FOOD SHOPPING PRACTICES OF FAMILIES LIVING IN SELECTED GOVERNMENT HOUSING PROJECTS IN SAN JUAN, PUERTO RIGO

by

EDITH FIGUEROA VALENTIN

B. S. University of Puerto Rico, 1950

A THESIS

submitted in pertial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Family Economics

HANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
Review of Literature	2
Objectives	6
PROCEDURE	7
Population Samples	7
Method for Drawing the Sample	7
Collection of Data	8
Treatment of Data	10
RESULTS	10
Families Interviewed	10
Household Composition	12
Geinfully Employed Wives	12
Wives Working Away from Home	12
Time Spent Traveling	14
Type of Occupation	14
Wives Working at Home	15
Share of Family Expenses	15
Food Shopping Prectices	15
Food Shopping Decisions	15
Use of the Shopping List	17
Sources of Market Information	18
Food Shopper	18
Type of Store or Vendor	21
Frequency of Purchase	22
Transportation	25
Shopping	26

	Shopping	Day .				•												•		27
	Shopping	Day P	referr	ed .										•		•		•		27
	Shopping	Hour.				٠					. ,								•	29
	Shopping	Hour	Prefer	red.						4						•				29
	Attitude	Towar	d Stor	e Se	Brv:	les	8	an	đ	Gh	era	cti	ari	lat	iác	18				29
	Store Se	rvice	Prefer	red.																31
Rome	Storage	Facil	ities.																	32
SUMMARY .																		•	•	33
CONCLUSION	IS																			37
ACIONOVILEDO	MENTS																			38
REFERENCES																	٠			39
APPENDICES																				

INTRODUCTION

Gainful employment of women increases the amount of money families have svailable for the purchasing of goods for consumption and reduces the time available for producing them at home. Families vary in their ability to shop and in their methods of shopping, which in turn affect the quality and quantity of foods the family consumes. Gainful employment may affect the food shopping and consumption practices of Puerto Rican families.

Puerto Rico has experienced many changes during the past few years, particularly with respect to the status of women (Rottenberg, 1958).

"The changed position of women has also had the effect of permitting more of them in the middle class to enter the labor merket and make themselves swallable for work. As they contribute more to family income, they also participate more in the making of spending decisions. This must surely affect spending patterns." (p. 252 f.).

The trend has been toward an increase in gainfully employed women. In January, 1959, women constituted 25 per cent of the labor force, that is 160,000 out of 648,000 employed persons (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1959).

The present study is concerned with the effect of gainful employment of women on shopping prectices.

The population studied was restricted to one economic class to svoid confounding the employment status with economic status. As a result, the study presents an estimate of the food shopping practices of families of selected government housing projects in San Juan, Puerto Ricc.

The government housing suthorities were established in 1938, under the terms of the U. S. Housing Act of 1937, with the purpose of housing the people of lowest incomes. The houses are lessed at low rentals to low in-

come people, and the rent is based on family income in relation to number of dependents (Roberts and Stefani, 1949, p. 227-228). Thus these families constitute a relatively homogeneous group of families of low economic status.

The project had the encouragement and support of the Marketing Information for Consumers Program of Extension Service of Puerto Rico. This program was organised in 1948 by the Extension Service. The specialist and agents provide consumers with timely marketing information and economic principles as a basis for decision-making in selection, purchase, care and use of agricultural products with regard to consumers' needs and resources. They encourage the people to adopt improved buying practices and inform consumers about new products in the market, their availability and relative cost. The ultimate objective is to improve the nutritional status of Puerto Rican families.

The Agricultural Extension Service of Puerto Rico, because of its interest in Marketing Information for Consumers and the welfare of all the people of Puerto Rico, provided the personnel and their expenses to interview the 175 families living in selected housing projects, to obtain an estimate of the impact of gainful employment on the shopping practices of such families.

Review of Literature

Merchandise svailability and consumers' shopping practices in Utah was atudied by Faville, Jones and Sonne in 1942 under the auspices of the Graduate School of Business of Stanford University. These investigators collected data on availability and related prices of selected foods, drugs, clothing and dry goods, and the variety of items in typical rural towns of the state

of Utah. The purpose of this study was to measure the extent to which country people could buy wented items of merchandise as readily as city people, and the extent to which this merchandise was svailable at comparable prices. The consumers' shopping practices of residents of cities and rural areas were compared in regard to type of stores they patronized and the amount of cut of town shopping. Also, information about who did the shopping and localities where groceries were purchased was obtained (Faville, et.al., 1942).

The Eureau of Research of the College of Business Administration at the University of Tennessee studied the grocery shopping habits of 600 families living in Knoxville, Tennessee. They gathered information about the place of purchase; distance to the store usually patronised; place of purchase of meat, fruits and vegetables, and staples; members of the family who did the shopping; day of the week they usually purchased proceries; method employed in returning groceries to the home; and extent to which families read the newspaper before shopping for groceries. The stratified random sampling procedure was used in the selection of families, and the data were collected by the recell method of interviewing, (Garrison and Hutchinson, ISMEN).

A recent study concerning the roles of husbands and wives in making the purchasing decisions was reported by Wolgast (1958). The results of this study were based on a cross section of randomly selected families from all parts of the United States. The interviews were obtained alternately with heads of households (by definition the husband is the head of the household) and the wives of the heads of the households. The decision-

making patterns were considered in relation to income, age of respondent, and whether the families lived in urban or rural communities. An analysis of the answers showed that the employment status of wives had no effect on her decision—making functions.

The reliability of these reports was tested by comparing the answers of the husband with those of the wife. The comparisons showed that husbands and wives reflected one another's judgements almost perfectly.

In 1956 s study was made in Detroit concerning consumer decisions in metropolitan families (Sharp and Mott, 1956). The study was designed to ascertain whether some decisions were made by the husband slways, by the husband more than the wife, by the wife always, or by the wife more than the husband. The decision sreas investigated were the purchase of family car, the selection of life insurance, sllcostion of family's weekly food expenditures, the selection of house or spartment, the selection of a place to go on vacation, and whether the wife should go to work or quit work.

The data were probability sample from those households in metropolitan

Detroit which contained a husband-wife family unit. Seven hundred and
forty-nine wives of such households were interviewed. To hold constant
the factor of family role position, only the wife was interviewed.

In regard to food, the study showed that the wife did not completely dominate the family decisions. In shoot one-half of the families, the husband shared in making the decisions related to food shopping. There was little indication that socio-economic status influenced the decision-making patterns in the family.

The Consumers Education Program of Puerto Rico made a study of consumer problems and practices of families living in two Bayemon eress: The urban area of Caserio Virgilio Davila which had been developed under the Housing Authority, and the rural community of Minillas which had been developed under the Social Program Administration of the Department of Agriculture. All families living in these two communities are families of low economic status.

Only sixty-four questionnaries were completed and returned: Minetten from Caserio Virgilio Davila, and forty-five from Minilles. Idmitations of time and personnel, and illiteracy among the people may have reduced greatly the number of returns. Although the sample was inadequate, this atudy offers an insight into some of the problems and needs of this perticular group. The father decided how the food dollar should be spent in 60 per cent of the families, the mother decided in 30 per cent, and the mother and father in 10 per cent of the families. The largest number of purchases were made from grocery stores, with the small store and supermarket second in Caserio Virgilio Davila and "ventorrillo" (klosko) second in Minillas. Most of the food purchases were made on a weekly basis with daily or semi-weekly buying of perishables.

A study was made in Puerto Rico by Ramires (1957) to determine the food purchasing practices of 200 urban families. Information concerning incomes, number and occupations of wage-earners, number of persons in the household, places where the food was purchased, days on which purchase was done, persons who did the food shopping, number of persons eating away from home and food expenditures of families by commodities were obtained. The sample was selected by the Consumer Education Agents as they made the interviews. They

also interviewed the first 25 olients they met during a given week in their districts. Since these agents were located in the island's six different districts or sones of work of the Extension Service, they covered the entire island. It was reported that the metropolitan families shopped for food mainly in supermarkets and large grocery stores, while the island families shopped in large and small grocery stores. More husbands shared the responsibility of shopping in the metropolitan area, and most families shopped in order of frequency on Saturday, Friday and Monday. Only four families did not own eny type of refrigerator. But few families used refrigeration to store fruits and vegetables, as many homemakers bought fresh fruits and vegetables daily.

Objectives

The specific objectives of this study were to determine whether there was a significant difference in the shopping practices of low income families with gainfully and non-gainfully employed wives, and to detect the difference with respect to:

- 1. Type of store where the family shopped.
- 2. Person who did the shopping.
- 3. Method usually used for transportation to and from the store.
- 4. Frequency of use of a shopping list.
- 5. Source of shopping information used.
- 6. Day of the week shopping was done.
- 7. Frequency of purchase of different foods.
- 8. Time of day shopping was done.
- 9. Type of store service preferred.
- 10. Home storage facilities.

As the study developed the type of family organisation seemed to be of possible major significance in affecting shopping habits. Therefore, the data were classified to permit analysis of husband-wife families and broken families to determine the effect of marital status on women employment status and shopping practices.

PROCEDURE

Population Sampled

All families living in the following housing projects: Caserio Nemesio Canales, Caserio San Jose, Caserio Manuel A. Peres and Caserio Llorens Torres of San Juan, Puerto Rico, were included in the population sampled. The study was limited to this group because by established standards of eligibility all families were of similar economic status.

Method for Drawing the Sample

The sample was drawn from a list of femilies compiled from the files of each of the housing projects. A secretary of the Agriculturel Extension Service of Fuerto Rico was authorized to compile this list of femilies which contained not only the name of the head and address, but also the size of the femily and the employment status of the wife. The names were coded as to marital status of the families with gainfully employed wives, for the sample was to be drawn only from husband-wife families.

The population was stratified by the employment status of wife to include an equal number of families with gainfully and non-gainfully employed wives. There were 125 families in each stratum, 105 in the primary sample and 20 substitutes.

The original plan of the survey was to restrict the population to the husband-wife families with one or more children under twelve years of age. A review of the pre-list indicated a predominance of broken families among the gainfully employed, and an insufficient number of husband-wife families with gainfully employed wives. Therefore the plan was revised to include among the gainfully employed not only all of the 40 husband-wife families, but also a sample of 65 families plus 20 substitutes of the 244 "broken" families. These were selected by selecting the name of every other family from the pre-listed names in each housing project, until a sufficient number of names was obtained.

The sample of families with non-gainfully employed wives was drawn from the list of 1,269 names by starting with the second family name in each housing project and taking every tenth name thereafter of the non-gainfully employed until the required number of names was obtained.

The number of families in the pre-list and in the sample by housing projects, employment status and family status are presented in Table 1.

Collection of Data

A schedule was prepared and tested in a sub-group of families living at Caserio Llorens Torres. The schedules were sent to the general supervisor of home demonstration work who conducted a pre-test of the schedule. She selected two interviewers to make a pre-test of the schedule. They interviewed the families together, so while one made the interview, the other could observe the interview procedure.

The two agents who made the pre-test and the other agents who were to perticipate in the survey met with the general supervisor, the assistant general supervisor, the district supervisor, and the specialist in merketing

Table 1. Survey population, sample and interviews, by housing projects of families as classified in the pre-list.

Family type	Sampl	e size		1 Fami	llies in	terviewe
end employment status of wife	Pre-liste families number			inumber		inumber
All families	1553	210	40	167	8	175
H.G.E.	1269	105	20	90	5 3 1	95
G.E.	284	105	20	77	3	80
Complete	40	40	0	25	1	26
Broken	244	65	20	52	2	54
San Jose						
All families	321	47	10	26	0	26
N.G.E.	253	20	5	15	0	15
G.E.	68	27	5	11	0	11
Complete	12	12	0	1	0	1
Broken	56	15	5	10	0	10
Nemesic R. Canales						
All families	310	38	10	34	40	38
N.G.E.	255	20	5	18	3	21
G.E.	55	18	5	16		17
Complete	3	3	0	2	0	2
Broken	52	15	5	14	1	15
Llorens Torres						
All families	503	70	10	60	0	60
N.G.B.	403	35	5	30	0	30
G.E.	100	35	5	30	0	30
Complete	15	15	0	12	0	12
Broken	85*	20	5	18	0	18
Manuel A. Peres						
All families	419	. 55	10	48	4	51
N.G.E.	358	30	5	27	2	29
G.E.	61	25	5	20	2	22
Complete	10	10	0	10	1	11
Broken	51	15	5	10	1	11

[&]quot;Twelve of these families were used for the pre-test.

information for consumers. They discussed and reviewed the questions in the schedule and the instructions for the interviewers. Recommendations for the improvement of the schedule were mailed to Manhattan, Mansas, and the schedule was revised and returned to Puerto Rico for field work. The general supervisor of the home demonstration agents assigned the interviewers to the different housing projects. See Appendix A for the Schedule of Questions as originally presented in Spanish and in Appendix B as translated into English. Appendix C contains the instructions, translated into English, that were supplied the interviewers. The data were collected by personal interviews during the first two weeks of March, 1959. The seven interviewers were home demonstration agents and associate home demonstration agents working at Rio Piedras county.

Treatment of Data

The data obtained through the schedules were edited, coded, tabulated on columner sheets, and summarized by employment status of wife, family type and housing project.

RESULTS

Families Interviewed

A total of 175 families were interviewed; 80 of those pre-listed as families with gainfully employed wives and 95 of those with non-gainfully employed wives. This reflects a bias toward inclusion of the families with non-gainfully employed wives (Table 1).

The data showed some shift in family and employment status between the time the list was compiled and the time of the interviews (Table 2). The shift may be due to an actual change in the employment status of the wife,

to inaccuracy of the pre-list with respect to the employment status, or to inaccuracy in the investigation classification of the families as to broken or complete status.

Of the 95 femilies pre-listed as non-gainfully employed, 12 were gainfully employed at time of the interview, wheras of the 80 pre-listed as gainfully employed, 26 were not gainfully employed. At the time of interview there was a net gain of non-gainfully employed wives of 14 (Table 2). The shift was not related to family status for 8 of the 26 (31%) complete families shifted and a similar proportion 18 of the 54 (33%) of the broken families shifted. Thus the shift reflects perhaps a general economic condition prevalent at the time of interview.

With respect to family status only one of the 26 whose names indicated them to be complete was broken. Of the 54 classified as broken four were found to be complete at the time of interview (Table 2).

Table 2. Employment and marital status of the 175 families interviewed, as pre-listed and at time of interview.

	8		Sta	tus a	t time	of i	ntervi	ew	
Pre-list status	1	-gain mplov Com- plete	ed	1 07	infull ployed cos-	<u> </u>		All Com-	
ALL	109	76	33	66	26	40	175	102	73
N.G.R.	83	65	18	12	8	4	95	73	22
G.E.	26	11	15	54	18	36	80	29	51
Complete	8	8	0	18	17	1	26	25	1
Broken	18	3	15	36	1	35	54	4	50

Household Composition

The 175 households studied included 812 persons. The average size of household was 4.6 persons. The households with gainfully employed wives (38% of all household surveyed) were composed of 265 persons with an average of 4 members per household. The households with non-gainfully employed wives (62% of the households) were composed of 547 persons with an average of 5 persons per household.

Almost one-helf (49%) of the persons living in these households were 16 years of age or older, and about one-third (32%) were from 6 to 15 years of age (Table 3). Those households with non-gainfully employed wives had more children under 6 years of age (20%) then those with gainfully employed wives (13%). The complete families had more children under 6 years (22%) than did the broken families (13%). The term "family" will be used interchangeably with the term "household" because all persons living in the households studied were related by blood, marriage or adoption.

Gainfully Employed Wives

In slmost two-fifths (38%) of the families atudied the wife was gainfully employed. Among the population, however, it is estimated that in 18 per cent of the families the wife was gainfully employed (Table 1). About three-fourths of the gainfully employed wives worked away from home, 15 per cent worked at home only and 9 per cent both at home and away from home.

<u>Nives Working Away From Home</u>. Over one-half (52%) of women working away from home worked at least 40 hours a week. Those from broken homes were more likely to work full time than those from complete families (54% vs. 43%). About one-fifth of wives working outside worked less than 20 hours a week (Table 4).

Table 3. Household composition by family type and employment status of wife.

			Complete			200				
Families or persons	1 UNIE	99	s MGE s	VII	30 8	1 MGE	1 ALL	2 GE 2	MGE 8	ALL
Penilies	no	26	92	707	9	33	73	99	109	175
Families	M	25	75	108	55	45	100	38	23	100
Funilies	SA.	15	67	58	23	19	77	38	3	100
Persons per household	neen	4.8	5.2	5.1	3.5	4.4	3.9	7.0	5.0	4.6
All persons	no	25	329	524	97	378	288	592	277	22
Under 6 years	no	8	16	777	27	56	38	R	117	152
6 to 15 years	no	×	124	158	147	147	3	20	171	252
16 years and over	og	89	184	252	87	75	156	149	259	408
All persons	W	300	207	9	2	200	300	91	301	300
Under 6 years	W	18	23	a	6	318	H	ង	20	19
6 to 15 years	M	27	31	8	33	Ħ	33	32	R	R
16 years and over	W.	55	97	87	58	22	25	55	84	64

GE = Gainfully employed NGE = Non-gainfully employed

Table 4. Hours per week of gainful employment of wives working sway from home, by family type.

Hours worked per week		families : Per cent :				
40 hours or more	21	43	18	54	29	52
30 to 39 hours	3	23	3	9	6	n
20 to 29 hours	4	19	5	15	9	16
Less then 20 hour	5	25	7	22	12	21
Total	23	100	33	100	56	100

Time Shent Traveling. More than two-fifths (43%) of wives working outside spent an hour or more to reach the place where they worked. A larger precentage of wives from complete families (48%) traveled one hour or more to reach their work than those from broken families (39%) (Table 5).

Table 5. Time spent traveling to work, by family type.

Time spent traveling	: Complet	e femilies : Per cent				
1/4 hour	4	17	5	15	9	16
1/2 hour	4	17	8	24	12	21
3/4 hour	0	0	2	6	2	4
1 hour	8	35	10	30	18	32
More than 1 hour	3	13	3	9	6	11
No answer	4	17	5	15	9	16
Total	23	99	33	99	56	100

Type of Occupation. The major occupation of these women was domestic work.

Most women worked as maids, cooks and laundresses, (Table 6).

Table 6. Type of occupation by place of work and by family type.

Occupation	1 1	lorkin	g outs	ide		1		Hork:	ing at	t hor	10	
of wife		nolete			All No. 1	%1		2 %		eken 1 %	2 Al	
Maid and cook	9	39	12	37	21	38	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wash and iron	4	17	10	30	14	25	1	20	8	66	9	53
Factory	3	17	3	9	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sewing	2	9	2	6	4	7	4	80	4	33	8	47
Nurse aid	1	4	3	9	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Office clerk	0	0	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weitress	0	0	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
No answer	4	18	1	3	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	23	100	33	100	56	101	5	100	12	99	17	100

Shere of Family Expenses. In the complete families more than one-third (36%) of the vives paid all family expenses and almost one-third (31%) paid half of family expenses. That is, about two-thirds (67%) of the gainfully employed vives in complete families paid at least one-half of family expenses (Table 7). Among the broken families 95 per cent of the gainfully employed vives paid all family expenses. The responsibility was shared with other persons, in the remaining broken families.

Food Shopping Practices

<u>Food Showning Decisions</u>. Questions were included in the schedule to determine which member of the family made the food purchasing decisions.

These questions were not applicable to broken families, but were relevant only to husband-wife families.

Table 7. Wife's share of family expenses by family type.

Share of expenses	1 1	Complete (n=26)	1 1	Broken (n=40)
All expenses		36		95
One half of expenses		31		2
One fourth of expenses	1	19		2
Less than one fourth		12		0
No answer		2		0
Total		100%		99%

Among those complete families with non-gainfully employed wives the responsibility of deciding what foods to buy was about evenly divided between husband and wife. In some of the families (12%) the responsibility was shared (Table 8).

Among complete families with gainfully employed wives, almost two-thirds (62%) the decisions about what to buy were made by the wife, and in less than one-third (31%) of the families such decisions were made by the husband. Only in a small number of complete families (7%) was the responsibility shared (Table 8).

Table 8. Food purchase decisions by family member, family type and employment status of wife.

Family member	Gainful ploye	d	inon-gai	yed		ll famil (n=175)	1es
	: broken : :Per :cent	:Per	tbroken t tPer tcent	:complete :Per :cent	ibroken i iPer icent	recuple represent	te:All :types :Per :cent
Husband	0	31	12	45	5	41	26
Wife	97	62	70	43	85	48	63
Husband and wife Others	0	7	0	12	0 9	11	6
Total	100	100	100	100	99	100	100

It is interesting to note that about two-thirds of the gainfully employed wives of complete families paid at least one-half of family expenses (Table 7), and about two-thirds of the gainfully employed wives decided what to buy (Table 8). Rottenberg's commentary about the middle class women may also apply to this lower income group: "As they (women) contribute more to family income, they also participate more in the making of spending decisions." (Rottenberg, 1958). On the other hand, these date may simply reflect the more frequent opportunity for the women or men to combine shopping with their travel to or from work. In 97 per cent of the broken families with gainfully employed wives, the wife decided what to buy, while in less than three-fourths (70%) of those with non-gainfully employed wives she decided (Table 8).

Use of the Shonning List. Shopping lists were used regularly by almost one-half (47%) of the families with non-gainfully employed wives, but by less than one-third (30%) of families with gainfully employed wives (Table 9). Likewise the gainfully employed wives more frequently reported never using a shopping list.

Table 9. Frequency of use of a shopping list by employment status of wife and by type of family.

Use of a	2	Gainfi	lla emplo	yed		Non-gainfully en	ployed
shopping list					1 COM	cent :Per cent	: all
Regularly		23	35	30	53	33	47
Sometimes		19	15	17	18	18	18
Never		58	50	53	26	46	32
No answer	+	0	0	0	3	3	3
Total		100	100	100	100	100	100

The major difference was among the families with gainfully and nongainfully employed wives in complete families; about one-half of families with non-gainfully employed wives used a shopping list regularly, and about one-fourth never used the shopping list. In families with gainfully employed wives the situation was reversed.

Sources of Market Information. Over one-half of the families did not report use of any information on which to base their shopping decisions. The highest proportion of families not using any information was among the broken families with non-gainfully employed wives (66%).

Those who did report use of information most frequently obtained information about prices mainly while shopping at the store (Table 10).

Less than one-tenth of these families reported use of radio and TV as a
source of information.

Radio and television were the sources of information most cosmonly used by families with gainfully employed wives. About one-fifth of these families used these media. Among the families with gainfully employed wives those from complete families (25%) used radio and television as the main source of information more often than those from broken families (15%). Hand outs and newspaper advertisements were the second most common sources of information by families with gainfully employed wives (Table 10).

Food Shormer. Among the broken families the wife did most of the shopping because there was no husband to do the shopping. The shopping practices of complete families varied as shown in Table 11. Among the complete families with gainfully employed vives the wife bought most of the food except the bread, but among families in which the wife was not gainfully employed the husband did most of the food shopping. The pattern of shopping

Table 10. Sources of food shopping information by family type and employment status of wife.

Source of	Gainfully (n=66)	employed		Non-gainfull (n=109		red	I IAll
information	scomplete	t broken	: all	gomplete s	broken	: all	
Radio and TV advertisements	25	15	19	9	10	9	13
Hand outs and newspapers adv.	8	15	11	7	6	7	8
Learned prices while shopping	4	0	2	15	6	12	8
Comparing prices of different stores	0	5	3	9 -	0	7	5
Newspaper and maga articles	zine O	5	3	2	0	1	2
Do not use any information	50	53	52	47	66	53	52
No answer	13	7	10	11	12	11	12
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1009

Table 11. Family food shopper in complete families by food group and employment status of the wife.

Employment Status and shopper	* Heat	: Fresh : fruits : and veg- : etables : (%)	: Fresh : milk : (%)	: Eggs : : (%)	: Bread : : (%)	: Staples : (%)	
Gainfully employ (n=66)	yed <u>100</u>	100	100	100	100	100	
vife husband both other delivered no enswer	54 35 8 3 0	50 30 9 11 0	31 27 8 4 15	53 35 4 8 0	35 39 3 15 0 8	45 39 8 8 0	
Non-geinfully en (n=109)	uployed 100	100	100	100	100	100	
wife husbend both other delivered no enswer	34 50 9 5	38 47 6 7 0 2	26 32 7 17 0	39 45 6 8 0	30 43 8 5 0	34 50 10 6 0	

practices by family member varied somewhat by the foods purchased, but
essentially the predominence of husband or wife's shopping varied with the
employment status of the wife. This pattern of shopping prevailed with
respect to the purchase of meat, fresh fruits and vegetables, eggs and staples.

Approximately one-third of the families reported some method of purchasing fresh milk other than by husband or wife; and approximately one-fourth reported some other method of purchasing bread. Both items are frequently not purchased by families and milk was delivered to the home.

True of Store or Vendor. There is no evidence that the employment status of the wife affected greatly the type of store or vendor from which the family purchased foods.

Most of the steples were brught from either the supermarket or the grocery store. Perhaps because of convenience, custom or specialisation, items purchased more frequently than staples were also purchased in other markets. Fresh fruits and vegetables were purchased by one-fifth of families at the public market and shoot one-seventh bought their meat there. A small percentage bought eggs or staples at the public market (Table 12).

Milk and bread were frequently not purchased at all. About one-third of the families failed to reply to this question. Of those buying milk, however, the grocery store, home delivery and kinski were the major sources. A small percentage of the families reported buying milk at the supermarket.

Freed was not frequently purchased at the grocery store. It was purchased even less frequently from the supermarket and klosko.

Less than one-fifth reported buying meat from the public market. About one-twentieth bought meat from the specialty store. Less than three-fourths of meat purchases were from the supermarket and grocery store, with perhaps a slightly greater patronage of the supermarket. Over one-half of the families bought the eggs from the supermarket and grocery store.

In summery, the supermarket and grocery stores were the predominant sources of food supplies for all types of foods. The public market was another source for meat, fresh fruits and vegetables and aggs. Specialty stores were limited to meat and bread and were not very well patronised. Street vendors were a source of fruits and vegetables, eggs, and to a limited extent, bread. There was home delivery of milk, eggs and bread. The kicsko

was primarily a source of milk, but also used occasionally for other purchases, except meat (Table 12).

<u>Prenuency of Furchase</u>. The frequency of purchase varied greatly by the type of food, but not too much by the employment status of the wife.

Approximately one-fourth of the femilies reported buying their meet, fruits and vegetables and eggs daily. These foods were purchased at least twice a week by two-fifths of the families. About one-third of the gainfully employed wives purchased these items weekly, and others reported bi-monthly purchases. Among the non-gainfully employed, the bi-monthly purchases were less frequently reported and a larger proportion reported weekly purchases. Less than two-thirds of the families reported buying milk, and they purchased milk daily. Approximately one-half of the families reported buying bread, and they most frequently bought bread daily. Steples were less frequently purchased. Less than one-fifth bought steples daily, and over one-half bought them weekly. About one-fifth bought steples bi-monthly or monthly (Table 13).

Table 12. Food purchases by type of store or wendor, by food group and by employment status of wife.

Store or	You	Fresh	hi Fresh	1 Bag	Bres	id iStaples	1 1/e	strailk fro	ofruits,	BEEF	Breads	Staples
	86	. w	. veg.			90 00 5 A		98. 		24	W	W
Supermarket	36	80	33	×	2	45	17	9	500	22	77	43
Brocery store	×	2	56	200	8	77	R	23	2	33	17	75
Public merket	11	0	20	80	0	8	15	0	20	2	0	7
Specialty	9	0	0	0	M	0	61	0	0	0	4	0
Street vendor	0	0	60	2	N	0	0	0	U	9	4	0
Closko	0	15	2	-	80	N	0	11 0	6	80	п	9
Soda Fountain	0	•	0	0	6	0	0	7	0	0	R	0
Delivered	0	27	0	4	0	0	0	77	0	6	9	0
No specific store	2	m	4	4	9	4	4	8	4	4	2	~
No anaver	4	33	9	6	*	80	6	33	7	80	20	6
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	300	100

Table 13. Frequency of food purchases by food group and by employment status of wife.

Premiery	8 60 60	đ	Geinfully employed (n=66)	ordine	ped			fon-ga	Non-gainfully of (n=109)	employed	pe	
Jo	1	Meatinilk	Freshiftesh milk fruits ; veg.	EEEE	Bread	Staples	Mead	Presh	Freshifresh milk fruits,	The state of the s	Bread:	Steples
Deily	25	25	255	25	4	16	24	20	36	23	53	E
lvice a veek	16	H	16	16	C	4	7	100	n	0	80	c
Heekly	8	0	31	×	11	3%	777	0	39	97	0	26
Bi-nonthly	16	0	16	7	0	2	2	0	10	6	0	9
Monthly	6	0	60	4	0	10	4	0	10	10	0	16
Sometimes	4	H	80	H	10	64	00	el	0.	2	00	24
No ansver	4	33	7	10	77	N	M	07	4	2	×	N
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	8	101	100	100	100

Transportation. Nost families walked to the store. Those families with non-geinfully employed wives more frequently walked to the store and those with gainfully employed wives more frequently used the bus. The same means of transportation as that used to reach the store was frequently used on returning, but those families with gainfully employed wives more frequently used other means to return (Tables 14 and 15).

Table 14. Transportation to the store by employment status of wife.

Transportation	: Cainfully employed : (n=66)	: Non-gainfully employed : (n=109)
Walking	66	76
Bus	30	15
Cer	2	5
Truck	0	1
Bicycle	2	0
No transportations	0	1
No answer	0	3
Total	100%	100%

^{* -} One family sent the shopping list

Table 15. Transportation from the store by employment status of wife.

Trensportation	Gainfully employed (n=66)	: Non-gainfully employed : (n=109)
Same as that used to reach the store	68	72
Others than that used to reach the store	16	9
Delivered	0	1
No answer	15	18
Total	99%	100%

Most families spent 15 minutes or less riding or walking to the store.

About one-fifth spent 30 minutes in traveling to shop. The gainfully employed wives tended to spend less time in traveling (Table 16).

Table 16. Time spent traveling to the store by employment status of wives.

Time spent traveling		: Per cent		ully employed : Per cent
1/4 hour	53	81	83	76
1/2 hour	9	13	13	12
More than 1/2 hour	2	3	8	7
Send shopping list	0	0	1	1
No snawer	2	3	4	4
Total	66	100	109	100

Shopping. Questions were saked concerning the time spent shopping, the day in the week when the families shopped and preferred to shop, the time of the day they shopped and preferred to shop and attitude toward store services and cherecteristics.

Time Spent Shopping. About two-thirds of the families spent one hour or less and about one-third spend one and one-half hours or more shopping. There was no great difference between the two types of families (Table 17). Table 17. Time spent shopping by employment status of vives.

Time spent shopping	Geinfully employed (n=66)	t Non-gainfully employed t (n=109)
l hour or less	63	66
1 1/2 hours	18	19
More than 1 1/2 hour	12	. 8
Send shopping list	0	1
Do not know	0	3
No answer	7	8
Total	100 %	100%

Shopping Day. About two-fifths of the families with gainfully employed wives ahopped on Saturday. Families with non-gainfully employed wives did their shopping on Saturday and Friday with a slight preference for Friday (27%) over Saturday (21%). Some families, 17 per cent with gainfully employed and 13 per cent with non-gainfully employed wives, shopped every day. Other families, 12 per cent with gainfully employed wives and 16 per cent with non-gainfully employed wives and 16 per cent with non-gainfully employed wives, had no specific day for shopping. Some of these families bought their food on pay day or when money was available (Table 18).

Shopping Day Preferred. Among the families with geinfully employed wives, a larger percentage (46%) preferred to buy on Saturday, then at

Table 18. Present and preferred shopping day, by employment status of wife.

Day	:	y employed n=66)	s (r	=109)
	: Present	: Preferred	; Present	: Preferred
Sunday	Na	0	Na	1
Monday	3	4	8	5
Tuesday	5	1	2	2
Wednesday	5	4	2	2
Thursday	5	3	2	1
Friday	7	6	27	29
Saturday	41	46	21	29
Daily	17	4	13	4
No spedifie day	12	0	16	0
No preference	0	23	0	23
No answer	5	8	8	3
Total	100%	99%	99%	99%

Na = Not saked

present (41%). Almost one-fourth (23%) reported no preference for a particular day. Only 4 per cent preferred to buy daily but at present 17 per cent do so.

Among the families with non-gainfully employed wives, as many preferred to buy on Friday (29%) as on Saturday (29%). Almost one-fourth (23%) reported having no preference for a particular day. Only 4 per cent of the families with non-gainfully employed wives preferred to buy deily, but at present 13 per cent shop deily (Table 18).

Shopping Hour. Slightly less than two-fifths (37%) of the femilies reported shopping in the morning hours. A large proportion (between 23 and 30%) reported no specific time of day for shopping. Almost as many families shopped in the afternoon as in the morning. Shopping after 5:00 P.M. and at noon was infrequently reported (Table 19).

Table 19. Present and preferred shopping hour, by employment status of wife.

Four	1 (Non	y employed 66) : Proferred		nfully employed (n=109) Preferred
Before 8:00 A.M.	na	9	208	15
8:00 - 10:00 A.M.	26	15	31	15
10:00 - 12:00 A.M.	11	12	6	9
12:00 - 1:00 P.M.	0	2	1	1
1:00 - 3:00 P.M.	12	13	12	13
3:00 - 5:00 P.M.	14	15	12	11
5:00 - 7:00 P.H.	9	3 -	7	4
No specific hour	23	0	30	0
No preference	0	18	0	31
No enswer	5	12	1	1
Total	100%	99%	100%	100%

na = not asked

Shopping Hour Preferred. The preferred pattern was essentially the present pattern. Little preference was shown for shopping at mid-day or after 5:00 P.M. A higher per cent of families with non-gainfully employed wives showed no preference for a particular hour (Table 19).

Attitude Toward Store Services and Characteristics. Ten types of store services and characteristics were read to the respondents who were saked to state which they considered to be very important, important or not important. "Delivery Service" and "charge accounts" were frequently considered not important by both types of families. Most frequently reported as important were "low prices" followed by "conveniently located". The order of frequency with which the other characteristics were listed varied between the families with gainfully and non-gainfully employed wives, but the differences revealed no particular pattern that could be attributable to the employment status of wife (Table 20).

Table 20. Attitude toward store services and characteristics by employment status of wives.

Store services :	Very in	-: Impor	employed	- aVery in	-: Impor-	: Not impor-
characteristics :	portant	stant	itent	tportan	tetant	1 cent
Rest quality products	19	6	0	29	3	8
Low prices	31	6	0	50 .	9	7
Variety	19	3	1	23	5	9
Cleanliness	10	1	1	31	7	0
Courtesy and good service	11	2	1	27	9	3
Delivery service	3	3	5	11	5	15
Charge accounts	7	3	2	24	4	7
Familiar with the store and product are easy to find	12	1	0	31	8	0
Conveniently located	22	6	0	42	8	3
Friend of owner	2	0	0	4	C	0

Store Service Preferred. The type of store service preferred for the purchase of meat, fruits and vegetables, and staples, included the choice of clerk service, self service with pre-packaged foods and self-service without pre-packaging.

Clerk service was preferred for mests, but only about one-fourth to one-fifth preferred clerk service for fruits, vegetables and staples. Over one-half preferred to buy staples pre-packaged on a self-service basis.

Over one-half preferred self-service for fruits and vegetables, with preference expressed for them to be pre-packaged. The families with gainfully employed wives expressed less interest in self-service (Table 21).

Table 21. Type of store service preferred, by food group and employment status of wife.

Type of store	2 G	ainfully en	moloyed	1 Non-	ainfully	employed
Service	theat	: Fruits,	1 Staples	: Meat :	Fruits	, : Steples
Self-service foods pre-						
packaged	17	33	53	30	36	62
Self-service fo not pre-packag		24	0	0	21	0
Clerk	60	22	26	54	22	22
No preference	17	15	16	11	17	12
No snawer	6	6	5	5	4	4
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Rises Storage Facilities. The home storage facilities presumably have a direct bearing in the food shopping practices. Each family was asked where they stored at home the mest, fruits and vegetables, fresh milk, eggs, and staples. The storage areas listed were: refrigerator, osbinet and shelves. Several of the families reported that they consumed the foods and did not store them. A high proportion of the gainfully employed reported use of the refrigerator for the storage of perishables, less use of cabinet storage and less "consumed". Staples on the other hand, were less faquently stored in cabinets and more frequently stored on shelves by the gainfully employed. Apparently the refrigerator limited kitchen space for cabinets, and greater use of shelving was made. Meet was refrigerated more frequently than fruits, vegetables, eggs and milk. Since many of the families used dry or evaporated milk, the storage problem for milk may be less than if all milk were fresh (Table 22)%

Table 22. Home storage facilities by food group and employment status of wife.

Employment status and storage	t Moat t t (%)	:Fruits : tend veg-: tetebles : t (%)	milk	t Eggs t t (%)	: Staples : :
Gainfully employed (n=66)	100	100	100	99	101
Refrigerator Cabinet Shelves Consume No answer	74 0 2 9	70 5 5 6 24	55 1 3 4 37	71 5 5 6 12	0 59 53 8 1
Non-gainfully employed (n=109)	101	100	100	99	100
Refrigerator Cabinet Shelves Consume No snswer	66 12 4 10 9	56 21 4 10 9	46 12 4 10 28	57 23 3 9	0 75 21 2 2

SUMMARY

The average size of the households studied was 4.6 persons, but the households with gainfully employed wives were smaller than those with non-gainfully employed vives (4 vs. 5). About one-fifth of the persons living in these households were children under six years of age. Those households with non-gainfully employed wives had more children under six years of age than those with gainfully employed wives. The presence of pre-school children in the families, may have kept some wives from seeking gainful employment.

Most women working away from home worked 40 hours or more. Those wives from broken homes were more likely to work full time than those from complete femilies. Although these women engaged in different types of work, the major occupation among them was demestic work.

A relatively high percentage (36%) of the gainfully employed wives from complete families peid all family expenses. The reasons why the husbands failed to share the family expenses in this particular group, were not determined by this study. Among the broken families with gainfully employed wives, the wife paid all the family expenses, except in a few cases.

The responsibility of deciding what to buy was about evenly divided between husband and wife among the complete families with non-gainfully employed wives, but in most of those families with gainfully employed wives, the food purchasing decisions were made by the wife. This study showed that the gainfully employed wives participated more in the food purchasing decisions than those which were not gainfully employed.

Shopping lists were used more frequently by families with non-geinfully employed wives. The mejor difference in the use of the shopping list was

among the complete families. Nore than helf of the complete families with gainfully employed wives reported never using a shopping list while more than half of those with non-gainfully employed wives reported using it regularly. This reflects the practices of those who made the shopping decisions, husband or wife. Among the gainfully employed the wives tended to make the shopping decisions and the shopping list was less frequently used. Among the non-gainful the husband more frequently was the shopper and the shopping list was used more often. This could be an indication that the purchasing decisions were actually made by the wife. Although no enalysis was made of the use of the shopping list by the type of shopper, these data indicated that the purchasing decisions were made by the wife.

Over one-helf of the families did not report use of any information on which to base their shopping decisions, the highest proportion being emong the broken families with non-gainfully employed wives (66%). The families with non-gainfully employed wives, who did report use of information, most frequently obtained information about prices while shopping at the store.

Those families with gainfully employed wives used radio and television as their main source of information. This may indicate that those families with gainfully employed wives had higher incomes.

The pattern of food shopping practices by family member varied with the employment status of the wife. Among the complete families with gainfully employed wives, the wife bought most of the food, except the bread, but emong those in which the wife was not gainfully employed the husband did most of the food shopping. Among the broken families, the wife did most of the shopping.

There was no evidence that the employment status of the wife affected greatly the type of store or vendor from which the family purchased foods. The supermarket and grocery stores were the predominant sources of food supplies for all types of foods. Perhaps because of convenience or custom some eggs, fruits and vegetables were purchased at the public market or from street vendors. Also, the meat was purchased at the public market or the specially store. Most families reporting purchase of milk, had milk delivered at home.

The frequency of purchase varied greatly by the type of food, but not so much by the employment status of the wife. Approximately one-fourth of the families reported buying meet, eggs, fresh fruits and vegetables deily, and two-fifths purchased these items at least twice a week. About one-third of the families bought them weekly.

Staples were less frequently purchased. Over one-half of the families bought them weekly and about one-fifth bought them bi-monthly or monthly.

Less than one-fifth of families purchased steples daily.

Fresh milk and breed were purchased by the majority of the families that replied to the question. Here then one-third of the families did not answer the question. The fact that such a high proportion of families failed to respond to this question may indicate failure to buy these items. Many families in Puerto Rico buy dry or evaporated milk instead of fresh milk, and sode crackers instead of bread, because these items are easier to store and less expensive.

Apparently, the place of purchase of foods was conveniently located because most of the families walked to the store, and most people spent 15 minutes or less to reach the store. Usually, the same means of transportation used to reach the store was used to return.

There was no great difference in the time spent shopping between the two types of families.

Most families with geinfully employed wives shopped on Saturday and those with non-geinfully employed wives shopped on Friday and Saturday. The preferred pattern for shopping day was essentially the same as their current pattern.

Contrary to what was expected, the families with gainfully employed wives, expressed no preference for shopping efter 5:00 P.M. nor at noon hours. A higher proportion of families with non-gainfully employed wives preferred to buy before 8:00 A.M. then those families with gainfully employed wives. At present, the shopping hours were about evenly divided between morning and afternoon hours, with a slightly higher proportion of families purchasing in the morning.

Among the store services and characteristics, "low prices" was most frequently reported by both groups as very important, followed by "conveniently located". "Delivery service", and "charge accounts" were not frequently considered important by either type of family. The order of frequency with which the other characteristics were listed varied between the two types of families, but the differences revealed no particular pattern attributable to the employment status of wife.

A high proportion of the gainfully employed wives reported use of the refrigerator for the storage of perishables, less use of cabinet storage and less "consumed". Staples, on the other hand, were less frequently stored in cabinets and more frequently stored in shelves by the gainfully employed wives.

CONCLUSIONS

There was no evidence that the employment status of the wife affected the type of store or vendor from which the family purchased food, the frequency of purchase, the day and hour of purchase, the transportation used to reach the store nor the time spent shopping.

The family member who made the purchasing decisions and did the actual purchasing varied with the employment status of the wife. It appeared that the wife made most of the shopping decisions. If she was gainfully employed, she was more likely to do the shopping, but if she was not gainfully employed, the husband was more likely to do the shopping, but with a shopping list. If this is true, the focus of marketing information for consumer should be the wife. An increase in gainful employment of wives will make this even more important.

There was a possible difference in income between the two groups of families. Those with gainfully employed wives more frequently reported the use of refrigerators, radios and television sets.

Most families reported not using any source of food shopping information.

This points out the need for educational information about planning the food shopping and about food selection.

Non-gainfully employed wives had more children under 6 years of age, thus the presence of pre-school children may have kept many wives from seeking gainful employment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The author wishes to express appreciation to her major professor Dr. Richard L. D. Morse, Professor and Head of the Department of Family Economies, Kensas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, for his interest, guidance and constructive criticisms.

To Miss Dolores Moreles Dias, General Supervisor of home demonstration work of the Extension Service of Puerto Rico, for her encouragement and her interest demonstrated in the collection of data and in providing useful information needed for the study.

To Mrs. Esther J. P. Rodrigues, essistant general supervisor, and Mrs. Judith F. Ramires, specialist in marketing information for consumers, for their suggestions for the improvement of the schedule.

To Miss Gloria Carrers and Mrs. Rossel Z. Pinero, associate home demonstration agent, for pretesting the schedule.

To Miss Norms Consales, secretary of the Extension Service, for compiling the information of the population studied.

To all home demonstration agents and associate home demonstration agents who participated in the collection of the data.

To Miss Consuelo Vaquer, for providing information about studies made in Puerto Rico in regard to food shopping practices.

R. FERENCES

- Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor, Puerto Rico. <u>Employment</u>
 and <u>Unemployment in Puerto Rico</u>. Quarterly Report No. 26, 1959.
- Consumer Education Program. Findings from the Questionnaire Bayemon Project in Consumer Education. San Juan, Puerto Ricc. 1956 (mimeograph).
- Faville, Devid E., D. M. Jones, and R. B. Sonne. <u>Merchandise Availability</u>
 in <u>Utah</u>. Celifornia: Stanford University Press, 1942.
- Gerrison, E. E., and Robert S. Hutchinson. <u>Consumer Shepping Habits</u>
 <u>Regarding Groceries</u>. College of <u>Business Administration</u>, Bureau of
 Research, No. 16. Knoxville: The University of Tennessee Extension
 Sories, 1948.
- Ramires, Judith F. Food Purchases of 200 Urban Families in Puerto Rico. Unpublished Thesis, Cornell University, 1957.
- Roberts, Lydia and R. L. Stefani. <u>Fatterns of Living of Puerto Ricen Families</u>. Chicago: Photopress, 1946.
- Rottenberg, Simon. Consumption Choices and Economic Change: The Case of Puerto Rico. In Clark, Lincoln H., Ed. Consumor Mehavior. New York: Herper and Brothers, 1956. p. 252.
- Sharp, Harry and P. Mott. "Consumer Decisions in the Metropolitan Family".

 <u>Jour. of Marketing</u>. October 1956, 21:149-156.
- Wolgast, Elisabeth, H. "Do Husbands or Wives Make Purchasing Decisions?"

 Jour. of Marketing, October 1958, 23:151-156.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Estudio Sobre las Practicas de Compra de Alimentos, de las Familias que Viven en Cuatro Caserios del Gobierno de P. R.

Ceserio		Numero de identification	
Nombre del jfe de familia		La esposa trabaja Si	No
Direction		Nombre del Entrevistador	
Fechs en que se h	iso la ent rev i	ote	
Duracion: Hora q	ue empiesa	Hora que termina	рипосланиро
Cuantes veces se	visito esta far	mile?	
Indique fech	na y hore en qu	e se visito.	
1	fechs	hora	
2		hora	
3	fecha	hora	
Por que hubo nece	sidad de subst	ituir esta familia?	
Quien substituirs	esta familia?		
mon.	ibre	direction	

Informacion Acerca de la Familia

A. Haga estas preguntas a todas las familias entrevistadas.

Si la persona entrevistada no es la sma de casa, haga la pregunta #Al. si es ella la entrevistada, omitela.

- Al. Cusl es su parentesco con la sma de casa?
- A2. Edad de los miembros de la familia.

Escriba en el espacio correspondiente el <u>numero</u> de personas que esta incluido en cada grupo.

Edad por Grupos

Miembros de familia	: : :Menores: De : de :6-10 :e anos : anos		De : 16-20 : anos :			De : De	De SO:60 C tmas snot
a. Esposo	1 1	1 1	8	1	1	1	1
b. Esposa	1	1 1		- 10	1 2	0.5	1
c. Hijos	1	-		1	- !		:
d. Hijas	1				-		1
e. Abuelos		-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-
f. Otros		-		- 1			1
total	1	1		1		1	1

A3. De scuerdo a su informacion, aqui viven _____ persones.

Informacion Sobre les Ames de Case que Trabajan Recibiendo un Salario

B. Si la ama de casa no eta empleda, omita todo esta parte E.
Si este empleada pregunte:
Bl. Trabaja la ama de casa fuera? S1 No
Si la contesta - B2. Marque con una X la oracion que mejor describe su situacion cion es Si
a) Trabaja por lo menos 40 hores a la semana
b) Trebeja menos de 40 horas pero mas de 30 a la semana
e) Trabaja menos de 30 horas pero mas de 20 a la semana
d) Trabaja menos de 20 horas a la semana
B3. En que trebeja la ema de cesa? (ocupacion)
B4. Cuanto tiempo terda el ama de case, corrientemente en regreser de su trabajo?
e) 15 minutos o menos
b) approximademente 1/2 hora
e) " 3/4 hore
d) " 1 hora
e) mas de 1 hora
B5. Trobaje la ema se case en su case recibiendo algun salario? S1
Si la contesta - B6. Marque con una X las actividades que describan cion es Si como gana el dinero:
a) Labores de aguja
b) Costure
c) Dendo comida a otros
d) Vendiendo ropa
e) Otros (especifique)

Pregunte	a todas las familias cuya ama de casa trabaja.
B7.	Con el dinero que la sua de casa gana, se pagan:
	(a) Todos los gastos de la familia
	b) la mitad de los gastos de la familia
	c) Una curata parte de los gastos de la
	familia
	d) Menos de una cuarta parte de los gastos de la
	4 121-

Precticas de Compra

	a) esposo	e) los dos,	esposo y espose
	b) espose	d) otros (es	meeifique)
2	- Con que frecuencia	se prepara una	lista de compras?
	1	nte : De ves en t	cuando s Funca s
3	- De donde obtiene us precio de los slime		sobre como comprar, y e
	a) anuncios en radi	lo y TV	
	b) bojas sueltas y	anuncios en el	periodico
	c) compara precios	de diferentes t	iendas
	d) se entera de los	precios mientr	as compra en la tienda _
	e) leyendo boletine	es e informacion	ofrecida por al Servicio
	de Extension Ar	iccla	
	f) leyendo articulo	s en el periodi	co o en revistas, rela
			ntos
		macion	

C 4 - Quien ve a la tiende a comprer los siguientes alimentos?

		Escoso	Esposa	Hijos	Otros Especifique	1
a)	Carne	1	:	1		
ъ)	Leche fresca		:	*	\$	
e)	Frutas y vegetales frescos	:	2 2 2	8 8 5	\$ \$ \$	
d)	Huevos	2	1	1	:	
e)	Pan	1	1	1	t :	
£)	Viveres	1	1	1	1	

					l-:Tier			en-tPlas		
			Mercad	O.IDE	do:Rods	nte: Ki	oskoz de	n illero	sado:Espec	ifique
			2	8	8	1	1	3		8
2)	Carne		1	2	1	8	t	8	2	1
			1	3	1	1	8	1	1	1
b)	Frutes y		2	1	1	2	1	1	2	8
	vegetales	2	1	2	2	2	:	8	8	1
	frescos		1	1	1	3	1	\$	2	1
			1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2
e)	Leche		1	2	2	1	1	2		1
	fresca		1	8	2	1	1	2	8	
			1	1	8	\$	1	1	2	8
d)	liuevos		2	1	1	2	1	2	.8	1
			1	1	2	2	1	1	8	8
e)	Pan		1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1
			1	2	2	8	1	\$	1	2
2)	Viveres		1	1	2					1

C 6 - Que medio de transportacion usan para ir a hacer la compra?

B)	ceminando	
6)	guagua	******************************
2)	garro	

d) otros

C 7 - Cuento tiemp	o terden en lle	gar a ls	tienda?	
a) 15 minutos	o menos		-	
b) 30 minutos				
c) mas de 30	minutos		MARKET STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	
			pra? 1 tiempo que invi	erte en
a) 1 hora o m	enos		and the same of th	
b) 1 1/2 hora			our continue to the continue to	
c) 2 horas o	mas			
	acion para regr	esar a s		No
uss?				
C 10 - Que dia de circulo alr	ededor de la oc	ntestaci	on correcta).	ga un
L	Ht Ma J	A	S	
C 11 - Con que fre	euencia la fami	lia comp	era los siguientes	alimentos?
			2 o 3 veces:Dia-	
	1 1 1			1 1
a) Carne	1 1		1	1 1
b) Frutas y	1 1 1			8 8
vegetales	1 1			1 1
frescos	1 1 1			1 1
c) Leche fresce	1	-		1 1
d) radue ileace	1 1			1 1
d) Huevos				1 1
	1 1 1			1 1
e) Pan	1 1		1	1 1
e) Winner	1 1 1			: :
f) Viveres	1			

Agu maconde a tweet ye combter
e) 3:00 - 5:00 PM
f) Despues de las 5:00 PM
g) No tiene hora especifica
comprar? r de la contestacion correcta).
J A 3
ed comprar?
e) 1:00 - 3:00 PM
f) 3:00 - 5:00 PM
g) 5:00 - 7:00 PM
h) 7:00 - 9:00 PH

	1			- 1 No lo considero
	1	tanta	: te	: importante
) Productos de la m	efor s		:	
calidad	1			1
) Precios bajos	1			
) Bien surtida	4		i	i
) Limpia	: <u>2</u>		:	*
) Cortesia y buen servicio	:		1 1	:
) Servicio de entre	ga			1
) Credito	1		<u> </u>	1
) Cenoce bien la ti	enda :			1
y es mas facil localizar la	2		:	1
mercancia	3		1	1
\ *	1		1	1
) Localizacion con- veniente	1		:	t
	0.3	Sad,	177	
) No tiene ninguna razon en partie	ular			
reson en pertie)		
reson en pertie)		
rezon en partic	pecifique	- 1	ierm al co	prer los siguiente
rezon en partic	pecifique	stedes pref		
rezon en partic	pecifique ervicio u	stedes pref	s:Dapen-:No	tiene :
rezon en partic	ervicio u	stedes pref	s:Dapen-:No :diente:pre	tiene :
rezon en partic) Otres rezones (es	ervicio u	stedes pref	s:Dapen-:No :diente:pre	tiene :
reson en partic) Otras resones (es 16 - Que tipo de s slimentos:	ervicio u	stedes pref	s:Dapen-:No :diente:pro	tiene :
reson en partic Otras resones (es 16 - Que tipo de s slimentes:	ervicio u	stedes pref	s:Dapen-:No :diente:pre	tiene :
c) Otres resones (es	ervicio u	stedes pref	s:Dapen-:No :diente:pro	tiene :

C 17 - Bonde guardan ustedes los alimentos que compren?

		Nevera	2	Cabinete	1	Otros
a)	Viveres	2	1		1	1
		1	2			1
b)	Carnes	1	1			1
		2	3		1	2
0)	Frutes y vegetales	3	:		1	1
	frescos	1	2		1	1
		8	2		1	1
a)	Huevos	3	1		1	3
		:	z		2	\$
0	Tacha	2	1		1	1

Note al'entrevistador: Revise el cuestionario antes de despedirse. De las gracias e la persona entrevistada por su coopsrecion.

Enero 30, 1959

Contribution displace

Appendix B

(Trenslated from the Spanish)

STUDY OF FOOD SHOPPING PRACTICES OF FAMILIES LIVING IN FOUR COVERNMENT HOUSING PROJECTS IN PUERTO RICO

Housing Proj	ect	Identification Number	0F
Name of the of the house	hend hold	Wife gainfully employed	Yes No
Address		Interviewer	
Date of inte	rview		
Durations	Time beginning	Hour ending	
How many tim		isited?	
	Date and hour	of visits.	
	1. date		
	date	hour	
	2. date	hour	
	3. date	hour	
Why was it r	necessary to substitu	nte this femily?	
who will sur	estitute for the fami		
	name	address	

Information About the Family

A. Ask these questions of all the families interviewed.

If the person interviewed is not the housewife, ask question No. A l. If she is, cmit that question.

- Al. What is your relationship to the housewife?
- A2. Age of family members.

Write in the corresponding space the number of persons included in each group.

Age by Groups

Members of	:Under :	11-15 :	16-20:	21-30	31-40:	41-50	: 51-60	2 60 or
the house-	16 years:	years :	years	years :	years:	years	: years	I more yrs.
hold	1 1	1	1		1 1		8	1
a. Husband	1 1						1	1
. Wife	1							1
c. Sons							-	
d. Daughter Grand-	<u>. </u>						1	1
e. parents	+				1 1		1	1
f. Others	-				1 1		1	1
Total	<u> </u>						8	i

A3. According to your information there are _____ persons living here.

Information About Gainfully Employed Wives

в. 1	if the ho	pusewife is not gainfully employed omit all of part B.
- 3	If she is	gainfully employed asks
1	1. Does	the housewife work away from home? Yes No
If ye	s. B2.	Mark with an X the sentence that describes best her situation:
		a) Works at least 40 hours a week
		b) Works less than 40 hours but more than 30 a week
		c) Works less then 30 hours but more than 20 a week
	вз.	Type of occupation
	B4.	How long does it take the housewife to reach her job?
		a) 15 minutes or less
		b) Approximately 1/2 hour
		a) " 3/4 hour
		d) More than 1 hour
В		the housewife working at home receive some salary?
If ye	s. B6.	Mark with an \boldsymbol{X} the activities that describe how she earns the money.
		a) Meedlework
		b) Sewing
		e) Selling prepared food
		d) Selling clothes
		e) Others (specify)

Ask of al	1 the families whose housewife is gainfully employed.
B7.	With the housewife's income she pays
	a) All family expenses
	b) Half of the family expenses
	c) One fourth of the family expenses
	A) I am Alan our founth of the feetly

Shopping Practices

C 1 - W	hen there	is a nec	ed to buy for	ode vi	30 0867	des what to bu
a)	Husband					usband and
ъ)	Wife			a)	Others	(specify)
C 2 - H	ou often	in a shor	pping list p	reper	nd?	
0 2 - 11	OR OT OCT	25 & 5110	bhares and b			
		1 Regul	erly : Somet	imes	Never	Į.
						1
		-				
	bout pric	ses and h	ow to buy?			get informatio
a)	Radio s	nes and h	ow to buy? vertisements			get informatio
a) b)	Radio a	nes and h and TV ad uts and n	ow to buy? vertisements ewspaper adv	ertis	ements	
a) b)	Radio s Hand ou Compare	and TV ad arts and n prices	ow to buy? vertisements ewspaper adv	ertis stor	ements	
a) b)	Radio a Hand ou Compare Learns shoppin	of price ins and i	ow to buy? vertisements ewspaper adv at different s at the sto	ertis stor	ements es ile d by th	he Agricultural
a) b) e) d)	Radio s Hand ou Compare Learns shoppin Bulleti Extens:	of price of	ow to buy? vertisements ewspaper adv at different s at the sto	ertis stor re wh	ements es ile d by the	he Agricultural

C 4 - Who buys the following foods?

		Husband	Wife	Children	Others Specify
a)	Heat	1 1	1		
b)	Fresh milk				
e)	Fresh fruits and vegetables				
4)	Eggs	1 1			
0)	Bread	1 1		1	
2)	Staples	1 1			

C 5 - Where does the family buy the following foods?

		Super Market	Grocery Store	Kiosko	Street	Public Market	Others Specify
			1 1		1 1		1
0)	Mont			1	1		
b)	Fresh milk						: :
a)	Fresh fruit				1 1		
-,	and veg.			:	1 1		1 1
a)	Eggs			1			
e)	Bread			1	1 1		
2)	Staples .			:	1 1		

0	6	-	Ten	does	the	huver	reach	the	store?
u	63	-	NOR	COGB	EM 314	DOLET.	7.000017	Attac	MANTA 1

a)	Walk	

b) Pus

d) Other

79.7	nutes or less		
b) 30 mir	nutes		
a) More t	than 30 minutes		
	h time is spent shop o interviewer: excl	oping? lude the time spent	traveling).
a) 1 hour	r cr less		
b) 1 1/2	hour		
e) 2 hour	rs or more		
G 9 - After by	uying, does the buy f trensportation?	Yes No	tore using the same
If no:	a) What means of to	ransportation does t	he buyer use?
	t day of the week is t response).	s the shopping done?	(Circle the
	H T W Th	r s	
C 11 - How of	ten does the femily	buy the following for	oods?
_Mor	t Bi-month-:	: 2-3 times Weekly : each week	: Daily : Others
a) Mand	1 1		
a) mean		1	1 1 1
b) Fresh : fruits : and veg-	2 2 2 2	:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
b) Fresh : fruits : and veg-tables :	1 1	:	
b) Fresh : fruits : and veg-tables :	1	8 8 8	
b) Fresh : fruits : and veg- tables : c) Fresh : milk :	2 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
b) Fresh : fruits : and veg- tables : c) Fresh : milk : id) Eggs : i	1 8 2 2 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

C 7 - How long does it take to reach the store?

a) 8:00 - 10:00	MA	0)	3:00 - 5:00 PM
ъ) 10:00 - 12:00	м	1)	After 5:00 PM
e) 12:00 - 1:00	PH	g)	No specific hour
d) 1:00 - 3:00	PH		
C 13 - What days would you (Circle the correct			
H T W	Th F S		
C 14 - What hour would you	prefer to shop?		
a) before 8:00 A	M M	e)	1:00 - 3:00 PM
b) 8:00 - 10:00	AN	1)	3100 - 5100 PH
e) 10:00 - 12:00	И	g)	5:00 - 7:00 PH
4) 12:00 - 1:00	PH .	h)	7:00 - 9:00 PM

C 12 - What hours do you usually go shopping?

C 15 - Why you prefer to buy in certain stores. Mark in the corresponding column those reasons you consider very important, important, or not important.

		Very Important	Important	Not important
a)	Best quality products	1	\$ \$	
b)	Low prices	<u>i</u>		
9)	Cleanliness	1	1	
a)	Variety		1	1
e)	Courtesy and good service		:	
(2	Delivery service	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
g)	Charge accounts	1	1	1
h)	Familiar with the store and mer- chandise is easy to locate	1 1 1	2 2 3 3	8 8
1)	Conveniently located	!		:
5)	No particular reason			1
k)	Other reasons (specify)	:	:	1

0 16 - W

		: Self service :Pre-Pado :Not pre- :eged foods:packaged : :foods	1	No preference
		1 1	1	1
8)	Staples	1	<u> </u>	
b)	Meats	1 1	2	: :
e)	Fresh fruit and veg-	8 1	1 1	1 1

C 17 - Where does the family store the foods bought?

	20 11 11 1	: Refrigerator : Cabine	1 Others 1
a)	Staples		
b)	Heats		
e)	Fresh fruits and vegetables		
d)	Eggs		1 1
e)	Hilk		-

Note to interviewer: Check the interview before leaving.
Thank the interviewee for cooperating in this study.

January 30, 1959.

Appendix C

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE INTERVIEWERS

(Translated from Spanish)

After the research has been carefully planned and the sample carefully chosen, the role of the people in charge of the interview is important. \The accuracy of data collected will depend entirely on the interviewer and those data are the essence of the study.

In order to obtain accurate data, the interviewer should keep in mind the following directions:

I- Organisation

 Be thoroughly familiar with instructions before you start interviewing.

(2) Be sure you have enough schedules for the interviews. Take extra forms to replace those that may be spoiled.

(3) Be sure you take pencils, your list of sample names and list of substitute names that have been given to you,

(4) Organise your work before leaving the office.

II- Specific instructions

(1) Interview only adults, who can give reliable information.

(2) Do not deviate from the chosen sample.

(3) You probably will encounter some problems during those days you are interviewing the families.
For example:

a) No one ensuers the door because occupants are not at home. If this happens, ask a neighbor if he knows when the family will be at home. Leave a note indicating date end hour when you will visit the family again for the interview, and keep your word.

b) If the persons at home are children or adults unable to give the information, sak when you can see the respondents. Make an appointment for next day or so, and come back the day

and hour indicated.

 e) If the respondent is too busy and does not want to be disturbed, make an appointment for next day or so, as

explained in the preceeding situation.

If after visiting these families on 3 different days you cannot obtain an interview, substitute for this family one of the families in the list of substitutes. Every time you visit the family, you should write down the date and hour of the visit in the space provided on the front page. In case you substitute a family, explain way, in the space provided in the front page of the achedule.

- d) If there is no occupent or enother family is living in that apartment, select another name from the list of substitutes
- e) If the respondent is uncooperative, try to convince the e) respondent of the anonimity of data, Explain that the purpose of the study is to determine how the families buy their food, and later to help them to be better food shoppers. Ask for an opportunity to start asking the questions to convince them that there is nothing personal in the questions.
- f) If the respondent asks why he (or she) was selected. explain that we want information and it is impossible to interview all families. For this reason, some families were selected at random to represent that housing project, and that his family is among those chosen. Indicate that other persons have cooperated in the study.
- (4) After all interviews (3) are completed, the schedules should be sent to:

Miss Dolores Morales Dias General Supervisor Agricultural Extension Service P. O. Box 607 Rie Piedras, Puerto Rico.

All schedules should be in Miss Moreles' office before April 4. 1959.

III- The interview

(1) Greet the informent with a smile and pleasant voice. Use a brief introduction. Identify, explain briefly the purpose of the interview and the time that the interview will require. Example: "Good morning Mrs. X. I am Mrs. X. and I work with Extension Service at Rio Piedras. I am interviewing a group of housewives in this housing project to determine how the different families buy their food. We only want to ask some "census type" questions. There is nothing personal in this type of study. It will take about fifteen minutes to complete the answers of this schedule."

(2) Get to the first question as quickly as possible.

(3) Look at the face of informant while asking the questions, (A) Ask the questions in the order they appear in the schedule.

(5) Be careful not to change the meaning of the question, either by

word or inflection of the voice. (6) In multiple choice questions read possible answers and let respondent

choose. All these questions should be answered by marking an X in the space provided. (7) Be sure all questions are answered before you leave. Check over the

schedule.

(8) Thank the informant for being cooperative and make him feel important and satisfied for supplying the information.

FOCD SHOPPING PRACTICES OF FAMILIES LIVING IN SELECTED GOVERNMENT HOUSING PROJECTS IN SAN JUAN, PUERTO RIGO

bs

EDITH FIGUEROA VELENTIN

B. S. University of Puerto Rico, 1950

AN ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Family Sconomics

KAMSAS STATE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

ABSTRACT

Puerto Rico has experienced many changes during the past few years, particularly with respect to the status of women. The trend has been toward an increase in gainfully employed women and this may have affected the food shopping and consumption practices of Puerto Rican families.

It was the purpose of the study to explore the possible effect of gainful employment of wives on family food shopping practices. The specific objectives were to determine whether there was a significant difference in the shopping practices of families with gainfully employed, and those with non-gainfully employed wives with respect to: type of store pstronised, person shopping, transportation to and from the store, use of a shopping list, frequency of shopping for different foods, sources of shopping information, day and time of the day of shopping, type of store service preferred and home storage facilities.

Employment status was found to be related to family status, that is, wives from broken families were more likely to be gainfully employed. So family status was recognized in drawing the sample. The families living in public housing projects for low income families, were eligible, thereby limiting the survey to a relatively uniform economic status.

A stratified random sample was drawn from a pre-list of residents of each of four housing projects, to include 40 complete families and 65 plus 20 substitute broken families with gainfully employed wives, and 105 plus 20 substitute families with non-gainfully employed wives.

A pretested schedule was used by seven home demonstration agents and associate home demonstration agents provided by the Extension Service of Puerto Rico. They interviewed 175 families in March, 1959. The schedules were mailed to Menhattan, where they were edited, coded, tabulated in columnar sheets and summarised by the employment status of the wife, family type and housing project.

Those families with gainfully employed wives more frequently reported the wife making the food buying and food shopping decisions, using radio and TV as sources of information, and using the refrigerator for storage of perishables.

Food shopping decisions were made by the husband who also more frequently did the food buying than the wife if she was not gainfully employed. Among broken families the food shopping was done almost exclusively by the woman.

About two-thirds of the gainfully employed vives paid at least onehalf of the family expenses in complete families and most women paid the expenses in broken families.