		•		•		•	8
	OBTAINI	NG T	HE D	ата		•									•				•	8
	KERNEL	MEA	SURE	ME	T			•		•										18
	CLASSIF	IERS				•														21
3.	PROBLEMS	AND	SOLU	TIO	NS									•						24
4.	RESULTS																			28
	KERNEL	MEAS	UREM	ENT	s	TAT	IST	IC	5											28
	CLASSIF	IERS					•							•					•	29
5.	CONCLUSIC	NS										•	•					•		34
REFEREN	ces									•							•			37
APPENDI	ES																			
А.	STATISTIC	AL P	LOTS				•			•			•			•				38
в.	CLASSIFIE	RRE	SULT	s (	TAE	LES	A	ND	PI	loi	s)									69
с.	COMPUTER	PROG	RAMS																	81

# LIST OF FIGURES

F

igure		Pag	je
1.	Parts of a Wheat Kernel	•	3
2.	Image Array		9
з.	Image Histogram	. 1	11
4.	Automatic Threshold Detection with a Bimodal Histogram	. 1	13
5.	Initial Turtle Position in Edge Tracking	• 1	15
6.	Edge Tracking around a Hole	• 1	17.
7.	Camera Orientations for Top and Side Views	• •	27
8.	Hyperplane Intersection with Line, 2-D Case	. :	32

### Chapter 1

# INTRODUCTION

"The [U.S.] Grain Standards Act provides in part that all grain shipped in interstate or foreign commerce to or from a point at which an inspector licensed under the act is located must be officially inspected and graded if the grain be merchandised by grade." [6] The inspector uses mechanical measurements as much as possible to grade the wheat, but "there is no mechanical text for determining the class to which any particular lot of grain belongs. Identification of the different classes of grain depends almose entirely upon the grader's knowledge of and familiarity with the different kinds of grain that come under his observation."[6] A device that could recognize and itemize the representative varieties or classes present in a sample of wheat would be of great use to the grain inspector.

This paper describes the computer programs that were written by the author for a machine-vision system that classified kernels of wheat by variety. The computer programs gathered and analyzed date on four varieties of wheat and then used that information to choose a minimal set of features to be used to differentiate between the varieties. The results and some suggestions for further research are included at the end of this report.

# Historical Kernel Characteristics

The Grain Grading Primer[6], published by the Department of Agriculture, gives an indication of the kernel characteristics used by experienced grain inspectors to grade wheat. "Color, kernel texture, and variety characters are helpful indexes in determining the class or subclass."[6] The "relative size and shape of the germs, the appearance of the crease -- whether open or tightly closed -- and the outline of the kernel from both the side and top views [6] are also helpful. The indexes of color, texture, kernel shape, and variety characters are discussed in the following paragraphs.

<u>Color</u>. The first of these indexes, color of the wheat kernel, is due to the amount of pigment in the bran layer (see Figure 1) and can vary with variety, texture, and environment. Wheat can be found throughout the world in varying shades and mixtures of white, red, yellow, and purple.[5]

Tarturg. The second index, kernel texture, refers to the hardness of the endosperm (see Figure 1) and is usually tested by outting or biting the kernel and examining the inside. "A soft wheat is one which, when normally developed, has an endosperm entirely soft, mealy, or starchy. A hard kernel, when normally developed, has a corneous, horny, or vitreous endosperm throughout.'51









gers IV

Figure 1. Parts of a Wheat Kernel.

<u>Shape</u>. Some fairly constant kernel shapes for all of the wheat classes, except the mixed class, are described in the following excerpt from the Grain Grading Primer:

... fully developed Durums are pointed sharply at the germ end and are wides back of the center of the kernel; most other wheats are widest near the germ. The crease in most other wheats are widest near the germ. The scheme not as wrinked as in other classes. The boit Red winter large and the creases are wide open. The Soft Red winter class ... is generally characterized by soft texture, large willowish red color.

The Hard Red Winter class is represented by slender cliptical kernels, with a small germ, and tightly closed crease. When viewed from the side the bottom line of the kernel is relatively straight or slightly rocker in outline. The Hard Red Spring class is represented by short kernels, usually hard and vitrous, and dark red in color. The germ a prominent hump near the germ at one side of the center line of the back.[6]

The primer then goes on to say that class descriptions are not always sufficient, especially in "some of the more recent wheat variaties, resulting from crossing variaties of different classes." Inspectors must recognize variatal traits as well. Some variaties are so similar to others that they are virtually indistinguishable; classifying them "requires experience and study." (6)

<u>Variaty characters</u>. John B. Martin[5], in 1922, investigated the use of kernel characteristics in classifying wheat by variety. He designates the major distinctions between kernels to be color, length, and texture. Of minor importance, but also useful, are kernel shape and "differences of the germ, crease, cheeks, and brush."[5] He recommends that length measurements be taken only from the normal kernels, and not from kernels taken "from the top spikelets on a spike and from the upper florets in the spikelet" because they are "below normal in length." [5]

#### Selection of Discriminators

Noting that, unlike thumbtacks, the kernels of a single variety of wheat are not mass produced from the same mold, characteristics chosen to identify wheat varieties must be tolerant of the differences that occur among the kernels of the same variety. One such difference is in overall kernel size, and can be attributed to the growing region, weather, and position in the wheat head. A normalization technique, in which ratios of measurements from a kernel are compared rather than absolute measurements, might be useful in getting around the size differences. But which measurements should the vision system use as discriminators?

The first ideas for discriminators were simple measurements such as height, width, and length. To these were added radial measurements from the centroid in both top and side views. Then, the possibility of trying to measure some of the more abstract shape features such as pointedness, degree of curvature, surface texture, relative germ size, and germ angle could be considered if the permitted. Three-dimensional mapping for crease, cheek, and back-peaking measurements had to be shelved due to their complexity. "Kernel color was dismissed because of its variability with environment. Kernel texture was discarded because the testing procedure involved destroying the kernel by cutting it before examination.

The method chosen for classification of the four varieties of whet was to take radial measurements from the centroid at half degree increments in two views (top and side); to normalize the measurements with respect to the ternel's length and width (height for side view); and then to find a small number of measurements that, in combination, would give good classification results for the four variety test case. The total number of measurements taken for each kernel was 1440, which was more than enough dat to analyze for one project.

### An Explanation of the Procedure

<u>Reagram steps</u>. The following three steps are used to find which measurements will be the best discriminators and give the best classification results: 1) collection of edge contours for the wheet sample set, 2) measurement of the kernel contours, and 3) exhaustive search for optimal discriminators. The inner workings of the programs that accomplish each of these three steps are detailed in the following chapter entitled "vision System Internals."

<u>Operator intervention</u>. In the final classification system, no operator intervention should be required. But, for this research system, the operator is required to do several tasks. First, the operator must enter the commands that run the programs. Second, he must orient the kernels crease side down before taking pictures of them and must keep them in the same

order for both views. Third, computer chosen perimeters are shown to him on a video monitor and he selects or rejects them so that boundaries of touching or broken kernels are not processed. And fourth, he indicates the germ end of the kernel using a joystick so that the measurements will be taken starting from the same end for of all of the kernels.

#### Chapter 2

### VISION SYSTEM INTERNALS

#### Obtaining the Data

<u>Hardwars</u>. The vision system hardware consists of a digitizing camera, a general-purpose computer, and a video display terminal. The camera passes the image to the computer as a 512 by 512 array of integers as shown in Figure 2. Each integer represents the average gray level (light intensity) at the corresponding point in the picture and can range in value from 0 (black) to 255 (white). An element of the image array is called a pixel, which comes from the words picture and element. Although looking at a picture and picking out the outline of an individual grain of wheat from it is a simple task for a human, it is not nearly so simple for a computer. Computers do not posses the abstract concept of objects that humane do. The computer must find the outline mathematically, using that array of integers.

<u>Object silhoustis</u>. One method of showing an object to a computer that is easy for it to understand is to show the object in silhouette. The object is placed on a light table with the camera above, and looking down on, the object. The object will appear dark on a bright background to the camera. The image array passed to the computer will hold large integers for the





background pixels and small integers for the object pixels. A small value next to a large value indicates an edge. But what is a small value, and what is a large value? In order to determine what these relative values are for a specific image, a histogram is used.

Image histogram. An image histogram is a function consisting of the number of times that a pixel intensity occurs in an image versus the pixel intensity value (see Figure 3). It is created by scanning through the image array and tallying up the number of occurrences of each intensity present. The tallies are kept in a histogram array. When the number of occurrences is plotted versus the intensity values, the resulting function will have two humps for a back-lit image. Such a function is called bimodal.[2] The two humps occur in the function because most of the points in the picture are either object (dark) or background (light) points and only a few of the points occur in the transition between object and background. Therefore, in order to separate the object regions of the image from the background regions, the intensity value in the center of the valley can be chosen as a threshold between small and large pixel intensities.[2]

<u>Automatic thresholding</u>. Locating the valley intensity in the histogram is begun by smoothing the histogram by averaging each value with its four neighbors (two on either side). Then, the smoothed function is scanned from intensity 255 to intensity 0, comparing the occurrence tallies against a minimum peak





constant until a tally is found to be large enough to be considered on a peak (see Figure 4). From there, scanned tallies are compared with a maximum valley constant until a tally is found to be small enough to be considered in a valley. Scanning continues from this valley edge point as long as the next tally is smaller than its predecessor. When a low spot is encountered, the intensity at which it occurs is saved. The computer resumes scanning and searches for another peak tally. When a peak is found, the scanning direction is reversed and a second valley edge and low spot are found in succession. The midpoint between the two low spots is declared to be the threshold.

<u>Object detection</u>. Once the threshold has been selected, edge determination can begin. Recall that an edge pixel is a dark object pixel which neighbors a light background pixel. The edge contour is found by grouping neighboring edge pixels together. This grouping is done by the edge-tracking algorithm described in the following paragraph. But, in order to begin tracking an edge, the edge-tracking algorithm must be given a root edge pixel to grow from. The root could be found by scanning through the array pixel, searching for an edge pixel, but that would be very low because of the large number of comparisons involved. A pattern-bombing, scanning procedure works much faster. Image array pixels whose indexes are multiples of 25 are tested sequentially until a dark object pixel is found. Then, the scanning direction is reversed and done pixel by pixel until a background pixel is found. The previous





object pixel is passed to the edge-tracking algorithm to be used as the root edge pixel.

Edge tracking. The edge-tracking algorithm connects edge pixels together as if a turtle were traversing the image array. First, the far outside edge pixels of the image array. The turtle begins its journey on the known object edge pixel with its tail to the neighboring background pixel as in Figure 5. It looks back over its left shoulder and scans from left to right looking for an adjoining object pixel. When it finds one, it turns toward it and steps forward to the new edge pixel. The turtle then repeats the scan from its new orientation and location until its movement returns it to the original, root pixel. The edge contour is stored in a one-dimensional array as a list of the directions that the turtle moves when it advances from one pixel to the next. This list is called a boundary chain code and is a very compact method of storine edge contours.

Determining and marking interior pixels. Now that an edge has been found, it is important for later processing to determine which of the image array pixels are interior to this particular edge. To do this, a logical array of the same dimensions as the image array is used. This array indicates interior membership. A rectangular portion of this array, extending from the minimu to the maximum x and y indexes of the edge contour traced by the turtle, is initialized to values of false, meaning not interior. Next, the edge contour is traversed and, with some methodological







toggling, the elements of the logical array corresponding to interior pixels of the edge contour are set to true while those corresponding to exterior pixels are left in a resultant state of false. The toggling rules used during traversal are as follows:

- If the direction of motion to the next pixel is a downward motion, then all of the elements to the right of and in the row of the pixel being moved from are toggled.
- If the direction of motion to the next pixel is an upward motion, then all of the elements to the right of and in the row of the pixel being moved to are toggled.
- 3. Otherwise, no toggling is performed for this motion.

Once the contour has been entirely traversed and all toggling is complete, the edge is traversed once more and all of the edge pixels are set true to indicate that they are also a part of the object. Note that only the pixels interior to this specific edge contour have values of true in the interior-membership array; pixels interior to other objects have values of false along with the background pixels.

Ensuring externality of the contour. It is possible that the edge-tracking procedure could have traced around a light area (due to a hole in the kernel or noise in the image) inside the wheat kernel, which would result in the light area being enclosed by the edge contour rather than the wheat kernel (see Figure 6). This is easily tested by checking to see if the background pixel adjacent to the root edge pixel is interior or exterior to the edge by using the interior-membership array. If the background pixel is interior to the edge, then the contour encloses a hole,



Figure 6. Edge Tracking around a Hole.

not a kernel. To correct this, searching is continued from the leftmost pixel of the edge contour back to the left for another root edge pixel to send to the edge-tracking algorithm for another try.

Contour rejection. If an edge contour touches the edge of the image, is longer than the boundary chain code array, or is shorter than 175 pixels, it is discarded. Otherwise, the centroid is calculated. (If the centroid turns out to be exterior to the edge, then the contour is also discarded. This sometimes happens when two or more kernels touch and overlap each other on the light table and all of them are traced around as one edge.) The outline of the kernel that has been found by the computer is traced in green on the display screen and crosshairs are centered over the kernel's centroid. Then, the operator decides whether or not the boundary will be stored. If so, the boundary chain code is written to disk. Next, the points interior to this edge that would be hit by the pattern-bombing procedure are set to background values in the image array so that this kernel will not be considered again. Searching continues for other kernels in the image from the point where the patternhombing procedure left off when it found this latest kernel. When all of the kernels in an image have been found and shown to the operator, the operator may take another image.

#### Kernel Measurement

Now that the edge contours have been found, the kernels can be measured. Recall that it was decided that radial measurements would be taken of both top and side views, in half-degree angular increments, from the centroid to the kernel's edge. This section describes how these measurements are taken.

<u>Centroid calculation</u>. The centroid, or center of gravity, of the wheat kernel is found using moments. The density function, f(x,y), of the silhouette is considered to have a value of one inside the edge and zero outside. The centroid is located at the point

$$\bar{x} = \frac{M_{10}}{M_{00}}$$
,  $\bar{y} = \frac{M_{01}}{M_{00}}$  (1)

where

$$H_{jk} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j y^k f(x, y) dx dy \qquad (2)$$

is the moment of order j + k. The zero-order moment is the area of the silhouette.[2]

Extermination of the principal axes. The principal axes of the wheat kernel are found using central moments. Central moments are found in the same manner as regular moments, except the centroid is used as the origin. The expression for a central moment is

$$u_{jk} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\overline{x})^{j} (y-\overline{y})^{k} f(x,y) dx dy$$
(3)

The angle, w, that the principal axes differ in rotation from the present axes may be solved for from equation 4.[2]

$$\tan 2w = \frac{2 u_{11}}{u_{20} - u_{02}}$$
(4)

Indication of garm and of karnal. Before the radial measurements are begun, the operator is asked to indicate the garm end of the kernel with a joystick. Crosshirs are positioned over the centroid of an image of the kernel on the display screen. The operator then moves the crosshairs toward the garm end of the kernel. (Although the major axis of the kernel has already been calculated, the computer does not know which end of the kernel is the brush end and which is the garm end.)

Taking radial measurements. The radial measurements are begun at the germ end of the kernel. A vector is followed outward from the centroid in the internal-membership array until a background pixel is found. Then, the length of the vector is calculated and saved. This is repeated for all 720 measurements in the view.

Normalization methods. Absolute as well as normalized measurements are used in the statistic and classifier programs. The normalization techniques used are length- and widthnormalization. For length-normalization, the radial measurements are divided by the sum of the 0 degree and the 150 degree angular measurements, for width-normalization, the radial measurements are divided by the sum of the 90 degree and the 270 degree angular zdii. The absolute radial measurements are in units of

pixels while the normalized measurements are, of course, simple ratios.

#### Classifiers

Minimum-distance and nearest-neighbor classifiers. Two types of classifiers are used on the wheat data: a minimumdistance classifier (1] and a nearest-neighbor classifier. The minimum-distance classifier compares a measurement from the unknown kernel against the corresponding mean measurement for each of the varieties known to it. The unknown kernel is assumed to be of the variety as the variety with the closest mean measurement. The nearest-neighbor classifier keeps, on disk, a set of measurements of sample kernels of known varieties. It then compares a measurement of the unknown kernel against the corresponding measurement of each of the sample kernels in its known set. It assigns the unknown kernel to the variety of the sample kernel whose corresponding measurement is closest.

Elective classifier. A third, elective, classifier is also used, but it can be considered a modification of the minimudistance classifier. It is run six times for each unknown kernel with the results being put to a vote. The six times are for each of the possible combinations of two varieties out of the four. If a single variety wins each of its comparisons against each of the other three varieties, then the voting will come out with a perfect three for that variety. If there is not a unanimous decision, then there will at least be one variety that gets fewer votes than the others and a choice can be made among the leaders in the voting. The unknown kernel is considered to belong to the variety that wins the voting.

Training and tasking gets. All classifiers are trained using 100 kernels of each of the four varieties: measurements are written to disk for the nearest-neighbor classifier and mean measurements are calculated for the minum-distance classifier. The classifiers are also tested using these same kernels. During the testing of the nearest-neighbor classifier, the unknown kernel is taken out of its known set in an odd man out fashion so that there will not be an exact match.

Ine of multiple dimensions. Note than one measurement is often required to distinguish one variety of wheat from another. Each of these discriminating measurement is used as a coordinate in an n-dimensional space. To determine the distance between two points in the n-space, the normal Euclidean definition of distance is used: the square root of the sum of the squares of each coordinate difference.

Exhaustive discriminator selection. Selection of the measurements to be used as discriminators in the final classifiers is done exhaustively. Each possible measurement (all angles and all normalization methods) is added, in turn, to the ourrent set of classifier dimensions and all of the training kernels classified using the resulting set of dimensions. The additional measurement that gives the best classification rate is then added to the list of discriminators that make up the classifier dimension set. In this way, the classifier dimension is incremented from zero (searching for the best first dimension) until the addition of a new dimension does not increase the rate of classification.

## Chapter 3

### PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

Several problems came about during the course of this research. They, and their solutions, are described below.

The first group of problems involved the image arrays produced by the digitizing camera. The camera filled the rightmost elements of the image array with erroneous values and scattered salt-and-pepper noise (white and black pixels scattered over the image) throughout the image array. Simply having the programs ignore the rightmost columns of pixels turned out to be easier than getting the camera fixed and was quicker too. The salt-and-pepper noise occurred in a regular pattern throughout the image array and was attributed to poor shielding. Mean and approximate—and fitters were programmed and applied to the image array to rid it of the noise before other processing, but this preprocessing slowed down the whole procedure unbearably. It ended up that the noise was easily removed by colling the extra cable between the camera and the digitizing circuitry so that the preprocessing filter was not necessary.

Another problem had to do with obtaining the side view of each kernel. The camera is positioned over the light table in a rigid frame and is not easily moved to a side position. Changing the camera position for each kernel was unthinkable. The solution was to tape down the loose glass on the light table and then to tip the entire camera and light table assembly on its

side. A small piece of plexiglass was taped to the glass of the light table and its unpolished edge served as a nonreflective shelf for the wheat kernel. The plexiglass also allowed light to pass through it so that another edge-tracking program was not required that would ignore the support. Small wooden rockers were screwed to the side of two of the legs of the assembly to facilitate easy movement between orientations (shown in Figure 7). Also, the data-gathering programs were written so that top views of several kernels could be taken before their side views were taken. This allowed the operator to line the kernels up on a table rather than madly see-sawing the apparatus for each kernel.

The third set of problems had to do with spurious program crashing and loss of data. The first of these was with the input routines of FORTRAN -- they abort if the operator's input does not match the data type of the input variable. This was compounded by bad keyboards that unpredictably inserted extraneous control characters and occasionally misinterpreted some keys, causing total garbage to be input in some cases. This problem was tolerated by copying special input routines from another program that are tolerant of data mismatches and by error-checking all input. (In this case, the dummy-proofing is for dumb equipment and not for untrained operators.) The second of these problems was that an occasional zap of static charge would kill executing programs. So that the amount of data lost in such an instance would be minimal, the operator stopped and resumed the input program at regular intervals so that all of the I/O memory buffers would be written out to disk. The operator was also careful not to touch the display screen because of the static charge on it.



Figure 7. Camera Orientations for Top and Side Views.

#### Chapter 4

### RESULTS

## Kernel Measurement Statistics

Statistics for the radial measurements were calculated and plotted. These plots appear in Appendix A. These include mean, variance, minimum and maximum, mean plus-and-minus one standard deviation, and sorted variance plots for each variety. All of these are plotted versus measurement angle, except for sorted variance. The mean curve shows what the measurements are for an average kernel of each variety. The variance plot shows which measurements are the most reliable, or constant, for each variety sample. The minimum and maximum as well as the mean plus-andminus one standard deviation plots give a general impression of what the distribution of the measurements are for the sample. Thus distributions of specific measurements were also plotted as necessary.

These statistical plots give an idea of which measurements and normalization techniques are good discriminators for classifying the varieties: ones which show high separation between meas measurements of different varieties while having small variance among the same variety. The best multipledimension discriminators, however, are chosen by the exhaustive classifier programs.

### Classifiers

Selected single-dimension classification plots are included in Appendix B for the minimum-distance classifier. These plots show the classification rates for each of the normalization methods and each of the angles of measurement for varietal as well as textural classification. Also included in Appendix B are tables showing the best dimensions and their rates of classification for the minimum-distance and the nearest-neighbor classificat of increasing dimensions.

<u>Hinimum-distance</u>. The minimum-distance classifier classified with rates varying from 68.50% for one dimension to 80.75% for nine dimensions when classifying kernels by variety. Classification by texture varied from 84.50% for one dimension to 94.75% for eight dimensions.

<u>Magrath-meighbor</u>. The nearest-meighbor classification program was halted after several days of calculation when its classification rates began to level off. Its classification rates varied from 64.75% for a single dimension to 82.00% for three dimensions when classifying by variety. Classification by texture was not attempted due to the length of program execution time.

Single-dimension elective. Several modifications of the minimum-distance classifier were tried that used only a single dimension. Each of these compared a measurement against two of the mean measurements out of the four. The first method cast a whole wote for the mearest mean in each of the six cases and selected the variety with three votes as the winner. If none of the varieties got three votes, then the first of the varieties with two votes was selected. This method resulted in an 86.00% classification rate, compared with the 68.50% rate given above. 4.25% was due to guesses among the two-vote varieties. The second method gave percentage votes equal to the fraction of the distance away from each of the means. Therefore, if a measurement was one-quarter of the distance from mean 1 to mean 2. then mean 2 would get a vote of 0.25 and mean 1 would get a vote of 0.75. If the measurement was greater than or less than both of the means, then the closest mean would get a whole vote. This method produced a classification rate of 84.00%. A third method, similiar to the second, gave a classification rate of 83.00%. This method gave a percentage vote only to the nearest mean and was based on the distance from the midpoint between the means. A measurement that occurred at the midpoint gave a vote of zero, while one that occurred 90% of the way from the midpoint to the mean gave a vote of 0.90. These methods improved on the single dimension classification rate, so similiar modifications were tried for multiple dimensions.

<u>Multiple-dimension slectivs</u>. In this third, multipledimension classifier, the best set of minimum-distance discriminators between two varieties were used, with the maximum number of dimensions. For example, when testing a kernel between the Arkan and the Arthur means, a four-dimensional space was used that case a 97.5% classification rate when tested with the 100

Arkan and 100 Arthur sample kernels. (These dimensions are given in the tables in Appendix B.) The three schemes varied in their method of voting.

The first method connects the two mean points in the ndimensional space with a line. A hyperplane, perpendicular to this line and passing through the unknown kernel's point in the n-space is set up. The percentage of the distance along this line that the hyperplane cuts it determines the vote that each variety gets in the same manner as in the second, singledimension voting classifier above. A two-dimensional case is shown in Figure 8. (Figuring this proportion is not nearly as difficult as it sounds since it only involves calculating an ndimensional dot product between a vector from mean 1 to mean 2 and a vector from mean 1 to the unknown kernel's point.) The classificient rate for this method way 92,008.

The second method uses equipotential curves to give partial votes to both varieties. This involves calculating the distances between mean 1 and the unknown point and mean 2 and the unknown point. The fraction described by the distance from mean 1 to the unknown point divided by the sum of the two distances is given to variety 2 while the distance from mean 2 to the unknown point divided by the same sum is given as a vote to variety 1. This method resulted in a classification rate of \$5,000.

The third method involves giving the whole vote to the mearest of the two means. If classification occurs only when there are three votes, the classification rate is 92.75%. If, however, an educated guess is made among the varieties based on


Figure 8. Hyperplane Intersection with Line, 2-D Case.

the number of times that that sequence of votes has come up for each variety, the classification rate increases to 94.00%. If classification is done by texture rather than variety, then the rate is 96.25% with educated guessing. This method gives the best classification rates of all the methods for this fourvariety text case.

As noted before, the test case for all of these classifiers was the same set of measurements used to train them. Therefore, if a different sample of wheat were to be classified with one of these classifiers, the classification rate is expected to decrease.

## Chapter 5

### CONCLUSIONS

This paper has described a machine-vision system designed to classify wheat on a kernel-by-kernel basis. The system measures a set of known kernels and tries to find a set of those measurements that can be used to discriminate between the varieties.

Several classification schemes were used: a miniumdistance, a nearest-neighbor, and an elective classifier. The elective classifier did not attempt to find a single set of measurements to discriminate between all varieties as the others did, but chose sets of features to discriminate between each of the possible pairs of varieties. A tally was kept of the vinners of each pair's comparison and the kernel was classified as the variety that won the most comparisons. This classifier gave the best results of any of them with a varietal classification rate of 94% correct. But, this percentage was for a single test set which was the same set that the classifier was trained with, so the percentage is not representative of what could be expected in all cases.

Regardless of the accuracy of the classification rate, it would seem that the machine-vision system is promising as a classification tool for use in wheat grading. Therefore, a few suggestions are given below for possible directions in future research.

### Additional Peatures

There are many additional features that can be measured from a wheat kernel. First, additional measurements that could be made from the silhouette images include a series of vertical and horizontal measurements: area: fit of the contour to a rectangle. circle, ellipse or mean contour[3,4]; and comparison of abstract features that humans use, like pointedness or angle of germ, roundedness of the kernel's back, and so on. Second, different methods of analyzing edge data could be used, such as taking the Cosine or Fourier Transforms of the boundary chain code as described by Castleman.[2] Third, three-dimensional comparisons of crease width, crease depth, cheek curvature, slope of the kernel's back, and wrinkling of the outsize layer could be done by building up a representation of a kernel from multiple silhouette views, stereoscopic views, or by analyzing the reflection from the kernel's surface when in the presence of a point light source.[2,3]

#### More Efficient Methods of Discriminator Selection

Nore efficient means of discriminator selection should be used. Exhaustive methods require huge amounts of calculation. Castleman talks about feature variance and correlation and how these can be used to determine class separation and for dimension reduction. Features with high variance within a variety should not be considered as possible discriminators. Peatures that are correlated with other features are redundant and only one of them should be kept. Castleman gives equations for measuring these parameters.[2]

## More Representative Test Sets

Rather than testing a classifier on the sample that was used to train it, a classifier should be tested with a different sample. Classifier training should also be done with samples of the same variety of wheat from different portions of the state or country and, if possible, grown in different olimates or years.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, Nasir, and Kamisetty Ramamohan Rao. <u>Orthogonal</u> <u>Transforms for Digital Signal Processing</u>. New York, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1975, pp. 225-232.
- Castleman, Kenneth R. <u>Digital Image Processing</u>. Signal Processing Series, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1979, pp. 305, 316-317, 327, 329, 332-333, 347-377.
- Cohen, Paul R., and Edward A. Feigenbaum, ed. <u>The Handbook</u> of <u>Artificial Intelligence</u>. vol 3. Los Altos, California: William Kaufmann, Inc., 1982, pp. 220-224, 230-237, 260-278.
- Hall, Ernest L. <u>Computer Image Processing and Recognition</u>. Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, New York, New York: Academic Press, 1979, p. 414.
- Martin, John H. "Kernel Classification of United States Wheat Varieties," Thesis, 1922, pp. 2-4.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. <u>Grain Grading Primer</u>. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1957, pp. 3, 10, 14-15.

# APPENDIX A

# STATISTICAL PLOTS









(slaxiq) dipnal leibeA



























(<sub>9-</sub>07)




























# APPENDIX B

# CLASSIFIER RESULTS (TABLES AND PLOTS)

#### FOUR-VARIETY MINIMUM-DISTANCE CLASSIFIER

#### Arkan vs. Arthur vs. Nugaines vs. Sage

#### Dimension View Normalization Index Percentage 1 Side Width 337 68.50 76.25 2345678 Top Width 6 77.25 Side Width 3 50 Width 78.00 Side 429 Side Width 426 78.75 79.25 Side Width 432 Side Width 437 79.75

Side

Side

9

# Width Width Hard vs. Soft

497

436

80.50

80,75

Dimension	View	Normalization	Index	Percentage
1	Top	Length	661	84.50
2	Side	Width	500	89.00
3	Side	Width	577	92.25
4	Side	Length	568	93.25
5	Top	Width	460	93.75
6	Side	Length	3	94.00
7	Top	Length	378	94.50
8	Top	Width	234	94.75

# TWO-VARIETY NEAREST-NEIGHBOR CLASSIFIER

Arkan vs. Arthur vs. Nugaines vs. Sage Dimension View Normalization Index Percentage 1 Side Width 339 64.75 2 Side None 536 79.50 3 Top Length 352 82.00

#### TWO-VARIETY MINIMUM-DISTANCE CLASSIFIER

#### Arkan vs. Arthur

# Dimension View Normalization Index Percentage

1	Top	Length	144	95.5
2	Side	Width	65	96.5
3	Top	Width	111	97.0
4	Top	Length	380	97.5

### Arkan vs. Nugaines

# Dimension View Normalization Index Percentage

1	Side	Length	720	87.0
2	Side	Length	276	91.0
3	Top	Length	695	97.0.
4	Top	Width	434	98.0
5	Side	Width	199	98.5

#### Arkan vs. Sage

## Dimension View Normalization Index Percentage

1	Side	Width	344	89.5
2	Side	None	474	93.0
3	Side	Length	154	93.5
4	Side	Length	650	94.0

#### Arthur vs. Nugaines

Dimension	View	Normalization	Index	Percentage
1	Side	Width	697	97.0
2	TOD	Width	401	99.0

#### Arthur vs. Sage

# Dimension View Normalization Index Percentage 1 Side Width 713 100.0

# Nugaines vs. Sage

Dimension	View	Normalization	Index	Percentage
1	Side	Length	379	90.0
2	Side	Width	235	93.0
3	Side	Width	193	94.5
4	Top	Width	95	95.0

# FOUR-VARIETY ELECTIVE CLASSIFIER

Single Dimension Comparisons

Method	No. Measurements	Percentage
1	6	86.00
2	6	84.00
3	6	83.00

Multiple Dimension Comparisons

Method	No. Measurements	Percentage
1	20	92.00
2	20	86,00
3	20	92.75
4	20	94.00

Multiple Dimension Method 4 used for Hard vs. Soft: 96.25%













APPENDIX C

# COMPUTER PROGRAMS

WHEAT ċ VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: WHEAT, FOR č c DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY c REVISION PROGRAMMER(S) c 1.0 OCTOBER 24, 1984 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED 2.0 MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED С CALLING SEQUENCE RUN WHEAT PURPOSE This program captures and processes an image array, locating and storing edge contours of wheat kernels. Multiple contours may occur in the image. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE GRDDG - Grinnell Systems Routine GRDOP - Grinnell Systems Routine GRDSH - Grinnell Systems Routine GRDTH - Grinnell Systems Routine GRFAR - Grinnell Systems Routine GRSBFD - Grinnell Systems Routine GRSEND - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCB - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCL - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCO - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCR - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCW - Grinnell Systems Routine IMDISP - KSU Image Display Routine IMINIT - KSU Display Device Initialization Routine PLAWT - Histogram Plotting Routine TTYINC - Ralph's Character Input Routine TTYINI - Raiph's integer input Routine TTYINY - Raiph's Yes/No input Routine ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE CC None

### IMPLICIT NONE

5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	INTEGENE 2 ELACK, MNTE, THRESH PARAFETER (ELACG, MNTER-ST, GHREM, DELTA/23/, DIRECT, AREA, GALNAG, MNTERST, GHREM, DELTA/23/, DIRECT, INTEGENE ELACA, GALNAG, GALNAG, GHREM, DELTA/23/, DIRECT, INTEGENE, ISAT, ITSH, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, ISAT, ITSH, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, ISAT, ITSH, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, ISAT, ITSH, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTER, INTERER, INTER, I
C ***	Deen the data files (One for edge date, the other for measurement data) NH = 54 UR3 = 56 SAVEDE = .FALSE. SAVED = .FALSE.
å	TYPE 1,' Welcome' CALL TTYINC/Enter file name for TOP edge dates ', FRI, ERR,0,' ') IF (IERR,NE.0) FNI = '' IF (FNIN.E.'') SAVEDE = .TRUE.
å	CALL TTYINC('Enter file name for SIDE edge date: ', FNG, IERR,0,' ') IF (IERR.60) FNG = ' ' IF (FNG,NE.' ') SAVSID = .TRUE.
å	IF (SAVEDG .OR. SAVSID) THEN CALL TTYINY('Append data? (Y/ <n>): ', ITMP1,IERR,0,'NO')</n>

```
DUMMY = 1N1
          IF (IERR.ED.O .AND. ITMP1.EO.1) DUMMY = "Y"
          AC1 = "SEQUENTIAL"
          IF (DUMMY.EQ. 'Y' .OR. DUMMY.EQ. 'y') THEN
            STAT1 = 'UNKNOWN'
          FI SE
           STAT1 = 'NEW'
          FND IF
        ENDIE
        IF (SAVEDG) OPEN(UN1,FILE=FN1,ERR=9999,STATUS=STAT1,ACCESS=AC1,
                          FORM= "UNFORMATTED ")
        IF (SAVSID) OPEN(UN3, FILE=FN3, ERR=9999, STATUS=STAT1, ACCESS=AC1,
     8
                         FORM= ! INFORMATTED! )
        NKERN1 = 0
        NKERN3 = 0
        IF (SAVEDG .OR. SAVSID) THEN
          IF (DUMMY,ED. 'Y' ,OR, DUMMY,ED. 'v') THEN
C ----
            Count the number of kernels stored already
            IF (SAVEDG) THEN
              READ (UN1,END=5050) ITMP1,ITMP2,ITMP3,ITMP4,
     2
                                   ITMP5.(EDGCHN(I).I=1.ITMP5)
              NKERN1 = NKERN1 + 1
              GOTO 5049
            ENDIE
5050
            CONTINUE
            IF (SAVSID) THEN
5053
              READ (UN3.END=5054) ITMP1.ITMP2.ITMP3.ITMP4.
     z
                                   ITMP5, (EDGCHN(I), I=1, ITMP5)
              NKERN3 = NKERN3 + 1
              GOTO 5053
            END IF
5054 .
            CONTINUE
            IF (SAVEDG) WRITE (*,*) NKERN1, ' kernels in ',FN1
            IF (SAVSID) WRITE (*.*) NKERN3.' kernels in '.FN3
            |TMP1 = 0
            IF (SAVEDG) ITMP1 = NKERN1
            IF (SAVSID) ITMP1 = NKERN3
            IF ((SAVEDG .AND. NKERN1.NE.ITMP1) .OR.
     8
                (SAVSID .AND, NKERN3.NE.ITMP1)) THEN
              WRITE (*.*) ! !
              WRITE (*,*) 'Warning. Number of kernels in these !//
     8
                          'files do not match!
              WRITE (*.*) ! !
            ENDIF
          ENDIE
        ENDIE
```

C \*\*\* initialize the Grinnell 1010 CALL IMINIT (\*FRASE\*) CALL GRDOP(1.0) CALL GRDSH(1.0) CALL GROTH(1,0,0) CALL GRDDG(1,2,,6) CALL GRSBED CALL TTYINC('Strike RETURN to take a picture: '. 8 DUMMY, IERR. 0. 1 1) Display all image color planes of the picture CALL GRDDG(1,1,0,7) CALL GRSBFD С Ask the operator which view we're looking at 88 CALL TTYINC('What kernel view is this? (Top/ Side): ', 8 DUMMY, IERR, 0, 1? 1) IF (IERR. NE. 0) DUMMY = 1?! IF (DUMMY, EQ. 'T' .OR. DUMMY, EQ. '+') THEN TPVIEW = .TRUE. ELSE IF (DUMMY.EQ.'S' .OR, DUMMY.EQ.'s') THEN TPVIEW = .FALSE. ELSE TYPE 1.1 1 TYPE 1, Invalid view, Only Top and Side views allowed." GOTO 88 ENDIF Tell op to hold his horses. That we're working on it. TYPE 1.' Copying image into memory...' Copy the image from the Grinnell into our IMAGE array CALL INDISP( 'READ', 'INTEGER\*2', ¥ IMAGE, NELEM, NELEM, NLINE, 0.0, 'WHITE') TYPE 1, ' Calculating histogram...' C \*\*\* Set the outside edges to white Top row DO I = 1.NELEM IMAGE(1, 1) = WHITE ENDDO Botton row DO I = 1, NELEM IMAGE(I.NLINE) = WHITE ENDOO Left and right edges D0 J = 2. NLINE-1 IMAGE(1, J) = WHITE DO I = NELEM+1-RM, NELEM IMAGE(I, J) = WHITE

**ENDDO** ENDDO c \*\*\* Copy the portions of the image that we're likely to destroy DO J = DELTA, NLINE, DELTA DO I = DELTA, NELEM, DELTA TIMAGE(I,J) = IMAGE(I,J)ENDDD ENDDO C \*\*\* Calculate the histogram Clear the histogram array DO I = BLACK, WHITE HIST(1) = 0END00 с Count the number of each intensity present in the image DO J = 2. NLINE-1 DO I = 2. NELEM-RM HIST(IMAGE(1,J)) = HIST(IMAGE(1,J))+1 ENDDO ENDDO C \*\*\* Find a good threshold value below first white peak THRESH = 0Running sum of bins SUM = 0 DD I = WHITE, WHITE-NBIN+1. -1 SUM = SUM + HIST(1) ENDDD Detect right peak DO WHILE (SUM.LE.THR1 .AND. I.GE.O) SUM = SUM + HIST(1) - HIST(1+NBIN) 1 = 1 - 1ENDDO Detect right side of valley DD WHILE (SUM.GE.THR2 .AND. 1.GE.0) SUM = SUM + HIST(1) - HIST(1+NB1N) | = |-1 FNDDD с Find the first place that would catch water (i.e., low spot) IF (I.GE.O) THEN MIZ = MIZ ISUM = SUM + HIST(1) - HIST(1+NBIN) 1 = 1-1 END 1E DO WHILE (SUM.LE.LSUM .AND. I.GE.O) MIZ = MIZISUM = SUM + HIST(1) - HIST(1+NBIN)

```
1 = 1-1
        ENDDO
        Remember this spot
        CTHRSH = I+NBIN
        Detect next peak to left
        DO WHILE (SUM.LE.THR1 .AND. I.GE.O)
          SUM = SUM + HIST(1) = HIST(1+NBIN)
          1 = 1-1
        ENDDO
        if we couldn't find two peaks, tell him to adjust things
        IF (I.LE.O) THEN
          TYPE 1. '
          TYPE 1, Threshold could not be automatically determined."
          TYPE 1, Better results may be obtained by adjusting the 1//
                 'camera'
          TYPE 1, 1 f-stop so that the picture is not washed out or 1//
     8
                 dark.
          TYPE 1,1 or by changing the camera height so that the 1//
     8
                 'kernel and'
          TYPE 1.1 background areas are more the same size.1
          TYPE 1. 1
          CTHRSH = 0
          GOTO 501
        FND (F
С
        Turn back ....
        Detect left side of valley
        DO WHILE (SUM.GE.THR2 .AND. 1+1+NBIN.LE.255)
          [ = [+1
          SUM = SUM - HIST(1) + HIST(1+NBIN)
        ENDOO
        Find the first place that would catch water (i.e., low spot)
        IF (1+1+NBIN.LE.255) THEN
          LSUM = SUM
          1 = 1+1
          SUM = SUM - HIST(1) + HIST(1+NBIN)
        ENDIE
        DO WHILE (SUM.LE.LSUM .AND. 1+1+NBIN.LE.255)
          MIZ = MIZ |
          1 = 1+1
          SUM = SUM - HIST(1) + HIST(1+NBIN)
        ENDDO.
        Set thresh to center of valley
        CTHRSH = (CTHRSH + 1+1)/2
C ***
        Let the user choose the threshold
501
        TYPE 3, ' Computed threshold: ', CTHRSH
        TYPE 3, 1 Previous threshold: 1, THRESH
        TYPE 1, ' Enter desired threshold value.'
```

TYPE 1.' or 1 to plot histogram,' CALL TTYINI(' or RETURN to use computed threshold: '. 1.IERR.0.0) IF (IERR.NE.0) 1 = 0 IF (1.E0.0) THEN For RETURN, use the computed threshold THRESH = CTHRSH ELSE IF (1.EQ.1) THEN Response of 1 asks us to plot the histogram CALL PLAWT(HIST, 'Histogram') GOTO 501 EL SE Other response is his idea of a good threshold THRESH = 1 ENDIE TYPE 3, ' THRESH is ', THRESH C \*\*\* Search out the wheat kernels Punch holes every DELTA pixels DO ICKY = DELTA, NLINE, DELTA DO ICKX = DELTA, NELEM, DELTA Search in a different order for side views IF (TPVIEW) THEN SCANX = ICKX SCANY = ICKY ELSE SCANX = ICKY SCANY = ICKX END IF Test the punched pixels against our threshold IF (IMAGE(SCANX, SCANY), LE, THRESH) THEN C ----Found a good pixel, so zoom left until find an edge ROOTY = SCANY ROOTX = SCANX - 1 101 DO WHILE (ROOTX.GE.1 .AND. IMAGE(ROOTX,ROOTY).LE.THRESH) ROOTX = ROOTX = 1ENDDO ROOTX = ROOTX + 1 Follow the edge, putting directions in the EDGCHN array DIRECT = 0 CHAINX = ROOTX CHAINY = ROOTY

FDGCNT = 1

100 ITEMP = DIRECT + 5 DO I = ITEMP, ITEMP+8-1 DIRECT = MDD(1.8) IF (IMAGE(CHAINX+XDELT(DIRECT), CHAINY+YDELT(DIRECT)) 8 .LE. THRESH) THEN EDGCHN(EDGCNT) = DIRECT CHAINX = CHAINX + XDELT(DIRECT) CHAINY = CHAINY + YDELT(DIRECT) EDGCNT = EDGCNT + 1 IF (EDGCNT\_LE\_EDGMAX) THEN IF (CHAINX.EQ.ROOTX .AND, CHAINY.ED.ROOTY) GOTD 200 GOTO 100 ELSE TYPE 1. CHAIN TOD LDNG. TRACING ABORTED. ! GDT0 300 ENDIF ENDIE END00 200 CONT1NUE EDGCNT = EDGCNT = 1 · CALL GRSBFD C ----Find the bounds of the edge MAXLX = ROOTX MINLX = ROOTX MAXLY = ROOTY MINIY = RODTY 1CUR = ROOTX JCUR = ROOTY DO 1 = 1, EDGCNT MINEX = MIN(MINEX, ICUR) MAXLX = MAX(MAXLX, ICUR) MINLY = MIN(MINLY, JCUR) MAXLY = MAX(MAXLY, JCUR) DIRECT = EDGCHN(1) 1CUR = 1CUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) ENDDD C ----Set up the LIMAGE array so that interior elements are .TRUE. Clear subset of interior membership array DD J = MINLY. MAXLY DO 1 = MINLX, MAXLX LIMAGE(1, J) = .FALSE. ENDOD ENDDO Toggle membership until correct DD I = 1, EDGCNT DIRECT = EDGCHN(1)

IF (DIRECT.GE.1 .AND. DIRECT.LE.3) THEN DO J = ICUR. MAXLX LIMAGE(J, JCUR) = .NDT. LIMAGE(J, JCUR) ENDDO ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) ELSE IF (DIRECT.GE.5 .AND. DIRECT.LE.7) THEN ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) DO J = ICUR, MAXLX LIMAGE(J, JCUR) = .NDT, LIMAGE(J, JCUR) ENDDD FI SF ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDFLT(DIRECT) ENDIE ENDDO include the border elements as members DO 1 = 1. EDGCNT LIMAGE(ICUR, JCUR) = .TRUE. DIRECT = EDGCHN(1) ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) FNDDO C ----Test the contour direction TESTX = ROOTX = 1 IF (TESTX.GE.MINLX .AND. LIMAGE(TESTX.ROOTY)) THEN The point we considered to be outside ended up on the inside, so we've been deceived by some noise. Therefore, we must resume searching for the outside edge of this region on the other side of this noise blotch. ROOTX = MINLX RDOTY = MINLY DO WHILE (.NDT. LIMAGE(RODTX, ROOTY)) RODTY = RODTY + 1ENDDO GOTO 101 ENDIE c if the chain is long enough and doesn't touch the edges. then process it IF (EDGCNT.GE.EDGMIN .AND. MINLX.GT.2 .AND. MINLY.GT.2 8 .AND. MAXLX.LT.NELEM-RM .AND. MAXLY.LT.NLINE-1) THEN C ----Calculate the centroid and area XSUM = D YSUM = 0AREA = 0DD J = MINLY, MAXLY DD I = MINLX, MAXLX

	IF (LIMAGE(1,J)) THEN XSUM + XSUM + J SUM + YSUM + J EMED EMED EMED EMED ZEMT - IFIX(FLOAT(XSUM)/FLOAT(AREA)) YEENT - IFIX(FLOAT(XSUM)/FLOAT(AREA))
c	If the centroid is not internal, automatically reject this edge IF (_NOT. LIMAGE(XCENT,YCENT)) GOTO 2020
с	Show the op the boundary that we've found 00 I = 1, EOGCNT
c	Turn ths plusi green CALL GPFR(2,255,0),ICR=1,MLINE=JCUR,1,1) CALL GPFR(2,255,0),ICR=1,MLINE=JCUR,1,1) DIRECT = EOGCM(I) ICUR = ICUR + XOELT(DIRECT) JCUR = ICUR + XOELT(DIRECT) ENCOD CALL GPSPD
C C 2021	Ask him what he wants to do with this one (point with crosshairs) CALL GRZCB(1, XCENT-1, NLINE-YCENT) CALL GRZCB(1,0) CALL GRZCB(1,1)
\$	CALL GRSPF' CALL TTYING/TEnter Accept, Reject, Change: ', OUMMY_LERR,O,171) F(IERR.NG-100 UMMY = 17' CALL GRSPT CALL GRSPT I CALL GRSPT
c	The operator has accepted this edge
	IF (SAVEDG .OR, SAVSIO) THEN XSPAN = MAXIX - MINIX + 1 YSPAN = MAXIX - MINIX + 1 OFSTX - ROTY - MINIX + 1 OFSTY = ROTY - MINIX + 1 IF (TPPIEU) THEN
ۀ	<pre>wRITE (UN1) XSPAN,YSPAN,OFSTX,OFSTY, EOGCNT,(EOGCHN(1),I=1,EOGCNT) NKERNI = NKERNI + 1 ELSE</pre>
å	WRITE (UN3) XSPAN,YSPAN,OFSTX,OFSTY, EOGGNT,(EOGGHN(1),I=1,EOGGNT) NKERN3 = NKERN3 + 1 ENDIF

CALL GRSBFD

	ELSE IF (DUMMY.EQ.'R' .OR. DUMMY.EQ.'r') THEN
c	The operator has rejected the edge D0 I = 1, EDGCNT
с	Turn this pixel bise CALL GFRP(7, 0, 0, 1, CUR-1, ML INE-JCUR, 1, 1) CALL GFRP(4, 255, 0, 1, 1) CUR-1, ML INE-JCUR, 1, 1) D INECT = EDGC4M(1) ICUR = ICUR + YDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) ENDOD CALL GFSEFD
	ELSE IF (DUMMY.EQ.'C' .OR. DUMMY.EQ.'C') THEN
c	The op wants to change something GOTO 1012
	ELSE
c	Any other answer is invalid TYPE 1,' invalid response' GOTO 2021
	ENDIF
	ENDIF
c c 2020	<pre>In any case, don't search in here again (put the wh) dors in) KL = WINLX + DELTA-1 KL = KL - MONIX, DELTA-1 KB = KB - MONIX, DELTA-1 KB = KB - MONIX, DELTA-1 KB = KB - MONIX, DELTA-1 F (KL _LE_MAXLY , MOL AND LE_MAXLY) THEN D = KL _ MAXLY , MOL AND LE_MAXLY THEN T (LIMAGE(I,J)) IMAGE(I,J) = WHITE ENDOD ENDIF</pre>
300	ENDIF ENDO ENDO CMTINE CALL GROUPD
C ***	Restore the parts of the image that we messed up DO J = DELTA, NLINE, DELTA DO I = DELTA, NELEM, DELTA

	IMAGE(I,J) = TIMAGE(I,J) ENDDD ENDDD
C *** 1012 & &	Upper command level. What does the op want to do? OALL TTYING 'Enter Thresh, Yelve, Camera, Number, Range, or Exit: ', Dumer, IERR,0,'1') IF (IERR.AC.D) DUMery = '?'
	IF (DUMMY.EQ.'T' .OR. DUMMY.EQ.'+') THEN
с å	The op wants to change the threshold values CALL ITYINY("Fedaraw scenen? (Y <n>): ', ITMP1,IERR,D,'ND') DUMPY = 'Y' F (IERR.EQ.0 .AND. ITMP1.EQ.1) DUMPY = 'Y'</n>
	IF (DUMMY,EQ.'Y' .OR. DUMMY.EQ.'y') THEN
С	Restore any damaga we may have done DD J = DELTA, NLINE, DELTA DD I = DELTA, NELEN, DELTA I MAGE(1,J) = TIMAGE(1,J) ENDDD ENDDD
c x	Redisplay the Image CALL IMDISP('WRITE','INTEGER#2', IMAGE,NELEM,NELEM,NLINE,D,O,'WHITE')
	ENDIF GOTO 501
	ELSE IF (DUMMY.EQ. 'V' .OR. DUMMY.EQ. 'v') THEN
c	The op wants to view the intensities of selected pixels CALL GRZCB(1,0) CALL GRZCB(1,1) CALL GRSBFD TYPE 11 Ture current select keep to 700M and cultabl
	TYPE 1, ' curson 1 and FUNA on.' TYPE 1, ' 1 More average to design leading and average future
1011	TYPE [1, To with, "Unr DUM cell load ind and press enter." OLL GRZCHT(JUCC, 'LDC, GNTER, FLMI, FLMC) ENTER = 0 DO MHLE (ECRT, JUCC, 'LDC, ENTER, FLMI, FLMC) CALL GRZCH(I, JSTAT) CALL GRZCH(I, JSTAT) ENDE
	GOTO 1011 ENDIF CALL GRZCO(1,0)

CALL GRSBFD GOTO 1012

ELSE IF (DUMMY.EO.'C' .OR. DUMMY.EO.'c') THEN

C --- The op selected 'camera', so start again from the top IF (SAVEDG) WRITE (\*,\*) NKERN1,' kernels in ',FN1 IF (SAVSID) WRITE (\*,\*) NKERN3,' kernels in ',FN3 GOTO 1010

ELSE IF (DUMMY, EQ. 'E' .OR. DUMMY, EQ. 'e') THEN

C --- The op wants to exit, so close the files and the Grinnell and C exit

ELSE IF (DUMMY.EO. 'N' .OR. DUMMY.EQ. 'n') THEN

C ---- The op wants to know how many we have IF (SAVEDG) WRITE (\*,\*) MKERNI, t kernels in \*,FN1 IF (SAVSID) WRITE (\*,\*) NKERN3, t kernels in \*,FN3 GOTO 1012

ELSE IF (DUMMY.EO. 'R' .OR. DUMMY.EQ. 'r') THEN

C ---- The op wonts to color threshold a range of the picture of CALL TTIMI(Tehr tow end of range): IF (LERR, WE LOWER, LERK), Color, 1) IF (LERR, WE LOWER, COL, CAMPE, COL, 255) THEN TYPE 1, Invalid pixel intensity' color 907

908 CALL TTYIN('Enter high and of range: ', HRANGE,IERR,O,-1) IF (IERR,NE.0) HRANGE =-1 IF (HRANGE,LT.0. GR. HRANGE,GT.255) THEN TYPE 1,' Invalid pixel intensity' GOTO 908 FNDIF

> IF (HRANGE\_LT\_LRANGE) THEN TYPE 1, Ranges specified in wrong order. Range aborted.' GOTO 1012 FMDIF

909 CALL TTYINI("Enter color planes 1=Red, 2=Green, 4=Blues ', 6 1000.Br.gRen,0,-1) 1F (IDER.ME.0) ICOLOR = -1 1F (IDER.ME.0) ICOLOR = -1 1F (IDER.ME.0) ICOLOR = -1 TTTE 1,\* Invalid color plane combinetion' FXDIP FXDIP FXDIP

C Set pixels in the range to the specified color DO J = 1, NLINE

```
DO I = 1. NELEM
               ITEMP = IMAGE(1, J)
               IF (MOD(I,DELTA).EQ.0 .AND. MOD(J,DELTA).EQ.0)
                 ITEMP = TIMAGE(1, J)
     Ł
               IF (ITEMP.GE,LRANGE .AND, ITEMP.LE,HRANGE) THEN
                 CALL GRFAR( 7, 0,0,1,1-1,NLINE-J,1,1)
CALL GRFAR(ICOLOR,255,0,1,1-1,NLINE-J,1,1)
               ENDIF
             ENDDO.
           FNDD0
           CALL GRSBFD
           GOTO 1012
         FI SE
C ----
           Any other response is invalid
           TYPE 1, ! Invaild response!
           GOTO 1012
         FNDIF
        WRITE (*.*) ! !
         IF (SAVEDG) THEN
          CLOSE(UN1)
           WRITE (*,*) NKERN1, ' kernels in ',FN1
        ENDIF
         IF (SAVSID) THEN
          CLOSE(UN3)
          WRITE (*.*) NKERNS, ! kernels in '.FN3
        ENDIE
0000
        CALL GRSEND
        WRITE (*,*) 'Have a nice day'
        FORMAT(A)
        FORMAT(A, 17)
        END
```

PI AWT VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: PLAWT\_FOR DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY PROGRAMMER(\$) REVISION DATE --------------1.0 OCTOBER 23, 1984 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED 2.0 MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED CALLING SEQUENCE CALL PLAWT(IARRAY, TITLE) PURPOSE A simple function-plotting routine ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE BELL - PLOT10 Routine GETUTX - PLOT10 Routine PAXIS - KSU Plot Routine POLOSP - KSU Plot Routine POLRSC - KSU Plot Routine PINIT - KSU Plot Routine PORIG - KSU Plot Routine PLINE - KSU Plot Routine PSCALE - KSU Plot Routine ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE\* IARRAY - INTEGER array of 256 values to be plotted vs. an X-axis from 0 to 255. TITLE - CHARACTER\*(\*) string to be plotted as the X-axis label ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None SUBROUTINE PLAWT(IARRAY.TITLE) IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER [ARRAY(0:255), IGOT, I

REAL RARRAY(0:255)/256\*0./,FIRSTX,DELTAX,XARRAY(0:255)

FIRSTY, DELTAY, FIROEL(4), OIVLNX, OIVLNY RE AL EQUIVALENCE (FIROEL(1), FIRSTX), (FIRDEL(2), OELTAX), х (FIROEL(3), FIRSTY), (FIRDEL(4).DELTAY) CHARACTER\* (\*) TITLE CHARACTER\*1 ACHAR Convert the y-coordinates to real 00 1=0.255 RARRAY(1) = IARRAY(1)EN0D0 initialize the x-coordinate array DO 1=0.255 XARRAY(1) = 1ENDOO Plot on the Tektronix CALL PINIT(4014. ' .1.. 'A') CALL PSCALE (XARRAY, 256, 30, FIRSTX, OELTAX, DIVLNX) CALL PSCALE (RARRAY, 256, 20., FIRSTY, OELTAY, OIVLNY) CALL PORIG(4..4.) CALL PAXIS(0.,0.,TITLE, ' ',220,2201,30.,0. ,FIRSTX,OELTAX, 2 DIVINX) CALL PAXIS(0.,0.,1 ' ,1 ',120,1201,20.,90.,FIRSTY,OELTAY, 8 OIVLNY) CALL PLINE (XARRAY, RARRAY, 256, FIROEL.O. ! .OIVLNX.OIVLNY) Walt for carriage return before continuing CALL BELL CALL GETUTX(1, ' ', 1, ACHAR, IGOT) CALL POLRSC CALL PCLOSP WRITE (\*,\*) CHAR(27)//12 1 RETIEN END

ORIENT VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: OR IENT.FOR **OEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING** KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY REVISION DATE PROGRAMMER(S) -----\_\_\_\_ 1.0 NOVEMBER 30, 1984 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED 2.0 MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIEO COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E, SCHMALZRIEO c CALLING SEQUENCE RUN ORIENT PURPOSE c č This program displays the contours of wheat kernels CCC that were produced by the WHEAT program. The operator moves a joystick to indicate the germ end of the kernel. An archive file is created and the file that C C C came from the WHEAT program can then be discarded. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE IMINIT - KSU Olsplay Device Initialization Routine GRFAR - Grinnell Systems Routine GREVC - Grinnell Systems Routine GRSBFO - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCB - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCL - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCO - Grinnell Systems Routine GRZCR - Grinnell Systems Routine č GRZCW - Grinnell Systems Routine c SERCH2 - Kernel Radial Measurement Routine TTYINC - Raiph's Character Input Routine С ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER I.J.K.XSPAN, YSPAN, NELEM, NLINE, XCENT, YCENT, XLOC, YLOC, NMEAS, ENTER, FUN1, FUN2, ISTAT, XSUM, YSUM,

z AREA, ICUR, JCUR, DIRECT, EDGCNT, ROOTX, RODTY, ž UN1.UN2.EDGMAX.ITMP.IERR. ž XDELT(D:7)/1, 1, 0,-1,-1,-1,0,1/, ž YDELT(D:7)/D,-1,-1,-1, 0, 1,1,1/ (NELEM=512, NLINE=512, EDGMAX=2000) PARAMETER EDGCHN(EDGMAX) INTEGER#2 DEAL X.Y.Y2.DX.DY.DIFANG.MAJANG.MDVANG.P1.IX.IY.IXY. TIX. TIY. TIXY PARAMETER (PI=3.141502654) LOGICAL #1 LIMAGE (NELEM, NLINE) CHARACTER DUMMY\*1, EN1\*24, EN2\*24, EN3\*24, SWDRK\*80 Ask him which file we're examining CALL TTYINC('Enter filename of contour data file: '. z EN1. (ERR. 0. 1 1) IF (IERR.NE.0 .OR. FN1.EO. ' ') GOTO 9999 IN1 = 15 OPEN(UN1.FILF=FN1.ERR=9999.STATUS='DLD'.FDRM='UNFDRMATTED') Ask him where to put the archive data CALL TTYINC('Enter filename of archive file to be created: '. FN2, IERR, 0, 1 1) IF (IERR.NE.0 .OR. FN1.EO.! !) GOTD 9999 UN2 = 16OPEN(IN2, FILEEEN2, FRR=9999, STATUS='NEW', FDRM='UNEDRWATTED') Set up the Grinnell the way we like it CALL IMINIT('FRASE') CALL GR7CB(1.0) Tell the op what the scoop is: TYPE 1.1 1 TYPE 1, ' Cursor select ZODM, cursor 1 on. track on' TYPE 1, ' TYPE 1, Move cursor toward germ end for each kernel! TYPE 1, ' ' TYPE 1. ' Keep track of how many we've measured NMEAS = 0 Load In a kernel 100 READ (UN1, END=9998) XSPAN, YSPAN, RODTX, RDOTY, EDGCNT.(EDGCHN(1), I=1.EDGCNT) Clear the membership array DD J = 1, YSPAN DD J = 1, XSPAN LIMAGE(1.J) = .FALSE. ENDDO ENDDD Set up the membership array ICUR = RODTX JCUR = RDDTY DD I = 1. EDGCNT

DIRECT = EDGCHN(1)

C Color as we go CALL GRFAR(3,255,0,1,1CUR-1,NLINE-JCUR,1,1)

c

This is the fancy toggling IF (DIRECT.GE.1 .AND. DIRECT.LE.3) THEN DO J = ICUR, XSPAN LIMAGE(J, JCUR) = .NOT, LIMAGE(J, JCUR) ENDOO ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) ELSE IF (DIRECT.GE.5 .AND. DIRECT.LE.7) THEN ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDFI T(DIRECT) DO J = ICUR, XSPAN LIMAGE(J.JCUR) = .NOT. LIMAGE(J.JCUR) ENDDO ELSE ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) ENDLE ENDDO Make sure that the border elements are members DO I = 1. EDGCNT LIMAGE(ICUR, JCUR) = .TRUE. DIRECT = EDGCHN(1)

ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) NDDO

Y = J - YCENT Y2 = Y\*Y

С

FNDDO Find the centrold XSIIM = 0 YSIM = 0AREA = 0 DO J = 1, YSPAN DO I = 1. XSPAN LE (LIMAGE(L.J)) THEN XSUM = XSUM + 1 YSUM = YSUM + J AREA = AREA + 1 ENDIE ENDDO ENDDO XCENT = IFIX( FLOAT(XSUM)/FLOAT(AREA) ) YCENT = IFIX( FLOAT(YSUM)/FLOAT(AREA) ) Calculate the moments of and product of inertia about (XCENT, YCENT) 1X = 0. 1Y = 0. 1XY = 0.DO J = 1, YSPAN

TIX = 0. TIY = 0. TIXY = 0. 00 I = 1. XSPAN IF (LIMAGE(L.J)) THEN x = I - XCENT TIX = TIX + Y2 $TIY = TIY + X^*X$ TIXY = TIXY + X\*Y ENDIE ENDDO IX = IX + TIX |Y = |Y + T|YIXY = IXY + TIXYENDOO Calculate the angle of the major principal axis 110  $MA_{IANG} = ATAN2(-1XY^{*2}, 1X-1Y)/2, + P1/2,$ с Turn on the cross hairs CALL GR7CL (1, XCENT-1, NL | NE-YCENT) CALL G87C0(1.1) CALL GRSBFO Walt for him to move the zoom cursor toward the germ end CALL GRZCR(1.XLOC.YLOC.ENTER, FUN1, FUN2) FNTER = 0 DO WHILE (ENTER, ED.O) CALL GR7CW(1, ISTAT) CALL GRZCR(1, XLOC, YLOC, ENTER, FUN1, FUN2) ENDOO Turn off the cross hairs CALL GRZCO(1.0) CALL GRSBFO Calculate the angle of his motion MOVANG = ATAN2(FLOAT((NLINE-YLOC)-YCENT), FLOAT((XLOC+1)-XCENT)) Adjust MAJANG If necessary DIFANG = MOD( ABS(MOVANG-MAJANG), 2.\*P1 ) IF (DIFANG .GT. PI ) OIFANG = 2.\*PI - OIFANG IF (DIFANG .GT. PI/2.) MAJANG = MAJANG + PI CO WHILE (MAJANG.LT.0.0) MAJANG = MAJANG + 2.\*PI EN000 MAJANG = MOD( MAJANG, 2, \*PI ) Color the positive principal axis red for verification purposes CALL SERCH2(LIMAGE, NELEM, NLINE, MAJANG, XCENT, YCENT, I, J, XSPAN, YSPAN) CALL GRFVC(1,255,0,1,XCENT-1,NLINE-YCENT, I-1,NLINE-J) CALL GRSBFD Make sure he didn't make a mistake WRITE (SWORK, ((15, A)) NMEAS+1, < Accept>, Re-orient: \$1

	J = INDEX(SWORK, 151) - 1 CALL TYING(SWORK(1:J), JUAMY, IERR, 0, 1A1) CALL GRFAR(7,0,0,1,0,NLINE-YSPAN, XSPAN, YSPAN) CALL GRSBFD IF (DUMMY,EQ, 1R1, OR, DUMMY,EQ, 1r1) THEN	
c	He warts us to re-clspley this kernel Do != 1, EDGORT DIECT = EDGORT() CALL GEFARMS(1,2,5 SORITOR-L,KLINE-LCUR,1,1) CALL GEFARMS(1,2,5 SORITOR-LCUR) UCD = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) EMBOD GOTO 110 EDGIF	
с	Chalk up one more NMEAS = NMEAS + 1	
	WRITE (UN2) EDGCNT, (EDGCHN(1), I=1, EDGCNT), MAJANG	
с	Go back for another kernel. GOTO 100	
C		
C 9998	Come here when no more to be read CLOSE(UN1) CLOSE(UN2)	
9999	CALL GRSEND	
1 2	FORMAT(A) FORMAT(A, 14,A) END	

SERCH2 VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: ORIENT.FOR DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY PROGRAMMER(S) REVISION DATE -----TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED NOVEMBER 30, 1984 1.0 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED MARCH 8, 1985 COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED CALLING SEQUENCE CALL SERCH2(LIMAGE, NELEM, NLINE, THETA, ISTART, JSTART, IEND, JEND, XSPAN, YSPAN) PURPOSE This subroutine follows a vector, starting at (ISTART, JSTART) at angle THETA, until It meets with the edge. The final endpoint of the vector is returned as (IEND, JEND). The edge is considered to be the last .TRUE, element in LIMAGE that the vector encounters as it is grown from the beginning location. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE 0000000 LIMAGE - NELEM by NLINE array of LOGICAL\*1. True values indicate that that pixel is interior to the current edge contour. NELEM - INTEGER number of columns in the LIMAGE array NLINE - INTEGER number of rows in the LIMAGE array č THETA - REAL angle to draw vector ISTART - INTEGER column Index of Initial point JSTART - INTEGER row index of initial point XSPAN - INTEGER last valid column in LIMAGE array YSPAN - INTEGER last valid row in LIMAGE array ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE - INTEGER column index of edge intersection I END - INTEGER row index of edge intersection J FND SUBROUTINE SERCH2(LIMAGE, NELEM, NLINE, THETA, ISTART, JSTART, IEND,
JEND, XSPAN, YSPAN)

Floure an increment and set up the initial point

IMPLICIT NONE

```
INTEGER ISTART, JSTART, IEND, JEND, I, J, XSPAN, YSPAN, NELEM, NLINE
LOGICAL*1 LIMAGE(NELEM, NLINE)
REAL
          THETA, X, Y, DX, DY
```

С

å

DX = 0.5\*COS(THETA)DY = 0.5\*SIN(THETA) = FLOAT(ISTART) х Y = FLOAT(JSTART) IFND = NINT(X)JEND = NINT(Y) = NINT(X)à. = NINT(Y)

С

Find and return the edge of the contour DO WHILE (I.GE.1 .AND. J.GE.1 .AND. I.LE.XSPAN .AND. J.LE.YSPAN ŝ

.AND. LIMAGE(1, J)) IEND = I

JEND = J X = X + DXY = Y + DYI = NINT(X)J = NINT(Y)ENDDO

RETURN

END

MEASURE c č VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME. MEASURE, FOR DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY REVISION DATE PDOCDAMMED (S) č c 1.0 NOVEMBER 30, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALTRIED COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED ċ C č CALLING SEQUENCE č DIN MEACIIDE PURPOSE č c This program measures the wheat kernel contours from č the archive file and creates a measurement file for use by PLOTSTATS and the classifier programs. č č ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE č SERCH2 - Kernel Radial Measurement Routine ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE č None č č ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None -IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER I.J.K.XSPAN, YSPAN, NELEM, NLINE, XCENT, YCENT, 8 XLOC, YLOC, NMEAS, ENTER, FUN1, FUN2, I STAT, XSUM, YSUM, z AREA, ICUR, JCUR, DIRECT, EDGCNT, ROOTX, ROOTY, UN1, UN2, NANGLS, EDGMAX, ITMP, XMAX, XMIN, YMAX, YMIN, 8 XDELT(0:7)/1, 1, 0,-1,-1,-1,0,1/, z YDELT(0:7)/0,-1,-1,-1, 0, 1,1,1/ PARAMETER (NELEM=512, NLINE=512, NANGLS=720, EDGMAX=2000) INTEGER#2 EDGCHN(EDGMAX) REAL X.Y.Y2.DX.DY.DIFANG.MAJANG.MOVANG.PI.IX.IY.IXY. TIX.TIY.TIXY. RADIAL (NANGLS), ANG (PI=3,141592654) PARAMETER LOGICAL\*1 LIMAGE (NELEM, NLINE) CHARACTER DUMMY\*1, FN1\*24, FN2\*24, FN3\*24

Ask him which file we're examining TYPE 1, 'SEnter filename of archive file: ' ACCEPT 1. EN1 IN1 = 15OPEN(UN1.FILE=FN1.ERR=9999.STATUS='OLD'.FORM='UNFORMATTED') Ask him where to put the measurements TYPE 1. SEnter name of measurement file to be created: \* ACCEPT 1. EN2 11N2 = 16OPEN(UN2.FILE=FN2.ERR=9999,STATUS='NEW',FORM='UNFORMATTED') Keep track of how many we've measured NMEAS = 0 Load In a kernel READ (UN1, END=9998) EDGCNT, (EDGCHN(I), I=1, EDGCNT), MAJANG 100 Find the extents of the contour ICUR = 0|C|R = 0XMAX = ICUR XMIN = ICUR YMAX = JCIIR YMIN = JCUR DO I = 1, EDGCNT DIRECT = EDGCHN(I) ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT) JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT) XMAX = MAX(XMAX, ICUR) XMIN = MIN(XMIN, ICUR) = MAX(YMAX, JCUR) YMAX YMIN = MIN(YMIN, JCUR) ENDDO XSPAN = XMAX - XMIN + 1 YSPAN = YMAX - YMIN + 1 ROOTX = 1 - XMINROOTY = 1 - YMIN Clear the membership array DO J = 1, YSPAN DO I = 1. XSPAN LIMAGE(1, J) = .FALSE. ENDDO ENDOO Set up the membership array ICUR = ROOTX JCUR = ROOTY DO 1 = 1. EDGCNT DIRECT = EDGCHN(1) This is the fancy toggling IF (DIRECT.GE.1 .AND. DIRECT.LE.3) THEN DO J = ICUR, XSPAN I IMAGE(J.JCUR) = .NOT. LIMAGE(J.JCUR)

```
ENDDO
      ICHR = ICHR + XDFLT(DIRECT)
       ICUP = ICUP + YDELT(DIRECT)
    FISE IF (DIRECT.GE.5 .AND. DIRECT.LE.7) THEN
      ICHR = ICHR + XDFLT(DIRECT)
      JCUR = JCUR + YDELT(DIRECT)
      DO J = ICUR, XSPAN
        LIMAGE(J.JCHR) = .NOT. LIMAGE(J.JCHR)
      ENDDO
    ELSE
      ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT)
      JCHR = JCHR + YDFLT(DIRECT)
    ENDIE
  ENDDO
  Make sure that the border elements are members
  DO I = 1. EDGCNT
    I IMAGE(ICUR, JOUR) = TRUE,
    DIRECT = EDGCHN(1)
     ICUR = ICUR + XDELT(DIRECT)
     JCHR = JCHR + YDELT(DIRECT)
  ENDDO
   Find the centrold
   Y \le M \ge 0
   YSIM = 0
   AREA = 0
  DO J = 1, YSPAN
     DO I = 1. XSPAN
       IF (LIMAGE(I,J)) THEN
         xsum = xsum + 1
         L + MUZY = MUZY
         APFA = APFA + 1
      ENDIF
    ENDDO
   ENDDO
   xCENT = |F|X( FLOAT(XSUM)/FLOAT(AREA) )
   YCENT = IFIX( FLOAT(YSUM)/FLOAT(AREA) )
   Chalk up one more
   NMFAS = NMFAS + 1
   WRITE (*,*) NMEAS
   Measure the kernel and stash the info in a measurement file
   DO K = 1. NANGLS
               = FLOAT(K-1)/FLOAT(NANGLS) * 2.0*PI + MAJANG
     ANG
     CALL SERCH2(LIMAGE, NELEM, NLINE, ANG, XCENT, YCENT, 1, J, XSPAN,
z
                 YCPAN)
     х
               = FLOAT(I-XCENT)
               = FLOAT(J-YCENT)
     RADIAL(K) = SORT( X*X + Y*Y )
   ENDDO
   WRITE (UN2) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG,
z
               NANGLS, (RADIAL(1), 1=1, NANGLS)
```

С	Go back for another kernel. GOTO 100
C	

C Come here when no more to be read 9998 CLOSE(UN1) CLOSE(UN2)

- 9999 CONTINUE
- 1 FORMAT(A)
- 2 FORMAT(A, I4, A) END

MEASSY VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: MEASSY FOR č DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY č č č DATE PROGRAMMER(S) **DEVISION** \_\_\_\_ JANUARY 15, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED 1.0 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED MARCH 8, 1985 COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E, SCHMALZRIED \_\_\_\_\_\_ CALLING SEQUENCE DIN MEASSY PUPPOSE Normalized measurements and writes results to disk. Pre-normalized measurements speed up the classifier programs. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None IMPLICIT NONE NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX INTEGER PARAMETER (NANGMX=720, VARMX=4, METHMX=3, VIEWMX=2) CHARACTER VARIETY(VARMX)\*10, VIEW(VIEWMX)\*3, METH(3)\*1, FN\*40 IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW, NANGLS, XCENT, YCENT, AREA, I, UN(3) INTERER NORM (METHMX), MAJANG, RADIAL (NANGMX, 2) REAL These are the I/O channels we'll use DO IMETH = 1, METHMX UN(IMETH) = 14 + IMETH ENDDO The filenames of the contour data

VARIETY(1) = "ARKAN! VARIETY(2) = "ARTHUR" VARIETY(3) = 'NUGAINES' VARIETY(4) = 'SAGE' The filetypes of the contour data (top and side views) VIEW(1) = 'TM' VIEW(2) = !SM! Give the method identifiers METH(1) = ! ! METH(2) = 111 METH(3) = "W" Process all of the varieties DO IVAR = 1. VARMX WRITE (\*,\*) ! ! WRITE (\*.\*) \*Processing variety \*, VARIETY(IVAR) DO IVIEW = 1. VIEWMX Figure out the file names for all views of the same variety FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // '.' // VIEW(IVIEW) OPEN(UN(1), FILE=FN, ERR=9000, STATUS='OLD', SHARED, FORM= ! UNFORMATTED ! ) DO IMETH = 2. METHMX FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // '.' // VIEW(IVIEW) // METH(IMETH) OPEN(UN(IMETH), FILE=FN, ERR=9000, STATUS='NEW', SHARED. z FORM= ! UNFORMATTED ! ) ENDDO Do the whole file, one kernel at a time DO WHILE (.TRUE.) Get the data for the next kernel READ (UN(1), END=200) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG, NANGLS, (RADIAL(1,1), I=1, NANGLS) å Floure the norms NORM(2) = 1. / ( RADIAL( (1.1) +RADIAL(NANGLS /2+1,1) ) 8 NORM(3) = 1. / ( RADIAL(NANGLS /4+1.1) + x RADIAL (NANGLS\*3/4+1.1) ) с Calculate the normalized coordinate along this axis DO IMETH = 2. METHMX DO TANG = 1, NANGLS RADIAL(IANG,2) = RADIAL(IANG,1) \* NORM(IMETH) FNDDO WRITE (UN(IMETH)) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG, NANGLS, (RADIAL(IANG, 2), TANG=1, NANGLS) 2 ENDD0

ENDD0

C	Close the files
200	DO IMETH = 2, METHMX CLOSE(UN(IMETH)) ENDDO

ENDDO ENDDO

9000 CONTINUE END PUTMEAN VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: PUTMEAN.FOR Ċ DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY DATE PROGRAMMER(S) REVISION ----TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED 1.0 JANUARY 15, 1985 MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED CALLING SEQUENCE RUN PUTMEAN ċ PURPOSE Calculates mean curves for each variety and writes them to disk to speed up the classifier programs. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None С IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX PARAMETER (NANGMX=720, VARMX=4, METHMX=3, VIEWMX=2) CHARACTER VARIETY(VARMX)\*10, VIEW(VIEWMX)\*3, FN\*40 UN, LANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW, ICNT. INTEGER NANGLS, XCENT, YCENT, AREA, I 8 NORM (METHINX), RCNT, MEAN (NANGMX, VARMX, METHINX, VIEWMX), REAL MAJANG, RADIAL (NANGMX) The unit number we'll use for disk 1/0 IN = 15 These are the filenames of the contour data VARIETY(1) = \*ARKAN\*

VARIETY(2) = !ARTHUR! VARIETY(3) = 'NUGAINES' VARIETY(4) = 'SAGE' The filetypes of the contour data (top and side views) VIEW(1) = 'TM'  $VIFW(2) = 1SM^{1}$ Clear all of the mean contours OO IVIEW = 1, VIEWMX OO IMETH = 1. METHMX OO IVAR = 1, VARMX OO 1ANG = 1, NANGMX MEAN(IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW) = 0. EN000 ENODO EN000 EN000 Calculate a mean contour for each data file NORM(1) = 1. For all views.... CO IVIEW = 1. VIEWMX And for all varieties... DO IVAR = 1, VARMX Construct the filename FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // 1.1 // VIEW(IVIEW) Open the data file OPEN(UN, FILE=FN, ERR=9000, STATUS=10L01, SHARED, x FORM=!UNFORMATTEO!) Count the number of kernel samples in the data file ICNT = 0 Now, for all of the data in the file... OO WHILE (.TRUE.) Get the data for one sample READ (UN.ENO=100) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG, z NANGLS, (RAOLAL(L), L=1, NANGLS) increment count of samples in file ICNT = ICNT + 1Some of the measurement methods require normalization NORM(2) = 1./(RAO!AL( 1)+RA01AL(NANGLS/2 +1)) NORM(3) = 1./(RADIAL(NANGLS/4+1)+RAOIAL(NANGLS\*3/4+1)) Sum all measurements in the MEAN contour array OO IMETH = 1. METHMX OO IANG = 1, NANGLS MEAN(IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW) =

	5	MEAN(IANG,IYAR,IMETH,IVIEW) + RADIAL(IANG)*NORM(IMETH) ENDDO ENDDO ENDDO
C 100	\$	Now_d Jvlide by number of samples in file for true means RCHT = FLOATT(CHT) Do INETH = 1, METHAK Do INNE = 1, NNETK Do INNE = 1, NNETK NETN(INNE, IVAR, INETH, IVIEN) = MEAN(INNE, IVAR, INETH, IVIEN) / RCNT ENDOD
С		Close the data file CLOSE(UN)
с	\$	Write the mean curves cet to a file FN = VARETY(VAR) // '.' /'VIEKI(VIEW) // 'M' OPENUM, FILE-TH, ERR-9000, STATUS-'NEW', SHARED, FORM-UNCOMMITTED') DO INETH = 1, METHOK WRITE (UN) (NEAN(IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW), IANG-1, MANGKO) ENDOD CLOSE(UN) ENDOD
с		At this time, we have mean contours for each data file.
9000		CONTINUE

FYCI ASS VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: EXCLASS.FOR DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY REVISION PROGRAMMER(S) JANUARY 15, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED MARCH 8, 1985 COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED CALLING SEQUENCE RUN EXCLASS PURPOSE Performs an exhaustive search for a small set of features that give good classification results with a minimum distance classifier. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE TTYINC - Raiph's Character Input Routine TTYINI - Raiph's integer input Routine ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX, DIMMX PARAMETER (NANGMX=720, VARMX=4, METHMX=3, VIEWMX=2, DIMMX=10) CHARACTER VARIETY(VARMX)\*10.VIEW(VIEWMX)\*3.FN\*40. 8 VSTR\*4,MSTR\*8,STR1NG\*80,DUMMY\*1,METH(METHMX)\*1 INTEGER UN(METHMX, VIEWMX, VARMX), IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW, 8 NANGLS, XCENT, YCENT, AREA. CORRECT (METHMX, VIEWMX, NANGMX), TOTPOS, WINNER, CLASSY (DIMMX), CLASSA (DIMMX), CLASSM (DIMMX), IDIM, IKERN, IMEAN, I, IERR, IVARPT, 8 VARX, METHX, VIEWX, DIMX, ā BEST, BESTA, BESTV, BESTM, J

```
REAL
                  MEAN (NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX).
                  MAJANG, RADIAL (NANGMX, METHMX, VIEWMX), THEME,
     2
                  DTEMP, ODISTSO(VARMX, VARMX, 100), DISTSO(VARMX, NANGMX)
        These need to be set sooner or later
        VARX = VARMX
        METHY = METHMY
        VIEWX = VIEWMX
        DIMX = DIMMX
        Find out what our dimensions will be
79
        CALL TTYINI("Enter number of variaties <4>: 1,VARX, IERR.0.4)
        IF (IERR.NE.O) VARX = VARMX
        IF (VARX.GT.VARMX .OR. VARX.LT.2) THEN
          WRITE (*.*) "Number of varieties must be between 2 and", VARMX
          GOTO 79
        FNDIE
        WRITE (*.*) * *
        These are the filenames of the contour data
        IF (VARX_EO_4) THEN
          VARIETY(1) = "ARKAN"
          VARIETY(2) = 'ARTHUR'
          VARIETY(3) = "NUGAINES"
          VARIETY(4) = !SAGE!
        FI SE
          DD IVAR = 1. VARX
            WRITE (STRING, '(A, 11, A)') 'Enter variety name ', IVAR, ': $'
            1 = INDEX(STRING. 1$1) - 1
            CALL TTYINC(STRING(1:1), VARIETY(IVAR), IERR.0. 121)
          ENDDO
          WRITE (*,*) ! !
       ENDIE
        Here, we specify what measurements are to be used for each
        dimension
89
        CALL TTYINI ('Enter number of dimensions: 1.DIMX. JERR.0.2)
        IF (IERR.NE.O) DIMX = 2
        IF (DIMX.GT.DIMMX .OR. DIMX.LT.1) THEN
          WRITE (*.*) Number of dimensions must be between 1 and DIMMX
          GOTO 89
        ENDIE
        WRITE (*.*) ! !
        DD IDIM = 1, DIMX
67
          CALL TTYINC('Enter view (Top, Side): ',DUMMY,
     £
                      IERR.0.*?*)
          IF (IERR.NE.O) DUMMY = 121
          IF (DUMMY.EQ. 'T' .OR, DUMMY.EQ. '+') THEN
            CLASSV(IDIM) = 1
          ELSE IF (DUMMY.EQ. 'S' .OR. DUMMY.EQ. 's') THEN
            CLASSV(IDIM) = 2
```

```
EL SE
            WRITE (*.*) 'Invalid view'
            GOTO 67
          ENDIE
          CALL TTYINC(
                 "Enter norm method (Absolute, Length, Width): '.
     8
                DUMMY, IERR. 0. 1?!)
          IF (IERR.NE.O) DUMMY = "?"
          IF (DUMMY, EO. 'A' .OR. DUMMY, EO. 'a') THEN
            CLASSM(IDIM) = 1
          ELSE IF (DUMMY.EO. 'L' .OR. DUMMY.EO. 'I') THEN
            CLASSM(IDIM) = 2
          ELSE IF (DUMMY.EO. 'W' .OR. DUMMY.EO. 'w') THEN
            CLASSM(IDIM) = 3
          ELSE
            WRITE (*.*) 'Invalld method'
            GOTO 77
          ENDIF
          WRITE (STRING, '(A, 13, A)')
     8
                 'Enter measurement Index (1-',NANGMX,'): $'
          i = INDEX(STRING,'$') - 1
87
          CALL TTYINI(STRING(1:1).CLASSA(IDIM). IERR.0.0)
          IF (IERR.NE.O) CLASSA(IDIM) = 0
          IF (CLASSA(IDIM), LT.1 .OR, CLASSM(IDIM), GT, NANGMX) THEN
            WRITE (*,*) 'Index must be between 1 and'.NANGMX
            GOTO 87
          ENDIF
          WRITE (*.*) * *
        ENDDO
c
        Document the dimensions used
        WRITE (*.*) DIMX.' dimensions used as classifiers:"
        DO IDIM = 1, DIMX
          IF (CLASSV(IDIM).E0.1) THEN
            VSTR = "top"
            VSTR = 'side'
          ENDIF
          IF (CLASSM(IDIM).EQ.1) THEN
            MSTR = 'absolute'
          ELSE IF (CLASSM(IDIM).EQ.2) THEN
            MSTR = 'length'
          FI SF
            MSTR = 'width'
          ENDIE
          WRITE (*.102) VSTR.MSTR.CLASSA(IDIM)
102
          FORMAT(' view = ',A,', normalization = ',A,', index = ',13)
        ENDDO
```

WRITE (\*,\*) ! !

```
The filetypes of the contour data (top and side views)
  VIEW(1) = "TM"
  VIEW(2) = 'SM'
  The methods that we use
  METH(1) = ! !
  METH(2) = !L!
  METH(3) = 'W'
  The unit numbers we'll use for disk 1/0
   1 = 15
  DO IVAR = 1, VARX
     DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX
      DO IMETH = 1. METHX
         UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR) = 1
         | = | + 1
      ENDD0
     ENDDO
   FN000
   Fill all of the mean contours
   DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX
     DO IVAR = 1. VARX
       FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // 1.1 // VIEW(IVIEW) // 1M1
       OPEN(UN(1,1,1),FILE=FN,ERR=9000,STATUS='OLD',SHARED.
            FORM= "UNFORMATTED")
å
       DO IMETH = 1. METHX
         READ (UN(1.1.1))
              (MEAN(IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW), IANG=1, NANGMX()
8
       ENDDO
      CLOSE(UN(1.1.1))
     ENDDO
   FNDDO
   At this time, we have mean contours for each data file
   Now. let's try the classifier:
   The number of kernels we have to classify
   TOTPOS = VARX * 100
   Open the data files
   DO IVAR = 1, VARX
     DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX
       DO IMETH = 1. METHX
         FN = VARIFTY(IVAR) // 1.1 // VIEW(IVIEW)//METH(IMETH)
         OPEN (UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR), FILE=FN, ERR=9000, STATUS="OLD",
               SHARED, FORM= "UNFORMATTED")
s
       ENDDO
     ENDDO
```

### ENDDO

Clear all of the sum of distance-squared values DO IKERN = 1, 100 DO IVAR = 1, VARX DO IVARPT = 1, VARX ODISTSQ(IVARPT, IVAR, IKERN) = 0. ENDOO ENDDO ENDDO Keep track of our initial correctness CORRECT(1, 1, 1) = 0Calculate the sum of distance-squared values to date DO IVAR = 1. VARX DO IKERN = 1, 100 DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX DO IMETH = 1. METHX READ (UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR)) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG, NANGLS, (RADIAL (1, IMETH, IVIEW), I=1, NANGLS) 2 ENDDO ENDDO DO IDIM = 1, DIMX DO IVARPT = 1. VARX DTEMP = RADIAL(CLASSA(IDIM), CLASSM(IDIM), CLASSV(IDIM)) å - MEAN(CLASSA(IDIM), IVARPT, CLASSM(IDIM), CLASSV(IDIM)) ODISTSQ(IVARPT, IVAR, IKERN) = ODISTSQ(IVARPT, IVAR, IKERN) + DTEMP\*DTEMP 8 FNDDO ENDDO Choose closest mean of as winner and check its correctness WINNER = 1 DO IVARPT = 2, VARX IF (ODISTSO(IVARPT, IVAR, IKERN) .LT. 2 ODISTSO(WINNER, IVAR, IKERN)) WINNER = IVARPT ENDDO IF (WINNER.EQ.IVAR) CORRECT(1,1,1) = CORRECT(1,1,1) + 1 ENDDO Rewind the data files DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX DO IMETH = 1. METHX REWIND(UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR)) END00 ENDDO ENDDO WRITE (\*,\*) 'initial', CORRECT(1,1,1),' out of', TOTPOS,' for',

& FLDAT(CORRECT(1,1,1))/FLDAT(TOTPDS)\*100.,'\$' WRITE (\*,\*) ' '

C-----

BEST = CORRECT(1.1.1) DIMX = DIMX + 1DO WHILE (DIMX.LE.DIMMX) BESTA = D Keep track of the number correctly classified DO IANG = 1, NANGMX DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX DO IMETH = 1, METHX CDRRECT(IMETH, IVIEW, IANG) = D END00 ENDDD ENDDO Process all of the varieties DO IVAR = 1. VARX DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX DD IMETH = 1. METHX Do the whole file, one kernel at a time DO IKERN = 1, 100 Get the data for all views of the same kernel READ (UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR))XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG, NANGLS, (RADIAL(1, IMETH, IVIEW), I=1, NANGLS) DO IANG = 1, NANGMX Now, finish the summations DO IVARPT = 1, VARX DTEMP = RADIAL(IANG, IMETH, IVIEW) 8 - MEAN(IANG, IVARPT, IMETH, IVIEW) DISTSQ(IVARPT, IANG) = DDISTSQ(IVARPT, IVAR, IKERN) + z z DTEMP\*DTEMP ENDDD Choose closest mean pt as winner and check its correctness WINNER = 1 DO IVARPT = 2. VARX IF (DISTSO(IVARPT.IANG) .LT. z DISTSO(WINNER, IANG)) ž WINNER=IVARPT ENDDD IF (WINNER, EO, IVAR) CDRRECT (IMETH, IVIEW, IANG) = CORRECT(IMETH, IVIEW, IANG) + 1

ENDDO

ENDDO

С

```
Rewind the file
           REWIND (UN(IMETH. IVIEW. IVAR))
         ENDDO
       ENDDO
     ENDDO
     DO LANG = 1, NANGMX
       | = 1
       J = 1
       DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX
         DO IMETH = 1, METHX
           IF (CORRECT(IMETH, IVIEW, IANG) .GT.
å
               CORRECT(1, J, IANG)) THEN
              I = IMETH
            J = 1VIEW
           FNDIF
         ENDDO
       ENDDO
       WRITE (*,*) 'Angle', IANG, ' gives ',
 å
       FLOAT(CORRECT(1, J, IANG))/FLOAT(TOTPOS)*100.,
 ŝ
         1$ for!.J.1
       IF (CORRECT(1, J, IANG) .GT. BEST) THEN
         BESTV = J
         BESTM = 1
         BESTA = IANG
         BEST = CORRECT(1.J.IANG)
       ENDIF
     ENDDO
     IF (BESTA.NE.O) THEN
       CLASSV(DIMX) # BESTV
       CLASSM(D1MX) = BESTM
       CLASSA(DIMX) = BESTA
       Update the ODISTSO array
       DO IVAR = 1, VARX
         Do the whole file, one kernel at a time
         DO IKERN = 1. 100
           Get the data for all views of the same kernel
           READ (UN(BESTM, BESTV, IVAR)) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG,
8
                NANGLS, (RADIAL(I, BESTM, BESTV), I=1, NANGLS)
           Now, finish the summations
           DO IVARPT = 1. VARX
```

DTEMP = RADIAL(BESTA,BESTM,BESTM) - MEAN(BESTA,IVARPT,BESTM,BESTM) ODISTSQ(IVARPT,IVAR,IKERN) = ODISTSQ(IVARPT,IVAR,IKERN) + DTEMP\*DTEMP ENDDO

ENDDO

С

Rewind the file REWIND(UN(BESTM,BESTV,IVAR))

### ENDDO

WRITE (\*,\*) 'DImension',DIMX,' is:',BESTV,BESTM,BESTA WRITE (\*,\*) 'for',FLOAT(BEST)/FLOAT(TOTPOS)\*100.,'\$'

```
MRITE (FN, '(A, 11, A)') 'DIM',DIMK,'LOG'
OPEN (2, FLEFN, ERR=OOD,STATUS-'NEE')
WRITE (2, *) 'DImension',DIMK,' 1s:',BESTM, BESTM,
WRITE (2, *) 'for',FLOAT(BEST)/FLOAT(TOTPOS)*100.,'$'
CLOSE (2)
```

```
DIMX = DIMX + 1
ELSE
DIMX = DIMMX + 1
WRITE (*,*) "Stop due to no increase in accuracy"
```

## ENDDO

```
DO IVAR = 1, VARX
DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWX
DO IMETH = 1, METHX
CLOSE(UN(IMETH,IVIEW,IVAR))
ENDDO
ENDDO
```

9000 CONTINUE FND

MINCLASS. VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: MINCLASS, FOR DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY **REVISION** DATE PROGRAMMER(S) 1.0 JANUARY 28, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED CALLING SEQUENCE RUN MINCLASS PURPOSE An exhaustive nearest neighbor classifler. ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE None č ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROUTINE č None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX, TOTPOS PARAMETER (NANGMX=720, VARMX=4, METHMX=3, VIEWMX=2, TOTPOS=400) INTEGER IVAR, IVIEW, IMETH, IANG, IKERN, I, CMPKRN, SELKRN, IFAVX, z MAXCOR, CORRECT (NANGMX), IFAY, MATCH, BESTCOR, z UN(METHMX, VIEWMX, VARMX), FAVLOG(3, 10), XCENT, YCENT, AREA, NANGLS, ITMP1, ITMP2, IKE, ICK, ICKY, ICKF(4,2) MIND, DIST(TOTPOS), DTEMP, FAY(10, TOTPOS), MAJANG, REAL RADIAL (TOTPOS, NANGMX), BUFFER (NANGMX) CHARACTER VARIETY(VARMX)\*10.VIEW(VIEWMX)\*3.METH(METHMX)\*1.FN\*40 IKF = 100 Write a permanent record of it WRITE (FN. '(A. 13.A)') 'PART', IKE. ', LOG'

1KE = 1KE + 1 OPEN (3,FILE=FN,STATUS='NEW') с These are the filenames of the contour data VARIETY(1) = "ARKAN" VARIETY(2) = \*ARTHUR\* VARIETY(3) = "NUGAINES" VAR1ETY(4) = !SAGE!The filetypes of the contour data (top and side views) VIEW(1) = 'TM'VIFW(2) = !SM!The methods that we use METH(1) = ! ! MFTH(2) = 1 1 METH(3) = 1W1 С Open all of the files 1 = 15 DO IVAR = 1. VARMX DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWMX DO IMETH = 1, METHMX FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // 1.1 // VIEW(IVIEW) // METH(IMETH) UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR) = 1 OPEN(UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR), FILE=FN, STATUS='OLD', SHARED, FORM= \*UNFORMATTED\*) 2 | = | + 1ENDDO ENDDO ENDDO This program takes a very long time to run. Therefore, it was necessary to run it in several chunks. These variables that start with ICK are initialized with historical data from previous chunks. ICK = 2 1CKF(1,1) = 2ICKF(2.1) = 3 ICKF(3,1) = 339 ICKF(4,1) = 259|CKF(1,2) = 21CKF(2,2) = 1ICKF(3.2) = 536 ICKF(4.2) = 318The largest number of kernels classifier correctly so far is 0 (unless data from a previous chunk says otherwise) BESTCOR = 0IF (ICK.GT.0) THEN DO ICKY = 1, ICK DO IVAR = 1, VARMX ITMP1 = (IVAR-1)\*100+1



С

IF (DTEMP, LT, MIND, AND, CMPKRN, NE, SELKRN) THEN MIND = DTEMP MATCH = CMPKRN ENDIE ENDDO Check here for match of varlety (match & selkrn) and update correct vector (all land) IF ((MATCH-1)/100 .EO, (SELKRN-1)/100) z CORRECT(IANG) = CORRECT(IANG) + 1 ENDDO WRITE (3,\*) IANG, CORRECT(IANG) ENDDO с Find max of correct vector and if .gt. maxcor, then update maxcor & remember view & meth & angle IANG = 1DO I = 2, NANGMX IF (CORRECT(1),GT,CORRECT(IANG)) IANG = 1 ENDDO IF (CORRECT(IANG).GT.MAXCOR) THEN MAXCOR = CORRECT(IANG)DO IKERN = 1. TOTPOS FAV(IFAVX+1, IKERN) = RADIAL(IKERN, IANG) **FNDDO** FAVLOG(1.1FAVX+1) = IVIEW FAVLOG(2, |FAVX+1) = IMETH FAVLOG(3, |FAVX+1) = |ANG ENDIE Write a permanent record of it CLOSE (3) WRITE (FN, '(A, 13, A)') 'PART', IKE, '.LOG' 1KE = 1KE + 1 OPEN (3, FILE=FN, STATUS='NEW') ENDDO ENDOO IF (MAXCOR, LT, BESTCOR) THEN WRITE (3,\*) "Stop due to no increase in accuracy" GOTO 9080 ELSE BESTCOR = MAXCOR Print what we're updating our favorites array with WRITE (3.\*) 'Favorite update:'.(FAVLOG(1. |FAVX+1). |=1.3). 8 BESTCOR Write a permanent record of It WRITE (FN. ! (A. | 1. A) !) 'FAV', | FAVX+1. !.LOG! OPEN (2, FILE=FN, STATUS='NEW') WRITE (2.\*) 'Favorite update: '. (FAVLOG(1. |FAVX+1). |=1.3). 8 BESTCOR, FLOAT (BESTCOR) /FLOAT (TOTPOS) #100. CLOSE (2)

#### ENDIF ENDDO

9080 00 IVAR = 1, VARHX 00 IVIEW = 1, VIEWHX DD IMETH = 1, METHHX CLOSE(UN(IMETH,IVIEW,IVAR)) ENDDO

ENDDO

CLOSE (3)

END

SCLASS C VAX-11 FORTRAN SOURCE FILENAME: SCLASS.FOR č DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY С CCC REV ISLON DATE PROGRAMMER(S) 1.0 JANUARY 19, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED C MARCH 8, 1985 TERRY E. SCHMALZRIED COPYRIGHT 1985 TERRY F. SCHMALZRIED C CALLING SEQUENCE RUN SCLASS CCC PURPOSE This is a hybrid, elective minimum distance classifier. A whole vote is given to the winner of each pair-off. CCCC ROUTINE(S) ACCESSED OR CALLED BY THIS ROUTINE None CCC ARGUMENT(S) REQUIRED FROM THE CALLING ROLITINE None ARGUMENT(S) SUPPLIED TO THE CALLING ROUTINE None IMPLICIT NONE INTEGER NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX PARAMETER (NANGMX=720, VARMX=4, METHMX=3, VIEWMX=2) CHARACTER VARIETY(VARMX)\*10.VIEW(VIEWMX)\*3.HARD(VARMX)\*1. 2 METH(METHMX)\*1.FN\*40 INTEGER UN (METHMX, VIEWMX, VARMX), IMETH, IV IEW, IVAR, IANG, Ł NANGLS,XCENT,YCENT,AREA,CORRECT,TOTPOS,IDIM, WINNER,CLASSN(6),CLASSV(5,6),CLASSA(5,6),CLASSM(5,6), ž ž ISEL, IKERN, 1, J, K, L, M, N, C3, W3 INTEGER#2 TALLY(4), TSOLN(4,4,4,4,4) MEAN (NANGMX, VARMX, METHMX, VIEWMX), DISTSO(2), DTEMP. REAL ŝ, MAJANG, RADIAL (NANGMX, METHMX, VIEWMX) A couple of unit numbers we'll use for disk 1/0

```
1 = 15
        DO IVAR = 1. VARMX
          DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWMX
            DO IMETH = 1, METHMX
               UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR) = 1
               1 = 1 + 1
            ENDDO
          ENDED
        ENDDO
С
        These are the filenames of the contour data
        VARIETY(1) = *ARKAN*
        VARIETY(2) = !ARTHUR!
        VARIETY(3) = INUGAINES!
        VARIETY(4) = 'SAGE'
        The filetypes of the contour data (top and side views)
        VIEW(1) = !TM!
        VIEW(2) = !SM!
        The methods that we use
        METH(1) = f f
        MFTH(2) = 111
        METH(3) = 1W1
        Description of the hardness of each of the varieties
        HARD(1) = "H"
        HARD(2) = !S!
        HARD(3) = !S!
        HARD(4) = "H"
        Fill all of the mean contours
        DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWMX
          DO IVAR = 1. VARMX
            FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // '.' // VIEW(IVIEW) // 'M'
            OPEN(UN(1,1,1), FILE=FN, ERR=9000, STATUS='OLD', SHARED.
     2
                 FORM= "UNFORMATTED")
            DO IMETH = 1. METHMX
              .READ (UN(1,1,1))
     8
                   (MEAN(IANG, IVAR, IMETH, IVIEW), IANG=1, NANGNX)
            ENDDO
            CLOSE(UN(1.1.1))
          ENDDO
        ENDDO
        At this time, we have mean contours for each data file
        The next step is to do a minimum distance classifier for each
С
        combination of 2 varieties. A classifier will be run for all
        normalizations and views of each combination and the single
        best measurement method will be remembered for later use.
с
        The comparison matrix is:
```

VARIETY INDEX 1 2 3 4 \* \* ÷ ż . Ā 6 C 888 We have skipped that step for now and simply have put in the results: The number of dimensions for each comparison CLASSN(1) = 4CLASSN(2) = 5CLASSN(3) = 4CLASSN(4) = 2CLASSN(5) = 1CLASSN(6) = 4The best view for each comparison CLASSV(1.1) = 1CLASSV(2,1) = 2CLASSV(3,1) = 1CLASSV(4.1) = 1 CLASSV(1,2) = 2CLASSV(2,2) = 2CLASSV(3,2) = 1CLASSV(4,2) = 1CLASSV(5,2) = 2CLASSV(1.3) = 2CLASSV(2.3) = 2 CLASSV(3,3) = 2CLASSV(4.3) = 2CLASSV(1,4) = 2CLASSV(2,4) = 1CLASSV(1,5) = 2CLASSV(1,6) = 2CLASSV(2.6) = 2CLASSV(3.6) = 2CLASSV(4,6) = 1The best normalization method for each comparison is CLASSM(1,1) = 2CLASSM(2,1) = 3CLASSM(3,1) = 3 CLASSM(4.1) = 2CLASSM(1,2) = 2CLASSM(2,2) = 2

	CLASSM(3,2) = 2		
	CLASSM(4,2) = 3		
	CLASSM(5,2) = 3		
	0 100000 Th - T		
	GLASSM(1,5) = 5		
	GLASSM(2,5) = 1		
	GLASSM(5,57 = 2		
	ULNSSM(4,57 = 2		
	(1.455M(1.4) = 3)		
	(1ASSM(2,4) = 3		
	denoon(2) - / J		
	CLASSM(1,5) = 3		
	CLASSM(1,6) = 2		
	CLASSM(2,6) = 3		
	CLASSM(3,6) = 3		
	CLASSM(4,6) = 3		
~	The exercise of testar to do not on		
C	The measurement index to do each com	parison at is	
	CLASSA(1,1) = 144		
	GLASSA(2,1) = 05		
	CLASSA(3,1) = 111 .		
	0EN35A(4,17 = 500		
	CLASSA(1,2) = 720		
	CLASSA(2,2) = 276		
	CLASSA(3,2) = 695		
	CLASSA(4,2) = 434		
	CLASSA(5,2) = 199		
	CLASSA(1,3) = 344		
	CLASSA(2,3) = 474		
	CLASSA(5,5) = 154		
	GLASSA(4,5) = 050		
	CI 4554(1 4) = 607		
	O(ASSA(2, 4) = 0.01)		
	denoon(2)+/ 401		
	CLASSA(1.5) = 713		
	CLASSA(1,6) = 379		
	CLASSA(2,6) = 235		
	CLASSA(3,6) = 193		
	CLASSA(4,6) = 95		
c			
с	Now that we have the knowledge that	we think we need, let's	
č	try the overall classification and s	ee how smart we really	are.
-	, stabbilled ten and s	and the second s	
С	Initialize this array		
	DO I = 1, 4		
	DO J = 1, 4		
	DO K = 1 A		

DO L = 1, 4

DO M = 1.4TSOLN(M.L.K.J.I) = 0 ENDDO ENDDO ENDDO ENDDO ENDDO Keep track of how many we tried to classify TOTPOS = 0 Open the data files DO IVAR = 1. VARMX DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWMX DO IMETH = 1. METHMX FN = VARIETY(IVAR) // '.' // VIEW(IVIEW) // METH(IMETH) OPEN(UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR), FILE=FN, ERR=9000, STATUS='OLD'. 8 SHARED . FORM= ! UNF ORMATTED ! ) ENDDO ENDDO ENDDO Keep track of the number correctly classified CORRECT = 0 C3 = 0W3 = 0Process all of the varieties DO IVAR = 1. 4 Tell the operator how we're progressing WRITE (\*,\*) 'Testing variety ', VARIETY(IVAR) Do the whole file, one kernel at a time DO IKERN = 1, 100 Get all of the data available for this kernel DO IVIEW = 1. VIEWMX DO IMETH = 1. METHMX READ (UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR)) XCENT, YCENT, AREA, MAJANG, 8 NANGLS, (RADIAL(I, IMETH, IVIEW), I=1, NANGLS) ENDDO ENDDO с Keep an array that tells us how many times each is selected DO 1 = 1, 4 TALLY(1) = 0ENDDO This is the number of varieties we're dealing with N = 4This is our index into the selection (or testing) matrix ISEL = 0 For all possible combinations of two varieties...

		DO I = 1, N-1 DO J = I+1, N
с		Figure the selector into our classifier arrays ISEL = ISEL + 1
с		Clear these variables for summation DISTSQ(1) = 0. DISTSQ(2) = 0.
с		Compute distances from here to means DO IDIM = 1, CLASSN(ISEL) DTEMP = RADIAL(CLASSA(IDIM,ISEL),
	8 8 8	CLASSM(IDIM,ISEL),CLASSV(IDIM,ISEL)) - MEAN (CLASSA(IDIM,ISEL),I, CLASSM(IDIM,ISEL),CLASSV(IDIM,ISEL)) DISTSQ(1) = DISTSQ(1) + DTEMP*DTEMP
	8 8 8	DTEMP = RADIAL(CLASSA(TOIM,ISEL), CLASSW(TOIM,ISEL),CLASSV(TDIM,ISEL)) - MEAN (CLASSA(TOIM,ISEL),J, CLASSW(TOIM,ISEL),J, DISTSQ(2) = DISTSQ(2) + DTEMP*DTEMP ENDDO
с		Here, add 1.0 to TALLY(?) that is closer IF (DISTSQ(1).LT.DISTSQ(2)) THEN TALLY(1) = TALLY(1) + 1 IF (IVAR.EQ.J) WRITE (*.1080) IKERN.' failed '.l.J
1080		FORMAT(' ',13,A,11,':',11) ELSE TALLY(J) = TALLY(J) + 1 IF (IVAR.EQ.1) WRITE (*,1080) IKERN,' failed ',1,J ENDIF
		ENDDO
С		Pick the largest as the vinner WINNER = 1 DO   = 2, 4 IF (TALLY(I).GT.TALLY(WINNER)) WINNER = 1 ENDOD
C C		See if we chose the right variety by checking it against the filename If WINRER, E.C. IVAD THEN CORRECT = CORRECT = 1 FOR THE THE CORRECT = 1 FOR THE THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE FOR THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE FOR THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE FOR THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE FOR THE CONTROL OF THE FOR THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL
1081		WRITE (*,1081) IKERN,(TALLY(I),1=1,4) FORMAT(',1,15,5%,415) IF (TALLY(WINNER).EQ.3) W3 = W3 + 1 ENDIF
С		Keep track of this stuff

```
TSOLN(IVAR, TALLY(1)+1, TALLY(2)+1, TALLY(3)+1, TALLY(4)+1) =
     2
            TSOLN(IVAR, TALLY(1)+1, TALLY(2)+1, TALLY(3)+1, TALLY(4)+1) + 1
            Keep track of the number that we tried to classify
            TOTPOS = TOTPOS + 1
          ENDDO
200
          DO IVIEW = 1, VIEWMX
            DO IMETH = 1, METHMX
              CLOSE(UN(IMETH, IVIEW, IVAR))
            ENDDO
          ENDDO
        ENDDO
        Tell us our stats
        WRITE (*.*) ! !
        DO 1 = 1, 4
          DO J = 1. 4
            DO K = 1, 4
              DO L = 1, 4
                1F ((1+J+K+L-4),E0.6) THEN
                  WRITE (*,1090) 1-1, J-1, K-1, L-1,
                                  (TSOLN(M.1.J.K.L).M=1.4)
1090
                  FORMAT( * *.412.2X.414)
                ENDIF
              ENDDO
            ENDDO
          ENDDO
        ENDDO
        WRITE (*.*) ! !
        WRITE (*,*) CORRECT, ' correct of', TOTPOS, ' possible'
        WRITE (*,*) 'Percent correct is:',FLOAT(CORRECT)/FLOAT(TOTPOS)
        WRITE (*.*) 'Perfect 3.0s were: ',C3,' right',W3,' wrong'
9000
       CONTINUE
       ' END
```

CLASSIFICATION OF WHEAT KERNELS BY MACHINE-VISION MEASUREMENT

by

## TERRY EUGENE SCHMALZRIED

B. S., Kansas State University, 1983

AN ABSTRACT OF A MASTER'S THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

It is not always possible for a grain inspector to correctly grade a shipment of wheat because many varieties are virtually indistinguishable from one another. But, it is important to the consumer that the wheat be classified correctly because of the vastly different milling and baking characteristics of some of these varieties. This paper describes a machine-vision system that was designed to analyze wheat and find ways to classify it on the kernel level.

The paper is divided into several sections. First, kernel characteristics used by grain inspectors to identify wheat varieties are discussed. Second, algorithms are explained for obtaining measurements of the wheat kernels, using those measurements to classify other wheat kernels, and selecting a minimal set of them to use as discriminators between varieties. Methods described include thresholding, object detection, edge tracking, internal region determination, insuring externality of the edge contour, centroids, and principal axes. Classification methods include minimum-distance nearest-neighbor, and an elective variant of the minimum-distance classifier. The discriminator set was chosen through exhaustive comparison of each measurement's classification results. Finally, results and conclusions are given.

Pour varieties of wheat were used to test the machine-vision system. Two of them, Arkan and Arthur, are often confused by grain inspectors because of their similiar appearance, although one is a hard wheat and the other is a soft wheat. Using a 400kernel sample set (100 kernels of each variety) and a multipledimensional classifier, 944 of the set was correctly classified by variety and 96.255 by hardness. A four-dimensional classifier properly classified 97.5% of a mixture of only Arkan and Arthur kernels. These percentages were determined using the same sample set to train the classifier and to test it, so the results are probably higher than they would be if a different test set were used. But, if additional features are considered, high classification rates could probably be achieved for even larger numbers of varieties. Additional features to consider are discussed at the end of the report.