## SOCIAL ATTITUDES OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

by

# PAUL URVILL LARSON

B. S., Kansas State Agricultural College, 1927

# A TH JIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

KANSAS STATE AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE

Document 1,0 2668 • 74 1929 133 c. 2

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
ACKNO LEDGEM NT	4
PURPOSE AND NATURE OF STUDY	5
METHOD AND TECHNIQUE	6
EXPLANATION OF TERMS	9
FINDINGS	10
CONCLUSION	177
REFOR MCES	181
APPENDIX	183

#### INT OFUCTION

This study is based upon information secured from 105 high school students, nearly all seniors, in the Manhattan Senior High School who were enrolled in a course in sociology during the school year 1928-29.

ment of the high school is derived has a population of approximately 11,000. This is based upon information supplied by the census taken by the Business and Professional Woman's Club in the spring of 1939, and is exclusive of the out-of-town college students of the Kensas State Agricultural College. The presence of this institution is the principal reason for the existence of the city. The fact that the college is located here brings a large number of people here seeking educational advantages for their children. The college faculty also furnishes the city with a group of people whose education is considerably above what a person might expect in an average community in this part of the country.

A part of the enrollment of the Manhattan Senior High School comes in from the surrounding farming country, two school busses bringing a number of students, and quite a number of students drive to school in their own cars.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is with most grateful appreciation that I acknowledge the aid given me by so many persons during the course of this study. To Professor Walter Burr goes the first and heartiest appreciation, without whose assistance and guidance this study would not have been possible. To Superintendent W. F. Sheffer and Principal H. Leigh Baker go many thanks for suggestions of questions and for their co-operation in permitting me to make this study in the anhattan High School. My fellow teacher, Miss Josephine Johnston, is due thanks for kindly aid and criticism of the English in the group interview. I am indebted to lisses Dorothy Rosencrans and Doris Alagren, students in my classes, who gave of their time to criticise the group interview before it was placed in the hands of the classes. To members of the typewriting classes, and especially to Miss Alta Thierer, go much appreciation and thanks for typing and mimeographing done during the preparation and compilation of these interviews. Finally, I wish to express my thanks

to my classes in sociology who gave of their time to answer the interviews, and to the numberous instructors and professors who assisted with guidance and advice.

# PURPOSE AND NATURE OF THE STUDY

This study graw out of a growing curiosity as to what
the high school student thinks about the educational environment with which he is surrounded and the things which
affect this environment. It was further augmented by an
article by Thurstone on the subject of measuring attitudes.
A controversial erticle by Bain led to further thought on
the subject. A number of conferences with Professor Walter
H. Burr, Superintendent W. E. Sheffer of the Manhattan Public
Schools, and Principal H. beigh Baker of the Manhattan Senior
High School convinced me that a study of the attitudes of
high school students would be highly desirable.

<sup>1.</sup> Thurstone, Louis Leon; Attitudes Can Be Measured.
American Journal of Sociology, Volume 33:4, pages 52954; January 1928.

<sup>2.</sup> Bain, Read; Religious Attitudes of College Students. American Journal of Sociology, Volume 32:6, pages 762-70; Narch 1927.

The chief values of this study lie in pointing out a method of determining student attitudes; pointing out specific facts concerning the students of the Manhattan Senior High School; and shedding some light upon what may be the attitudes of high school students elsewhere to the extent that those studied are typical.

## METHOD AND TECHNIQUE

An examination of a number of recent magazine articles on the subject of attitudes suggested possible means of conducting such a study but many of them had weaknesses which made the methods suggested inadvisable for the problem at hand. After some consideration it appeared that personal interview method would be the most desirable one. When considered further it became apparent that there were two factors operating against the use, the time, element and the lack of frankness in answering some of the questions which were necessary. The questionnaire method, long condemned as unsatisfactory by a number of authorities among which were 3 Bain, was then carefully considered.

<sup>3.</sup> Bain, Read; An Attitude on Attitude Research. American Journal of Sociology, Volume 33:8, pages 940-57; May 1928.

Considerable thought was given to eliminate the undesirable features such as a possible misunderstanding of the questions, failure of all the subjects to respond, collaboration of subjects in answering the questions, and a lack of secrecy and protection to the subject.

Finally the objections to each plan were eliminated as nearly as possible by the means suggested here. A large number of other questionnaires were consulted for ways of stating the questions in the most clear and concise manner. Professors, principals, and high school teachers were asked to criticize the questions. When the number of questions were completed two of the students in the classes were called in for a private interview to see if the questions were stated in such a manner as could be understood by high school students. Then the questions were mimeographed so there would be a copy available for each student in all the sociology classes in the school. To insure further complets understanding of the questions they were read in class, the students noting the meaning of which questions they did not understand clearly. After the questions had all been read those which were not clearly understood were interpreted and clarified for the students.

To insure that every student would answer the questions the group interviews were given to them during class time and two class periods were devoted to the student answering

the questions. Collaboration was also prevented by this means and by asking that the students not confer with one another while answering the questions.

Secrecy and protection were guaranteed the student by
his not being requested to put his name anywhere on the
paper he filled out. This also made possible greater frankness of answer and hence more valuable results.

As final insurance of complete understanding of the questions I remained in the classroom at all times to answer any questions which might occur to the student during the process of writing the interview.

It will be noted in the procedure that the most undesirable features of the personal interview method and of the questionnaire method were eliminated. It is for this reason and the fact that the students were handled in four groups or classes, two each semester, that I have called this method the group interview method. In order to prevent any bias of the second semester students, no results of any of the questions were given the students until every student concerned had answered the questions as completely as he could.

In some cases there is an apparent lack of evidence but it must be remembered that where responses to approximately four hundred items were asked that some would necessarily

be left out for one reason or another.

Following the completion of the interviews by the students, the work of assembling the results began. The results are compiled into the tables which are found in another part of this study. Some interpretation of the table follows each.

### EXPLANATION OF TERMS

The term "subject teacher" means the teacher of the particular subject to which the answer referred. For example, to the question "That junior high school subject teacher did you like most?", the answer might be "English". In such a case the teacher was not named but indicated by the subject taught. This was used because the name of the teacher was not important while the subject was. Another reason for the use of this term was the fact that the student might have attended in schools other than Manhattan in which case it would have been impossible to find what subject the teacher taught due to the anonymity of the interviews.

Another term commonly used is "group interview" or simply "interview", a term which applies to the body of questions answered by the student to give the information embodied in this study.

The third and last special term used is "percentage like rating" as applied to the teachers. This is based upon expressions of likes and dislikes of the teachers by the students. The term itself is the percentage of expressions of "likes" for a teacher of the total number of "likes" and "dislikes" for that teacher. For example, a teacher might have 90 "likes" out of a total number of 100, in which case her "percentage like rating" would be 90%.

## FIN DINGS

The findings of this study are tabulated on the following pages and in most cases a short interpretive paragraph follows each table. In many cases tendencies are also pointed out in this paragraph. Some of the reasons which are given in the tables may seem to overlap, a few may seem stated in too simple language for a study of this type, or in some cases may contain slang, but it must be remembered that the reasons given were those of high school students and are stated in their language as nearly as possible.

In a few cases there are related tables which are indicated by letter following the Roman numeral. The reason for such treatment was to make the numbers of the tables coincide with the numbers of the questions in the group interview that a comparative study of the two would

be simplified. Tach table bears a title corresponding to a question in the group interview which bears the same number.

TABLE I. IN WHAT COUNTRY AS YOUR FATHER BORN?

United States	95
Sweden	6
Hexico	1
Russia	1
Wales	1
Ingland	1

It is apparent from the above data that the students were mostly of native stock so that any anti-attitude which might be found would not be the result of national feelings. The few exceptions were carefully examined and no deviation from the general average was found.

TABLE II. FROM WHAT MATIONALITY DID YOUR FATHER DESCEND?

29
34
20
11
10
10
10
4
3
1
1
1
1

The above tabulation further bears out the preceding one and adds the information that Manhattan is populated to a large degree with Northern European stock.

TALL III. I Y " FATE & S OCCUPATION?

Not livin	8
Retired	2
Farmer	24
Carpenter	5
Feed & Produce Dealer	5
College In tructor	5
Salesman	3
Contractor	3
Miller	3
Shoe Repairer	3
Lairyman	3
Day Laborer	3
Tochanie	3
Physician	2
Truck Driver	5
Barber	3
Lawyer	3
Baker	3
Yinister	2
Custodian	3
Tire Repairmen	2
Auto Dealer	3
Butcher	1
Librarian	1
Cook	1
Sign Vriter	1
Rosd Patrolman	1
Engineer	1
Musician	I
Lumberman	1
Restaurateur Grocer	1
Auto recker	-
Photographer	SESSESS AND
Telephone eneger	1
Trackman	7
Telephone Repairmen	7
Foreman	7
	- Ju

The surprising result of this tabulation was that so few of the parents were in any way connected with the college. It had been rather generally assumed by the public that most of the students were children of college pro-

Actually less than 3% were connected with the college in any way. It had been further stated that the children of the college instructors were more critical and antagonistic toward the high school than others but a careful analysis of these answers did not verify such a contention. If anything such students seemed more sympathetic. Probably more dislikes and criticisms of the high school came from the students who had received their grade school education in the rural schools. Further than this no general conclusions can be drawn from the occupational background of the father.

TABLE IV. THAT KIND OF SCHOOL OR SCHOOLS DID YOUR FATH R ATTEND?

Public	83
Country	65
City ·	36
Private	8
Parochial	5

The above table seems to indicate that most of the fathers were educated in rural schools, even though they follow occupations now which are urban occupations. This might possibly be conducive to a criticism of city schools and their ways but again an examination of the answers of these students did not seem to bear out such a belief, nor on the other hand did it seem to indicate a more tolerant or lenient attitude.

The fect that the total number of city and country schools attended exceeding the number of public schools is explained by the fact that some students indicated private and parochial schools as being either in the city or the country.

TABLY V. IN WHAT PLACE OR PLACES DID YOUR FATHER ATTEND, INCLUDING COLLEGES?

Kensas	46
Manhattan	31
Salina	3
Vamego	3
Hoxie	2
Marysville	1
linfield	1
"athena	1
Winchester	1
Halifax	1
Liberal	1
Republic	1
Kansas City	1
Junction City	
Bala	1
Keats	1
Baldwin	1
Riley	1
Cleburne	1
oodston	1
Colby	1
Oakley	1
Wichita	1
Wabunsee	1
/ Mankato	3
Kirwin	1.
/ / Gaylord	1
Other State	35
lissouri	14
Chio	
Illinois	4
Pennsylvania	2
Wisconsin	4 2 2 2 2
Nebraska	2
Iowa	1

	_
New York	1
Colorado	1
North Carolina	1
Kentucky	1
Tennessee	1
West Virginia	2
Other Countries	8
Sweden	5
England	1
Russia	1
Mexico	1

TABLE VI. HOW FAR DID YOUR FATHER GO IN SCHOOL? INDICATED BY GRADE

1 3 4 5 6 7 8	
5	3
6	1 3
8	31
10	1 4
11	1 25
13 14	3 6 3
15	
16 17	18
18	2
19	
No answer	2

From my observation, either from personal interview or by examining the group interview, it is my opinion that there was slightly more criticism of the whole educational system by children of fathers with less than an eighth grade education. However in one case I found a greater than average appreciation of our schools by such a student.

## TABLE VII. IN WHAT COUNTRY WAS YOUR MOTHER BORN?

U. S.		95
Sweden		4
Canada		1
Australia		1
Germany		1
Russia		2
Pexico		1

The results shown in this table are almost identical with the Table I, showing the birthplace of the father and little difference was noted in the attitudes of the children of foreign-born mothers and native-born mothers.

TABLE VIII. FROM WHAT NATIONALITY DID YOUR MOTHER DESCEND?

English	27
German	25
Irish	19
Scotch	17
French	10
Dutch	8
Swedish	6
Welsh	3
Danish	1
Bohemian	1
Hebrew	1
Spanish	1
Swiss	1 1 1 1 5
No answer	5

Little general difference was noted in the results with respect to the ancestry of mothers and fathers. The differences were chiefly in the reversals of the places of certain nationalities, the most outstanding of which was that of the English and German descent. So far as the influence on the children was concerned the effect seemed to be negligible.

# TABLE IX. WHAT KIND OF SCHOOL OR SCHOOLS DID YOUR LOTHUR ATTEND?

Public	83
Country	53
City	29
Private	7
Parochial	3
No answer	1

This table is little more than a corroboration of the table showing the schools attended by the fathers. The only difference seems to be that the mothers seem to have attended more different schools, indicating, possibly, a more transient experience.

TABLE X. IN WHAT PLACE OR PLACES DID YOUR OTHER ATTEND SCHOOL, INCLUDING COLLEGE ATTENDANCE?

Kansas	53
Manhattan	16
Emporia	3
Holton	3
Westmoreland	3
Wamego	2
Hoxie	2
Gridley	1
Stockton	1 1 1 1
Eureka	1
Burlington	1
Randolph	1
Riley	1
Leonardville	1
Garrison	1
Flush	1
Bala	1
Wakefield	1
Abilene	1
Liberal	1
Olathe	1
Hays	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Alma	1
Glasco	1

Missouri Ohio Silowa Iowa Nebraska North Carolina West Virginia Illinois Tennessee South Dakota Kentucky Arizona California Other Countries Canada Australia Nexico Russia
--

# TABLE XI. HOW FAR DID YOUR MOTHER GC IN SCHOOL? INDICATED BY GRADE

1 2	
3	
2345678	
5	1
6	3
7	1
8	27
9	
9 10 11	1 5 3
11	3
12	36

16 17 18	3 3
18 19 20	

A casual comparison would indicate that the fathers are better educated than the mothers but a more careful computation reveals that the average difference is less than one tenth of a year in favor of the fathers. medium group in the case of the mothers is much larger than that of the fathers, the number being 36 and 25 respectively. The average time in attendance at school was approximately 11.3 years in each case. The average for the fathers was brought very high by the fact that a few of the fathers had secured their doctor's degrees, but reduced quite as much by the fact that quite a number had not completed the eighth grade. The average education of these parents is, according to information I have been able to gather, higher than the average for the country at large. This may possibly explain some other results found in this study as well as a comparatively favorable attitude toward education shown by the students.

<sup>4.</sup> Talbot, inthrop; Adult Illiteracy. U. S. Bureau of Education, Bulletin No. 35, 1916, pages 5-18.

## TABLE XII. DO YOUR PARENTS OWN YOUR HOME?

Yes 79 No 26

The fact indicated above, that over 75% of the parents owned their own homes, indicates a low rate of transiency and reflects the conservative and favorable attitude exprest by the students.

TABLE XIII. IF YOUR FATHER IS IN BUSINESS, DOES YOUR FATHER OWN ALL THE BUSINESS, OR JUST A PART?

All	*	46
Part ·	•	5
None	-	37
Invalid		1
Retired	-	3
Not living		13

The reason for the items "Invalid, Retired, and Not living", being in this table is to account for the total number of students. It is my opinion, after comparing the information in this table with observations of the students and reading their answers in the group interview, that probably the conservatism of property-owning parents caused the students to reflect a similarly conservative attitude. I believe the reader will agree that the student attitude is conservative after a complete reading of this thesis.

## TABLE XIV. IS YOUR FATHER EMPLOYED BY SOMEONE?

Yes	39
No	47
Not living	13
Retired	3
Invalid	1
No work now	2

It was interesting to note in this one that the two students whose fathers were unemployed at the present time were inclined to be more bitter and antagonistic in most of their reactions. The means of determining this was to explain in detail the interview as answered by these two students and noting the trend of their answers.

TABLE XV. IF YOUR FATHER IS E PLOYED, WHERE IS HE EMPLOYED?

College	11
Motor Company	3
Contractor	3
Feed Store	2
	2
Telephone Company	
Restaurant	2
Bake Shop	2
County	2
Egg Case Company	1
acrey solding out by	1
Older Stave	1
Church	1
City	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Kellner Jetty Company	1
Manhatten Packing Company	1
Railroad Company	1
Milling Company	7
Country Club	3
	2
Power Company	1
Farm	1

The results above would tend to refute the conclusion to Table III except for the fact that only about thirty

per cent of the fathers were employed, and that only about thirty per cent of those employed were employed by the college in any capacity.

# TABLE XVI. WHER HOP OU BORN?

Kansas			84
Manhettan			44
Hoxie		~	2
Riley			2
Abilene			2
Randolph			2
Woodston			1
Nortonville			2 2 1 1 1
Rosalia			1
Keats			1
Bala			1
Olsburg			1
Mintale			1
Hutchinson			1
Cha tauqua			1
Basehor			
Republic			1
Herington			1
Jamestown			1
Eureka			1
Osage City			1
Kirwin			1
Howard			1
Gaylord			1
Plue Rapids			1
St. George			1
Eureka			1
Mankato			1
Wabunsee			1
Salina			1
Green			1
Plainville			1
Jerome			1
Little River			1
Galena			1
Kiowa			1
Clifton			
Other States			21
Missouri			11
Nebraska			3

Califonia		3
California		7
(yomino		1
Fenn_ylv ni	2	1
Illinois		3.
est Virgin	i	1.
Tennencee		1
Iowa		1
North Carol	in	1

This table bears out the statement that attitudes are not the products of the environment of any one community, but that attitudes vary little in relation to place of origin so far as this study shows.

TALLE XVII. "HAT IC THE D'TE OF YOUR BI. TH?

1903	1 7
1910	22
1911	39
1912	31
1913	5

Average age 174 approximately

of the student except that a few of the old ones seemed to have develope a rather antagonsitic attitude. This may be accounted for by the fact that most of these people had failed some grade or subject at one time or a other.

Another explanation for this is that at the age of these older students a person is apt to have a generally changing attitude toward 11 things in life and that such an attitude may be antagonsitic as a result of contact with the hard realities of life. A third explanation may be that our

educational system is ill-adapted to some of the students as get and that these tulents are compelled to remain in this environment longer than is desirable.

TABLE XVIII. HO MAY BROTHERS H VI YOU LIVING?

0	33
	43
8	19
3	11 7
Ex.	7
1 3 4 5	4

There seems to be little influence on attitudes by the size of the family. Combining the results of this and Table 20 it is found that the average number of children per family is 4.06 which is slightly less than the average for the country at large, but higher than for the average family of native American stock.

TABLE XIX. THAT ARE THE ACT OF YOUR BOTH RO?
INDICATED BY STAT ING IF THE
BROTH AS THE LEDER, YOUNG R,
OR BOTH OLDER AND YOUNG R.

Older Younger	44
Both older	11
and younger	19

This table and Table 21 shed more light on the reasons for the attitudes of the students than almost any other information gathered. It is rather apparent that many of the parents have moved to Manhattan to give older brothers and si ters the advantage of a college education. Naturally

<sup>5.</sup> Ellwood, C. A. Sociology and Modern Social Problem, page 189, 1924, American Book Company.

younger brothers and sisters have both socially inherited and acquired by contact an appreciation of education that expresses itself in favorable attitudes toward the school and things connected with it.

#### TABLE XX. HOW MANY SICTURS H VE YOU LIVING?

0	22
	42 25
2	25
3	5
4	5
1 2 3 4 5	5 3 3
6	3

# TABLE XXI. WHAT ARE THE AGES OF YOUR SISTERS? INDICATED BY STATEING IF THEY WERE OLDER, YOUNGER, OR BOTH OLDER AND YOUNGER.

Older	43
Younger	21
Both older	
and vounger	19

# TABLE XXII. WHICH DO YOU THINK LIKE YOU BETTER, YOUR BROTHERS OR YOUR SI TERS?

Boys	
No preference	53
Boys think brothers like them better.	13
Boys think sisters like them	7
better.	

No prefer	rence ink brothers	like them	37 11
better. Girls thi	ink bisters l	ike them	15

Cases where there were neither brothers nor sisters or else only brothers or only sisters. From the above evidence it appears that boys within the family circle think those of their own sex like them better than those of the opposite sex, and the girls seem to believe that those of their own sex like them better but to a lesser degree, than is true of the boys. The following table illustrates the reasons given by the students for their brothers or sisters liking them.

# TABLE XXIII. WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THIS TO BE TRUE?

No reason	7
Together more	11
Helps me more	9
Have a better time together	3
More things in common	4
More interested in my welfare	3
More the same age	3
Sister nags at me all the time	1
I treat her better	1
Kinder to me	1 1
Same sex	1
Has more family spirit	1
Do not quarrel so much	1
He is older	1

# TABLE XXIVa. WHICH DO YOU LIKE BETTER, YOUR BROTHERS OR YOUR SISTERS?

Boys No preference Boys like brothers better Boys like sisters better	20 16 6
Girls Wo preference Girls like brothers better Girls like sisters better	41 11 11
Cases where there were neither brothers	

33

nor sisters or else only brothers or

only sisters.

#### TABLE XXIVD

Uases liked						same	beoble	38
Cases	where	this	was n	ot t	true	•		2
Cases		there	Was	inst	ıffi	cient	infor-	5

This table bears out the results of Table XXII with respect to the likes and dislikes of the students. This question is stated conversely to the one illustrated in Table XXII but the fact that in 38 out of 40 cases they believed the same people liked them best that they liked best seems to indicate that brothers are better liked than sisters by both boys and girls. It also seems to indicate that boys like those of their own sex better than the girls, at least so far as their own family is concerned.

### TABLE XXV

#### WHAT REASON CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS?

No reasons	4
Together more	8
More interests in common	8
Get along better	5
More considerate of me.	4
Nearer same age	3
We never quarrel	2
Likes me better	1
Same sex	1
More friendly	1
I wish I were a boy	1
She is younger	1
Sister won't help me	1
Brothers care too much for own good time	1
We have a good time	1
Confide in each other more	7
More interest in me	3
more ruserese ru ma	1

TABLE XXVI. ON THE WHOLE WHICH DO YOU LIKE THE PEOPLE OF YOUR OWN SEX BETTER OR THE OFFOSITE SHX BETTER?

Boys like own sex better	25
Boys like opposite sex better	14
No preference	3
Girls like own sex better	39
Girls like opposite sex better	13
No preference	11

The results in this table do not quite coincide with those in the preceding tables in that here the girls express a greater preference for those of their own sex. A number of factors may enter into this but I am inclined to believe that in enswering as they have here that they are merely setting up a sort of a defense mechanism, for it is my observation of these girls that they associated quite closely with the boys and that they are at an age when they naturally have a preference for boys. There seems to be little discrepancy in the data for the boys although I am inclined to believe that in this table they have expressed a greater preference for those of their own sex than the facts of the matter warranted.

TABLE KXVII. HAVE YOU ALVAYS ATTENDED THE MANHATTAN SCHOOLS?

Yes	29
No	76

This data coupled with that in the following table with respect to the places attended, indicates a number of facts. First and foremost, the results in the later tables

with regard to likes or dislikes for teachers, subjects, schools, and activities are not based entirely on Lanhattan schools. Second, the attitudes developed are the results of a multitude of experience in a multitude of schools. Third consideration, and one apart from the phase of attitudes, is the responsibility of the whole state rather than individual communities for the education of its children. The fact that 74% of the students attended schools outside of Manhattan, and the fact that many of them come from rural schools, smaller schools, schools that are not so well supported, schools with poorly trained teaching staffs, or schools with poor equipment makes them fit into this school rather poorly. It creates an additional problem for a school with excellent standing and one which a teaching force finds difficult of solution.

TABLE XXVIII. IF YOU HAVE NOT AL AYS ATTENDED THE MANHATTAN SCHOOLS, WHIRE ELSE HAVE TOU ATTENDED?

Kansas	93
Riley Co. Rural	21
Topeka	3
Abilene	3
Keats	3
Wamego	3
Riley	2
Osage City	3
Randolph	2
Marysville	3
Bureka	5
Stockdale	3
Hoxie	2

Waverly Yates Center St. George Blue Rapids Kirwin Ness City Gaylord Grainfield Kiowa Pratt Clifton Galena Oberlin Dighton Stockton Green Circleville Holton Hiawatha Kansas City Baxter Springs Mankato Salem Neosheo Falls Hutchison Baldwin Jamestown Glasco Easton Hillside Herington White City Republic Stuttgart Olsburg Westmoreland Mildred High Point Prairie Grove Mabaunsee Twin Mound Pleasant Hill Paxico Maple Hill Woodston Halls Summit

Other	States	
	Missouri	8
	California	4
	Nebraska	3
	North Carolina	S S S S S S S
	Tennessee	3
	Washington	3
	Idaho	2
	Texas	1
	Ken tucky	1
	West Virginia	1
	Pennsylvania	1

TABLE XXIX. IF YOU HAVE ATTENDED ELSEWHER, HOW ANY YEARS HAVE YOU ATTENDED IN MANHATTAN?

Le	ess than	1	year	2
1	year		•	4
3	years			10
3	years			8
4	years			17
5	years			7
6	years			5
7	years			6
8	years			4
9	years			6
10	years			4
11	years			3

of the 76 students attending other schools than Manhattan the average time in attendance outside of Manhattan
was five years or slightly over 40% of their school life.

Based on the total number of students studied about 3.6
years of each student's school life was spent outside of
Manhattan or about 30% of the time. This again illustrates
the effect of a variety of geographical environments on the
development of attitudes.

TABLE XXX. WHAT SUBJECTS HAVE YOU TAKEN IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

The material secured in answer to the above question was found to be unnecessary and so difficult to secure that it was omitted. The students could not recall all the subjects accurately either concerning number of subjects, or the number of semesters spent on each. To have secured the information otherwise would have required a complete examination of all office records, and in a quite a number of cases detailed transcripts from other schools attended.

TABLE XXXI. WHAT GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER LIKED YOU MOST?\*

Grade				
None	given			4
1				8
2				7
3				8
4				10
5				30
6				21
7				8
8				9
-			,	_

\*This and the questions which follow are answered by the grade or subject the student was taking at the time rather than the name of the teacher.

In spite of a somewhat prevalent belief that children in the upper grades are the hardest to like and the hardest to teach, 60% of the students believed that these very teachers liked them most. This is based upon opinions of a number of superintendents, grade school teachers, and principals interviewed on this question.

It is also well to note that half of these were fifth grade teachers. The reasons, given in the following table, are worthy of note, es ecially compared with the reasons given concerning junior and senior high school teachers.

TABLE XXXII. WHAT REASON CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS?

No reason given	48
I made good grades	10
She took an interest in me	8
The took an interest in all her students	5
She was more willing to help me	5
Family friend	5
We were good friends	73
I helped her more	3
Liked her	3
She thought me bright	1
I was little	1
I was active in extra curricular	-
activities	1
I was good I took more interest in school	1
	1
She babied me	1
I made more progress under her	1
She treated me best	1
We were together a great deal	1

TABLE XXXIIIa. WAS THE GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU MOST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Han	5
".oman	96

#### TABLE XXXIIIb

Total number of teachers which the students had in the grades:

Men	30
Women	509

From these figures it will be noted that the number of men teachers cited as liking the student most was not pro-

portionately as large as the total number of men teachers in the grades. This is probably as one would expect for I am of the opinion that the student would naturally react more favorably to feminine influence in his early years and that it is also quite natural that the women would like the younger children better than men would.

TABLE XXXIV. WHAT KIND OF MARKS DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE TEACHERS, WHO LIKED YOU MOST?\*

\*E--Freellent, G--Good, M--Medium, P--Poor, F--Failing.

E			28
G			59
M			10
P			0
F			1
No	mark	given	4

The fact that the marks ran quite high was to be expected in that the students who survived our educational system to the senior year of high school would naturally have added comparatively high marks in the grades. Also the very fact that so many students cited marks as a reason for the teacher liking them would make for high marks in this group.

<sup>6.</sup> Ross, E. A.; Civic Sociology, page 114. 1926. The World Book Company.

# TABLE XXXVa. WHAT GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER DID YOU LIKE MOST?

1		9
3		11
5		25
7		8
None g	iven	10

#### TABLE XXXVb.

33

Cases where the student believed the same teacher liked him most that he liked most.63

Cases where this was not true.

Cases where there was insufficient information.

That a person believes the same person likes him that he likes is well borns out in this table for over 60% of the students liked the same teacher best that they thought liked them best. The following table giving the reasons is enlightening especially when compared with Table XXXII for in this one marks are relegated to a comparatively unimportant place. However, it may be that other factors than marks entered into the liking for the student, in fact it was probably other factors which induced the good marks to which the student attributed his teacher's liking for him.

# TABLE XXXVI. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER MOST?

No topoon of you	24
No reason given	22
Personal interest in me	9
Kindness	
Personality	5
Willingness of help	4
Made grade interesting	4 4 3
We were better acquainted	
Family friend	3
Entered into student activities	2
We got along better	2
I made good grades	3
We were together more	2
Knew how to handle pupils	2
Friendly	202
	1
Sympathetic	1
I liked her methods	7
Intelligence of teacher	1 1 1
I had an easy time	1
Let me skip a grade	1
She was a very good teacher	1
Was my sister	1
More interested in school and community	
welfare	1
Able to make one understand better	1
Visited our home often	1

# TABLE XXXVII. WAS THE GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER YOU LIKED MOST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man	2
Woman	95

In this we note that men were not liked nearly in proportion to their total number in the grade school. The explanation in Table XXXIII probably holds good for this one as well.

# TABLE XXXVIII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE GRADE SCHOOL TRACHER YOU LIKED MOST?

E			21
G			57
M			7
P			1
F			0
No	mark	given	11

There was little relative difference in the marks made under the teacher liked best and the teacher who liked the student best. Very likely the same explanation holds in each case.

### TABLE XXXIX. WHAT JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER LIKED YOU MOST?

B	oys	
	No response	8
	Mathematics	12
	English	8
	History	
	Civics	2
	Printing	2
	General Science	1
	Manual Training	1
	Eighth grade	5 2 2 1 1 1
	Seventh grade	2
G	irls	-
	No response	21
	English	13
	Latin	3
	Mathematics	3 3 2 2 2
	Pennmanship	2
	General Science	2
	Foods	2
	History	2
	Clothing	3
	Physical Education	1
	Geography	1 1 1 6
	Hygiene	1
	Eighth grade	6
	Seventh grade	4
	9	

It is rather apparent that the junior high school student believed the teacher, who taught the subject he or she was traditionally supposed to like best, liked that student best. The girls who exprest an opinion at all seemed to have a wider range of subjects included.

TABLE XL. WHAT REASON OR REASONS CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER LIKING YOU MOST?

No reason given	40
Personal interest	10
I made good marks	7
Family friend	7432111111111111111111111111111111111111
	72
Liked the subject taught	0
Always itty and willing to help	4
I helped her a great deal	1
I tried hard to learn	1
We got along well together	1
Nicer to me than to others	1
Interested in helping me	1
Was naturally likable	1
	3
Gave me lead in a play	1.
He was the coach and I was one of	
the players .	1
We were just good friends	1
Interesting	1

The most noticeable feature of the reasons given here is the falling off of marks being given as a reason. This is perhaps due to a greater discrimination on the part of the student by the time he has arrived in the junior high and by the fact that at that age the child likes to have a personal interest manifest in him by the people with whom he comes in contact. Even so the factor of marks still rank quite high.

### TABLE XLa. WAS THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER THAT LIKED YOU MOST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Wan 25 Woman 51

#### TABLE XLb.

Total number of teachers which the students had in the junior high school.

Men 178 Women 671

Here we find quite a reversal of opinion from the grade school attitude for the number of men teachers the student believed liked him best was far greater in proportion to the total number of men teachers in the junior high school than the number of women. Perhaps this maybe partially accounted for by the fact that the boys at this age seem to be more attracted to those of their own sex than in the grades. The comparatively large number of students not answering these questions on the junior high school may be accounted for by the fact that many of them attended the rural schools thru the eighth grade.

## TABLE KLII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER THAT LIKED YOU MOST?

E			30
G			37
M			9
P			0
F			0
No	mark	given	0

The fact that the marks were higher in the junior high school than in the grade school may be accounted for by the fact that the marks in the junior high represent only one subject while those in the grade school represent a number of subjects lumped together. Also the fact that the student probably liked the particular subject best which this teacher taught might influence the marks in an upward direction.

### TABLE XLIIIA. WHAT JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER DID YOU LIKE MOST?

Boys		
No response		5
Mathematics		13
English	•	7
History		6
General Science		4
Printing		3
Physical Education		1
Music		1
Latin		1
Civics	-d⊕e.	6421111111
Pennmenship		1
Girls		
No response		6
English		18
Mathematics		7
History		5
Latin		5
Clothing		5
Pennman ship		4
Civics		1
Hygiene		1
Music		1
Art		1
Physical Education		5 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 2
General Science		1.
Seventh grade		3
Eighth grade		5

#### TABLE XLIIIb.

Cases where the student thought the same junior high school subject teacher liked them that they liked.	45
Cases where this was not true.	30
Cases where there was insufficient infor- mation.	30

As in the question involving the teacher who liked the student best, a wider range of subjects were given by the girls than by the boys. It should be noted also that in 60% of the cases the student liked the same teacher that he believed liked him best. This shows no variation from the data for the grade school.

### TABLE KLIV. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHYR MOST?

No reason given	25
Personality	9
Method of teaching	8
I liked subject	7
Was a good sport	5
Good natured	
Was willing to help	5 4 4 3
Close friends	%: A
Interested in students	
Fairness	2
Interesting	2
Subject interesting	2
Nice	2
Kindness	2
High ideals	2
Was the coach	2
Tas a real man	1
I got more out of course	3
Not sarcastic	1
In school for more than teaching	î
Knew his subject	3
witch mra adolace	1

Allowed social activities
With class work
as full of nep
Femily friend
Sincere

11111

A much greater range of reasons were found to account for liking the junior high school teacher than for liking the grade school teachers. The reason for liking that held first place in the grade school, personal interest, had dropped to eighth place, and the personality of the teacher ranked first. This is probably due to a changing viewpoint of the junior high student and the fact that having so many different teachers little personal interest is developed as compared with the grade school.

TABLE XLV. WAS THE TEACHER A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man

26

The preference for men teachers in the junior high was considerably greater than the total number of men teachers as compared with the number of women teachers. This is doubtless due to the fact that the boys crave the companion-ship of older men at this age and are afraid of being considered "sissy" if they liked the women teachers or had even close friendship with any of them. It also leads me to be-

<sup>7.</sup> Hall, Granville Stanley; Adolescence. 1907. D. Appleton and Company.

lieve that we need more men teachers in the junior high than we have had up to the present. It might be possible to deduce the conclusion that the men teachers were better in the junior high than the women teachers, but this is a rather dangerous conclusion and is resting on rather slender evidence.

TABLE XLVI. WHAT MARKS DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER THAT YOU LIKED MOST?

E	-		37
G			40
M			16
P			0
F			0
No	mark	given	1

Again it is noted that the marks in the junior high school are higher than in the corresponding question for the grade school and may be explained in the same way as Table XLII.

### TABLE XLVII. WHAT SENIOR HIGH SUBJECT TEACHER LIKED YOU MOST?

Boys	
No response	10
Mathematics	8
History	4
English	3
Vocational Agriculture	3
Bookkeening	3
Constitution	2
Typing	3
French	1
Manual Training	1
Mechanical Drawing	1

Printing Physics Pennmanship Botany Music	1 1 1 1 1
Girls	13
No response English	16
Mathematics	12
Foods	5
Clothing	3
Home living	3
History Typing	2
French	1
Spanish	1
Music	1
Public Speaking	5383211111111
Bookkeeping	1
Physical Education	2

A reversal of variety of subject teachers who the student believed liked him best is found in the senior high, for in this case the boys showed a wider variety.

Also it may be noted that English dropped from second to third place so far as the boys were concerned. It is also worthy of note that the vocational subjects, clothing and foods, occupied much more important places in the senior high than in the junior high as rated by the girls. It may be that the senior high school girls would prove more interesting to such teachers than junior high school girls.

TABLE MIVILI. WHY DID THIS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER LIKE YOU MOST?

No reason I was a good student 44

a carrier of the carrier of	-
I liked subject	5
Personality of teacher	4
Grades	4
Personal Contact	3
Actions on part of teacher	3
Because I tried	3
I worked outside of class	2
Personal interest	2
Liked my brother before me	2
Interested in young people	1
Both of us liked the subject	1
She trusted me	1

The reason given here are not so varied as in the same group for the junior high school and apparently the interest in the subject played a much more important part than at any previous time.

TABLE XLIXA. WAS THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU MOST A MAN OR A TOWAN?

Man .	24
Toman	53

#### TABLE XLIXbt

\*Total number of teachers which the student had in the senior high school.

Nen	404
Women	640

Here we note a reversal of likes on the part of the men and women teachers from what we found in the junior high school. The women teachers seemed to like the students better, from the student's viewpoint at least, far beyond the proportion one might expect to find. It was also interesting to note that of the 445 teachers that the

boys had in the senior high school 205 of them were men, while of the 599 that the girls had only 199 were men. This seems to indicate that there is a tendency for the girls to be trained more by women and the boys to be trained more by men. However, in the case of the boys the proportion of men teachers does not measure nearly up to the proportion of women teachers that the girls have.

TABLE L. WHAT MARKS DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU MOST?

E			30
G			32
M			17
P			1
F			0
No	mark	given	2

The marks made in the senior high school seem to be somewhat lower than they did in the junior high school, due to the fact that the work became increasingly difficult and that the persons who made the low marks in the junior high school had been climinated. However, the marks were still quite a good deal higher then average.

TABLE LI. WHAT SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER DID YOU LIKE MOST?

	6	25
	5	3
	5	,
Agricul ture	5	1
	5	1
	3	5
	2	2
	Agricul ture	Agricul ture 5

Constitution Shorthand Pennmanship Manual Training Mechanical Drawing French Business law	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Girls No Response	5
English	23
History	
Mathematics	7
Clothing	3
Sociology	3
Foods	2
Latin	2
Typing Home Living	3
Physics	î
Shorthand	1
Music	1
Art	1
Botany	9732221111111111111111111111111111111111
Spanish	
Bookkeeping	1.

Again we note a wider range of subjects among the teachers liked by the girls than by the boys. The subjects had also materially changed position, mathematics dropping a great deal, history climbing up in both groups. Vocational agriculture climbed up far higher than it could reasonably be expected from the small number of boys who took it. This was probably due to a very good teacher and to a great liking for the subject by the farm boys who were taking it.

### TABLE LII. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS EENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER MOST?

No reason Personality			30 10
Did a good job	of	teaching	8

Good sport Personal interest 5 Helped people 4 Fairness Int recting 4 3 Sympathetic Good netured 3320 I liked the subject Same in and out of school Interested in young people Personal friends Told us our faults and helped revive school spirit She is so cute Does not act superior to atudents My ideal 1 Have a deep respect for him Kindness 1 I made good grades

There are no deductions to be made from this beyond those made in the case of the junior high teachers for the range of reasons seems to be little different except for a slightly greater importance being attached to personality and personal interest.

TABLE XLIII. WAS THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER THAT YOU LIKED MOST A MAN OR A WAR ?

Man	30
Woman	56

The liking for the men teachers was slightly greater than the proportion of men teachers in the total would warrant our believing. However, the difference would be insignicant but for the fact that the choices were pretty clearly divided with respect to the sex of the student, the

boys liking the men and the girls liking the women best.

This furth r accentuates the need for men teachers to do more of the teaching of boys in our secondary schools.

TABLE LIV. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER THAT YOU LIKED MOST?

Ž.	24
C H P	24 50 20
M	30
P	0
F	0

So far as marks are concerned there seemed to be a falling off in the "E" group and a great increase in the "G"
group with a slight increase in the "M" group over the results for the junior high school, very probably for the
reasons given in Table L.

\*Indicated by school attended at the time.

Grade	28
Junior high	22
Senior high	36
No response	39

Schools outside of Manhattan.(Grade)
Yates Center
Blue Rapids
arego
Omaha(Nebraska)
Milan(Micsouri)
Dighton

Senior high
Kiowa
Randolph
Clifton
Keats
Stockdale

Sermen Rural
Hutchinson
Eheridan County
Kansas City, issouri
Teston, est Virginia
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Junior high
Abilene

Based upon the judgment of the student, the principal who liked the students best was the senior high school principal. However, this conclusion must be qualified for so many of the students attended rural school where there was no principal that such a conclusion is at once condemned as erroneous. Second, almost half of the senior high school principals were outside of Manhattan. Eliminating these factors, we may seek for a fair comparison with the junior high school but for the same reason such a conclusion is erroneous because the rural school student has completed two years of the junior high school work before he comes in contact with the junior high school so he his only a third the chance to make the principal like him which the city school student has. It does, however, become apparent that the student believed the Manhattan Junior High School principal, liked him better than the Manhattan Senior Nigh School principal, for only one junior high principal was listed as being outside of Manhattan while eleven senior high principals were outside of Manhattan.

### TABLE LVI. WHAT REASON OR REASONS CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS PRINCIPAL LIKING YOU MOST?

No reason given Seemed interested in my welfare Personal contact I worked for him I was a good student He was thoughtfully human Family friend	45 9 6 6 5 4 3
Mone of the others could tolerate me He was coach and I was a player I respected him She liked everyone	1 1 1 1

In so many cases no reason was given that no satisfactory conclusion can be drawn. The reasons given are quite what one might expect.

TABLE LVIIa. WHAT SCHOOL PRINCIPAL LIKED YOU MOST?\*

\*Answered by the school student who was attending at the time.

No response	24
Grade	24
Junior High	24
Senior High	30
None of them	3

Other schools represented Grade

Omaha, Nebraska
Kansas City
Gaylord
Dighton
Randolph
Junior High
Hoxie
Senior High
Blue Rapids
Wamego
Pratt
Abilene

Clifton
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Excelsior Springs, Missouri
Kansas City, Lissouri
Keats
Stockdale
Hutchinson

#### TABLE LVIIb.

Cases where the student believed the same principal liked him most that he liked most. 50

Cases where the student did not like the principal most that he believed liked him most. 22

Cases where there was insufficient information. 33

TABLE LVIII. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS PRINCIPAL MOST?

No reason given	33
Kind and good-hearted	11
Personality	8
Personal interest	7
Interested in young people	7
Honest and fair	4
Easy to get along with	3
Very friendly	2
Good sport	1
I worked for him	1
Very considerate of the country student	1.
Was human	3
His ability to master rowdies	1
Stayed at our house	1

of the students who gave the first reason most of them referred to the grade school principal, and of those who gave the second either the junior or senior high school principals were the ones cited. However, the first reason accounted for a number liking the junior high principal.

This is along the same line as the reasons for liking teachers

was found to be, namely that personality became increasingly important as the student advanced through school.

Assuming that all those who gave no response were country school students and that their choice would have been distributes in the same proportion as the others we find that the senior high school principal is by far the most popular with the students. However, again it must be remembered that 40% of those who expressed a choice for the senior high school principal gave out of town principals, so that so far as Manhattan is concerned the junior high school principal was much better liked than the senior high school principal. This is further accentuated by the fact that the farther back in the school career one goes the greater the probability that the student attended some other school. It is also worthy of note that over 70% of the students liked the same principal best that they believed liked them best, at least where any choice at all was given.

TABLE LIXa. WHAT GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER LIKED YOU LEAST?\*
\*Indicated by the grade the student was in at the time.

1	C
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0
3	
4	11 20 13 14 0
5	13
6	14
7	0
8	0

#### TABLE LIXb.

Cases where the teacher who liked the student least taught the grade following the grade taught by the teacher who liked the student most.

39

Cases where the teacher who liked the student least taught the grade preceding the grade taught by the teacher who liked the student most.

25

Cases when neither was true.

15

In trying to discover the reason for the student liking the particular teacher the least it was found that the one he thought liked him best either just preceded or just followed the one he thought liked him least, so perhaps each was placed as she was by virtue of contrast with her predecessor or successor. There did not, however, seem to be such a concentration on any one or two grades as in the case of the teacher he thought liked him best.

### TABLE LX. WHAT REASON CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER LIKING YOU LEAST?

No reason given	31
Disinterested in students Punished me excessively	8 7
Ill-tempered I was unruly	5
Unfair and dishonest I was too dumb	3
Had no patience Just naturally did not like me	3
I made a mistake and everybody laughed at me	1
I did not apply myself His roommate quarreled with my	1
brother	

I did not like her	1
I argued with her	1
She was sarcastic	1
I thought I was too smart	1
I would not stay after school	
to talk to her	1
I was not of her religion	1
She could not keep order	1
I was a new atudent	1

point of the teacher who liked the student least we find that personal interest is again a dominant factor, except that of course in this case it is a lack of personal interest. Punishment and natural ill-temper on the part of the teacher also ranked high as one might expect.

TABLE LXI. WAS THE GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU LEAST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man 8 71

It becomes evident that the conclusions drawn at first with respect to the men teachers not being the desirable teachers for the grades is true for the number of men who liked the students least was double the percentage of men in the total number of men teachers in the grades.

TABLE LXII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU LEAST?

E		2
G		30
越		33
P		10
EGMPF		30 33 10 4

It is rather safe to assume that the marks made by the student are either a cause or a result of the teacher not liking the student for the marks are much lower then where we considered the teachers who lived the student most.

TABLE LXIIIa. THAT GRADE SCHOOL TEACHTE DID YOU LIKE LEAST?\*

\*Indicated by the grade the student was in at the time.

No te	eacher indicated	16
2		9
3		13
4		21
5		10
6		18
?		7
8		4

#### TABLE LXIIIb.

least	where the student liked the teacher who taught the grade following the taught by the teacher he liked most.	.43 -
least	where the student liked the teacher who taught the grade preceding the taught by the teacher he liked most.	29
Cases	where neither was true.	17
	TABLE LXIIIc.	

teaches	him least	No.	
least.			

68

11

18

Cases where the student did not think the same teacher like? him least that he liked least.

Cases where there was insufficient information.

That the dislike of student and teacher is reciprocal is shown by the fact that the student liked the same teacher least that he liked least in 70% of the cases, and in only about 13% of the cases was the opposite true, the remainder of the cases not giving sufficient information. As in Table LIX we find that the teacher liked least usually followed or preceded the teacher liked most.

TABLE LXIV. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER LTATT?

No reason given	24
Ill-tempered	15
Punished unnecessarily	
Unfair	£2
Was not helpful	9555433222111111
Bid not like me	5
Unsympathetic	4
Was too old	*St
	3
We did not get along	3
Failed me	3
Interested outside of school	2
Sarcastic	2
Ugly	1
Dumb	1
Too silly	1
Too strict	1
Two faced	1
Babied me to much	7
I did not study but got good grades	3
Always tried to catch me at some-	446
thing	1
Did not try to teach me a difficult	de
subject	1
I did not think much of her as a	400
	9
teacher or as a person	1

In this table we find a new factor, or rather one which has appeared only very slightly before, namely ill-temper of the teacher for in 23% of the cases where any reason at

all was given for disliking a teacher this was the reason. The next reason, that of punishing unnecessarily was perhaps very closely allied, but because it was given separately by the student it has been listed separately. This reason accounted for about 14, of the total reasons given.

TABLE LXV. AS THE TEACHER A MAN OR A CMAN?

Man Woman 82

In this case we find that the number of men teachers liked least by the students was not so great as the number they believed liked them least. This may in part be due to the fact that men probably do not show their liking for smaller children as do women, and that men are probably by nature less demonstrative and gruffer than women, a fact which might be interpreted by the student as dislike for him. On the other hand the student found less occasion to dislike the men teachers than Table 61 might have led us to believe and the percentage of men teachers liked least was only 3% as compared with 6% of the whole number of grade teachers being men. The difference here can hardly be regarded as significant.

TABLE LXVI. THAT MARKS DID YOU MAKE UNDER THIS TEACHER?

E	7
E G M P	7 35 35 9
M	35
P	9

F 3

That the student is less influenced toward a dislike of a teacher by marks then the teacher toward the student might be assumed by comparing Table LXII with the results here. However, so many other fectors enter into the equation that this would not be a safe assumption. However, in spite of disliking the teacher the marks remain decidedly above the average so one may quite safely assume that marks do not determine the attitude toward the teacher.

### TABLE LXVII. WHAT JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER LIKED YOU LEAST?

Boys	
None given	.7
English	13
Geography	8
Manual Training	4
Mathematics	2
	2
Eighth grade	
History	5
Latin	Ţ
Physiology	2 1 1 1 1 1
Civics	1
Seventh grade	1
Study Hall	1
Girls	
None given	21
Foods	10
Mathematics	7
English	5
Geography	4
Latin	4
Clothing	2
Physical Education	2
Physiology	3
History	7
Ninth grade	1 1 1
Fighth crade	1
Eighth grade	1

Seventh grade Home Room Study Hall General Science

As one might expect the English teacher was the one the boys thought liked them least. However the ranking of geography and manual training so near the top bore investigation and a little inquiry revealed the fact that there was a particularly undesirable teacher in charge of geography and that the manual training teacher was rather cold and undemonstrative, the type of teacher that finds little to like in the junior high school student. From my observations I am inclined to believe that the most enthusiastic teachers in school should be placed in the junior high school. One might have expected that mathematics would have received a higher ranking with the girls but instead the one least, expected a vocational subject, foods, ranked highest. Again a little personal investigation revealed that the teacher in charge of this work should never have been permitted in any school system, much less in the junior high school. characterized by the students, when asked about her particularly, as being sarcastic, selfish, uninterested in students, and having various other equally condemning qualities. It is fortunate that she did not remain long in the school. It is surprising that English ranked so high with the girls

but probably the reason for it ranking so high with both boys and girls is that they are in contact with English teachers every semester and have a greater opportunity for being either liked or disliked by these English teachers.

TABLE LXVIII. THAT REASON OR REAGUES CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS?

No reason	31
Uninterested in students	8
I was unruly and impudent	8
I was not a bright student	5
Always blamed me for everything	5
I did not work	2
She failed me	2
I did not like the subject	2 2 2 1 1 1
I did not like the teacher	5
I could not dress fine enough	1
Teacher was hard-boiled	1
Was sarcastic	1
Always gave me the same grade	
regardless of my work	1
I had a southern dialect	
las an auful old hen	1
I was not good looking enough	1
Was a family friend	1
I was not the type she liked	1
She was lazy	1 1 1 1
Would not help students	1

In this case the student was almost as willing to condemn himself as the teacher for the tie for first place was his admission of his unruliness. Other reasons tabulated were without any particular distinction.

TABLE LXIX. WAS THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TOACHER WHO YOU LIKED LEAST A MAN OR A TOLAN?

Man	14
Woman	64

From information in Table MLb we find that the percentage of men teachers who were rated as liking the students
least is almost identical with the percentage of men teachers
in the total number of junior high school teachers.

TABLE LAX. WHAT LIR DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACH R WHO LIKED YOU LEAST?

R	3
G	13
M	37
P	18
F	5
No marks given	2

It seems to appear that marks of the ability to make good marks has some influence on the teacher's liking a student, or at least there must be some sort of a relationship between these facts for it is as consistently true that marks are lower where the teacher does not like the student in the junior high school as it was in the grade school. In fact in the junior high school the marks were lower than those of the grade school. This may possibly be discounted by the fact that this represents only one subject while the grade school mark is the average of all subjects taken during that particular year.

TABLE LXXIa. WHAT JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER DID YOU LIKE LEAST?

Boys No response English

Geography Mathematics Menual Training Study Hall History Civice Physiology Seventh grade Eighth grade	7 3 2 3 1 1 1
Girls	
No response	13
Foods	13
Mathematics	8
English	6
Geography	6
Jetin	5
General Science	3
Physical Education	5 2 2 2 1 1 1
Clothing	2
Physiology	1
History	<u>.</u>
Study Hall Seventh Grade	1
Ninth Grade	1
Home Room	1
TIONS VOOR	1

### TABLE LXXIb.

Cases where the student believed the same teacher liked him least that he liked least.	58
Cases where this was not true.	14
Cases where there was insufficient infor-	16

From a study of the two tables above and Table LXVII
there is evidence that some conditions existed which might
have been prevented. For example, is there any reason or
excuse for a teacher of foods ranking highest in these tables?
Also, when one considers the fact that by no means all of the

students took geography, there is no good reason why thirteen of the students should have liked this teacher leact. Somewhere along the line of employing these teachers there was a slip which cost a great deal of friction and bad feeling. Certainly a situation of this kind would call the attention of school officials to the fact that the teachers must be very carefully chosen, and it is my opinion based on my observations in this study that greater care should be taken in the shufte of junior high school teachers than in the choice of any other teachers.

### TABLE LXXII. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER LEAT?

No reason	22
Treated me unfairly	6
lias a grouch	5
Sarcastic	5
Unsympathetic and unwilling to help	5
Poor teacher	5 5 4
Did not like me	5
Not interested in the students	
I made poor grades	Az.
Ill-tempered	4
Thought himself superior	4
Did not know her subject	3
Not considerate	3
Too inquisitive	1
I could not set along with her	1
Would say one thing one day and	1
another thing the next	111111
She had a low moral standard	1
Made you feel unnecessary	1
Expected too much of students	1
I did not like her personality	1
Too particular and touchy I just did not like her	1
r last and the True Her	1.

While the reasons given here are somewhat varied one thing appears to be indicated and that is that the junior high school teacher should be more pleasant individual than any other teacher. The reason for my statement is that so many gave as reasons for not liking the teachers things one ordinarily considers the opposite of pleasant. Either this is true or else the junior high school teachers who taught these boys and girls were a particularly unpleasant lot.

TABLE LXXIII. WAS THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHUR YOU LIKED LEAST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man 13 70

A comparison of this data with Table LWb indicates that the men teachers in the junior high school were disliked to slightly lesser degree than might have been expected from the percentage of men in the total number of junior high school teachers.

TABLE LXXIV. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER YOU LIKED LEAST?

E		4
G		12
M		42
P		17
F		5
No m	ark given	3

Very little difference is found in this table and Table

LXX the tendency seeming to be that marks have some influence

or are a result of the student not liking the teacher as well

as the teacher not liking the student. There may be some other factors influencing this so it is not safe to draw any definite conclusion.

TABLE LXXV. WHAT STRIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER LIKED YOU LEAST?

Boys	
No response	10
History	10
Mathematics	7
English	5
Physics	3
Physical Education	2
Typing	1
Botany	1
Bookkeeping	1
Economics	5321111111
Sociology	1
Girls	
No response	21
History	11
Physics	5
Physical Education	5
Mathematics	5
English	4
Typing	3
Sociology	2
French	1
Spanish	1
Latin	1
Art	5 5 5 4 3 2 1 1 1 1
Music	1
Bookkeeping	1
Foods	1

Just how to explain why the history teachers should like the students least in such a large percentage of the cases is difficult, especially when so many of the cases are boys who are traditionally supposed to be good history students. It may be that the percentage like rating of the teachers would

essary. Another fact, difficult of explanation, is why mathematics should rank so much higher with the boys than with the girls when traditionally the boys are supposed to be better mathematics students than the girls. One possible explanation is that the teachers might expect the boys to be quiet and docile, two things which boys cannot be at this age, while the girls are less inclined to be boisterous. On the other hand, the explanation may lie in the very nature of these particular teachers. At any event the facts brought out here are at variance with what one might expect to find.

# TABLE LXXVI. WHAT REASON CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER LIKING YOU LEAST?

I had difficulty with the subject Always finding fault with me I did not hang around her I did not like her Did not like my attitude Could not study under her My marks were not good	38 4 3 3 3 2 1 1
Acted so indifferent toward me Sarcastic	111111
A queer woman	1
Grouchy	1
Poor teacher	
Liked only seniors	1
Could not understand her	1
I was too noisy Got my lesson and then fooled around	1
We could not agree	1
I put things off till the last minute	7
Always looked cross at me	1

That the reasons given by the students shed little light upon the true nature of the difficulty is my opinion after considering the reasons carefully. However, I am inclined to think that we must have some teachers who do not see very clearly the students viewpoint and who do not truly appreciate the nature of the high school student and the problems faced by the student.

TABLE LXXVII. WAS THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU LEAST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man

34 50

By comparing the data here with that in Table XLIXb we find that the men teachers were credited with liking the students least to a lesser degree than one might have expected, the total percentage of men teachers being about 38% while in this table they represent only 31%. This difference is great enough to be significant, although I have no means of accounting for it. One might say that the men teachers were better teachers or that they liked students better than the women teachers of the school but this would be a rather dangerous conclusion to make up on so little evidence.

TABLE LXXVIII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER WHO LIKED YOU LEAST?

E

2

G

M			44
P			9
F			4
No	mark	given	1

No particular conclusion may be drawn for this that has not been drawn for the junior high school marks, although the marks here seem to be slightly higher than they were in the junior high school, a fact which might not have been expected.

## TABLE LXXIX2. WHAT SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER DID YOU LIKE LEAST?

Boys	
No response	9
Mathematics	9
History	7
English	6
Physical Education	4
Physics	3
Sociology	2
Economics	6 4 3 2 1
Physiology	ī
Girls	
No response	15
History	10
Physical Education	8
Mathematics	
Physics	4
Typing	6 4 3 3 3 2
English	5
Foods	2
Bookkeeping	2
Spanish	2
General Science	7
Botany	ī
Latin	1
Biology	ī
Sociology	ī
Art	1
French	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Physiology	1
	-

#### TABLE LXXIXD.

Cases where the student liked the same senior high school subject teacher least that liked him least.

44

Cases where this was not true.

22

Cases where there was insufficient information to determine the truth or untruth of the correlation.

12

It is interesting to note that in 56% of the cases the student liked the same teacher least that he thought liked him least, showing that there must be some relation here but what that relation is I have no way of determining nor do I believe that any plan could be devised for obtaining this information.

In this case we find a much wider range of subjects represented in the opinion of the girls than of the boys. It is well to note the high rank in both cases of the same subjects, namely history, mathematics, and physical education. It was hardly to be expected that these would rank so high, especially mathematics and history teachers with respect to the "likes" of the boys. A comparison with the percentage like rating of these teachers might be in order here. The average percentage like rating of all the teachers in the senior high school was 8%. The mathematics teachers ranked as follows: 8% and 68%; the history teachers as follows: 83%, 94%, 87%, and 77%; the physical education teachers as follows: 99% (for the boys), and 71% (for the girls). Now there are

some factors which enter into this which prevent drawing accurate conclusions such as: No means of determining which teacher the student had; no means of checking the relative value of each class or subject with respect to the time spent in each one, and the fact that some of the teachers might be in other schools or out of the Manhattan schools at the present time. At any rate it seems to me that the attitude of the student was caused by the teachers.

TABLE LXXX. THY DID YOU LIKE THIS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER LEAST?

No reason given	35
as sever and hard-boiled	6
Graded unfairly	5
Assigned too much work	4
Did not like her personality	4
Was partial	3
Poor teacher	3
Had halitosis	2
Did not like me	2
Not interested in students	3
Did not like subject	1
He was lazy	1
Accused me of being lazy	1 1 1 1
Had to have her own way	1
Took the whole period in talking	1
Too personally inquisitive	7
Gossiped about students	1
Carries things to the extreme	1
Sarcetic	7
Snobbish	3
Too wild outside of school	1111111
Talked too much psychology	1
Have not gotten accusinted with this	quip
one .	7
Too much time wasted in class	1
Never tried to make anyone like her	1
the state of the s	die

Either the senior high school student expects too much kindness or else our high school teachers are unduly severe

Also it seems to be apparent that the senior high school student can either see partiality quite clearly or else he imagines he can as a sort of a defense for himself.

TABLE LXXXI. TAS THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TEACHER YOU LIKED LEAST A MAN OR A

Man Woman 55

Again we note that the men teachers rank above the women teachers for only 28% of the students liked them least while they constituted 38% of the teaching force. On the whole it would appear that Manhattan Senior High School has on its staff very good men teachers.

TABLE LXXXII. THAT WARK DID YOU MAKE UNDER THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT TRACHER YOU LIKED LEAST?

E			6
G			17
M			41
P			11
F			4
No	mark	given	2

On the whole the students seem to be making higher than average marks in spite of liking these teachers least so one cannot attribute this attitude to low marks. They seem to be slightly higher than those under the teacher who liked the student least but the difference is not at all significant.

TABLE LXXXIIIa. WEAT SCHOOL PRINCIPAL LIKED YOU LEAST?\*

\*Indicated by the school attended at the time.

No response	39
Grade school	23
Junior high school	14
Senior high school	29

#### TABLE LXXXIIIb.

Other schools than Manhattan represented.

Grade schools
Blue Rapids
Gaylord
Unionville
Nampa, Idaho
Junior high schools
Abilene
Herington
Senior high schools
Eureka
Clifton
Neosheo Falls
arsaw, hissouri

In 72% of the cases where there was sufficient information given the student believed the same principal liked him least that he liked least.

#### TABLE LXXXIIIC.

Cases where the student liked the same principal least he thought liked him least.	53
Cases where he did not think the same principal liked him least he liked least.	19
Cases where there was insufficient information to determine an statement.	33

From the above data it would appear that the senior high

cip is in either grais or junior high chool. This may be somethat discounted by the fret that many of the students attended rural echocle in re, in most cases, there as no minoical. Yet in contrast to this is the 20 who gave no response probably because they there there way students. It at least seems to indicate to me that the students did not believe the senior high school minoipal liked them very much.

#### TABLE LXXXIV. AT PRACOR CAN YOU GIVE FOR THIS PRINCIPAL NOT HILL GROUP

No recen giv n	38
Did not become acquainted	8
I was "ornery"	27
s indifferent	2
I did not like her	1
I talk in assembly	1
Al mys tried to catch me in trouble	1
I was sent to the office so often	
I was stubborn	3
My "bud" beat him up	1
Te topied him	1
Did not like children very well	1
Mid not like anyone not active in	1
school affairs	
Was not helpful to the students	1
I did things thet did not please him	
es gruchy toward me	3
Liked only his pets	1
Tid not like my folks	3
I would not swallow his stories	3
Gave me on "unexcused" when I was	1 1 1 1 1 1
accidentally tardy	

The reason which stands out most among the few replies to this question is that the principal and student did not

become acquainted, due langely perhaps to the size of the school. I am inclined to believe, however, that we should not excuse the principals too much on this bacis for after all none of the schools attended by these students were so very large.

TIBLE LEXXVa. THAT SCHOOL PRINCIPAL DID YOU LIKE LEAST?

No response	37
Grade school	24
Junior high school	15
Senior high school	29

TABLE LXXXVb.

Other schools than Manhattan represented.

Grade schools

Elue Rapids

Dighton

Junior high schools

Herington

Abilene

Senior high schools

Eureka

Unionville

Neosheo Falls

Nutchinson

Seaman Rural

Warsa, Missouri

It seems to appear now, in substantiation of Tables

LV, LVI, and LVII, that the senior high school principal is

the least popular with the students of any principal. This

may be refuted to some degree by the fact that their re
collection of the senior high school principal in the most

vivid and that dislikes stand out, but at the same time there is no reason why the liking of the principal should not be just as vivid. Of course it will be noted that the number who liked the grade school principal least is almost as large, but it must be remembered that the student is in the grade school six years and in the senior high school only three years, therefore having twice as much opportunity to like or dislike a grade school principal. The very fact that the number who liked the senior high school principal least was almost twice as great as the number of dislikes of the junior high school principal seems to me to be significant. Some may, of course, account for this by saying that so many of our students are in the junior high school only one year or perhaps not at all, having come from the rural schools, but even so, I do not believe this would account for the great difference recorded.

#### TABLE LXXXVI. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS PRINCIPAL LEAST?

No reason given	20
MO LEGISOTI STACIT	32
Did not become acquainted	5
Did not see the student viewpoint	5
Strict and hard-boiled	5
Did not like me	3
We had a quarrel	2
Was sneaking	2
Majority did not like him	2
Grouchy and unkind	2
Not friendly	1
Never saw much of her	1

Too "high-hatted"
Thought I was in the way
I did not like his methods of
accomplishing things
as not helpful to the students
Was indifferent
I was afraid of her
He was a fake
His attitude toward some students

Again the point of failure to become acquainted seems to me to be a charge against the principal, for after all the student can hardly be expected to push himself forward into acquaintanceship, and I am inclined to believe that it is not the nature of high school students to do so. The second reason, though not less important, borders closely upon the first and is equally a problem for the principal to solve. Children find it practically impossible to see the adult viewpoint so the principal should endeaver to see the students viewpoint.

## TABLE LXXXVII. WHAT SUBJECT DID YOU LIKE MOST IN THE GRADES?

Boys	
No response	2
Arithmetic	21
Geography	5
History	5
Reading	3
Spelling	2
Art	2
Pennmanship	ī
English	1
Girls	_
No response	3
English	14
Reading	- F
	13

Arithmetic	11
History	6
Spelling	6
Art	5
Geography	2
Physiology	1
Pennmanship	1
Music	1

The liking for subjects in the grade school is perhaps a truer indication of the student's natural bent than anything else. Assuming that this is true we are more nearly right in concluding that there is a situation in the senior high school which needs some remedial measure. The old traditional beliefs with respect to the likes and dislikes of students for particular subjects seems to be rather well borne out in this table.

### TABLE LXXXVIII. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS SUBJECT MOST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

No reason given	53
It was easy	28
It was interesting	11
Always making something new	1
It was where I shone above the rest	1
I liked the teacher	1
I did well in it	1
I made good marks	1
It was more fun, I did not have to	
work	1
Fun to work problems	1
I liked to read stories	1

There seems to be but one outstanding reason for the student's liking the particular subject, namely that it was easy, a second reason, that it was interesting, very closely allied. It is perhaps difficult to distinguish between the

two although listed separately by the students. The minor reasons could perhaps have been more closely classified after a personal interview but this was out of the question.

TABLE LXXXIX. WAS THE GRADE SCHOOL TEACHER OF THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED MOST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Wan 5 Woman 95

when compared with Table XXXIIIb we find that the percentage of men is slightly less than the percentage of men in the total number of grade teachers, but the difference is negligible so far as furnishing any basis for conclusions.

TABLE XC. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED JOST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

E			50
G			37
24			6
P			0
F			0
No	mark	given	7

If this table reveals nothing else it certainly reveals that if a student likes a subject the probability is that he will make good marks.

TABLE XCI. WHAT GRADE DID YOU LIKE MOST WHILE YOU WERE IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

7	~	
1		4
2		3
A		3 9
3 4 5		1
6		21
7		1 21 34 8 13
8		8
Ö		13

On the whole there seems to be a gradually increasing liking for the grades as the student coved up the educational ladder. It was to be expected that there would be a falling off at the seventh and eighth grades because most of the students were city school students who attended the junior high school. Fowever, I am at loss to explain the great drop at the fourth grade. It seems to me there is a relationship between the liking for the teacher and the liking for the grade but no attempt has been made to work out this correlation.

### TABLE XCII. THY DID YOU LIKE THIS PARTICULAR GRADE NO T?

No reason given	33
Liked the teacher	20
Had most fun then	18
Was the most interesting	20
I felt superior	
Was the last grade	3
I be an to realise the value of	
school	1
A greater variety of subjects	1
as not h rd	1
I went to private girl's school	
then	1
I had to work for what I got	1
Clas was small	1 1
We soved to a new community and	
everything was new	1
More thin s to do but work	1
and the state of t	othy

This table seems to substantiate the arrument that the teacher has a good deal to do with the liking of a particular grade or that the grade has a good deal to do with the liking of a techer.

TABLE CIII. A TW. TEACH R OF THE GRAD YOU LIKED

Man Woman

84

The percentage of men indicated here is considerable above the percentage of men in the total number of grade school te chers, the respective procentage being 9.7, and 5.7. This difference is significant but I have found no reasonable explanation for it.

TABLE KCIV. AT A KS DID YOU TO THE IN THE GREET SCHOOL STATE OF THE SCHOOL SCHOOL STATE OF THE SCHOOL SCHOO

E				30
G				50
P				16
				0
E			•	0
No	mark	given		7

The marks here are still a great deal above the av rage but somewhat lo er than for the subject the student liked most in the grade school which was to be expected for that mark represented a more specialized part than did the grade.

TABLE MCV. THAT STRUCT DID YOU LIKE NOT IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

Bovs	
No response	2
Mathematics	1.3
History	8
General Science	(

C	English Manual Training Printing Pennmanship Civics Tusic Geography Vocational Agriculture	5 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
31		0
	No response	8
	English	12
	Mathematics	8
	Clothing	7
	History	6
	Penn_anship	5
	Foods	5 2 2 3
	Physiology	2
	Latin	
	Spelling	2
	Art	2
	Music	2
	Hygiene	
	Physical Education	1
	General Science	1
	Civics	3
		-

In this table a trend is noted away from the subjects liked most in the grade school. The most apparent of these is the drop in the popularity of mathematics. Another is the quite pronounced preference of the girls for vocational subjects.

## TABLE XCVI. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS SUBJECT MOST IN JUNIOR WICH SCHOOL?

No reason	45
Liked teacher	19
Easy	18
Interesting subject	6
Praetical	2
Made good marks	2
Something new	1
I plan to teach it	1

The reasons for liking the subject most were what one might have expected and need no comment.

TABLE ECVII. WAS THE TEACHER OF THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED MOST IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man 30 64

The number of men teachers was far greater in proportion to the percentage of men in the total number of junior high school teachers, the percentages being 21% and 32% respectively. This is significant but again I have no means of accounting for it.

TABLE XCVIII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED MOST IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

E				40
G				41
M				9
P				0
F				0
No	mark	given		4

A comparison with Table XC reveals a lower level of marks than were made by these students in the grade school in the subject they liked most. This may possibly be accounted for by the increasing difficulty of the subjects as the student moves up the educational ladder.

TABLE XCIX. WHAT SUBJECT DID YOU LIKE MOST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

Boys
No response
Physics

2 12

Mathematics Mechanical Drawing Constitution Vocational Agriculture Typing English Chemistry Printing Penmanship Wood Work Shorthand History Bookkesping Spanish Sociology Music Girls	5 3 3 3 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
No response	5
English	18
Clothing	
Mathematics	5
Sociology	4
Typing	4
Foods	3
Journal ism	3
History	3
Art	3
Bookkeeping	2
Home Living	1
Music Spanish	1
Physics	1.
French	7
Latin	5 4 4 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 1
History	1
Physical Education	i
Botany	1

Significant changes are found in this table for among the boys we find an increasing prominence of vocational subjects. Mathematics, while in second place, is a weak second where the only means I have of explaining this is that when talking to a number of the boys about the matter they suggested that they saw little practical value of it in the

occupation they planned to follow. Others suggested the teachers as reasons for not wishing to take it. From another source I happen to know that there was a great increase in the enrollment for debate because of a dislike for certain mathematics teacher. While none of the students answering this interviewwere in that group, it at least indicates a tendency which seems to appear in this study. English remains a first place choice with the girls.

TABLE C. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS SUBJECT MOST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	32
Interesting subject	39
Liked teacher	7
Easy	7
Practical	6
Something new	2
Easy teacher	1
Good teacher	1
Could understand it and get it better than	
others	3
Made good marks	1
Learned a great deal	1

The only marked tendency shown here was that the students apparently liked the subject for the sake of interest in it.

The teacher seemed to have little influence directly, but perhaps the teacher in many cases was responsible for the subject being interesting.

TABLE CI. WAS THE TEACHER OF THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED MOST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man Woman

46

This table seems to indicate that the men are teaching the subject liked best in far greater numbers than their percentage of the total number in the senior high school, the percentages being 46% and 38%. This difference is significant and especially when the fact is noted which I disclosed in checking back upon the likes of boys and girls eparately. The boys had men teachers in their favorite subjects in 32 cases and women teachers in 8 cases. The girls have men teachers in 14 cases and women teachers in 44 cases. are perhaps a number of explanations for this, some of which occur to me being that men might naturally teach the subjects most liked by boys, women teaching those most liked by girls; that the men teachers are better than the women teachers in that they make the subject more interesting, an explanation which needs considerably more study before it can be safely accepted. It was also interesting to note that the boys had men teachers in the subject they liked most in more cases than the girls had women teachers, the percentages being as follows, boyshad men teachers in 80% of the cases, girls had women teachers in 76% of the cases. I think this difference is great enough to be significant but again much more study along this particular line needs to be made.

TABLE CII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED MOST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

E			33
G			36
M			18
P			0
F			1
No	mark	given	10

Here we find the tendency revealed in Table KCVIII continued for the marks made are somewhat below those made in the subject liked most in the jumior high school. The most significant thing revealed here in my estimation that one girl made a failing mark in the subject she liked most.

TABLE CIII. WHAT SUBJECT DID YOU LIKE LEAST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

Boys	
No response	8
Reading	7
Spelling	7
English	7 5
Geography	5
Arithmetic	. 3 1
Music	. 3
History	1
Civics	1
Drawing	1
Girls	
No response	15
Arithmetic	18
Geography	14
Spelling	4
History Civics	3
Penmanship	3 2 2
Reading	2
Physiology	2
Cooking and sewi	
and and acar	1

From this table it would seem that early in life boys show an aversion for things related to English, for what is treated under the caption of English in the junior and senior high school is largely what has grown out of reading, spelling, and grammar or English of the grade school. The girls show a quite decided aversion for mathematical material.

TABLE CIV. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS SUBJECT LEAST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

No reason given	20
Hard subject	27
Uninteresting	12
Could not understand it	7
Did not like it	6
I thought it useless	3
It was tiresome to do the same thing	1
over and over	1
Too many things to remember	1
Did not like the teacher	1
I failed in it	1
Teacher did not explain	1
Teacher was cranky	1
I was scared	1

From the reasons given in this table it would seem that the grade school student is most baffled by the difficulty of the problem facing him and that he therefore disliked the subject. However, the fact that the subject is uninteresting plays a no small part.

TABLE CV. WAS THE TEACHER OF THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED LEAST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man Woman 6 78 The percentage of men teachers of the subject liked least was somewhat above the percentage of men teachers in the total number of grade school teachers represented, the percentage being 7 and 6 respectively. This difference, in my opinion, is not great enough to be significant.

TABLE CVI. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED LEAST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

E				80
M				31
P			•	24
F				1
No	mark	given		3

A comparison of this with Table XC shows that there must be some port of a relation between the marks made and liking or disliking the subject, for when the subject was liked the marks ran much above the average while in this case the marks were almost exactly average.

TABLE CVII. WHAT GRADE DID YOU LIKE LEAST WHILE YOU WERE IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

-		Ω
1		Ö
3		8
3		12
4		23
22		
5		11
6		19
17		0
8		6
8		3
200		
No	response	15

A comparison of this with Table XCI reveals some interesting information, first that while the fourth grade was the one ranking highest of those least liked it ranked lowest among those liked most, but that the sixth grade ranked highest in the grade liked most, ranked second highest
in the grade liked least. It also was interesting to note
that no very specific trend was found in the grade liked
least such as there was in the grade liked most.

TABLE CVIII. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS GRADE LEAST WHILE YOU WERE IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

No reason given Did not like the teacher The hardest grade Poor teacher Moved so often that year Wost uninteresting I did not like to begin going to	21 36 5 3 4
school	2
I was in a new place	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
I was punished	3
I had to repeat the grade	1
No fun at all	1
It was so different	1
I was "ornery"	1,
Too many teachers	7
Did not like to be shut up in a	49
building all day	1 1 1
Too many examinations	1
as not used to being with other children	1,
Did not like that school	. 4
Teacher did not like me	1
My last year in that school	i
al reco lear in out sound	7.

By far the most outstanding reason given was disliking the teacher and it accounts for more than half of the cases where any reason at all was given.

TABLE CIX. WAS THE TEACHER OF THE GRADE YOU LIKED LEAST A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man

5 85 The difference from the percentage of men in the total number is so negligible in this case as to warrant no comment.

TABLE CX. WHAT ARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE GRADE YOU LIK D L'AST IN THE GRADE SCHOOL?

E				6
G				25
誕				41
P				11
F				1
No	mark	given		6

A comparison of this with Table XCIV again reveals a relation between a student's likes or dislikes and the marks he makes. However a comparison with Table CVI and XC reveals that there is less difference in the marks made in the grades liked most and least than there is between the subjects liked most and least. This may possibly be accounted for by the more specialized nature of a subject as compared with a grade.

TABLE CXI. WHAT SUBJECT DID YOU LIKE LEAST WHILE IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

Воув	
No response	3
English	13
Geography	8
Mathematics	7
Latin	4
Manual training	3
History	2
Occupations	1
Physiology	1
and the same of th	
No response	8
Mathematics	16
History	6

Geography	6
Foods	0
Clothing	5
Physiology	4
Latin	4
General Science	3
English	2
Art	1
Civics	1
Spelling	1

These subjects found in this list, and in the order in which we find them was quite to be expected for the high rank of clothing and foods in the case of the girls. This may possibly be explained in part by the information found in Table LXXIa.

TABLE CXII. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS SUBJECT LEAST WHILE IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	24
Did not like teacher	24
Hard subject	16
Uninteresting subject	13
Could not understand it	6
Teacher did not make it interesting	4
Useless to me	3
Too hard to remember dates	1
Could not remember vocabularies	1
Sarcastic teacher	1
Too much unnecessary material taught	1

This seems to hearken back to Table LXXII in reasons given and seems to substantiate my opinion that either the students expected more than ordinarily pleasant teachers or these teachers must have been a particularly unpleasant lot.

TABLE CXIII. WAS THE TRACHER OF THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED LEAST IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL A MAN OR A WOMAN?

20

74

Man Woman The percentage of men teachers represented in this table is within four tenths of one per cent of the number of men in the total number of junior high school teachers so there is no basis for any conclusions.

TABLE GXIV. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED LEAST IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

E			5
G			13
M			53
P			21
F			4
No	mark	given	2

Again the opinion seems supported that there is a definite and positive relation between marks and the liking of a subject or grade. In this case the mark made fell somewhat below the average, a thing which had not occurred in any table noted so far in this study. However, this seems to be in line with the general tendency of marks as we move up the educational ladder and irrespective of what we are measuring so long as the thing measured is comparable in each case.

### CXV. WHAT SUBJECT DID YOU LIKE LEAST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

Boys	
No response	1
English	12
History	7
Mathematics	6
Latin	5
Physics	2
Sociology	2
Spenish	1

Constitution French Botany	1 1 1
Typing	1
Economics	2
Girls	
No response	8
History	18
Mathematics	12
Physics	8
Latin	4
Constitution	3
English	3
Clothing	1
Physical Education	1
French	1
Shorthand	1
Spanish	1
Sociology	1
Bookkeeping	1
Economics	1

When this table is compared with Table LXXIX we find a quite striking similarity although in this case we find that history plays a far more important part, indicating perhaps, although without finality, that the dislike for the subject is more a cause of the student disliking the teacher than is a dislike for the teacher a cause for disliking the subject. This is such bare evidence, however, that I am merely suggesting that such might be a possibility.

#### TABLE CXVI. WHY DID YOU LIKE THIS SUBJECT LEAST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	21
Hard subject	26
Uninteresting	22
Did not like teacher	8
Could not understand it	8
Did not like to remember dates	1
Too many themes	1

Did not get anything out of it Too much outside work	1
Do not hink it will help me later	1
It affords no social thoughts	1
No good at all	1
Too general	1
Poor teacher	1
No need for it	1
Teacher had to learn course with	
students	1

From the evidence above it is very likely that the very nature of the subject made it disliked most although in quite a number of cases the teacher was blamed for it.

TABLE CXVII. WAS THE TEACHER OF THE SUBJECT YOU LIKED LEAST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL A MAN OR A WOMAN?

Man	39	9
Woman	5'	7

In this case the number of men teachers of the subject liked least was slightly greater than the percentage of men in the total number of teachers in the senior high school, the percentages being 40% and 35% respectively. It seems to me that this difference is hardly great enough to be significant.

TABLE CXVIII. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT LIKED LEAST IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

E			1
G			15
M			53
P			17
F			8
No	mark	given	2

The trend of marks for the subject liked least through the school system seems downward for the marks recorded above are somewhat lower than those found in Table CXIV.

TABLE CXIX. WHAT SUBJECT STUDIED IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU FEEL HAS BEEN THE MOST VALUABLE TO YOU?

Boys	
No response	4
Mathematics	13
English	8
General Science	4
History	3
Latin	2
Music	2
Vocational Agriculture	8 4 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Printing	1
Civics	1
Spelling	1
Manual Training	1
Girls	
No response	10
English	15
Mathematics	12
Penmanship	6
Clothing	5
Foods	3
Spelling	3
General Science	3
History	3
Civics	65332222
Latin	2
Art	1

One interesting fact to note here is that while such subjects as mathematics and English have been ranked as the most disliked subjects in other tables the student recognizes them to be valuable to him. This does not hold true for history however.

TABLE CXX. WHY DID YOU THINK THIS WAS THE MOST VALUABLE SUBJECT STUDIED IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	19
Use it every day	27
Made other subjects easier	19
Need it in my life work	7
Liked it	5
Developed my ability	3 3
I learned a great deal	3
Helps me make a living	3
Will help me later	3
I am taking it as a major course	3
Develops reasoning power	1

Most students seemed to have derived a practical value from their course, or at least that seemed to be the outstanding value as they saw it. If the other subjects which they took in which these helped them were valuable subjects then the study of these was valuable but if they in turn simply help the student in some new subject which finally gives no real value then I believe that we need to check up very carefully on the subjects which the student takes.

TABLE CXXI. WHAT SUBJECT STUDIED IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU FEEL HAS BEEN MOST VALUABLE TO YOU?

Boys	
No response	2
Physics	16
English	8
Mathematics	4
Bookkeeping	2
Vocational Agriculture	2
Constitution	2
Sociology	1
Auto Mechanics	1
Biology	1
Printing	2
Physiology	1
Chemistry	1

Girls	
No response	6
English	19
Typing	10
Sociology	4
Physics	4
Art	3
Foods	3-
French	2
Home Living	3
History	3
Mathematics	3
Latin	2
Clothing	1
Journalism	1
Bookkeeping	1
Physical Education	1

The indication in this table seems to be along vocational or practical lines although there seems to be an appreciation for the less tangible things in the choice of English and sociology for example.

TABLE CXXII. WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THIS SUBJECT WAS THE MOST VALUABLE ONE YOU HAVE STUDIED IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	21
Practical daily use	27
Will use it in my life work	16
Everyone needs it	10
Broadens a person's knowledge	9
I learned a great deal	5
Helps in other subjects	3
Helps me make a living	3
Explains so many things	1
Beginning to understand subject better	1
Teaches quickness and ability	1
Develops reasoning power	1

In this case, as in the junior high school except to a greater degree, the practical use and vocational aspects stand out most clearly as reasons. It seems to show that

the high school student is assuming a more serious and mature viewpoint and is beginning to consider his future vocation very definitely.

TABLE CAXIII. WHAT SUBJECT STUDIED IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU FEEL HAS BEEN LEAST WORTHTHILE TO YOU?

Boys	
No response	6
Geography	7
Latin	6
English	5
Manuel Training	5
Mathemetics	3
Occupations	7 6 5 5 3 2 2 2 2
History	2
Penmenship	2
usic	2
Physiology	1
Civics	1
Girls	
No response	13
Mathematics	10
Geography	9 8
Latin	8
History	7
Foods	4
General Science	3
Penmanship	3
Art	2
Agriculture	7 4 3 3 2 2 1
Fhysiology	1
Clothing	1

From the appearance of this table I am inclined to think the curriculum in geography needs revision, unless perhaps the fact discovered in Table LXXIa. concerning the dislike for the geography teacher influenced the students, or because their dislike for her was because she taught the subject poorly.

At any rate, the information revealed in this table should be sufficient grounds for investigating this situation more carefully.

TABLE CXXIV. HY DO YOU THINK THIS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT AS LEAST ORTH HILE TO YOU?

No reason given	22
Never used it	24
Did not learn anything	13
Have forgotten it	9
Did not like it	6
Will never do me any good	3
Did not take enough to do me any good	3
Poor teacher	1
Was a bore	1
Do not intend to take any more	1
Learned more in other subjects	1
Did not pass	1

The practical nature of the student persists in cropping out for in thinking the subject least worthwhile he thinks in terms of actually using it in his daily life. Perhaps the second reason, that he did not learn enything, can be laid partially at the door of the teacher, though of course, not all the blame can be placed there.

TABLE CXXV. WHAT SUBJECT STUDIED IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU FEEL HAS BEEN THE LEAST ORTHWHILE TO YOU?

Boys			
No response			6
History			5
Latin			0
Sociology			0
Mathematics			200
Spanish			3
Music			
			3
English			3

Constitution Thysics Bookkeeping Botany Nechanical Drawing Printing	2 2 2 2 2 1
Girls No response History Mathematics Thysics Latin Foods Spanish Tusic Design Biology Constitution French Bookkeeping Clothing	12 14 12 8 5 2 2 1 1

The subjects were so evenly balanced among the boys that no conclusion could be drawn with respect to them. However, with the girls it appears that no tangible values could be seen in history, mathematics, or physics and from my observation of high school girls it is my opinion that this might be expected.

# TABLE CXXVI. THY DO YOU THINK THIS SUBJECT STUDIED IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL WAS THE LEAST TORTHWHILE TO YOU?

No reason given	27
Will never use it	19
I see no practical use for it	15
Did not learn anything	7
Have forgotten all of it	4
Have never used it since	3
Did not understand it	3
Did not like it	2
Cannot remember it	2

	my line of work	2
Only a	few need it	1
Do not	use it	1
Do not	use the same method elsewhere	1

From an observation of this table I am more than ever inclined to believe that the high school student can see little but the practical side of things or else that he is so short-sighted that he can see no value in things beyond the present or the immediate future.

TABLE CXXVII. WHAT SUBJECT OR SUBJECTS THICH YOU HAVE
BEEN REQUIRED TO TAKE IN THE JUNIOR
HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU THINK SHOULD
NOT BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE?

Boys	
No response	24
Latin	alle.
Music	2
History	2
Manual training	2
Mathematies	8 2 2 2 2
Occupations	2
Girls	8,3
No response	24
Mathematics	10
Geography	20
Latin	5
Foods	A
History	A
Penmanship	72
Agricul ture	9
Clothing	5
Music	8 5 4 4 3 2
Physical Education	1
rulared states from	1

I am inclined to think that the student in this case would eliminate the subjects which he did not like, although essentially I agree with him that there is an over-emphasis of certain subjects. This is simply a personal opinion,

however, based in part on this study and observations during my own teaching experience.

TABLE CXXVIII. WHY DO YOU THINK THIS SUBJECT OR THESE SUBJECTS SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	11
Most people never use it	25
No value in life	5
Too easily forgotten	3
Unneccessary	3
Unimportant	2
Some people cannot learn it	2
Duplication of other teaching	2
Is of no use later	1
Has never helped me	1
Not everyone liked it	1
Can learn as much at home	1

The practical mind of the student still remains uppermost if the information in this table is to be believed for most of the reasons given are of a very practical nature.

TABLE CXXIX. WHAT SUBJECT OR SUBJECTS WHICH YOU HAVE
BEEN REQUIRED TO TAKE IN THE SENIOR
HICH SCHOOL DO YOU THINK SHOULD
NOT BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE?

Boys	
No response	18
Mathematics	7
Physics	4
History	3
English	3
Spanish	1
French	1
Physical Education	1
Physiology	1
Economics	1
Constitution	1
Botany	1
Girls	
No response	22

Mathematics	13
Physics	11
History	10
Constitution	2
Physical "ducation	2
Cooking	1
Letin	1
Bookkeeping	1

Naturally not all the subjects listed above are required of everyone although the individual student who gave the subject in answer to this question may have had to take it to satisfy some major or minor requirement. However, it does seem to be apparent that many students resent having to take mathematics and physics. It is my opinion that a technique of teaching these on the level of the high school student has not been entirely mastered and that if the subjects were taught in a more practial way we would have less objection to them. I am inclined to agree with the students that we do require some subjects which should not be required of everyone.

TABLE CXXX. WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THIS SUBJECT SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED OF EVERY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT?

No reason given	16
Many never need it	30
Many cannot master it	8
Good for only a few	4
Too much repetition of former	
subjects taken	3
Not important	3
Not interesting to many	1

The practical nature of the high school student seems

press it he does so. It may be that he is expressing a rebellion against the rigidity of requirement which seems to exist in our school system forcing many a round peg through a square hole. At the same time I am inclined to think that at present our elective system is very liberal. This may perhaps be a feeling growing out of a comparison with the very rigid requirements of my own high school experience. The expressions in this part of this study I believe should cause us to give more careful attention to the matter of requirements or perhaps the method of teaching required subjects.

TABLE CXXXI. THAT SUBJECT OR SUBJECTS NOT NOT REQUIRED OF EVERYONE IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU THINK SHOULD BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE?

Boys	
No response	30
Latin	3
Mathematics	
Penmanship	2
General Science	1
Civics	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1
Physical "ducation	3
History	1
Spelling	ī
Girls	-
No response	47
Penmenship	6
Letin	4
Lethemetics	2
General Science	2
English	2 2
Civics	1

From the indication of this table most of the students are quite content that there shall be no subjects added to the junior high school curriculum. A comparison of this with the tables showing the subjects which they would eliminate show on the whole a quite conservative attitude and one which seems to be not so different from the educational philosophy prevalent at the present time.

TABLE CXXXII. THY DID YOU THINK THIS SUBJECT SHOULD BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE?

No reason given	4	i
Necessary in everything		9
Aids in other subjects	rh.	6
Very practical		6
Good for poor writers		2
Too many poor spellers		1
Trains the mind		1
Heed it later		1

That everything should be practical approaches being an obsession with high school students if one is to give credence to the information supplied in these tables and I am at loss to explain it.

TABLE CXXXIII. WHAT SUBJECT OR SUBJECTS NOT NOW REQUIRED OF EVERYONE IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU THINK SHOULD BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE?

Boys		
No response		25
Cociology		4
Physics		4
Senior English		
Business Law	`	2
Public Speaking		1
Typing		1
Mathematics		1

Biology	1
Chemistry	1
Girls	
No response	40
Senior English	9
Home Living (For Girls)	5
Sociology	3
A foreign language	3
Mathematics	1
Tublic Speaking	1
Physiology	1

The result of this table is the first indication away from the purely practical subjects and over to the more informational and appreciation subjects.

## TABLE CXXXI. THY DO YOU THINK THIS SUBJECT SHOULD BE REQUIRED OF EVERYONE IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL?

No reason given	5
Everybody needs it	14
Practical	3
Beneficial	3
Need to know life's problems	3
Does you more good	2
Have to have it for college	2 1
Learn more then than any other time	1
Interests you in other countries	
and people	1
Makes you bale to converse with other	1
people better	
Must learn to reduce crime	1
All can never be learned	1
Good for mind	1
Will make better homes	1 1 1 1
Develops leadership	1
Country would be improved by it	1
Cannot get too much of it	1

Except for the fact that everybody needs that particular subject, in the estimation of the student, the reasons are so varied that one can scarcely discuss them with any degree of satisfaction.

TABLE CXXXV. DO YOU THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER NOT TO HAVE ANY RUNUIRED SUBJECTS IN THE SUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL AT ALL?

Yes	12
No	90
Wo response	3

The above table seems to indicate that the high school student, although not exactly satisfied with the curriculum as it exists, is by no means a radical on the subject to the extent that he wishes to do away with all required subjects.

TABLE CXXXVI. IF YOU THINK IT WOULD BE BUTTUR TO DO
AWAY WITH ALL REQUIRED SUBJECTS IN
THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL, WHAT
RUASON DO YOU GIVE FOR IT?

Planning life's work and should	
be allowed choice	3
Student knows what he wants better	
than does the school	2
Gives the student opportunity to	
specialize	2
Some people will never use re-	
guired subjects	2
Major and minor is best plan	1
Develops a sense of responsibility	1
Should be allowed to take vocational	
work in any field	1

Mile the number of people who believed that required subjects should be done away with entirely the reasons given by these few seem to be quite sound and logical, and probably would be for the ones who believed this. On the other hand it might not be, for these persons might possibly be the ones who needed to take certain required subjects more than anyone else.

TABLE CXXXVII. IF YOU DO THIK THAT THE SECULD BE NO RESIDENCE IN THE SECULD BE HIT COLD. IN DO YOU THINK SO?

No reason given	8
Students would nick ensy a bjects	44
Cert in subject re n ce ry	1.9
buld not tale the thin, needed	7
Student would not choose a well-	
balanced cour e	5
old be a great my ucclose	
courses taken	3
Students do not know that they want	
so ourly in life	3
Tould bring about a cor school	3

Apparently the high chool student has little faith in himself for he believes, in over 50 of the cases, that the student would pick the early courses, and I prosume that he is at least partly correct in his assumption. I think that on the whole the students have presented some vary valid objections to have all elective subjects in the senior high school.

TABLE CXXXVIII. DO YOU THICK IT IN BY BITT TO HAVE NO R QUIE D UBJ CTJ IN THE CHIEF D UBJ CTJ IN THE BULLE D UBJ CTS IN THE TOTAL THE GRADES?

Yes		60
No		35
No	respons	10

Here the opinion see s to be in favor of more liberality than is at present accorded although this may hardly be a fair state at eith r for in all probabilities if the re-

quired subjects were in the tenth and eleventh grades only there would be more of them at that time. If this were true the difference would be simply that the senior in high school would have more freedom. Be that as it may, most of the students favored such a scheme.

TABLE CXXXIX. IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE REQUIRED SUB-JECTS SHOULD BE IN THE TENTH AND ELEVINTH GRADES ONLY, WITH THE SENIOR YEAR ENTIRELY ELECTIVE, WHAT REASONS CAN YOU GIVE FOR IT?

No reason given	8
Knows by that time what he wants	37
Want to pick things for life work	
when a senior	4
Those who failed could take re-	
quired subjects in senior year	3
Help in earning living better	3 2
Senior year ould be easier	2
Would like school better if	
allowed choice	. 3
Senior needs more time for social	
affairs	1
Student does not know what he wants	
in earlier years	ets pla

The students believe that by the time they become seniors that they should be given credit for having judgment enough to pick their own subjects. I am inclined to agree with them, but I doubt that some of them considered that there would probably only be more required subjects in the earlier years.

TABLE CXXXX. IF YOU DO NOT THINK THAT THE REQUIRED SUBJECTS SHOULD COME IN THE TENTH AND ELEVENTH GRADES WITH NO REQUIRED SUBJECTS IN THE SENIOR YEAR AT ALL, WHY DO YOU THINK NOT?

No reason given	13
Tendency for students to pick	-
easy subjects this way	5
Certain subjects necessary	4
Students would not take what they need	3
Could not take all required subjects	_
in two years	2
Too monotonous in tenth and eleventh	_
grades	1
Ought to elect just a few	1
Should be no radical change in senior	
year	1
Senior should be no more privileged	
than the others	1
Would overburden the tenth and eleventh	-
years	1
Should be one required subject in	-
senior year	1
Satisfactory system now	1
Need a whole unified course	1

while some of these reasons might be directed against some other plans a few of them are valid reasons and show that the students are thinking straight on the matter.

TABLE CXXXXI. DO YOU THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER FOR

EVERY PERSON TO HAVE ALL SUBJECTS

REQUIRED, IF THEY WERE CUTLINED

FOR HIS PARTICULAR PUPPOSE

SUCH AS:PRE-TWGINERING,

PRE-JOURNALION, VOCA
TIONAL AGRICULTURE,

VOCATIONAL HOME
MAKING, ETC.?

Yes	46
No	49
No response	10

On this question there seems to be quite an even division of opinion and any conclusion to this must be made following the next two tables in which the reasons are given.

TABLE CXXXXII. IF YOU THINK A STECIFICALLY PLANNED COURSE FOR FACH RULATED GROUP OF OCCUPATIONS DULD BE BEST, AND THAT BACH STUDE T SHOULD BE REQUIRED SUCH A SPECIFIC COURSE, MY DO YOU BELIEVE SO?

Would give better training 15
Can get the things most needed then 9
Student should have his goal in mind 2
Would be best means to get people to take course best suited to their needs 2
Would save time 2
If vocation is chosen he could specialize 2

In almost every case the student recognized the need for specialization and gave reasons hich hinged upon it. It seems to me that on the whole the students are thinking straight on the matter of the right kind of training for themselves and recognize this as a possible means of securing it.

TABLE CXXXXIII. IF YOU DO NOT THINK SPECIALIZED COURSES AIMING AT PARTICULAR GROUPS OF OCCUPATIONS SHOULD BE OUTLINED AND THE STUDENT BE REQUIRED TO FOLLOW A PARTICULAR ONE, BY DO YOU TAKE THIS STAND?

No reason given Student might want to change course	10
Would cause over-specialization	11
Students do not know what they want to do so early	6
Needs of the individual too varied for	
such a plan	3

Let people take what they like
Should get general education first
Would get no pleasure out of such a
course
Too compulsory
Just do not like the plan

These students recognize that there is a danger in overspecialization and also that at such an early time in life a
very few boys and girls have decided definitely upon their
future vocations that they can begin training for them at
this time. The reasons given are valid enough and should
cause educators to hesitate a moment before advocating such
a plan.

TABLE CXXXXIV. ON WHAT SUBJECT IN THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL DO YOU SPEND THE MOST TIME, OR HAVE YOU SPENT THE MOST TIME IF TAKEN BEFORE THIS YEAR?

Boys	
English	12
Sociology	7
Mathematics	7
History	6
Chemistry	3
Latin	
Typing	1
Vocational Agriculture	1 1
Bookkeeping	î
Debate	
Shorthand	1
Physics	1
Girls	***
No response	5
History	12
Mathematics	10
Shorthand	
Latin	?
English	5
Journalism	4

Sociology	4
Constitution	3
Typing	2
Physics	. 3
Bookkeeping	1
Home Living	1
Art	1

There seems to be little relation between time spent on the subject and liking or disliking it, beyond English for boys, and history and mathematics for the girls. In these cases it seems to be a positive correlation between disliking the subject and length of time spent studying it. The difficulty of the subject may have a great deal of bearing on it.

TABLE CXXXXV. WHAT MARK DID YOU MAKE IN THE SUBJECT ON WHICH YOU SPENT THE MOST TIME?

E	16
G	24
M	53
P	4
F	2
No mark given	ĩ

From the appearance of this table there must be a positive relation between the time spent on the subject and the mark made in that subject for on the whole the marks were decidedly above the average although there were a few low marks.

TABLE CXXXXVI. HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU SPEND IN STUDY OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL EVERY DAY OF AN AVERAGE?

Boys Hours Minutes
3 None None

12	0	15
6	0	30
3	0	45
6	1	00
2	1	30
1	2	00
Girls	0ver	two hours
2 9 10 11 6 18 4	0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 0ver	15 30 45 00 30 00 00 two hours

great deal more time studying than the boys do. The result is that on the whole the girls make higher marks, than boys. The average amount of time spent in study outside of school was 65 minutes. For the girls this average reached almost 80 minutes but for the boys it dropped to 45 minutes. This may be explained in part by the fact that the boys have many more extra-curricular activities to occupy their time and that many of them worked outside of school as wage earners.

TABLE CXXXXVI. ARE YOU E PLOYED (FOR PAY) OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL?

Boys Yes No	25 17
Girls Yes	9
No	54

This table helps to explain why the girls spend so much more time in study than do the boys. The very fact that so

many of the boys are employed keeps them from putting so much time in study.

TABLE CXXXXVIII. IF YOU ARE ENTLOYED AT WHAT DO YOU WORK?

Boys	
Odd jobs	4
Clerk	4
Theatre	2
Orchestra	
Delivery work	3
Hotel work	1
Baker	1
Bus driver	22111111111111
Paper route	1
Hospital help	3
Rediator work	1
Washing dishes	1
Mechanic	1
Banking	1
Saleswork	1
Farm work	1
Girls	
Store clerk	3
Odd jobs	3
Stenographer	1
Waitress	1
Nursing	321111
Caring for children	1

This table seems to show that our boys are not too

proud to work at almost any occupation that is honest work

for many very menial tasks are represented here. It may be
that they can get no better positions, but even so the boys

seem quite willing to accept such positions rather than nothing. On the part of the girls so few occupations are represented that it is almost impossible to come to any conclusion. However, one of two things may account for the

small number of girls who are employed. They may not be willing to work at menial tasks or there may be fewer positions open to girls. Other factors which may enter into the situation, although I believe, they are of minor importance, such as lack of time, parents not wanting them to work, and not needing any money above their allowance.

TABLE CXXXXIX. IF YOU ARE EMPLOYED, HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU SPEND AT IT DAILY?

Boys 5 12 3 5			Hours 1 2 3 4 5
O Girls			8
1			1
0 2			3
1			5 8

From an analysis of this table we find that of the girls who work the average amount of time which each spends daily is 3 hours and 20 minutes, while the average time spent by each boys is 2 hours and 20 minutes, while the average time spent for girls is more. For purpose of comparison of the time spent out of school on study and work we shall average all the time spent on each among all the students of each sex. In the case of the girls it was 1 hour and 47 minutes, and in the case of the boys it was 2 hours and 6 minutes.

This throws a light upon the reason for the girls making higher marks than the boys, for if e ch puts in more time on an average in study and less outside altogether then if all other factors are equal the girls should make better marks. One other factor to be considered is the time spent on extra-curricular activities, a factor with which we will deal in Table CXC.

TABLE CE. WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT IDEA OF WHAT YOUR LIFE WORK WILL BE?

Boys	
Do not know	5
Engineer	5
Aviator	5
Doctor	3
Electrical Engineer	3
Printer	3
Architect	2
Athletic Coach	2
Business man	2
Mechanical Engineer	3
Farmer	5555552222227
Mail carrier	1
Verchant	1
Saleswork	1
Scientist	1
Attorney	ī
Banker	1
Musician	3
Civil Engineer	1
Girls	
Do not know	14
Teacher	16
Stenographer	8
Bookkeeper	
Nurse	3
Journalist	3
Interior decorator	5 3 5 2 2 2
Housewife	3
Clothing designer	2
	650

Writer
Dietician
Musician
Librarian
Librarian
Lawyer
Architectural Engineer
Aviatrix

The plans for the future seem to be well distributed over a large number of occupations. It may seem that certain of the occupations listed above are duplications but doubtless there are shades of distinction as great as would be found in any compilation of occupations.

TABLE CLI. DO YOU THINK HIGH SCHOOL WILL HELP YOU IN THE OCCUPATION WHICH YOU THINK HOW THAT YOU WILL ENTER?

Yes 80

I presume that most persons would think that the answer to this question would be obvious, but the fact that 6 students did not think their high school education would help them indicates that it was not. When this question was asked it was thought that the student who did not think high school would help him would be somewhat dissatisfied with it and have an anti-attitude toward it. A careful examination of the group interview of these students did not reveal this to be the case however.

TABLE CLII. DO YOUR PARINTS DISCUSS YOUR REPORT CARDS WITH YOU?\*

\*(a). When your marks are good? (b). When your marks are bad? (c). Regardless of your marks?

Boys	0
6	7
c Never	27
Sometimes	3
Girls	
. `h	0
e	53
Never	0
Sometimes	2

From the indications in this table it would seem that parents are less inclined to talk to boys about report cards than to girls about them. It may be that the boys are unwilling or reticent about the matter themselves. Some further facts concerning the reticence of boys will be found in Tables CLIII, CLXIX, and CLXX.

TABLE CLIII. HOW OFTEN DO YOUR PARENTS TALK OVER YOUR SCHOOL WORK WITH YOU?

Boys	
Never	8
Daily	7
Alternate days	4
Semi-weekly	10
Monthly	10
Once a year	3
Girls	
Never	9
Daily	20
Alternate days	6
Semi-weekly	14
Monthly	13

Once a year

1

This table seems to indicate a smaller frequency of times which the boys discuss school with their parents than we find for the girls. A rough mathematical calculation based on the above table indicates that on an average the boys discuss school ark with their parents 53 times during the year while the girls show a total of 54 times. Does this, I wonder, indicate that the parents are less interested in the educational selfare of their sons than that of their daughters or does it indicate a greater meticence on the part of the boys as was suggested in the discussion of Table CLII?

## TABLE CLIV. THE HAS AND THE POST MADE Y OF

No response Senior-Junior Freshmen Sneak Graduation End of schools Making good marks Football reason Getting a part in a play Parties Emporia contest Going on Girl Reserve trip to Estes Park First day of school Getting the best girl in school Going on b nd trip Receiving a letter A track meet Winning a poetry contest	45665444332 221111111111111111111111111111111
	11111

Girls' athletic season
Junior-Senior banquet
Work for teachers who appreciate it
Freshman initiation
Elected editor of school publication
Winning scholarship medals
Physical education exhibition
Music contest
Henging May baskets
Freshman Carnival
When my charcoal sketch was sent to
the exhibition gallery

The things which constituted the happiest events of the school career were many and varied in kind. However, a careful study of them indicates that school parties and related events were the most frequent, with school contests or winning some honor second. After these two general classes are eliminated there are very few things left.

TABLE CLV. WHAT HAS BEEN THE MOST UNHAPPY EVENT OF YOUR SCHOOL CAREER?

	400 100
No response	59
When I failed	9
Changing schools	5
Beginning of school	3
Absence due to illness	3
Being punished for Freshman Sneak	2
Worked for something and failed to get it	2
Disagreement with my superiors	3
Not to take music this year	1
Death of father	1 1 1
Could not go to contest	1
Called to office of the principal	1
Studying	1
Accused falsely of cheating	1
Attending rural school for two years	1
Oral reports before the class	1
One of the plays	1.
Taking county examinations	1
When forced to resign Girl Reserve	
presidency	1
Tr. and	

When my work was unsatisfactory	1
Being downtrodden by superiors	1
Being defeated for class office	1
Being turned down for rifle club	1
because of height	
Missing scholarship letter by a	
amall margin	1
Taking hard subjects	1
Having scarlet fever during December	1
Getting an incomplete	1
When my teacher died	1

The events given here were more widely distributed than in the preceding table and fewer large groups. The most predominant one here was the matter of low or failing marks. It may not or it may be significant that whereas 45 did not respond in Table CLIV while 59 did not respond in this table. At any rate, it may indicate that the students have more happy than unhappy events in their school careers that stand out in their memories.

TABLE CLVI. WHAT FEATURE OF THE GRADE SCHOOL DID YOU LIKE MOST?

No response	33
Recess	24
Programs	7
Holidays	4
Plays	3
Parties	3
Reading hour	3 3 3 2 2 2
Having only one teacher	3
Graduation	3
Lunch time	
Class picnics	3 2 2 2
Their plan of work	2
Playground	3
Music class	3
All classes in same room	1
Could do all our work in school time	1

Painting 1	L
Friday afternoon contests	
Afternoons	L
Studying 1	
Arithmetic matches	
Athletic contests	
Lining up to march in	
Large cafeteria 1	
Arrangement of the day	
Operetta 1	
May day program	

The liking of recess most of anything in the grade school by the student seems to me to be quite natural for up to this time he has been quite free and the rigidity of the class-room would make him yearn for recess period and appreciate it most. It should be a basis from which school people could build an educational program in the play period of the fact brought out in this table, if it is universally true.

TABLE CLVII. WHAT FEATURE OF THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL DID YOU LIKE MOST?

No response Assembly Elective subjects Study Halls Home room Physical education	52 15 5 4 4
When I graduated Short school day Glee club Building Intra-murals Music contest Swimming pool (Not in Manhattan)	2 2 2 2 2 1
Class play Penmanship Good principal Strict teachers Sixty-minute classes	1 1 1 1

Freshman Sheak

Music

Vocational Agriculture

Going to and from classes

1

The evidence given here indicates a possible means for some good educational work, namely through the assembly. It might possibly be, however, if the educational feature were stressed too much that assembly might not be so well liked. There seem to be no other outstanding choices of the students in the junior high school.

## TABLE CLVIII. WHAT FTATURE OF THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL DID YOU LIKE MOST?

Mo response Assembly Athletics Physical education Girl Reserves Junior-Senior banquet	41 12 8 5 5 4 3 3 3
Activities	3
Dramatics	3
School spirit	3
Games, plays, etc.	2
Building Having different teachers	2
Short school day	2
Elective subjects	2
Looking forward to commencement	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Noon hour	1
More like college	1
School as a whole	
Swimming pool (Not in Manhattan) Blue M	1
Vacation	1
Senior-Junior	î
The teachers	1 1 1 1 1
Activity period	1

In this instance the assembly period again stands out as the most important single item, with athletics running a fairly close second, and surpassing it if we consider physical education with athletics. The features are so varied that one can hardly come to any conclusion with respect to the high school student's attitude except that the things he likes most are not very closely connected with his subjects but rather the so-called side issues of education or the extra-curricular activities.

TABLE CLIX. WHAT FEATURE OF THE GRADE SCHOOL DID YOU LIKE LEAST?

No response	Con the
Too long hours	55
Same room all day	4
Arithmetic classes	3
Writing period	3
Cranky terchers	3
Txaminations	3
	3
Lining up and marching in	2
	2
Staying in after school Assembly	2
Failing	2
	3
Not enough to study The principal	1
	1
Reading before the class	1
Standing on the floor to learn a	
	1
All subjects being compulsory	1
Studying The seats	1
	1
Going to the office	1
Long school year	1.
Too far from home	1
Silent recesses	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
History period	
The old stairs	1

Spelling	1
Regroes could attend	1
Required to take cooking and sewing	1
Health period	1
Recesses not long enough	1
From last recess till dismissal	1
End of six weeks	1
Opening exercises	1
Too small a playground	1

If one is to accept the first item of no responses as an indication, again it would appear that the students liked grade school more than they disliked it for the item mentioned is larger in this table than it is in Table CLVI. The chief objections seem to group themselves around the monotonous and compulsory nature of the grade school.

TABLE CLX. WHAT FEATURE OF THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL DID YOU LIKE LEAST?

No response	64
Home room	10
Seventh hour	7
Latin being required	2
Long hours	
Assembly	2
Orchestra	2
Pupil conduct	1
Principal	
Being sent to the office	1 1 1 1 1
Lecture	1
Semester quizes	1
Too many hours	1
Chorus	1
No recreation	1
Bad grades	1
Having different teachers	1
Algebra	1
Puritanical teachers	1
The teachers	1
End of six weeks	1
	1
No good place to keep books	1

Because the minth grade was not considered senior high school Could not talk in halls

1

Two things stand out in this table worthy of note, one that in comparison with Table CLVII there were more cases where no response was given, which may indicate a greater like than dislike for the teachers of the junior high school; the other thing being the high rank of the home room in cases where any responses were given at all. This might warrant a careful study of the problem and the application of remedial measures or the abandonment of this feature.

## TABLE CLXI. WHAT FEATURES OF THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL DID YOU LIKE LEAST?

No response	55
Home room	20
Sixth hour	5
Long periods	
Physics being required	3
No activity period	7
Principal	1 1 1
Physical education	î
Manual training shop	ī
Study period during Girl Reserve	do
period	1
Being sent to the office	
The seats	11111111
Not enough social life	7
Class distinction	3
The whole thing	7
Lack of school spirit	3
Having to have majors and minors	7
Examinations	7
Drinking fountains	3
Too many people in it (Not Menhattan)	1
Not having a swimming pool	1
New grading system	1
Geometry	1

Activity period (Not Manhattan) Low grades 1

The number of no responses exceeds that of Table CLVIII, which is in line with what we have learned about the grade and junior high schools. In the case of the senior high school the antipathy toward the home room seems to have increased over that in the junior high school. From the results of this table and my personal observation in the school I am of the opinion that either the home room should be abandoned or some use should be made of it which the students will appreciate and find valuable. Certainly they do not have that feeling at present.

In the answers recorded in Tables CLIV to CLXI, inclusive, I found that the students had difficulty in discerning between events, features, and just things which
happened to be liked with school. This is apparent when
one considers the items carefully recorded in these tables.
I do not believe, however, that this materially affects the
results or conclusions drawn for in most cases there was no
conclusion of terms.

TABLE CLXII. TOWARD HOW MANY TEACHERS HAVE YOU FELT

\*Answers are recorded by the reasons for being angry at the teacher.

Marks 27
Teacher was ill-tempered 12
Being sarcastic 9

Accusing me unjustly Showing fevoritism Assigning unnecessary work Embarassing me before the class Giving too severe punishment Taking offense at little things Incompetent Unfairness Prejudiced	7 6 6 5 5 4 4 3
Making me attend sixth hour frequen ly Over bearing Unreasonable Inconsiderate Prying into personal affairs Overbearing	
Not providing a study period Hard-boiled Nagging Unwillingness to explain Sending me to office Her foolish sayings Her ignorance	1 1 1 1 1 1 1

In most cases the reasons for being angry at the teacher is due either to marks or to a case of ill-temper and related ills of either the student or teacher. Just where most of the blame can be placed, is difficult to determine, each probably trying to shift the blame to the other.

TABLE CLXIII. HOW MANY GRADE SCHOOL TEACHERS HAVE VISTED YOUR HO "E?

Number of visting	teachers	Number each	of	cases	of
None 1 2 3 4 5				18 18 11 15 15	3

A mathematical calculation of the number of times which the teacher visited the homes of the students while in the grade school was 311 or an average of slightly less than 3 times during the grade school life of the student. Based upon the total number of grade school teachers in Table XXXIIIb which was 539 we find that only slightly more than half of the grade school teachers visited the homes. This could indicate that if more visiting took place an improved relation might be made to exist between the home and the school.

TABLE CLXIV. WHAT GRADE OR GRADES DID THE TRACHERS TEACH. WHO VICITED YOUR HOME?

Grade of teacher Kindergarten	Times visited
1	51 45
3	48
5	47
6 <b>7</b>	50 10
8	8

The indications in the above table are that in no one grade did the teachers seem to prefer to visit the students, nor were the teachers of any one grade particularly outstanding in this respect. One could naturally expect the seventh and eighth grades to drop very low because most of the students attended the junior high school rather than the rural

school.

TABLE CLXV. HOW MANY JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS VISITED YOUR HOLE?

Number of visiting	teachers	Number of each	cases
None 1 2 3 4 5			76 14 5 6 3

From the above table it is very app rent that the junior high school teachers were not much given to visiting the home of the students. There are so many factors operating to keep the junior high school teacher from visiting the homes that it is to be expected that the number of visits would be low, but even so it is my opinion that the number should be greater than 60 visits which when set against the information in Table XLI showing a total of 849 junior high school teachers shows that only about one teacher in sixteen visits the homes of her students. This ratio is perhaps too unfavorable for it must be considered that the student has more than one junior high school teacher. Nevertheless there is opporunity for improvement. It might have been agood thing to have found out how many of the parents visited the junior high school but before this occurred to me it was too late to secure the information.

## TABLE CLXVI. WHAT SUBJECT OR SUBJECTS DID THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER TEACH WHO VISITED YOUR HOME?

English	14
Mathematics	11
History	6
Music	5
Foods	4
Physical education	3
Civies	2
Principal	2
Geography	3
Vocational agriculture	2
Clothing	2
Latin	1
Physiology	1
Manual training	1
Art	1
General Science	1
Penmanship	1
Spelling	1

From the above data it would appear that the English and mathematics teachers were the ones who visited most, but this must be qualified from the student probably had more of these than any other teachers. The number of teachers who visited from the junior high school was so small that the information in this table is too inadequate for any conclusion.

TABLE CLXVII. HOW MANY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS HAVE VISITED YOUR HOME?

Number of teachers visiting	Number of cases of each
One 1 2 3 4 5	73 14 7 8

In the senior high school we find the situation almost as same when it comes to the total number of teachers who visited homes for the number in the senior high school was 66 out of a total of 1044, which would indicate one teacher out of every sixteen visited the homes. This ratio being about the same of that in the junior high school it puts the teachers in both schools in a place where they can ill afford to boast of their records. The same situation obtains in the senior high school as in the junior high school with respect to the number of subjects so no accurate conclusion can be drawn.

TABLE CLXVIII. WHAT SUBJECT DID YOU STUDY UNDER THE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER WHO VISITED YOUR HOME?

Unglish History Mathematics Vocational Agriculture Physical education Foods Clothing Music Typing Agriculture Latin Constitution Bookkeeping Art Study Hall	19 10 4 4 3 3 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Home room Biology Specialogy Spanish Physics	1 1 1 1 1
Journalism	1

Principal Sponcors 14

While the range of subjects in the senior high school among the teachers who visited the homes is wide the preponderance of English teachers is significant in spite of the student usually taking two or more years of English and thus being under more English teachers. The preponderance in favor of history is not great enough to be significant in my estimation. Again we note a chance for improvement, but it is my experience that so long as we have the amount of other work to do visiting to any appreciable degree is impossible.

TABLE CLXIX. DO YOU EVER HAVE PERSONAL MATTERS YOU WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOUR TEACHERS, BUT REFRAIN FROM DOING SO BECAUSE YOU FEEL THERE IS A LICK OF SYMPATHY OR INTEREST ON THE PART OF THE TEACHER?

Yes

32 **7**3

While the number who feel free to talk personal matters over with the teachers is by far the greater, it is my opinion that 30% is too large a percentage of the student body that feels the teachers are unsympathetic. This percentage may not be exactly accurate for it may be that a part of this number never had personal matters they wished to discuss with anyone.

TABLE CLAX. DO YOU EVER DISCUSS PERSONAL MATTERS WITH TEACHERS BECAUSE YOU FILL THAT THEY TAKE AN INTERSOFT AND ARE SYMPATHETIC WITH YOUR VIEWPOINTS?

Boys Yes No	11 31
Girla Yes No	21.

In the preceding table there was no significant difference between the boys and the girls. In this table, however, the data seems to indicate that the boys are less inclined to discuss personal affairs with teachers and seek
their council, for only about one-third of them did so while
half of the girls did so. This somewhat substantiates the
data in Tables CLII and CLIII.

TABLE CLXXI. WHO WERE THE TEACHERS WITH WHOM YOU DIS-CUSSED YOUR PERSONAL MATTERS?

Teachers elsewhere		17
Socolofsky	*	13
Houghton		9
Knittle	•	. 6
Campbell	•	6
Baxter		5
Johnston		4
Schmitz		4
Chestnut		3
Larson		3
Willer		3
Darby		2
Emery		2
Gerber		. 2
Moyer		2
Swarm		2
Duncan		1
Little		1
Smith		1
		dillo

Dempsey	1
Hill	1
Zipse	1
Blanchard	1
Petty	1
Dunlap	1
Hoerner	1

From the indications in this table Miss Socolofsky was the one teacher who was the most outstanding in securing the confidence and faith of the students. The students distributed their choices out pretty well over the faculty, however, for very few of the teachers are not represented in this table. It seems to me from this table that the student body of the Manhattan Senior High School has a good deal of faith and appreciation of the teachers who associate with them.

TABLE CLXXII. WHAT SUBJECTS OR GRADES HAVE YOU EVER REPEATED BECAUSE OF FAILURE?

None	52
Mathematics	19
English	10
Grade one	8
Grade six	3
Grade two	3
History	3
Geography	2
Grade five	3
Sociology	1
Grade seven	1
Constitution	1
Typing	1

It would appear from this table that the student body answering this was of quite high rank intellectually with

such a small number of failed subjects or grades. I wonder, however, what justification there is for mathematics representing over one-third of the failures. Can there be any good reason or excuse for such a consition?

TABLE CLXXIII. ON AN AVERAGE, HOW MANY EVENINGS A WEEK DO YOU SPEND AT HOME?

Boys None 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Girls	2 3 8 11 11 5 1
None	0 2
1 2 3 4 5	13
<b>4</b> 5	12
6 7	3 3

It appears at a casual glance that the girls remain home more than do the boys. A mathematical calculation reveals the exact status is that the boys are home on an average of three evenings a week while the girls are at home on an average of four evenings a week. This may be accounted for in part by the fact that some boys work evenings.

TABLE CLXXIV. WHERE DO YOU USUALLY SPEND THE EVE INGS YOU ARE ALAY FROM HOME?

Boys
Shows
Athletic events

Other homes Working		7
Parties		5
Girls		
Shows		35
Other homes		29
Parties		13
Dances		9
Church		9
Car riding		99533222111
League		3
School functions		3
Library		2
Studying with someone		2
Clubs		2
Grandfather		1
Basketball games Dates		1
Boys continued		
Hi-y		2
Loafing		2
Club		3
Church	1	2
Riding		2
Dances		2
Library		1
Lodge		1
Masonic Temple		1
De Molay		1
League		222221111111111
Hunting		1
Rowing		1

This table would indicate that the girls spent their time in a greater variety of places for a greater total number of places were indicated. A longer list was given by the boys but the total number of places was smaller in proportion to their total numbers than was the list for the girls. It seems also to indicate that the shows profit handsomely from our high school students if the whole school follow the average set by this group. It is my opinion from

blem of leisure time disposal more than has been done in the past. It seems to me that we could hardly justify our students spending so much time at commercial amusements.

TABLE CLXXV. WHAT PERSON WITH WHOM YOU ARE IN CLOSE CONTACT DO YOU THINK IS MOST INTEREST-ED IN YOUR WELFARE AND SUCCESS?

No response	23 35
Mother	25
Both parents Father	A A
	A
Employer Teacher	2
Brother	2
My girl	2
Friend	3
	ĭ
	ī
	î
	ī
Sister Grandfather Grandmother Minister Cousin	2 1 1 1

It appears that mother gets most of the credit for being interested in the welfare of her children. This could cause father to take notice, and perhaps take a few minutes from golf or business to become acquainted and make friends with his children. This data may be due in part to the fact that the father may be less demonstrative of his interest in his children, but whatever it is this needs some thought on the part of the fathers. The remainder of the list is quite widely distributed but it seems to me that the fact two boys thought their girls were most interested in their welfare and success is significant, in that it

marks a distinct break away from the family. There may perhaps be no significance but such is my opinion on the matter.

TABLE CLXXVI. WHAT PERSON WITH WHOM YOU ARE IN CLOSE CONTACT DO YOU THINK IS LEAST INTEREST-ED IN YOUR WELFARE AND SUCCESS?

lio response Teachers	74
Friend	5
Brother	4
Mother	3
Father	3
Parents	1
Sister	£. 7
Uncle Ex-friend	7
My girl	1

That the teachers should stand at the head of this list might have been expected but the fact that they represented almost half the total number of persons the student thought least interested in him is a rather severe indictment and if this is generally true it behooves the teachers to think less of efficiency in teaching subject matter and more of efficiency in being interested in boys and girls. It is my opinion also that our school executives, not in Manhattan only, should be less insistent on fine showings in a scholastic way and should look for improved personal interest and development in both teachers and students.

TABLE CLXXVII. HOW MUCH SPENDING MONEY DO YOU HAVE EACH WEEK? (THAT SPENT FOR NECESSITIED SUCH AS CLOTHING, BOOKS, ETC. NOT INCLUDED).

Boys No response

No allowance 25 cents 50 cents 75 cents \$1.00 \$1.50 \$2.00	11 1 4 3 7 4 3 1
More than \$5.00	4.
No stated amount	4
Girls	
No response No allowance 25 cents 50 cents 75 cents	7 12 3 10 5
\$1.00 \$1.50 \$2.00 \$3.00 More than \$5.00	9 4 3 1 1 8

Expressed in terms of averages the boys have an allowance of \$1.31 per week, and the girls \$1.03 per week. This includes only those for which approximately correct data was available and does not take into consideration the items of the table marked no response, no allowance, or no stated amount. This would seem unfair to the girls at a first glance but it may be that it is actually favorable to the girls for out of the allowance of the boys comes the item of dates which not only is not found in girl's items but which helps to reduce the amount of money the girls spend. I am quitewilling to state that no boy in the high school would find it possible to have even one date per week on the

difference in his allowance and that of the girls. To offset this in part is the item of money earned by the boys, an item which, unfortunately for this comparison, was omitted.

TABLE CLXXVIII. WOULD YOU H VE CONTINUED TO ATTEND SCHOOL IF YOUR PARENTS HAD PERMITTED YOU TO QUIT WHEN YOU WANTED TO?

Yes 100 5

The answer to this question came very nearly being unanimous and seems to indicate that the student realizes the value of school.

TABLE LXXXIX. IF YOU WOULD NOT HAVE QUIT SCHOOL HAD YOUR PARENTS PERMITTED IT, WHY WOULD YOU HAVE CONTINUED?

No reason given I realize its necessity I want an education For my own personal welfare and success	8 41 29 7
I like school I want to go to college Easier to go to school than to	7 2
work Do not want to be a common	1
laborer all my life Too young to go to work Have always had education drilled	1
Would never finish if I once quit Too many advantages to be missed	1
without it	1

Most of the students see the practical value of the education and I am inclined to believe that we have drilled the idea of securing an education into our students with a sufficient degree of success. In a few cases I fear it has been too thorough for the one who said it was easier to go to school than to work needs a good jolt to wake him up to the real values of education. However, I do believe most of the students are thinking straight on the matter of education Their desire for the best education has possibly been sharpened by living in a college town.

TABLE CLXXX. IF YOU WOULD NOT HAVE CONTINUED WITH YOUR SCHOOL WORK IF YOUR PARENTS HAD PER ITTED YOU TO QUIT, WHY WOULD YOU HAVE QUIT?

No reason	1
I am not interested in school	1
I wanted to earn money	1
Will not do me any good in my work	1
Did not like school	1

The reasons expressed by these students was quite varied and I believe are scarcely significant without a personal investigation in each case, which was not possible because of the anonymity of the interviews.

TABLE CLXXXI. ON AN AVURAGE, HOW MANY HOURS SLEEP DO YOU GET EVERY NIGHT?

Hours	Number of students
6	5
7	24
8	49
9	24
10	4

For a small group it would have been almost impossible to have secured a more perfect distribution curve. The peak

is quite high but there is only one more number below the middle group than there is above it. From this we cannot but infer that the average amount of sleep for this group of high school students is correct although there are a few who are getting too much and a few who are getting too little perhaps.

TABLE CLXXXII. IN COLUMN (A) PLACE THE ACTIVITIES IN WHICH YOU HAVE PARTICIPATED IN THE ORDER IN WHICH YOU ENJOY THEM ROST AND IN COLUMN (B) LIST THEM IN THE ORDER OF BEREFIT YOU HAVE DERIVED.

Part A. Total number of points and number of students ranking each activity in order of enjoyment.

Activities	Points	Number of stu-
Girls Reserve	278	43
Intra-mural athletics	196	35
Girls' Athletic Association	125	22
Inter-scholastic Athlitics	118	18
Hi-y	86	15
Operettas	80	14
Dramatics	79	13
School Council	38	9
Class offices	32	5
Blue M staff	17	4
Stock judging	13	2 2
Mentor staff	9	2
Debate	7	1
Oratory	5	1
Other activities	71	14

Part B. Total number of points and number of students ranking each activity in order of good derived.

Activities	Points	Number of stu- dents
Girls Reserve Intra-mural athletics	284	44 33
Girls' Athletic Association	110	21

Inter-Scholastic	Athletics	101	18
Ні-у		92	15
Dramatics		71	14
Operettas		70	14
School Council		34	7
Blue M staff		26	5
Class offices		23	5
Mentor staff		14	2
Stock judging		14	2
Debate		7	1
Oratory.		5	7
All others		73	15

### Part C. Rating of each activity on an enjoyment basis.

Debate	7.00
Inter School Athletics	6.55
Girls Reserve	6.46
Dramatics	6.08
Stock judging	6.00
Ni-y	5.73
Operettas	5.71
Girls' Athletic Association	5.68
Intra-Mural Athletics	5.60
Oratory	5.00
Mentor staff	4.50
Class offices	4.40
Blue M staff	4.25
School Council	4.22
All others	5.07

# Part D. Rating of activities on a basis of good derived.

Class offices All others 4.30

table here was the fact that not all the students rated the same number of activities under Column A and Column B. Part A summarizes the total number of points based on giving a first place seven points, a second place six, and so on down to the seventh place which was as far as any of the students had rated the activities. Naturally this would not give an accurate rating of the activities for many more students participated in some than in others. For this reason the total number of points were divided by the total number of students participating. This is summarized in Part C and shows a true ranking on the basis of enjoyment derived so far as these students are concerned.

Similarly Part D shows the total points and total number of students participating on the basis of good derived from that particular activity. Part D shows a rating of these activities weighted in the same way as was done in Part C. Some of the ratings are not very significant because of so few people participating in each. This is true in the cases of stock-judging, Mentor staff, debate, and oratory. It seems to me to be rather significant that the Girl Reserves held the same rating although slightly different ranking in both Parts C and D. It seems to show that

the girls could derive a great deal of good from an activity and at the same time enjoy themselves. The Hi-Y shows a better rating under good drived than under enjoyment. This may be due to the high rating in Table C for interscholastic athletics which placed first in enjoyment with so many of the Hi-Y boys.

Generally speaking this could well furnish a basis for working out an activity-point system for a high school if the time element were also taken into consideration. That was beyond the scope of this study however. This table seem to indicate things are not so greatly different from what my opinion has been from observation and experience within the school.

TABLE CLXXXIII. DO YOU THINK THERE SHOULD BE A LI IT TO THE NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES IN WHICH A PERSON IS PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE?

Yes 25 No 80

From this data and my observations of the high school student I am of the opinion he regards rather jealously the right to be in as manyactivities as he sees fit. My observations are that he feels he is more capable of determing his ability to participate than any fixed rule made by the school could be. He feels that the student with much ability should be permitted to use it and not be hindered by rules or limitations. On the other hand, a few have expressed

themselves as believing that we have some talent which might be developed if opportunity were given the student who connot now participate because of the monopolisation of the activities by a gifted few.

TABLE CLEXXIV. IT YOU THINK THURE SHOULD BE A LIMIT
TO THE NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES IN WHICH
A PURSON SHOULD PARTICIPATE, WHAT DO
YOU THINK THAT LIMIT SHOULD BE?

Number of activities	Number of students
2	4
2 3	8
4 5	3
5	2
Be guided by time	
available	2
Develop a point system	
for a guide	1
Fust be able to keep up	
marks	1
No suggestion	4

The above numbers of aggested activities and the other suggestions given are of little value inasmuch as there are so few students represented. Another factor which makes void the results given here is the time element wich is not constant or equal in the different activities.

TABLE CLXXXV. DO YOU THINK TVTRYONE SHOULD BE COM-PELLED TO PARTICIPATE IN SOME EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITY?

No response	3
Yes	24
No	78

In educational circles, from what I learned in a course 7 dealing with extra-curricular activities, it is a principle that extra-curricular activities should not be compulsory. This table would indicate that most of the students agreed, although not a small number of them believed that there was reason for requiring some participation in activities. The tables which immediately follow this give the students reasons on both sides of the question.

TABLE CLXXXVI. IF YOU THINK EVERYONE SHOULD BE COM-PELLED TO PARTICIPATE IN EXTRA-CUR-RICULAR ACTIVITIES, WHY DO YOU THINK SO?

No reason given	5
Should get more than book	
knowledge from education	6
A great help to school life	3
Student needs a hobby	3
Extra-curricular activities	are
a part of education	A.
Gets people acquainted	1
	, 1
Student needs broad experien	ICe T
Student needs personality	
development	1
Teaches co-operation	1
Place to spend extra time	7
May become more interested i	in
school	7
PCHOOT	1

<sup>7.</sup> McKown, Harry C.; Extra-Curricular Activities. 1927. Pages 1-12. The Macmillan Company.

While the reasons given are quite valid and show that the student appreciates the value of extra-curricular activities I am of the opinion that we are not justified in requiring participation in extra-curricular activities.

TABLE CLAXXVII. IF YOU THINK STUDENTS SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE IN EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES, WHY DO YOU THINK NOT?

No reason given	8
Some do not have time	25
May not be interested	19
Is student's own business	11
Some may not be capable	7
May have to work outside of school	3
Would destroy interest in activities	2
Interfere with studies	1
People come to school to learn	1
Should not be compelled but requested	1

In a school where so many of the students work outside of school hours the objections based on the time element are very sound ones. Also the objection that the student is not interested would be valid where there were not a multitude of activities but in most schools, although not very true of the Menhattan Senior High School, the range of activities would be wide enough to forestall such an objection. On the whole the objections are valid.

TABLE CLXXXVIII. DO YOU THINK EXTRA-JURRICULAR ACTIVITIES TAKE TOO MICH TIME?

No response	6
Yes	25
No	74

Certainly the students do not believe that activities take too much time, although the 25% who believed so make the problem one worthy to be studied, a problem again beyond the scope of this study.

TABLE CLXXXIX. IF YOU THINK EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES TAKE TOO UCH TIME, HOW DO YOU THINK IT COULD BE REMEDIED?

No response	8
Have a special regular activity	0
period	O
Give credit for them	1
Limit them	1
Cut some of them out	1
Reducing the amount of study	1
I see no remedy	1
Dividing the work more evenly	1
Devise a point system	1
Let those who are able to do it	1
Get those in who are not now	1

of the students who suggested a remedy the preponderence was for a regular activity period. I am inclined to agree that this offers the best solution to the problem if we prevent the activities taking other time which rightfully belongs to the home, church, and other activities not allied to the school.

TABLE CKC. ON AN AVERAGE, HOW MUCH TIME A WEEK DO YOU SPEND ON EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES?

Hours	Number of	students
No response None One Two Three		32 14 15 5 9

Four S
Five 10
Six 2
Seven 1
Nine 1
Ten 3
Twelve 2
Fifteen 2
Twenty 1

While the students may not think too much time is being spent on extra-curricular activities I am inclined to believe that when a student puts in more than six or eight hours a week, as nine of them did, too much time is being taken from other things. The activities may be valuable but I doubt that we could justify that much time. Of the students who spent any time at all on activities the average time per week was 43 hours, and when distributed over the whole group the time was 2} per student. This approaches a more reasonable amount of time and I think that the average for those participating at all is as high as should be permissible. It is beyond the realm of this atudy to make any rules so that is made merely by way of suggestion based on my own observation and the data in this study. I happen to know that the boy who spent twenty hours a week on activities was a very good student, but because of this outside demand on his time made only slightly above average marks. That is not to say, however, that he did not derive a great deal of value from the activities.

TABLE CXCI. DO YOU BELIEVE DANCING TO BE WRONG?

Yes

103

The answer to this question comes the most nearly being unanimous of any asked in this interview. The reason for its being asked is the much debated question of dances sponsored by school organizations. Other questions follow which develop this point further.

TABLE CXCII. DO YOUR PARENTS OPPOSE DANCING?

Yes

19

To this we find less unanimity and may help to explain why the opposition to school dances, although the number opposed is a very small minority.

TABLE CXCIII. DO YOU DANCE?

Yes

77

This brings us to a problem in the matter of the school dance, for if we have them we must make some provision for this 25% who do not dance. It may be that if we had school dances that these students would learn, but probably those who were children of parents who opposed it would either not larn or would cause a riftiin the family by doing so, a situation to be avoided.

TABLE CXCIV. IF YOU DO NOT DANCE, WOULD YOU LIKE TO?

Yes

24

No

It seems to me to be evident from this table that if we had school dances we could be sure of almost unanimous attendance. That is assuming of course there were no other objections to school dances, a matter I doubt.

TABLE CXCV. IF YOU WOULD NOT LIKE TO DANCE, WHY NOT?

Parents object 2
Dance hall no place for young people 2

The objections to learning are sincere but the question might be raised, is there any more danger in the dance hall than there is in the modern movie, talkie, automobile, or some magazines?

TABLE CXCVI. DO YOU THINK WE SHOULD HAVE SCHOOL DANCES OR DANCES SPONSORED BY SCHOOL ORGANIZA-TIONS SUCH AS CLASSES OR CLUBS?

No response 6
Yes 77
No 22

This reveals that exactly the same number dance who believe we should have school dances, as shown by comparison
with data in Table CXCIII. I have not attempted to determine
the degree of correlation here but doubtless it is high. It
seems to show that the students would like to have the school
functions assume the form of dances occasionally, and it is
my experience with school organizations that the members will
dance if the least opportunity affords itself. If this is
done, some provision should be made for the students who do
not dance.

## TABLE CXCVII. WHY DO YOU TAKE THIS STAND ON THE MATTER OF SCHOOL DANCES?

For school dances	
No reason given	13
Would be sponsored better	28
Students would become better	
acquainted	11
Create more interest in school	6
Will dance anyway, why not at	
school	5
Not morally wrong	4 3
Majority like to dance	3
Would keep students from public	
dances	2
Gives teachers and students better	
opportunity to become acquainted	2
Would be less running wild	1
Good entertainment	1
Creates a better feling	1

If we could be sure that the students were right who said school dances would keep the students away from public dances, I presume most school officials would be glad to help sponsor dances. However, many fear that it would but lead to other dances rather than displacing other dances, a fact which is borne,

Against school dances	
No response	5
No relation to school	5
Would simply be adding another dance	4
Many students would be left out	3
Let them dance elsewhere	2
Would not be good for school	2
School officials oppose it	1
	100

out by the student opinion in the list of reasons against the school dances. It might possibly be worthwhile for an experiment to be made with school dances because so large a

majority of the students desire them.

TABLE CXCVIII. UNDERSCORE THE WORD WHICH REPRESENTS YOUR ESTIMATE OF THIS HIGH SCHOOL.

Excellent, Good, Average, Poor, Very poor.

No rating	5
Excellent	10
Good	53
Average	36
Poor	0
Very poor	1

It would appear from this data that most of the students believe we have a very good school here for the rating was uniformly high in examination of the interview of the student who rated it very poor showed that his attitude all through his answers was rather antagonistic toward the educational system. It may be added that he had not always attended the Manhattan schools.

TABLE CXCIX. DO YOU KNOW OF ANY HIGH SCHOOL WITH QUALITIES MORE DESIRABLE THAN THOSE OF OUR SCHOOL?

No response	33
Yes	38
No	-34

It seems evident that opinion is quite evenly divided.

I have no way of knowing if the students who did not respond had always attended high school in the Manhattan High School, a fact which might have been of some aid in making conclusions.

TABLE CC. IF YOU DO KNOW OF SUCH A SCHOOL WHAT ARE THE MORE DESIRABLE QUALITIES?

Shorter class periods	7
Regular activity period	77555888888414141411141414141
School dances	7
Better grading system	5
Better school spirit	5
More activities	3
Better support for activities	3
Better assembly programs	2
Improved music system	2
More beautiful grounds	3
Swimming pool	2
More student government	S
Better athletics	1
No study hall	1
More courtesy	1
More commercial equipment	1
Better principal	1
More vocational courses	1
More teachers	1
Better ventilation	1
Better dramatics equipment	1
Classes divided into A and B groups	1
More electives	1
No fence around yard	1
No home room	1
School handbook	1
More study hall time	1
A school jazz orchestra	1
More attention given to student opinion	3
Tennis courts	1 1 1 1 1
Two boys' gymnasiums	7
Night meetings of organizations	7
Cadet corps	1
ongo outpo	allo

Most of the suggestions are of the kind that would make for a better school, if adopted, and, in fact, a few of them are being adopted at the present time. Some of the suggestions made could hardly be accepted as improvements, and a few were of a nature that makes their adoption either impossible or unwise. It is my opinion from close study of
these suggestions that most of the students recognize good
qualities in a school when they appear.

TABLE CCI. LIST OTHER SPECIFIC CHANGES YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE MADE IN OUR HIGH SCHOOL, SUCH AS HOURS, STUDY HALL, ACTIVITY PERIOD, ETC.

Regular activity period	25
Shorter class periods	13
Optional study hall	12
Abolish home room	7
Evening or after school meetings	*
of Girl Reserves and Hi-Y	A
	4
Begin school at eight o'clock	4
More study halls	3 2 2 2 1
School dances	3
More school spirit	3
Change principals	2
No study period during class period	2
More power for school council	1
More co-operation between parents,	
faculty, and students	1
New fountains	1
Be sure teachers are those who would	-
be liked	3
Teach more music	
Teach German	3
Better equipment	7
Cut out activities	3
Open gymasium during nonn hour	7
Always dismiss at 3:20	1
	1
Girls' inter-scholastic athletics More clubs	1
	1
Have better assemblies	1
Have better seats	1
Open doors earlier	1
More time for extra-curricular	<b>b</b>
activities	
More time for studying in class	1
No activity period	1 1 1
An activity point system	1

animity of opinion as to what would be improvements for a good many of the suggestions are exact opposites, and others are somewhat contrary to one another. It appears that a regular activity period is the thing most desired, although the fact that it was suggested in the statement of the question might invalidate the results. This might also hold true for the class periods and the study hall.

TABLE CCII. ACCORDING TO YOUR PRESENT IDEAS AND PLANS
ARE YOU GOING TO FOLLOW THE OCCUPATION OF
YOUR FATHER?

(Answered by boys only).

No response	1
Yes	2
No	39

It appears that the occupation of the father makes little appeal to the boys. This may be due to a number of factors and a study of these lies outside the province of this study.

TABLE CCIII. WHY HAVE YOU COME TO THIS CONCLUSION WITH RESPECT TO YOUR OCCUPATION?

#### (Answered by boys only).

Against	
No reason given	5
Do not like it	19
Not enough money in it	4
Like other work better	4
No opportunity for advancement	2
I would not succeed at it	2
Too much competition	1

It is too hard Has done nothing	for	me	1
For I like it			3

The liking or disliking the occupation seems to be the determining factor in most cases. To find the underlying causes of this like or dislike would be a fertile field for study.

TABLE CCIV. WHAT AS THE OCCUPATION OF YOUR MOTHER, IF EMPLOYED. BEFORE HER MARRIAGE?

(Answered only by boys).

Not employed	2	4
Housekeeper		8
Teacher		5
Clerk		3
Cook		1
Waitress		1

This table reveals a very small number of the mothers being employed as compared with what one would probably find in a study of the girls of a comparable age at the present time.

TABLE CCV. DOTS YOUR MOTHER STILL FOLLOW THE OCCUPATION AT WHICH SHE AS E LOYED BEFORE HER MARRIAGE?

(Answered by only boys).

Yes	3
No	15

It is apparent that a very small number of the mothers are now employed at former occupations.

TABLE CGVI. DOES YOUR MOTHER FOLLOW ANY OTHER OCCUPA-TION NO ASIDE FROM BEING A HOUSEVIFE AND MOTHER?

(Answered only by boys).

Yes

6 36

This reveals the true number employed and shows that three have taken up new kinds of occupations.

TABLE CCVII. WHAT OCCUPATION DOES YOUR MOTHER NOW FOLLOW?

(Answered only by boys).

Poultrywoman Pastry cook Clerk Housekeeper Tailor 21111

It seems from the new occupations which have been taken up that the mother is attempting to take up such occupations as would allow her to remain in the home.

TABLE COVIII. WO'LD YOU MARRY A MAN FOLLOWING THE OCCUPATION OF YOUR FATHER?

(Answered only by girls).

No response Undecided Yes

34

32

It would appear from this table that the girls are not so adverse to the occupation of the father as are the boys. The answer might have been different had it implied their following the occupation but such a question would have been

futile.

TABLE CCIX. WHY HAVE YOU COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT YOU WOULD OR WOULD NOT MARRY A MAN FOLLOW-ING THE OCCUPATION OF YOUR FATHER?

#### (Answered only by girls).

For	
No reason given	4
Occupation makes no difference	10
It is honest work and if he likes	
it, all right	6
I like it	5
If he is a good worker there is	
advancement	2
Not hard, short hours, good pay	2
Good salary	1
Is a good occupation	1
Better do this than nothing at all	1
Against	-
No reason given	5
I do not like it	8
Wages are to low	6
It is too hard	2
Too hard to be honest and	49
Make a living No demand for it now	1
Am interested in a person whose	Ţ
occupation is different	9
o do what arous ro driver cillo	1

It appears that the girls are willing to take a chance with the man of their choice regardless of the occupation he follows if the first part of the table is true. On the other hand the second part of the table leads us to believe that the girl wants to like the occupation as well. The remunerative element seems to be quite important in both cases.

## TABLE CCX. WHAT AS THE OCCUPATION OF YOUR MOTHER, IF ELILOYED, BYFORE HER MARRIAGE?

#### (Answered only by girls).

Not employed Teacher Housekeeper Stenographer Clerk Milliner Dressmaker Bookkeeper Cook Office girl Musician Journalist		32 13 3 3 3 2 2 1 1
Designer	4	1

A great many more of the mothers of the girls were employed before marriage than was the case of the mothers of the boys. Just why this should have been the case I do not know. The only possible explanation is the personal opinion that the girls may have been more familiar with the occupation of the mothers than were the boys.

TABLE CCXI. DO YOU THINK YOU WILL FOLLOW THE OCCUPA-

(Answered only by girls).

Yes	9
No	19
Not definite	20

Of the girls who were daughters of woman who had been employed prior to marriage there seemed to be a feeling that they preferred some other occupation. This may be accounted for perhaps by the many more occupations open to women now

than in the past.

TABLE CCXII. WHY HAVE YOU COME TO THIS CONCLUSION WITH RESPECT TO THE OCCUPATION YOU MAY FOLLOW?

(Answered only by girls).

For	
No reason given	3
Interesting and paying	2
It is desirable	1
Would enjoy it	1
Is a good job	1
I like it	1
Against	
No reason given	5
Do not like it	8
Rather do something else	5
Follow my own desires	1

From the above data the liking and desirability of the occupation is the determining factor, as a person might expect although one might have expected remuneration to play a more important role.

TABLE COXII. DOES YOUR MOTHER STILL FOLLOW THE OCCUPA-TION AT WHICH SHE WAS EMPLOYED PRIOR TO HER MARRIAGE?

(Answered only by girls).

Yes 3 No 28

This seems to indicate that most of the mothers are no longer employed at former occupations and if employed at all they have probably taken up new occupations.

# TABLE COXIV. DOES YOUR MOTHER FOLLOW ANY OTHER OCCUPATION NOW ASIDE FROM BEING A HOUSEWIFE AND MOTHER?

(Answered only by girls).

Yes

57

This data is closely comparable to that in Table CCVI, the only difference being that proportionately there seems to be a smaller number of mothers of girls who are still employed. The difference hardly seems significant for the small group under consideration. If it held true for a very large group then it might be important and bear investigation

TABLE CONV. IF YOUR MOTHER IS NOW EMPLOYED, AT WHAT OCCUPATION IS IT?

(Answered only by girls).

Real estate	i
Runs rooming house	1
Manages restaurant	1
Cook	1
Office work	1
Matron of Community House	1

No significance can be attached to this data although it is interesting to know what occupations are now being followed by the mothers who are atill employed.

TABLE COXVI

REGORD OF THE EXPRESSIONS OF LIKES AND DISLIKES FOR THE TEACHERS OF THE MANHATTAN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL.

Reasons for liking					0		27		-		- 100			ach :			7			4	K	k	L	1	м	m	N	n	0	All	others
Thorough knowledge							5	12	E 14	0	11	10	18	8			11		9	5	7	6	-	31	7	7	6	0	1		80
of the subject Seems to like	25				18									9					7	6	5	4		32	4	5	4	1	3		72
young people Insists that stu-		-		-	29		5	4	34	6	10		16	6			10			6	4	4		26	6	6	3	0	0		57
Interested in your			28			-				4	9	5	-	8		27	-	33	-	5	4	4		25	3	2	2	2	1		53
activities Teaches material	22		13			7	3	6	24	2	9	2		5	-	-	10			A	2	3	2	21	2	1	3	1	0		28
outside of text Teaches only text-	13	3	20	30	9	8	3	13	8	2	4	3	3	5	12			15	12	*		7	7	5	2	1	1	0	6		8
book material	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	5	9	0	0	1	8	0	3	3	0	4	0	0	0	1	8	27	3	6	4	2	3		79
Ability	15	6	31	31	30	14	3	10	23	6	13	6	15	7	17	38	12	19	8	5	5	4					7		1		49
Hard worker	13	5	13	17	10	13	1	4	21	3	10	4	10	7	13	24	3	10	11	3	4	3	4	24	3	1	2	0			
Good-natured	29	4	28	17	21	28	8	13	30	5	20	13	30	6	30	46	14	30	15	6	7	8	10	30	3	6	4	1	11		79
Fonest	21	3	23	17	15	13	3	13	23	3	11	3	14	6	13	31	7	11	10	5	3	4	6	23	7	4	3	1	1		48
Enthusisatio	13	6	12	16	16	7	2	4	28	- 1	11	4	7	4	11	26	4	9	5	4	2	3	3	20	2	1	2	1	2		48
Impartial	13	2	17	8	3	7	3	8	9	1	5	2	5	8	9	26	5	6	9	5	2	3	4	<b>k</b> 6	1	4	5	0	0		44
Modest	13	2	13	5	5	6	0	9	13	3	5	1	6	5	8	12	4	5	10	4	2	0	5	16	3	3	3	0	0		35
Sincere	19	3	16	9	9	5	2	11	14	1	4	7	7	7	12	24	5	6	12	8	3	3	5	20	4	3	5	1	0		52
Sympathetic and Bylpful	19	6	25	5	8	5	4	11	21	2	3	3	13	4	12	31	4	7	7	3	3	2	3	22	5	4	2	1	1		50
Easy	3	1	7	1	7	5	4	3	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	3	0	2	3	3	0	1	2	3	2	1	0	0	2		25
Hard-boiled	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	4	4	0	3	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1		10
Humorous	10	2	9	11	13	9	3	0	20	0	3	3	4	4	7	20	4	15	1	2	5	5	3	12	0	1	2	1	2		38
Other reasons	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		0

<sup>\*</sup>Each letter represents a teacher whose name is not given.

TABLE CCXVID
RECORD OF THE EXPRESSIONS OF DISLIKES FOR THE TEACHERS OF THE MANHATTAN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL\*.

Reasons for dislikin	g											Ţ	eacl	200				-													
Incompetent	A	a	B	р	0	C	D	d	E	е	F	1		g		h	I	i	J	j	K	k	L	1	M	m	N	n	0	All	others
-woombe sett t	0	0	1	1	3	6	0	3	0	0	6	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	6	0	1	0	7	0	1	0	0	1	6		10
Ill-tempered	0	0	8	3	1	1	0	3	0	0	8	0	10	3	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	4	2	4	1	1	4	4		13
Lazy	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	1	0	0	3	1	7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10		10
Crabby(Grouchy)	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	3	0	0	5	1	10	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	7	0	3	1	0	2	2	2	6		10
Dull(Gloomy)	0	1	2	5	0	1	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	7	0	2	1	3		10
Deceitful	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	1	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1		11
Conceited	0	1	1	8	0	4	1	2	2	0	3	1	4	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	5		13
Sarcastio	0	1	1	8	3	2	0	3	1	0	8	0	10	1	0	2	1	3	1	2	0	0	6	0	8	2	2	1	4		14
Unsympathetic	0	0	2	5	0	4	1	2	1	0	7	0	8	0	1	2	0	2	0	00	0	0	3	1	2	0	2	1	2		10
Hard-boiled	0	1	4	5	0	1	1	4	2	0	5	4	1	1-	. 1	1	1	3	0		1	0	5	2	3	2	1	2	6		11
Easy	0	3	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	*2		8
Awkward Teaches material	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3		4
outside of text Teaches only text-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		1
book material	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1		7

<sup>\*</sup>Each letter represents a teacher whose name is not given

The following bit of direction preceded the chart from which this data was taken: "In the following table check the reason or reasons for liking or disliking each teacher with an K opposite the teacher's name and opposite the reason. If the reason is not listed write it in. If you have had other senior high school te chers than those listed please write in their names and check them as you have the others. "POSITIVELY NO ONE WILL KNOW HOW YOU CHECKED ANY TEACHER SO FUEL FREE TO EXFRESS YOUR REAL IDEAS." In addition to this I assured the students that whatever rating they made would be entirely secret and that their rating would have no bearing on their marks in this or any other subject. To this promise I have adhered without exception for I refrained from checking this rating until these students were out of my classes, thus preventing any unconscious or subconscious influence which might have entered into my marking of the students.

The fact that there are so many ratings is due to a further oral direction that more than one reason might be given although I expected the student to pick out the more important reasons.

It is very clear that the students liked the teachers more than they disliked them with two exceptions for the preponderance is in the direction of the likes.

No totals or averages have been calculated because it was believed best to reserve such data for individual tables where the significance of each could be more clearly demonstrated.

TABLE CCXVII. RECORD OF THE NUMBER OF LIKES, DISLIKES, AND TOTAL NUMBER OF TIMES EACH TRACKER WAS PLACED IN GROWN OF NUMBER OF TIMES PLACED.

Teacher h l B G H i C C F d J L I  g f j M O a m N	11kes 464 360 319 313 236 261 176 236 209 172 135 146 145 82 99 93 85 74 57 89 66 57 52	Dislikes 9 6 21 9 52 0 77 11 24 9 30 48 27 12 27 3 6 8 5 22 48 6 8 12	Total 473 366 340 322 288 261 253 247 230 218 202 183 173 157 109 102 99 99 97 79 77 72 65 64
111	66 57	8	72 65
K	57	3	60
k	58	1	59
D	53	5	58
0	38	0	38
n All others	12 855	132	30 987
Total	51 45	639	5784
	The state of	903	2104

This data is simply a tabulation of the ranking in total number of times each teacher was checked. The total number of times checked probably has little to do with the actual rating of a teacher for which reason a device has been provided to weight these rankings. The data on this will be found in Table CCXVIII.

TABLE CCXVIII. PERCENTAGE LIKE RATING OF TEACHERS.

A	100.0	D	91.39
9	100.0	4	89.6
1.		129	87.7
h	99.31		
k	98.30	C	85.1
E	97.5	d	84.4
I	97.1	b	81.9
g.	96.9	N	81.3
g	95.9	L	75.3
H	95.6	F	73.7
K	95.0	M	72.1
1	94.9	G	69.6
j B	94.7	· n	40.0
J	93.4	0	37.7
2	91.7	All others	86.7

Average 87.2

In determining the percentage like rating of each teacher the total number of times rated by the students was divided by the number of times rates as being liked. This was done because it was obvious that the total number of times rated as shown in Tables CCXVIa, CCXVIb, and CCXVII were not true indices of the teacher's rating by the student for in some cases the number who had come in contact with that teacher was almost negligible in number. This is very

clearly brought out in the case of teacher c who was rated only 38 times but who rated 100%. There are a few opportunities for error in this table by virtue of the fact that some teachers were rated so few times. Had there been a larger number of students in contact the rating might have been changed. However, there being no way of measuring this we must be content with the data presented.

In determining the average I divided the total number of likes. This was used instead of taking the mean in the above table. It is obvious from Table CCXVII that the frequencies, which in this case would be teachers, are not equal. Therefore av race instead of medi n.

hattan high school rated better than those of past years or other schools for the rating for all others, was somewhat lower than the average for the total number of te chers.

This fact is accentuated when it is considered that teacher m, n, and 0 are no longer connected with the Manhattan High School. Since the tabulating of this data the additional information has come that teachers k, G, i, and M will not be in the Manhattan High School next year. The loss of these teachers will cut down the average comewhat for they are in the upper group with one exception.

One other item should perhaps be mentioned with respect to the ratings, namely my own, which was a great deal above the average. It may be that I was so rated because the students believed that by so rating me they would flatter me and so improve their marks. It may also be true that no particular significance can be placed on my own rating. I merely offer the suggestion as a personal opinion.

TABLE CCXIX. RECORD OF THE NUMBER OF TIMES EACH REASON WAS CHECKED BY THE STUDENTS AS REASONS FOR LIKING THE TEACHERS.

Reasons	Number of checked	times
Good natured	Circuaeu	531
Thorough knowledge of		
subject		496
Seems to like young people		450
Honeat with students		424
Insists that students do		
their work		349
Interested in your activities		328
Ability		327
Enthusiastic		304
Hard worker		284
Sincere Sympathetic and helpful		277
Teaches material outside		210
of text		263
Impartial Humorous		228
Modest		216
Easy		53
Teaches only textbook		
material Hard-boiled		55
Other reasons		30
Total		5145

It is my opinion from the data in this table that the students appreciate good student-teacher relations above the subject matter or the ability of the teacher in "putting across" the subject matter. I am inclined to believe that if we strive to improve in this respect we would go much further in improving our schools than by striving mainly toward scholastic excellence. Why could we not have contests in student-teacher relations as well as in subject matter? Might it not be as fruitful? Another rather interesting thing is that there is almost five times the tendency to like the teacher because she teaches material outside of the textbook as there is to like the teacher for terching only textbook material. The good-natured teacher seems to be much more appreciated than the hard-boiled one. It may be that I am mistaken but it is my personal opinion that such a rating as this is one of the best indices of a teacher's success or failure. It might be carried to the point of employing teachers more on the basis of personality than scholastic qualifications.

TABLE CCXX. RECORD OF THE NUMBER OF TIMES EACH REASON WAS CHECKED BY THE STUDENTS AS REASONS FOR DISLIKING THE TEACHERS.

Reasons for disliking	Mumber of checked	times
Sareastic Ill-tempered	-11	84
Hard-boiled		63
Crabby(Grouchy)		60

Conceited Unsympathetic and unwilling	58 56
to help	52
Incompetent	46
Dull(Gloomy)	42
Deceitful	33
Easy	26
Awkward	21
Teaches only textbook material	18
Teaches material outside of	
textbook	9
Total.	639

The trend which seemed to stand out in Table CCXIX is borne out here, namely that it is better student-teacher relations rather than subject matter which students seek. In this case the qualities which dealt with subject matter stood very low in the list while those which dealt with relations ranked high. We note the same relation of the reasons with respect to the teaching only textbook material or material outside of the textbook.

If a person were analyzing teacher traits it might be possible to arrive at some sort of a scale by a division of the total number of points by the number of students ranking the teachers. It would also be possible to compare the standings of the individual teachers by comparing them to the ranking of the reasons in Tables CCXIX and CCXX.

It must be remembered in considering these tables on the teacher qualities that the story might be very different with respect to a different group of teachers or if the question

were asked with respect to the qualities which a student most desired in a teacher. That, however, is beyond the realm of this study for the thing which I sought was the reaction of the student toward the teachers in our school and not in a theoretical set-up. It may be, however, that the student naturally saw the thing he liked most in the teachers who were rated so and the same for those he disliked. That, of course, is beyond my power to say.

#### CONCLUSION

Throughout the statement of findings I have pointed out possible trends and conclusions, leaving only some general inferences to be made. It does not lie within the province of this theris to make any rules or conclusions applicable to all high schools since the research project has dealt with only the menhattan Tenior High School, but the study does indicate the possibility of applying a similar measuring-stick to other schools.

Prior to the time of making this study it had been my opinion that there was a great deal of discatilization with the school and ill-feeling tow and the personnel on the part of the students. While this is not refut d conclusively the attitude seems more favorable than otherwise. There are but few points of friction which assume sufficient proportion to need attention. On the whole the attitude toward the teachers was very favorable. The expression with respect to the curriculum was not one of radicalism nor did the attitude toward the extra-curricular activities seem to indicate any unhappiness.

Some of the points which need attention as mentioned in the preceding paragraph are the home room period, certain teachers, the feeling between student and principal, the numerous failures in the field of mathematics, the problem

period, and, what I believe to be most important of all, the relations between students and teachers. While these cannot be discussed at any length here and because they have been touched upon in the findings of this study I shall mention but a few with some possible remedial suggestions.

The home room period, from my own observation of it, and embined with the reflection of the student viewpoint, is an unsatisfactory institution in its present form. It is a device for selecting School Council members and in a few cases has been the means of worthwhile instruction, but on the whole the students consider it merely a time-killer. My suggestion is that is disposal for the time being should be left to a vote of the student bay. If there is a practical use for it it may be revived at a future date. A possible substitute, which seems to be in demand by a large number of the students is the activity period.

better student-teacher relations and I believe that such a conclusion is borne out by this study. We have heard from educators, sometimes rather perfunctorily however, that we should seek to teach students end not subjects. That adminition has either not been followed for one reason or another, or our teachers have failed to take it seriously. By own impression is that, unconsciously or subconsciously,

school exputives which expresses to excel scholastically and discussions in faculty meetings with respect to subject matter, check-up on tudents work, tests, examinations, standardized and achieve at me our ments, outlines, and allied at ters until the teacher is lost in a maze and fails to see the student a such.

Other matters which need attention are more nearly in the field of education than sociology and a study of these should receive consideration from that source.

Beyond the amplication of this study to the Manhattan Senior High School and its problems there comes the consideration, of what does this entire study may mean to other schools. I am not in position to say that ills exist in all high schools, but I do not hesitate to say that in schools with which I have been associated there have been problems of the here dealt with which needed some study. In this respect this study points out a method for the measure and and study of other high schools. It is very possible that the interview blank as used in this case would not be minutely applicable but with slight variation it could be used in almost any school to determine the situation at hand. Without presuming to have had the entire confidence of the students who so faithfully responded to this interview I do

believe that I had it to a sufficient degree to make the results as tabulated valuable and useful, and I am sure that no study of this kind can be successful unless the person making it has the confidence of the student. I am also convinced that the person making the study must be frank, square, and perfectly honest in his dealings with the students if he is to expect good results. If such conditions do obtain than I am equally sure that the results will be most valuable to the teachers, administrators, and students of any high school.

# REFERENCES

- Allport, F.H., and Hartman, G.A.; The Measurement and Motivation of Atypical Opinion in a Certain Group. American Political Science Review, Vol. 19:4, p. gos 805-30; November 1925.
- Baumgarten, Francisca, and Prescett, Daniel R.; Why Children Hate. Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 17:5; May 1928.
- Bernard, L.L.; Development of Methods in Sociology. The Monist, Vol. 38:2, pages 292-320; April 1923.
- Blackmar, Frank W., and Gillen, John Lewis; Outlines of Sociology. 1923. The Macmillan Company.
- Courtis, S. A.; Influence of Certain Social Factors on Scores in Stanford Achievement Tests. Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 13:5, pages 311-24; May 1926.
- Davis, Jerome; Testing Social Attitudes of Children in the Government Schools of Russia. American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 32:6, pages 947-52; May 1927.
- Faris, Ellsworth; Attitudes and Behavior. American Jurnal of Sociology, Vol. 34:2, pages 271-31; September 1928.
- Faris, Ellsworth, Concept of Social Attitudes, Journal of Applied Sociology, Vol. 9:6, pages 404-9; July-August 1925.
- Haggarty, M. E.; Character Education and Scientific Method. Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 13:4, pages 233-48; April 1926.
- Harper, Manley H.; Deliefs and Attitudes of American Educators, Columbia Contributions on Education No. 294; 1928.
- Kent, Raymond Asa; Measuring and Mending Morals. Educational Review, Vol. 75:2, pages 99-106; February 1928.
- May, Mark A., and Hartshorne, Hugh; First Steps Toward a Scale for Measuring Attitudes; Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 17:3, pages 145-62; March 1926.
- Meltzer, H.: The Basic Concepts of Contemporary Life. Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 14:5, pages 356-64; December 1926.
- Stanforth, A.T.; Study in Social Attitudes of a Group of High School Boys and Girls. School and Society, Vol. 26: 675, pages 723-6; December 3, 1927.

- Sturtevant, Sarah M., and Fleming, Cecile W., : Extra-Curricular Activities from the Viscoeint of High School Girls. Teachers College Record, Vol. 28:9, pages 884-9; May 1927.
- Terry, Paul W., The Social Experience of Junior High School Pupils. School. Review, Vol. 35: 4-5, pages 194-207, 272-80; March and April 1937.

### APPENDIX

# CROUP INTERVIEW

- 1. In what country was your father b rn?
  2. From what nati nality did he descend?
- 3. What is his occ pation?
- 4. Underscore the kind of school or schools he attended.
  Public, private, parachial, city or country. (not including colleges).
- 5. In what place or places did he attend? (Indludes colleges attended also, if any).
- 6. How far did he go in school? (In grades, in high school, or in college).
- 7. In what country was your mother born?
- 8. From what nationality did she descend?
- 9. Underscore the kind of school or schools she attended. Public, private, parochial, city, or country. (Not including colleges).
- 10. In what place or places did she attend? (Include colleges attended also, if any).
- 11. How far did she go in school? (In grade, in high school, or in college).
- 13. Do your parents own your home?
- 13. If your father is in the business, does he own the business or just part?
- 14. Is he ployed by someone?
- 15. If so, where?
- 16. Mere were your born?
- 17. What is the date of your birth?
- 18. How many brothers have you living?
- 19. What are their ages?
- 20. How many aisters have you living?
- 21. What are their ages?
- 23. Thich do you think like you better, your brothers or your sisters?
- 23. Why do you believe this to be true?
- 24. Which do you like better, your brothers or your sisters?
- 25. What reason can you give for this?
- 26. On the whole which do you like better, your own or the opposite sex?
- 37. Have you always attended the "anhattan schools?
- 28. If not, where else have you attended?

- 29. If you have attended elsewhere, how many years have you attended in Manhattan?
- 30. What subjects have you token in the senior high school?
- 31. That grade school teacher liked you most? (Answer this with the grade you were in at the time or the subject you were taking rather than the name of the teacher for the following questions).
- 32. What reason can you give for this?
- 33. Was this teacher a man or a woman?
- 34. That kind of marks did you make under this teacher?
  (When the following scale throughout these questions in indicating marks: E-Excellent, G-Good, M-Redium, F-Poor, F-Failing).
- 35. which grade school teacher did you like most?
- 36. Why?
- 37. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 33. What . rk did you make under this te cher?
- 39. What junior high subject teacher liked you most?
- 40. What reason or reasons can you give for this?
- 41. Was this teacher a man or a woman?
- 42. What mork did you make under this teacher?
- 43. What junior high subject to cher did you like most?
- 44. Why?
- 45. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 46. What works did you make under this teacher?
- 47. What senior high subject teacher liked you most?
- 48. What reason or reasons on you give for this?
- 49. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 50. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 51. What senior high subject teacher did you like most?
- 52. Why?
- 53. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 54. That mark did you make under this teacher?
- 55. What school principal liked you most? (Indicate by the school you were in).
- 56. What reason or reasons can you give for this?
- 57. What school principal did you like most?
- 58. Why?
- 59. What grade teacher liked you least?
- 60. What reason or reasons can you give for this?

- 61. Tas the teacher o men or e woman?
- 62. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 63. That grade teacher did you like least?
- 64. Why?
- 65. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 66. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 67. What junior high subject te cher liked you least?
- 68. What reason or reasons can you give for this?
- 69. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 70. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 71. What junior high subject teacher did you like least?
- 72. Why?
- 73. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 74. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 75. What senior high subject teacher liked you least?
- 76. What reason or reasons can you give for this?
- 77. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 78. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 79. What senior high subject teacher did you like least?
- 80. Why?
- 31. Tas the teacher a man or a woman?
- 82. What mark did you make under this teacher?
- 83. That school principal liked you least? (Indicate by the school you were in).
- 84. What reason or reasons can you give for this?
- 85. That so ool principal did you like least?
- 86. Thy?
- 87. What subject did you like most in the grades?
- 88. Thy?
- 89. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 90. What mark did you make in this subject?
- 31. What grade did you like most while in the grades? 92. Why?
- 92. Was the teacher a man or a woman?
- 94. What warks did you make in this grade?
- 95. That subject did you like most in the junior high?
- 96. hy?
- 97. Was the teacher a man or a woman?

98. What mark did you make in this subject?

99. What subject did you like most in the senior high?

100. Why?

101. Was the teacher a man or a woman?

102. Met mark did you seke in this subject?

103. What subject did you like least in the grades?

104. Why?

105. Was the teacher a man or a woman?

106. What mark did you make in this subject?

107. That grade did you like least in the grades?

103. Why?

109. Was the teacher a men or a woman?

110. Thet marks did you make in this grade?

111. What subject did you like least in junior high school?

112. Thy?

113. Las the teacher a men or a woman?

114. What mark did you make in this subject?

115. What subject did you like least in senior high;

116. Why?

117. Tas the teacher a men or a moman?

118. What a rk did you ke in this subject?

119. That subject studied in the junior high do you feel bas been the most valuable to you?

120. Why?

121. What subject studied in the senior high do you feel has been least worthwhile to you?

122. Why?

123. What subject studied in the junior high do you feel has been least worthwhile to you?

124. Why?

125. What subject studied in the senior high do you feel has been least worthwile?

126. Why?

127. That subject or subjects which you have been required to take in the junior high do you think should not be required of everyone?

128. Why?

- 129. What subjects or subject which you have been required to take in the enior high do you think should not be required of every one?
- 130. Why?
- 131. The t subject or subjects not now required of everyone in the junior high do you think should be required?
- 132. Why?
- 133. What subject or subjects not now required of everyone in the senior high do you think should be required of everyone?
- 134. Why?
- 135. Bo you think it would be better not to have any required subjects in the senior high at all?
- 136. If so, why?
- 137. If not, why not?
- 138. Do you think it rould be better to have no required subjects in the senior year only, with the required subjects in the tenth and eleventh grades?
- 139. If so, why?
- 140. If not, why not?
- 141. Do you think it ould be better for every person to have all subjects required, if they were outlined for his particular purpose such as: pre-engineering, pre-journalism, vocational agriculture, vocational homemaking, etc?
- 142. If so, why?
- 143. If not, why not?
- 144. On what subject in the senior high do you spend the most time or have you spent the most time, (If taken before this year)?
- 145. What grade did you get in this subject?
- 146. How much time do you spend in study outside of school every day? (Underscore the nearest correct answer).
  15 minutes, 30 minutes, 45 minutes, one hour, an hour and a half, two hours, more than two hours?
- 147. Are you employed (for wages) outside of school?
- 148. If so, at what kind of work?
- 149. How much time do you spend at it daily?
- 150. What is your present idea of what your life work will be?

- 151. Do you think high school will help you in this occupa-
- 152. Do your parents discuss your report cards with you?

  a. If your grades are good?

  b. If your grades are bad?

  c. Regardless of the grades?
- 153. How often do your parents talk over your school work with you on an average? (Underscore the most nearly correct answer). Daily, every other day, twice a week, once a month, once a year, none.
- 154. What has been the most happy event of your school career?
- 155. What has been the most unhappy event of your school career?
- 156. What feature of the grade school did you like most?
- 157. What feature of the junior high school did you like most?
- 158. What feature of the senior high school did you like most?
- 159. What feature of the grade school did you like least?
- 160. What feature of the junior high school did you like least?
- 161. What feature of the senior high school did you like least?
- 162. Toward how many te chers hove you felt angry and why? List by giving reasons for each.

2.

3.

- 163. How many grade school teachers have visited your home?
- 164. What grade or grades did they teach?
- 165. How many junior high school teachers have visited your home?
- 166. What subjects did you study under them?
- 167. How many senior high school teachers have visited your home?
- 168. What subjects did you study under them?
- 169. Do you ever have personal matters you would like to discuss with your teachers but refrain from doing so because you feel there is a lack of sympathy or interest on their parts?

170. Do you ever discuss personal matters with teachers because you felt that they took an interest and are sympathetic with your viewpoint?

171. Who were these tenchers? (Answer by names).

- 172. What grades or subjects have you ever repeated because of failure?
- 173. On an average, how many evenings a week do you spend at home?

174. Where do you usually spend the other evenings?

- 175. What person, with whom you are in close contact do you think is most interested in your welfare and success?
- 176. What person, with whom you are in close contact do you think is least interested in your welfare and success?
- 177. How much spending money do you have per week? (Do not include that for necessities such as books, clothing, etc).

178. Would you have continued to attend school if your

parents had permitted you to quit?

179. If so; why?

180. If not, why not?

181. On an average, how many hours of sleep to you get

every night?

182. In column (A) number the extra-curricular activities in which you participate in the order in which you enjoy them. In column (B) lit the same activities in the order of good derived from them.

A E

Inter-Scholastic Athletics
Intra-Mural Athletics
Dramatics
Debate
Oratory
Mentor Staff
Blue M Staff
School Council
Girls' Athletic Association
Hi-Y
Girl Reserves
Operettas
Stock Judging
Class Offices
Other Activities

183. Do you think there should be a limit to the number of activities in which a person is permitted to participate?

184. If so, what should be the limit?

185. Do you think everyone should be compelled to participate in some extra-curricular activity?

186. If so, why?

187. If not, why not?

- 188. Do you think extra-curricul r activities take too much time?
- 189. If so, how do you think this could be remedied?
- 190. On an average how much time a week do you spend on extra-curricular activities?
- 191. Do you believe dancing to be wrong?
  192. Do your parents oppose to dancing?

193. Do you dance?

194. If not, would you like to?

195. If you would not like to, why not?

196. Do you think we should have school dances or dances sponsored by school organizations such as classes or clubs?

197. For what reason do you make this stand?

193. Underscore the word which represents your estimation of this high school. Excellent, Good, Average, Poor, Very poor.

199. Do you know any high school with qualities more desirable then those of our own high school?

200. If so, what are these qualities?

201. List other specific changes you would like to see made in our high school such as hours, a gegular activity period, no study hell, and etc.

In the following table check the reason for liking or disliking each teacher with an X in the space opposite the teachers name and opposite the reason. If the reason is not listed write it in. If you have had other senior high teachers than those listed please write them in and check them the same. POSITIVELY NO ONE WILL KNOW HOW YOU CHECKED AND TEACHER, SO FEEL FR E TO EXPRESS YOUR REAL IDEAS.

Reasons for liking Thorough knowledge of subject Seems to like young people Insists that students do their work Interested in your activities Teaches material outside of textbook Teaches only textbook material Ability Hard worker Good-natured Honest Enthusiastic Impartial odest Sincere Sympathetic and helpful Basy Hard-boiled Humorous Other reasons

AsBbCcDdSeFfCgHhliJjKkLlWmMnOoPp Others

Reasons for dislikingAsBbCcDdEeFfGgHhliJjKkLlMmNnOoFp Others Incompetent Ill-tempered Lazy Crabby (Grouchy) Dull (Gloomy) Deceitful Conceited Sarcastic Unsympathetic and unwilling to help Hard-boiled Easy Awkward Teaches material outside of textbook Teaches only textbook material Antagonizes young people Other reasons

#### POYS

1. According to your present ideas and plans, are you going to follow the occupation of your father?

2. Why have you come to this ornelu ion?

3. What was your mother's occupation, if employed before her marriage?

4. Does she still follow this occupation?

5. Does she follow any other occupation no aside from being a hou ewife and ther?

6. What is it?

## GIRLS

1. ould you marry a man following the occupation of your father?

2. Why have you come to this conclusion?

3. What was your mother's occupation, if employed before her merriage?

4. Do you think you will follow this?
5. Why have you come to this conclusion?

6. Does your other still follo this occur tion?

7. Does your noth r follow any oth r occup tion now aside from being a how ewife and mother?

8. What is it?