THE PROBLEM OF PHILIPPINE INDEPENDENCE

by

HALGOLM LAMAN

B. S., Kansas State College of Agriculture and Applied Science, 1932

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

RAHSAS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE ment LO 2668 T4 133 L31 c.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pag	18
I The Acquisition and Early Years of	
American Rule	i
II The Period of Rapid Progress in Self	
Government	š
III The Period of Retrenehment in Philippine	
Solf Government	Ĺ
IV Independence and Commercial Ties to the	
United States	Ĺ
V International Aspects of Philippine	
Independence	0
Bibliography	,

PREFACE

The purpose of this thesis is to determine some of the major problems connected with the study of Philippine independence and attempt to draw some conclusions as to the morits of retention or complete separation of the archipshapp from its present relations to the United States.

For the greater part of the research material used in connection with this study the writer is indebted to the Eansan State College, Manhatum, and the Iowa State University, Iowa City, Iowa.

The writer is very greatly indebted to Dr. Fred A. Shammon for the helpful sugrestions end eid in all phases of the work of this thesis, for the general direction of the work, and the valuable criticisms in the preparation of this theeis.

American interest in the Far East began almost with the founding of the United States as an independent nation. On February 22, 1784, the first commercial contact with China was inaugurated when the Empress of China sailed from New York to Canton, returning in May of the following year. Other voyages soon followed and trade relations were established which have continued to become of greater importance. These ventures were aided by special acts of congress and the growing significance of the whaling industry in the Pacific. For a number of years the United States seems to have been content to follow Great Britain and other European countries by claiming the same treatment for her commerce as those mations were forcing China to grant them. This course was rather abruptly changed by the mayal adventure of Commodore Matthew C. Perry in forcing Japan to negotiate a treaty opening two of her ports to American vessels in 1854. This agreement was followed by more favorable terms granted by Japan in 1857 and 1858. However, the real opportunity to gain a base for this expanding trade with the Orient and at the seme time satisfy those people who believed in an imperialistic course came as a result of Dewey's defeat of the Spanish fleet at Manila during the

Spanish-smortonn War. Public opinion would not permit the government to take part in the dismemberment of China or gain a foothold on the mainland of Asia. The acquisition of the Pallippince was therefore looked upon by American expansionists as the golden opportunity for the advent of the United States into the field of colonial imperialism in the Pacific. The possession of Guss and the ameration of passai were further steps in this direction which could logically have been expected to follow. It is quite evident from these events that by the beginning of the twentical on the course, the success of which depended largely upon naval control of the Facific.

The Spanish war was entirely successful from the american military and naval standpoints. Spain began negotiations for an armistice late in July, 1998, through Jules Cambon, the French embassador at Weshington. The agreement to cease hostilities was signed August 18, 1898. When the passe commissioners met at Taria Ostober 1, 1886, they were confronted with the post-armistice capture of the city of Manila, which had been occupied by General Wesley Merritt the day after the signing of the protocol but before the news had recched him. The American delegates retured to second to the demand for the restoration of the status quo of august 18, but scoepted the fact that the islands were not conquered and were therefore subject to negotiation. The

treaty was finally signed December 10, 1808, with the provision that Spain would code the Failippines to the United States but that she should receive \$80,000,000 for her cash outlay in the islands.

President William McKinley submitted the treaty to the Senate January 4, 1899. A debate at once began which lasted until February 6, with the principal opposition coming from Senator George F. Hoar, a Republican from Massachusetts, over the acquisition of these islands. He introduced a resolution in the Senate January 14, 1899, proclaiming the Philippines free from all allegiance to the Spanish erown and that the United States should not interfere with the right of the Filipinos to establish a government of their own. 1 Again on February 6, he proposed a resolution declaring it was not the intention of the American government to make citizens of the inhabitants of the islands, to permanently amon them, or to force a government upon them against their will. It appears to have taken some shrewd political maneuvering on the part of Mercus A. Hanna and other politicians in order to get the necessary two-thirds vote required for ratification by the Senate. Senator Arthur P. Corman observed that: "It was an outrage the way Hanna and his friends are working the treaty through the

¹ Congressional Record, 55th Cong., 3rd Sess., Vol. 52, p. 567.

Senete. Some of the things they are doing transcend the bounds of decemoy." He said the railroad interests were being used through Stephen B. Fikins to force the treaty past the Senate. Senator Senato Senator Senato I believe to touisiana was brought into line by being allowed to mame a faderal judge. The selection of postmasters won over Senator John L. Ho-Laurin of South Carvilma. It was impossible to get the necessary number of votes until William J. Bryan came to Weshington and called some Pemboratic senators into a back room in the Senato chamber and urged them to support the treaty. Bryan believed that the status of the Fhilippins could best be settled by the election of 1900, but that it was first necessary to approve the treaty and end the war.

Matinley's true attitude toward retention of the islands is hard to ascertain because of the inconsistency of his statements. In his first annual message to congress on December 0, 1897, in speaking of intervention in cube he said: "I speak not of foreible annexation, for that by our code of morality would be criminal aggression." But in his message to General Elwell 8. Otis on December 21, 1898, he described the sin of the United States in the Tallippines as one of "benevolent assimilation." Considering the reSAFRING W. Dans, From Marrison to Marrison (New York, 1888), p. 888.

⁴ James D. Richardson, Messages and Papers of the Presidents (Mashington, 1899), Vol. 10, p. 131.

rusal of the American peace commission at Paris to restore
the islands to Spain and the military overthrow of the republic set up by Emilio Aguinaldo, how could he have regarded occupation of the archipelage as anything other than
"foreible annexation"? Probably the real reason for McKinlay's decision is still unrevealed. The oxcuse most often
given was the fear of both the United States and Great
Britain that Germany would compy the islands if the United
States were to surrender them, and that this would likely
bring on a world conflict. Other frequent explanations are
the desire for trace expansion and to offset the encroschmont of Germany and Russia in China.

When Bryan accepted the Democratic momination in 1900, he made a speech entitled "Empericalism," which he regarded as one of his best. Begardless of the fact that it was only by votes from his own party that the treaty anaxing the bhilippine Islands was ratified, he made antimperialism the leading issue of the campaign. He spoke ariently for Philippine independence and protection for the Filipinos while they worked out their own destinies. These pronuncements were in accord with the Democratic mational platform of that year. 6 No doubt the candidate had a great deal to do with the freming of the articles embodied in this document.

⁶ Kirk H. Forter, Mational Party Platforms (New York,

The Hepublican platform proclaimed that there was no other possible course than to destroy byanish power in the archipelage. Sens for Henry Cabot Lodge, in his trade expansion argument before the convention, explained his stand on the retention of the islands in these words: "we make no hypocritical precesse of being interested in the Philippines solady on account of others.... We believe in trade expansion." The stands taken by the two parties in 1900 have been procty generally maintained since that time.

The first Fhilippine commission, named by McKinley in 1899, was presided over by Jacob Gould Schurmen of Cornell University. It was sent out to investigate and report on conditions in the islands. In its recommendations, which favored independence, the commission even went so far as to argue that the worst form of government by Filipines themselves was preferable to control of the natives by Americans. S

The period of insular control from 1901 until 1915 is often referred to as the Taff regime, for directly or indirectly he had an important part in the determination of all Failippine questions during those years. In April, 1900, William H. Taff was made president of a second commission.

7 John H. Blount, "Failippine Independence, Theory," in Morth American Review, Vol. 184 (Townary 18, 1907), p.

⁸ Francis B. Harrison, The Corner-Stone of Philippine Independence (New York, 1922), p. 35.

In July, 1901, he was appointed first civil governor efter over a year of experience in the islands. In 1906 he was recalled and made corretary of war, but, since their government is left to the Wer Department, he was still in touch with the situation. From 1909 to 1915 he was still in control as Irresident of the United States. Decourse of Tart's wide experience with ell phases of affeirs in the Fhilippines it is sere to essent that he was undoubtedly the best informed American on the subject at that time.

It is quite evident from what he told a Semete committee in February, 1903, that he did not at first epprove of
amexation. Among other things he said: "I think intervention by the United States in the Philippine Islands is
the best possible thing that could have happened to the
Filipino people... but for the people of the United States
it probably would have been better that chance had not
thrown the Filipino people under our guidanse and protection..." When saked, why et first he opposed acquisition
of the islands, he replied: "I can not an expansionist, and
I would much prefer that we should proceed in the United
States to make government better here than to go to distant
possessions..."

Taft's early acts seem to have met the epproval of the 9 Sonate Document No. 130, 65th Cong., lat Sees., Vol. 10, Didd., p. 405.

matives. He insugurated a policy of keeping the "Philippines for Filipines." He arranged for the bringing over of
a thousand American school teachers and negotiated for the
purchase of the Friar lands which had been a source of trouble for the Spanish and were to a large extent responsible
for the revolts against Spain. He made it possible for
the Filipines to buy these holdings on easy payments and
thus did much to settle the agrarian problem, which had been
for many years a source of irritation. 11 Apparently he
hoped by liberal treatment to win the people over to American rule.

The continued Filipino elemoning for freedom offended Taft, consing him to change his ettitude to one less friendly toward them. This fact is shown by his emser to the question of whether the United States could justly hold the Filipinos against their will. He rather vaguely replied that they might be ready for independence "in some generations." All

Many of the officers and discharged soliders in the islands opposed Taft's early generous treatment of the natives. On many occasions differences in views arose which had to be settled by Secretary of State Eihn Roof or Mc-Kinley himself. The military men, having conquered the in-

¹¹ Harrison, op. cit., p. 39. 12 Ibic., p. 44.

habitants, resented the fact that people other than themselves were allowed to take control of the government. Many of these men took up business and remained in the islands and became wealthy while others sank to the level of beachcombers. To show their displeasure for the loss of prestige they composed short thems songs about the Filipines and the man they held responsible for their loss. An example of these songs is, "He may be a brother of william H. Taft but he ein't no brother of mine."

Independence has been an issue both in the islands and in the United States ever since the amountion. Three major attempts were made in that direction before the inauguration of President Woodrow Wilson. The first was in 1910, when the Philippine assembly passed a resolution asking congress for freedem as early as practicable and immediately if possible. ¹⁶ The second was a presentation of a request for immediate self-government to congress by Manuel L. Gasmon. He saked that the United States use its good offices to bring about an agreement among the great powers for the neutralization of the archipelage, ¹⁶ The third came in the merican Bouse of Representatives after the pomeents took control of that body following the election of 1910. This resulted in a report, in 1918, from the committee on insu-

¹⁵ Edit., p. 305. 16 Frederick C. Chamberlain, The Philippine Problem (Boston, 1913), p. 197. 15 Edit., p. 206.

lar affairs recommending qualified independance in eight years and complete separation in 1921. 16

The general effect of agitation in early years was to produce an almost universal desire for freedom among the matives. American residents there showed nearly unanimous opposition. In the United States opinion was divided even within the political parties as to what course was the best to pursue. In the main the Republicans tried to justify their part in the acquisition and retention of the Fhilippines as well as their method of governing them. On the other hand the Democratic platforms never failed to condean their opponents for what they claimed was unwarranted importalism.

II THE PERIOD OF RAPID PROGRESS IN SILF COVERNMENT

The Democratic platform of 1912 merely confirmed the stand taken on the Thilippine question in the three preceding presidential elections. In all of them the Republican experiment in imperialism was condemned as an inexcussible blunder bringing to the United States only expense and national weakness because of the acquisition and retention of these distant islands. The Democrats stood for an immental of the Confirmed Confi

diate declaration favoring independence as soon as a stable government could be established, freedom end territorial integrity to be guaranteed by the United States until neutralization treaties could be entered into with other countries. They saked for retention of necessary naval bases and coaling stations. They pointed out the relation of the United States to Cuba as an example of the principle they wished to follow in the Philippine Islands. The Republican platform simply maintained that the problem of colonial government was a national obligation to be kept free from partisen polities.

The Filipinos felt that with the election of Wilson and a Democratic congress the policy of the United States would be changed to one more in keeping with their wishes. This fact is indicated by the hilarious celebrating and parading which took place in Hamila during a reinstorm when the news of the election reached that city.

One of Wilson's first acts was the appointment of Francis Euroon Harrison as Governor General of the Philippine Kalands, a position which he held during the entire eight years Wilson remained in the White House. Harrison says that his appointment was requested by Quesson, who succeeded

² Ibid., p. 359. 3 Harrison, The Corner-Stone of Philippine Independence, p. 49.

in getting the support of William A. Jones, a representative from Virginia and chairman of the committee on insular affairs. Mr. Jones was successful in getting Bryan interseted in Harrison and the president sent his name to the Cenate. After this, Queson personally conducted him to the islands and for several months was his confidential advisor.

All of Harrison's predecessors, with the exception of Tart, had administrative experience in the Philippines before taking over control. Whison being as much a novice as the governor general and most of the latter's associates having mever been confronted with colonial administration, it should have been easy to predict sweeping changes in the government of the islands. Since there were no ingrained prejudices to be overcome, that is exactly what did take place. The new policy imaggarated with the name of Harrison is described as "bending every energy to prepare for independence."

Harrison proved to be the most liberal governor the natives have ever hed. He believed experience was the best teacher in training people to rule themselves. With this idea in mind, he proceeded to give the people for greater

[&]quot; 1014.", D. 3. 1014. "Special wood and the Filipinos," in Morid's Bork, Vol. 47 [February, 1924], p. 366.

6 Henry L. Stimeon, Twiture Philippine Policy under the Jones Act," in Foreign Affairs Hagazine, Vol. 5 (April, 1927), p. 462.

"Twiture Out of the Philippines and Our Part in It," in Current Opinion, Vol. 55 (October, 1915), P. 287.

powers than they had previously enjoyed. Abuses ceme from this rapid increase in autonomy, but Harrison's theory was that in a democratic state shuses are self corrective and that even if they were more frequent the results would coubtless be more paramenant. Such methods of governing the islands were in accord with Wilson's views. This is plainly indicated by the presidential message to the Filipinos delivered by Harrison upon his arrival at Manila October 6, 1913. The following extract contains the essence of the policy. Twery step we take will be with a view to the ultimate independence of the Islands and in preparation for that independence. And we hope to move toward that end as rapidly as the permanent interests of the islands will permat. After each step taken experience will guide us to the mover.

The first move taken by Wilson to give the people a greater voice in their government was to appoint a majority of Filiptines to the commission, which is the upper house of the Fhilippine legislature. This decision on the part of the president broke the deadlook then existing in that body and gave the natives control of both houses. Previous to 1913 this appointive body had been composed or five Ameri-Bilippines, in Totaling Affairs Magazine, Vol. 7 (April, 1909) Barriton, pp. cit., p. 50.

came and four Filipinos. The real esses of the deadlock, as marrison sees it, was a fight for control of the purse etrings of the government. On A short time after they were appointed the new members cabled their thanks to the order executive earing they accepted the offices in order "to aid in the work of laying down the besis for a etable free Filipino government, will in the message of December 2, 1014, wilson told songress his policy in the islands was to continue to give the people more and more celf government and modify any steps taken as the successes or feilures tended to show modification was ancessary. He said: "My their council and experience rather than our own we shall learn best how to serve them and how soon it will be wise or possible to withdraw our supervision. **

Mr. Taft attacked the new method of governing the Filipinos. He especially condemned the discharge of experienced americans and their replacement by untried men for what he claimed to be parties advantage. 15 To these charge Harrison replied, that he only brought over eix appointees and only four of these could be considered political office holders. He then pointed out that five or these six were

¹⁰ Ibid., p. 51. 11 Told., p. 74. 12 Ibid., p. 81.

¹³ Tr. Taft, Mr. Harrison, and the Philippine Question," in The Outlook, Vol. 111 (December 8, 1915), p. 877.

soon driven out of the service because of the hostility and hitterness of Americans in Manila. 14 Harrison also told his critics that in the ten years before his appointment an average of 646 Americans each year had either voluntarily or involuntarily left the classified civil service and that in the first year after his arrival the number was only 716, but, that those positions were filled with Filipinos and not with men brought over for that purpose 15 Harrison contrasted this with the Republican method. He gives figures showing that in 1915 there were about 9,000 members in the classified group and that 2.600 of the higher offices were filled with americans. This, he points out, was a greater number of Americans than in either 1907 or 1908. This fact was very much resented by the natives. 16 Secretary of War Lindley M. Carrison charged Taft with blind partisanship in his criticism of the Democratic administration of effairs in the islands 17

In 1914, a bill passed the House of Representatives providing for Philippine independence as soon as a stable government could be established. This bill was crowded out of the Senate calendar and so failed to become a law. 18

¹⁴ Harrison, op. cit., p. 57.

¹⁵ Ibid., p. 81. 16 Ibid., p. 75. 17 Wir. Taft, Mr. Garrison, and the Philippine Question," loc. cit., p. 825.
18 Kelaw, "Philippine Independence Movement," in Current History Magazine, Vol. 10, p. 127.

The House action on this "Jones bill" was accepted by the Filipinos with delight. At a joint session of their legislature a resolution was unanimously adopted expressing their highest approxiation and satisfaction and earnestly requesting the president and Senate to approve the bill. The governor general was requested to transmit the session by cable to the United States government.

On February 5, 1916, the Semate adopted an amendment to the Jones bill which had been introduced and sponsored by Senator James P. Clarks of Arkansas. Opinion was evenly divided upon this proposed change and it was accepted by the Senate only with the support of three Republican Senators. William S. Kenyon, Porter J. McCumber, and Robert M. La Follette. Even then it took the deciding vote of Vice President Thoma R. Marshall to break the tie. 20 The important change which this made in the Jones bill was that it promised the Filipinos complete independence two years after its approval. Wilson supported the amendment after it had passed the Senate. Secretary Carrison believed that it was too radical and this was one of the principal causes of his resignation. Having been approved by the Senate, it went to the House where it was turned down by a vote of 213 19 House Document No. 1350, 63rd Cong., 3rd Sess., Vol. 103, pp. 1-2. 20 Congressional Record, 64th Cong., 1st Sess., Vol.

21 Ibid., p. 846.

to 165 with not a single Espublican wating for it and thirty Tasmany Democrats voting against. 22 The reason for its defast according to Governor General Harrison was that: "About twenty-eight Democrate bolted the party leadership and voted with the majority of the Republicans against indopendence: these bolting members were virtually all members of the Roman Catholic faith, and it is understood that their attitude was the result of the intervention of Cardinal Gibbons of Baltimore, acting, it is supposed, at the instigation of the ecclesiastical authorities in the Philinnines,"23 The reason for this was that much church proparty had been destroyed in provious revolutions, but that the church had prospered under American protection and wished it to continue. Mr. que son showed his resentment of the action of the New York Demograts by a speech at the following St. Patrick's Day banquet at Menila in which, reforring to Irish politics, he said: "We wish you Irishmen the same independence you wished for us."24

The House repassed the Jones bill, without the Clarke encodement and in the Semete six western Republicans, William N. Borah of Idaho, Moses N. Clapp of Minnesota, William S. Kenyon of Iowa, Robert N. La Follette of Wisconsin,

^{22 &}quot;filipino Independence Put Off," in Literary Digest, Vol. 52 (May 13, 1916), p. 1357. 23 Harrison, Op. cit., p. 195.

²⁴ George M. Dutcher, The Political awakening of the Far Hast (New York, c. 1925), p. 288.

George W. Morris of Nebraska, and John D. Works of California voted with the Democrats to pass it. 25 Its final passage on August 29, 1916, was largely due to many years of effort on the part of Representative William A. Jones of Virginia, chairman of the committee on insular affairs, and Semator Gilbert M. Mitchoook of Mebraska, who was chairman of the like committee in the Semate. 26 After its passage Jones cabled Sergio Cumona, Spektor of the Thilppine Assembly, to congratulate the Filipinos through their speaker upon the passage of the act, which he interpreted as practically giving them the power to determine when they would become an independent mation. 27

The pressble of the Jones act declares that: "It was never the intention of the people of the United States in the incipiency of the War with Spain to make it a war of conquest or for territoriel aggrandizement; and whereas it is as it has always been, the purpose of the people of the United States to withdraw their sovereignty over the Philippine Islands and to recognize their independence as soon a stable government can be established therein...;" In order to accomplish this it was desirable to give more of the governing over to the natives, but in the meantime none of

^{25 &}quot;Demograts try to set a date for letting go of the Philippines," in <u>Current Opinion</u>, Vol. 60 (March, 1916), p. 156.
26 Harrison, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 192.
27 [bid., p. 195.

the severeignty of the United States was to be impaired.

The most uncertain part about Jones and is the clause making stability of government the prerequisite for independence. That is a stable government? Who is to determine when a government of that nature is established? The amswer to the first of these questions can be phrased in such a manner as mover to allow the Filipinos freedom or it might be construed so as to permit the islands to be set adrift at any time. The second points directly to the president end congress. As to stability of government, the Filipinos claimed to here already fulfilled that condition before the law was ensated. However, some Americans asserted that the provision implied shillty to protect themselves against foreign aggression. To this latter extreme view is accepted none of the smaller and even many of the larger countries do not have such a government.

The body of the Jones set contains three provisions which have caused frequent controversy. One of these deals with the veto power or the governor general, another with tariff control, and the third with control of public lands. Each of these questions will be discussed more in detail in later chapters.

Theodore Roosevelt saw in the passage of the Jones act
the proof that the actions of the United States were entemand
28 Statutes at Large, Vol. 39, pt. 1, p. 545.

^{29 &}quot;Independence and Self-Government," in The Independent Magazine, Vol. 81 (February 22, 1915), p. 205.

upon with only a fine high purpose in view. He reminded tha mation that when America acts in such a manner as to lead the Filipinos to believe that some definite action is to result then that promise should be kept. He waintedned that the islands are a source of weakness to the nation, that the Democratic administration made it difficult to hold them in case of war with a strong naval power, and that under these circumstances it would be best to give them early freedom without any marantee of any kind or the retention of any foothold in the archipelage. To

The platforms of the two major parties in 1916 differed materially on the question or an insular policy. The Democrate endorsed the provisions of the Jones ect as proof that they had attempted to fulfill the pladges made in 1918. They also reiterated their promise to grant ultimate independence. The Republicans removed allegiance to the primotphes proclaimed by McKinley and consistently carried forward by Rocsevelt and Taft. They condemned the Democrate for what they alleged to be an attempt to abandon a responsibility, which the United States had accepted as a duty to civilization and the Filipino people; the shirking of a task which if left half done would mean that America had broken her pledges and injured her prestige. Warren G.

³⁰ Moorfield Ptory, The Philippines an American Ireland, in Porcism Affairs Marazine, Vol. 5 (June, 1927), p. 579.

³¹ Porter, op. eit., p. 385. 32 Ibid., p. 397.

Harding, chairman of the Nepublican maticaal convention, on June 8, 1916, in referring to the defeat of the Clarke amendment called it an effort of the Democratic administration "to remounce its guardianship of e race of people and leave them to walk alone when they have not yet been taught how to creep." He followed this with the remark that: "A few rebellions Democrats joined the nepublican minority in sparing us the national disgreec," end ended his remarks by making several demunciations of attempts to "haul down the flag." 35

A short time after Wilson's second insuguration the entry of the United States into the World Wer called the entention or congress and the president to questions for more presented than Inhilippine independence. These problems seem to have pushed the fate of the islands into the background for e time. From the report of the covernor general, it appears quite evident that meet of the mative leaders were of the opinion that the world conflict made further disconsistent of immediate freedom inexpedient at that time. These men cenired that their claims should be ellowed to rest until the end of the war, when it was hoped the United States would present their wishes for an independent existence to a congress of nations. §4

³⁵ Harrison, op. cit., p. 194. 34 Senate Document No. 150, 65th Cong., 2nd Sess.,

The Philippine legislature met in regular assism in the autumn of 1917 and a resolution was promptly adopted astring forth the unequivocal expression of loyalty of the people of these islands to the cause of the United States of America..." They gave as their reason for adopting this attitude that America had been forced to intervene in the war to defend universal democracy and uphold the rights or small nations against the threats of sutcerney and imperialism. In reply to this vote of loyalty vilson sent the following message: "Please convey to the Philippine Legislature, in warmest terms, my appreciation of its admirable resolutions....."

Soon after the United States broke off diplomatic relations with Germany a special sension of the Philippine Legislature was called by Mr. Quemon, President of the Semate. He secured passage of a militia bill giving the governor general power to introduce compulsory military training in the islands for every able-bedied citizen between the ages of ciphteen and forty-five. Congress then passed an act providing for the federalising of the militie, and the War Department made plans for the organization of the native into a fighting unit. The mative later seem to have been quite miling to aid the United States

³⁵ Harrison, op. cit., p. 185.

because, while only 15,000 had been asked for, over 25,000 offered their services and had enrolled by July 1, 1917. Their government offered to supply a full division of troops, to provide funds for one destroyer and one submarine for the may, and the people made prospt responses to appeals for Red Cross funds and Liberty Loans. Secretary of war Newton D. Raker said: With fine self-restraint the Filipino people refrained from sative discussion of the question [referring to independence]; deceing it inopportune at the time, and threw all their resources into the common scales with the people of the United States. The is quite evident from the actions of the inhabitants and the testimony of those men who were directly in charge of relations with them that they were loyal to America during the war.

After the termination of the world conflict the Fili-

place test termination of the works contributed as fallplace felt that brighter days were in store for them. The statements of the leaders of the allied and associated powers during the war as to the rights and liberties of small nationalities had been spread throughout the islands by newspapers and through statements or the council of national defense. The propagnate of that period seems to have instilled in the minds of the inhabitants a feeling

³⁶ Senate Dodument No. 130, 65th Cong., 2nd Cesc.,p. 1. 37 Kalaw, "Thillppine Independence Movement," 100. 0it.,p. 128.

that races of people regardless of number were in the future to be secure in their right of self determination. They felt confident that the prompt form-tion of a league of mations would provide security for small self-governing nations. All of their political parties in the slection held on June 3, 1919, had independence planks in their platforms. 30

During the world war the Philippine government entered upon several important business undertakings. A board of control was organized consisting of the governor general, president of the Senate and speaker of the House of Representatives to control these undertakings. The national companies organized were the Menila Relived Company, the Thilippine Meticnal Bank, the National Development Company, and the National Petroleum Company, and the National Petroleum Company. All of these, with the exception of the iron and petroleum companies, began operations and showed dericits during their first six years of business. The senses which are held to be largely responsible for these losses were the condition of world affairs following the war, mismanagement, and lack of experience. Sp

These enterprises were organized partly for the purpose 33 "Report of the Governor General of the Philippine Islands for the year ending December 31, 1919," in the War Department <u>Annual Report</u> (1980), Vol. 3, pp. 5-5. 38 Williams, 10g. cit., p. 367. of supplying needed financial assistance for the essential industries after an unsuccessful stempt had been made to get the necessary help in the United States. This failure was due to the need for unprecedented amounts of capital for use in America and Europe at that time. Another reason for these government projects was the fear that if they did not develop their own resources the islands would fall into the clutches of American "big business" as soon as American money was available for investment in the colonies. 40 If a condition of this kind developed they felt that it would make independence harder to get if not even impossible of statement.

During the eight years of the Harrison caninistration a policy of filling the offices with Filipinos was carried on in a thorough faminon. The natives called his period of control the "Mew Ere," meaning that it was the time when in all branches or their government rapid Filipinization was taking place. In 1915, approximately twenty-five per cent of the incular offices were filled with americans. A short time after Harrison left the number of Americans had been reduced until they hardly numbered three per cent of the total. A. This shows how rapidly they had been filled by matives and illustrates the policy Wilson and Harrison fol-

40 Dutcher, op. eit., p. 865. 41 Stephen F. Duggan, "Future of the Philippines," in Foreign Affaire Magazine, Vol. 5 (October, 1986), p. 118. lowed in preparing the people of the islands for self government. It also contradicts Taff's statements about the discharge of experienced employees to replace them by others for portions advantage. The percentage of Americans in office stated above is further substantiated by the statistics substituted by Covernor General Leonerd Wood in his sanual report for the year ending Docember 31, 1925. His figures are meanly identical to those previously given by Harrison. All It is true that this report was made almost four years after he first took office, but, since he was always advarse to the appointment of Filipinos, it is reasonably safe to assert that he was not responsible for the increase in the number of mative office holders.

Any student of history would naturally expect that the liberal methods or governing the inlends would be discussed in political speeches, and this proved to be the case. The Republicans claimed that Harrison had ruimed American prestige, burt the administration, and brought economic discress and financial chaos to the inhabitants. Un the other hand, the Democrate were quick to ansert that he had simply performed his duty imposed on his by the Jones act and carried out the solemn promises made by the government of the United Chates at the time of the treaty with Spain.

The Desceratic administration appears to have been con-42 House Document No. 571. 69th Cong., End Sess., p. 5.

vinced that the Filipings had fulfilled the provision laid down in the Jones act as the prerequisits for independence. This is shown by the views of various leaders, who were in charge of insular affairs. President Wilson in his annual message to congress in December, 1920, said: "Allow me to eall your attention to the rest that the people of the Philippins Islands have succeeded in maintaining a stable goverment since the last action of concress in their behalf and have thus fulfilled the condition set down by congress as precedent to consideration of granting independence to the islands. I respectfully submit that this condition has been rulfilled, it is now our liberty and our duty to keep our promise to the recole of those islands by granting them the independence which they so honorably covet. "43 Congress now controlled by Republicans, did not act on his suggestion but left that question over until warren G. Harding became president. Searctary Baker told the Philippine Mission in 1919 that he and the president felt the time had substantially come when the islands could be allowed to become an interendent nation. 44 Harrison said in his annual report in 1920 that the Filipinos now have a stable government. 45 With the expiration of Wilson's second term there

came an end to the period of unusually liberal administra-43 House Document No. 207, 67th Cong., End Bess., p.2. 44 "Filipinos think their time for Probation is end-ed." in Current Opinion, Vol. 66 (May, 1919), p. 277.

⁴⁵ House Document No. 267, 67th Cone., 2nd Sess., p.1.

tion of government in the islands. Probably more progress was made toward soif government during those eight years than has ever been made in any similar period of time in any country with e climate comparable to that of the Philicotne Islands.

III THE PERIOD OF RETREMCHOEMT IN PHILIPPINE SELF GOVERNMENT

Soon after Harding became president, the Philippine Legislature cent a parliamentary mission to the United States asking the chief executive to use his influence to secure the approval of congress in earrying out the independence request Wilson had laid before that body. In his reply to this petition Harding secured them that their only differences of opinion on that subject were in relation to the time when self government should be granted. He told them he did not believe the time had yet arrived for e final decision. ³

Harding appointed a commission, with Leonard Wood and W. Cameron Yorbee as its loaders, to go to the islands and couly the eitustion. Judging from the peat unfavoreble attitude of military men, it must have been evident to Filipho political leaders that the report would be unfevoreble to the early withdrawal of American supervision. The report to the carly withdrawal of American supervision. The report in posting Affairs Meagazine, vol. 2 (Marcha, 1924), p. 466.

was given to the president on Uctober 8, 1921, and was, as many people had predicted, unfavorable to ismediate freedom. It stated that the experience of the past eight years had not been such as to justify the United States in taking a step which would very likely leave the islams a prey to other powerful nations. It was alleged that the abandonment of the Philippine Islands at that time would be a betrayal of their people and a discreditable neclect of a national duty. They recommended that the president nullify the acts of the insular legislature diminishing the authority of the governor general as defined by the Jones act. Also they proposed that the president should be authorized to make a final decision in case of a deadlock between the governor general and the Thilippine Senate over confirmation of appointments. The financial condition of the government was depicted almost hopeless.

A New York firm of cortified public accountants found the inhilippine National Bank in a daplorable state on account of being operated "in violation of every principle which predence, intelligence, or even honesty dictate," This was in accord with the account given by the commission.

E "Neport of the Special Mission to the Thilippine Inlends," in Houra Document No. 526, 67th Cone, And Coss., pp. 1-24, Illiems, "General Wood and the Filippine," in the World's Tork, Tol. 47, p. 368.

Neither of those statements mentions the fact that chaos resulting from the aftermeth of the World War had affected adversely some of the oldest established European and Ameriean business houses and banks in the Far East. The justice of much officials may well be questioned when it is remembured that approximately one-third of the banks in the United States in 1280 has closed their coors by 1955. Wood saw to it that the men who had committed criminal offenses in connection with the bank were punished. The president with several other leading officers as well as various subordinates were convicted of embendament and other criminal offenses. One of them, the manager of the Apart branch, avoided prosecution by confession of guilt followed by saicide. ⁴

Thomas Harrington, Eritich council general at Menila, reported in 1984 that the Philippine Islands were making satisfactory financial recovery. Their bonded debt had been increased from 955,035,000 to approximately 976,112,000 by the end of 1984 to protect the gold stendard fund and other government interests.

The methods used by Wood were disliked by many Filipinos but they proved effective in premoting financial recovery. Yet, similar readjustments were taking place in the

^{5 &}quot;The Philippine Islands; Period of Recovery," in Far East Review, Vol. 22 (June, 1926), p. 263.

United States as well as in other parts of the Far East.
Wood had a horror for industries built up with government
support which he often referred to as "state socialism."
No doubt this accounts for his abolition by executive decree
of the board of insular control as well as its prior opposition to his.

The policies used by Wood were decidedly different from those of his predecessor. He surrounded hisself with a military calinet, the members of which he claimed were responsible to him and not to the legislature. Such a reactionary change following Harrison's liberales was certain to bring repercussions. A deadlock soon case about between the executive and the legislature, the native members of the council of state resigned and a policy of noncooperation with the American officials was pursued.

The immediate occasion for the break between the two branches of government was Wod's reinstatement of an American, May Couley, as chief of the secret service. The real cames was the two different interpretations placed upon one of the provisions of the Jones act which reads as follows:

The Fhilippine Legislature may thereafter by appropriate legislation increase the number or abolish any of the amounties departments, or make such changes in the duties thereof as it may see fit, and shall provide for appointment

^{6 &}quot;General Wood Plays Hussolini," in The Mation, Vol. 123 (December 1, 1926), p. 501. 7 Kalar, "Governor General Stimson in the Philippines," in Foreign Affairs Magazine, Vol. 7, p. 573.

and removal of heads of exceptive departments by the Governor General: Provided, that all executive functions of the government must be directly under the Governor General or within one of the executive departments under supervision of the Governor General. 85

It was the avowed purpose of queson to reduce the governor general to a more figurehead, while Wood desired to exercise the full powers given him in the Jones act.

on January 6, 1924, a second mission from the islands presented petitions to President Calvin Coolidge and congress. In these they accursed wood or ignoring the assurances given by Harding that no backrand steps would be taken in the development or self government. He was charged with having a secret cabinet of military actions, abasing the veto power, destroying the badget, and ruling in a military and reactionary familion. In conclusion, they asked that independence be granted. 10

co-clidge was unlike Harding in that he seemed always to make the Wood policies his own. In reply to the petition or the second Fhilippine mission complaining or the regime he maintained that the governor general had acted only within his constitutional authority and that the

⁸ butcher, The Political awakening of the Far East, pp. 293-294. 9 "Filipino Independence Campaign," in Literary Dicest,

Vol. 78 (September 29, 1923), p. 21.

10 "Extracts from the Philippine Mission to the United States," in Congressional Digest, Vol. 3 (April, 1924), p. 231.

United States government was determined to suctain him. Be further claimed that the lack of cooperation between executive and legislature was proof that the Filipinos were unfit for salf government. I No doubt the contention of the preident that Wood had resmined within the limits of his constitutional authority was atrictly correct. It was the uncompromising way in which he used these powers which caused the trouble.

The Republicans in their platform in 1984 simply promised independence whenever it was evident to the government of the United States that it was best for Philippine welfare, but such a time had not yet strived. ¹² The Democrate endorsed the stand taken by Wilson in his last annual message to congress when he associated that a stable government had been astablished and asked them to grant the Filiphnos their freedom. ³⁵

Wood in his annual report for the year ending December 31, 1985, contended that the number of Americans in the government service had been reduced to the minimum. Any further reduction he reported would not permit the effective discharge of the obligations and responsibilities of sovereignty. These satisfies are given to support his contention.

14 House Document No. 325, 67th Cong., 2nd Sess., p.

¹¹ House Document Mo. 571, 69th Cong., 2nd Sess., pp. 20-28.

¹⁵ Porter, Mational Farty Platforms, p. 489.

"Logislature wholly Miljano.
Six secretaries or heads of executive departments 5 Miljanos end 1 American.
His juttes of the supreme court 4 Miljanos
Atorney General and Solicitor General both Fillipinos.
All proceeding attorneys Filipinos 8
Americans and Miljanos S Miljanos 8
Americans and Miljanos S Miljanos 8

Forty-eight governors of provinces 45 Filipinos 3 Americans. All justices of the peace except on military reservetions Filipinos.

Twenty-eight bureeu chiefs 24 Filipinos 4 Americans.
Eureeu of customs 99.5 per cent Filipinos.

Teachers 98.5 per cent Filipinos.
All treasury department officials Filipinos."

In aptte of this large degree of native control saide from the governor's council, Queson was not satisfied with the state of affairs. In a speech before the upper house of the legislature he said that he preferred "a government run like hell by Filipinos to one run like heaven by strangers."

The mext decided step in the direction of independence came with the introduction of a bill into congress by Representative Louis W. Fairfield of Indiana. It suthcrited a new Filipino made constitution, a native governor general, e United States commissioner to be appointed by and represent the president of the United States, American retention of the control of foreign affairs, supremay of the United States Suprema Court over all of the insular courts, end rec-

¹⁵ Williams, "General Wood and the Filipinos," in the world's North, Vol. 47, p. 370.

ognition of complete separation twenty years after the ineuguration of such a government. This bill failed to pass. but by 1925 many Filipino political leaders and some ame ricans were contemplating plans for a future dominion status similar to that of Canada or Austrelia in the British Empire. Three of the colonial leaders, Quezon, Osmena, and Marmel Boxas, expressed their opinion to a congressional committee that such an act would be acceptable to their constituents. The opposition to a measure of this nature some from an unexpected source. It was headed by General Emilio Agminaldo, hero of the Philippine Insurrection. He had remained out of politics for over twenty years but his popularity was still great and his avowed hostility to an act of this kind spelled doom for it so far as acceptance by the inhabitants of the islands was conserned. His antegonism was based upon the clause which fixed twenty years as the time to clause before independence was recognized by the United States. He advocated that this be changed to four wars. Apparently he wished to see an independent nation during his life time.

In answer to the continued complaints about the wood edministration, Coolidge decided to send a commission to the islands to investigate and make a report on conditions

¹⁸ Nordert Lyons, "Thillippine Leaders" Split on Independence Issue," in <u>Current History Magazine</u>, Vol. 31 (March, 1925), pp. 866-872.

there. He chose Carmi A. Thompson to head the delegation. Thompson was a member of the "chic Cang" which had brought so much discredit to the Harding administration from 1921 to 1925. It was generally assumed from his early statements that the findings of the delegation would favor the inauguration of a governmental policy designed to help American commercial interests. It was expected that the rubber companies in the United States would receive a great deal of consideration in any recommendations which would be made. If these people in charge of American commercial concerns hoped to benefit from this investigation they must have been aduly disappointed, for the report proved to be very homest and straightforward.

ber 22, 1926. In precenting it, be made it known that he did not agree with all of Thompson's viewa, but failed to indicate the points of his disapproval. Is It was assumed that he referred to those which criticized the Wood administration. The commission made several important recommendations. Briefly they may be summed up as follows: Settlement of political problems by providing civil advisors for the govermor general, creation of a colonial office to look after 19 Congressional Record, 50th Cong., 2nd Sess., Vol. 50, p. 912.

Coolidge sent the Thompson report to congress on Decem-

On Angust 14, 1986, Wood vetoed a Philippine bill calling for a plebiscite on the independence question, giving the following reasons: (1) Such action is not within the power granted the legislature by the Organic act. (2) Initiative in the matter rests with the United States Government. (5) The bill permits the more to vote only on whether they want immediate and complete separation or not; no provision being made for an opportunity to express themcelves on any degree of self government. (4) Fairness of results in such an election is unlikely as the conduct of voting would necessarily be in the hands of those who have publicly declared in favor of abolition of the existing bonds of union. (5) Emotional appeals based on resial and national feeling are likely to outweigh calm judgment in an election of this kind. (6) The conclusions drawn by the Wood-Forbes Commission, the judgment of Harding, and the comviction of Coolidge are all indications that the islands should not be freed at the precent. (7) The question of the legality of congress to withdraw sovereignty over territory once acquired has been raised in that body.

The legislature easily overrode wood's veto, but Coolings rejected the bill when it was sent to him, virtually repeating the wood excuses. He concluded hie veto message on the wood excuses. He concluded hie veto message on the second way of the second was a second with the second way.

with these words: "In frankness and with utmost friendliness, I must state my sincere conviction that the people or the Philippine Islands have not yet attained the capability or self government.* So

Wood died in 1927 and, during the nine months interregnum before the arrival of his successor, Henry L. Stinson, Vice Governor Eugene A. Gilmore acted as governor general. During this time he succeeded with very little difficulty in bringing back cooperation between the executive and legislative branches of the government. In doing this he retained the executive power taken over by Wood. 24 In breaking the deadlock between these two branches of government. he certainly should be given credit for making the task of Stimson much easier. The manner in which this was brought about seems to substantiate the conclusion reached by the Carmi Thompson Commission, that Wood should have been removed and replaced with a man more willing to cooperate. Here as elsewhere in the history of colonial government it was demonstrated that whenever a man is sent out to govern a colony and cannot secure the cooperation of his subjects. the wise thing to do is to replace him with a men more in accord with the wishes of the inhabitants so that legislation can be massed for the benefit of the people. This

Most, Vol. 35 (April 25, 1927), p. 8.
24 H. Ford Williams, "Gilmore and the Filipinos," in Review of Reviews, Vol. 80 (December, 1929), p. 164.

Coolidge refused to do and the friction between the legislature and the governor general caused unsecessary ill feeling toward the United States. Apparently from the results of the short time Gilmore was in charge, it is safe to assert that if Wood had displayed a little more tast and used mulitary methods less, better results could have been expected.

In August, 1928, the Legislature peased and Stinson approved a bill giving technical advisors and civilian assistants to the governor general. Here again, one of the recommendations of the Thomson Commission was finally put into effect. Another of Stinson's acts which made for harmony in government was the creation by executive order of an advisory council of state consisting of the governor general, the president of the Senate, the speaker of the Bouse, the spirity floor leaders of the Senate and House, and the six heads of executive departments. Stinson was neither as liberal as Herrison nor as reactionary as Wood. He had no such difficulties as Wood had with the legislature. The reason for this was that sympathetic treatment proved more conductive to harmony than arbitrary rule.

Stimum did not believe that either permanent ownership or immediate separation should be resorted to by the 25 Kalaw, "Jovernor General Stimum in the Thilippines," loc. git., p. 365. United States. He felt that what was needed most was a consistent policy in dealing with these distant possessions. He saw the good will and loyalty of the "self-governing dominions of Great Britsin" as the ideal position the United States should try to attain in the Philippine Islands. If this plan should be followed, he argued that as the natives become better trained in self government they should have s Filipino governor general and that the only american official necessary to keep in the islands would be a resident commissioner. 26 He actually proposed the formation of a Philippine Commonwealth, under an American governor general, as a solution of their future relations to the United States. Dwight F. Davis, Secretary of War, invited the president of the Philippine Senate, Queson, and majority floor leader of the Senate, Osmens, to come to Washington for a discussion of this question. Queson gave his hearty support to the proposition. "In fact." he said, "such a solution would permanently end the ery for independence and would solve all the outstanding problems between the United States and the Philippines. " Here again is evidence that a dominion status would be acceptable to Quezon and some of the leaders in the American Coverment.

³⁶ Stimson, "Future of the Philippine Islands under the Jones Act." in Foreign Affairs Magazine, Vol. 5, pp. 459-471.

^{27 &}quot;The Future of the Philippines," in Far East Review, Vol. 25 (October, 1927), p. 436.

In 1980 Otimson gave up his post in the islands to take up the duties of Secretary of State in the cabinet of Herbert C. Hoover, bwight F. Davis being appointed as his successor. 20 There was little if any change in the administration of government as a result of this change.

In 1950, the appointment of Micholas Roosevelt as vice governor proved to be a decided mistain on the part of Hoover. Boosevelt had written a book entitled The Philippines a Treasure and a Problem, in which he plainly stated that the Filipinos are an inferior people in whom the benevolent aducation for self government has bred a contempt for America and Americans. Such a characterization of the people hurt the pride of this sensitive race and resulted in a violent outburst of indignation when it was made known that he had been named as their eccond highest government official. A copy of the book was thrown into the sea and another was burned at the stake before a large crowd. A business men of that city publisly challenged the author to a Anal. After such an unfavorable demonstration, the appointse withdrew his acceptance and after a reconsideration Hoover accepted it and appointed him minister to Hungary, thus elosing the incident. 20

He "the New Governor Ceneral of the Philippines," In Current History Magazine, Vol. 50 (July, 1929), p. 608. Wilkins, "bright", bevis: dowernor Ceneral of the Philippines," in Current History Magazine, Vol. 34 (June, 1931), p. 581.

Davis while still secretary of war declared that the methods of dealing with the Filipinos in the past had been unsuccessful. He said:

There can be no doubt in the light of past eventa-and there oppeare to have been no doubt in advance of the event-that the effort to develop in the Philippine a people capable of sair government has, to an extent, interfered with the conomial development of the inlands with the common of the inlands of the conomial that is the conomial that it is the conomial that is the conomial that

Many runors were heard during 1931 that Davie intended to resign as governor general. These were, however, always dented. The form of the filter of the filt

Late in the year of 1931 Davis asked to be relieved of his duties and Hoover appointed Theodore Roosevelt III as his eucessor. The new governor general took the oath of office in Mandla February 29, 1952. In his speech delivered on this occasion he insisted that it was his duty to

³⁰ George E. Nea, "Daylight in the Philippines," in 7ar East heview, vol. 24 (January, 1982), pp. 6-0. 31 Wilkins, 100. cit., p. 502. 32 "The Thilippine Froblem," in Current Ristory Macasine, vol. 35 (January, 1932), p. 585.

wine, Vol. 35 (Jenuary, 1938), p. 585. 35 "Annual Report of the Governor General of the Fhilippine Islands," in <u>House Document</u> Ho. 355, 72md Cong., lat Seas., p. 13.

aid the small land owners. The lise early yet to emmounce securately the results of the Roosevelt regime. If he does aid the small land owners he will be striking at the root of the difficulty which seems to have been causing the createst amount of trouble before his arrival.

The Republican mational platform of 1938 did not mention Philippine independence. The Democratic Platform gave only four words to this subject but they were to the point. It simply said that the party advocates "independence for the Philippings." The

From 1930 on there has been a pariod of notable progress for the Fhilippine Independence movement. The Hawa-Outting bill was introduced into the Suna to by Harry B. Hawas or Misrouri on March S, 1980. To Complete freedom was offered after a period of five years of advanced autonomous government, providing the natives in a vote on that question at the end of the indicated time etill showed that they favored setting up a government of their own, So There was considerable opposition to this proposal. The majority report of the committee to whom it was referred was favorable but the minority was strongly opposed to it. Senator Himm Bingham as leader of the opposition stated that an act of

36 Ibid., pp. 4794-4796.

[[]May 4, 1932], p. 589.
S5 Flatform Adopted by Republican Convention of 1932, in a pemphlat sut out by the convention, p. 2.

in a paspliet put out by the convention, p. 5.

36 Platform Adopted by Democratic Convention, p. 5.

37 Congressional Record, 71st Cong., 2nd Sess., Vol. 72,

thic kind was "tentamount to a proposition for immediate independence."99 Secretaries Stimeon and Rurlay epoke against it in hearinge before a Senate committee. 40 The bill was finally sidetracked and so feiled to pass the Conate at that time.

In June and July, 1931, Hawes visited the Philippine Ielande to investigate conditions and get acquainted with the desires of the inhabitants. The occasion of hie arrival was chosen as an opportune time for an independence purede. This was designed to impress upon the eponsor of the Senate bill the overwhelming desire of the matives. Thousands of citizens of Hamila and the surrounding country took part in this enthusiastic demonstration which was certain to leave a vivid impression on the mind of a man interceted in their wolfare.

On April 5, 1052, the House of Representative voted to pase a bill introduced by Butler B. Hare, a Democrat from South Carolina. This provides for the granting of complete separation eight years after emactment. It would authorize the framing and adoption of a constitution, by the imbabitants of the islands to be used when complete reparation because an established fact. It would provide a limit in 35 Januare Footment No. 701, 71st Cong., End Dess., pp. 1-6. 40 Ithia. vo. 8, 5.

^{41 &}quot;Hares Uproar in the Philippinee," in <u>Literary Di-</u> gest, Vol. 110 (July 25, 1951), p. 10.

the free importation of Philippine duty free sugar, restrict immigration, and reserve for the United States the privilege of maintaining mayal bases in the islands. ⁴⁸

In the present session of congress, the Senate on December 17, 1952, voted to pass the Hawes-Cutting bill. As peased by the Semate it provides for freedom efter twelve years, but limits duty free exports to Americe to e limit below the House bill besides excluding immigration to the United States entirely. Cueson declared the present status is far better than the proposed terms, while many other Filipino legislators asserted that its provisions ere outraceous, unjust, and tyrannical, Representatives from Negros, the mucar province, said the Sanate limitation on sugar exports would ruin the sugar industry. The two bills then went to the conference committee. The conference report set ten years as the period of time efter enactment before separation is complete. During this period duty free anger importations are limited to 850,000 long tons, coopsnut oil at 200,000 tons, corders at 5,000,000 tons, and the immigration quote is set at fifty per year. 43 The report was edopted by the Senate on December 22, 1932, 44 and on

^{42 &}quot;Philippine Independence Movement in the Recent Congress," in Congressional Digest, Vol. 11 (May, 1952), pp. 153-154.

⁴³ New York Times, December 19, 1932, p. 8. 44 Ibid., December 23, 1932.

December 29 the House approved it. 45 It was then sent to the precident.

On January 15, 1935, Hoover vetoed the Philippine bill and sent it back to the House. The excuses given for vetoing it may be summed up as follows: 46 (1) The islands are aconomically dependent on favored trade with the United States. (2) The time for transition is too short to allow proper readjustments in their finances. (5) It provides no immediate relief for American agriculture. (4) The United States is left in a position of responsibility for maintaining order with no effective means of doing so. (5) The Filipinos would lack the necessary revenue to maintain a stable government. (6) The bill would do untold harm to the ielande and place them in "grave danger of foreign invasion and war." The House immediately voted to pass the hill over the president's veto by a vote of 274 to 94.47 It then went to the Senate where it was passed on January 17, 1935, by a wote of 66 to 26. At last after being in possession of the United States for thirty-four years congress has voted to put the issue of independence up to the Filipinos themselves. Mow it becomes a matter of acceptance or rejection by the Philippine legislature of the act designed to create

⁴⁵ Ibid., December 30, 1932. 46 Kansas City Star, January 15, 1933.

⁴⁷ Tbid., January 17, 1935.

"The Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands."

IV INDEPENDENCE AND THE COMMERCIAL TIPS TO THE UNITED STATES

One of the strongest motives for taking over the Philippine Islands was trade expansion. The United States was looking decidedly to the future in selecting a naval station and a base for commercial undertakings in an area in which rapid development of trade was expacted.

There are four distinct events in the tariff history of the United States which have profoundly influenced trade relations with these insular possessions. On March 8, 1908, congress imposed a duty on Philippine goods of three-fourths the rates of the Dingley tariff act. In 1909, the law was medified so that all Philippine products were allowed to enter duty free, with the exception of tobacco and sugar. At the same time duties were placed on many articles entering the arealpolago. Un Pebruary 26, 1906, congress had placed a one hundred per cent duty on their imports of cheap foreign textiles and a heavy tax on boots and shoes, while American coast wide shipping laws, which excluded foreign vessels from participation, were extended to include those islands. At the same time, congress re-

¹ Statutes at Large of the United States of America,

² Idem., Vol. 36, pp. 176-177. 3 Idem., Vol. 34, pp. 24-27.

fused to allow Philippine sugar and tobacco to enter the United States under a duty of one-fourth of the Dingley rates. These provisions seem inconsistent, but they were adopted to assist American cotton manufacturers, shipping, tobacco, and sugar interests. The matter was afeatly simplified when all restrictions on the importation of their goods were removed in 1915.

Manila has one of the finest harbors in the Orient and serves as a distributing point for American trade in that section of the world. Is it any wonder that the commercial interests are opposed to Philippine independencey There is no nation outside of Asia itself more concerned over the development of this area than the United States. The primary reason for this is that, with the exception of Bussia, America's Pacific coast is mearer to it them it is to any of the maritime nations of Europe. Because the rivers of eastarn Asia flow eastward there is an added assurance that the trade will always be in that direction.

The Jones act of 1916 gives the Philippine government power to enact tariff laws levving duties on foreign goods entering the islands, but their trade relations with the United States are governed exclusively by acts of congress. & Blount, "Philippine Independence, When?"in North American Review, Vol. 184, p. 149. Large, Vol. 38, pt. 1, p. 192.

"The Political Awakening of the Far East." p. 92.

It further provides that so laws passed by the insular legielature amending the tariff or affecting immigration and currency laws shall go into effect without the approval of the president of the United States, unless he has not disapproved them within eix months of their passage, in which case they shall become laws as if they had been approved. This plainly indicates that congress intended that their trade should be governed by rules and regulations acceptshale to the United States.

It would seem, with commercial intercets so eager to develop trade with the Tar East, that large smounts of American capital would have been invested in the islands. There are several reasons which have made this impracticable. The organic set of 1902 fires a list of approximately 2,500 acres as the maximum amount of public land which can be owned or leased by one individual. This was originally claimed to be for the purpose of preventing the public lands from being exploited. The fact that American intercets in Cuba and the beet-sagar producers in the United States were clamoring for protection against chapter Thilippine sugar gives weight to the assertion that they were the sponsors of that section of the set. The possession

⁸ hea, Teylight in the Thilippines," in Far East Review, Vol. 26, p. 8.

of 2,500 ecres is not sufficient to induce cepitalists to invest in sugar growing in the archipelago. Operations could only be carried out on a scale so small that they would not be profitable. It was probably hoped that this would make the development of a Fallippine sugar industry unlikely and prevent competition on the American market.

The Jones act also gave the legislature power to control public lands, except when lumber and mining privileges were granted. In making grants for these lands it was required that the president of the United States give his experoval. They have done nothing since that time which would allow a greater amount of land to be held. Oueson in these words gives the reasons thy filipinos oppose inseriesn investments: "It is likely to postpone intefinitely the day of Mational Independence, A Apparently the is why they were anxious to build up home industries with government sid.

The lack of empital has meant the retardation of development. Since their occupation these islands have absorbed about \$800,000,000 of capital while Cube has inviton and British investments of approximately \$2,800,000,000,10 One

⁹ Statutes at Large, Vol. 39, pt. 1, p. 547.

¹⁰ Rea, log. cit., p. 8. 11 Welter Robb, "Filipinos' Domand for Independence," in Current History Macazine, Vol. 19 (November, 1925), p. 285.

¹² Frederick M. Davenport, "Philippine Independence," in The Gutlook, Vol. 147 (September 14, 1927), p. 55.

of the chief causes for the failure to attract capital is the uncertainty of the future status of the islands. Business men are always cautious and like to know before investing whether there is likely to be any change in the existing government.

A great expansion in the sugar industry come after the United States permitted Philippine sugar to come in free. whis cave them a decided advantage over foreign producers on the American market. Haw sugar, under the Underwood tariff set, was forced to pay a duty of 1.85 cents per pound except Cuban sugar which was given the special rate of 1 sent per pound. 15 Under the Pordney-McCumber set these votes were reised to 2,206 and 1,7648 cents, respectively. Then they were increased to 2.5 and 2 cents per pound by the Hawley-Smoot tariff of 1950,15 Under these conditions it can be seen that Philippine sugar is able to command a relatively high price on the American market. Another reason for this rapid expansion of their sugar industry is that the price of sugar was abnormally high during the World War. During this period a change was made from muscovoda to centrifugal mills. This entailed large expenditures and when the depression of 1921 came on the only way to avert enormous losses was to increase production so that the mills 13 Frank W. Taussig, The Tariff History of the United States (7th ed., New York, c. 1923), p. 457.

¹⁴ Ibid., p. 427. 15 "Hawkey-tomoot Tariff," in Current History Magazine, Vol. 32 (August. 1930), p. 979.

could grind up to capacity. As The following table is given by Armold H. Warren, an accountant and sagar chemist, to show the relative cost of producing sugar in the various countries. As

	Cost of	Mfg. Gost	Expense	Total
Cuban factory	0.91	1.13	.234	2.274
Javan factory	1.15	1.581		2.731
Philippine factor:	1.97	1.67	.56	3.2
Philippine plante:	r 2.045	1.673	.56	4.278

It is obvious, from those statistics, that Fmilippine sugar cannot hope to compete with other producing countries in the American market, if the present free trace privileges are discontinued.

The next table will give some idea of the extent to which the Philippine sugar trade with the United States has grown in comparison with that or Cuba. 18 (See page 57.)

18 United Status Department of Commerce Year Book, 1981, pp. 188, 174.

¹⁶ Armold H. Warren, "Is the Philippine Sugar Producer Building His House on Sand?" in Far East Review. Vol. 20 (October, 1924), p. 470. 17 Ibid., p. 472.

P. I. Lbs. : P. I. Average: Cuba Lbs. : Cuba Dollars :

1910-14	1910-14 3,856,000,000	91,686,000	232,540,000	5,827,000
1928	000,000,000,000	157,079,000	1,150,031,000	46,873,000
1989	8,297,000,000	157,601,000	1,421,456,000	49,698,000
1930	000,000,008,3	75,825,000	1,587,457,000	51,398,000
	Conspications	SPECIAL PROPERTY.	Asimises many amorphism picture in	-

From a study of these tables it is apparent that under the protection of the American tariff an industry has been built up on an economic foundation which is entirely dependent on a United States market. This can only be interpreted as a grave economic mistake, for, if independence is ultimately granted, it will meen competition for this newly established industry and it is obvious that free competition spells destruction.

A move was started early in 1925 for the esparation of the Mohammedan tribes (Moros) from the Christian Filipinos. This move received its impetus from the American rubber sompanies who succeeded in getting am agitation started in congress for an act of this nature. 19 There are three conflicting views as to what should be the relationship of the island of Mindanao and the Sulu Archipelago to the rest of the group. These two regions are settled largely by Moros end constitute the richest undeveloped region under the stars and stripes. They have been found to be among the finest regions in the world for the growing of rubber. Soon after this fact became known American business men, sspecially those interested in rubber companies, discovered that the Christian inhabitants of other parts of the islands 19 "Hander Interests," in the New Stateman, Vol. 28 (January, 1927), p. 439.

could never be trusted to get along with their Mohammedan brothers under the same government. They insisted that these two regions should be separated from the rest of the archibelago. There is one element in the islands consisting of both Christians and Moros who oppose such separation. They realize that these are the richest provinces and that separation would prevent them from ever attaining unity and national strength. Another group, made up almost entirely of Moros, wish all foreigners and native Christians to stay away from them, because they fear that their small population will be absorbed. 30 The significant thing about the separation agitation was that it came at about the same time that the British rubber monopoly was becoming alarmingly significant in the world market. The excited discussion over this proposed separation could hardly do other than confirm the belief of the natives that the influence of American big business, if permitted to gain a firm foothold, would retard the granting of independence. This feeling is at least in part responsible for their slow economic develomment.

While Stigson was governor general, he was continually stressing the necessity of encouraging the entry of Ameri-

²⁰ Malston Maydon, "Shat Next for the Moro?", in Foreign Affairs Magazine, Vol. 6 (July, 1928), p. 655.

ean estal in order to promote the building up of industry. He indicated that he believed industries such as tolegraph and telephone communication would be handled with greater efficiency by private companies than under government control.

The following table is given with the intention of showing the effects of American ownership and tariff policy upon the flow of their imports and exports. 28 (See page 61.)

This table shows the average yearly importation of American goods for 1926 to 1930 to have been over eighteen times the average between the years 1901 and 1905. During the same period the average yearly imports from all other countries has increased only approximately 1.63 times. In considering exports from the same standpoint, it is found that their exports to the United States have multiplied by over ten times those of the previous years, while their exports to all others have searcely soubled. This plainly indicates that free-trade privileges have tended to shape the greater part of their trade like a furnel leading to the United States. From the same table it will be found that their balance of trade with America in 1930 was \$27,-159.035. In the same year they had on adverse balance with other countries of \$17,084.859. This leaves a favorable nat 21 Randell Could, "Stimson Shows His Hand," in The

al Handall Gould, "timeon Shows His Hand," in The Mation, Vol. 127 (outober, 1928), p. 465.

22 Statistical Abstract of the United States, 1931, p. 617.

Imports and Exports in Dillars

-	the U. S.	from all othe	the U. S. 1	all others
1901-05 sverage,	4,845,949	27,652,100	10,179,585	18,394,005
1910	80,775,301 : 86,892,380	86,892,380	1 18,741,771 1	81,182,398
1915	22,594,381	82,085,480	1 23,001,275 ;	27,013,786
1980	93,889,778 : 57,148,505	57,148,505	105,216,263 1	45,907,593
1925	69,897,585;	50,435,251	1309,044,948;	39,832,263
1926	71,575,816	47,725,374	100,003,215	36,881,105
They	1 71, 476,297 1	64,575,5VB	1 008,800,811	100 DOG 1000
1928	1 82,858,018 ;	50,758,830	:115,585,876;	59,486,670
1989	1 98,592,959 :	54,567,516	:124,465,473 :	39,981,570
1930	1 78,185,088 : 44,909,986	44,909,926	1105,562,061 :	27,885,067

balance of \$10,074,174. The only conclusion which can be drawn is that they have a trade bailt on ertificial arrangements not enjoyed by other mations. It is evident that intentionally or unintentionally there has been built up an economic structure which will make independence work a hard-ship on the inhabitants, at least until their economic life is modified to meet the changing conditions of a separate existence.

Americans in the islands point with pride to this trade as a reason why independence should not be granted. Commerce, they say, is likely to expend as the islands are developed. From all indications, it is the sugar industry which is likely to develop most rapidly. This is because of the subsidy, in the form of free trade, granted Philippine sugar when it enters the United States. Is pride taken in such trade justified? In 1930 they sent 1.587.457.000 pounds of sugar to America. 24 Under the present rates inposed on foreign sugar of 2.5 cents per pound this means a subsidy of 839.686.425. In other words, if the American exports to the archipelago ever reach \$396.686.425 including e profit of ten per cent, the profit would just equal the tariff subsidy granted their sugar. This explodes the fallacious contention that freedom should not be granted be-25 "Immediate Filipino Independence," in Far East view, Vol. 20 (October, 1924), pp. 467-468. 24 United States Department of Commerce Year Book,

1931, pp. 128, 174.

enume of trade benefits to the United States. Even before the higher tariff of 1930, their products in the year 1989 would have peld \$117,000,000 to enter the American markets, or over three times their favorable balance of trade for that year. ²⁵

There are several advantages to the Filipinos in their present relation to the United States. They pay no American income tax. They have the protection of an army, navy, and diplomatic service which they do not have to support. They are protected by American Oriental exclusion laws but can themselves migrate freely to the United States. They have autonomy in framing tariffs against the products of foreign countries and at the same time have free access to the Am ricen market. Stimson asserts the Filipinos have a higher standard of living than other Criental people, due largely to the trading privileges accorded them. 26 The loss of these privileges, which can be expected to come with independence, will emuse Philippine goods to come into direet competition with the goods of China, Japan, and the Dutch East Indies. 27 However, if the Democrats lower many tariff rates as they have indicated they intend to do the 25 "Annual Report of the Covernor General of the Philippine Islands," in House Document No. 160, 72nd Cong., lat Sess., p. 2.

So Stinson, "Future Philippine Policy under the Jones and Address of the Jones and Act, in Foreign Affairs Magazine, Vol. 5, pp. 463-464. 27 Nicholas Moosevelt, "rhilippine Independence and Peace in the Pacific," in Foreign affairs Magazine, Vol. 8

(Auril, 1930), p. 413,

Asserioan market will not continue to give the same protection to Fillippine goods it has in the past. Frobably the best solution, in case it is decided to give them independence, is to apply the tariff gradually to Fillippine goods. If it is gradually raised over a period of years, it will permit them to accurate themedies to the conditions under which they are to live. There can be no doubt that the American occupation has benefited the Filipinos in many ways in freeing them, they should be given the best opportunity to form a government of their own under conditions which will impose the least hardship and permit the greatest chances of success.

The foundation of the Thilippine economic atructure is not a sound and solid one that can be expected to stand under matural conditions. If they are granted independence, their whole sconomic structure must be rebuilt from the bottom up. 80 It would have been much better to have allowed them to build up a netion, during the past thirty years, in which they could live and stand alone.

In 1089, the TimberLake bill was introduced into eagress. It provides for a duty on all of the insular sugar in axees of 500,000 tons per year, and other duties on such products as ecocamit oil and copym. It would deprive

Philippines," in <u>Far Mast Review</u>, Yol. 22 (October, 1926), pp. 449-450.

the Filipinos of the right to become American citizens and exclude them from the country by an ismigration law. It failed to mass but stirred up a considerable amount of favorable coment over the entire country. 29 Stimson anneared before the House wave and means committee to oppose it and a delegation came over from the Philippines for that purpose. It was argued in opposition to this measure that it would stir up active agitation for independence and hurt American prestice in the Orient. It was claimed that these people bought goods made in the United States at higher prices than they would have to pay for the same goods in Japan, China, or Australia, therefore Americans should buy their goods. Still another argument advanced in opposition was that a closed American market would be a calculty inpairing the living of every Filipino. 30 The letter argument is no doubt true and should always be considered whenever the welfare of these people is thought of because the American tariff system is responsible for it.

In assista the sugar producers are asking for insectiate and complete separation. The Oaban sugar interests are classoring for it. These two groups are afraid that the rapidly increasing volume of fhilippine sugar imported will destroy their own industry. The interest of the control of th

public, Vol. 58 (May 1, 1938), p. 294.

31 "Gugar Patriots," in The world's mork, Vol. 59 (May, 1930), pp. 18-19.

ways be counted on to have their support. On Deptember 12, 1989, Semetor William A. King of Utah introduced an amendment to the Hewley-Smoot tariff bill, aponoured by himself and Semetor Newin S. Brousserd of Louisiana, the purpose of which was to great immediate independence to the Philippines in order to prevent their sugar from competing with the home product. ³⁸ It is quite significant that both of these semetors are from sugar producing states.

American dairy interests are interested in every est that brings separation for the islands nearer. They wish to see a duty placed on copen and sessennt oil. Such products are now widely used as butter substitutes and come into direct competition with American dairy products. This is the reason the New York and Wisconsin dairy sen ardently support every bill of this nature. So It 1980 Representative James C. Strong, e Republican from Kanses who has always opposed independence for the Philippines, took the floor in the House to announce that he was now in favor of bidding them good-bye and Codepeed. The interesting part about this is that he is the owner of a large hard of Holstein cattle and me doubt voices the sentiment of dairy men throughout the sountry.

³E <u>Uongressional Record</u>, 71st Cong., 1st Uess., pp. 3567-3668.
35 "Philippine Minimum," in <u>The New Republic</u>, Vol. 67

⁽June 5, 1931), p. 59.

34 "Freeing the Filipinos to Tax Them," in <u>Literary Diment</u>, Vol. 105 (December 5, 1929), p. 8.

The American Federation of Labor is in favor of separation or at least excluding Filipino immigrants. This feeling is especially strong among the laborers in the Facific-coast states. The senators and representatives from those states form almost a solid bloc favoring complete separation. This feeling has been aggravated by recent anti-Filipino race riots. The large number of Filipinos in the western states has caused American laborers to fear the intrusion of these people more than the Japanese and Chiness. 35 Representative Richard J. Welch of California introduced a bill into congress in 1928 which would bar Filininos from the United States. 36 Race riots and bills such as Welch introduced are not conducive to a good understanding between the people of the Philippine Islands and the United States.

Other interests favoring Philippine independence are widely scattered. Some of the most important of these are the tobacco growers, tobacco manufacturers, and producers of actionseed oil and nearut oil. In almost every American industry which has to compete with insular products in the American market sentiment is found in favor of immediate and complete independence. 37 In addition to these groups, there 35 Raymond L. Buell, "America's Stake in the Philippines," in <u>Current History Magazine</u>, Vol. 35 (March, 1932), p. 769.

ssional Record, 70th Cong., 1st Sess., p. 9275. The Forum, Vol. 80 (November, 1931), pp. 305-307.

are some compresemen who have no economic benefit in view but believe in it as a matter of principle. 38

In opposition to independence, we find groups of Americans including the shipping interests, American business men in the islands, and sdiftary men. The Catholic church opposes independence. The reason for this is that in the revolution of 1896 church lands were expropriated, Friezs were driven out, and many churches and convents were descroyed. The church has prospered under American rule and whalse it to continue. The

Manuel Roxee, Speaker of the Philippine Legislature, made a trip to the United States late in 1939 and discovered what he thought was a bright prospect for early independence. He returned to the islands and on November 5, 1930, laumched a Hew Mationalistic movement known as the Ang Bangong Katipunan, the seaming of which is 'to take the course we should were we independent." Its purpose is to attain astional unity, concedio nationalism, racial equality, preservation of matural resources, foster national culture, national discipline, honest government, encourage some homes industries, discourage others dependent on free trade with the United States, and promote idealism. 40 1930 points of the Philippines, 100.

40 Andres V. Castillo, "New Mationalism in the Philippines," in The Mation, Vol. 133 (July 1, 1931), pp. 23-24.

It appears at the present time that it may be the commercial standpoint which will be the deciding factor in settling the fate of the Philippine Islands. The only element which does not look at separation in that light are those who regard independence as a moral principle. This group believe that we should knep the promises made to the Filipinos. Apparently the future status of the islands will be settled in congress from a standpoint of the benefits that are to be received from independence or retention. Those senators and representatives looking out for American agricultural interests, the American Federation of Labor, American sugar manufactures, Cuban sugar interests, and the others who look at independence from a moral point of view have lined up for Philippine Independence. The opposition consists of those persons representing manufactures, selling goods to them, the church, military men, American business men in the Philippines, shipping interests, and those imperial minded folks who believe in "manifest destiny."

The prospect of a high tariff on insular goods entering the United States is eausing many Filipinos to oppose complete separation. Many of the Philippine nesspapers are turning against this extreme demand. At as the chances for independence become brighter, they are looking more and more at the economic results of separation.

^{41 &}quot;Filipinos Have another Thought," in Literary Digest, Wol. 109 (June 13, 1931), p. 9.

who feet that economic gain, rathor than justice, should be the deciding feator in determining the future status of approximately 13,000,000 people is not a pleasant conclusion to arrive at, yet there is no way of disregarding that it is one of the most powerful feators at present and may prove the deciding one. Apparently the Americans and Filipines look at independence from the standpoint of dollars and cents.

Y INTERNATIONAL ASPECTS OF PHILIPPINE INDEPENDENCE

Events in the Far East in the decade preceding and the one following the occupation of the Philippines hept the world in a mervous frame of mind. A world conflict could easily have been started over a number of different changes that were taking place. Japan was rapidly coming to the front as a world power after her defeat of china and the annexation of Formess. In this conflict she won a militery victory but suffered a severe diplomatic set-back when Russia, supported by Frames and Germany, virtually threatened war in case she kept the Liao-tung Peninsula. A few years later Russia took possession of Fort Arthur and extended her influence over the whole Liao-tung Peninsula. This act was the beginning of the smally between Russia and Japan which finally resulted in the Russo-Japanese War and made Japan et

world power. Germany established hercelf on Kiac-chan Bay and received concessions from China in the Shantung Featherstale. Great Britein leased vei-hei-wei for as long a period as Fort Arthur should remain in the hands of Russia. This action on the part of Britein was taken as a measure of self defense for her possessions and trade in the Far East. Bussia then built a railroad from Tort Arthur to the trans-Elberian line running to Vladivostok and fortified Fort Arthur. All of China, it seemed, was soon to be dissembered and the smoils divided among the European powers.

Under such conditions it is no wonder that most of the mations interested in the partitioning looked with single-ing upon the entry of the United States into an area which they regarded as their chosen field for exploitation. It was only natural that they should regard the United States as an intruder into a part of the world which they had selected to push forward their imperial designs.

The capture of Manila was recented by Cormany and France. The actions of the Cerman fleet under Admiral von Diedrichs and the attitude of the Franch made it look as though serious complications would likely have resulted had it not been for the friendlines of the British Admiral, Undoubtedly the cause underlying this Exitish apparaty was the fact that at that time she was faced by a combination of mations consisting of Cermany, France, and Russia with

only depan as a prospective ally. It is no monder that, under these circumstances, she regarded the advent of the United States in the Far East as an opportunity to gain a needed ally.

One of the excuses often given for the occupation of the Philippine Islands was that the Dingley tariff was besiming to face retaliation abroad. It is probable that McKinley looked upon these islands as an excellent base from which the United States could uphold its established trading privileges in China and at the same time expand this trade. This conclusion is justified by the subsequent action of John Hay in announcing the open door policy which had previously been advocated by Great Britain. Another reason for their retention was the fear of the american and British governments that Germany was preparing to annex the archipelaco if the United States withdraw. It was feared that such an action on the part of Germany would very likely provolue a world conflict. The acquisition of the islands under the conditions described and in the light of succeeding events have caused mations with colonies in the Far East to look with grave apprehension upon the possible consequences which are likely to take place if they are given complete independence. This would leave a relatively week nover in a very strategic position surrounded by embitious neighbors.

When America took over the islands she had no experi-

once in governing Oriental people. It was only natural that the would look for an example to the colonies of Great Britain and Bolland. Entirely different conditions were to be feeed in the Philippines than in the British and Futch colonies. They had built a system of government upon the advanced rule of mative rejahs and princes. In the Philippines very little tribel organization existed end the mative system of rulling had been abolished for over two hundred years. The inhabitants, except for a relatively small Boro population, were almost all Christians. There is no doubt that their edvancement previous to the American occupation has been responsible in a large degree for the wonderful progress made under American rule.

Great Britain, Holland, and the United States at and for peace in the Orient and wish to maintein the etatus quo in that part of the world. Any change is likely to have an adverse offect on the governing and trade of their colonies and prhaps on their relations with China and Jepan. If the islands are given their independence there will no doubt be much anti-muropean agitetion in the British, French, and Dutch colonies. This is the reason they are gravely concerned over any change in policy of the United States.

1 Maximo M. Malaw, "The New Tallippine Government," in Maximo M. Malaw, "The New Tallippine Government," in Maximo M. Malaw, "The New Tallippine Government," in 18 (August, 1916).

2 Roosevelt, "Philippine Independence and Peace in the Pacific," in Foreign Affairs Magazine, Vol. 8, pp. 409-415. Noet nations were openly distrustful of American participation in the effairs of the Orient. The British government was pleased with the decision of the United States to retain the Philippines. The Datch and Australians feel that the islands serve as a buffer between them and Japan so long as they are protected by a strong saval power. The Japanese government is evidently worried over the interest of the United States in oriental affairs and especially over her attitude toward their Manchurian and Siberian policies. So No doubt this fear is greatly heightened since the teatington government has refused to recognize the newly established state in Manchuria which has been brought shout by the use of Japanese military force.

The British realise that, with the United States out of the Philippines, they must bear the brunt of keeping the atabas quo in the Far East. If and when America Leaves they will no doubt enlarge their navy. Amstralia and Hew Zeeland will be forced to depend to e greater extent upon the sea power of the mother country as a means of defense against the land-hungry people of Asia. It is little wonder that these two British possessions wish America to retain the islands. Great Britain is preparing the Singapore naval base in anticipation of the withdrawal of the United

⁵ Harrison, the Corner-stone of thillprine Independence, p. 508.

States. She is counting on the mid of the Australian and Indian fleets. India is at the present time building a navy outside of the Washington Limitation of Arms Agreement. The British wish to retain their Asiatic trade in the face of an expanding Japan. It is unlikely that Great Britein will ever allow Japan to get control of this group of islands regardless of what the United States does with them. The British government has been granting subsidies to the great Jamushadpur State Works being constructed in India, The states are too great for her to parmit her rival in the Far East to get control of a base of such strategic importance.

If the Filipinos are granted independence, it appears very likely that there will be a shifting of the balance of power in the Fur East. In this new realignment the United States will have little influence as it is unlikely that any nation could be induced to believe that she would use force in any Far Eastern question after she has given up control of the FMilippines. Many important problems are bound to arise in that sree which will be of very great importance to the entire world, what will the policy of Great Eritain, Japan, China, Russia, and Holland be? All of these nations have wast interests in Asia and the Pacific, and they will

⁵ George B. Rea, "The Keys of Empire," in Far Last Review, Vol. 25 (February, 1927), pp. 51-55.

(October, 1950), p. 135.

be deeply concerned with every new arrangement made in that section of the world. What will the new realignment be? What effect will it have on the possessions of those nations? How will it affect their trader? Time alone can answer these questions which are no doubt enusing the world statemen considerable suriety.

arthur fivet of the New York Times interpreted the House bill of 1920 proposing independence to the islands on July 4, 1940, as a terrible upset of the status quo in the Pacific. He says:

In the the Dutch East Indies troubled, with India seething, with china broken by civil war and invasion, with Japan consolidating her own at the Time Transfer and the Time Transfer and the Time Transfer and the United States is ready to remove its stabilizing influence for the white mane state in the Oxfort is untansount to swery author of disorder. It with—accust to swery author of disorder. It with—louden true the of 1950 were laid, 8

It is doubtless true that the British, French, Dutch, and Portaguese, all of whom have valuable possessions near the islands, would not interfers with an independent Philippine nation unless forced to do so. It is the liberal treatment accorded the natives which they regard with impations and disepproval. The generous methods used in permitting the Filipinos to have a very large degree of self

⁸ The Filipino Freedom Bombshell," in <u>Literary Disest</u>, Vol. 113 (April 16, 1950), p. 9.

government is couning their colonies to ask for similar privileges.⁹ The idea of liberal treatment of colonies is solden favorably received by nations holding distant possessions largely for the purposs or exploitation. This seems to be the motive for the purposs or exploitation. This seems to be the motive for the purposs of exploitation. This seems to be the motive for the pursons of motions in the Far East. Japan in kores, France in Indo-China, the Dutch in the East Indies, and the British in Melays dive the people only a trifling voice in government affairs.¹⁰ This is entirely different in the Thilippines where the matives are in control of the major part of their government. It is true that they are far more advanced than the people of the Buropean colonies. Regardless of this fact, it the Filipines are given their freedom, it will no doubt stir up national feeling among these subject races and make their control much more difficult.

Captain Aifred T. Mehan gave position, strength, and resources as the three measurery essentials for a strategic point at sea for the protection of American trade. The Philippine Islands possess all three. They are located in a position to control the channel connecting the East China Sea and Muropean trade. Their rany strates and passages would make it difficult to blookede them even with a superior mays. They would serve as a wonderful base for Ameri-

pp. 278-286. 10 Ibid., p. 290.

can cruisers should it become desirable to pray on the commerce of an enemy trading with the East Indies. They are about half way between Singapore and Hongkong which would permit the United States to cooperate with Great Britain, her natural ally in the Far East, chould she ever wish to do so. 11 The importance of their location is one of the reasons why Britain will never consent to allow them to become a possession of any mation likely to be unsympathetic toward her in the Facific.

India is the center of Great Britain's world empire and the corneratone of her prestige in Asia. She serves as a helf way home between the British Isles and Australia. New Zealand, Hongkong, and Singapore, Before the World War. Gibraltar served as a base between her greatest rival for supremacy of the sea, Germany, and her wast empire in Asia and the Pacific. That source of denser is now test at least for some years to some, and she now recards Japan with suspicion. Immediately following the World War she began to construct an impregnable naval base at Singapore as a western point of defense for this same empire. 12 It is evident that she does not distrust the motives of the United States in the Orient or she would never have been willing to grant 11 Truxtum Beale, "Strategical Value of the Philippines," in Morth American Review, Vol. 166 (June, 1898), D. 760. 12 "The Pacific Rat Trap." in Far East Review. Vol. 19 (July, 1925), pp. 436-440.

her parity on the sea. Apparently she regards the rapid building of the Japanese fleet as a threat at her possessions and trade in that section of the world. If this is the case, and it appears to be the only logical conclusion which can be drawn from events which have transpired, uncombtedly she looks upon the United States as her natural ally in that quarter so long as America retains the Philippines. Such being the case, these islands must be regarded as holding a very important strategic position in the balance of power in the Facific. They are looked upon as the

buffer between the white and the yellow races.

70

Harrison, while Governor General of the Thilippine Islands, told Lord Frederick J. N. T. Chelmsford, Viceroy of India, of the embarrassment of his country in having the Islands on her hands at the end of the Spanish-American Wer.
"Well," Chelmsford replice, "if that has embarrassed your country, you have no idea how your Philippine policy has embarrassed us!" America's altruistic methods of educating the natives and allowing them a large degree of self government together with her changing policies are causing other nations to be greatly concerned. They would like to see some definite stand taken in regard to the future status of the archipelage, 14 This would give them a basis up-

¹³ Harrison, op. cit., p. 521. 14 Batchelder, "Philippine Independence," in <u>Foreign</u> <u>Affairs</u> Macazine, Vol. 2, p. 486.

on which to base their foreign policies. The price paid for the abrogation of the Anglo-Tapanese Alliance was an Anglo-American entents for the defense of mutual interests in the Pacific. Many European and Japanese statemen slaim withdrawal from the islands will abrogate the four-power treaty, since it is based upon the maintenance of the status quo in the Pacific. Japan believes that the United States, Great Dritain, and Russia are rapidly pushing her into a corner and attempting to themrit overy move she makes to expand. The mayal treaty between the Pacific powers contains a pledge not to atrengthen or enlarge existing fortifications in the Pacific. Hawaii, Japan proper, and Singapore are not included in this spresence. 10

Another angle of the Philippine problem resented by Japan is the tariff system, which has directed the greater part of Philippine trade to America and abute out Japanese trade, At the seme time the United States insists on the open door in China and Manchuria. The Japanese cannot see the justice of demanding equal commercial privileges with other asiaties and at the same time denying her the same rights with another group. AT Apparently they do not consider the fact that they are doing the same thing in the case

¹b "The lecific Rat Trap," loc. cit., pp. 436-439. 16 Statutes at Large, Vol. 43, p. 1665. 17 Hount, "Tulippine Independence. Ment," in Horth

American Review, Vol. 184, p. 874.

of Korea. Here again, it is evident that solf interest is the most important factor in molding Japanese opinion the same as in other notions. Greet Eritain has the same grievance. The points to India being left open to American trade while conststee shipping laws and terriff policies make competition difficult in the Philippines. ¹⁸ However, she does not show any active resentment over the exclusion of her commerce. It is likely that she believes the stabilizing inclusing of the United States in the Far East is of far greater value to her than any adventage she could possibly gain from trade with these American possessions.

The greatest losses to America arising from freeing the Philippines would be disrespect for American prestige in the Far Fast, loss of trade, and the loss of American ideals and missionary influence in the Orient. ⁵⁰ To offset these losses would be the fast that she would no longer be responsible for the protection of a people thousands of miles easy from home. It would also relieve her of what is unquestionably the weakest link in her whole defense system.

Why should Japan wish to acquire possession of this island group? This is a question often times asked. The answer is that with these in her possession, she would hold the key to the trade routes to southern China. Today she

¹⁸ Dutcher, op. cit., p. 262. 19 Roosevelt, loc. cit., p. 413.

holds a chain of islands extending from Sakhalin Island on the north to Formosa at the south. If she were to own the Philippines she would have possession of an island chain which could easily be used to control the antirs eastern coast of Asia. All of the world's commercial metions have misgivings about the hegemony of Japan in the Far East. The United States supported by many of the leaders in the British Islas, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand were alarmed at her growing power supported by the Anglo-Japanose Allianes. This was the real incentive for the calling of the Washington Conference in 1921.20 The anxiety of the British dominions would no doubt become more manifest if the United States steps out of the field of imperialism in the Orient. Every increase in the Japanese navy would unquestionably be construed as a direct threat to the British Empire and a challenge to her supremacy on the sea.

A contest of this nature has in the past invariably led to war and there is no cause to believe that a race for mayal suprempy between these two nations would not be terminated in a signatic navel battle. Just what a navel war between these two powers would mean to the world is hard to determine. What nations would ultimately be involved? Can the United Sates afford to see Japan control the Pasific? In what way would such a conflict affect her? These are 30 Devin X. Owner, Imprinitum in the Yar Yast (New York e. 1989). No. 30-180. questions of very grave concern to a nation whose past experience with blockmides have been far from satisfactory. Judging from the Mepoleonic Wars and the recent world conflict, the enswer would be that American trade would be molested. Past experience has shown that her neutrality could not be maintained in the face of continued interference with her commerce, which would be a constant source of irritation endangering the lives and property of neutrals. Under these circumstances may hasty action on the part of the United Status in granting independence to the Filipinos appears to be a dangerous proceeding, which might easily lead to another war into which she could be drawn without much difficulty.

Japan is regarded in diplomatic circles as the "Germany of the Kast," Francis B. Harrison asks these questions; "Do we wish her to annex Manchuria and Edbria? Are we or the world ready to neet her thrust as we met Germany?" Japaness believe the law of existence drives them on. They feel that with their country it is either "grow or dis." Alparently before any change is made in the status of the Fhilippines it would be well for the native leaders and the American government to take into consideration all the circumstances before taking a step which might sow the seeds of another dewestating war.

²¹ Harrison, op. cit., p. 314.

Control of world trade is an important factor in the desire of Japan to sequire possessions in the mest Indias. The prize of Malayssian rubber is a reward well worth controlling. A large per cent of the world supply is raised in this area. Japanese business men have long regarded the Philippines as a fortile field in which to engage in the rubber business. Should she control this archipelage, it would threaten the British control of the keet India rubber supply besides endangering her trade route to thina. El Here again is another ceuse for Great Britain and Holland to be deeply consermed over the indecision of the United States regarding the future status of the islands.

The prospect of early independence may be siversely affected by the Sino-Japanese conflict in Manchuria. It seems that prudence should dietate that the United States should retain some measure of southol until conditions in the Far Last are more settled. However, it appears from the recent setion of congress that they will be only too willing to get rid of them and avoid the responsibility for their safety, where Franklin D. Roosevelt will stand on the granting of complete separation is a question which many of the world statemen are undoubtedly impatiently waiting to have answered.

There are many problems which an independent Philippine 28 "The Facific Rat Trap," loc. cit., p. 437.

we the tautite the trap, 100. Cit., p. 407.

nation would be forced to face. The more important of these are international security, imperializes, militarium, extra territoriality, mass immigration, and commonic penetration. ²⁵ Of these, international security and militarium are closely commented because the former may meen that they will find it mesessary to keep a large standing army in order to ward off the attacks of foreign mations.

If separation is ultimately brought about, mass immigration and economic penetration may be serious factors the Filipinos must face. Other Asiatics have been excluded from the islands by the American immigration laws. These laws have set a dangerous precedent which can probably never last long after the withdrawal of the United States. The inhabitants are a Malay people with in many cases a mixture of Chinese and Japanese blood. A discrimination against racial brothers is certain to cause resentment and retaliation. The future peace and prosperity of an independent Philippine mation must necessarily depend upon friendly relations with their neighbors. It is unlikely that either China or Japan will enter treaty relations with a small Asiatic country which discriminates against their people. 24 Wages are about twice as high as in China and it is alleged that this will draw thousands of Mongolians and the result for the Filipi-23 Vincente Villamin, "Independence, Its Obligations," in Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 131 (May, 1927), p. 19.

Philippines," in Far East Review, Vol. 22 (October, 1926).

"Altruism versus Horse Sense in the

24 George B. Rea,

DD. 449-450.

nos, who eannot hope to compete on even terms with the newcomers, will be the fate of the people of Hawmii, the Etrait Settlements, or Java. B. However, the people who advance this argument fail to take into consideration the greater efficiency of the natives which may prove of enough value to offset this difference.

The recent action of congress in overriding the Boover veto of the independence bill will now give the natives an opportunity to vote on the question or whether or not they wish to become a separate nation, to face the difficulties and reap the remarks which will come to them as free country. In the interest of everyone concerned it is to be hoped that the final decision will bring peace and prosperity to the Filipinos.

²⁸ Carffeld O. Jones, "Filipino Politicians and Independence," in The Outlook, Vol. 105 (September 20, 1913), pp. 125-136.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Sources

Government Documents, Official and Semi-Official:

- "Annual Report of the Governor General of the Fhilippine Islands, 1919," in <u>Mar Department Annual Re-</u> port, 1920, Vol. III.
 - "Annual Report of the Governor General of the Philippine Islands, 1931," <u>House pocument</u> No. 355, 72nd Cong., let Sess.
 - Congressional Record, 55th Cong. to 71st Cong.
 - House Document No. 1360, 63rd Cong., Srd Bess., Vol.
 - 105.
 - House Document No. 267, 67th Cong., 2nd Sess., Vol. 20. House Document No. 325. 67th Cong., 2nd Sess., Vol.117.
 - Children distribution
 - House Document No. 571, 69th Cong., 2nd Sess., Vol. 4. House Document No. 585, 70th Cong., 1st Sess., Vol. 19.
 - "Report of the Special Mission to the Philippine Is
 - lends," House Document No. 385, 67th Cong., 2nd Bess., Vol. 117.
 - Richardson, Jenos D., <u>A Compilation of Mescages and Pa-</u> pors of the Presidents, 1789-1897, 2 Vols., Coverment Frinting Office, Washington, D. C., 1899.
 - Senate Document No. 150, 65th Cong., 1st Sess., Vol.22.

Senate Document No. 180, 69th Cong., 2nd Sess., Vol.21.
Senate Document No. 781, Tist Cong., and sess., Vol. 2.
Statistical Abstract of the United States of America.
1931. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.
C., 1931.

Statutes at Large of the United States of America, Vols. 34, 36, 39, 43, 54, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

United States Department of Commerce Year Rook, 1931,
Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1931.

Boolos:

Harrison, Francis B., The Corner-Stone of Fhilippine Independence. Century Company, New York, 1982. Porter, Kirk H., Mational Party Flatforms. Macmillan Company, New York, 1984.

Articles:

"Carmi Thompson Investigation and Report," New Statesman, Vol. 28 (January, 1927), pp. 228-221.

Castillo, Andres V., "New Mationalism in the Philippines," <u>The Mation</u>, Vol. 138 (July 1, 1931), pp. 23-24.

"Extracts from the Philippine Mission to the United States," <u>Congressional Dispat</u>, Vol. 5 (April, 1984), p. 251.

- Quevara, Pedro, "Carmi Thompson Report," <u>Annals of the</u>
 <u>American Academy of Political and Social Science</u>,
 Vol. 130 (Hay, 1987), Supplement, pp. 6-15.
- Kalew, Maximo M., "Governor General Stimson in the Philippines," <u>Foreign Affairs</u>, Vol. 7 (April, 1989), pp. 378-363.
- Kalaw, Maximo M., "Philippine Independence Movement,"

 <u>Gurront Ristory</u>, Vol. 10 (July, 1919), pp. 187-180.

 Kalaw, Maximo M., "The New Philippine Coversment,"
 - American Political Science Review, Vol. 15 (Au-
- gtinson, Henry L., "Tuture of the Philippines under the Jones Act," Foreign Affairs, Vol. 5 (April, 1987), pp. 459-471.
- Villemin, Vincente, "Independence, Tee Obligations," <u>Annals of the American Academy of Political and Bootal Edicane</u>, Vol. 131 (May, 1987), Supplement, Dp. 19-21.
- Warren, Arnold H., "Is the Philippine Sugar Producer Building His House on Sand?" <u>Far East Review</u>, Wol. 20 (October, 1924), pp. 470-474.

Pamphlote:

Platform Adopted by the Democratic Convention, 1952.

Platform Adopted by the Republican Convention, 1952.

Mewspapers:

Kansas City Star, January, 1938.

New York Times, December, 1938.

Secondary Sources

Articles:

- Blount, Jenes H., "Philippine Independence. When?"

 Horth American Review, Vol. 184 (January 18, 1907),

 pp. 135-149.
- Buell, Raymond L., "America's Stake in the Philippines," <u>Ourrent History</u>, Vol. 35 (March, 1932), pp. 765-772.
- Buell, Enymond L., "Freedom for the Philippines,"

 Forum, Vol. 86 (Movember, 1931), pp. 305-307.
 - Davenport, Frederick M., "Philippine Independence," Outlook, Vol. 147 (September 14, 1927). pp. 55-54.
 - "Democrate Try to Set the Date for Letting Go of the Fhilippines," <u>Current Opinion</u>, Wol. 80 (March, 1916), pp. 156-156.
 - Dusgan, Stephen P., "Future of the Philippines,"

- Foreign Affairs, Vol. 5 (October, 1926), pp. 114-151.
- "Fatherly Veto of Filipino Hopes," <u>Literary Digest</u>,

 Vol. 95 (April 25, 1927), pp. 8-9.
- "Filipino Freedom Bombshell," <u>Literary Digest</u>, Vol. 115 (April 16, 1950), p. 9.
- "Filipino Independence Cempaign," <u>Literary Digest</u>, Vol. 78 (September 29, 1925), p. 21.
- "Filipino Independence Movement in the Recent Congress,"

 <u>Congressional Digest</u>, Vol. 11 (May 13, 1952), pp.
 155-154.
 - "Filipino Independence Put Off," <u>Literary Digest</u>, Wol. 52 (May 15, 1916), p. 1357.
 - "Filipinos Have Another Thought," Literary Digest, Wol.
- 109 (June 13, 1931), p. 9.
 "Filipinos Think Their Time for Probation Is Ended,"
 - Current Opinion, Vol. 66 (May, 1919), pp. 276-278.
 "Freeing the Filipinos to Tax Them," Literary Digest.
 - Vol. 103 (December 28, 1929), pp. 8-9.
 "Future of the Philippines," Far Mast Review, Vol. 23
 (October, 1927), pp. 435-436.
 - "Future of the Philippines," New Republic, Wol. 58 (May 1, 1928), pp. 294-295.
 - "Future of the Philippines and Our Part in It," Current Opinion, Vol. 55 (October, 1915), pp.227-228.

- "General Wood Flays Mussolini," The Metion, Vol. 122 (December 1, 1926), p. 551.
- Could, Randall, "Stimson Shows His Hand," The Mation, Vol. 127 (October, 1928), p. 485.
- "Hawes Uproar in the Philippines," Literary Digest,
 Vol. 110 (July 25, 1951), p. 10.
- "Hawley-Smoot Tariff," <u>Gurrent History</u>, Vol. 32 (Au-
- Hayden, Relaton, "What Next for the Moro?" Foreign Affairs, Vol. 6 (July, 1989), pp. 653-644.
 - "Hoover and Manila," <u>Living Age</u>, Vol. 359 (October, 1930), pp. 134-136.
- "Immediate Filipino Independence," Far East Review.
 Vol. 20 (October, 1924), pp. 467-468.
- "Independence and Belf-Government," Independent, Vol.
 - Jones, Carfield 0., "Filipino Politicians and Independence in 1981," <u>Outlook</u>, Vol. 105 (September 20, 1915), pp. 138-156.
 - "Launch a New Church," Christian Contury, Vol. 49 (May 4, 1932), p. 588.
 - Lyons, Morbert, "Failippine Leaders Split on Independence Issue," <u>Current History</u>, Vol. 21 (March, 1925), pp. 866-872.

- "Mr. Taft, Mr. Garrison and the Philippine Question,"
 Outlook, Vol. 3 (December 8, 1915), pp. 877-878.
- "New Governor General of the Philippines," Current Ristory, Vol. 30 (July, 1929), p. 605.
- "Our Wards in the Philippines," <u>Outlook</u>, Vol. 145 (Janmary 5. 1927), p. 9.
- "Pacific Rat Trap," Far heat Review, Vol. 19 (July, 1923), pp. 436-459.
- "Philippine Minimum," New Republic, Vol. 67 (June 3, 1951), pp. 59-60.
- "Philippine Islands; Period of Recovery, Far East Review, Vol. 22 (June, 1926), pp. 265-264.
- "Philippine Problem," <u>Current History</u>, Vol. 35 (Jenuary, 1932), p. 585.
 - Rea, George B., "Altruism vorsus Horse sense in the Philippines," Far Rast Review, Vol. 22 (October, 1926), pp. 449-450.
 - Rea, George B., "Daylight in the Philippines," Far East Heview, Vol. 24 (January, 1928), pp. 8-9.
- Rea, George B., "The Key to Empire," <u>Far East Review</u>, Yel. 23 (February, 1927), pp. 51-53.
- Robb, Walter, "Filipinos" pemand for Independence,"

 <u>Current History</u>, Vol. 19 (November, 1925), pp.

 201-207.
 - Roosevelt, Micholas, "Philippine Independence and Peace

- in the Pacific," Foreign Affairs, Vol. 8 (April, 1930). pp. 407-416.
- "Rubber Interests," New Statesman, Vol. 28 (January 22, 1927), pp. 438-440.
- Story, Moorfield, "The Philippines an American Ireland,"

 Foreign Affairs, Vol. 5 (June, 1927), pp. 579-588.
- "Sugar Patriots," <u>Morld's Mork</u>, Vol. 59 (May, 1950), pp. 18-19.
- Wilkins, H. Ford, "Dwight F. Davis: Governor General of the Fhilippines," <u>Current History</u>, Vol. 34 (June, 1981), pp. 348-352.
- Wilkins, H. Ford, "Gilmore and the Filipinos," Review of Reviews, Vol. 80 (December, 1929), pp. 162-165.
- Williams, Daniel R., "General Wood and the Filipinos,"
 World's Work, Vol. 47 (February, 1984), pp.
 356-377.

Histories:

- Dunn, Arthur W., <u>From Harrison to Harding</u>, 2 Vols. G.
 P. Putnam's Sons. Hew York, 1922.
- Dutcher, George M., The Political Awarening of the Far East, Abingdon Prose, New York, e. 1985.
- Taussig, Frank W., The Tariff History of the United States, 7th edition. G. P. Putnam's Sons, New York, c. 1925.

Books:

Chamberlain, Frederick C., The Philippine Problem. Little, Brown, and Company, Boston, 1915.

Owen, David E., Imperialism in the Far East, Henry Holt and Company, New York, c. 1929.