# PRICING SOYBEANS IN KANSAS

by

# NORMAN VINCENT WHITEHAIR

B. S., Kansas State College of Agriculture and Applied Science, 1943

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Economics and Sociolegy

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

7	
Document	
LD 2008 74 1953 146	11
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
0.7	
INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose	1
Problem	1
Review of Literature	2
ACCRACT AND DEDUCTION OF COVERING TH THE INTERD OF ATT	
AND KANGAS	12
MONTHLY SALES OF SOYBEANS MARKETED IN KANSAS	18
SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION OF SOUBBANS IN THE UNITED STATES	24
Methods of Processing Sovbeans	25
The Hydraulic Process	28
The Exceller Process	28
The Extraction Method	29
Products from Soybean Processing	29
SOVERAN CRUSHING CAPACITY	38
	20
PRICES RECEIVED FOR SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES	44
CRACMAT MONTHANT OF COVERANC TH THE THITTED CRATTE	50
SEASONAL POTENENT OF SOLDEANS IN THE UNITED STATES	24
SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN PRICES IN KANSAS	56
SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN MEAL PRICES IN THE	13
UNITED STATES	OT
SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN OIL PRICES IN THE	
UNITED STATES	65
	-
FUTURES TRADING	74
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOYBEANS AND THE CHICAGO	
SOYBEAN FUTURES	79
ConclusionsCash-Futures Soybean Kelationships	80
Cash and Futures Soybean Relationships 1949-50	81
Cash and Futures Soybean Relationships 1951-52	83
Cash and Futures Soybean Relationships 1952-53	84
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOYBEANS AND CHICAGO FUTURE CORN	109

RELATIONSHIP BETNEEN SPOT SOYBEAN OIL AND NEW YORK SOYBEAN OIL FUTURES	123
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DOVEDIATE SOYDEAN MEAL AND MEMPHIS FUTURES SOYBEAN MEAL	134
RELATIONSHIP DETWEEN CASH SOTEEANS AND FUTURE OIL-MEAL	144
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEANS AND SOYBEAN OIL PRICES	158
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEANS AND SOYBEAN MEAL PRICES	158
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEAN MEAL AND SOYBEAN OIL PRICES	159
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYEEAN OIL AND COTTONSEED OIL PRICES	159
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEAN OIL AND LARD PRICES	164
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	166
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	170
BIBLIOGRAPHY	171

### INTRODUCTION

#### Purpose

It is the purpose of this study to investigate and analyze the price relationships between eoybeans and related products in order to assist in colving marketing and processing problems involving inventory and risk management. Price relationships mean the relationships which exist between cash prices of the commodity (eoybeane) and cash prices of its by-products; namely, oil and meal; relationships between cash and futures prices; relationships between futures prices of the commodity and futures prices of its by-products; and relationehipe between other farm commodity prices and eoybean prices.

### Problem

Soybeans have become a major crop in the United States within the last 20 years, and in Kansas their importance, as measured by production, has increased twenty-fold in the last 13 years. However, processing capacity has increased more rapidly. As a result, most soybean processors, during this period of expanded production, have been forced to purchase a supply of soybeans during the harvesting season to assure operation of crushing facilities throughout the year. The general practice has been to purchase a supply of soybeans during September, October, and November and place them in storage for later processing. The accumulation of large inventories during these three months has concentrated the problem of inventory and risk management into the hands of a relatively few processors.

Soybean processors have shifted the rick of inventory ownership by forward eales and by hedging. The forward eales or forward contracts are private treaties, like cash sales, excert that they are made for deferred delivery. Forward sales naturally sell for less than current delivery. Forward sales are a perfect risk shifting system if forward sales can be accomplished.

To date, the hedging of soybean purchases in the futures market has met with varied success for Kansas soybean processors. Many reasons are advanced for the inadequacy of the futures market: (1) volume of futures transactions has not been sufficient to provide adequate price change insurance, (2) relatively few buyers and sellers may influence the market unduly, (3) the many and varied products made from the soybean have different market values and outlets, and (4) similar products made from oil producing crops have an influence on soybean prices.

Risk bearing includes the cost of storage, insurance, interest on funds invested in grain ownership, and adverse price changes. Processors have attempted to shift these risks on to the futures market or by forward sales. The forward sales of soybean oil and meal to feed manufacturers and oil refiners have been used successfully in shifting risk; however, the shifting of risk by forward contracts has been met by increasing discounts from the current month delivery by users of oil and meal. The shifting of risk through the use of futures markets has met with varied success.

## Review of Literature

A review of literature pertaining to soybean prices is necessary in this study to understand more adequately the many ramifications of soybean pricing in the United States. A brief review of published material will bring together the conclusions of many authors on subjects which will shed light on the analysis of relationships existing between soybeans, its many related products, and other like commodities and reveal areas where information is needed but not

heretofore provided. The major contributions to this field of study are listed along with their contribution.

The United States Department of Agriculture weekly and monthly publications were used in the tabulation of the many price series. Of particular value in this study were "The Feed Situation," "The Fats and Oils Situation," "Crops and Markets," Agricultural Statistics, Reports of the Kansas State Board of Agriculture, and Reports of the Commodity Exchange Authority.

The following private trade publications were used: "Kansas City Grain Market Review," "The Chicago Journal of Commerce," "The Wall Street Journal," and the "Oil, Paint, and Drug Reporter."

Miscellaneous tabulations were made from the market quotation reports of the "Board of Trade of the City of Chicago," "New York Produce Exchange," The Memphis Merchants Exchange Clearing Association, and The 1953 Soybean Blue Book.

Dr. G. L. Jordan, Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, in "What Determines Soybean Prices," Bulletin 546 of the Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station reasoned that prices received by farmers for soybeans are determined as follows:

Prices received by farmers for soybeans depend on the prices that consumers will pay for meat and other animal products, margarine, and vegetable shortenings; on the size of the livestock population; the supplies of protein supplements, corn, and edible fats and oils; and the costs and profits of handlers, transportation agencies, and processors. The dominant causes of year-to-year variations in ecyboan prices are changes in the ability of the United States consumer to buy these related products and in the quantities of protein supplements and edible fats and oils available.

In the absence of price ceilings or effective price supports, prices of soybean meal can be expected to change during the next few years about 1.2 times as much (in percentage) as disposable personal income, and in the eame direction. Meal prices can be expected to move in the opposite direction to changes in supplies of the supplements used in this analysis (converted to a meal-equivalent basic) and about 0.40 to 0.45 as much (in percentage). An increase of one bushel per animal unit in the corn supply would tend to drive down the price of meal about 2.5 percent. During the next few years the actual price of soybean meal will probably be about the same as the weighted composite price of all the supplements used in this analysis when converted to a meal-equivalent basis.

Soybean oil prices, in the absence of price controls or effective price supports, will change in the same direction and to about the same extent (percentage as disposable personal income changes. They will also tend to change about 1.4 to 1.5 percent in the opposite direction with every 1 percent change in supplies of the four edible fats and oilssoybean oil, cottonseed oil, lard, and butterfat. The actual price of soybean oil will be approximately 55 percent as high as the weighted composite price of the four edible fats and oils.

From the combined value of the oil and meal must be deducted the marketing and processing costs. These costs fluctuate rather widely. They have become somewhat stabilized, however, since 1934-35 around a figure equal to 25 cents a bushel plus 25 percent of the value of the meal and oil minus 2 cents for every year since 1934-35 (cumulative).

Up to World War II, as soybean production expanded rapidly and the market became a little better organized, the seasonal spread in soybean prices from the October low to early summar high declined somewhat. Since World War II the October price has continued to be very low compared with the yearly average price (after corrections are made for changes in demand and production); but the peak has come sconer-in April or Mayand much of the rise has occurred by January. More farm storage until January or May will probably pay growers for several years unless we get into a period of price controls. In case price controls are applied, some consideration will have to be given to the matter of orderly marketing, either by allowing seasonal variations in prices or by paying farmers or others a fee for storing. /1

Drs. T. A. Hieronymous and G. L. Jordan, Professors of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, in a monthly publication, Illinois Farm Economics, Number 173, October 1949, entitled "Farm Storage of Soybeans Carries Small Price Risk" make the following comments on seasonal movement of prices:

One explanation advanced for the unusually large seasonal increase in the price of soybeans has been that soybean storage was inadequate during the fall months and that the price of storage has been high. Soybeans move onto the market at harvest in such large quantities that it is difficult to find storage for them.

<sup>/1</sup> G. L. Jordan, "What Determines Soybean Prices, "University of Illinois, Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 546, March, 1951, pp. 187 -8.

We do not agree with this standard explanation and expection of the soybean eeasonal.

Soybean processors, as a group, are willing to pay up to within 30 cents per bushel of as much for eoybeans as they can get for the oil and meal made from a bushel of soybeans. In deciding the price they will bid at country points, they take the combined value of 48 pounds of soybean meal, bulk, Decatur, Illinois, and nine pounds of soybean oil in tank cars, midwestern mills, and subtract 30 cents from it. For example, if soybean oil is 15 cents per pound and soybean meal \$70 per ton (32) cents per pound) the combined value is \$3.18 per bushel. Up to \$2.88 will be bid for soybeans.

The prices of soybeans and soybean products are extremely erratic, changing frequently and by considerable amounts. If a soybean proceseor were to buy soybeane at one time and sell the products at some later time he might realize the expected 30 cents, or he might realize less or more than 30 cents. They use the sale of oil and meal for deferred delivery, or forward sale, almost exclusively.

Forward seles are made to oil refiners in the case of oil and to feed ingredient wholesalers and feed manufacturers in the case of meal. While oil refiners, feed wholesalers, and feed manufacturers are more willing to assume risks of price change than are soybean processors, they will not carry, without reward, more risks than are necessary. Accordingly, the practice of offoring discounts for accepting deforred delivery has arisen. They decline as the time to delivery decreases. Accordingly, those farmers who sell soybeans in the fall pay much larger risk premiums than those who sell soybeans in the spring.

On the basic of this risk shifting system with its discounted prices we suggest that risk premiums explain the unusually large seasonal movement of soyboan prices. It arises out of ownership considerations rather than storage factors. /1

A. R. Sabin, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, United States Department of Agriculture in Marketing Channels and Margins for Soybeans and Soybean Producte, October 1950, studied on a sample basis, the marketing of soybeans by farmers in Illinois for crop years 1947 and 1948:

About 96 percent of soybeans cold off Illinoie farms were sold to country elevators. The gross margin received by country elevators for soybeans was 13.6 cents per bushel for the 1947 crop and 8.0 cents

<sup>/1</sup> T. A. Hieronymous and others, Farm Storage of Soybeans Carries Small Risk, Illinoie Farm Economic:, Number 173, October 1949, pp. 911-12-13.

for 1948. The margin at the elevator in 1947 included speculative or fortuitous price gains averaging 4.3 cents per bushel. The 1948 price gain was 0.7 cents per bushel. Deelers intormediary between the country elevators and the processors received gross margins of 2.0 cents per bushel.

Processing plants incurred costs averaging 8.1 cents per bushel from the time soybeans were bought until they were received at the processing plant. Acquisition costs varied considerably, however, with large plants paying an avorage of nearly 10 cents per bushel more than small plants for getting beans to the processing plant after they were bought. Small plants may have averaged higher returns for soybean products than did larger processing plants. On the other hand, it seems probable that larger plants had lower costs per bushel for processing soybeans than did the smaller concern. /1

A. M. Rollefson, D. B. Agnew, and C. H. Kiorstead, Fats and Oils Branch, Production and Marketing Administration, Agricultural Information Bulletin No. 57, June 1951, entitled "Improved Soybean Markoting Through Farm Storage." In their summery they point out:

Soybean marketing problems include secondly depressed soybean prices, shortages of freight cars, and congestion of handling facilities at country and terminal elevators at harvest time.

These problems are worsened by concentration of the major part of United States soybean production in limited areas, the short harvesting period with rapid accumulation of soybeans at harvest time, and the large volume of soybean sales by farmers at harvest time.

Increased soybean storage by farmers ordinarily would earn them extra profits and, especially for on-farm storage, would help reduce serious marketing problems.

In 3 of the 4 postwar years, soybean storage paid well. Of the farmers who stored 1,500 bushels in each crop year from 1946-47 through 1949-50, those who sold the beans at the average December-January price earned, for the four years, \$1,800 more than they would have received at harvest time; those who sold at the average March-April-May-June price received \$2,300 extra; and those who anticipated market changes well enough to sell within 25 cents a bushel of the seasonal peak price received

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>A. R. Sabin, "Marketing Channels and Margins for Soybeans and Soybean Products", United States Department of Agriculture, Study under Research and Marketing Act, October 1950, p. 11.</u>

at least \$3,000 extra. These figures are not gain in sales value for the 4 years, after paying storage costs.

Soybeans can be stored on farms with suitable facilities at low cost. Total storago costs for 3, 6, and 9 months are, respectively, about 4.5, 6, and 7 percent of harvest-time prices; peak soybean prices averaged 20 percont more than harvest prices over a 4 year post-war period. Cost differences are small between storing on farms or at elevators; each has its advantages. Total farm storage cost, for soybeans valued at \$3 per bushel, ranges from 7.8 to 10.3 cents per bushel for 3 months, from 9.6 to 12.2 cents for 6 months, from 11.3 to 14.0 cents for 9 months, and from 13.0 to 15.8 cents for 12 months. Excluding the charge for use of storage space, farm storage cost ranges from 5.6 to 5.7 cents for 3 months, 7.4 to 7.6 cents for 6 months. 9.1 to 9.4 cents for 9 months, and 10.8 to 11.2 cents for 12 months. Charges for handling and for use of farm storage space, which are unaffected by the level of soybean prices, range from about 3.5 cents to 6.0 cents per bushel.

Farmers can control the timing of their soybean sales by controlling quality in the stored soybeans. Poor quality at harvost may keep some farmers from storing their soybeans. Quality deterioration also increases storage costs.

Maintaining soybean quality during storage means greater rofits for farmers and increased efficiency in processing for crushers and manufacturers. Maintaining the quality begins with cure in harvesting and handling, and includes storing clean soybeans (at 12 percent to 13 percent moisture content) in sound storage buildings of weathertight construction.

To be stored, soybeans with high moisture content should be dried to 12 percent or 13 percent moisture content, using natural or heated air. Drying should be done at the time of storing or as soon thereafter as possible. Stored soybeans should be inspected frequently.

Deterioration in stored soybeans can be costly to farmers, consumers, and the entire soybean industry. To farmers it may mean reduced profits because of lower grade and price discounts, or because deterioration may make it necessary to sell when soybean prices are low; it also means reduced quantities of soybeans to sell from storage. To crushers and refiners deterioration means reduced oil recov ry, increased operating costs, and increased refining loss. To manufacturers of soybean products, deterioration means increased difficulties and costs in making oil and protein products; to consumers, it means higher prices and lower quality products. /1

<sup>/1</sup> A. M. Rollefson and others, "Improved Soybean Marketing Through Farm Storage," United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Information Bulletin No. 57, June 1951, p. ii.

D. B. Agnew and C. H. Kierstead, Fats and Oils Branch, Production and Marketing Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, in a report iesued September 1950, "Cash Costs of Farm Storage in Marketing Soybeans" summarized their findings as follows:

There are three principal sources of loans made specifically on soybeans in storage. They are commercial banks, production credit associations, and the Commodity Credit Corporation. The organization and operating procedure of each of the three credit agencies vary considerably.

Careful consideration of the following factors will assist the soybean farmer in choosing the most practical and efficient loan for his storage operation; noed, inputed cost, terms of the loan, marketing schedule, liability of borrower, insurance requirements, cost of credit, convenience, personal relations, and dependability of loan source.

In this study it has been found that the cost of a loan on soybeans stored on the farm (term-six months, on coybeans with a market value of \$2.11 a bushel) varies from 4.2 to 9.1 cents per bushel. The principal factors causing variations in the cost of a loan are the source, size of the loan, and the interest rate.

Most frequent insurance of farm property is against windstorm and fire, including lightning. A stored crop, such as soybeans can either be insured under a separate policy incorporating the most desirable features for this particular purpose, or under a general policy covering other personal property and improvements.

Cost of insurance varies, of course, with its coverage and conditions. Whether a soybean grower decided to i sure as heavily as permissible or carry all the risk himself, he will gain by taking common sense precautions to protect the stored crops against physical loss from these causes. Combined insurance coverage (against loss or damage from both fire and windstorm) for 75 percent of value on \$2 soybeans stored in a designated building costs only 1/2 to 3/4 cent per bushel for a eix-month storage period.

Tax cost per bushel is dependent on the assessed value of the soybeans and the tax rate. Assessed value will necessarily very with market price and assessment practice. Since soybean prices differ between states and fluctuate during the market year, and since the assessment date varies among states, the market price on the assessment shows considerable veriation.

In 11 of the 21 principal soybean oroducing states, property taxes are not levied against form-stored soybeans. Among the other 10 etates, average property tax rate (percent of assessed value) during the 1947-48 crop year was estimated to range from 0.25 percent to 3.8 percent. Assessed value on assessment date, from \$3 to \$3.95 per bushel. Average property tax cost was estimated to r nge from 0.9 to 11.6 cents per bushel.

Each of the three major cash costs can be evoided to some extent. A former may be able to obtain a more fevorable insurance rate by improving the physical fire protection. He may reduce his risk to a point where he feels able to carry it without insurance. Takes on a stored crop can be avoided in any tax jurisdiction by selling before assessment date. /1

Martin S. Simon, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, United States Department of Agriculture, Report No. 35, May 1953, makes the following points in his study "Soybeans, Economic Analysis Relating to Processing":

This report describes or develops certain analyses which can be used to measure the economic effects of adopting processing methods that increase yields of soybean oil. These analyses, summarized in two sets of equations, were developed particularly for use in determining probable effects of new processing methods upon prices and returns to farmers end to the soybean processing industry.

Solvent extraction is the most efficient method in current use for rocovering oil from soybeans. Based on everages for the 1947-49 and 1951 crop years, it yielded about 20 percent more oil per bushel of soybeans crushed than the screw-press process and about 26 percent more than the hydraulic-press process. In 1949-50 solvents were used to process, for the first time, more soybeans than the screw-press method....56 percent of the totel crush as compared to 41 percent. In 1951-52, almost three times more soybeans were processed by solvent equipment than by screwpressess....74 percent of the total crush as compared to 25 percent. The remainder were crushed by hydraulic presses. These changes have had important economic consequences and hence warrant some study.

The first analysis considers the factors that affect prices of fets and oils, other than butter and lard, used in food products. In an analysis developed, three variables—per capite supply of fats and oils, other than butter and lard, used in food products, per capite supply of lard, and personal disposable income—explained 92 percent of the varietion in prices of fats and oils, other than butter and lard, used in food products for 1922-42 and 1947-51. The average relationships between prices of these edible fets and oils and each independent factor, after ellowing for the effects of other factors included in the analysis, were as follows: (1) A 1 percent change in the supply of edible fats and oils, other than butter and lard, was associated with a change of 1.6

<sup>/1</sup> D. B. Agnew and others, "Improved Soybean Marketing Through Farm Storage," United States Dopartment of Agriculture, A Study Under Research and Marketing Act, September 1950, p. 1.

percent in the opposite direction in price; (2) A 1 percent change in the supply of lard was associated with an opposite change of 1.1 percent in price; and (3) A 1 percent change in per capita disposable income was associated with a 1.4 percent change in price in the same direction.

On the average, a 1 percent change in prices of all fats and oils, other than butter and lard, used in food products was associated with a change of about 1 percent in the same direction in the price of soybean oil. After allowing for the influence of the general level of wholesale prices, 79 percent of the variation in prices of soybean oil for 1931-42 and 1947-51 was associated with changes in the total index.

The second analysis considers the effect of changes in the value of products obtained per bushel of soybeans processed on the seasonaverage farm price of soybeans. Most of the annual variation in the season-average price received by farmers for soybeans is associated with changes in the total value of oil and meal obtained per bushel of soybeans processed. For the 1931-40 and 1948-50 crop years, the equation expressing the relationship between the relationship between the particular variables used in the analysis indicates that the season-average price received by farmers tends to equal 75 percent of the combined value of the products obtained per bushel or soybeans processed, less 12 cents. The analysis is designed to show the normal relationship that prevsiled in the past between these two factors and not to indicate the actual processing margin. It is recognized that this relationship might change with the adoption of new processing methods. Apparent changes in the relationship for the post-World War II years are discussed. As a result, certain modifications may be needed in its application.

The rational behind the development of these equations is based on an analysis of the way in which the effects of an increase in the yield of soybean oil are likely to be transmitted. In brief, the following pattorn would be expected.

An increase in the yield of soybean oil is likely to be accompanied by a decrease in the yield of soybean meal. Soybean oil competes directly with other edible fats and oils used as ingredients in the manufacture of edible fat and oil products, such as margarine and shortening. Soybean meal competes directly with other feed concentrates used in livestock rations, particularly several other protein supplements. A change in the yield of soybean oil would affect the total supply of competing edible fats and oils. Other factors associated with the food fats and oils economy may be affected also. A change in the yield of soybean meal would affect the total supply of protein supplements. In this way, the change would affect the feed-livestock economy.

Changes in the supply of edible fats and oils and of competing feed concentrates would cause changes in prices of these products, including those of soybean oil and meal. However, a given percentage changes in the supply of soybean oil and soybean mesl represents a smaller percentage change in the larger supply items. Consequently, the effect on prices of soybean oil and meal of changes in their supply would be less than if they were considered as commodities with no close substitutes. The changes in the price and yield of oil and meal would be reflected in the total value of products obtained per bushel of soybeans processed. The price received by f rmers for coybeans is closely related to total product value. Although changes in the price of soybeans might affect the percentage of the crop sold and also production in subsequent crop years, these relationships, for reasons indicated, could not be determined statistically.

Apparently, the lower yield of real that would be associated with greater oil recovery would not have much effect on the price of meal. Hence, statistical analysis of this relationship was not required. In the 1947-49 and 1951 crop years, the solvent process yielded, on the average, about 4 percent less meal per bushel of soybeans crushed than the screw-press process and about 5 percent less than the hydraulic-press process. The meal obtained by solvent extraction ordinarily contains a higher percentage of protein and a lower percentage of oil than do meals produced by the mechanical processes. /1

Nariar Pahigian, Fats and Oils Branch, Production and Marketing Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, "Marketing Study of the Soil Content of Soybeans as Related to Production Areas and Climate," September 1950, sets forth information of value:

This report concerns differences in the oil content of soybeans grown and marketed in different geographical localities throughout the major soybean producing areas of the United States. Soybeans of a given variety grown in different geographic localities may vary appreciably in composition. Also, the oil content of commercial soybeans shows wide geographic variations. These facts have raised the question whether the differences are consistent, or more specifically, whether farmers in different localities or areas can be expected to produce and market soyherns that are higher in oil content than soybeans from other areas.

Two-year averages for seed yield per acre, percentage of oil content, and oil yield per acre were computed for 22 soybean producing states. In general, the Northern states a wraged higher in both seed yield and oil yield per acre but lower in percentage of oil content than did the Southern states. The number of acres used for soybean production in the various states was found to be influenced more by seed yield per acre than by the percentage of oil content or the oil yield per acre.

Analysis of date of the Commodity Credit Corporation, by areas, reveals that for the given years soybeans produced in southern areas averaged higher in oil content than those grown farther north. A

<sup>/1</sup> Martin S. Simon, "Soybeans, Economic Analysis Relating to Processing," United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Report No. 35, May 1953, pp. 4-5.

consistent increase in oil content of soybeans from north to south was noted for six areas lying more or less directly on a north and south line.

Analysis of state averages shows a positive relationship between oil content and temperature during the growing season which would seem to indicate that the more southerly areas could be expected to produce soybeans with higher oil content than those grown farther north.

Slight regative relationships, which may or may not be significant, were found for oil content and total rainfall during the growing seeson. With the effect of rainfall held constant, temperature alone explained 68 percent of the variations in oil content during 1944 and 25 percent in 1945. The smaller value for 1945 was probably due to some extant to the unfavorable planting season through parts of the North Central states. Very late planting of soybeans in many cases reculted in crops being injured by frost before harvest. The amount of rainfall provided very little additional information in explaining oil content over and above that obtained from records of temperatures. Temperature and rainfall together explained 63 percent of the variations in oil content in 1944 and 29 percent in 1945. Separate relationships botween (1) oil content and temperatures, and (2) oil content and rainfall were also sought within each cause of the fact that within each area soil and cultural factors varied much more than temperature and reinfall.

Analysis of uniform soybean test data showed a tendency for both the protein content of beams and the iodine number of the oil to decrease from north to south. This is consistent with results of previous studies, that show protein content to have an inverse relationship with oil content, iodine number to vary with latitude. /1

ACREAGE AND PRODUCTION OF SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES AND KANSAS

Soybeans have been cultivated extensively and highly valued as food in oriental countries since ancient times. As long as the year 3000 BC, soybeans held an important place in the agricultural econory of the Chinese people. Prior to that time in the Far East it was highly regarded as a cure for human ills. Mistory is not definite on the introduction of the soybean to the United States, however, the first importation of soybeans seeds into the

<sup>/1</sup> Nariar Pahigian, "Marketing Study of the Oil Content of Soybeans as Related to Production Areas and Climato," United States Department of Agriculture, A Study Under Research and Marketing Act, September 1950, pp. 1-2.

U. S. probably were from eastern Asia.

The soybean was first mentioned in our literature in 1804 as being "adapted to Pennsylvania," but its culture in the U. S. was limited to that of a rare garden plant until near the end of the nineteenth century. First use of the soybean centered around the use of the plant and not the seed. The plant was thought of as a legume to increase soil fertility and to be used as a green manure crop and was not harvested as a grain crop. Production of soybeans for hay increased during the first quarter of the twentieth century from 50,000 acres in 1907 to 2,000,000 acres in 1952.

Soybeans have been grown as a grain crop for about the last 25 years. The many multiple uses of soybeans have lent themselves to continued increased production "with five exceptions since 1925-de to climatic conditions). Within a period of time of more than 25 years soybean production had increased from 5 million bushels in 1925 to well over 291 million bushels in 1952. Since 1940 the U. S. has produced over a hundred million bushels each year (Fig. 1).

Acres planted for production of soybeans have shown a phenomenal growth since 1925. In 1925, 448 thousand acres of soybeans were harvested while 1,234 million acres were harvested for hay or plowed under. In 1952 the preliminary figures show 14,075 million acres were harvested for beans and 2,061 million acres harvested for hay and plowed under. Since 1940 the harvested acres have increased from nearly 5 million acres to 14 million acres in 1952.

Soybeans were grown mainly for forage until 1941 when slightly more than half of the total planted acreage was harvested for beans (Table 1). Some soybeans were crushed for oil and meal beginning in the early 1920's but it was not until 1953 that the quantity processed was larger than that



Table 1. SOTBEANS: Acreage, Iteld and Production in the United States, 1924-1952.

1

ł

		4																					
	duction :For :Itay	Tons	1299	1687	1974	1602	266T	3433	2917	4545	3000	4731	5335	6772	6450	9197	3555	3837	1570	1912	1574	3446	1488
Contraction of the local division of the loc	: Total Pro: For Beans	Bushels	19475	5239	7880	004/	626CT	15158	13509	23157	10687	79197	61906	17106	78045	107197	187524	EETO6T	193167	203395	186451	227217	234194
	. <u>Yield</u> Harvested : For	Tons	1.13	1.18	1.23	DT T	1 26	1.25	1.16	1.08	1.34	1.36	1.43	1.48	1.34	1.30	1.36	12.1	1.26	1.28	1.22	1.30	1.32
and the second second	: <u>Average</u> : <u>Per Acre</u> : For	Bushels	0.11	11.2	13.6		15.0	15.1	12.9	6.71	14.3	17.9	20.4	20.9	16.2	18.2	19.0	10.01	18.0	20.5	16.3	21.3	22.3
	Grazed or Plowed Under		187 195	230	251	120	102	126	104	124	1708	1409	1828	2015	2156	1910	2397	1228	1127	1003	1052	128	844
	: For		1175 7411	1431	1609	4117	2772	2738	2506	1227	3116	3469	3724	4590	4819	3546	2621	11.75	1940	1499	1292	TIT	1130
	: : <u>Acros Ha</u> :t: For :Beans**	d Acres	118	466	579	1001	TYLL	1001	1004	1556	2359	2586	3035	4315	1084	5889	7686	1650T	10740	9932	TIVIT	10682	10482
	Flented Equivalen *:Solid**	Thousan	1782	2127	2439	CLATE	7027	5917	3957	6207	7183	7464	8587	10920	28411	11345	27671	11/050	13807	12434	13755	12617	12456
	. Inter-		417	502	556	704	606	893	813	858	2115	2261	2541	2710	2589	2555	2420	1921	1505	1458	3408	1259	1165
	: Grown : Alone		1567	1871	2154	CLUE	3835	3704	3537	2016	6127	6332	7318	9565	10487	10068	130%0	13118	13056	90411	13052	11987	11872
	Toar		1924	1926	1928	1030	1931	1932	1933	1036	1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	17761	2761	1911	1945	1946	1947	1948	794Y

Table 2 (conol.)

duction :For :Rey :Thousand	1367 1216 1288
:Total Pr. :For :Beans :Th usend	291682
leld For Hay	1.10
Averace I Per Acre For : Beans :	21.7 20.9 20.7
: Grazed : or : Plowed : Under	883 1217 890
rvested : For : Hay	1047 973 1711
: Acres Ha L:For	13814 13545 14075
Plented Egulvalen Solid**	15744 15735 16136
.Inter-	1228 1088 984
: :Grown :Alone	15129 15190 115190
Year	1950 1951 1952*

-

\*Grown with other crops. \*\*Acreage grown with other crops.

\*\*\*Preliminary. Source of data: Bureau of Agricultural Econorics, United States Department of Agriculture and 1953 Soybean Blue Book, American Soybean Association, Hudson, Iowa.

used for seed and feed. The proportion of the total acreage harvested for beans increased most in the North Central Region. During the last few years soybeans havo been grown almost entiroly for harvest as beans in the North Central Region. But forage and green manure has continued to be the main use in other regions.

The explanation of the rapid rise of soybean production lies partly in dynamic changes in the technology of production, processing and markoting, and partly in the development of more favorable demand and price relationships during the war.

Regional and national trends in the production of soybeans appear to have been limited by climate, topography, and soils. However, soybeans can be grown under a wider range of climatic conditions than many crops, provided adapted varieties are available. The first great contribution of the plant scientists was to select and breed the adapted varieties.

Topography and the danger of soil erosion appear to constitute the factors that limit most the location of soybean acreage. For this reason soybeans for beans are concentrated on areas of lovel land. On level lands, soybeans have a beneficial effect on the oil and on succeeding crops. This is partly due to the physical effect on the soil and partly to nitrogen fixation.

Vields of soybeans have almost doubled since 1924 mainly because of improved varieties and reduced harvesting losses and in spite of the tromendous expansion in acreage.

The introduction of small combines for harvosting soybeans removed the chief bottleneck to soybean production under American conditions and simultaneously reduced the labor involved and increased the harvosted yields.

During the period of rapid mechanisation net returns per acre probably rose more rapidly from soybeans than from other crops. Most of this occurred before the wartime expansion, however. During the war, returns per acre increased relatively more from soybeans than from corn, but the chief reason was the relatively higher price. /1

<sup>/1</sup> Edwin G. Strand. Soybeans in American Farming, United States Department of Agriculture, Technical Bulletin No. 966, November 1948.

Prior to 1940 the acreage planted to soybeans in Kansas was of very little economic importance (Teble 2). Since that time the acreage planted to soybeans has increased from 78 thousand acres in 1940 to over 700 thousand acres in 1952.

The production of beans has increased from 312 thousand bushels in 1940 to over 7 million bushels in 1952 (Fig. 2). This acreage is located in the eastern third of the state, with most of the production being realized from southeastern Kanzas.

In 1951, Cherokee County lead the state in the production of soybeans followed by Crawford, Anderson, Franklin, Lyon, Coffey, Osage, Linn, Labetto, and Bourbon in that order (Fig. 3). The production of soybeans has been increasing in such counties as Saline, Marshall and Cowley.

## MONTHLY SALES OF SOYBEANS MARKETED IN KANSAS

During what months is the Kansas soybeen crop marketed? According to data compiled by the State Board of Agriculture in the 1951 report of the Kansas State Board of Agriculture, of the 1950-51 crop 77 percent of the sales were made during the months of September, October, November, and December or during the harvest season. During October 48 percent of the Kansas soybean crop was marketed (Table 3). During 1951 only 23 percent of the crop in Kansas remained to be marketed after the first of January.

The sale of a large percentage of the crop during the harvesting season means that for processors to obtain a crushing supply, it must be purchased during September, October and November. It also pointed out the fact that soybeans were considered a cash crop by producers in Kansas. Evidently farm storage facilities were not adequate to store a large portion of the Kansas crop. Table 2. SOTDEAMS: Acreage, Yield and Production 1924-1952, Kansas.







Monthily Sales of Soybeans Marketod, Kansas: Estimated Fercent of Sales Occurring Monthly. 1935-1951. Table 3.

: Dec.	๛๛๛๚๖๖๖๚๛๛๛๛๛๛๛
: Nov.	1335588888999999999999999999999999999999
: Oct.	<del>มิดถมม</del> ีมีด <sub>ิ</sub> ๛มี <i>สีขั</i> ญชิชชิชิชิ
: Sept.	11124200000000
: Aug.	
: July	
: June	<i>พพพพ</i> พฯ๑๐๓๏ฯฯฯ๙๏๙ฯ
: May	ちちちちちち いちの いちん ひん
: Apr.	644222444644660000000000000000000000000
: Mar.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
: Feb.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
: Jan.	๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛
Year	1935 1936 1936 1937 1937 1943 1943 1944 1944 1944 1945 1945 1946 1948 1948

Source: Report of State Board of Agriculture, 1950.

In Illinois during the 1947 and 1948 crop about 80 percent of all soybeans bought by country elevators wore acquired by the end of December. Purchases by processors were at a slower rate, but substantially more then half of all soybeans crushed were bought by the end of the harvest sesson by both elevators and processors.

A study was made of the production and disposition of the 1947 and 1948 crop of soybeans by Illinois farmers and the findings are summarized here:

After allowing for seed and for an insignificant quantity fed to livestock, it is seen that the bulk of Illinois soybeans is sold by farmers to local elevators. From the elevators the crop moves by rail to terminal storage, or to plants for processing, or to export channels. In the total soybean movement, the sales by farmers directly to processors aggregate about 4 percent. Nearly all of the beans sold by farmers are sold to country elevators. Soybeans sold by farmers directly to terminal elevators and all other outlets are negligible.

About 18 percent of all beans sold by Illinois farmers are sold by country elevators directly to processors; the remainder reach processing or export markets after having passed through additional hands. In many cases small processors are able to obtain all of their requirements locally from farmers or nearby elevators with little or no buying cost. Large processors on the other hand find it necessary to establish a rather extensive buying organization to do this; most of these concerns stated in interviews that this would be more costly than buying through intermediary dealers.

The most important of the intermediary agencies are the interior carlot dealers and commission concerns. Carlot dealors usually take title to soybeans whereas commission merchants genorally arrange a transaction between the elevat r and the buyer. Neither agent takes physical possession of the beans. As there is no sharp delineation of functions or operation of these concerns, the exact movement of soybeans from elevator to processor through these channels is difficult to ascertain. Prior to the imposition of price control during World War II interior carlot dealers operated mainly as commission concerns, but they have shown no tendency to revert to that status since price control was removed. These dealers sell on commission occasionally, however, and commission concerns sometimes take title to the beans they handle. Interior carlot dealers and commission concerns together handled 74 percent of the soybeans from the 1947 and 1948 crops. Grain merchandisers are firms which buy and sell grain (including soybeans) for their own eccount. Unlike carlot dealers they receive, store, mix, and condition the grain. A small part of the grain handled by these concerns comes from formers and country elevetors, but their main sources of supply are interior carlot dealers and commission compenies. Merchandisers also occasionally buy soybeans from processors, but the volume was not large during the years studied. These firms account for the largest part of the out-of-date shipment of soybeans by rail and water transportation, and they supply processors with aabout 10 percent of the total crop sold off the farm.

Some soybeans were sold counter to the normal trend of movement. For example, processors sold some of their purcheses back to interior carlot dealers, merchandising concerns, and commission companies. In the 2 year period, 4 percent of the soybeans were sold by farmers direct to processors, 18 percent went from farm-to-country elevetorto-processor, 55 percent passed through interior carlot dealers or commission concerns between the elevetor and the processor, and 10 percent reached the processor after passing through the merchandiser's hands also. Net out-of-stete shipments of soybeans evereged 13 percent of beans sold by farmers for the two sensons. /1

#### SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION OF SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES

The total supply of soybeans is composed of totel stocks beginning October 1, production during the crop year, and imports. The total supply during the 1924-25 crop year was five million bushels made up of e production of four and nine-tenths million bushels, imports of 60 thousands bushels, and a carryover of five thousand. The total supply increased to 107 million bushels in 1941-42. This supply consisted of e carryover of 690 thousand bushels, pr duction of 107 million, and imports of less than 500 bushels. The total supply reeched an all-time high in the 1950-51 crop year when production reached an all-time high of over 300 million bushels, of carryovor stocks of three million bushels and imports of ane million bushels.

/1 Sabin, pp, cit., p. 4.

Carryover stocks of soybeans have been very small in comparison to other grain stocks at the beginning of the crop year. The soybean industry has never been considered a surplus commodity industry. The largest stocks on record to date was a carryover of 14 million bushels in 1944-45, however, preliminary estimates indicate a carryover on October 1, 1953, of 19 million bushels which would be a record.

Imports of soybeans into the U. S. were of little consequence. In the past 15 years, imports have averaged less than two million bushels.

Utilization of soybeans has kept pace with production throughout soybean history. Before 1934 less than one-fourth of the soybeans grown in the United States were used for processing. As markets expanded and production increased this proportion became consistently larger, while the proportions used for feed and seed became smaller. By 1937 about twothirds of the crop was processed and this proportion increased to more than 80 percent during the last few years (Table 4). Seed usages have naturally increased because of increases in planted acreages. Soybeans fed to livestock on farmers where grown have not shown any appreciable change throughout the years. Exports in recent years have increased to an all-time high in 1950-51 of 27 1/2 million bushels.

# Methods of Processing Soybeans

There are three commercial methods of processing the soybean for its oil and meal. These methods and the chronological order of the development of these processing techniques used in the industry are:

- 1. The hydraulic
- 2. The expeller or screw-press
- 3. The extraction or solvent

Table 4. SOYBEANS: Supply and Utilization in the United States, 1924-1952.

	Carryover	Sept. 30	4		2	01	ດ.	18	20	911	767	122	58	26	319	361	293	340	965	393	069	6000'9	12,537	14,153	7,739	4,356	5,393	2,617	3,181	2,907	4,159	3.564
	••	: Other :	: Uses/6 :		1,596	1,134	1,133	2,133	2,548	2,298	2,049	3,295	2,561	767	1,643	7,419	688	198	600° 4-	1,713	-6,731	2-2-	19,629	19,995	17,607	13,760	8,140	6,289	1,231	4,538	-2,549	-176
IH-11-Lation			:Exmorts/5		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2,161	2,450	1	19	3,490	19	1,392	4,424	10,979	284	489	617	962	5,090	2,858	3,880	2,972	23,015	13,142	27,833	17.046
	Processed	for oil	tand Meal		307	351	335	559	882	1,666	4,069	4,725	3,470	3,054	9,105	25,181	20,618	30,310	44,643	56,684	64,056	77,151	133,454	142,306	153,402	159,460	170,245	161.397	183,664	195,265	251,990	244.380
		••	:Feed/4		1,207	1,174	1,311	1,631	1,473	1,730	2,763	2,867	2,264	2,111	2,036	3,898	2,741	3,273	4,554	5,365	6666 7	3,925	6,016	5,496	3.529	3.727	2,638	2.503	2,805	2,506	2,530	2.726
	• ••	80	:Seed	00 Bushel	1,900	2,289	2.525	2,687	2,984	3,762	4.724	4,633	4.490	7,615	10,066	8.875	9.539	10,947	14,667	15.974	15,141	20,385	20,980	19,758	18,911	16.745	17.455	16, 066	15,945	19,021	18,225	19.097
	:Total	-dins:	: ply/3	1.0	5,012	4.948	5,305	7,010	7.957	9.572	14.099	17,803	15,293	13.573	23,188	49.224	34,099	46,460	62,249	91,108	78,439	107,887	193,533	202,670	206,278	200,906	207.751	191.844	148.922	237.379	302,188	286.647
	:Imports	for Con-	sumption :		60	な	67	70	64	64	54	67	13	9	5	4	17	e	3	2	г	13	8	123	1	/8	100	100	16	4	2	-
Gunnler	Fridmo	Produo-	:tion/2		4.947	4.875	5.239	6.938	7,880	9.438	13,929	17.260	15,158	13.509	23.157	106.87	33.721	46.164	61,906	171.06	78,045	107,197	187.524	190.133	192,121	193,167	203, 395	186.451	227.217	234.194	299,279	282.477
	Total	Stocks	:0et. 1/1		5	2	/8	102	/8	02	911	767	122	58	26	319	361	293	340	965	393	069	60009	12.537	14.153	7.739	4.356	5.393	2.617	3,181	2.907	4.159
	Year	Besinning	October 1		1924-25	1925-26	1926-27	1927-28	1928-29	1929-30	1930-31	1931-32	1932-33	1933-34	1934-35	1935-36	1936-37	1937-38	1938-39	1939-40	1940-41	27-1761	1942-43	1943-44	1944-45	1945-46	1946-47	1947-48	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51	1951-52

Table 4 (sonel.)

- /1 Factory and warehouse stocks only, through Oot. 1, 1941; total stocks, Oct. 1, 1942 and subsequently.
- Crop of year listed first, e.g., the 1924 crop was 4,947,000 bushels. 2
- Sum of stocks, production and imports. The "totel supply" figures for years travious to 1942-43 are incomplete to the extent they do not include stocks on farms, in country elevators, and at terminal markets. (Such stocks wore not reported provious to Oct. 1, 1942.) Figures are not adjusted for new crop soybeans used in September. 3
- /4 Fed to livestock on farms where produced.
- Data not available for years previous to 1931-32. Includes shipments to U. S. territories, 1937-38 through 1950-51. 5
- It may also include small quantities used for human food. Prior to 1931-32 it Residual item. This includes soybeans fed to livestook other than on farms where the soybeans quantities shown for some years are explainable largely by unreported stocks (prior to Oct. includes exports. Prior to 1937-38 it includes ship ents to U. S. territories. The minus 1942) and by the use of new crop soybeans in September of year of production. were produced. 9
- Factory and werehouse stocks only, through September 30, 1941; total stocks, Sept. 30, 1942 and subsequently. で
- /8 Less than 500 bushels.

Source of data: 1953 Soybean Blue Book, American Soybean Association, Hudson, Iowa.

The Hydraulic Process. In the earlier years of the processing industry the hydraulic method was the only one known. It had been used extensively throughout the South in obtaining the oil from cottonseed. This method was also used in the North and Northwest and in some Atlantic Coast plants in squeezing linseed oil from flax. When soybean oil first began to become an article of commerce, it was only natural that the hydraulic method---comparatively inefficient as it was--should have been turned to in the construction of the first soybean processing plants. In this processing method the soybeans are first heated to high temperatures if they are high in moisture and then rolled into flakes and cooked. Very high pressure is then applied to this cooked mass, with a plunger or ram slowly sourceing the oil from it. The oil itself runs out on the sides, where it is recovered and piped into vats and tanks for ultimate refirement. The residue remaining after the oil is removed is a hard compact mass, called "oil cake". The cake is then ground to produce the soybean meal.

The hydraulic method extracts approximately  $8\frac{1}{2}$  pounds of soybean oil and produces about  $48\frac{1}{2}$  pounds of meal per bushel of soybeans and remaining three pounds is wasted in the extraction process.

Because of the inability of processors to recover as much oil by the hydraulic method as they can by either of the other two, no new hydraulic type processing plants have been erected for many years. This method of soybean processing gradually began passing out of usage as plants reached obsolescence.

The Expeller Process. About 1930 a more efficient process of getting the oil from the soybeans came into general use. This became known as the "screw press" or "expeller" method. By this method the oil is removed from the heated

soybean by a continuous pressing process operating on somewhat the same principle as an ordinary household food or mest grinder. The oil is piped off for filtering to remove impurities before placing in storage. The residue remaining after removing the oil by this method is known to the trade as expeller soybean chips; when ground, as expeller soybean meal. Under it about nine pounds of oil and 48 pounds of meal are produced per bushel of soybeans.

The Extraction Method. Just as the expeller or screw press supplanted the hydraulic method, a new technological process is now displacing the expeller technique in separating the oil from the protein bearing mass in the soybean. It is known as "continuous solvent extraction." It involves both a chemical and a mechanical process. As in the other two methods, the soybeans are first beated. They are then crushed and flaked. The flakes are then put into large vertical tanks filled with organic solvents, such as petroleum hydracarbons, alcohols, etc. These chemicals have the ability to combine with the oil that is in the flakes. The combined oil and solvent mixture is then drained out of the large vertical cylinders and distilled. The solvent liquid is recovered and returned to the tanks while the crude soybean oil is run through a series of filters on its way to storage tanks.

The flakes from which the oil has been removed are relatively high in protein content. They are sold commercially as soybean flakes or when ground and toasted, as extracted soybean meal. By this process there is a recovery from 95 to 98 percent of the oil content in the bean. Using this method approximately 10 3/4 pounds of oil and 46 1/4 pounds of meal are produced from a bushel of soybeans.

### Products From Soybean Processing

The yield from a bushel (60 pounds) of soybeans processed by screw presses

is about nine pounds of oil and 48 pounds of meal. The meal contains from 40 to 45 percent protein and 4.0 to 5.5 percent oil. The average yield from a bushel of soybeans processed by solvent extraction is about 10.5 pounds of oil and 45 pounds of meal. Solvent extracted meal contains 43-48 percent protein and 1.0 percent or less oil.

Grude soybean oil first attained commercial importance in the United States when large quantities were imported from the Orient to replace fats and oils exported to Europe. Factory production of crude soybean oil has increased 175 times since 1930 to 1951. The U. S. produced approximately two and one-half billion pounds in 1951 (Table 5). Since 1941, imports of oil have declined to practically zero, while U. S. production has kept pace with the increased demands made on soybean oil production. During this period of increased demand, U. S. exports have increased from five million pounds in 1930 to a high of 503.7 million pounds in 1951.

Soybean oil has a combination of properties that qualify it as an ingredient for a wide variety of manufactures food and industrial products. Soybean oil which has a low content of free fatty acid, a low refining loss, and a good flavor and color when carefully refined, was used in the manufacture of more than 50 products of human consumption (Table 6). The use of soybean oil for food was stimulated by the demand for vegetable oils during World War II. Frior to World War II in 1933 only 30 percent of the oil produced was used for edible products and 70 percent was used for non-food products (Table 7). However, since that time a complete switch-over has occurred until in 1951, 84 percent was used for food purposes and 16 percent for nonfood purposes.

Of the oil used for food purposes, approximately half of it has been used

	: Apparent : Disappear- : ance	1,000 lb.	17,709	499,126 555,857	711,035	1,229,603	1,409,305	1,472,025	1,770,948	1,841,920 1,698,963
_	: Factory : and : Warehouse : Stocks	1,000 lb.	31,090	113,020	144,139	123,323	163,937	193,199	154,065	/4 194,307
	: Net : Imports : or net : exports : (-)	1,000 Ib.	2,869	11,307	19,428	79,513	-109.689	85,304	299.790	170,052
	Reexports	1,000 1b.	517	11	11	11	11	11	1	11
	Exports	1,000 Ib.	4,962	12,954	19,428	79,513	109.760	85,669 363,981	299,790	170,053
	: Imports/L	1,000 lb.	8,348 14,249	4,849	12	12	15	365 239	12	1-
· >/	: Factory : Production	1,000 lb.	14,387	585,629	1,233,751	1,245,873	1,454,339	1 604 320 1 859 066	2,074,702	2,472,838
	Year		1930	1941	1942	1944	1946	1948	1950	1952

Soybean oil, orude basis: production, trade stocks December 31, and apparent disappearance, Table 5.

-

đ

Ē

Jan -Sept.

/l Imports for consumption beginning January 1934. /2 Less than 500 pounds. /2 September 30. /2 September 30. Source: 1953 Soybean Blue Book, American Soybean Association, Hudson, Iowa.

Table 6.	Soybean Utilization.	(From U.	S.	Department	of	Agriculture
	Bulletin No. 2038)					

			-		
			Silage	L	h-
	1	Forage .	Hay	Cat	Beer brewing
		Finel#	Soflage	Cattle	Flakes
		Runfumal	and a man	Dog	Flour (see Mature
		ran unar	-	- VE	hoome
	_		Line the materials	We -h	Deans
1		Green manure	Adhesive materials	risn	
	60	Greens**	Core binder	Fur-berring	Grits
	R			animals	
	La	Honey plant	Enulsifier	Pheasant	Seasoning powders
	P.4	Pasture	Feeds	Poultry	Sauce
		Tobacco###	Fertilizers	Quail	Sugar
		Substitute	Giue	Rebbit	Milk (see Mature
	- 0	Loub at out o	Plastics	Sheen	beens)
			11030103	Crimo	Uitano
		τ.,		Datue	IN THE
		Meal	rood rroducts		-
					F
			Industrial protein		Artificial wool
			L		Candy
			andles	1_	Fire-fighting foam
AN			Calking compounds	Cooking oil	Paper size
믱			biolulia	Dip-coating	Tertile dressing
R			Core oil	Margarine	Water Paint
3			liginfootonta	Merronnelao	Votowowoofing
			Isiniecoants	Maddadual add	This and a series
			etectrical insulation	redictual off	Murbhing bowders
			FRENEIS	Salad Oll	L
			Food products	Shortening	
			Fuol	-	
			Glycerin	andy	
			Insecticides	Cosmetics	
			Leather dressing	Chocolate coati	ng
			Lecithin	Cocoa	
	-	011	Lighting	Fulsifier	
- 1			Lindaum	Desoline stabil	izer
- 1	-		Lubriant	Leathon tonning	~~~~
	10		Odlalath	concr. comming	
	m		DITCIOLU	argarine	
			raints	edicines	
1			Printing ink	fextile dyeing	
			Rubber substitutes	Mood preservati	Ve
			Synthetic resins	L	
			Soaps	Hard	
			Varnish	Liquid	
		A	Waterproof for	Soft	
			cement		
			Waterproof goods	-	
			Prove Bookb		

Table 6 (concl.)

Immature beans Mature beans	Canned Dehydrated Fresh Quick-frozen Pickled Succotash Baked Boiled Feeds	Cattle Pigeons Poultry Quail Sheep Swine Eeverages Candied Salted	Faked products Bee food Breakfast foods Candy Chocolate Diabetic foods Health foods Ice-cream comes Ice-cream powder Infant foods Insecticides (sticker) Macaroni products Meat products (extender) Noodle products Milk Spreads
	Milk . Sprouts. Cannod Fresh Quick- frozen	Canned Condensed Curd Fermented Fresh Powder	Cenned Dried Fermented Fresh Smoked

\*Roots and coarse stems are used as fuel in China, Manchuria, and Korea. \*\*In many parts of China the plants, when 3 to 4 inchos high, are used as greens.

\*\*\*In Manchuria and Korea the leaves are cured and smoked as tobacco.

Source: 1953 Soybean Blue Book, American Soybean Association, Hudson, Iowa.
SOUBEAN OIL: Utilization by Classes of Products, United States, 1931-1952. Percentage of Total Domestic Disappearance. Table 7.

H

:Total :Total :domestio :disap- :pearance	Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100°0	
: :Totel. :	Percent	46.6	51.5	69.5	57.5	26.7	15.7	22.1	16.1	14.3	18.5	22.3	15.8	14.3	10.0	10.5	12.2	18.6	18.3	21.4	17.6	15.9	
tta oss oil n foots	Percent	4.6	2.9	2.7	2.7	5.3	0.7:	5.4	4.6	3.6	4.2	4.8	5.8	0°5	5.6	5.3	5.4	4.8	6.4	5.2	4.6	4. 5 K	
rod produc Miscel- : Laneous : non-food: products:	Percent	2.6	2.2	3.7	3.1	.7	.7	°09	80.	6.	1.5	1.9	6.	1.3	1.2	I.3	1.7	2.5	2.2	3.0	2.4	2.9	
Nonf Sother Sdrying : soil :	t Percent	10.7	13.1	22.6	13.2	5.6	2.2	1.5	2.1	2.6	3.3	3.7	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.6	2.6	4.8	4.2	5.9	4.ele	3.6	
Paint and Varnish	Percen	17.8	19.1	27.1	34.1	12.6	6.5	8° 80	5.0	4.8	6.0	7.4	3.6	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.2	6.1	6.8	7.2	6.1	4.8	
Scap : : : : :	Percent	10.9	14.2	13.4	4.4	2.5	2.3	5.6	3.6	2.4	3.5	4.5	4.4	1.4	<b>د.</b>	e.	<b>ش</b>	•4•	2.	ч.	-	1.	
Total	Percent	53.4	48.5	30.5	42.5	73.3	84.3	77.9	83.9	85.7	81.5	77.7	84.2	85.7	90.06	89.5	87.8	81.4	81.7	78.6	82.4	24.1	
iucts Other	Percent	20.7	36.1	28.9	33.5	20.7	26.7	11.0	25.9	25.8	21.5	25.2	18.2	18,1	22.4	19.2	20.8	17.1	16.3	15.4	17.7	18.9	
Food proc Shorten- Inĝ	Percent	30.9	12.4	1.6	8.9	50.9	51.2	49.6	6.44	44.3	42.5	38.9	47.2	50.1	50.4	54.0	52.8	48.6	48.1	46.4	47.4	39.7	
Marga-:	Percent	1.8	*	×	۲.	1.7	6.4	17.3	13.1	15.6	17.5	13.6	18.8	17.5	17.2	16.3	14.2	15.7	17.3	16.8	17.3	25.5	
Teer		1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	

\*Less than .05 percent. Source: 1953 Soybern Blue Book, American Soybern Association, Hudson, Lova.

34

t

for shortening-this has been true since 1935. Utilization of soybean oil for margarine has increased from seven thousand pounds in 1933 to 469 million pounds in 1951 (Table 8). Percentagewise the oil used for food purposes, has increased from less than one-half of one percent to 25.5 percent in 1951.

Although 70 to 90 percent of the annual consumption of soybean oil in the United States has been in the food industries since 1935, there has been a rapid poundage increase in its industrial use (Table 8). The paint and varnish industry consumed the largest amount, 2 to 8 percent (Table 7). The usage of soybean oil for soap manufacture has declined to an amount of very little significance in the total usage of soybean oil.

From every bushel of soybeans about 80 percent of the resultant product was soybean meal and 20 percent crude soybean oil. In the preceding discussion mention was made of the increase in soybean oil production--however, now that 80 percent of the soybean crop is made into meal, some idea is gained as to the phenomenal increase in production of soybean meal. Between 1925 and 1938 the domestic production of meal was below a million tons per year (Table 8). Starting in 1938-39 crop year the production of soybean meal exceeded one million tons and has increased since then to over  $5\frac{1}{2}$  million tons in 1952. The United States was in need of high protein feed in the 20's and 30's and imported between 12 to 28 thousand tons during this time. During the 1940's and 1950's the United States exported soybean meal from a low in 1945-46 of less than a thousand tons to 181,000 tons in 1950-51.

The principal use of soybean meal in the United States was as a livestock feed (Table 8).

It can be estimated that from 90 to 95 percent of the total domestic disappearance has been for this use. Amounts used for feed have increased

Table 8. SOTBEAN OIL: Utilization by Classes of Products, United States, 1931-1951.

	86	Food pro	ducts				: Non	food prodi	acta		
	••	••	**	••	85		:Other	:Miscel-	:Loss	E	otal
Tear	-Marga-	:Shorten-	:0ther/1	Total	:Soap	Paint	:drying	:leneous	:includi	B:Total:d	omestio
	euir:	Sul:	••	••		and	.oil pro-	inon-food	ni lio:	5 5	dsap-
1	••	••	••	**	85	dsimish :	:ducts/2	: products	:foots/3	ц ••	earance
	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	pounds	pounds	pounds	pounds	pounds	pounds	pounds	pounds	pounds	spunod	pounds
		-									
1931	622	2 10,869	7,261	18,752	3,816	6,256	3,773	923	1.625	. 16,393	35.145
1932	<i>c.</i> 1	9 4, C89	14,166	19,058	5,571	7,485	5,139	244	1,153	20,197	39.255
1933		637 4	9,153	679 676	4.235	8,568	7,150	1,182	867	22,002	31.651
1934	24	4 2,735	10,284	13,043	1,354	10,451	4.062	676	823	17,639	30.682
1935	1,740	0 52,452	21,366	75,558	2,549	13,003	5,784	672	5.468	27,553	103,111
1936	14,261	1 113,897	59,270	187.428	5,023	14.471	4.821	1.532	8,959	34.806	222 .231
1937	31,791	864.06 1	20,037	142,626	10,274	16,143	2,625	1,367	9,926	40.395	183.021
1938	39,835	5 137,133	79,21,7	256,265	10,897	15,183	6,601	2,403	14,046	49,130	305,395
1939	70,825	2 201,599	117,297	389,718	11,177	21,720	11,633	4,199	16,265	64,994	454.712
1940	87,103	3 212,317	107,468	406,388	17,612	29,828	16,422	7.442	20,924	92,238	499,126
1941	75,634	4 215,967	711,011	431,748	24,737	41.594	20,816	10,550	26,412	124,109	555,857
1942	133,346	5 335,555	129,530	598,431	31,510	25,307	8,115	6,132	41,540	409,211	711,035
1943	198,020	0 568,405	205,263	971,638	15,428	20,462	18,512	14,884	92,999	162,285	1,133,973
1944	211,105	5 620,257	274,856	1,106,218	3,258	201,911	17,543	14,295	69,184	123,385	1,229,603
1945	206,642	2 683,011	242,769	1,132,422	4,219	25,624	20,133	16,443	66,322	132,741	1,265,163
1946	200,681	1 743,527	292,744	1,236,952	3,545	30,435	36,490	24,443	77.440	172.353	1,409,305
1947	227,595	5 705,280	245,047	1,150,922	5,375	167.68	69,316	36,737	69,184	270,103	1.451.024
1948	255,213	3 707,530	239,211	1,201,954	2,794	100,195	61,728	32,308	73.046	270,075	1.472.025
1949	257,28	7 712,154	235,933	1,205,374	1,991	109,893	90,415	45,556	79,667	327,522	1.532.896
1950	305,791	1 839,641	312,811	1,458,233	1.448	107,263	78.599	43,160	82,245	312,715	1.777.948
1951	169.190	730.795	31.9.276	1.549.561	1.677	87.762	66.671	52.582	83.665	292.357	1.841.918

Compiled as follows:

Utilization in margarine: 1931 through June 1950, from reports of the Commissionar of Intornal Revenue; July 1950 and subsequently, from Bureau of the Census.

Table 8 (concl.)

Utilization in other products, factory consumption, from Dursau of the Cansus, Animal and Vegetable Fats and Oils, except as noted.

- that is, the difference between total domestic disappearance and total fectory consumption, including loss and oil in foots. Includes unrep rted disappearance of soybean oil; A Mainly utilization in salad and cooking oils, mayonneise, and salad dressings, and direct use in homes, bakeries, restaurants and institutions.
  - Difference between total estimated use in drying-oil products and feotory consumption in paint and Varnish. 2
- /3 Foots are used in nonfood products, largely in the manufacture of some and fatty acids. Estimated since Nume 1942 as the difference between orude oil used in refining and production of refined oil. Source: 1953 Soybean Blue Book, American Soybean Association, Hudson, Lova.

along with its production from 26 thousand tons in 1924-25 to over 5 million tons in 1951-52 (Table 9).

Although research chemists have been studying the value of soybean moal for industrial purposes, the tonnage thus consumed was small in comparison with the quantity produced. The tonnage used for food, industry and other nonfood usages has ranged from 18 thousand tons in 1934 to 199 thousand tons in 1946-47 (Table 9).

The use of meal in the form of flour, grits, and flakes for human food has become important in several European countries, in North America, and in the Orient. Less than one percent of the production of soybean meal was used in making soy flour in years preceding World War II. During the war the manufacture of flour greatly increased, and the quantity produced in 1943 was equivalent to about three percent of the total soybean crop.

The quostion has been asked, what has been the trend in usage of soybeen meal in comparison with other oilseed cake and meal? This relation is given in (Table 10).

Of the total oilsoed cake and meal used for livestock use, the soybean meal has taken over as the leader in furnishing high protein feed for livestock. As a percent of the total oilseed cake and meal used--soybean meal new comprises between 60 to 65 percent of the total oilseed meals used for livestock. This percentage has gradually increased from five percent of the total in 1930 to a high of 66 percent in 1950.

## SOYBEAN CRUSHING CAPACITY

Hieronymous made the following statements in his revised Ph.D. thesis:

The first crushing of domestically produced soybeans was at Chicago Heights, Illinois, in 1921. During the early 1920's several established Table 9. SOYDEAN OIL NEAL AND CAKE: Supply and Utilization in the United States, 1924-1951.

	Supply 1,000	Tons		: Utilization	1.000 Tons	
Tear		**		: For	od, Industry	**
Beginning October 1	: Domestic : Production	: :Imports	: Total : Supply	: Exports : Non-	and other -feed*	: Feed
7071 3C	76	18.2	25.0	I	i	25.9
1925-26	9.6	19.8	28.4	1	1	28.4
1926.27	0	23.9	32.2	1	1	32.2
1927-28	13.7	17.7	61.4	ł	1	61.4
1928-29	21.5	69.5	0.16	1	1	91.0
1929-30	10.7	73.5	114.2	1	1	114.2
1930-31	98.6	24.0	122.6	ł	ł	122.6
1931-32	114.7	18.6	133.3	-	1	133.3
1932-33	84.3	28.3	112.6	1	ł	112.6
1933-34	73.9	25.0	98.9	ł	ł	98.9
1934-35	220.4	64.2	284.6	1	18.0	266.6
1935-36	613.1	20.0	633.1	1	19.0	614.1
1936-37	495.8	55.7	551.5	1	20.0	531.5
1937-38	1.427	15.5	739.6	1	21.0	718.6
1938-39	1,064.4	12.3	1,076.7	35.0	22.0	1,019.7
1939-40	1,348.8	12.1	1,630.9	62.3	23.0	1,275.6
1940-41	1,543.4	8.1	1,551.5	25.4	35.0	1,491.1
1941-42	1.844.9	0	1,844.9	19.7	40.1	1,785.1
1942-43	3,200.3	0	3,200.3	20.9	105.5	3,073.9
1943-44	3.446.0	0	3,446.0	16.1	107.1	3,322.8
1944-45	3,698.5	0	3,698.5	10°0	61.4	3,627.1
1945-46	3,837.3	1	3,837.3	6.	181.4	3,655.0
1946-47	4.086.4	0	4.086.4	7.11I	199.3	3,745.4
1947-48	3,832.7	0	3,832.7	7.26	353.8	3,383.2
1948-49	4.330.5	3.2	4,333.7	150.6	25.6	4,158.0
1949-50	4.535.6	26.1	4,611.7	47.4	47.3	4,517.0
1950-51	5.896.8	32.8	5.929.6	181.1	39.5 -	5,709.0
1951-52**	5.703.7	24.1	5.727.8	1.8	48.0	5.638.0
*Estimated.						
Source: 1953	Soybean Blue Boc	ok, American	Soybean Asso	cition, Hudson, Io	W.G.	

Table 10. By-Product Feeds: Estimated Use for Foed, 1930-1952.

	:Soybean Cake & :Neal as Percent :of Total Oilseed :Neal & Cake		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	995 998 998 998 998 998 998 998 998 998	66% 61% 63% ricultural
	: Total Oilseed : Cake & Meal	1,000 tons	2,392 2,107 2,107 2,107 3,452 3,481 3,481 3,481	4,386 4,623 6,259 6,259 6,258 5,837 7,303 7,303 7,303 7,835	8,641 8,900 9,000 9, Bureau of Ag
The second s	: Linsced : Cake & Moal	1,000 tons	334 202 202 202 202 203 203 203 203 203	147 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 17	732 650 475 it of Agricultur
A REAL PROPERTY OF A REA	: Cottonseed : Cake & Meal	1,000 tons	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	2,277 2,077 2,077 2,077 2,277 2,277 2,277 2,372 2,372	1,849 2,550 2,575 2,575 1 States Departmen
	: Soybean : Cako & Meal	1,000 tons	1133 1133 99 5887 5680 7333 1,235 1,235	н н с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с	5,709 5,400 5.700 5.100 Unite
	fear beginning October 1		1930 1931 1932 1934 1935 1935 1936 1938	1940 1941 1942 1944 1946 1946 1948	1950 1951 1952 Source: THE FEED

firms processing corn and oil seeds other than soybeans, and some Midwest feed anufacturers, started processing soybeans. The supply of raw materials was too short to insure steady operations. These early processors took the lead in promoting the culture of soybeans in the Midwest. It is interesting to note that from the very beginning the processing capacity has led the production of soybeans. Of the 1924 crop. 307.000 bushels were crushed in the United States. During 1933-34 the volume crushed was about three million bushels. Between 1933 and 1939 production increased from 13.5 million to 90 million hushels while proces ing volume increased from 3 to 57 million hushels. The second large increase was in 1942 as a result of the increased war demand for oils and high protein feeds. Production jumped from 97 million bushels in 1940 to 193 million in 1943, at which general volume it seems to have leveled off. The crush increased from 59 million bushels in 1940 to 133 million in 1942-43, 153 million in 1944-45, 170 million in 1946-47, 161 million in 1947-48, and 183 million in 1948-49.

For the most part the soybean processing industry consists of plants which crush only soybeans. A few crush soybeans most of the time hut no texclusively. In addition, a large number of mills, mainly cottonseed crushing plants, handle some soybeans during off seasons. Since they are of minor importance this latter type of plant is omitted.

By 1937 there were 26 soybean processing plants in the United States; by 1939 there were 47. By 1939 the industry had begun to take shape. The leading production area was Illinois; it was also the leading processing area. The number of plants in the various states approximates their proportionate importance in production. The relative importance of oilseed crushers not specializing in soybeans had materially decreased. By 1939 there was a marked tendency for soybeans to be processed near the point where they were produced and for each plant to have its own supply area. This tendency has continued down to date. The transportation economics are such that plants are located near their source of raw materials.

In 1942 there were 79 plants in the United States whose main operation was the processing of soybeans. They had an estimated capacity of 106 million bushels per year. By 1944 the capacity had increased to 173 million hushels in 137 plants, an increase of 61 percent. There was a further increase of 23 plants in 1945 for a total of 160 with a capacity of 189 million bushels. In 1945 there were 185 plants with a capacity of about 200 million bushels.

There is a considerable amount of integration in the soybeen processing and product industries. Large plants are generally associated with firms that are also engaged in either the manufacture of feed or the refining of oil. Integration tends to follow one of the two major product lines but not both. In many of the cases it should be considered that the processing plant is a part of an integrated feed manufacturing or oil product business because of the nature of the origin of the husiness. Originally oil refineries and manufacturers of food products and feed manufacturers integrated toward their source of supplies of raw materials, that is, they entored the soybean processing field. Processing plants should not be considered as subsidiaries or branches of large firms. They are, in the main, major divisi ns and operato independently. Large plants are not integrated backward toward soybean sources.

Integration in small firms is of one pattern and varies only in degree. They buy raw materials direct from farmers, including in their operations the grein merchandising functions, or they buy direct from country elevators. In general, their oil is sold into regular wholesale channels. Part, and in some cases all, of the meal is sold to retailers and farmers. Most small plants have a considerable "back door" or retail business. By colling to retailers they are able to sell at a minimum distance from plant.

There are three methods by which oil is soparated from the remainder of the soybean: (1) the expeller, or continuous process method; (2) the solvent extraction method; and (3) the hydraulic-press method. The hydraulic-press method is the least efficient and is so minor that it can be disregarded here. The expeller process is the oldest and most widely used in the United States. About nine pounds of oil and 48 pounds of meal containing 41 percent protein and 4 percent oil are obtained from a 60-pound bushel of soybeans. Of more recent development is the solvent process. This method yields 11 pounds of oil and 46 pounds of meal from a 60-pound bushel. The meal contains 44 percent protein.

The solvent process is the most efficient, yielding two pounds more oil and two pounds less meal. Oil, on a pound basis, is the more valuable of the two products. There are indic tions that the average oil content of soybeans is increasing. This tonds to make the advantage even more marked. In recent years, the expansion of the solvent process has been at a rapid rate. In 1941, 22 percent of the total processing in the United States was by this mothod; in 1944, 27 percent; in 1945, 31 percent; in 1948, about 38 percent; in 1949, 42 percent; and indications for 1950 are about 50 percent.

The expansion of the solvent process has been largely an addition to the capacity of the industry. Solvent equipment cannot be substituted for expeller. It requires a new plant. As yet firms do not seem to be retiring much expellor equipment when the solvent process is installed.

The solvent process is somewhat more expensive than the expeller. About twice as large a capital investment in plant per bushel of capacity is required. On the basis of current building costs and assuming a 20 year life expectancy of plant, the extra capital cost amounts to only about one-fourth to one-half cent per bushel. There is no authoritative basis for estimating the oxtra operating cost of the colvent process. The bost estimate available, which we consider reliable, is about 3 cents per bushel. Meal from the solvent process is not as desirable as that from expeller mills. It sells at a discount of about \$1 per ton. This is the equivalent of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  cents per bushel of soybeans. This discount on 44 percent meal is probably a temporary phenomenon arising out of reluctance of feed manufacturers to change their formulas to compensate for the lower fat content and from farmer prejudice.

The total cost disadvantage of the solvent process amounts to about 11 cents per bushel, the advantage is the value of two pounds of cil. Oil would have to sell for 5.5 cents per pound to completely eliminate the advantage of the solvent process, a much lower price than can be reasonably anticipated.

The crushing capacity in all years has seen more capacity to crush than thore have been supplies of soybeans. The growth of the solvent process has added to the capacity and its advantage is groat enough that its expansion may be expected to continue. Clearly, all of the solvent capacity will be used during the conversion period. The marginal expeller capacity may be expected to go out of use as the solvent capacity expends. Forcing the retirement of plants that have only one use leads to a situation of intense competition. For the most part, expeller plants represent sunk expital. They will operate so long as variable costs can be realized.

In an industry with a large number of firms it would be expected that the least efficient plants would be forced out by the growth of the solvent process. But soybean processing is an industry with a small number of firms. The large firms own both kinds of plants. They tend to average out the earnings of each plant. Each firm buys raw matorials and sells products on the basis of average cost rather than on marginal cost. The average costs of the large firms tend to be similar. The result is that large firms share the supplies of soybeans; the effect of an increasing capacity is to reduce, by a fairly equal proportion, the volume of crush of oach firm.

The firms most affected by the expansion of the solvent process are those that have only expeller equipment. They are medium-sized and small firms and they possess certain advantages enabling them to compete with the large firms. They buy a part of their requirement direct from farmers, taking an elevator margin. A large proportion of their purchases is delivered to their plants saving an average of about 2 cents per bushel inbound freight cost. They have developed local outlets for meal, a part of it being sold at retail with a standard mark-up of \$10 per ton, which amounts to 24 cents per bushel of soybeans. /1

Crushing capacity of soybean mills has increased faster than production, as was pointed out by Hieronymous. The estimated crushing capacity

<sup>/1</sup> T. A. Hieronymous, <u>Risking in Sovbeans</u>, Revised Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.

for the 1951-52 season on a ten month basis was 284 million bushels--proving again that the crushing capacity has increased faster than production-during that year the production emounted to 282 million bushels, out of which only 244 million were processed for oil and meal.

Of the estimated industry total of 190 soybean mills, 107 of these mills were located in Illinois, Iowa, Ohio, Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Kanses, and Nebraska or the central soybean producing states (Table 11). These eight states have within their boundaries 85 percent of the crushing capacity, with Illinois leading with a high of 41 percent of total crushing capacity. Kansas has an estimated three percent of the total crushing capacity and crushed only two percent of total bushels crushed. It is estimated that Kansas crushes 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> million bushels of soybeans annually (1951-52). Actual crushing in Kansas has not exceeded the production in this state, at least this was true during the 1951-52 crop year.

According to the 1953 Soybean Blue Book published by the American Soybean Association, six mills were located at Emporia, Coffeyville, Fredonia, Girard, and Wichita. Available statistics on Kansas soybean processors can be found in Table 12.

## PRICES RECEIVED FOR SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES

The price which farmers receive for soybeans in the United States is determined by several factors, including: (1) the price users will pay processors for soybean oil; (2) the price users will pay processors for soybean meal; (3) the processing margin, or the amount that processors are able to obtain for their services; and (4) transportation and other handling costs on beans and products.

The price paid for a bushel of soybeans by processors is determined by

Areas	
A	
Utilized,	
Capacity	
Estimated	
and	
NILLS	
011	
ty of Soybean	2 Season (1)
Capaci <sup>+</sup>	1951-5
Crushing	s. U. S
Estimated	and States
Table 11.	

: Est. Crushing : : Capacity :Soybeans Crushed :Capacity	Pure Mixed : 10 Month Basis :1951-52 Seapon(1):Utilized	. : % : No. : % : 1,000 Bu, : % : 1,000 Bu, 4 % : %		7 22 3 5 115,925 41 84,999 40 73	77 22 2 3 45,191 16 32,796 16 73	A 12 0 0 27,122 9 22,501 11 83	0 8 0 0 22,984 8 19,754 9 86	5 4 1 1 10,889 4 9,003 4 83	7 6 2 3 10,482 3 6,738 3 64	5 4 1 1 7,388 3 4,596 2 00	3 2 0 0 1.810 1 1.550 1 60	18 En 9 13 241 791 85 181 943 86 15		3 2 0 0 7,470 3 5,434 3 13	1 1 9 14 6,137* 2 5,385 3 00	2 2 11 16 2,497* 1 2,043 1 04	1 1 5 7 1,270* - 785 - 04	3 2 3 5 5,653* 2 (2)	2 2 11 16 4,824* 2 (2)	1 1 5 7 1,950 <sup>*</sup> 1 (2)	1 1 3 5 1,513° 1 (4)	0 0 4 TO T <sup>2</sup> 025± 141	(c)		1 1 2 3 886* - 14/	1 2 1 2 6.116 2 (2)		3 2 1 2 1,961 1 (2)	
alliy r	Mixed	% : NO. 2		22 3	22 22	12 0	0	4 1	6 2	4 1	2 0	80 9		2	1	2 11	1 5	2 3	2 11	1	с I	6 0			1 2	۲ ۲	4	2 1	
er of Sovheer	o'u'e	% : No. :	ng States	16 27	15 27	8 14	5 10	3	4 7	3	2 3	56 98	states	2 3	5 1	7 2	3 1	3	7 2	Э	1	9		2	2	1 0	4	3	
đrunh	Tota	: Mu. :	Sovbean Product	30	50	71	P	ota 6	6	9	ca. 3	tal 107	Soybean Producing	Q 3	10 10	olina 13	1a 6	9 9 996	aippi 13	a 6	this 4	oline 7	lorida &	e	e B	Pa., &	and a second		

Table 11 (concl.)

October 1951 through July 1952. Information not available. ଳିତ୍ୟ

\*Cottonbelt states arushing capacity estimated on 6-month basis in order to allow for crushing of other seeds.

Source: 1953 Soybean Blue Book, American Soybean Association, Rudson, Loug.

Kansas Soybean Processors, Type of Process, Crushing Capacity, Storage Capacity, and Sorvicing Railroads. Table 12.

: Servioing : Railroads	MKT, ATSF		1'P, ATSF, SLSF	SLSF, ATSF	R.I.	ATSF, NP, R.I.
: Storage : Capacity	(Bushel) 300,000	330,000		200,000	225,000	75,000
: Crushing : Capacity	(Tons/Day) 40 120	55		07.	100	40
: Type of Process	. 3, screw press liezane Solvent	2 expellers	Expeller plant	2, acrew press	5, screw press	, 2, screw press Blaw-Knox Hexane Selvent
Processor.	Kensas Soya Products Co Ino., Emporta, Ks., and Kansas City, Ks.	Consumers Cooperative Ass'n. Soybean Mill Coffeyville, Ns.	Archer-Daniels-Midland Co., Fredonia, Ks.	Producers Cooperative Ass'n., Girard, Ka.	Kansas Seya Products Co., Inc., Enporia, Ka.	Soya-Rich Products Inc. Wichita, Kanaas

Source: The Soybean Blue Boak, 1953, American Soybean Association, Hudson, Iowa.

the supply and demand for soybeen oil and meal. A processor will pay within approximately 30 cents per bushel of what the cil and meal portions are worth at that time. The 30 cents is considered the processing margin taken by processors in arriving at the price paid for a bushel of soybeans. The processor also takes into consideration what he can sell the  $8\frac{1}{2}$  pounds of oil and 48 pounds of meal for, before he makes a bid.

The general trend in prices since 1934 has shown a gradual price rise geared to the inflationary pressures, large consumer buying power in the United States, increases in exports by aid and restriction of world trade in fats and oile. The period since 1934 has been influenced eeveral times by man-made price floors and ceilings. The all-time record price paid for a bushel of soybeens on the Chicago market was June, 1947 (Table 13). Prices ehowed a gradual decline from a high in May of 1925 to a low in the depression years of 44 cents in December, 1932 (Table 14). From that time, the opening of World War II prices moved up and down within a narrow range. With the beginning of World War II due to factors already discussed, prices rose in response to war demands. With the ending of hostilities of World War II until the present, prices have declined somewhat but have kept pace with export demands, increased livestock usage, in response to unprecedented consumer demand and inflationary pressures.

A weekly tabulation of price for No. 2 yellow soybeans on the Kansas City market as published by the "Kansas City Grain Market Review" was used to reflect prices applicable to Southeast Kansas (Table 15).

The availability of cost quotations representative to Kansas proved to be the greatest limitation in this study. Since the issuence of cash quotations by the Grain Market Review beginning in April of 1949, a short price

Table 13. Soybeens, No. 2 Yellow: Avorage price per bushel at Illinois country shipping points, by months, 1942-1/

Average	Dol.		3.25	2.52	2.97			(led from
Sept.	Dol.		3.14	2.45	2.98			1. Compi
: Aug.	Dol.		3.17	2.75	3.25			lesoarch
July	Dol.		3.30	2.50 3.10	2.94			ricel R
June	Dol.		3.12	3.00	3.17			able. d liisto stratio
May	Dol.		3.12	2.90	3.24 2.92 2.87			t aveil loal an Admini
Apr.	Dol		3.62	2.68	3.25 2.95 2.95			are no statist keting
Mar.	Dole		3.52	2.45	3.25 2.88 2.94			on of Sand Mer
Feb.	Dol.		3.43	2,25	3.25			optembe Divisi uction
Jan.	Dol		3.07	2.20	3.10 2.85			942 - S nomics, h, Prod
Dec.	Dol		2.88	2.52	2.95			tober 1 rol. Eco n Branc
Nov. :	Dol		3.17	2.55	2.30			ages Oc ricultu he Grai
g:Oct.	Dol		3.38	2.43	2.80	×		uly ever tu of Ag
Year beginning October		1942 1943 1944 1945	1946	1948	1950 1951 1952	1953 1954 1955	1957 1958 1959	1/ Month Bures repor

<b>H</b>
0
in
0
크
1Å
N
0
-
10
Ø
-2
-13
62
D
-17
-
5
p
-
ğ
5
-
E
41
(0)
9
00
õ
b
0
03
54
ö
<b>6</b> -1
273
- Ä
Q
長
a
4
8
-
P
2
2
0
õ
9
24
0
0
0
E
G.
-
9
a
A
8
2
40
P
12
t
H
2
N
N.
-
0
H
4
F
- m

		61	01	64		-	-					
Toar	:Jan.	.Feb.	rMer.	Apr.	:May	euut:	suly.	.Aug.	:Sept.	:Oct.	.Nov.	: Dec.
			-					-	-			
1925	259	264	276	277	281	270	271	240	238	227	218	217
1926T	238	233	239	227	237	267	271	231	227	197	185	183
1927	190	203	198	207	215	220	214	206	191	<b>7</b> 56	170	164
1928	120	169	185	193	206	213	212	201	189	172	169	170
1929	182	193	213	219	230	241	246	215	187	179	169	170
1930	185	191	200	207	211	216	196	190	180	164	148	144
1931	146	140	142	138	139	129	2LL	76	83	58	52	61
1932	62	59	66	65	179	61	58	58	57	55	45	44
1933	45	45	148	53	86	98	104	76	58	63	69	73
1934	81	TOT	911	126	126	145	154	125	105	56	68	111
1935	911	126	120	118	121	119	98	73	69	68	69	22
1936	76	Let	78	78	83	85	105	119	OTI	107	2112	130
1937	142	150	152	166	174	150	132	102	06	93	83	83
1938	83	93	68	53	67	86	62	22	12	64	63	67
1939	72	69	73	78	22	83	75	79	73	73	ß	46
1940	103	96	TOT	100	%	2	73	67	69	67	34	81
1941	89	4/8	68	107	611	123	130	129	161	142	143	147
1942	165	178	611	176	173	163	162	158	157	158	158	159
1943	159	160	165	167	172	173	170	168	169	180	180	181
1944	182	185	189	191	193	193	191	190	193	204	205	205
1945	206	210	213	213	215	217	216	212	207	206	209	209
1946	209	211	212	214	216	217	231	235	213	228	309	275
1947	293	300	367	362	301	307	309	307	305	311	343	369
1948	117	297	323	364	374	390	366	291	245	227	236	236
1949	227	205	212	203	218	210	227	260	214	209	195	209
1950	211	212	225	248	271	280	293	242	226	203	254	270
1951	290	308	310	312	313	298	286	271	259	262	277	283
1952	278	278	276	272	277	302	300	305	283	277	271	275
1												
BODTIT-	in cents	por bush				The State		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			00	
source	u. v. U	partment	OI AGE	"ernamo"	Baorn	PIG MAL	Kets.	Wasningto	ueven inc	LLI LUOUU	IN BUTIN	TICO
	Decombe	r 1924 to	Januar o	V 1951.								

Table 15. Cash Prices of No. 2 Yellow Soybeans - High (Weekly - Saturday)

			and and	
ber	22.35	888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 88	5 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	22.95
ecem	32110	22-16-1-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-2	20,22,4%	7995
ber .L	21 22	65 70 78 78	883%	88 91 91
loven	6922	2-55-55 2-55-55	4973	22-22
er ;!	388333	69 16 56	86.38 86.38 86.38	88897
Octob	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1114 2222	4444	000000 
ber ;(	282d	20224 2025	7 7 7 9 9 9 4 8 9 9 9	1885 - 0mr
aptem	2222	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000	3.1 2.9 Miss
S.	2540 m	ò ở ở ề ở ở ở	tů tý čí či N N H	1ty, 26,95
ust	83884 83884 8788	3.03 2.65 2.80 2.80	2.92	3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25
:Aug	13-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22	12-26-26-	24122	12-12-12-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-22-2
	22.22	3.12	2.97	3.17 3.16 3.20 3.20 3.23
July	% 4 4 4 4 4	222-15-1-	32546	of P
	1949 37 37 37	1950 88 90	1951	1952 15 15 16 16 16
June	25-23-23	2-1-1-2	4444	14 E
	44.50	8888	38.38	84 89 94 01 388 C
(ay	80-54 80-54	1000	4444	Kan Xan
••	33337	92 92 92 93 93 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94	8888 8888	87 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 19
Lin	หมังหม่ 2	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
3AJ	ANE.	H 40F	8355°	Mark 2010
rch		2222		19199999
. Ma		35.17.4	äsi'	50,22,57, 94 H
ruery		55.33	9999 9999	2.95 2.95 2.95 2.95
Feb.		111- 118- 25-	10-110-	23- 23- 23- 23- Kanst
81Y		2.33	3.24	22.95 3.00 3.00
Janu		281-1-2	1225	2012

series was obtained.

SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES

The production of farm products are seasonal in nature. Harvesting of cereal grains and oilseeds such as soybeans are harvested in a relatively short time. Soybeans as previously mentioned are harvested during the months of October and November. The major portion of the production is marketed by the first of January. The consumer of the many products of soybeans desire a steady supply throughout the year. The soybean processor is the one who has to purchase the beans during harvest and store and process the bean, as the consumer needs are expressed. For a commodity such as soybeans consumption must be matched with production and this is brought about by storage and by adjustments and consumption which takes place as a result of seasonal changes in price.

A study of the seasonal movement of soybean prices are of value to the producer because these indexes can be useful in determining the best time of the year to market soybeans. /1 Of course, the farmer should take into consideration the storage cost and the expected higher price and determine whether it will profit him to store for later marketing. This seasonal index should also be of value to the soybean processor along with a future determination of prices in order to determine whether to hedge or carry an open position.

The index of average seasonal variation of prices received by farmers

<sup>1</sup> A seasonal movement of prices refers to a time series which have variations which repeat themselves with remarkable similarity at regular intervals.

for soybeans in the United States from 1925 to 1953 inclusive showed a wide range of variation (Fig. 4). The index of variation reached its low of 89.7 during the month of October and then rose gradually (with the exception of January to February to a high of 109.3 in June. The variation between the high and the low months was 19.6 points. A general statement can be made on the increase in price from October to June--remembering this is an average seasonal price--it can be said that prices on the average increased 15 1/3 cents from October to June. It was determined in previous review of literature that the cost of farm storage averaged 6 1/2 percent of the October price--on an average this would amount to 10 1/4 cents for seven months. On the average, farm storage of soybeans has paid the producer 9 cents after deduction for storage charges.

The second aspect of short time price changes, namely index of irregularity or the extent to which individual cases failed to reflect this average pattern are numerous in this study. Like most agricultural commodities, soybean prices exhibit erratic and unpredictable price movements. /1

An actual count of the number of increases and decreases from the preceding month was also taken to give an indication of the probability of an underlying seasonal movement at that period of the year. A count of the number of times a month was up from the preceding month showed in general that during the first six months of the year, the number of times the price was up was greater in number than the times down from the preceding month. January prices showed that 22 times out of 25 years, January was higher than December (Table 16). The latter

<sup>/1</sup> Index of irregularity is the average deviation of the percentages of trend for particular months about the value of the index of average seasonal variation for that month. A band of the size of the index on either side of the index of average seasonal variation includes approximately 60 percent of the individual years comprising the average. A narrowing of the band indicates a greater conformity to the average seasonal pattern.



Average seasonal movement of soybean prices in the United States, 1925-1950. Table 16.

Month	Avera	ge seasonal	: Tires hig	h or low/l	: Monthl;	y movement/2
	: Index of : seasonal : variation	: Index of :Irregularity	Times month :1s high of : year	:Tires month :1s low of : year	Times up from preceding month	:Times down :from proceding : month
January February Manch	98.5 98.4	6.1 6.6	<i></i>	5 Q F	22 18 23	w to a
April 1	105.2	10.0	10-	100	11	101
June	109.9	v m o	4-41	000	22:	۵ä
August	0°°0	2.6	0.02	201	- <u>1</u> m i	57
September October	2.68	7.5	-100	- ý r	~ (o ;	24;
December	0.16	6.0	2 10	0 0	17	7
Total or Average	100.0	7.3	29	33	174.	145

/1 Where two months were equal in price and high and low for the year, both were entered. /2 No entry for months of no change from preceding month.

six months of the year showed a predominance of times down from preceding months. Twenty-four out of 27 years the August price was lower than the July price. /1

An actual count was also taken of the number of times a particular month was high or low for the year (Table 16). During the period 1925-52, ten months out of the year rated at least one high-April and October were the only months not showing a high month. Fifteen of the 29 times the high months were found to be May, June, July, and August. Months rating the high distribution for the period were December and July.

Times a particular month was low for the year also lends some help in interpreting the seasonal variation in prices. Of interest is the fact that the months of April, May, June, and July did not rate as low months for the period studied. Twenty-seven times out of 33 years the low months were October, November, December, and January.

An index of average seasonal variation of prices was calculated for the period of 1947-52 inclusive (Fig. 5), in hopes this index would give some information of a current nature to be of value.

The indexes varied from a low of 88.6 in October to a high of 109.4 in June, or 20.8 points. This index also showed a minor low in February which perhaps was the result of a severe drop off in prices during that month in 1948 and 1949.

## SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN PRICES IN KANSAS

The average seasonal variation of prices received by farmers for soybeans in Kansas followed a pattern similar to that of the United States.

<sup>/1</sup> Ewasiuk, W. J., Unpublished Master's thesis, "Soybeans in Kansas," Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas.



Of the period, 1925 to 1952 inclusive, the index showed a wide range of variation from a low in October of 88.8 to a high in June of 112.4 or 23.6 points (Fig. 6). The seasonal index also showed a minor low of 94.6 occuring in March. A general statement can be made as to the etoring of eoybeens on Kansas farms and the profitability of holding until June. On the average, it is expected that the price increase from October until June would amount to 39 cents. Using an example similar to the one used for prices received by farmers in U. S., the reward for marketing eoybeans in June rather than October would have been 28 1/2 cents after deduction of storage costs in Kansas.

Reference is made to the index of irregularity which shows a variation of 6.2 to 10.6 (Table 17). This indicates that the band on either side of the seasonal index indicates non-conformity to the seasonal pattern. The actual count of the number of times a particular month was high or low for the year revealed June and July were high months the greater number of times. This count also revealed that the months of October, November, December, and January represented the greatest number of low months. This count pointed out that the months of May, June, July, August have been the low months only 3 times out of 53 low years. The months of May and August were not low for any year. The month of October was never the high month for the period studied. June and July were high 23 out of a poesible 53 times.

As a measure of the conformity of actual price to the seasonal index, a count as to how many times a certain month was higher or lower than the preceding month was made. The months of January, February, March, April, May, and June showed a greater number of ups than downs from the preceding month. The last eix months conformed to the seasonal index showing a greater number of times the particular month was down from the preceding month.



Table 17, Average seasonal movement of soybean prices in Kansas, 1925-1950.

11

Month	Average	seaonal	: Times his	zh or low/l	: Monthly mo	vement/2
	Index of seasonal variation	: Index of :Irregularity :	:Times month :1s high of : year	:Times month :if low of : year	Thes up from preceding another the second se	:Times down :from preceding : month
January	92.8	9.2	N	6	18	9
February	97.4	8.5	-1	5	17	17
Maroh	9**6	6.6	3	~	17	ŝ
April	103.9	6.2	4	2	14	4
May	109.5	7.0	2	0	19	4
June	112.4	10.6	Ħ	~	10	6
July	0.111	9.7	10	-1	6	2
August	106.5	7.3	9	0	2	77
September	100.0	7.5	2	~	4	17
October	92.4	0.6	0	2	60	18
November	88.83	10.0	3	2	10	£
December	2.06	9.1	4	ŝ	13	4
Total or Average	100.0	7.5	53	27	146	107

/1 Where two months were equal in price and high and low for the year, both were entered. /2 No entry for months of no change from preceding month.

To get a more representative picture of conditions more current to the actual situation the index of seasonal variation of prices received by farmers in Kansas was calculated for the period 1947-52 (Fig. 7). This seasonal variation of prices exhibited the same pattern characteristics as the previous seasonals. The range of deviation from high to low was 23.4 points. In comparing the range of the seasonal for the period 1925-52 and the seasonal for 1947-52, it was noted that the range was much narrower during the latter period indicating a flattening out of the seasonal variation.

The index of irregularity again was very wide indicating erratic and unpredictable price fluctuations.

## SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN MEAL PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES

Monthly tabulation of average price per ton for soybean meal, bagged, in carlots, 41 percent protein, at Chicago by months, 1930-52 was tabulated for studying the seasonal movement of prices (Table 18). An index of average seasonal variation of prices of soybean meal in the United States was calculated for the years of 1936-52 inclusive. The index showed very little indication of a pronounced seasonal, such as the soybean index exhibited. The range in variation was from a low in April of 96.7 to a high of 105.3 in August-or a range of 8.6 points. It was also noted that this seasonal index of the price of soybean meal exhibited two lows, April and October (Fig. 8).

The more steady demand for soybean meal throughout the season and the addition of marketing margins to the final product tended to dampen the possibility of the reflection of the seasonal price variation of soybeans in the soybean meal market. /1

1 Ewasiuk, op. cit.



Table 18. Soybean 011 Meal. Average Price Per Ton, Bagged, in Carlots, 41 Percent Protein, at Chicago by months, 1930-1952.

							And a second sec	The second s				
Year	:Jen.	:Feb.	.Mar.	: Apr.	May	June	July	: Aug.	:Sept.	:0et.	.Nov.	:Dec.
					Dol	lars Pe	r Ton					
1930	51.80	48.25	48.20	50.15	50.70	48.75	46.00	47.80	47.50	44.00	41.20	40.00
1931	39.30	36.60	33.15	31.90	28.60	25.80	24.90	23.35	21.40	18.60	23.85	23.00
1932	20.45	18.75	18.90	19.90	19.95	20.20	20.05	22.60	23.70	22.75	21.70	21.70
1933	21.70	21.70	22.60	23.70	28.30	28.85	39.20	39.00	34.85	31.70	30.15	30.50
1934	30.60	31.50	32.50	33.25	33.60	34.50	34.50	37.75	39.50	38.50	38.85	41.20
1935	40.70	38.45	37,10	33.80	33.20	31.70	29.05	24.00	22.85	25.60	24.40	25.50
1936	25.15	23.90	22.30	23.30	24.80	26,10	38.90	44.30	39.70	36.90	39.15	43.00
1937	44.10	41.50	41.10	47.60	48.35	39.20	37.30	34.90	34.20	28.80	29.50	28.80
1938	30.00	29.60	28,10	26.00	26.30	25.30	26.95	26.15	27.00	24.60	24.40	26.20
1939	26.30	24.70	24.45	24.70	26.30	25.95	24.70	25.70	33.70	28.30	32.70	34.95
1940	33.90	29.95	30.45	29.65	28.65	24.40	22.25	24.60	27.00	25.90	30.50	29,60
1941	29.75	26.60	26.85	27.50	28,10	29.70	33.80	36.20	07.14	37.95	39.10	42.50
1942	46.45	46.45	44.85	41.00	38,30	37.90	08.14	42.60	43.50	42.70	46.60	39.00
1943	39.35	39.60	40.60	40.60	40.55	40.40	40.40	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90
1944	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90	51.90	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00
1945	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00
1946	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00	62.50	66.00	97.00	93.15	66.00	79.50	93.35	80.70
1947	71.90	65.40	81.05	72.15	68.55	76.30	89.75	91.90	102.70	91.05	92.90	101.50
1948	110.25	89.00	84.75	86.20	86.60	94.25	96.90	84.40	81.50	66.80	79.25	78.00
1949	73.45	65.80	67.40	70.55	71.00	75.40	85.55	100.30	83.15	74.80	69.40	68.80
1950	66.00	65.05	69.65	73.30	\$2.60	85.15	96.40	78.20	65.85	64.90	73.35	76.40
1951	77.20	82.55	79.65	75.45	76.55	76.30	80.50	77.40	82.80	86.25	86.65	86.65
1952	86.65	87.00	87.00	99.65	102.65	106.70	105.05	117.20	103.35	93.70	88.00	85.05
	1											

Source: Fats and Oil Situation. Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U. 3. Department of Agriculture. Beginning June 1950, prices are on basis of 44 percent protein.



During the first six months of the year very little price variation is noted. The last six months of the year with the exception of October show a somewhat higher level of prices.

An actual count of the number of times a certain month was high or low for the period proved to be of very little value (Table 19). The last six months of the year exhibited a greater number of high months, which is in conformity with the seasonal. The low month of the year could be found in all of the months. The count of preceding months higher or lower clouded the picture more than ever. /1

An index of average seasonal variation of soybean meal prices was calculated for the period 1947-52. The seasonal movement observed was much greater than for the 17-year period. The range of deviation was from a low of 92.4 in January to a high of 110.1 in July or 17.7 points (Fig. 9).

SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN OIL PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES

A monthly tabulation of average price per pound in tank cars at Midwestern mills 1930-52 was prepared (Table 20). The seasonal movement of soybean oil prices did not reflect the wide range of variation as did soybeans. The seasonal movement of oil prices moved within a range of 8.8 points from a low of 95.6 in July to a high of 104.4 in March (Fig. 10). The movement of the soybean oil seasonal is within a narrow range with an index of irregularity of 7.4 or very similar to the range of the seasonal. Two lows will be observed by inspecting the seasonal—which indicates that July is .9 points lower than October. The seasonal movement indicates that the

/1 Where two months were equal in price both were counted.

1952.
1930-
States,
United :
ur
Meal
LIO
oybean
of S
movement
seasonal
Average
19.
Table

Month	: Averag	e sessonal	: Times high :	t or low/l	: Monthly Mov	rement/2
	: Index of : seasonal : Variation	: Index of :Irregularity :	:Times month :1s high of : year	: Times month : is low of : Year	:Times up from preceding : month	: Times down : from preceding : month
January	99.3	6.7.	60 F	46	6.	10
March	0.76	2.4	-11	- m	104	40
May	96.7 98.1	4•9 6•3	-1 -1	rn ar	ងង	6-3
July	97.3	5.4	<b>н</b> к	n u	96	ц,
August	105.3	4.6		1010	នេ	- 0 -
Ootober	97.3	6.1	4 m	v m	17	‡ ω
November	102.2	5.9	46	-H ~	El o	. 00 0
Total or				t		~
Average	100.0	6.5	17	40	131	26

/] Where two months were equal in price and high and low for the year, both were entered. /2 No entry for months of no change from preceding month.



Soybean Oil, Domestic Crude: Average Frice Per Pound in Tank Cars at Midwestern Mills, by Months, Oct. 1930-Dec. 1952. / Table 20.

Year	:Jen.	:Feb.	:Mar.	s Apr.	tema	sJune	:July	.Aug.	:Sept.	:Oct.	:Nov.	:Dec.
1930	8.4	8.4	8.4	0.6	8.9	8.9	9.3	9.3	9.3	8.8	7.4	6.7
1931	6.4	6.0	5.7	5.8	6.2	5.7	6.1	6.0	5.3	5.1	4.4	3.8
1932	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.0
1933	3.0	3.2	3.5	3.4	4.5	6.1	7.4	8.0	6.8	6.0	6.2	6.2
1934	5.6	5.6	5.9	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.8	5.7	5.8	6.1	6.3	7.3
1935	7.8	8.1	1.6	8.4	8.8	8.6	7.8	7.1	7.7	8.1	8.1	8.1
1936	7.6	7.2	6.8	6.8	6.3	6.0	7.9	8.0	8.2	8.0	8.0	9.1
1937	9.8	6.6	9.8	9.8	0.6	8.2			10 4 1	5.8	5.6	5.2
1938	5.8	6.1	6.4	5.9	5.7	5.2	5.9	5.7	5.2	5.0	5.1	5.1
1939	6.4	4.8	6.4	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.2	4.0	5.6	5.0	4.7	5.3
1940	5.2	5.4	5.6	5.5	5.0	4.7	4.6	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.5
1941	5.1	5.7	6.1	7.6	8.7	9.6	9.8	9.5	10.5	10.4	9.8	10.1
1942	11.4	11.7	11.8	11.3	11.8	11.6	11.2	11.4	7.11	11.8	11.8	11.8
1943	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8
1944	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8
1945	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8
1946	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	18.8	24.2	24.6
1946	26.0	28.4	33.6	27.4	21.4	18.2	17.2	15.9	18.8	20.7	25.6	26.2
1948	26.6	19.6	21.4	24.5	26.3	27.3	22.I	22.1	22.8	18.6	19.1	17.3
1949	14.3	12.3	10.8	10.5	10.8	<b>7.</b> 6	9.7	12.9	11.4	10.1	9.6	10.2
1950	10.8	11.4	12.8	13.1	13.8	13.2	12.9	14.5	15.0	14.6	17.1	19.6
1951	20.6	21.1	20.5	20.5	19.5	16.4	14.5	15.4	14.0	13.8	13.2	12.6
1952	11.2	10.7	10.2	1.6	10.1	11.2	11.3	11.5	11.2	10.9	11.8	12.9
had 1	and in a	ante nere	hann									

/1 Fraces in cents per pound. Source: Bureau of Agricultural Boonomics, U. S. Department of Agriculture. Monthly prices. Oct. 1929 through Sept. 1938, compiled from <u>Oil Feint and Drug Reporter</u>; Oct. 1938 through Bec. 1952 compiled from <u>The Mational Provisioner</u>.


months of December, January, February, March, April, and May are to be found above the base line while the remaining six months are below. In general, the seasonal reflects a gradual decline in price from March to October and small increases in price from October until March.

Of particular interest in the calculation of the soybean oil seasonal was the low index of irregularity in October of 3.6. It has been pointed out in other writings that the reason for this low index was the reflection of the heavy marketings of soybeans during that month. /1 The shaded area depicting the index of irregularity did not move away from the base line represented by 100 on the figure. This observation means that while there was a seasonal trend there was little expectation that it could be realized in a particular year. The actual count of soybean oil prices of times high or low for the year or number of times up or down from the preceding year substantiated this conclusion (Table 21).

The index of average seasonal variation of soybean oil was calculated for the years of 1947-52 to express current conditions (Fig. 11). The range of this index was from a low of 89.6 in July to a high of 106.8 in December. The seasonal index again produced two lows, July and October. As found in the 1936-52 index, the index of irregularity was wide indicating the impossibility of making a general statement as to the seasonal movement of soybean oil prices.

When a comparison of the index of the seasonal price variation of soybean meal and soybean oil was made, an almost perfect inverse relationship existed (Fig. 12). /2

- /1 Evasiuk, op. cit.
- /2 Ewaluk, op. cit.

.930-1952.
H
Kangas
in
Meal
110
Soybean
S
movement
seasonal
Average
21.
Table

<sup>/1</sup> Where two months were equal in price and high and low for the year, both were entered. /2 No entry for months of no change from preceding month.



ladex of soybean oil prices



The price users have paid for coybean oil and coybean meal and have both contributed to the establishment of the market value of the soybean. When Fig. 12 was examined it appeared that a declining price of one of the joint products, which would have tended to lower the prices of the soybean, was offeet by an increasing price of the other joint product.

## FUTURES TRADING

Hedging may be defined as the practice of offsetting one rick of price change by assuming an additional risk, equal in size and opposite in the expectation of gain or loss. Futures trading is not a fool-proof method of operating with complete immunity to price changes. Hedging is not the direct transfer of risks, but rather the assumption of additional risks.

A typical hedge against a price decline by a wheat miller in anticipation of a price decline will serve to illustrate the hedging mechanization. The miller to protect himself against a price decline on purchased cash wheat can hedge his purchases by selling a futures contract on a like amount of wheat. A typical hedging transaction covering this situation follows:

: In the FUTURES market
On ember 1st
He SELLS
5,000 bushels of December wheat futures at \$2.00 per bushel.
)n Joer 20th
He BUIS
5,000 bushels of December wheat futures at \$1.85 per bushel.

For	a loss of 15	cents For	a gain of 15 cents
per	bushel.	per	bushel.

This wheat miller has purchased 5,000 bushels of wheat from a farmer or grain firm, and along with this purchase he assumes the risk that the price will decline before the flour can be milled and sold. However, the miller did not wish to assume this risk—so through the mechanics of a commodity exchange he contracts to deliver and sell 5,000 bushels of wheat at a future date. In the cash market, should the price decline so that the flour equivalent sells for 15 cents less than it cost, the miller has lost money on this side of the transaction. However, in the futures market if the price has moved in a similar direction a gain of 15 cents is realized. If the prices of cash wheat of a specific quality in the country and futures wheat of contract grade change in the same direction, gains resulting from price increase will be exactly offset by losses. This is considered to be a perfect "hedge." A typical hedge against a price decline would be the case of a miller who forward sells flour and feed-thus to protect himself against a price advance by buying in the futures market. A typical illustration of the transaction is as follows:

In the CASH market	In the FUTURES market
C Septe	)n mber 1
He SELLS	He BUYS
flour based on wheat equivalent of 5,000 bushels priced at \$2.00 per bushel.	5,000 bushels of December wheat futures at \$2.00 per bushel.
0 Octob	n Der 20
He BUYS	He SELLS
5,000 bushels of wheat shipped from country elevator at \$2.15 per bushel.	5,000 bushels of December wheat futures at \$2.15 per bushel.
For a loss of 15 cents	For a profit of 15 cents

For a loss of 15 cents For a profit of 15 cents per bushel.

In the previous illustrations the actual ownership does not change hands but the effective ownership does. The price change risk is shifted from the miller to the person who makes the agreement to buy or sell as the case may be. The person taking the opposite end may be a speculator or another hedger. However, it is more likely that the person taking the opposite end of the transaction will be the speculator because hedgers are likely to be more often "short" than "long" in the futures market. A speculator is one who buys a futures contract with the expectation of selling it later at a higher price or just the opposite--sells a contract for future delivery expecting the price to decline and buys the contract back at a lower price. The speculator who assumes the risk by reason of his own point of view as to future expectations and his knowledge of the market is the one making the futures market liquid. The speculator is a very necessary part of the futures market.

The basic need for hedging arises from the necessity that someone owns the various commodities while they are in progress through the marketing system. Commodities are produced seasonally and the greater percentage of the production is marketed during the harvest season. This risk element enters the marketing system because someone owns these commodities until consumers are willing and able to buy. The consumer wants a ready supply throughout the year and the processor of this commodity must stand ready to fill these demands and likewise assume the risk of ownership of large inventories.

Futures trading is defined as the buying and selling of grain for future delivery and are called "futures delivery contracts". A grain futures contract is an agreement between two people--one agrees to sell and deliver and the other one agrees to buy and receive, (1) a certain kind and quantity of grain, (2) to be delivered during a specified delivery month, (3) at a specified price, and (4) under the terms of the rules and regulations of the exchange.

The force tending to hold cash and futures prices together is the deliverable character of the futures contract. A futures contract may be settled by making an offsetting contract of comparable size or making or taking

delivery. It is this deliverable character of the futures contract that tends to cause cash and futures prices to move together.

Forces causing differences between cash and futures prices are as follows: (1) quality and convenience differences, (2) grade differences, (3) ordinary carrying charge, and (4) risk premiums for carrying deferred deliveries.

Hieronymous in his revised Ph.D. thesis set out the criteria for an optimum risk shifting system: (1) a marketing system for risk shifting must reach the market...it must reach the hands of those persons or firms who will carry them for the smallest risk premiums, (2) a marketing system for risk must also eerve as a means for reducing risks, (3) a satisfactory risk-shifting system must provide stable and predictable differences between the prices of commodities for delivery at different times, (4) prices must not be susceptible to manipulation or influenced by technical conditions, (5) volume of trading must be large enough to permit the instantaneous execution of hedges, and (6) the volume of trading must be great enough that the placing of no one hedge can materially influence prices. /1

The next phase of this study will endeavor to search out by various means the third criterion for a risk shifting system. An examination will be made as to whether there has been a stable and predictable difference between the prices of commodities for delivery at different times. The price spread between cash and various futures prices will be charted to determine if there is a change in the basis throughout the term of the contract and the amount of the change.

/1 Hieronymous, op.cit. p.

RELATIONSHIP BETHEEN CASH SOYBEANS AND THE CHICAGO SOYBEAN FUTURES

An examination was made of the futures price series from 1948-49 through 1952-53. It was felt that this period would reflect more accurately the price movement of futures and cash. A longer series was not used because trading in futures contracts were suspended as of February 19, 1943, and trading was not resumed until July 7, 1947. The period prior to this time would be of little value to this study because of the relatively small volume of trading in soybeans on the Chicago market. The volume of trading on the Chicago market has increased from  $1\frac{1}{2}$  billion bushels in 1948-49 to nearly 3 billion bushels in 1951-52.

The cash price series used in this relationship study were obtained from the "Kansas City Grain Market Review". Tabulations from this source were obtained from this publication starting on April 4, 1949, and continued weekly through 1953 to date. This price series was believed to represent a more precise picture of the value of scybeans in this area. Illinois track country station bids were used prior to the publication of price quotations by the "Kansas City Grain Market Review".

The trading months of January, May, July, and November were used in making the relationship studies. The weekly Saturday high price quotations were used for reasons to be given in another section. In some cases, Friday high quotations were substituted in case of no market on the Saturday date.

Figures 11 through 33 present in graphic form the price movement and the net differences between Kansas City No. 2 yellow soybeans and the Chicago futures prices, weekly Saturday high for the contract month under examination. An examination of the price movements reveal that there is no stable and predictable basis movement. Rather it is a situation of wide spreads at

various times throughout the year--narrowing at certain times and even crossing from one to eight times. There is no pattern as to the size of the spreads or when the cash and futures cross.

## Conclusions-Cash-Futures Soybean Relationships

The drawing of conclusions as to the desirability of using futures soybeans as a hedging commodity to offset the uncertainty of future prices of cash ecybeans, we must recall our criteria for judging the usability of this practice. There must be a stable and predictable basis or spread between the cash and futures market.

In reviewing the price spreade and the actual price spreads or net differences for the period 1949-53, it can be pointed out that inconsistencies have been too great to prove of value. The predictability of the spread cannot be forecast with any degree of accuracy.

The futures-cash relationships of 1952-53 do lend some hope of using futures soybeans as a hedging commodity. The futures relationships during 1952-53 did not cross after October and the net differences were more stable than any of the other series examined.

## Cash and Futures Soybean Relationships, 1949-50

In examining the price movement of cash and futures soybeans for the year 1949-50, only the May, July, and November contracts were plotted. The May future each relationship reveals that there was a very narrow epread between the two price series (Fig. 23). Both the cash and futures prices advance from the opening of the contract until it closed. By actual count the two price series cross four times, however, there is a correlation of  $\neq.98$  indicating that the two series were closely associated. The actual price spread or net differences between the two series (Using cash soybeans as a base) varied from a  $\neq$  21 to a - 4 cents per bushel (Fig. 24).

The July futures cash relationship showed a similar positive correlation of  $\neq$ .88 which indicates the two series were closely associated (Fig. 30). The two series moved along with a narrow spread and crossed only once during the duration of the contract. Again the two price series advanced from the opening in November until its closing in July. The actual price spread or net differences throughout the contract varied inconsistently from  $\neq 18\frac{1}{2}$  to - 13 cents per bushel (Fig. 31).

The futures cash spread for the November future portrays a very wide and violent price movement by both cash and futures (Fig. 34). The coefficient of correlation, an indication of the association of the two series, indicated a  $\neq$  .50 or only a fair association between the series. The cashfuture relationship indicated a wide spread at beginning of the contract and a narrowing of the spread at its close. The actual price spread or net differences varied from a - 82 to  $\neq$  16 cents per bushel (Fig. 35).

In appraising the possibilities of hedging the purchase of cash soybeans in the Chicago soybean futures market, it appears that from the inspection of the price spread and net differences during 1949-50 it would have been impossible to eliminate uncertainty by hedging in soybeans.

## Cash and Futures Soybean Relationships, 1950-51

In reviewing the movement or the basis change of cash and futures soybeans for 1950-51, four of the dominant futures were plotted. The January, May, July, and November futures were graphed and net differences determined

to portray the possibilities of executing a near perfect hedge.

The January future cash relationship opened with a very wide spread of 60 cents per bushel in April and closed out with a net difference of three cents in January (Fig. 16). By inspection it is noted that the two price series crossed in September and that both price series generally advanced from the beginning of the contract until its close. Note also the price decline during the harvest period indicating the seasonality of soybean price movement. The actual price spread or net difference (using cash soybeans as a base) varies from a - 82 to  $\neq$  18 cents per bushel (Fig. 17). The coefficient of correlation of the two price series bears out the inconsistency of the price movement. The correlation was  $\neq$  .27 indicating the two series were not closely associated.

The plotting of the May future-cash relationship for this year showed the price spread between the two series followed each other very closely. The coefficient of correlation of  $\neq$  .92 indicates a close association between the series. In examining the plot for the criteria outlined for a perfect hedge, it is noted that the two price series cross twice throughout the contract. The basis is unstable and unpredictable (Fig. 21). The actual price spread or net difference between the two series varies from -42 cents to a low of three cents per bushel. It will also be noted that price ceilings were imposed on this commodity at the end of the contract.

The July futures-cash relationship for this year was influenced by price ceilings and perhaps did not present the true picture had price ceilings not been imposed. The coefficient of correlation was  $\neq$  .97 indicating a very close association of the two series perhaps due partly to price ceilings (Fig. 28). The two series cross three times within duration of the co-

contract. The actual spread or net differences wary from / 15 3/4 to - 12 3/4 conts per bushel (Fig. 29).

The November futures-cash relationship shows a very wide spread at the beginning of the contract and a gradual narrowinf of the spread at its close (Fig. 32). Again this plot shows the pegging of price ceilings on the cash commodity and perhaps does not present a clear picture. The actual price spread or net differences again indicate the instability and unpredictable of the future-cash relationship, (Fig. 33).

Cash and Future Soybean Relationships, 1951-52

In inspecting the relationship between the cash and futures soybean price spread and actual differences for 1951-52, the dominant futures months of January, May, and July were plotted.

The January futures-cash relationship was plotted, showing again the effect of price ceilings--perhaps the association of cash and futures prices are distorted for the period of March through May. The price spread between the two price series opened at a wide spread and eventually narrowed to a spread of six cents (Fig. 15). Within the duration of the January contract the two price series cross five times indicating a very poor basis on which to hedge. The coefficient of correlation of  $\neq$  .49 of the two eeries indicates an association of price movements. The actual price spread or net differences between cash and futures prices reveals a variation of differences between - 49 and  $\neq$  9 (Fig. 17).

The May future-cash relationship on inspection showed that this series cross eight times within the duration of the contract (Fig. 20). The two price series moved within a narrow range, however, it was difficult to determine just when a plus or minus net difference appeared. This is a good example of a very poor basis between each and futures on which to hedge, although the coefficient of correlation proved to be  $\neq$  .64. The net differences varied from - 22 to  $\neq$  12 cents per bushel (Fig. 22).

The relationship between the July futures-cash price series-again presented a movement similar to the May future. The cash and futures price apread was narrow and moved within a narrow range. The two series crossed two times during the trading in July futures, in fast the two series moved within such a narrow range that the coefficient of correlation of  $\neq$  .92 indicated a high degree of association between the two price series. The actual price spread or net differences again presented an inconsistent picture as to the basis which should be stable throughout the contract in order to be of value for hedging purposes. The net differences varied from  $\neq$  16 to -12 3/4 cents per bushel (Fig. 29).

Each and Futures Soybean Relationships, 1952-53

The 1952-53 cash-future relationships were plotted in order to bring the picture up to date. The future contracts of January, May, and July were plotted for this purpose. At last some confidence can be placed in the possibilities of using the soybean futures for hedging. An inspection of these three futures revealed that the cash and futures prices have moved along together, although there are inconsistencies in the net differences. It must be remembered this relationship is only one among many which shows stability. Whether this relationship is a happenstance or whether the futures market is at last moving in a similar direction, only time will tell.

The January future-cash relationship revealed that the two price series

did cross, however, it is noted that the basis between the two, after the last week in September, do move along together (Fig. 13). This relationship is of value to the soybean processor because he hedges his purchases after October 1. The spread between the two series vary from a  $\neq$  10 to  $\neq$  20 cents per bushel (Fig. 14).

The May future-oash relationship exhibited a spread very similar to the January future. The price spread did not cross after the last week in September (Fig. 18). The future price series remained above the cash by an amount which offered some hedging possibilities. The actual price spread or net differences after the first of October varied from  $\neq$  7 to  $\neq$  25 (Fig. 21).

The July future-cash relationship revealed the best possibilities for successful hedging. The futures price series remained above the cash throughout the duration of the contract (Fig. 25). It was also noted that the price series did not cross at anytime from September until the close in July. The actual price spread or net differences did show inconsistencies but not as variable as the other comparisons. The net differences all showed a plus net difference varying from  $\neq 2\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\neq 23$  (Fig. 26).





















ý







tob at astat







found to statiob ri obit.

101





fedand men austlob at estat



.








RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOTHEANS AND CHICAGO FUTURE CORN

It has been suggested that futures corn, Chicago, might be expected to provide a market in which to hedge the purchase of cash soybeans. Many authors have expressed the view that soybeans and corn compete for acreage in the soybean area. It is also assumed that the feed supply, of which corn is a major orop, has an affect on the price received for soybeans. Jordan points out that the relation between the price of corn and the price of supplements also affect the feeder's willingness to buy supplements---which in turn has an affect on soybean prices.

It is not illogical to assume that corn and soybean price series might move with a stable and predictable spread or basis. In this relationship etudy cash prices as tabulated from the "Kansas City Grain Market Review" were used for the weekly cash price. The May and July futures contracts for Chicago corn published by the same publication was used in plotting the corn futures for the years of 1949-52.

The price spread or net differences (using cash soybeans as a base) were plotted for the relationship study of cash soybeans and May futures corn 1951-52. The price spread or basis between series was very wide. The price movement of futures corn was very smooth tending to advance by an amount equal to the carrying charge. Cash soybeans exhibited a violent price fluctuation in comparison to futures corn (Fig. 36). The two price series appear to move together, however, a correlation coefficient of  $\neq$  .44 was obtained indicating that the two price series were not too closely associated. The actual price spread between the two series varies from a - 88 to - 128 cents per bushel (Fig. 37). The spread between cash soybeans and futures corn for 1950-51 was wide with the cash soybeans showing irregular fluctuations in

comparison to corn (Fig. 38). The correlation coefficient between these two series was  $\neq$  .84 indicating the price sories were more closely associated than were the previous comparison; howover, the net differences varied from - 64 to - 163 cents per bushel (Fig. 39).

The association of the two price series in 1949-50 indicated a wide and varying spread (Fig. 40). The actual price spread or net differences again proved the inadequacy of futures corn as a hedging medium (Fig. 41).

The plotting of the July futures corn and cash soybeans for 1949-52 indicated the inadequacy of futures corn, Chicago, as a hedging medium (Figs. 42, 44, and 46). The net differences although all minus showed many inconsistencies so as to render this hedging procedure unuscable (Figs. 43, 45, and 47).

Using the criteria of a stable and predictable basis or spread between futures corn and cash ecybeans, the study reveals that it will be impossible to hedge with any degree of certainty in the Chicago corn futures.













ç















-

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPOT SOYBEAN OIL AND NEW YORK SOYBEAN OIL FUTURES

A relationship etudy between spot soybean oil and futures oil prices were plotted in an effort to suggest perhaps the hedging of the oil production of a processing plant in the coybean oil futures. The same criteria, the stability and the predictability of the besis and spread, as was used in judging the value of the futures soybean and corn, was used in judging the possibilities of hedging the oil production in oil futures.

The tabulation for the price per pound of soybean oil, crude, Decatur, and immediate, Saturday high, was obtained from the commodity pages of the "Wall Street Journal". The tabulations for the May and July future ecybean oil quotations, New York, (Friday high) was secured from the Memphie Merchants Exchange Clearing Association. The quotations which are compared in this part of the study are end-of-the-week quotations. It is believed that the comparison or relationship studied will be valid and will reflect the etability of the price spread.

An inspection of the graphs reveals a very close association between the spot and futures oil prices. The two price series move in the came general pattern. The spread between spot and futures oil prices is very narrow.

In inspecting of the May futures-spot oil price spreads for the period 1950-51 and 1951-52 shows a close association between the two price ceries (Fig. 48). The two price series cross once within duration of the contract. The spread between the series is very narrow, however, an inspection of the actual price spread or net differences exhibite a variation of  $-3\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\neq 3\frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound (Fig. 49). If the variatione were multiplied by about ten

to place them on a bushel of soybeans basis, it becomes quite apparent that there was considerable probability of large losses by hedging in the oil market.

By examining a similar comparison for the May 1950-51 future-spot oil price relationship, an analogous situation was found as to price spreads and differences. The movement of the two price series was not as regular and smooth as the previous spread, yet the plot reveals a close association between the prices (Fig. 50). The plot also exhibits a wider spread throughout the duration of the contract with the exception of the period during which price ceilings were imposed. The actual price spread or net differences showed a wide variation of  $-4\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\neq 2$  cents per pound (Fig. 51).

The July futures-spot soybean oil relationships were also studied for the contract years of 1950-51 and 1951-52. The two price series showed evidence of moving along very closely together. If a coefficient of correlation were obtained for these two series, it is quite evident that a high positive correlation would be obtained (Fig. 52). The actual price spreads or differences present a different story---the basis changes from a - 1 to  $\neq 2$  cents per pound (Fig. 53).

The July future-spot soybean oil price relationships for 1950-51 revealed the same set of conditions as reviewed in other plots of this series. The general movement of the two prices was closely associated, however, the two series did cross (Fig. 54). The actual price spread or net differences between the two series exhibit a variation of - 3 to  $\neq 1\frac{1}{2}$  cents per pound (Fig. 55).

These few simple price comparisons between futures-spot soybean oil prices indicate that perfection has not been reached as to stability and

predictability of the basis. It is true that the two series move in the same general direction but not by equal amount. After reviewing these plots it seems highly impossible for a processing firm to successfully hedge the cil equivalent of soybeans in the cil futures market.















Ŵ



RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INMEDIATE SOYBEAN MEAL AND MEMPHIS FUTURES SOYBEAN MEAL

Soybean meal, a joint product of the soybean, represents about 80 percent of the production from a bushel of soybeans. On a per pound basis the cil is worth more than the meal; however, from a bushel of soybeans, 50 pounds of meal and 9 pounds of cil are the end product. With meal selling at \$60 per ton, the 50 pounds of meal is valued at \$1.50 and cil at 10 cents per pound for 9 pounds is valued at 90 cents. The soybean processor is vitally interested in the relationship of cash and futures soybean meal. The processor needs a market that is fluid enough that it will follow the value of cil and meal produced from the soybeans.

In the examination of this relationship, the cash prices of soybean oil meal were obtained from the "Wall Street Journal". The futures prices were tabulated from the market quotations of the Memphis Merchants Exchange Clearing Association. The tabulation of both price series was obtained weekly and the Saturday high quotation was used.

The same criteria was used for judging the data assembled. The price spread, movement of the two series, and the actual price spread or net differences between the two series were used in ascertaining the stability and predictability of the basis. The May and July soybean oil meal futures for the years of 1950-51 and 1951-52 were plotted.

Price ceilings were encountered during 1951-52, however, some judgment can be passed on this series.

The price movement and spread outside the price ceiling period did not exhibit any stability as to the basis movement (Fig. 56). The price series opened with a very narrow spread and gradually widened until the imposition

of price ceilings (Fig. 57).

In examining the price spread and series movement of the May futures of 1950-51, it was evident that there was very little etability as to the basis movement (Fig. 58). The two series opened with a very wide spread and crossed during the month of August. After the crossing of the two series the basis was more stable, however, nothing cen be said about the predictability. The actual price spread or net differences between the two series varied from a - \$22 to  $\neq$  \$14 per ton (Fig. 59).

In inspecting the July futures-cash soybeen meal plots for 1951-52 and 1950-51 it will be noted that they were very similar to the May futures plote. The July futures-cash relationship for 1951-52 is of very little value because of imposition of ceilings (Fig. 60). The movement of the two price series outside of the imposition of ceilinge reveal a very irregular movement (Fig. 61).

The July futures-cash relationship for 1950-51 exhibits a very irregular basis movement throughout the period (Fig. 62). By close inspection there is a noticeable association between the two series; however, the stability as measured by net differences indicates that the futures meal market is of little value for hedging purposes (Fig. 63). The actual price spreads very from - \$2 to \$14 per ton.

The inspection of these several plots on the relationship between cash and future soybean meal does not meet the criteria which has been set up for judging the usefulness of this commodity for hedging purposes. The two price series do not move along together with any indication of regularity. The net differences between the two series are too varied to be of use for the hedging of meal production in the soybean meal future.





•












RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOY EANS AND FUTURE OIL-MEAL EQUIVALENT

Another logical relationship is the association of the cash soybean and future oil-meal equivalent price series. /1 Soybean processors could hedge their inventory of cash purchases in the equivalent future oil and meal market in hopes of insuring against risks of price docline in their inventories. This is not an attempt to associate the cash oil-meal equivalentcash soybean price series—this relationship will be inspected at the end of this section.

The futures soybean oil-meal equivalent price was calculated weekly from the futures quotations of the New York and Memphis Boards of Trade. The cash tabulation was compiled from the "Kansas City Grain Markot Review". This relationship study was made for the years of 1952-53, 1951-52 and 1950-51.

The futures cil-meal equivalent was calculated for the contract months of May and July.

In all plots the futures oil-meal equivalent moved above the cash soybean series by varying amounts. It was the assumption that these two price series would move along together, however, the actual plots proved this series to be of little value. The May futures oil-meal equivalent and cash soybeans were plotted and from inspection appeared to move along together (Figs. 64, 66, and 68). After a closer inspection it will be noted that the price movements were violent in nature with the futures equivalent exhibiting the greatest irregularity. The actual price spread or net differences (using

<sup>/1</sup> The future scybean oil-meal equivalent price is a combination price. This combination price is calculated weekly by multiplying the production of 8.8 pounds of oil times the oil future quotation, plus the sum of the production of 50 pounds of meal, times the future meal price from a bushel of beans.

No. 2 yellow soybeans as a base) reveals a wide range of variation (Figs. 65, 67, and 69). The net differences vary from a  $\neq$  .56 to  $\neq$  \$2.45 per bushel.

The July futures oil-meal equivalent and cash soybean relationship were also studied. The price spread between the two series exhibited irregular movements and basis changes (Figs. 70, 72, and 74). The irregularity and instability of the price movements or basis changes prove to be of very little value for hedging purposes. The actual price spread or net differences varied from  $\neq$  \$1.03 to \$2.40 per bushel (Fig. 71, 73, and 75).

This relationship between futures oil-meal equivalent and cash soybean price series is too inconsistent to be of value to the processor for hedging purposes. This relationship also indicates very little relationship between the prices of soybeans, soybean oil, and soybean meal. The criteria for a near perfect hedge does not indicate stability or predictability.

In a previous section it was pointed out that the processor needed a market which was fluid enough that it would follow closely the value of oil and meal produced by the crusher. A net difference or basis change was calculated for the cash oil-meal equivalent and the futures soybean price series as an indic tion of its usefulness for price insurance. After examining several price series relationships of this nature it was observed that the net differences ware much greater in variation than the future oil-meal equivalent--cash soybean price series. Due to the wide range of wriation of this relationship it was felt that it was not necessary to plot the price spread or net differences.





























## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEANS AND SOYBEAN OIL PRICES

A very necessary part of this study should be to investigate the relationship between the prices of soybeans and its joint product's prices. The soybean processor views a bushel of soybeans from its value as oil and meal. It is important for further investigations that the correlation be determinted in order to furnish some information on relationship.

The relationship between the prices of oash soybeans and spot soybean oil was calculated weekly for the period 1947-51. The price relationship was not deflated for the rise in the general price level because by this operation both series would have been deflated by the same amount. A scatter diagram was plotted to give an indication of the relationship (Fig. 76). The scatter diagram revealed that there was very little indication of a close association between the two price series. Random correlations were made, calculated on a weekly basis, for a relationship study of weekly soybean and soybean oil prices. Random correlations were calculated for the years 1952, 1951, and 1950 and the respective correlations were .19, .56, and .37.

The coefficient of correlation for the monthly price revealed a relationship of  $\neq$  .04. The conclusion can be made that there is practically no correlation between the two series.

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEANS AND SOYBEAN MEAL PRICES

A calculation was made of the relationship between the price of soybeans and the price of soybean meal. An indication of the association of these two series was obtained from a scatter diagram (Fig. 77). An examination of the plot indicates very little relationship between the two series. A coefficient

of correlation was calculated for this series which revealed a relationship of  $\neq$  .05. A correlation of this amount definitely indicates very little correlation between the two price series.

# REL TIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEAN MEAL AND SOYBEAN OIL PRICES

An examination of the relationship between soybean meal and oil as plotted in a scatter diagram indicates very little correlation between the two price series (Fig. 78). An actual coefficient of correlation of  $\neq$  .10 was obtained. This again indicates that the two price eeries are not closely associated. It would seem logical that there should be some correlation between the value of soybeans and soybean oil and meal; however, after examining the monthly and weekly scatter diagrams and coefficient of correlation it appears quite conclusive that there is very little association or similarity between the series.

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEAN OIL AND COTTONSEED OIL PRICES

Soybean oil and cottonseed oil have eimilar uses in the food and nonfood industries. These two commodities are interchangeable for these uses. Since these two oils have similar uses, a very close relationship between the two price series existed for the period studied, 1947-52. (Fig. 79).

The scatter diagram reveals a correlation between the two series so that it is unquestionable that the two series are very closely associated. The coefficient of correlation between the two price series was calculated for 72 consecutive months. The coefficient of correlation between the two series











.







was  $\neq$  .97. With 70 degrees of freedom this coefficient was highly significant. /1 A coefficient of 30 is significant at the one percent level.

Expressed as a coefficient of determination the statement can be made that 94 percent of the variations in soybean oil prices for the period studied were associated with the variations in cottonseed oil prices.

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEAN OIL AND LARD PRICES

The uses made of soybean oil and lard are very similar. Since very little can be said about the association of soybeans and soybean oil perhaps a better understanding of soybean oil prices can be obtained by this relationship study.

The two price series; namely, soybean oil and lard were correlated on a monthly basis for the period 1947-52. An inspection of the scatter diagram gives an indication that these two series are very closely related (Fig. 80). The coefficient of correlation obtained was  $\neq$  .94 indicating a very high degree of association between the two price series. This coefficient of correlation is highly significant with 70 degrees of freedom--a correlation coefficient of  $\neq$  .30 would be significant. Expressed in terms of a coefficient of determination it can be said that 88 percent of the variation in price of lard can be expressed in the variation of soybean oil prices. The relationship between soybean oil and lard are very closely associated.

<sup>/1</sup> The number of degrees of freedom is the number of deviations minus the number of constants determined from the sample and used to fix the points from which these deviations are measured. For the tost of significance, reference was made to the toxtbook. Statistical Methods by Snedeoor, G. W., p. 149.





## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The soybean harvest usually begins the latter part of September and continues thru the month of November. In 1950-51, 77 percent of the farmer sales were made during the months of September, October, Nov er, and December. After January 1st of that year only 23 percent of the crop remained to be marketed. Out of this remainder, the seed for the following crop must be deducted.

The sale of a large percentage of the crop by producers during the harvesting season points out the necessity for soybean processors to obtain their crushing supplies during this time.

Seasonal variations in the price of soybeans are of value to the producer to better judge the optimum time of the year to market his production. This seasonal index will also be of value to the soybean processor along with future determination of prices to suggest hedging procedures.

The seasonal movement of prices received by farmers in the United States exhibited a seasonal low in October and then rose gradually (with the exception of January to February) to a high in June. The long-time seasonal movement of prices received by farmers in Kansas revealed the low month to be October and the high in June with a minor low occurring in March.

The seasonal index for soybean meal prices reveals very little indication of a pronounced seasonal. The seasonal movement indicated a high in August and a low in April. It was noted that this seasonal indicated two low months; namely, April and October. The seasonal price movement of soybean oil did not reflect a wide range of variations. Two lows were observed in the seasonal movement; namely, July and October. January and March were the high months.

Criteria for an optimum risk shifting system are six in number — the criteria used in this study tested the stability and predictability of the spread and not differences between cash and futures markets. Actual price spreads and net differences were plotted and examined for the following: cash and future soybeans, cash soybeans and futures corn, spot and futures soybean oil, immediate and futures soybean meal, cash soybeans and futures equivalent soybean cil-meal equivalent.

In inspecting the many actual price spread plots for the many price series it became quite evident that due to the great variability of these price series it can be conclusively determined that hedging of cash soybeans in any futures market with an stability and predictability is impossible. However, maybe a new era is dawning for the possibility of hedging cash purchases in the futures soybean market. In examining the two price series for the January, May, and July futures of 1952-53, a greater tendency was shown for stability.

The relationship between soybeans, soybean oil and soybean meal were examined. Scatter diagrams and coefficients of correlations provided proof to point out the fact there is no correlation between soybeans and its two products.

There is a definite correlation existing between soybean oil, cottonseed oil, and lard.

Foints listed below consist of suggestions for the operation of soybean processing plants in Kansas after a limited examination of the marketing system, seasonal movement of prices, relationships existing between cash and futures markets and a relationship study of soybeans, soybean cil, soybean meal, cottonseed oil and lard. Suggestions are a result of this study and

suggestions reviewed in the writings and research of other institutions and authors. This is quite apparent from the conclusions of this study that it is impossible to set down a set of rules that will be successful every year.

The following list of suggestions become apparent after making the study:

(1) Due to over-expansion of crushing capacity and the sale of soybeans by producers during the last three months of the calendar year, it is necessary that soybean processors purchase soybeans while they move. Keep abreast of market happenings. Know and be familiar with the outlook for general business conditions, production and supplies of other cilseed products, livestock population and a knowledge of happenings in general agriculture.

(2) Know your plant costs and the necessary margins necessary to sell the crush at a profit. Forward sales by the soybean processor are suggested as a near perfect risk-shifting system. A processing plant making forward sales with a certainty of a margin after costs on soybeans purchased are not affected by future price changes of soybeans or soybean products.

(3) Soybean futures markets have not proven to be satisfactory riskshifting systems. The stability and predictability of the price spread between soybean cash and futures has been too varied to afford optimum hedging conditions. It is further suggested that processors limit speculation to that amount that is deliberate and not just for the sake of hedging for speculative profits. (Keep in mind that the 1952-53 soybean future market revealed some possibilities for hedging). If the processing plant has been operating and is making a profit do not hedge.

(4) Before hedging in futures markets, if a must, calculate the amount of risk the company can afford and stop the losses at that point. If basis profits appear on soybean hedges, be willing to take these profits and not try to squeeze out the last cent.

(5) Soybean processors should at all times try to maximize the transit return. Freight rates should be studied so as to give the greatest advantage of milling in transit.

(6) Forward sale of soybean meal is sometimes impossible beyond a 90 day period, however, some attempt should be made to forward sell to constituents.

(7) A survey should be made of the consuming area for soybean meal. Dairy, poultry, and hogs are big consumers of soybean meal.

(8) Some thought must be given to the type of crushing facilities employed by soybean processors. Solvent type crushers are returning approximately 11' pounds of oil as compared to the  $8\frac{1}{2}$  to 9 pounds returned by the expeller type. Some thought must be given to this matter as soybean oil is worth more per pound.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The assistance given by my major instructor, Dr. Leonard W. Schruben, Professor, Agricultural Economics, Kansas State College, in the preparation of this thesis is gratefully acknowledged. The suggestions offered by the staff members, particularly John H. McCoy and W. J. Ewasiuk of the Department of Agricultural Economics, Kansas State College, which made this work more interesting and meaningful were also greatly appreciated.

Heart-felt thanks are to be extended to Drs. T. A. Hieronymus, L. J. Norton, and G. L. Jordan of the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois for help in outlining the thesis and making available the findings of that department in formulating a study which is of value to Kansas soybean farmers and processors.

Deep gratitude is expressed to the Consumers Cooperative Association, Kansas City, 42, Missouri, for the financial support and interest which has made this thesis possible. Valuable information and assistance was given by W. G. Leith, Administrative Assistant, F. D. McCammon, Director of Feeds and Fertilizers, and M. A. Blue, Director of Fertilizer Plants of this association.

The cooperation and encouragement afforded by the Kansas Extension Service and the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station through its' administrative heads made it possible for the writer to devote his time to this thesis as a research project while a temporary member of the Department of Agricultural Economics.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Agnew, Donald B, and Clifford H, Keirstead. Cash Cost of Farm Storage in Marketing Soybeans. United States Department of Agriculture Report. Government Printing Office, September, 1950. Armore, Sidney J. The Demand and Price Structure for Food Fats and Oils. United States Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin 1068. Washington: Government Printing Office, June, 1953. Boger, L. L. Seasonal Price Changes of Major Michigan Farm Products. Michigan Agricultural Experiment Stations Specials Bulletin 355. January, 1949. Croxton, F. E. and D. J. Cowden. Applied General Statistics, New York: Prentice Hall, Inc., 1939. Ewasiuk, W. J. Soybeans in Kansas. Unpublished Masters Thesis. Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas. Hieronymus, T. A. Effects of Futures Trading on Grain Prices. Illinois Farm Economics Numbers 190-191. March - April 1951. Hieronymus, T. A. Risking in Soybeans. Revised Ph. D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois. Hieronymus, T. A., and G. L. Jordan. Farm Storage of Soybeans Carries Small Price Risk, Illinoia Farm Economics Number 173. October, 1949. Jordan, G. L. Wheat Determines Soybean Prices, Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 546. March 1951. Kierstead, Clifford H. Marketing Study of Factors Affecting the Quantity and Value of Products Obtained from Soybeans. United States Department of Agriculture Report. Washington: Government Printing Office, June, 1952. Morse, W. J. and J. L. Carter. Soybeans for Feed, Food, and Industrial Products. United States Department of Agriculture Farmers' Bulletin 2038, Washington: Government Printing Office, February, 1952. Paarlberg, Don Seasonal Variations of Indiana Farm Prices. Purdue Agricultural Experiment Stations Bulletin 566. September, 1951.

## Pahigian, Noriar

Marketing Study of the Oil Content of Soybeans as Related to Production Areas and Climate. United States Department of Agriculture Report. Washington: Government Printing Office, September, 1950.

## Rollefeon, A. M., D. B. Agnew, and C. H. Keirstead.

Improving Soybean Marketing Through Farm Storage. United States Department of Agriculture Information Bulletin 57. Washington: Government Printing Office, June, 1951.

#### Sabin, A. R.

Marketing Channels and Margins for Scybeane and Soybean Products in Illinoia. United States Department of Agriculture Report. Washington: Government Printing Office, October, 1950.

## Simon, Martin S.

Soybeans, Economic Analyses Relating to Processing. United Statee Department of Agriculture Marketing Research Report 35. Washington: Government Printing Office. May, 1953.

### Strand, Edwin G.

Soybeane in American Ferming. United States Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin 966 - Washington: Government Printing Office, June, 1939.

#### Zahnley, J. W.

Soybean Production in Kanses, Kanses Agricultural Experiment Stations Bulletin 306. September, 1952.

The 1953 Soybean Blue Book. American Soybean Aseociation. Hudson, Iowa.

## PRICING SOYBEANS IN KANSAS

by

NORMAN VINCENT WHITEHAIR

B. S., Kansas State College of Agriculture and Applied Science, 1943

AN ABSTRACT OF

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Economics and Sociology

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

## ABSTRACT

## Purpose

It is the purpose of this study to investigate and analyze the wrice relationships Latwaen soybeans and related products in order to a sist in solving marketing and processing problems involving inventory and risk management. Price relationships mean the relationships which exist between ceach prices of the commodity (acybeans) and each prices of its by-products; namely, oil and weal; relationships between cash and futures prices; relationships between futures prices of the commodity and futures prices of its by-products and relationships between other farm commodity prices and soybean prices.

## Problem

Soybeans have become a major crop in the United States within the last 20 years, and in Kanaas their importance, as measured by production, has increased twenty-fold in the last 13 years. However, processing capacity has increased more rapidly. As a result, most soybean processore, during this period of expanded production, have been forced to purchase a supply of soybeans during the harvesting season to assure operation of crushing facilities throughout the year. The general practice has been to purchase a supply of soybeans during September, October, and November and place them in storage for later processing. The accurulation of large inventories during these three months has concentrated the problem of inventory and risk management into the hards of a relatively few processors. Soybean processors have shifted the risk of inventory ownership by forward sales and by hedging. The forward sales or forward contracts are private treaties, like cash sales, except that they are made for deferred delivery. Forward sales naturally sell for less than current delivery. Forward sales are a perfect risk shifting system if forward sales can be accomplished.

To date, the hedging of soybean purchases in the futures market has met with varied success for Kansas soybean processors. Many reasons are advanced for the inadequacy of the futures market: (1) volume of futures transactions has not been sufficient to provide adequate price change insurance, (2) relatively few buyers and sellers may influence the market unduly, (3) the many and varied products made from the soybean have different market values and outlets, and (4) similar products made from oil producing crops have an influence on soybean prices.

Risk bearing includes the cost of storage, insurance, interest on funds invested in grain ownership, and adverse price changes. Processors have attempted to shift these risks on to the futures market or by forward sales. The forward sales of soybean oil and meal to feed manufacturers and oil refiners have been used successfully in shifting risk; however, the shifting of risk by forward contracts has been met by increasing discounts from the current month delivery by users of oil and meal. The shifting of risk through the use of futures markets has met with varied success.

## ACHEAGE AND PRODUCTION OF SOTBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES AND KANSAS

Soybeans have become a major crop in the United States within the last 20 years, and in Kansas their importance, as measured by production, has indreased trentyfold in the last 13 years. Soybeans have been grown as a grain crop for about 25 years. The many multiple uses of soybeans have lent themselves to continued increased production. Within a period of 25 years the production of soybeans in the United States has increased from five million bushels in 1925 to over 291 million bushels in 1952.

Prior to 1940 the acreage planted to soybeans in Kansas was of very little economic importance. Since that time production has increased from 312 thousand bushels in 1949 to over seven million bushels in 1952. The larger portion of the production of Kansas is in the Southeastern part of the state. Grauford, Anderson, Franklin, Lyon, Coffey, Osago, Linn, Labette, Bourbon, and Cherokee are the counties producing the greater percent of the Kansas production.

### MONT ILY SALES OF BOYBEANS MARKETED IN KANSAS

The soybean harvest usually begins the latter part of September and continues through the month of November. As this production is located in an area of smaller scale farming, the fact that it is a cash grain and usually is of such small volume per form, most of the bean production is marketed during this time. In 1950-51, 77 percent of the sales were made during the months of September, October, November, and December. After January 1 of that year only 23 percent of the crop remained to be marketed. Out of this remainder the seed for the following crop must be deducted. In Illinois, during the 1947 and 1948 crop about 80 percent of all soybeans bought by country elevators were acquired by the end of September.

The sale of a large percentage of the crop by producers during the harvesting season points out the necessity for soybean processors to obtain their crushing supplies during this time.

SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION OF SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES

The disposition of the soybean crop through the marketing channels indicates that the bulk of the soybeans are sold by producers to local elevators. In the total soybean movement, the sales by farmers directly to processors are about 4 percent of the total.

The supply of soybeans in the United States during 1924-25 crop year was five million bushels made up of a production of 49 million bushels, imports of 60 thousand bushels, and a carryover of 690 thousand bushels. In the 1950-51 crop year when production reached an all-time high of over 300 million bushels, carryover stocks of three million, and imports of one million bushels. Carryover stocks of soybeans have been very small in comparison to other grain stocks at the beginning of the crop year. The largest stocks on record to date were a car yover of 14 million bushels in 1944-45. Imports of soybeans into the United States are of little consequence; in the past 15 years, imports averaged less than two million bushels.

The utilization of soybeans has kept ace throughout soybean history. Before 1934 less than one-fourth of the coybeans grown in the United States were used for processing. As markets expanded and production increased the proportion processed became consistently larger. By 1937 about two-thirds of the crop was processed and this proportion increased to more than 80 percent during the last few years. Exports in recent years have increased to an all-time high
### in 1950-51 of 271 million bushels.

The yield from a bushel (60 pounds) of soybeans averages about 8.8 pounds of oil and 49 pounds of meal processed by screw presses, while the solvent extraction method yields 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> pounds of oil and 45 pounds of meal. Soybean oil is used in the manufacture of more than 50 productsof human consumption. The U.S. produced 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> billion pounds of oil in 1951-52. The use of soybean oil for food was stimulated by the great demand for it during World War II. Prior to World War II in 1933 only 30 percent of the oil produced was used for edible products and 70 percent was used for non-food products.

However, since that time a complete switch-over has occurred until in 1951 84 percent was used for food purposes and 16 percent for non-food purposes. Of the oil used for food purposes, approximately half of it has been used for shortening. Percentagewise, of the oil used for food purposes margarine has increased from less than one-half of 1 percent to 25½ percent in 1951. Although 70 to 90 percent of the annual consumption of soybean oil in the United States has been used in the food industries-there has been a rapid poundage increase in its industrial use.

From every bushel of soybeans about 80 percent of the resultant product is soybean meal and 20 percent crude soybean oil. During the 1920's and 1930's the United States was importing between 12 and 28 thousand tons of high protein feed. The production of soybean meal in the 1938-39 crop year exceeded one million tons and has increased since then to  $5\frac{1}{2}$  million tons in 1952. The principal use of soybean meal in the United States is as a livestock feed. Of the total oilseed cake and meal used for livestock use, the statement can be made that soybean meal has taken over as the leader in furnishing high protein feed for livestock. As a percent of the total oilseed cake and meal used. soybean meal now comprises between 60 and 65 porcent of the total eilseed meale used for live tock. This percentage has gradually increased from 5 percent of the total in 1930 to a high of 66 percent in 1950.

### SOYBEAN CRUSHING CAPACITY

Crushing capacity has increased faster than production. The estimated crushing capacity for the 1951-52 eeason on a ten-month basie was 284 million bushels, proving that the crushing capacity has increased faster than production. The production during the crop year cited was 282 million out of which only 244 million were processed for oil and meal. Of the estimated industry total of 190 soybean mills, 107 of these mills are located in the states of Illinois, Iowa, Ohio, Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Kansas, and Nebraska. These eight states have ithin their boundaries 85 percent of the crushing capacity, with Illinois leading ith a high of 41 percent of the total crushing capacity. Kansas has an estimated 3 percent total crushing capacity and crushed only two percent of the total soybeans crushed. It is estimated that Kansas crushee  $4\frac{1}{2}$ million bushels of soybeans annually (1951-52). Actual crushing in Kansas has not e ceeded the production in this state.

### PRICES RECEIVED FOR SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES

The price which producers receive for soybeans is determined by several factors including (1) the price users will ay processors for soybean oil; (2) the price users will pay processors for soybean meal; (3) the processing margin; and (4) transportation and other handling costs.

Jordan summarized what determines soybean prices in saying that hean prices depend on the prices that consumers will pay for weat and other animal products, margarine and wegetable shortening; on the size of the livestock population; supplies of protein supplement, corn and edible fats and oil; and costs and profits of handlers.

Soybean meal can be expected to change during the year about 1.2 times as much (in percentage) as disposable personal income, and in the same direction. Meal prices can be expected to move in the oppostie direction to changes in the supplies of soybean meal, cottonseed meal, linseed meal, copra meal, peanut gluton feed and meal, tankage, meat scraps, and fish meal and about .40 to .45 as much (in percentage). An increase of one bushel per animal unit in the corn supply will reduce the price of meal about 2.5 percent.

Soybean oil prices have changed in the same direction and to about the same extent as disposable personal income changes. These oil prices will aleo tand to change about 1.4 to 1.5 percent in the opposite direction with every 1 percent change in supplies of soybean oil, cottonseed oil, lard and butterfat. The general trend in prices since 1934 has shown a gradual price advance geared to many inflationary pressures, large consumer buying power, increase in exports and restriction of world trade in fats and oils. The all-time record price paid for a bushel of soybeans on the Chicago market was \$4.14 paid in June, 1947.

### SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEANS IN THE UNITED STATES

The production of farm products are seasonal in nature. The harvesting of soybeans is realized in a very short time. The major portion of the production is marketed by the first of January. The consumer of eoybean products desires a steady supply throughout the year. The soybean processor has to purchase the beans during harvest and store, process the bean, and supply consumer needs as they are expressed. Soybean consumption must be matched with production and this is brought about by storage and by adjustments between supply

and demand which take place as a result of seasonal change in price.

Seasonal variations in the price of soybeans are of value to the producer to bettor judge the optimum time of the year to market his production. This seasonal index will also be of value to the soybean processor along with future determination of prices to sugg at hedging procedures. The seasonal movement of prices received by farmers in the United States exhibited a seasonal low in October and then rose gradually (with the exception of January to February( to a high in June. A general state ont can be made on the increase in price from October to June-remembering this is an averago seasonal priceit can be said that prices on the avorage advanced 15 1/3 cents from October to June. From previously cited literature it was determined that the cost of storage averaged 62 percent of the October price or the actual amount would be 10 cents for soven months storage. Another generalization can be made to the effect that usually farm storage of soybeans pays. An index of average seasonal variation was calculated for the period 1947-52 to accent the current factors. The seasonal exhibited a high in June and the low in October with a minor low in February.

# SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN PRICES IN KANSAS

The seasonal movement of prices received by farmers in Kansas were similar to the U. S. seasonal. The long-time seasonal movement of soybean prices in Kansas revealed the low month to be Octobor and the high in June with a minor low occurring in March. A general statement can be made as to the storing of scyboans on Kansas farms and the profitability of holding off markets until June. On the average, deducted from this seasonal, it is expected that the price increase from October to June would amount to 39 cents. Using the same storage costs as previously cited, the reward for marketing soybeans in June

rather than October would be 28% cent on the average. The shorter period seasonal movement of prices indicated the same findings as found in the 1925-52 period.

SEASONAL MOVEMENT OF SOYBEAN MEAL PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES

The seasonal index for soybean meal prices reveals very little indication of a pronounced seasonal, such as the soybean index exhibited. The seasonal movement indicated a high in August and a low in April. It was noted that this seasonal indicated two low months; namely, April and October. The short time seasonal movement or variation was much greater than for the 17 year period. The range of deviation was from a low in January to a high in July.

SEASONAL MOVE ENT OF SOUBEAN OIL PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES

The seasonal price movement of soybean oil did not reflect a wide range of variation as did soybeans, but in a narrower range similar to soybean meal. Two lows were observed in the seasonal movement; namely, July and October with October the lower. January and March were the high months for the seasonal. The seasonal index indicates that the months of December, January, February, March, April, and May were above the base line and the remaining six months below the base.

#### FUTURES TRADING

The basic need for hedging arises from the necessity that someone own the various commodities while they are in progress through the marketing system. Commodities, such as soybeans are produced seasonally and the greater percentage is marketed during the harvest season. The risk element enters the marketing system because someone owns these co-dities until consumers are willing and able to buy. The consumer of soybean products wants a ready supply throughout the year and the processor of these products must stand ready to fill these demands and likewise assume the risk of ownership of large inventories.

Criteria for an optimum risk shifting system are six in number---the criteria used in this study will test the stability and predictability of the basis changes between cash and futures prices. A price spread or movement will be used to examine the irregularities in the two price series. The actual price spread or not differences will indicate the degree of variation between the two price series over time.

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOYBEANS AND THE CHICAGO SOYBEAN FUTUR'S

An examination was made of the cash soybean and future soybean price series relationship for the period 1948-53. Random coefficients of correlation were calculated and positive relationships of .27 to .97 were obtained indicating a very close association in some instances. The price spread between the two price series were plotted. These plots indicated that the prediction of the price spread is impossible. Some contracts open with a wide spread and close with a very narrow spread while other contracts are opposite in this character. From a practical standpoint where the two price series cross and recross and have a great variability of spread, it can be said these series are of very little value for hedging purposes.

The final test given to the cash-futures soybeen price relationship is the actual price spread or net differences between the two sories. In inspecting the many actual price spread plots it is quite evident that due to the great variability of these price scries it can be conclusively determined that the hedging of cash soybeans in the futures market will more likely result in losses rather than price insurance.

However, maybe a new era ie dawning for the possibilities of hedging cash purchasee in the futures soybe n market. In the examination of the two price series for the January, May, and July futures of 1952-53, it showed a tendency for the price spread to be more stable during the duration of the contract. In case of the January and May contracts the price spread did not cross and remained relatively stable after October. The July contract which closed out this past July indicates a fairly stable price spread, however, the net differences wore too variable to constitute a successful hed e.

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOYBEALS AND CHICAGO FUTURE CORN

It was suggested that hedging in futures corn night prove successful since corn and soybeans compete for acreage within the expected because corn and soybean association of the two price series might be expected because corn and soybean meal are livestock feeds. A relationship study was made on the association of cash soybeans and futures corn. The price spread and movement plots of this relationship indicated many rice fluctuations of a violent nature in the each soybean market while the future corn price movement was very smooth and not subject to violent movements.

Using the criteria of a stable and predictable basis or price spread between futures corn and cash ecybeans, this study reveals that it will be impossible to hedge with any degree of certainty in futuree corn.

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPOT SOYBEAN OIL AND NEW YORK SOYBE N OIL FUTURES

A relationship etudy between cash soybean oil and futures oil prices in an effort to suggest hedging the oil production of a processing plant in the soybean oil futures was made. The two price series move in the same general

direction with a spread which is very narrow. An inspection of the actual price spread or net differences exhibit a variation of one-half to five cents per pound. If the variations were multiplied by ten to place them on a bushel of soybeans basis, it 'ecomes quite apparent that there was considerable probability of large losses by hedging in the oil market.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INVEDIATE SOYBEAN MEAL AND MEMPHIS FUTURES SOYBEAN MEAL

A similar comparison was made between cash soybean meal and futures soybean meal. The processor needs a market that is fluid enough that it will follow the value of oil and meal produced from the soybeans. By inspection of the several plots on the relationship between cash and future soybean meal we find it does not meet the criteria which has been set up for judging the usefulness of soybean meal futures for hedging purposes. The net differences between the two series are too varied to be of use for the hedging of meal production in the soybean meal future.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH SOYBEANS AND FUTURE OIL EAL E. UIVALENT

A new approach was made in an effort to find a futures market which would exhibit a stable price spread between it and the cash soybean. A futures soybean meal and futures soybe n oil equivalent price was calculated and its relationship with cash soybeans was studied. The futures equivalent price moved above the cash acybean series by arying amounts. A close inspection indicates that the price movements are quite irregular with the futures equivalent exhibiting the greatest irregularity. The net differences were more erratio and inconsistent than any series inspected in this study.

An opposite approach was taken on this relationship by comparing the cash oil meal equivalent price and the futures soybeans price series. However,

this relationship exhibited a greater variation in net differences than the futures oil and meal equivalent and cash soybeans.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYBEANS, OIL AND MEAL CE,

A very necessary part of the study investigated the relationship or correlation between soybeans, soybean meal, and soybean oil. The coefficient of correlation for the monthly prices of soybeans and soybean oil revealed a relationship of  $\neq$  .04 which is of very little significance. The relationship between soybeans and soybean meal revealed a coefficient of correlation of  $\neq$  .05 which again indicates very little association. A similar correlation was calculated for soybean oil and meal and the two series were not closely associated.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOYDEAN OIL, COTTONSEED OIL, AND LARD

An additional correlation was calculated to determine the relationship between cash soybean oil and lard and cottonseed meal. The calculations revealed a very close relationship between these edible oils.

Points listed below consist of suggestions for the operation of soybean processing plents in Kansas after a limited examination of the marketing eystem, seasonal movement of prices, relationships existing between cash and futures markete and a relationship study of soybeans, soybean oil, soybean meal, cottonseed oil and lard. Suggestions are a result of this study and suggestione reviewed in the writings and research of other institutions and authors. This is quite apparent from the conclusions of this study that it is impossible to set down a set of rules that will be successful every year.

The following list of suggestions become apparent after making the study:

(1) Due to over-expansion of orushing capacity and the cale of soybeans by producers during the last three months of the calendar year, it is necessary that coybe n processors purchase soybeans while they move. Keep abreast of market happenings. Know and be familiar with the outlook for general business conditions, production and supplies of other oileeed producte, livestock population and a knowledge of happenings in general agriculture.

(2) Know your plant costs and the necessary margins necessary to cell the crush at a profit. Forward sales by the soybean processor are suggested as a near perfect risk-shifting cystem. A processing plant making forward sales with a certainty of a margin after costs on soybeans purchased are not affected by future price changes of soybeans or soybean producte.

(3) Soybean futures markets have not proven to be satisfactory riskshifting systems. The etability and predictability of the price spread between soybean cash and futures has been too varied to afford optimum hedging conditions. It is further suggested that processors limit epoculation to that amount that is deliverate and not just for the sake of hedging for speculative profits. (Keep in mind that the 1952-53 soybean future market revealed some possibilities for hedging.) If the processing plant has been operating and is making a profit do not hedge.

(4) Before hedging in futures markets, inf a must, calculate the amount of risk the company can afford and etop the losses at that point. If basis profits appear on soybean hedges, be willing to take these profits and not try to squeeze out the last cent.

(5) Soybean processeors should at all times try to maximize the transit return. Freight rates should be studied so as to give the greatest advantage of milling in transit.

(6) Forward sale of soybeen weal is sometimes impossible beyond a 90 day period, however, some attempt should be made to forward sell to constituents.

(7) A survey should be made of the consuming area for soybean meal. Dairy, poultry, and hogs are big consumers of soybean meal.

(8) Some thought must be given to the type of crushing facilities employed by soybean processors. Solvent type crushors are returning approximately  $11\frac{1}{2}$  pounds of oil as compared to the  $8\frac{1}{2}$  to 9 pounds returned by the expeller type. Some thought must be given to this matter as soybean oil is worth more per pound.