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## INTRODUCTION

Scheduling is the final stage in production planning, the stage at which all the production activities are coordinated and projected onto a time scale. A typical manufacturing plant may have a number of machines or facilities. At any given time, there could be a number of jobs or commodities that must be processed on the various facilities. Thus it would be necessary to prepare a schedule or a time table that would specify what job a facility should process at any given time. In the ideal situation, all facilities would be kept busy all the time. Also, to deliver the completed jobs at the earliest date, a facility should be available as soon as the job is ready for processing on that facility. Obviously, these two requirements are almost never met. Satisfying one of the requirements does not necessarily satisfy the other requirement. Only in the overall ideal situation would both of the conditions be achieved simultaneously. If there are three machines $A$, $B$ and $C$, and only one job which all the three machines have to process; it is quite clear that machines $B$ and $C$ must be kept idle during the time the job is being processed on machine $A$. Thus it would not be possible to meet the first requirement. Again, if there are three jobs $A, B$ and $C$, and only one facility on which all the three jobs are to be processed; and if only one job can be processed at any given time; it is quite clear that jobs $B$ and $C$ must wait their curn if job $A$ is being processed, making it rather impossible to meet the second require-
ment. Thus there is a problem; when and how to assign jobs and machines to obtain an overall optimum? Such a problem is known as an industrial scheduling problem.

Industrial scheduling problems are quite complex and diverse. This is so, because a plant may have hundreds of facilities on which thousands of jobs have to be processed. The number of possible alternatives in this scheduling problem is very large. That alternative which minimizes the total time required to complete all the jobs and hence minimizes the idle time and maximizes the utilization of facilities can be said to be optimal with the total elapsed time as the criterion. Besides these, there could be other criteria for optimizing the scheduling problem. Some of these could be, producing the product with minimum total cost per unit, minimizing the combined set-up cost of machines and inventory carrying cost or minimizing the in-process inventory. An optimal solution can always be obtained by enumeration but if there are just five jobs and five machines and any order is satisfactory, the possible sequences are approximately $25,000,000,000$ (i.e. $5!^{5}$ ), thus enumeration becomes impractical.

## Different types of scheduling:

Industrial scheduling problems can be broadly divided into Project Scheduling and Machine Scheduling. Project scheduling is concerned with the analysis of various phases of a project. Program Evaluation Review Technique (PERT) and Critical Path Method (CPM) are the two well known techniques of project
scheduling. Machịne scheduling can be subdivided into (i) Flow shop type of scheduling (ii) Job shop type of scheduling and (iii) Intermittent type of scheduling.

In the flow shop type of scheduling, the production is continuous and all the jobs necessarily have the same technological requirements - i.e. they all follow the same route through the machines. A problem of this kind is often referred to as the 'book-binding problem' (Bellman), the 'assembly line balancing problem' (Heller), or the 'flow shop problem' (Ignall). When the route is the same, all jobs flow in the same direction.

In job shop scheduling, the plant or a portion of a plant is viewed as a collection of various facilities. A shop might have ten lathes, six grinders, two drill presses and three milling machines. Some of the jobs may require processing on only lathes and grinders while a few jobs must go through all the four machines by the same or different routes. Here the jobs usually do not flow in the same direction. Also, the jobs are seldom repeated. Job shop scheduling could be treated as a special case of project scheduling with limited facilities, thus PERT and CPM techniques can be applied here too. The intermittent type of production lies in between the above two. The products are produced in a batch and they may not have the same technological requirements. After one batch is produced, the same facilities may be used for manufacturing some other products. After a set interval of time, the production cycle is repeated. The manufacturing problem to be dealt with, in this report, is of this type.

## MANUFACTURING PROBLEM

The manufacturing problem, to be considered here, is to schedule the production of a factory producing incandescent pressure lanterns. Figures 1 and 2. The lanterns are produced in a batch; the facilities being used for other purpose till the time for producing the next batch. Manufacturing these lanterns involves mainly press work. Most of the parts are made from brass sheets and the rest from steel sheets. The facilities are to be scheduled for this production. It is known that the requirements are uniform; and that manufacturing could be done to stock. Also, the available productive hours on each machine, the time it takes to manufacture each part (including set up time), the cost of set up and the technological requirements for each part are known. The problem, then, is to determine order quantities for each part, so that the production requirements are met and the combined cost of set-ups and inventory carrying is minimized. After the quantities are determined, a detailed schedule is to be made.

There are various methods available for solving this type of problem. However, if the requirements are not uniform with time it can be shown (Vazsonyi) that the problem leads to a very large nonlinear, mathematical programming problem. This nonlinear programming problem presents such a formidable proposition that it is not yet practical to develop a general solution. Nevertheless, it is possible to obtain a solution to



When ordering spare-parts, plasse alway3 state the number of the part roquimd and also the anadle powor of your lantern.

this problem which meets the requirements and stays within available production capacities but which does not necessarily minimize combined inventory and set up costs. Since, in our case, the requirements are uniform, the use of an economic-lot-size formula would minimize the combined set-up and carrying costs.

The word manual in the title of the report means that a computer and/or other aigebraic methods will not be used for arriving at the solution; however, mechanical means such as Gantt chart will be used for scheduling the production in detail after the batch size is optimized by the application of economic analysis.

The data for the problem is:
Monthly requirement $=2200$ units
Monthly working days $=24$ (i.e. 30 days -4 Sundays 4(1/2 Saturdays))
hence , Monthly working hours $=24 \times 8=192$ hours.
Number of set-up men $=2$
Number of workers $=30$
Wages for set-up men = Rs. $9 /$ day $=$ Rs.* $1.125 / \mathrm{hr}$.
Wages for workers $=$ Rs. $6 /$ cay $=$ Rs. $0.75 / \mathrm{hx}$.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Inventory carrying } \\ & \text { cost rate }\end{aligned}=20 \%^{+}$
Overhead cost (based
on running time) $=$ Rs. 5.75/unit
*Rs., abbreviation for Rupees - Indian currency; present exchange rate at Rs. 7.50 per dollar.
${ }^{+}$An estimate of the inventory carrying cost rate was not available from the factory concerred, the figure of $20 \%$ was assumed on the basis of the general U. S. literature.

List of facilities (machines) available, components manufactured operation route sheets and machine operation break-down are given in Appendix A.

## APPROACH

The theory of multi-product batch size determination proves to be very helpiul in cases where the final product is an assembly made of several components, which must also be produced on a batch basis. The components involve different setup costs, material costs, production rates, etc. If each component were considered on its own, each would have its corresponding optimal batch size, and in most cases, these optimal values would be different for the different components; furthermore, they would be different from the optimal batch size for the final product. Moreover, this approach does not take into account the effects of individual products on each other and on the production schedule. This could easily lead to complications in the production schedules and result in a cumbersome inventory control system.

These difficulties are greatly alleviated by the use of the theory of multi-product batch size determination. The consumption rate, ${ }^{a}{ }_{c}$, of the final product is, in fact, the rate at which the components are required for the assembly line, namely,

$$
a_{c}=a_{c_{1}}=a_{c_{2}}=a_{c_{3}}=\ldots=a_{c_{i}}=\ldots
$$

where $a_{C_{i}}$ is the consumption rate of the $i t h$ component in units per unit time (a unit of a component is defined here as the number of pieces required per one final assembly). But as the consumption period $T c$ is the same for all components, it follows that the quantities are all the same:

$$
Q=Q_{1}=Q_{2}=Q_{3}=\ldots=Q_{i}=\ldots
$$

where $Q$ is the batch size of the final product and $Q_{i}$ is the batch size of component i. The term quantity in this case prescribes the number of units required per $Q$ units of the final product. Thus, if two pieces, say, of component 4 are required for each assembly, and if $Q=1000$ units, then $Q_{4}=1000$ units $=2000$ pieces.

The procedure for determining the batch size for the whole production schedule would be as follows: Since the requirements form a uniform demand, the application of an economic-lot-size formula to each component manufactured would give an optimal batch size by minimizing the combined cost of set-up and inventory, only for that component being consiciered on its own. The formula for optimal batch size (Eilon, page 232) is,

$$
Q_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{2 a_{c} s}{I(I+\gamma)+2 B}}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{m}}=\text { Optimal batch size } \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{c}}=\text { Consumption rate per unit time } \\
& \mathrm{S}=\text { Set up cost/batch } \\
& I=\text { Inventory carrying cost for one piece/unit time } \\
& r=\text { Ratio of consumption rate to production rate } \\
& B=\text { Average storage cost/unit of time }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the production rate would be very high when compared to the consumption rate, so the ratio $a_{c} / a_{p}=\gamma$ is very small, hence can be neglected.

Again, storage costs are fixed (for this particular case) and so do not depend upon the batch size. Thus the storage cost can be ignored also. These assumptions reduce the formula to,

$$
Q_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{2 a_{c} s}{I}}
$$

Table 1 (Appendix B) shows the optimal batch size for each component when each is considered on its own. The optimal batch size for the final product is 895 units. In addition to batch size optimization for individual products, it is desirable to seek an optimal solution for the whole production schedule. Of the different optimization criteria available for measuxing the effectiveness of the whole production schedule, the two most important and useful criteria are, 'Inaximum
return' (return is defined as the ratio of profit to cost of production) and 'Maximum rate of return' (rate of return is defined as the ratio of profit to cost of production per unit time). A high rate of return implies that stocks should be kept at a low level and replenished at short intervais of time by small batches. These batches would be smaller than the minimum cost batches. The maximum return criterion gives a larger batch size than the former criterion-and this increased batch size could lead to improved production methods and hence reduction in costs. It is felt that this criterion should be used if the optimal batch size for the whole production schedule comes out to be lower than the total monthly requirements.

Using 'Maximum Return' as the criterion, the optimal batch size for each component is given by (Eilon, page 372),
where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{i M}=g_{i} Q_{i m} \\
& g_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma S / S}{\Sigma K_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2} / K_{i}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $\quad Q_{i M}=$ Optimal batch size of ith component when the effects of individual products on each other and on the production schedule is taken into account (by Maximum Return criterion).
$Q_{i m}=$ Optimal batch size of ith component when each is considered on its own.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}}= & \text { Set-up cost of ith component } \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{i}}= & \text { Carrying cost factor for ith component which } \\
& \text { in our case is, } \mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{i}} / 2 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}= & \text { Ratio of consumption rate of ith component to } \\
& 1 \text { st component }=1 \text { (in our case) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q_{i m}$ for all the components is given in Table 2. Obviously for final product $\Omega_{i M}=Q_{i m}=895$.

Thus each component has two optimal batch sizes, one when each is considered on its own and the second, when the whole production schedule is optimized. It can be seen that these two batch sizes axe not compatible, since the schedule optimization sets a rigid relationship between the quantities of the various products included in the scheaule, and the quanticy ratios are determined by the relative rates of demand and not by the cost parameters that play an important role in optimal batch size determination when each product is analyzed individually. It is also quite clear that neither approach can afford to disregard the other, and in order to reconcile the two, a compromise sclution must be worked out in the form of a realistic schedule. This is where the production range becomes useful, since it specifies the allowabie deviations fror the individual optimums and thereby provides a certain amount of flexibility in the construction of schedule.

The concept of production range could be explained as follows. The total production cost per piece function has a
quadratic form; i.e. it is double valued, thus any increase in the total production costs above the total minimum costs corresponds to two batch sizes on either side of $Q_{m}$ (minimum total costs/piece batch size) as shown in Figure 3. Thus in Figure $3, Q_{I}$ and $Q_{I I}$ represent two batch sizes, one on either side of $Q_{m}$, which could be produced when a certain percentage increase in total production costs above the minimum is allowed. The range between the two limits, $Q_{I}$ and $Q_{I I}$, is called the production range. The total production costs for any batch size selected anywhere within the production range would not cause an increase in production costs above a predetermined value.

A production range may be likened to dimensional tolerances on engineering components. A certain batch size may be considered the best target that should be aimed at, but in practice it may be impossible to obtain a satisfactory production schedule consisting of ideal batches only. Before determining the production range, it is necessary to define (Eilon, page 243) a nondimensional ratio $p$ as follows:

$$
\mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\text { variable costs/unit }}{\text { minimum variable costs/unit }}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}= & \text { Total cost/unit } \\
c= & \text { Constant cost/unit } \\
y_{\mathrm{In}}= & \text { Minimum total cost/unit, i.e. the total cost/unit } \\
& \text { when the optimum batch size is produced. }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3. The Production Range

The ratio $p$ is a measure of increase in costs above the minimum. To introduce some flexibility in the selection of batch size, it is necessary to allow a certain increase in costs above the minimum. The value of $p$ is higher than $l$ and the extreme values of the production range is given by the following two equations (Eilon, page 244),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{I}=Q_{m}\left(p-\sqrt{p^{2}-I}\right) \\
& Q_{I I}=Q_{m}\left(p+\sqrt{p^{2}-I}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q_{I}$ and $Q_{I I}$ are the lower and higher values of the production range respectively.

A significant feature of the above equations is the amount of flexibility that results, even with a small increase in variable costs; and of course, for a particular percent increase in variable costs the percent increase in total production costs would be even smaller.

It is felt that a 5 percent increase in variable costs above the minimum for each component should give sufficiently wide production range to work with but for some parts, a 10 percent increase in variable costs above the minimum may be necessaxy to avoid trouble in selecting the batch size. The production range for both percentage increases is shown in Table 3. The production range for the final product is about 654 to 1223 for $p=1.05$ and about 515 to 1392 units for $p=$ 1.10.

The next step is to select a quantity $Q$ (batch size), that would fall within the production range of the final product and within the respective ranges of most of the components. The selection of a batch size $Q$ that lies within the limits of the production range of the final product ensures that the costs per unit will remain below a predetermined level, while the uniformity of batch size for all the components greatly simplifies production planning and scheduling procedures.

The selected batch size should be between 654 and 1223 units as that is the production range of the final product for $p=1.05$. A look at Table 3 shows that the $Q=900$ lies within $5 \%$ production range of about 15 components and other two components can also be made in this batch size if their production range is increased to $10 \%$. There are three components for which 900 is too large a batch to be within their production ranges. They can be made in two lots of 450 each every cycle. The reason for their lot size being smaller than other components is that their ratio of set-up cost to constant cost (Table 1) is very low when compared to the other components. A lower ratio gives a lower optimal batch size which results in a lower production range. However, since the batch size is 900 units, there has to be these many units of all components during the final assembly. The idea of making the required quantity in two lots does not look economical, in the sense that set-up cost would be doubled whereas the reasonable saving in interest carrying cost would occur only if the two lots are produced
far apart, i.e. one at the beginning of the cycle and the other near the end of the cycle. Because of this, these three components should also be produced in a batch size of 900. It is also found from Table 3 that batch size of 900 is too small for some parts which are made from steel sheets. The reason for their large batch-sizes is obvious. For these components, the ratio of set-up cost to constant cost (Table l) is vexy high. The eight components for which batch size of 2700 looks suitable, could be treated with a long cycle approach, i.e. they could be made only once in every three cycles. Thus, there would be 2 cycles - one short and the other long. In the short cycle, only those components which have a batch size of 900 will be manufactured. In the long cycle, besides all the components which are produced in the short cycle (in the batch size of 900), the remaining eight components will be manufactured in the batch size of 2700. The long cycle will come once after every two short cycles.

The next step in the scheduling is to make a time table (schedule) that will show in detail at what time which machine is to be set up for processing a particular operation of a particular component, when the operation will be started and when will it be over. The manual technique commonly used to complete all of the activities within the specified time making the best possible utilization of men and machines is to draw a Gantt chart (Clark). A Gantt chart has proved a valuable tool in scheduling when several parts are produced
at the same time. This chart clearly depicts who is responsible for any piece of work, when it is to be completed and how long it will take. Fundamentally, a Gantt chart is an operating chart in that it furnishes information for action. It shows the amount of work scheduled for each machine. The operations of all of the components are plotted on the time scale with respect to the machines on which they are performed. The starting and completion times of each operation are clearly shown.

Although it is not difficult to draw the chart, it does not give an optimum schedule. It is only one of the many feasible solutions. However, with reasonable care in plotting the chart, considerable saving in time can be affected. Benefits are many as mentioned above. The Gantt chart has a limitation in that any error in the calculations and posting are hard to detect and are apt to be cumulative. ence an exror is detected, rectification may necessitate the revision of the Gantt Chart.

With reference to the particular manufacturing problem, the following assumptions are made in drawing the Gantt chaxt: A. Assumptions regarding the machines:

1. No machine, except furnaces, may process more than one job at any given time. The furnaces, because of their operating characteristics, may process any nunber of jobs at any given time, the only restriction being their size.
2. Once an opexation is started on a machine, it must be performed till it is completed on that machine.

## B. Assumptions regarding the jobs:

1. Any particular operation of a job may be processed by two or more similar machines at any given time provided the tooling cost is low (considering the later restriction, only spinning or polishing machines could be used simultaneously to perform the same operation of a job).
2. Consecutive operations of any job may be carried on simultaneously on different machines at any given time, i.e. over-lapping of operations is allowed. The maximum over-lapping would be restricted as follows:
(i) if the following operation is slower, it will not start earlier than fifteen minutes after the starting of the previous operation and
(ii) if the following operation is faster, it will not end earlier than fifteen minutes after the ending of the previous operation.
3. Each job must go through a number of machines in a specified order. This is known as 'technological requirement' or the 'routing'.
C. Assumptions regarding the processing times:
4. A known finite time is required to perform an operation. This is known as the processing time.
5. Processing times are deterministic. Thus there are no random or uncertain elements.
6. Processing times are independent. This implies independent of each other, and indepencent of the order in which the operations are performed.
7. The time required to transport the jobs between machines is assumed to be negligible or is included in the processing times.

## D. Other assumptions:

1. The set-up time on each machine is known and the machine can be set up even before the job is ready to be served by that particular facility.
2. The maximum number of set up men available at any time is 2.
3. Furnace capacity would be limited to 600 units for big components such as hood, chimney seat and tank. Since other components are comparatively sinall, the furnace capacity would not be restricted for them.
4. Any annealing operation would take 4 hours.
5. Parts cannot be put in the furnace in the middle of its working cycle.
6. Total work force would be 30 , including 2 set up mer and 6 workers for hand operations.

Nomenclature and symbols used in Gantt chart:
On the Gantt chart a division of space represents both an amount of time and an amount of work to be done in that time. Thus, equal divisions of space on a single horizontal line
represents at the same time, equal divisions of time and varying amounts of work scheduled. On the Gantt chart, given in Appendix $C$, one division of space on the time scale represents eight hours. On the left side of the Gantt chart all the machines plus 6 workers (for operations to be done by hand) are listed.

On the Gantt chart the hour when work is to begin is indicated by a right angle opening to the right, thus -

The hour on which work is to be completed is indicated by an angle opening to the left, thus -


The two angles are joined at the bottom by a straight line to show the amount of work scheduled, thus -


The components are numbered 1 to 32 as shown in Appendix I. The operations under each component are also serially numbered. The component, operation number and the number of units are entered into the Gantt chart, thus -


This would mean 450 units of component number 14 (which is the hood) and operation number 10 (which is lst spinning)
are scheduled in this block. Since this operation is to be done on a spinning machine, it would be shown against that machine. Absence of any figure after the component and operation number indicates that the component would be processed in a batch size of 900.

Any component number with an astexisk would mean that this particular component has been carried over from the previous cycle. This component, because of its long processing time, could not be finished in the cycle in which it was started.

The set-up operation on any machine is shown by the hatched lines between the angles and by the prefix $s$ to the component and operation number.

Difficulties presented by non-uniform batch sizes in drawing the Gantt chart:

At first, an attempt was made to draw the Gantt chart with the short and long cycle approach. A Gantt chart for long cycle was considered first. The following difficulties were encountered due to non-uniform batch sizes:
(1.) With batch size of 2700 for eight components, the cycle time becomes quite long and on the chart it becomes difficult to keep track of the different components.
(2) Total processing time on the small ball press and the 40 ton press is very high when compared to the other machines. If the small ball press is worked continuously, even with a high efficiency factor of

90\%, it would be busy for about 250 hours in the long cycle. This makes the long cycle awfully long (about 1.33 months) and the distribution of working load on other machines very uneven.

To utilize the time more effectively, the short cycle should start before the long cycle has ended. However, this difference in batch sizes and overlapping of two cycles causes too many complications in the scheduling procedure. This does not mean that scheduling could not be done on the optimal batch sizes but to avoid these scheduling complications, it was decided to give up this long and short cycle approach in favor of all the components having a uniform batch size of 900 units. Since 900 units also lie in the $5 \%$ production range of the final product, the total cost per unit should not increase more than $5 \%$ above the minimum cost, i.e. when all the components are produced at uniform batch size of 900 than when they are produced at respective optimal batch sizes as found before. The Gantt chart for the problem is shown in Arpendix C. Since the monthly requirement is 1200 units and the batch size is 900 , it is clear that new production cycle should start at least after every $3 / 4$ th of a month or 144 hours. The two components, chimney seat and hood, have very long processing times. If these two components are always given priority over the remaining components and if all of the operations were placed end to end, i.e. as soon as one operation is over, the
next one is started, the chimney seat would take 231 hours and 30 minutes, whereas the hood would take 208 hours and 45 min utes (for 900 units). Somehow, these operations have to be compressed in the total processing times to a maximum limit of 144 hours. This necessitated the overlapping of consecutive operations, i.e. two or more consecutive operations were performed simultaneously instead of waiting for one operation to be over before the next one is started. This worked alright with the chimney seat but even with the maximum of overlapping, the hood could not be processed completely within the stipulated time. Again, some difficulties arose with the component 'tank', too. Though its processing time is only 80 hours and $30 \mathrm{~min}-$ utes (for 900 units), machines could not be made available at the right time, thus at the end of 144 hours it was still in the processing stage. Moreover, soldering, plating and assembly operations were left unfinished too. However, the required machines were idle in the beginning portion of the cycle. Consequently, this difficulty was overcome by running two cycles concurrently - beginning a portion of the new cycle and completing a later portion of the previous cycle. Thus the first batch of 900 units would come out at the end of the first 288 hours but then each batch would come out every 144 hours.

A graph was dxawn (Appendix D) to show the labor force requirement at the beginning of every hour. This shows that the maximum number of workers (including the set-up men) re-
quired are twenty three and the minimum eight. The personnel requirements vary quite a few times - the valleys and mountains suggesting that these requirements should be levelled by some means. The theoretical total running time per unit of final product is about 2.2219 hours (two hours thirteen minutes and eighteen seconds) whereas the actual total running time comes to about 2.25 hours. These two figures match well enough, thus 900 units would require 2025 man-hours which can be achieved with fifteen men.

Two other graphs were drawn (Appendix D) to show the relationship between time and the number of workers required for hand operations and set-up men. The requirements for hand operations varied from zero workers to a maximum of six workers and for set-up men from zero to two. The approximate man-hours required are 659 and 160 respectively. For this, a maximum of four workers should be assigned to hand operations instead of the present six. As for the set-up men, though theoretically only one set up man would be sufficient, it appears that more machines would be idle because of unavailability of the setoup men at the required time; if the machines are not ready at the proper time, the result would be more ide time and less production. Hence, it would be desirable to use two set-up men.

This Gantt chart does not have any provision for making up the lost time (and hence lost production) if the schedule breaks down any time. This could hapeen if any machine breaks down or if the raw material is not available at the required
time or there is labor absentism or power failure or any other reason which could result in lost production. The only way to get back on schedule is to make up the lost production by working over time.

Since there is quite a deal of overlapping of operations, considerable material handling would be involved. However, the transportation time is assumed negligible or is supposed to be included in the processing times. Nevertheless, if required, one man would be able to do all the material handing. This Gantt chart does not present an optimal solution. It only gives one of the feasible solutions. However it could be taken as a first step in scheduling the production of the factory concerned. In conclusion the following modifications could be suggested to improve the scheduling.
(1) At any time not more than fifteen workers should be working. This restriction would level the working force requirement more or less and help achieve the same production with a considerable saving in wages.
(2) Restricting the number of workers employed for hand operations to four would also help toward normalizing the personnel requixement.
(3) At present the total cycle time is 144 hours and two cycles are processed simultaneously. By increasing the total cycle time, the fluctuations in labor requirements can be diminished. However, this approach will increase the number of cycles moving concurrently and hence the in-process inventory.
(4) In the present schedule, very few persons are working toward the tail of the cycle. An attempt should be made to displace the tail to the left. This would complete the cycle sooner than is done now with a resultant saving in production time. Conversely more units could be produced in the same time.
(5) Instead of reducing the work force, another alternative could be to fill up the valleys by scheduling another product at that time.

APPENDIX A

| Serial Number | Name of Machine | Number Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 90 Ton Press | 1 |
| 2 | Furnace | 3 |
| 3 | Spinning Machine | 2 |
| 4 | Trimming Machine | 1 |
| 5 | Big Ball Press | 1 |
| 6 | Stamping Machine | 1 |
| 7 | 40 Ton Press | 1 |
| 8 | Production Iathe | 1 |
| 9 | Small Ball Press | 1 |
| 10 | 20 Ton Press | 1. |
| 11 | Spot Welding Machine | 1 |
| 12 | 15 Ton Press | 1 |
| 13 | Polishing Machine | 2 |
| 14 | Auto-punchirig Machine | 1 |
| 15 | Grinding Machine | 1 |
| 16 | Small Dri.lling Machine | 1 |
| 17 | 5 Ton Press | 1. |
| 18 | 32 Ton Press | 1 |
| 19 | Special Bail Press | 1 |
| 20 | Capstan Lathes | 6 |
| 21 | Wire Straightening Machine | 1 |
| 22 | Shearing Machine | 1 |
| 23 | Special Jig and Fixure | 1 |
| 24 | Special Die | 1 |
| 25 | Soldering Section |  |
| 26 | Plating Section |  |
| 27 | Assembly Section |  |

Seri.al Number

1
2
3

4

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
1.8

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Name of Component
Tank
Tank Bottom
Pump Barrel
Inner Case
Air Pocket
Air Pocket Chip
Chimney Seat
Piston Rod
Piston Ring
Catch Stud
Hood Cap
Top Ring
Guide Rod
Hood
Holding Disc
Iron Disc for Hood
Spirit Cup
Spirit Chip
Catch Screw
Valve seat
Center Socket (Upper End)
Center Socket (Lower End)
Handle
Pump Cap
Heating Coil Top (Upper End)
Heating Coil Top (Lower End)
Heating Coil Bottom Pipe
Heating Coil
Hex Nut
Nozzle Holder
Filler Mouth
Tee Piece

| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | Tank |  | Hr. Min. |
| 1 | Circle Cutting | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 2 | Forming | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 2A. | Annealing | Furnace | - | -- - |
| 3 | Forming | 9.0 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 4 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - - |
| 5 | Spinning (lst) | Spinning Machine | 30 | 045 |
| 6 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - - |
| 7 | Spinning (2nd) | Spinning Machine | 30 | 045 |
| 8 | Trimming | Trimming Machine | 30 | 045 |
| 9 | Center Punching | Big Eall Press | 30 | 100 |
| 10 | Filler Mouth Punching | Big Ball Press | 30 | 100 |
| 11 | Pump Barrel Punching | Big Ball Press | 30 | 100 |
| 12 | Bidding for Pump Barrel | Big Ball Press | 30 | 100 |
| 13 | Bidding for Filler Mouth | Big Ball Press | 30 | 100 |
| 13A | Name on tank | Stamping Machine | 20 | 230 |
|  |  | Total | 278 | $14 \quad 15$ |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Tank Bottom Hr. Min. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Circle Cutting | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| 2 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 1.5 |
| 3 | Trimming | Trimming Machine | 30 | 0 | 45 |
|  |  | Total | 44 | 3 | 15 |
| 3. Pump Barrel |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Cut to size | Production Lathe | 15 | 0 | 30 |
| 2 | Threading | Production Lathe | 20 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  | Total | 35 | 1 | 00 |
| 4. Inner Case |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Circle Cutting | 40 Ton Press | 12 | 1 | 15 |
| 2 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| 3 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| 4 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| 5 | Hammering | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| 6 | Trimming | Small Ball Press | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| 7 | Top Cutting leaving center portion as it is | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 8 | 3 Hole punching on top | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 9 | 2 Hole punching on top | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hr | Min. |
| 10 | Half bidding for Mixing Tube | 40 Ton Press | 8 | 1 | 15 |
| 11 | Full bidding for Mixing Tube | 40 Ton Press | 8 | 1 | 15 |
| 1.2 | Air pocket Punching | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| 13 | Side Notching (lst) | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1. | 15 |
| 14 | Side Notching (2nd) | 40 Ton Press | 7 | 1 | 15 |
|  |  | Total | 137 | 17 | 00 |
| 5. Air Pocket |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking | 20 Ton Press | 15 | 1 | 30 |
| 2 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 3 | Forming | 20 Ton Press | 15 | 1 | 30 |
| 4 | Hammering | Small Ball Press | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 5 | Cup Bending | Small Ball Press | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 6 | Trimming <br> All Sides | 20 Ton Press | 15 | 1 | 30 |
| 7 | Hole on top | 15 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 30 |
| 8 | Hole in bottom | Small Ball Press | 15 | 0 | 45 |
| 9 | Bidding in Bottom Hole | Small Ball Press | 15 | 0 | 45 |
| 10 | Air pocket to be welded on inner casing on 4 sides | Spot Welding Machine | 90 | - | - |
|  |  | Total | 275 | 10 | 15 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Air Pocket Chip Hr. Min. |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking | 15 Ton Press | 10 | 130 |
| 2 | lst hole | 15 Ton Press | 8 | 130 |
| 3 | 2nd hole | 15 Ton Press | 8 | 130 |
| 4 | 3rd hole | 15 Ton Press | 8 | 130 |
| 5 | Chip to be spotwelded on Air | Spot Welding Machine | 60 | - - |
|  | Pocket | Total | 94 | 600 |
| 7. Chimney Seat |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Circle marking and cutting | By hand | 120 | - - |
| 2 | Forming (lst) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 3 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - |
| 4 | Forming (2nd) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 5 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - - |
| 6 | Forming (3rd) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 7 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - - |
| 8 | Forming (4th) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 130 |
| 9 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - |
| 10 | Spinning (lst) | Spinning Machine | 75 | 045 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hr | Min. |
| 11 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 12 | Spinning (2nd) | Spinning Machine | 90 | 0 | 45 |
| 13 | Body Trimming | Trimming Machine | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| 14 | Half Bidding | Trimming Machine | 50 | 0 | 45 |
| 15 | Full Bidding | Trimming Machine | 50 | 0 | 45 |
| 16 | Acid Wash | By Hand | 45 | - | - |
| 17 | Knife Polishing | Polishing Machine | 120 | 0 | 15 |
| 18 | Roller on Body | Trimming Machine | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| 19 | Bottom Punching | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 20 | Bottom Bidding | Small Ball Press | 20 | 0 | 45 |
| 21 | 4 holes for guide rod | Small Ball Press | 25 | 0 | 45 |
| 22 | 25 small air holes | Auto-punching Machine | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| 23 | Hole for spirit | Small Ball Press | 15 | 0 | 45 |
| 24 | 9 air holes at bottom | Auto-punching Machine | 12 | 0 | 45 |
| 25 | Tee Piece hole | Small Ball Press | 20 | 0 | 45 |
| 26 | Tee Piece hole bidding | Small Ball Press | 20 | 0 | 45 |



| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min. |
| 6 | Filing | By hand | 30 | - | - |
| 7 | lst Drilling | Small drilling machine | 10 | 0 | 30 |
| 8 | 2nd Drilling | Small drilling machine | 10 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  | Total | 190 | 2 | 00 |
| 11. Hood Cap |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Circle marking and cutting | By hand | 45 | - | - |
| 2 | Forming (lst) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 1 | 30 |
| 3 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 4 | Forming (2nd) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 1 | 30 |
| 5 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 6 | Spinning | Spinning Machine | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| 7 | Trimming | Trimming Machine | 25 | 0 | 45 |
| 8 | Biading | Trimming Machine | 20 | 0 | 45 |
| 9 | Acid wash | By hand | 20 | - | - |
| 10 | Knife polishing (Inside and Outside) | Polishing Machine | 50 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  | Total | 202 | 5 | 30 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. Top Ring Hr. Min. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Circle Marking and Cutting | By hand | 50 | - | - |
| 2 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 3 | Center Punching | 40 Ton Press | 1.0 | 1 | 15 |
| 4 | Trimming | Trimming Machine | 15 | 0 | 45 |
| 5 | 4 holes for Guide Rod | 15 Ton Press | 15 | 1 | 30 |
| 6 | 2 holes for Catch Stud | Small Drilling Machine | 14 | 0 | 30 |
| 7 | Filing | By hand | 35 | - | - |
| 8 | Acid wash | By hand | 30 | - | - |
| 9 | Knife polishing | Polishing Machine | 40 | 0 | 15 |
| Total 219 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Guide Rod* |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \quad$ Sizing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Cutting | Capstan | 192 | 1 | 00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Threading on one end |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Threading on the other end | eces Total | 192 |  |  |
|  | *All times for \& |  |  | 1 | 00 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14. | Hood |  | Hr . | Min. |
| 1 | Circle Marking and Cutting | By hand | 60 | - | - |
| 2 | Forming (1st) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 1 | 30 |
| 3 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 4 | Forming (2nd) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 1 | 30 |
| 5 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 6 | Forming (3rd) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 1 | 30 |
| 7 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 8 | Forming (4th) | 90 Ton Press | 6 | 1 | 30 |
| 9 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 10 | Spinning (lst) | Spinning Machine | 60 | 0 | 45 |
| 11 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 12 | Spinning (2nd) | Spinning Machine | 60 | 0 | 45 |
| 13 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 14 | Spinning (3rd) | Spinning Machine | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 15 | Trimming | Trimming Machine | 30 | 0 | 45 |
| 16 | Bidaing | Trimming Machine | 25 | 0 | 45 |


| Operation Number | Description of operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hr | Min |
| 17 | lst Notching | Big Ball Press | 15 | 1 | 00 |
| 18 | 2nd Notching | Big Ball Press | 15 | 1 | 00 |
| 19 | Air holes | Auto Punching Machine | 55 | 0 | 45 |
| 20 | Ventilator holes | Big Ball Press | 35 | 1 | 00 |
| 21 | Bidding on vent holes | Big Ball Press | 35 | 1 | 00 |
| 22 | 2 Holes on top | Small drilling machine | 20 | 0 | 30 |
| 23 | Filing of holes | By hand | 20 | - | - |
| 24 | Acid wash | By hand | 60 | - | - |
| 25 | Knife Polish Inside | Polishing Machine | 60 | 0 | 15 |
| 26 | Knife polish Outside | Polishing Machine | 120 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  | Total | 739 | 15 | 30 |
| 15. Holding Disc |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 2 | Forming | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 3 | Center punching | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 4 | Punching holes | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
|  |  | motal | 40 | 5 | 00 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of <br> Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. Iron Disc for Hood Hr. Min. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking and forming | 40 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| 2 | Center hole punching | Small Ball Press | 15 | 0 | 45 |
| . |  | Total | 25 | 2 | 00 |
| 17. Spirit Cup |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking and forming | 20 Ton Press | 15 | 1 | 30 |
| 2 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 3 | Half forming | Small Ball Press | 25 | 0 | 45 |
| 4 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 5 | Full forming | Small Ball Press | 25 | 0 | 45 |
| 6 | Trimming | 20 Ton Press | 15 | 1. | 30 |
|  |  | Total | 80 | 4 | 30 |
| 18. Spirit Chip |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking | Big Ball Press | 15 | 1 | 00 |
| 2 | Punching one hole | Big Ball Press | 15 | 1 | 00 |
| 3 | Soldering of chip on Spirit Cup | By hand | 45 | - | - |
|  | Total |  | 75 | 2 | 00 |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining rime in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19. Catch screw* Hr. Min. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Drill |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Drill |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Knurling |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Groove cutting |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Cutting |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 \quad$Tap on <br> bench-vise$\quad 240$ - <br> 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *All times for 2 pieces Total $420 \quad 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Valve Seat |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Drill |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Tapping |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Airline $\quad$ Capstan $\quad 80 \quad 1045$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Groove |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Polish |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Cutting |  |  |  |  |
| Total 80 1 45 |  |  |  |  |  |



| Operation Number | Description of operation | Name of Machine | achining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23. | Handle |  | Hr. Min. |
| 1 | Straightening | Wire Straightening Machine | 95 | - - |
| 2 | Cutting | Shearing Machine | 5 | - - |
| 3 | Polishing | Polishing Machine | 15 | $0 \quad 15$ |
| 4 | Side Bending | Jig \& Fixture | 20 | - - |
| 5 | Notching in center | Small Ball Press | 30 | 045 |
| 6 | Bending | Jig \& Fixture | 20 | - - |
|  |  | Total | 95 | 100 |
|  | 24. Pump Cap |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking and half forming | 32 Ton Press | 15 | 130 |
| 2 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - - |
| 3 | Full forming | 32 Ton Press | 15 | 130 |
| 4 | Hammering | Small Ball Press | 25 | 045 |
| 5 | Trimming and Threading | Production Lathe | 60 | 030 |
| 6 | Knurling | Production Lathe | 30 | $0 \quad 30$ |
| 7 | Center Punching | 5 Ton Press | 10 | 130 |
| 8 | Notching on top | 15 ron Press | 10 | 130 |
| 9 | Center Funch Bidding | 5 Ton Press | 10 | 130 |
|  |  | Total | 175 | $9 \quad 15$ |




| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30. N | zzle Holder |  | Hr. Min. |  |
| 1 | Sizing |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Cutter |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Drilling |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Threading | Capstan | 110 | 1 | 45 |
| 5 | Cutter | . |  |  |  |
| 6 | Drilling |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Threading |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Surface cleaning | Production Lathe | 45 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  | Total | 155 | 2 | 15 |
| 31. Filler Mouth |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Blanking | 32 Ton Press | 10 | 1. | 30 |
| 2 | Half forming | 32 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 30 |
| 3 | Annealing | Furnace | - | - | - |
| 4 | Full Forming | 32 Ton Press | I0 | 1. | 30 |
| 5 | Hammering | 15 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 30 |
| 6 | Punching on top | 15 Ton Press | 10 | 1 | 30 |
| 7 | Trimming | Production Lathe | 20 | 0 | 30 |



| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hr . | Min. |
| 14 | Filing |  | . |  |  |
| 15 | Emery Polish |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Sizing and Cutting | Capstan | 90 | 1 | 45 |
| 17 | Chog Drill |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Drill |  | . |  |  |
| 19 | Tap |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Drill |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Size Cutter |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Drill |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Drill | Capstan | 120 | 1 | 30 |
| 24 | Scrapping |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Filing |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Groove |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Size Cutter |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Cutter Die |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Chog Drj 11 | Capstan | 1.20 | 1 | 45 |
| 30 | Drill |  |  |  |  |


| Operation Number | Description of Operation | Name of Machine | Machining Time in Seconds | Set up Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hr. Min. |
| 31 | Cutting |  |  |  |
| 32 | Filing |  |  |  |
| 33 | Drilling |  |  |  |
| 34 | Tapping | By hand | 30 | - - |
|  |  | Total | 575 | $9 \quad 00$ |

Tank Soldering:
Soldering of filler mouth, center socket, pump barrel, tank bottom, bidding, second soldering, scrapping of solder Average time: 240 seconds per piece.

## plating:

1. Tank, Chromium plating
2. Chimney seat, Chromium Plating
3. Hood, Chromium plating
4. Pump cap, Chromium plating
5. Holding Disc, Dull plating
6. Iron plate, Dull plating
7. Hood cap, Dull plating
8. Catch stud, Dull plating
9. Catch screw, Dull plating
10. Inner case, Dull plating

Time for 10 units
300 Seconds
330 "
250
120
180
150
130

| 90 | $"$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 90 | $n$ |
| 240 | 11 |

Total 1880 Seconds

Assembly of Vapor Part:
The hex screw is inserted into the heating coil bottom which is fitted to the heating coill top. Heating coil is then assembled to the heating coil top, which is then soldered. Average time

80 Seconds for assembly per piece
40 Seconds for soldering per piece

## Assembly of Light:

Assemble chimney carrier on tank with the help of vapor, spirit cup, holding disc and a long screw. Then assemble inner casing along with the mixing tube, nozzle holder, nozzle and mangle. Then assemble hood and handle, test and pack. Average time for one unit 600 seconds.

## MACHINE OPERATION BREAK-DOWN

1. 90 TON PRESS Set-up time per operation - 1 hour 30 minutes

| Name of Component | Operation Number | Time Per Operation in Seconds | Total Time Per Component in Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tank | 1 | 6 |  |
|  | 2 | 6 |  |
|  | 3 | 6 | 18 |
| Chimney Seat | 2 | 6 |  |
|  | 4 | 6 |  |
|  | 6 | 6 |  |
|  | 8 | 6 | 24 |
| Hood Cap | 2 | 6 |  |
|  | 4 | 6 | 12 |
| Hood | 2 | 6 |  |
|  | 4 | 6 |  |
|  | 6 | 6 |  |
|  | 8 | 6 | 24 |
|  | Total time | the machin | 78 seco |
| 2. FURNACE | Set-up time per | peration - |  |
|  | Time per operat | - 4 hours | minutes |
| Tank | 2A |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
| Chimney Seat | 3 |  |  |



| Name of Component | Operation Number | Time Per Operation in seconds | Total Time Per Component in Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hood Cap | 6 | 30 | 30 |
| Hood | 10 | 60 |  |
|  | 12 | 60 |  |
|  | 14 | 45 | 165 |
|  | Total time for | the machine | - 420 seconds |
| 4. TRIMMING MACHINE | - Set-up time per | peration - | hour 45 minutes |
| Tank | 8 | 30 | 30 |
| Tank Bottom | 3 | 30 | 30 |
| Chimney Seat | 13 | 30 |  |
|  | 14 | 50 |  |
|  | 15 | 50 |  |
|  | 18 | 30 | 160 |
| Hood Cap | 7 | 25 |  |
| - | 8 | 20 | 45 |
| Top Ring | 4 | 15 | 15 |
| Hood | 15 | 30 |  |
|  | 16 | 25 | 55 |
|  | Total time for | the machine | 335 seconds |
| 5. BIG BALL PRESS | Set-up time per | ceration - 1 | hour 0 minutes |
| Tank | 9 | 30 |  |
|  | 10 | 30 |  |
|  | 11 | 30 |  |
|  | 12 | 30 |  |
|  | 13 | 30 | 3.50 |


$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Time Per } \\ \text { Operation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Time } \\ \text { Per Component } \\ \text { in Seconds }\end{array} \\ \text { Name of Component } \\ \text { Operation Number } \\ \text { in Seconds }\end{array}\right)$
Total time for the machine 211 seconds
8. PRODUCTION LATHE Set-up time per operation - 0 hour 30 minutes

Pump Barrel

Pump Cap
5
$1 \quad 15$
$2 \quad \underline{20}$
$6 \quad 30$
8

7
20
Nozzle Holder
Filler Mouth

| 6 | -30 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $-\frac{45}{20}$ | 45 |
| 7 | -30 |  |
| Total time for the machine | 220 |  |


| Name of Component | Operation Number | Time Per Operation in Seconds | Total Time Per Component in Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. SMALL BALL PRESS | Set-up time per | operation - | hour 45 minutes |
| Inner Case | 6 | 30 | 30 |
| Air Pocket | 4 | 45 |  |
|  | 5 | 45 |  |
|  | 8 | 15 |  |
|  | 9 | 15 | 120 |
| Chimney Seat | 20 | 20 |  |
|  | 21. | 25 |  |
|  | 23 | 15 |  |
|  | 25 | 20 |  |
|  | 26 | 20 | 100 |
| Iron Disc for Hood | 2 | 15 | 35 |
| Spirit Cup | 3 | 25 |  |
|  | 5 | 25 | 50 |
| Handle | 5 | 30 | 30 |
| Pump Cap | 4 | 25 | 25 |
|  | Total time for | the machine | 370 seconds |
| 10. 20 TON PRESS | Set-up time per | peration - | hour 30 minutes |
| Air pocket | 1 | 15 |  |
|  | 3 | 15 |  |
|  | 6 | 15 | 45 |
| Spirit Cup | 1 | 15 |  |
|  | 6 | 15 | 30 |
|  | Total time for | the machine | 75 seconds |







## APPENDIX B

Table 1. Determination of Economic Lot Size

| Serial <br> Number | Name of Component | Set-up cost, | Material cost, | Cost in Rs. Labor cost, 1 | Over-head, 0, on running time | Constant cost $C=m+1+0$ | S/C | $Q_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{2 a_{c} S}{I}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tank | 16.0312 | 4.9400 | 0.0579 | 0.2721 | 5.2700 | 3.04 | 666 |
| 2 | Tank Bottom | 3.6562 | 3.6240 | 0.0091 | 0.0430 | 3.6761 | 0.99 | 381 |
| 3 | Pump Barrel | 1.1250 | 0.9000 | 0.0072 | 0.0341 | 0.9413 | 1.19 | 417 |
| 4 | Inner Case | 19.1250 | 0.5000 | 0.0285 | 0.1343 | 0.6628 | 28.97 | 2052 |
| 5 | Air Pocket | 11.5312 | 0.0680 | 0.0573 | 0.2696 | 0.3949 | 29.56 | 2066 |
| 6 | Air Pocket Chip | 6.7500 | 0.0210 | 0.0195 | 0.0920 | 0.1325 | 51.92 | 2739 |
| 7 | Chimney Seat | 19.4062 | 2.5870 | 0.1764 | 0.8290 | 3.5924 | 5.40 | 880 |
| 8 | Piston Rod | 0.8437 | 0.2420 | 0.0124 | 0.0585 | 0.3129 | 2.72 | 637 |
| 9 | Piston Ring | 0.8437 | 0.0520 | 0.0093 | 0.0440 | 0.1053 | 8.43 | 1082 |
| 10 | Catch Stud (2)* | 2.2500 | 0.1880 | 0.0396 | 0.1861 | 0.4137 | 5.48 | 892 |
| 11 | Hood Cap | 6.1875 | 1.1620 | 0.0420 | 0.1977 | 1.4017 | 4.41 | 803 |
| 12 | Top Ring | 6.1875 | 1.6640 | 0.0456 | 0.2146 | 1.9242 | 3.22 | 685 |
| 13 | Guide Rod (4)* | 1.1250 | 0.8920 | 0.0400 | 0.1882 | 1.1202 | 1.00 | 382 |
| 14 | Hood | 17.4375 | 3.6750 | 0.1537 | 0.7226 | 4.5513 | 3.83 | 748 |
| 15 | Holding Disc | 5.6250 | 0.1340 | 0.0083 | 0.0391 | 0.1814 | 31.25 | 2131 |
| 16 | Iron Disc for Hood | 2.2500 | 0.0650 | 0.0051 | 0.0243 | 0.0944 | 25.00 | 1876 |
| 17 | Spirit Cup | 5.0625 | 0.1550 |  |  |  |  | 1778 |
| 18 | Spirit Chip | 2.2500 | 0.1550 | 0.0322 | 0.1515 | 0.3387 | 22.15 | 1778 |
| 19 | Catch Screw (2)* | 1.9687 | 0.2490 | 0.0876 | 0.4117 | 0.7483 | 2.66 | 619 |
| 20 | Valve Seat | 1.9687 | 0.1620 | 0.0166 | 0.0782 | 0.2568 | 7.87 | 1058 |
| 21 | Center Socket <br> (Upper End) | 1.6875 | 0.3190 | 0.0416 | 0.1956 | 0.5562 | 6.13 | 940 |
| 22 | Center Socket <br> (Lower End) | 1.6875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Handle | 1.1250 | 0.1200 | 0.0198 | 0.0930 | 0.2328 | 4.89 | 834 |
| 24 | Pump Cap | 10.4062 | 0.1450 | 0.0364 | 0.1713 | 0.3527 | 29.73 | 2076 |
| 25 | Heating Coil Top | 1.4062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | (Upper End) |  | 0.4420 | 0.0291 | 0.1371 | 0.6082 | 4.68 | 820 |
| 26 | Heating Coil Top (Lower End) | 1.4062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Heating Coil Bottom Pipe | 3.9375 | 0.4980 | 0.0146 | 0.0687 | 0.5813 | 6.78 | 994 |
| 28 | Heating Coil | - | 0.6700 | 0.0438 | 0.2058 | 0.9196 | - | - |
| 29 | Hex Nut | 1.6875 | 0.0780 | 0.0156 | 0.0733 | 0.1669 | 10.54 | 1212 |
| 30 | Nozzle Holder | 2.5312 | 0.3400 | 0.0323 | 0.1519 | 0.5242 | 4.86 | 840 |
| 31 | Filler Mouth | 9.5625 | 0.2100 | 0.0208 | 0.0979 | 0.3287 | 29.88 | 2060 |
| 32 | Tee Piece | 10.1250 | 1.2350 | 0.1198 | 0.5632 | 1.9180 | 5.30 | 878 |
|  |  | 177.1869 | 25.3370 | 1.2221 | 5.7484 | 32.3075 | 5.48 |  |
|  | - | $Q_{m} \text { for the final product }=\sqrt{\frac{2 a_{c}{ }^{S}}{I}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 40 \times 177.1889}{5.479 \times 10^{-4} \times 32.3075}}=895 \text { Units }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Number in the parenthesis represents number of pieces going into one unit of final product.

Table 2. Determination of optimal batch size considering the whole production schedule.

Serial
Number Name of Components $Q_{m i} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Set up } \\ & \text { Cost, } s_{i}\end{aligned} \quad K_{i}=\frac{I_{i}}{2 a_{c i}} \quad g_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma S / S_{i}}{\Sigma K / K_{i}}} \quad Q i_{M}=g_{i} Q_{m i}$

| 1 | Tank | 666 | 16.0312 | 0.3609 | 1.343 | 895 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Tank Bottom | 381 | 3.6562 | 0.2517 | 2.350 | 894 |
| 3 | Pump Barrel | 417 | 1.1250 | 0.0644 | 2.146 | 895 |
| 4 | Inner Case | 2052 | 19.1250 | 0.0453 | 0.435 | 893 |
| 5 | Air Pocket | 2066 | 11.5312 | 0.0270 | 0.432 | 893 |
| 6 | Air Pocket Chip | 2739 | 6.7500 | 0.0090 | 0.325 | 890 |
| 7 | Chimney Seat | 880 | 19.4062 | 0.2460 | 1.007 | 886 |
| 8 | Piston Rod | 637 | 0.8437 | 0.0214 | 1.428 | 910 |
| 9 | Piston Ring | 1082 | 0.8437 | 0.0072 | 0.828 | 896 |
| 10 | Catch Stud (2)* | 892 | 2.2500 | 0.0283 | 1.003 | 895 |
| 11 | Hood Cap | 803 | 6.1875 | 0.0959 | 1.115 | 895 |
| 12 | Top Ring | 685 | 6.1875 | 0.1317 | 1.306 | 894 |
| 13 | Guide Rod (4)* | 382 | 1.1250 | 0.0767 | 2.342 | 895 |
| 14 | Hood | 748 | 17.4375 | 0.3117 | 1.197 | 895 |
| 15 | Holding Disc | 2131 | 5.6250 | 0.0124 | 0.419 | 893 |
| 16 | Iron Disc for Hood | 1876 | 2.2500 | 0.0064 | 0.476 | 893 |
| 17 | Spirit Cup | 1788 | 7.3125 | 0.0231 | 0.503 | 894 |
| 18 19 | Spirit Chi.p Catch Screw (2)* | 1788 619 | 1.9687 | 0.02312 | 1.446 | 895 |
| 20 | Valve Seat | 1058 | 1.9687 | 0.0175 | 0.845 | 894 |
| 21 | Center Socket (Upper End) | 940 | 3.3750 | 0.0380 | 0.950 | 893 |
| 22 | Center Socket (Lower End) | 940 | 3.3750 | 0.0380 | 0.950 |  |
| 23 | Handle | 834 | 1.1250 | 0.0159 | 1.066 | 889 |
| 24 | Pump Cap | 2076 | 10.4062 | 0.0241 | 0.430 | 893 |
| 25 | Heating Coil Top (Upper End) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Heating Coil Top (Lower End) | 820 | 2.8124 | 0.0416 | 1.089 | 893 |
| 27 | Heating Coil Bottom Pipe |  | 3.9375 | 0.0398 | 0.900 | 895 |
| 28 | Heating Coil | - | - | 0.0629 | - | - |
| 29 | Hex Nut | 1212 | 1.6875 | 0.0114 | 0.737 | 893 |
| 30 | Nozzle Holder | 840 | 2.5312 | 0.0359 | 1.066 | 895 |
| 31 | Filler Mouth | 2060 | 9.5625 | 0.0225 | 0.434 | 894 |
| 32 | Tee Piece | 878 | 10.1250 | 0.1313 | 1.020 | 896 |
|  |  |  | 177.1250 | 2.2112 |  |  |

*Number in the parenthesis represents number of pieces going into one unit of final product.

Table 3. Determination of Production Range

| Serial <br> Number | Name of Component | $Q_{m}$ | $\mathrm{P}=1.05$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=1.10$ |  | $Q_{i M}$ | Within <br> 5\% Range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Within } \\ & \text { 10\% Range } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $Q_{1}=Q_{m} \mathrm{X} .773$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}=\mathrm{Qm}_{\mathrm{m}} \times 1.37$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{Qm}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{X} .642$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \times 1.558$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | Tank | 666 | 486 | 913 | 428 | 1038 | 895 | 900 |  |
| 2 | Tank Bottom | 381 | 278 | 521 | 244 | 593 | 894 | 450 |  |
| 3 | Pump Barrel | 417 | 304 | 571 | 268 | 650 | 895 | 450 |  |
| 4 | Inner Case | 2052 | 1498 | 2811 | 1317 | 3197 | 893 | 2700 |  |
| 5 | Air Pocket | 2066 | 1508 | 2831 | 1326 | 3219 | 893 | 2700 |  |
| 6 | Air Pocket Chip | 2739 | 1999 | 3752 | 1758 | 4267 | 890 | 2700 |  |
| 7 | Chimney Seat | 880 | 642 | 1206 | 565 | 1371 | 886 | 900 |  |
| 8 | Piston Rod | 637 | 465 | 873 | 409 | 993 | 910 |  | 900 |
| 9 | Piston Ring | 1082 | 790 | 1482 | 695 | 1686 | 896 | 900 |  |
| 10 | Catch Stud (2)* | 892 | 652 | 1223 | 573 | 1391 | 895 | 900 |  |
| 11 | Hood Cap | 803 | 586 | 1100 | 515 | 1251 | 895 | 900 |  |
| 12 | Top Ring | 685 | 499 | 938 | 440 | 1067 | 894 | 900 |  |
| 13 | Guide Rod (4)* | 382 | 279 | 524 | 245 | 596 | 895 | 450 | - |
| 14 | Hood | 748 | 546 | 1025 | 480 | 1165 | 895 | 900 |  |
| 15 | Holding Disc | 2131 | 1556 | 2919 | 1368 | 3320 | 893 | 2700 |  |
| 16 | Iron Disc for Hood | 1876 | 1369 | 2570 | 1204 | 2923 | 893 |  | 2700 |
| 17 | Spirit Cup | 1778 | 1298 | 2436 | 1141 | 2770 | 894 |  | 2700 |
| 18 | Spirit Chip Catch Screw (2)* | 619 | 452 | 848 | 397 | 964 | 895 |  | 900 |
| 20. | Valve Seat | 1058 | 772 | 1449 | 679 | 1648 | 894 | 900 | 900 |
| 21 | Centre Socket (Upper End) | 940 | 686 | 1288 | 604 | 1465 | 893 | 900 |  |
| 22 | Centre Socket (Lower End) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Handle | 834 | 609 | 1143 | 535 | 1299 | 889 | 900 |  |
| 24 | Pump Cap | 2076 | 1515 | 2844 | 1333 | 3234 | 893 | 2700 |  |
| 25 | Heating Coil Top (Upper End) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Heating Coil Top (Lower End) | 820 | 599 | 1123 | 526 | 1278 | 893 | 900 |  |
| 27 | Heating Coil Bottom Pipe | 994 | 726 | 1362 | 638 | 1549 | 895 | 900 |  |
| 28 | Heating Coil | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- |  |
| 29 | Hex Nut | 1212 | 885 | 1660 | 778 | 1888 | 893 | 900 |  |
| 30 | Nozzle Holder | 840 | 613 | 1151 | 539 | 1309 | 895 | 900 |  |
| 31 | Filler Mouth | 2060 | 1504 | 2822 | 1323 | 3209 | 894 | 2700 |  |
| 32 | Tee Piece | 878 | 641 | 1203 | 564 | 1368 | 896 | 900 |  |
| FINAL | PRODUCT | 895 | 654 | 1223 | 575 | 1392 | 895 | 900 | , |

* Number in the parenthesis represents number of pieces going into one unit of final product.

gantt chart for a standard lot size of 900 units
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## ABSTRACT

This report deals with the scheduling problem of a particular type of industry involved in an intermittent type of production. The problem was to determine the optimal batch size (based on minimum total combined set-up and inventory carrying, cost) of the final product, with the data collected from a factory and then to prepare a schedule which shows all the phases of production in detail.

Since the final product is an assembly made of several components, the theory of multi-product batch size determination was utilized in arriving at the optimal batch sizes of the components and of the final product. After allowing a certain percentage increase above the minimum total production costs, a batch size of 900 was selected which fell within the production range of the most of the components and of the final product. A Gantt chart was drawn next to represent all the production activities in detail. Some difficulties had to be overcome in drawing the Gantt chart.

This Gantt chart gives only one of the many feasible solutions and may not be an optimum. A graph was drawn to show that the hour-to-hour labor force requirement fluctuated a great deal - suggesting that this requirement be levelled before this schedule could be put to practice. However, it could be taken as the first step in scheduling the production of the factory concerned. Some modifications could be suggested to improve upon and make it more realistic.

