A HISTORY OF THE IRISH MATIONAL THEATRE, 1899-1933

1

OPAL LEE AMDREWS

A. B., Union College, Lincoln, Rebraska, 1932

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

KAHSAS STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my deep appreciation to Professor Robert W. Conover, who directed and assisted in the preparation of this thesis and to the librarians of the Kansas State College for aid in obtaining books.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| INTRODUCTION | - 6 |
| EARLY HISTORY OF THE ABBEY THEATRE | 6 |
| Irish Literary Theatre Purpose of the Abbey Theatre Early Authors | |
| DEVELOPMENT OF THE ABBRY THEATRE IN IRELAND | 12 |
| Training of Actors Kinds of Plays Produced | |
| THE TOUR OF AMERICA | 27 |
| Plays Given Reception by the Irish Faction Criticism | |
| THE PERIOD OF DECLINE | 35 |
| INFLUENCE OF THE IRISH NATIONAL THEATRE | 45 |
| Subject Matter Style Limitations Hecont Tour Phoenix Theatre | |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 65 |

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to trace the history and development of the Irish Estimal Theatre, from the date of ite birth, 1899, to the year 1988. Here also are discussed the major churacters connected with the theatre as well as their works, and style of writing.

The muclous of the Irieh Hational Theatre was certain companies of amateurs that W. O. Pay assembled. The advisers looked to the Irieh Hational Theatre to bring the drama back to the people, to whom plays dealing with sectety life meant nothing. They intended that their plays should give the people a quits natural pleasure.

Mr. Yesto' (doe of an Irish Mational Theatre grow out of a contempt for the commo rotal drama of the day, which, in his belief, had declimed, as an ammoment, to the level of a fair, a circum, or a race-course.

The Abbay Theatre was a subsidized theatre with education as its object. A play to be suitable for the Abbay Theatre must contain some criticism of life, founded on the experience or the personal observation of the writer, or some vision of life, of Irish life by preference, important from its beauty or from some excellence of style.

For some time all went well, but gradually a process of disintegration set in. One by one the principal

To Arthur Studietr, perhaps more than any other single individual, might be traced the decline of the Irich drama during the second decade of the Abbey Theatre's existence. Of the host of playwrights whose work was produced during this second decade at the Abbey Theatre very few gave promise of important work in the future.

In 1988 the Abboy Flayers again wisited America. They carried with them the tradition of that cold welcome which they received just before the World War. They were surprised and delighted this time to find a welcome here as warm and hearty as any they had ever received. Butler Yeats, George Moore, and Edward Martyn.

been at work in Ireland since 1899. The abbay Theatre owee ite origin to William Butler Yeats who has remained ite guiding opirit up to the present time. It was his ambition to lead Ireland to the removation of the poetical drama. The supplying of plays and the organization of the theatre fell, first of all, into the hands of William

The first play produced by the Irish Literary Theatre was William Butler Yeaks's Countess Cathleen. This society employed only English actors, and did not assume to be purely national in scope. It came to an end in October, 1901. And in October, 1902, William Butler Yeath made the following announcement: "The Irich Literary Theatre has given place to a company of Irish motors."

The performance of the Literary Theatre, and its successor, the Irish Mational Theatre, have been a practical illustration of the ideas for which William Batler Yeats and his associates etant. These Mean grew out of a

^{1.} Cohen, Helen Louise. One-Act Plays by Hodern Authors,

contempt for the commercial drama of the day, which, in their belief, had declined, as an ammacement, to the level of a circum, a fair, or a race-course. Things had come to much a pase, they felt, that people who loved literature and poetry found it pleasanter to read at home than to go to plays where all was for the eye and nothing for the mind, where sham centiment, should ideals, and melodramatic thrills reigned supreme. They maintained, too, that the trace philosophy of ciage effects was unknown where the object seemed to be to smother the play under splendid constance, magnificent furnishings, and realistic seemery, that only served to distract the audience from the real business of the play, and to leave them agape at the ingenuity of stage-carpenters, occumers, and sceme-painters.

In many respects the aims of those who founded the Literary Theatre were like the aims of the men who in London and Parts founded the independent Theatre and the Theatre Libre, "They wished to substitute for the drama of epectacle, incident, vapid centiment, and commonplace thought a drama of ideas and of sincers feeling, produced in a spirit of dicintersated art, to please thomselves and those who chared their tastes, without any thought of The Irich playwrights believed that Irelam was at that mement of her history when great drams was at least possible. They regarded their absorbed and enthusiastic audiences ac one evidence of that. The Irich audience, they found, went to the play to be stirred, and was quick to kindle to sympathy or hostility, and differed entirely from the English audience, that went to the play to digest the dinner. All who witnessed the performances in Dublin in 1901 and 1902 were struck by this difference in temper.

It was at this time that Lady Gregory said: "It is a pity we have no Irish theatre where such plays can be given." William Butler Yeats said that had always been a dream of his, but he had of late thought it an impossible one, for it could not at first pay its way, and there was no money to be found for such a thing in Ireland.

A letter which Lady Gregory wrote to a member in parliament givee us some idea of the aims or intentions of the Irish National Theatre:

"We propose to have performed in Dublin, in the spring of every year certain Celtic and Irish plays, which, whatever be their degree of excellence will be written with a

^{1.} Krane, Horatio Sheafe. William Butler Yeats, p. 36 2. Gregory, Lady A. Our Irigh Theatre, p. 6

high ambition, and so to build up a Coltic and Irich school of dramatic literature. We hope to find in Iroland an uncorrupted and imaginative andieme trained to listen by impassion for cratery, and believe that our decire to bring upon the stage a portrayal of the desper thoughts and emotions of Iroland will ensure for us a tolerant velceme, and that freedom to experiment which is not found in theatres of Emgland, and without which no new moreenst in act or literature can succeed. We will show that Iroland is not the home of buffeenery and of easy sentiment, as it has been represented, but the home of an ancient idealism. We are confident of the support of all Irish people, who are weary of misrepresentation, in carrying out a work that is outside all the political questions that divide us. *1

The first performance was announced for lay 8, 1899, nearly a year after this lotter was written. William butler yests' <u>Countess Cathleen</u> and Edward Martyn's <u>Heather Field</u> were the plays chosen. A Cardinal who confessed he had read none of the play <u>Countess Cathleen</u> condemned it. Young sea from the Catholic University were roused to come and make a protest against this "insult to their faith." Three was hosting and booing in the gallery. In the end the gallery was lined with police, for an attack on the actors

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, pp. 8-9

was feared.

The idea of an Irish theatre had been originally conceived in the obscurity of the patrictic societies which sprane up throughout the country after the full of Parsell; and the young son of such societies were willing and eager to test the "intellectual excitement" which Yests and his friends were about to afford. Though they cared little for literature they cared such for Ireland, and were ready with their criticies of any enterprise that called itself Mational. They were quick to praise or blame the play which dealt with the life of the peasantry or with Irish history, and they would sit out even the play in verse for the sake of Ireland.

After the riot caused by the showing of John Millington Syage's Playboy of the Western World the directors of the Abbey theatre next tried a fall with Dublin Castle by producing George Bernard Shaw's play, consored in London, The Showing up of Blanco Fosnet. They were able to do this because the censor's powers do not extend to Ireland. The picture won Dublin's approval, due not so much to the merits of the play as to the dislike of all classes for the Castle.

State aid for the Theatre did not find many advocates in England or America. The Irish Free State subsidized the Abbey Theatre in Dublin in 1903 to the extent of 850 pounds. This may have been due to an effort to show how un-English they were.

william Butler Yests said in a speech: "This government subsidy and the continued support of the public will enable us to keep a brilliant company, and to offer in the future, as in the past a means of expression to Irish dramatio intellect."

The costure of the Irish State in subsidizing the Theatre did not go unnoticed in London. The Daily Telegraph made the following etatement:

"A grant of 800 pounds will not go far, even toward the modest expenditure of the little Dublin theatre where so many elever plus by Synge and Lady Gregory and W. B. Tests and other Irish dramatists have first seen the light, and where so many accomplished actors have been the light, and where so many accomplished actors have had their training. Yet the principle is more important than the exact amount to be voted. If the Free State subsidy helps to premote a fresh revival of Irish drama and also to turn the thoughts of the rising generation toward literature and away from sterile politics, the money will assuredly be well spend."

^{1.} Literary Digest, 86: 29, Sept., 12, 1925 2. Ibid., 30

DEVELOPMENT OF THE ABBEY THEATRE IN IRELAND

Flays were written by playwrights who had the desire and who felt they could write a real Irish play. These were submitted to William Butler Yeats and in answer to a play received, he wrote:

"The Abbay Theatre is a subsidized theatre with an educational object. If will therefore, be useless as a rule to send it plays intended as popular entertainments and that alone, or originally written for performance by some popular actor at the popular theatres. A play to be suitable for performance at the obbay should contain some oriticism of life, founded on the experience or personal observation of the writer, or some vision of life, of Irish life by preference, important from its beauty or from some excellence of style; and this intellectual quality is not more more more more more necessary to tracefy than to the savest comedy.

"We do not desire propagandist plays, nor plays written mainly to serve some obvious moral purpose; for art seldom concerns itself with those interests or opinions that can be defended by argument, but with realities of emotion and character that become self-evident when made vivid to the imagination.

"The dramatist should also banish from his mind the

thought that there are some ingredience, the love-making of the popular stage for instance, especially fitted to give dramatic pleasure; for any knot of evente, where there is passionate smotten and clash of will, can be made the subject matter of a play, and the less like a play it is at the first sight the better play may come of it in the end. Young writers should remember that they must got all their effects from the logical expression of their subject, and not by the addition of extrameous incidents; and that a work of art can have but one subject. A work of art, though it must have the effect of mature, is art because it is not nature, as Goshhe said. And it must possess a unity unlike the accidental profusion of nature. 1

It was in 1897 that George Bernard Show gave a lecture on "Irish Actors of the Mineteesth Century." In it he esiat "as to what an Irishman is, is a complex question, for whatever he may have been born, if he has been brought up in Ireland, that is quite sufficient to make him an Irishman... It is a mistake to think an Irishman has not common sense. It is a mistake to think the Irishman has feeling; he had not; but the Englishman is full of feeling. What the Irishman has is imagination; he can imagine himself in the eituation of others...the Irish hagmage is an effete

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, pp. 100-2

language and the matter is effete, and as to saying there are good Irish acture, there are not, and there won't be until the conditions in Ireland are favorable for the production of drama, and when that day occese, I hope I may be dead, "1

Dublin had always been the centre of keen discussion upon theology ant politics; but was not concerned about modern art and literature. It was indeed a surprise then to find the people compted with a literary controversy at the time when the Irital Literary Theatre was established.

william Sutler Yests and his friends proposed to de in a small way for the Irish dram "what the Thâtre Libre and the Thatre de l'Ocuvre have dons for French dram." E Sewever, it was different from the outset: Irish law forbade dramatic performances for money in any but one of three patent theatren. So they pushed a clause into the Local Government Bill of 1898 asking for an ecoasional license for any such performance instituted for a charitable or purely literary purpose. The next step was to find a guarantee fund and list of guarantors, published in the beginning of 1899. George Noore acted as a sandwich man to the novement. He had the talent of awakening controversy

Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, p. 35
 Fortnightly Review, 70: 1050, Dec., 1901

and he succeeded in calling the attention of English critics to the Irish Literary Theatre. He, Frank Hugh O'Donnell was moved by some research of William Batter Yosts's to read the <u>Countees Cathleon</u>, and found much to shock him in the conception of an Irish peasantry selling their roule to devile to buy food. Upon this him the raised a war whoop. Finally, then, an appeal from the Trees brought out a letter from the Caninal Frimate (Dr. Logne) declaring that (although he had neither read nor seen it) "an Irish audience which could patiently sit at such a play must have anally degenerated, both in religion and patienties."

This was not a hopeful beginning for an enterprise that was to rest upon popular sympathy and support in Ireland. "The mind of Mr. Yeats and his artistle sympathies had been moulied away from Ireland; the public which he conneived was the public that applauda Macterlinck. Even at this, much was accomplished, for it awakened in Ireland an interest which was other than that of theological and political controversy and it gave fair warrant for George isoner to proclaim the first quickening of an artistic life in Ireland.

"Plays in February, 1900, did not attract so such attention as those of the previous year, but in that month

^{1.} Fortnightly Review, 70: 1053, Dec., 1901

the daily papers were more exciting than the theatre. The Bending of the Bough had the interest for a Dublin audience that Attic comedy had for the Athenians. It hit, and hit home, at types which could be easily reorgaised among the audience. 1 Satire of the kind found in this play was a keen and much-meeded intellectual stimulas in Iroland.

At this time George Noore took not only the literary theatre but the Irish language under his wing. English was worn out, and future literature of the world was to be written in the small languages, consequently the Irish Literary Theatre would do its best to help on the movement by producing some kind of play written in Gaelio. Dr. Ryde translated into the old tongue William Butler Yeate' pleace, The Land of the Heart's Desire. It was a success not because it was an Anglo-Irish tragedy but because the comedy was written in Gaelio.

Previous to 1901 the Irish Literary Theatre had engaged professional actors. Now they felt the parts should be left to anthors of the plays and this relieved the Irish Literary Theatre of any financial responsibility. Planvaid and Grania was not so successful because people said that George Moore and William Butler Yeats had gone to Irish legend to find in opic tradition the plot of an average

^{1.} Fortnightly Review, 70: 1054, Dec., 1901

French novel. On the other hand, Dr. Eyde's little oneact piece, The Twisting of the Rope delighted the people.
"One began to realize what the Gaelic League was deingand one felt a good deal out in the cold because one had to
rely on the translation." I (The translation was written
by Lady Gregory.)

Both of the plays produced in 1901 received the fullest earfons countdension, and the fact that the performances aroused even thated discussion shows pretty clearly that the movement they represented was one of no little eignificance.

The Iriah Literary Theatre had for its immediate object the development of Iriah dramatic art, through the presentation of original plays on Iriah audjects, whether in English or Gaelie. The movement had a valuable ally in the Gaelie League, under the presidency of Dr. Hyde. This movement was an appeal to the mative spirit to amabe to its own and recover its treasures of legend and language before they were lost. After the Iriah Literary Theatre had been in operation three years this statement was made: "How far the Iriah apeach and tradition may be restored to the Iriah people, and how far the Iriah Theatre has stimulated the Iriah intellect, are at recent, of course, matters of

^{1.} Fortnightly Review, 70: 1055, Dec., 1901

speculation; but this to certain, that the League is a flourishing organization, and the Sheatre has proved that people will come with a keen and critical interest to see an Irish play."

These who started the movement proposed that it should continue for three seasons at the end of which period they would determine whether or not the project was a hopeless one. When this time ome it seemed a pity to allow a plan so effectively begun to languish. The three ceasons had revealed in Dablin and the rest of Ireland, a public sufficiently interested in dramatic art to make of the Theatre a real institution, provided the plays chosen were not "polemic, or didactic, or saything but cleanly dramatic."

It was also thought at this time that william Butler Yeats' doctre to present some of the materpaloes of French, Spanish, Soandinavien, and Greek drams, if carried out would lift the project out of the reach of faction, for in Ireland it was difficult to keep the Theatre entirely clear of the pol'tical and religious strife that entered so withully into all Iries questions of the day.

Mr. Gwynn, writing for the Fortnightly Review of December, 1901 eags: "In so far as it has failed, it has failed from no lack of talent. But the drama needs to be in

^{1.} Mation, 73: 395, Hov., 1901

touch with life, and Mr. Yests, who is Irish, at least as much as Shelley was English, is, like Shelley or Blake, inevitably estranged from the ordinary citizen. 1

So we may may that the Inthe Literary Theatre Lasted three years. It was then, that while rehearing the Gaelic-speaking autors for Gassah an imagests at the Gaiety Theatre, Frank J. Tay and his brother-actor, Willias G. Pay, an electrician who had become an elecutionist and comedian of exceptional parts, quite comparable with Coqualis Aine, first conceived the idea of forming a company of Irish-born players.

In 1908 it was commonly known that the Irish Literary Theatre had aled with the last year's performances of <u>Planuald and Orania</u>. <u>Delárs</u> was too literary and depended too much on the accidental beauties of that or phrasing and not enough on a central emotion. But the author of it demonstrated the possibility of a drama on an Irish heroic subject which chould expeal to an Irish audience. George Moore's <u>Bending of the Bourh</u> was a catire on Irish politicians; so was <u>Maward Martyn's Tale of a Youm</u>. Both these men knew well how libsen handled those situations but they were not familiar at first-head with local politice.

At this time it was said there was no money in the

^{1.} Fortnightly Review, 70: 1060, Dec., 1901

Irish plays. Wherever they were played they represented a wholly different order of drumatic art from that which prevailed in the English theatre: and the difference lay in the fact that they were not designed to make money. However, "The. Yests and his friends kindled in Ireland the desire for an art which was an art of ideas."

In 1902 William G. Way not together a body of amsteur players which steadily carried on the scheme of acting which he had created. The theatre was not sufficiently prosperous as yet to be able to pay its actors any salary, and the company came every evening from shop or office to give their services to the cames. Their enthusiasm and the Trichman's matural talent for the stage brought new life into the movement which from that moment entered upon a course of true development.

Frank Fay heard Mr. Russell read a play which he had just finished and liked it so much that he brought his brother to hear it too, and they soon decided to produce it. This was done on April 2, 1902, by William C. Faye' "Irish Mational Drematic Company" (so called by the new body) at St. Tercas's Mall, Clarendon Street, Dublin. William Patler Yeats had given them his patrictic one-act play, <u>Eathleen and Roullings</u>, which was performed with triumphal

^{1.} Fortnightly Review, 72: 1046, Dec., 1902

auccess on the same night.

Measrs. Fays' waltant enterprise had now fully succeeded and the Irish Mational Dramatic Company developed into the Irish Mational Theatre Society, whose first presidency was offered by the founders to William Butler Yeats. "The company's medest headquarters were the Moleoworth Hall, Camden Street, Dublin. Sight plays were produced, two of which—<u>In the Shadow of the Glem</u> and <u>Edders to the Som</u>, performed on October 0, 1903, and February 25, 1904, respectively—were the work of John Millington Syage, who made there a fairly successful debut."

In 1908 there appeared the mame of two new authors who (with William Dutler Yests) have had the largest influence upon the Irish Thoatre. They were Lady Oregory and John Willington Space. Their work is in an atmosphere of romantic realism in contrast to the mystical idealism and almost human fantamy of William Butler Yests.

The Irish Theatre now had established a footing in Dublin, but it had not been won without a strangele nor was it to continue without difficulties. William Butler Yacon soon began to make enemies where he might have looked for support. There was at this time a small but influential class of Nationalist opinion in Dublin, to which political

intrigue was the Alpha ami Omega of obligation. "This class felt foul of Mr. Yeats' Countess Cathleen and the play was furiously attacked by the extremists in the patriotic and Catholic marties. The Shadow of the Glen. the first play of John Millington Syngo's that was produced. raised an even more formidable storm. The same line of objection was taken to Lady Gregory's Rising of the Moon. The fiercest storm of all arose on the production of The Playboy of the Western World, in 1907. The play was kept on for a week, though often not a word spoken on the stage could be heard across the footlightell on one night, it is said, the English director was anxious to call in the police but this the others could not endure, and, by way of compromise, a relative of one of them, a Rusby football player of commanding stature, was persuaded to bring some of his friends from Trinity College to help in maintaining order. The experiment, however, proved a failure, for the speechmakers, by way of combating the patriotic uproar from pit and callery, sat importurbable in their stalls, cenerally thundering "God save the King!"

This uproar had a good effect for the wind of stems which raged about the production of <u>The Flayboy</u> reached as far as London and gave the Irish movement that suggestion

^{1.} Contemporary Review, 100: 245, Aug., 1911

of a "quoses de somndale" which seems the only chance of presperity for any genuinely artistic enterprise in that Metropolis. When, a year or two later Dublin sheltered George Bornard Shaw from Lord Chamberlain by staging The Showing Up of Blance Feamet, England began at last to realize the existence of the Abbay Theatre.

John Millington Syage continued to produce his little peacest plays with strange mixture of humour, irony, and exaltation; Lady Gregory wrote on, undisnayed. Other writers began to make their appearance. Padrato Colum made the first step toward realism. The spirit of the age and their mitural interest in the actual facts of Ireland's present and future inclined the younger school of writers more and more strongly toward realism. The plays of Mesars. Robinson, Fay, Murruy, and St. John Erwine are good examples of the work done in this direction.

In 1906 the Irish theatre went to London to give its first performance. "The evening audience was the more Irish and Cathleen and The rot of Aroth got a great reception. The Foundations went well, indeed everything want well." This was but the first of several London visits, and the good audience and good notices were a great encouragement.

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, p. 38

In 1908 the original company was dispersed by the departure of the brothers Fay from Dublin. The company became independent in 1911. Frevious to this Miss Hornisan provided them with the little Abbay Theatre in Dublin and subcidized the company through all their early structes.

In the year 1911 the Abbey Thestre Company visited the Court Theatre during the Coronation season and Charles Tecnyson says of them: "They are not merely a body of excellent and unconventional sofers who have produced some remarkable, and many interesting, plays; they represent also something, the existence of which very few people sufficiently realize, though it is, especially at this moment, when Ireland to destined shortly to step once more into the centre of the political areas, vitally important that it chould be realized. The Abbey Theatre is the product of the new spirit which has come into Ireland since she began, under the influence of the Gladstonian policy, to realize the strength and pocatbilities of her position, "A

William Butler Yeats, who stands as a figure-bend to this Irich Movement, was the first to state that he did not deliberately write plays for etage presentation. Thus, epaking purely from the dramatic standpoint, the company's deep indebteness to William Butler Tests was rather for

^{1.} Contemporary Review, 100: 240, Aug., 1911

his judgment in solection, for his impriration in matters of production, and for his guidance as artistic director and advisor them for any special direct dramatic contribution.

Let us turn then to the work of lady Gregory, who assumed the position, a most essential one, of conic muse. Many of her plays are in one act, and a volume comprising seven may fairly be considered an representative. Among her plays we find: The Travelling Man; The Gool Gate; Spreading the News; The Mining of the Moon; The Torchouse Mard; and Myncinth Malvey which is the gos of this collection.

"Acted with the inimitable humor of the Irish company, this is one of the wittiest of farces we have seen for many years-writty, literary, and human without a touch of the vulcar or a blentsh of the cheap."

The death of John Milliarton Synge removed a landmark from the Baropean drama of the day. He was a dramatist who, "through the medium of Ireland, found a sinceruly artistic expression of life as reflected by a temperament that was sensitive to a point of senius. No one can be witness of <u>Hidara</u> to the <u>Jea</u> without feeling a sense of the absolute, a sense of artistic unity and dramatic expression to which it is hard to find an equal."

Horth American Review, 194: 570, Oct., 1911
 Ibid., 572

Another point of marked individuality with Synge is found in the language of the plays, a language he learned from the fisher folk in the arm Islands, from timbers of Country Wicklow, and wandwere of western Ireland, and which has passed through the mint of his art until new inflections and a new rhythm of speech have found their coinage. Some of the more pression may question the realism of this, but to those who understand John Millington Synge such questioning to immakerial.

"Throughout all his work, dramatic and otherwise, there is the same blend of sympathy and philosophy, of observation and selection, of light and shade, that go to form the equipment essential to a great dramatist. Only half a dozen plays bear his name, but they are of more permanent value than a score of London's se-called "New Drama" productions."

^{1.} North American Review, 194: 575, Oct., 1911

THE TOUR OF AMERICA

The Abbey Players made their first tour of the United States in 1911. They were ridiculed and seemed by the Irich faction during their entire stay. From the Gaelic American for October 16, 1911, I take this statement: "Resolved.-That we, the United Irich-American Societies of New Yerk, make every reasonable effort, through a committee, to induce those responsible for the presentation of the Playboy to withdraw it, and failing in this we pladge surcelves as one man to use every means in ear power to drive the vile thing from the stare, as we drove McFaddan's New of Flate and the abomination produced by the Raesell Brothers, and we sak the sid in this work of every decent Irich man and woman, and of the Catholic Church, those dectrines and devotional practices are he hi up to seem and ridicule in Sympe's monetreetty.

Theodore Roosevelt, president of the United States at the time made this etatement about the Abbey Playere: "In the Abbey Theatre, Lady Gregory and those associated with her--and Americans should feel proud that an American was one of the first to give her amo unagament and ald--have not only made an extraordinary contribution to the oum of

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, p. 280

Irish literary and artistic achievement, but had done more for the drama than has been accomplished in any other nation of recent years. England, Australia, South Africa, Hungary, and Germany are now seeking to profit by this unique achievement. The Abbey Theatre is one of the healthiest signs of the revival of the ancient Irish spirit which has been so marked a feature of the world's progress during the present generation; and, like every healthy movement of the kind, it has been thoroughly national and has developed on its own lines, refusing merely to copy what has been outworn. It is especially noteworthy, and is a proof of the general Irish awakening, that this vigorous expression of Irish life, so honourable to the Irish people, should represent the combined work of so many different persons, am not that of only one person, whose activity might be merely approadic and fortuitous "1

While on this trip the Abbey Players received the following letter attacking <u>mirthright</u> and <u>Myacinth Malvey</u>: The letter was headed in large type, "Dr. J. T. Gallagher demounces the Irish Plays, says they are Vulgar, Unnatural, anti-Mational, and Anti-Chitotian." The writer declared himself astonished at "the parcet-like praise of the drematic critics." He himself had seen these two plays and

^{1.} Outlook, Dec. 16, 1911

"my soul eried out for a thousand tongues to voice my unutterable horror and disgust... I have nover seen anything so vulgar, vilo, beautly, and unmantural, so calculated to calumniate, degrade, and defune a people and all they hold second and dear... 1

This is the statement from the Mayor of Chicago in regard to the showing of the <u>llowboy</u>: "The Examiner announces that the Mayor won't stop the play. We has eaid, 'I do not see how the performance can be stopped. I have read part of it and its chief characteristic seems to be stupidity rather than ismorality. I should think it would take more than a regiment of soldiers to compol an andience to fill the Grand Opera House to ace such a poor production. I cortainly shall not see it, "se

In the Freeman's Journal, Cotober 26, 1912, we find this: "The Jublin public pulled the old together and began to take pride in its Matical Theatre, this theatre which has produced in a few years more than a hundred plays and a company of players recognised as true artites, not only by their fellow-countrymen, but by the artitle of England and America. The Abbey Theatre has made it possible for a writer living in Ireland and writing on Irish subjects to win a position of equal dignity with his fellow-artist in

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, p. 179

London or Paris; it has made it possible for an Irish man or woman with acting ability to play in the playe of his fellow-countrymen, and to earn a decent living and win a position of equal respect with any English or Continental actor. **

Mrs. Mary F. MoWhorter, National Chairmen, L. A., A. O. H., Irish History Committee, writing in "The National Historian," 1913:

"When it was announced about two months ago that the Abbay Players would upper in reportory at the Fine Arts Theatre, in the city of Chicago, I made up my mind to witness all of the Abbay output, if possible, and ose if they were an black as some painted them, and now I feel I have earned the right to qualify as a critic.

"Maring seen them all, I have this to only that, with one or two exceptions, they are the sloppiost, and in most escess the vilest, and the most character-assassimating thinge, in the shape of playe it has ever been my misfortune to see. If, as has been often etated, the playe were written with the intention of belitting the Irish race and the ideals and traditions of that race, the playerights have succeeded as far as they intended, for the majority of the playe leave us nothing to our credit...

^{1.} The Freeman's Journal, Oct. 26, 1912

"It is plain to be seen the self-styled Irish writers affect the present-day etyle in vogue among French writers. We have essen the result of all this as far as France is concerned. Today that once proud nation is in a pitishle condition. And so the Abbey crowd would bring about the same undestrable conditions in Ireland if they could. By elever insuends they would take all the splendin ideals and mobile traditions away from the Irish and leave them with mothing high or hely to clim to. But the Abbey Theatre butchers will not succeed. They are reckening without their heat. The Irish character is too strong and too mobile to be slain by such unworthy methods.

"The plays taken as a whole have no literary worlt. The backers of the plays preach about Art with a capital A, but they have not artistic merit, for art is truth, and the plays are not true. The great majority of the plays are made up of nothing more than a lot of 'heady sub."1

One of the best criticisms of the Abbey Flayers after their visit to New York comes from a letter which Mr. John Cuino wrote to the Editor of a Dublin Newspacer:

"Dear Sir: Now that the Irish players have been to How York and their work seen and judged, the readers of your paper may be interested in the publication of one or

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, p. 253

two facts in connection with their visit. For some time before the company came to New York there had been threats of an organized attempt by a small coterie of Irishmen to prevent the performance of Synge's Playboy. It was difficult for many people in New York who are interested in the drama and art to take these rumours seriously. The attempt to prevent the New York public from hearing the work of these Irish players of course failed. There was an organized attempt by perhaps a hundred or a hundred and fifty Irishmen on the first night The Playboy was given here to prevent the performance by hissing and booing, and by throwing potatoes and other objects at the actors, and red pepper and asafoetida among the audience. The disturbers were ejected by the police. All the great metropolitan papers, morning and evening, condemned this organized disturbance. The second night, some six or seven disturbers were put out of the theatre by the police, and that was the end of the long-threatened attempt to break up the performance of these plays. The issue was not between the players and the disturbers, but between the New York public and the disturbers. This fight over Synge was of wast importance for us as a city. One night settled that question and settled it conclusively.

"I have seen in now of the daily and one of the weekly irish papers a statement to the effect that the Playboy was 'hooted from the stages...after the worst riot ever witnessed in a New York playhouse.' The statement that it was 'hooted from the stage' is of mourse utterly false. The greatest disorder coourred during the first act. The play was not 'hooted from the stage'...

"Anong other things it has been stated that the Abby Theatre company was me a success in New York. On the contrary the access of the company has been beyond anything in my personal experience. The worldet of critical and artistic New York in favor of the work of the Irish Theatre has been emphatic. The pick of the intellectual and artistic public crowded the theatre during the weeks of the company's performances here and admired and enjoyed their work. In fact, intelligent New Yorkers are yet wondering what was the real course of the attempt to prevent the hearing of the plays. This is one of the mysteries of this winter in New York. I am proud, as a citizen of New York, that New York's worldet of approved was so swirt and decisive, and I am proud of New York's quick recognition of the excellence of the mw Irish school of drama and acting?

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, p. 285

John Gnim also wrote in the Outlook in 1911 describing the players, who they were and what they had accomplished. In this article he pointed out the perfect naturalness of their acting, the simplicity of their methods, their freedom from all distracting theatricalism and "Stage Dasimose," their little resort to gesture, the beautiful rhythm of their speech, the absence of extensive and elaborate seemery and stage-settings, and the delightful suggestion of spontaneity given their apparently deliberate throwing among of technical accompliahments in the strict sense of the word.

"This little company of Irish players and their directors have answered the question that is being so often asked in London and New York—how to make the theaters amoness and yet give nothing that is not good art. They have done this by keeping to the read they have chosen, by nationality in keeping to the marrow limits to which they bound themselves—works by Irish writers or on Irish subjects"—and by deliberate supplicit yof staging, by which expense is kept down and they are not driven to put on plays for the sake of profit only."1

^{1.} Outlook, 99: 918-19, Dec. 16, 1911

THE PERIOD OF DECLINE

For some time all went well; the subsidy on which they existed was withdrawn, but the support they received in Great Britain and America was sufficient to tide over the less productive seasons at home. Gradually a process of disintegration set in. One by one the principal members of the original company second from the fold; John Millington Synce died; William Butler Yeath, Padriac Colum, and Lady Gregory produced nothing comparable to their earlier efforts; the new playurights modeled themselves too strictly on their predecessors; the players become careless in their art and begun to initate their own methods. In 1919 it was easily, "At the present day there is no longer an Abbey Theatre; there is only a thatre in Abby Street,"

It was at this time that Mr. Errest A. Boyd, writing for Living Age, 1919, offered a way of salvation. "The way of salvation may be found in a return to the original intentions of the founders; the repertory of the Abbay Theatre might embrace both folk-play and psychological dress. So far the Abbay Theatre has made but little addition to permanent draws the literature, and after an experiment of nearly twenty jewes duration there does not

^{1.} Living Age, 300: 119, Jan. 11, 1919

seem to be any prospect of better results for the future.
Until the Abbey Theatre gete rid of the indefinable art of
breathleemess and amateurishness which pervades it, until
some Irish anther rices strong enough to people his stage
with living characters 'in a concatenation according', we
cannot expect to see in Dublin a mitvo drawn able to
comps to or equal terms with that of Paris or London."

In common with all the drama of England, the Irish drama slumped pitifully during and after the Great War. But it was revived again with the production of several playe by Sem O'Canay, by many thought to be the most negational dramatics developed in the British Ielas since the war. "Violating the 'rules' of the older formal drama and even the practice of rost modern realists, O'Canay community mixes up come y and tragedy in grotesque juxtapocition, not only in alternating scence but in the same scene. He carried the porter in Macheth, as it were, through his entire play."

The language of Sean O'Gasqe's characters is wittler and sharper than that of John Hillington Syngo's, as the characters themselves are urban folk, not of the roll; it is much less patterned and poetic. At times it falls into

Living Age, 300: 121, Jan. 11, 1919
 Eaton, W. P. The Drama in English, p. 289

rhythm, and at times strikes poetic sparks, so that your imagination is caught up by an image, a turn of phrase. "Undoubtedly a realist, he is free from any set noids of photographic reproduction, and makes his realism serve his deeper purpose."

In the spring of 1919, having successfully weathered the years of the war the Abbay Theatre was threatened with shipmreek in the loss of its principal actor and manager. Fred O'Donovan, who left the theatre, taking with him several members of the company. The situation was perilous. However, they were quickly reasoned by the return of Lennox Robinson, well-known author and playwright, to management of the abbay. With amazing energy he meatered the situation, filled up the gaps in the company and the efforts of the autumn and winter of 1919-20 were as interesting, if not more interesting, than any previous Abbay season.

Perhaps the most important dramatic event of this season was the production of <u>The Flavor uson</u> by William hatler Yeats. The Abbey andience went away full of discussion as to the true meaning of <u>The Flavor uson</u>.

From start to finish the play is postry, pure and simple. "It is a dream play; the figures on the stage may be

^{1.} Eaton, W. P. The Drama in English, p. 290

symbols but why not watch the carnival and on joy it to the full, leaving the task of solving its riddles to Mr. Yeats." It is not a great play, but it is a thing of comming loy and beauty.

A letter from William Butler Yeats, written in 1920 to Lady Gregory gives one of the best descriptions of the Irinh Mational Theatre:

"My dear Lady Gregory: Of recent years you have done all that is anxious and laborious in the supervision of the Abbay Thantre and left me free to follow my own thought. It is therefore right that I address to you this latter wherein I shall explain certain thourhts that have made me believe that the Abbay Theatre can never so all we had hoped. We set out to make a 'People's Theatre' and in this we have ausocoaded. But I id not know until very lately that there are certain things, dear to both our hearts, which he 'People's Theatre' can accomplish.

All exploitation of the life of the wealthy, for the eye and ear of the poor and half-poor, in playe, in popular novels, in susieal comedies, in fashion papers, at the cinema, is a travesty of the life of the rich; and it impowertable and valgarizes the imagination, seeming to hold up for envy and to command the life where all is display

^{1.} Drama, 10: 308, June, 1920

and hurry, passion without emotion, emotion without intellect, and where there is nothing eterm and solitary... All this exploitation is a rankness that has grown up recently among us and has come out of an historical necessity that has sade the furniture and the clothes and the brains of all but the belsured or the lettered copies and travesties...

Then, toe, that turning into ridicule of peasant and citizen and leeser men in general could but increase our delight when the great personified spiritual power, but seems unmatural when the great are but the rich...

An artisan or a small shopkesper feels, I think when he sees upon our Abbay stage men of his own trade, that they are represented as he hisself would represent them if he had the gift of expression. I do not mean that he sees his own life expounded there without exaggration, for exaggration is selection and the more passionate the art the more marked is the selection, but he does not feel that he has strayed into some other man's seath. Our theatre is a people's theatre in a sense which no mere educational theatre can be because its plays are to some extent a part of the popular imagination...

When the Abbey manager cends us a play for our opinion,

if the handwriting of the MS or of the author's accompanying latter augments a leisured life I start projudiced.
There will be no fresh observation of character I think, no
sense of dialogue, all will be literary, socond-hand, at
best what Rossetti called "The Soulless Self-Reflections of
Man's Skill'.... He have not been Puritams. Our dramaticts,
and I am not speaking of your work or Synge's, but of those
to whom you and Synge and I gave an opportunity, have been
excellent just in so far as they have become all eye and
ear, their minds not moding lamps, as at times they would
have wished, but clear mirrors.

Our players, too, have been wivid and exciting because they have copied a life personally known to them, and of recent years since our Managor has had to select from the ordinary stage-struck young men and women who have seen many players and perhaps no life but that of the professional class, it has been much harder, though players have matured more rapidly, to get the old, exciting, vivid playing...It is this objectivity, this making of all from aymathy, from observation, nover from passion, from lonely dreaming, that has made our players, at their best, great comedians, for comedy is passionless.

We have been the first to create a true people's

theatre and we have succeeded became it is not an exploitation of local colour, or of a limited form of drama possessing a temporary novelty, but the first doing of something for which the world is ripe, something that will be done all ever the world and done noce and more perfectly: the making articulate of all the damb classes, each with its own knowledge of the world, its own dignity, but all objective with the objectivity of the office and the workable, of the newspaper and the street, of mechanism and of politices.

We did not set out to create this sort of a theatre and its success has been to me a discouragement and a defeat ... Ton and I and Synge, not understanding the clock, set out to bring again the Theatre of Shakespeare or rather perhaps of Sophoeles... We thought we could bring the old folk-life to Deblin, patrictic feeling to aid um, and with the folk-life all the life of the heart, using the word 'heart' as Dante used it to define the most interior being; but the modern world in more powerful than any propaganda or even than any special circumstance, and our success has been that we have made a Theatre of the head, and persuaded Dublin playsors to think about their own trade or profession or class and their life within its...

The objective nature and the subjective are mixed in different proportion as are the shadowed and the bright parts in the lunar phases. In Dante there was little shadow, in Shakespeare a larger portion, while you and Synge it may be who have constant hunour, and hunour is of the shadowed part, much observation, and a speech, founded upon real life, resemble the moon when it has just passed it third quarter...

The outery against the <u>Hawboy</u> was an outery against the style, against the way of seeing; and when the audience called Synge 'decadent'...it was troubled by the stench of the own bount cakes...

I want to create for myself as unpopular theatre and an antiense like a secret society where admission to by favour and never to many... I desire a mysterious art, always reminding and half-reminding those who understand it of dearly loved things, doing the work by suggestion, not by direct statement, a complexity of rhythm, colour, gesture, not space pervading like the intellect but a memory and a prophecy; a mode of drama Shelley and Koste could have used without ceasing to be themselves and for which even Blake in the need of The Book of Thell might not have been too obscure...

Ireland has suffered more than England from democracy, for since the wild deepe fled, who might have grown to be leaders in meaners and in taste, she has had but political leaders. As a painted figure is defined by its outline and taste by its rejections, I too must reject, and draw a clear outline about the thing I seek; and may that I seek, not a theatre but the theatre's anti-self, as art that can appears all within us that become uneasy as the curtain fulls and the homes breaks into applause...

Hearwhile the People's Theatre grows always more objective; more and more a reflection of the general mind; more and more a discovery of the simple emotions that make all mon kin, clearing itself the while of sentimentality, the wreckage of an obsolete popular oulture, seeking always not to feel and to imagine but to understand and see. "A

After twenty years had classed it was said the reputation of the Abbey Theatre was made during the first ten years of its existence and that very little of consequence has been done since. The death of John Milliagton Synge and the dispersal of the original company sees to have changed the outlook of the theatre, and variations in management sided in accommunating the change. The company of which Arthur Sinclair was the dominating

^{1.} Dial. 68: 458-68, Apr., 1920

figure made the Abbey Theatre popular, but Sinclair, excellent though his acting vary often was, reduced everything to the level of farce.

To Arthur Sinelair, perhaps more than any other single individual, might be traced the decline of the Irish drama during the second decode of the Abbay Theatre's existence. Paring the past five or six yours met there the plays more the acting have been up to the standard which the theatre had set and for so long maintained. We have developed a new and clover school of Irish dramatists who say they are holding up the mirror to Irish peasant nature, but they reflect nothing but decondence."

Of the hest of playwrights whose work was produced during this second decade at the Abbey Theatre very few gave promise of important work in the future. There were three playwrights from whom good work was expected.-T. C. Marray. Primaley Haddanagra, and possibly Sean O'Casey.

"At present the supply of Irish plays would seem to have almost ceased, and the Abbay Theatre is given over to revivals of Goldestth, Ibeen, and Shaw. The Irish literary novement began with poetry, developed into drama, and now apparently has estiled down to myels."

Hineteenth Century, 97: 578, Apr., 1925
 Ibid., 583

IMPLUENCE OF THE IRISH MATIONAL THEATRE

What was it that actually gave the Irish National Theatre its success? Not expanization, not direction, but a variety of causes—the fact that there was a personality at the head, William Futler Yests; the fact that it was created and maintained by a national resurgence; that it had a few remarkable actors and actresses; that it had posts to write for it, and that it had an audience, which, however small was intensely alive.

It was the maticual enthusiasm in the players, in the antience, in the writers, that gave life to the theatre. In william G. Pay, however, the Irish Maticual Theatre had a competent director. He had a wonderful feeling for speech and an exceptional faculty of producing clear and rhythmical speech from his mpile. "Frank Fay's great contribution to the Irish Theatre was that he gave the players in the early days on approciation of speech and a method of delivering it clearly and beautifully."

Queting Lady Gregory: "It is after all the old a very of the two sides of the shield. Some who are lovers of Ireland believe we have lessened the dignity of Ireland by showing upon the stage countrymen sho drink end awear and

^{1.} Theatre Arts, 10: 580, Sept., 1926

addire deeds of violence, or who are misers and covetous or hungering after hanh. We who are lowers of Iroland believe that our Theatre with its whole mass of plays has very greatly increased that dignity, and we are content to leave that judgment to the great arbitrator, Time. And amongst the Irish in America it was easy for us to rouse feeling against us. Is not the new baby always the disturber in the household 12.

The new Irish plays confirmed Nathew Arnold's characterization of the Celtic genius as a "reaction against the tyrunny of the fact." Now and again they touched the political situation with a free hand. It may be suspected that one will find the Irish spirit for more subtly and powerfully expressed in old spice, legends and traditions than in the undern literature which deals largely and often picturesquely with Irish conditions. But his ancient heritage of the earliest funcies and faith of the Irish people formed the real introduction to the dramas which the Irish players produced in this country; plays almost without stage cetting or properties, entirely out of the world of modern intrigue and commercialism, curiously devoid of theatrical device, stirring the imagination by pictures of life singularly bare in furnishing and singularly intimate

^{1.} Gregory, Lady. Our Irish Theatre, pp. 254-55

with poetry.

"The Irish play has a wonderful freshimes of style; it is written in the dislect of the people who live near the sources of wonder and awe in nature, and whose epech bears witness of their nearness to the splendor and mystery of the world. It is a clean play even when it deals with passional offenses; but, in a very real sense, it is an unseral play. It is a play of temperament rather than of character. It is the unexpected which happens in the Irich play."

The young Irish playurights wrote their own plays charged with the implemee of rebellion, and in Dublin they boasted a theatre devoted to the Irish Mational Movement. While their contentions were chiefly esthetic, the Gruma, nevertheless, became in their hands a sword for Irish freedom.

The Irish playwrights are above all lovers of beautiful words. Their plays abound in rhapsodies, not in action. In writing The Flayboy of the Western World, John Millington Synge remarks in his preface, "I have used one or two words only that I have not beard enough the country people of Ireland or spoken in my own marsery before I could read the newspapers." On the stage one must have reality and one

^{1.} Outlook, 99: 562, Nov. 4, 1911 2. Current Literature, 50: 82, Jan., 1911

must have joy. "In Ireland, for a few years more, we have a popular imagination that is fiery and magnificent and tender; so that those of us who wish to write start with a channe that is mot given to writers in places where the springtime of the local life has been forgotten, and the hurrent is a memory only, and the straw has been turned into bricks." Perhaps this is what helped to make the Irish places successful.

william Butler Yeats, in his original pronunciamente against the commercial theatre of the day, outlined the program of the Irich Maticum! Theatre as follows: "Pirat", he secute, "we have to write or find plays which make the theatre a place of intellectual excitement. Such plays will require, both in writers and audience, a stronger feeling for language than one finds in the ordinary theatre. Second, if we are to restore words to their sowereignty, we must make speech even more important than resture upon the cage. Third, we must simplify acting, especially in postical drama, and in prose drama that is remote from real life. Nouth, just as it is mosessary to simplify gesture that it may accompany speech without being its rival, it is messessary to simplify both the form and color of scenary and contume." Local conditions favored the enterprise, in

Current Literature, 50: 83, Jan., 1911
 Ibid., 84

that they secured it an immediate notoriety.

Charles Newley writing in Living Ace, 1915, says that no litterary novement of that time ever mot with so little adequate criticism as the Irish school of drama. Their tours were lauded as one long triumphal progress. Their sating was accilated as a return from the artifice and convention of the English stage to nature itself; their plays were hailed as masterpieces of literature; above all the Flayboy of the Western World was accepted as a classic, as the supreme and perfect representation of peasant life, as the true interpretation of the enigms of Ireland for English numiences.

Even in America the cultured and literary world followed, as it usually does, in the footsteps of the English intellectual class. The same culcules were pronounced, with added American caphasis, over the same plays. Yet in America there was what there was not in England, a strong current of adverse criticism.

I am listing some of the criticisms which Mr. Bewley gives of the playwrights of the Irish Hational Theatre:

1. The Irish playwrights do not penetrate into recessos of the Irish mind. They know people well from the outeide but have never attained to desper and more intimate knowledge.

- There is a gulf between the peasant and nobleman, or rich and poor, but wide as this gulf is, it may be bridged.
- 8. The adaptation by Yeats and Synge of French technical methods. (Example: Yeats' use of twolveayllabled line is an adaptation of French Alexandrine.)
- 4. They also imported some of the ideas of the "literary man" of London or Paris and have placed them in the mouths of characters with Irish mames.
- 5. Modern Irish dramatists have been chiefly attracted by the pagam element; thus their types are not recognized as true to life. They do not make the right interpretation of their religion. They make religion the mark of the weak-minded.

"Yeats and Sympe started on their correct as Irish demantate with ideals born of the literary coteries of London and Parie, ideals of uncontrollable pession and of brute force. They found in the Irish pessent certain traits of character more or less in harmony with those ideals, and on that besit they preceded to combract their plays. But they forcet, or could not see, that the most important element in Irish life as in Irish history, is the religious

element, and when they attempted to reduce that element to terms of neo-paganism, they fell into ludicrous and glaring error."

- 6. If dramatints are treating of the abnormal, they must treat it as abnormal. They must not tabe an abnormal type and present it as normal. It is here that the distinction lies between Macbeth and The Playboy of the Western World.
- 7. The fullure to understand the religious cause of the Irish people implies a corresponding failure to understand their patriotic sentiment, which, after all, is based on a religious feeling.

The Irieh movement, however, especially as exemplified by the plays of John Hillington Syage, was not strictly a realistic development. It had, m turally mough, perhaps, a strong infusion of poetry, and the writers sought for a speech which should truthfully represent the flavor of Irish life while at the same time achieving an independent charm. John Hillington Syage wished dramatic speech to be beautiful. "He sought, in other words, a modern equivalent of poetic metres, which could legitimately be employed in plays of realistic content," E He found it, at least for his

^{1.} Living Age, 276: 410-16, Feb. 15, 1913 2. Baton, W. P. The Drama in English, p. 26

oun purposes. Initatore, trying to use his rhythms, have not produced quite the same effect of spontaneous postry. But this search for what we may call a naturalistic postery was taken up by others and still goes on, and may be even in Avortoa today in much of the prose of Eugene O'Helli, for example, and in Faul Green's negro dialogue.

John Millington Synge was not a man with a necessary who believed that the theatre is a "criticism of life." He avowedly repudiated the ethical or seciological problemplay inangurated by "Theat and the Germans," who, it may be recalled, had found a few disciples among the early abbuy Theatre dramatices. He also alluded to the danger which threatens a self-ctyled national theatre: "that of confusing the literary with the political standard, Mationalist logic-chopping and propaganda with drama proper." John Millington Synge had no wish to change or reform anything; his contention was that the theatre, even in a hand of controversy like Ireland, must remain in its purely artistic sphere.

In regard to John Hillington Synge's work it may be said: "It has the quality of greatness, and it is great because it has strength. Synge graspe reality. He passants are creatures of passion and joy. He gives us a

^{1.} Bourgeois, Maurice. John M. Synce and the Irish Theatre, p. 139

fearless picture of their lives. It is his power of presenting what he sees without disguise that etamps his work, $^{\rm wL}$

The blace of motoriety which made the Abbey Theatre suidealy motable to two continents arose, in the first instance, less from its histrication and postic art than from its conflict with a posuliarity of the Irish temperament. When after the first performance of The Flavboy of the Bestern World, the runor spread through Dublin that some of the openions were hostile to faith and morals and the fair fame of Irish character, everyone knew they would enjoy full houses for the remainder of the run. "For the only time in history the abbey Theatre was packed to the doore night after night. The ensuing riots gave the company the advertisement they needed to draw public attention to the excellence of their porformance."

The Irish drama is limited, in the first place, by its lank of scope...tic confineers, for the most part, to cingle situations and charactere, and its tendency, when exceeding the limits of one act, either to draw the excey this or else to muddle it at the start. Another limitation of the Irish drama is its macrifice of action to talk; many of the plays are little more than scenes in dialogue,

^{1.} Review of Reviews, 45: 356, Mar., 1912 2. Living Age, 300: 119, Jan., 11, 1919

admirable by reason of their expression of character or their piquant phrasing, but undramatic. "Still other limitations of this product concern consedy and tragedy respectively, --in consedy a tendency to indules in more farce, in tragedy a tendency to indules in more pathos. Needless to may, a predilection for extrawament fun or for sentimental melancholy is inimical to the highest schievement in enemy or tragedy. Of true tragedy, indeed, all but the most recent litch plays show very little."

It is in character, in ideals, in atmosphere, in color, that drama must be mative, and in color and in atmosphere, in ideals and in character the Abbey Theatre drama is Irish. Reading of life and style are personal qualities, qualities of the artist himself, though they, too, may take tone and color from national life, as in the drama of many of the Abbey dramatists they do. These dramatists have been more recolutely mative, in fact, many of them, than the national dramatists of other countries have been, of France and Germany today, of the Spain or the England of the Renaiseance. The new Irish drama is more mative in its stories than is the Elizabethan drama, as those stories, even when they are stories found in variant forms in other countries, are given the tence of Irish life.

^{1.} Chandler, F. W. Aspects of Modern Drama, p. 275

These Irish idealists rejected from their range of subject-matter all themes suggested by the life of cities and by the manners of what are called the upper classes, firet, because such material was not definitely Irish, and ascord, because it was not--in any deep sense--human.

The Irish authors deckied also, from the outset, to revolt assains that tyramny of serely technical achievement to which the international contemporary drama is subservient. Not plot, but character, was shat they chose to care about, since people are more real than incidents. They renounced the technical empery of plot, and rejected the tradition of the well-made play. If they could reveal character sufficiently in situation, they did not consider it a further daty to set it forth in action. They did not deem it messesury to rely on stage-direction to convince the eye, since they could revert to an exiler stage of the development of the drama and rely on eloquence of writing to convince the ear. "1"

They chose to much the drama less visual and more auditory than that to which we have become commonly account-oned in the international theatre of today. They doesde that the surest way to return to make was to return to Itterature. Actuated by these aims, the Irish play wirks found, in the peasant life of Ireland, innumerable subjects

^{1.} Bookman, 24: 508, Jan., 1912

made to their hand.

They act for the lowe of acting. Their motto is "no one shall work for money, and no one shall work for fame, but each for the joy of working."

At a time when the art of the theatre had become sophisticated, the plays of the Irish were simple in them and structure,..."in plays of the problems of conventional society, they presented in their plays the natural life of a people free from mannered graces. In place of highwrought technic, they offered a few single situations; and in place of 'well-made' plots, they exhibited obscacter at a crisis. They can back to the sources of things in nature for the representation of things in art."

Much of the Irish drama was written in the one-not form and was simple in these and construction so that it could easily be preduced by masteurs. It was much noted in other countries and rave great impetus to the revival of one-not plays and to the creation of local and folk drama in various parts of the United States and Canada. Out of these countries and their theatres, out of their training in writing one-not plays have one some of our foresost recent American dramatists, motably Eugene o'Heill and Faul Green.

^{1.} Bookman, 34: 511, Jan., 1912

^{2.} Chandler, F. W. Aspects of Modern Drama, p. 23

The Irish players were taught to obliterate themselves as much as possible in order to concentrate the onlooker's attention on the speakers. The mext point was to lower the pitch of the voice—"to act planissime, in a tone husbed as if in a cick-room, all grave and as it were convewers." Mirially, there were no "etars" in the company: apart from contertty, all saters were equal; all might have to play inferior parts, and none was allowed to monopolise the stage. Lastly, when the curtain fell, the theatre did not remain dark for a certain length of time, as a broad hint to continue the applicants; and when it rose, the actors did not stand etiff, but beend and smiled.

In short, while Emplish actine may be defined as the art of showing off one's celf, the Irish actors showed off the plays. In their dread of over-acting, they are apt to unier-act. Hence their cocasional ansteurishness. "Their art's occential object is artleemess."

"It is not too much to any that the acting at the Abbay Theatee has had a markedly improving effect upon the acting of the Inglish-speaking world. The ranting and raving has given place to a naturalmoss which is the distinguishing mark of the Irish acting.*²

^{1.} Bourgeois, Maurice. John M. Synce and the Irish Theatre, p. 129

^{2.} Catholie World, 126: 109, Cot., 1927

Mr. Clayton Manilton in 1924 made this remark about the Irich histornal Theatre: "I am not yet convinced that, even at the present time, we have an American drawn in the positive sense in which the phrase is used when we speak of-even the Irich drama. It seems astonishing that so small a country as Ireland could have produced such a great drama in so short a time. In the short time of twenty years, the Irich have initiated, devloped, and perfected a really great contribution to the drama of the world."

From the very beginning of the Irich Mational Drematic Company, William Butler Yorks was an advocate of scenery that is background chiefly, and in me way divertive of attention from the play itself, its thought, its words, its acting. "He would have it, in a way, describe but subdued and in harmony with the subject of the play. A very few simple sets suffice for the plays of peasant life, a cottage interior, a willage street, a proparada in a gap of the hills, all to serve the action and words as background, and to be no more obtrusive than the background of a pertrait."

Probably no theatre has had more immediate influence on theatre art all over the world, and especially in

^{1.} Catholic World, 126: 110, Oct., 1927
2. Weygandt, Cornelius. Irish Plays and Playwrights, p. 29

America, than the Abbey. "It is a little by way of reverbration to find the newest play (1932) at the Abbey,
All's Over, Then' by Lemox Robinson, oredited by a correspondent of The Times of Lomion, to the influence of the
United States. The ords says: 'A new play by Mr. Jeanox
Robinson is an event of some significiance to the theatre
in general. It was, therefore, not surprising that a large
and distinguished madience should have packed the Abbey
Theatre on Honday night for the premiers of his latest play.
All's Over, Then' represents Mr. Robinson again experimenting; this time far away from both the tragic and rollioking
peasants which have given him his reputation as a playwight.
In some respects it to a 'throw back' to The White Mackhird, but its more likely affiliations are with the contemporary drams of the United States, "1

In 1982 the Abbey Players again wisited the United States. They toured the country, where friends are friends and dramatic critics are open-sinded. They carried with them the tradition of that cold welcome which they received just before the World War when they gave The Playboy of the Mentern World. They were surprised to fint this time a welcome here as warm and bearty ac any they had received.

The repertory included The Playhoy of the Western

^{1.} Theatre Arts Monthly, 692-95, Sept., 1932

world, Juno and the Paycook, and George Shield's The New Gloscoon.

George J. Hathan, caustic critic of Judge (Hew York), says: "The Abbey Theatre Company in a repertoire of modern lithin plays and --good news at last--something I can recomment to you to keep you cut of even your favorite speakeasy."

When they were in the States this last time they hat upon the happy device of restoring to wearfod Hew York and tenoes the simple pleasures of going to the theatre, of eeeing good playe more than well-enough acted. Their efforts brought forth such wide and unstinted oritical acclaim that they were rewarded with generous and unexpected public support.

Critics all feel that their greatest efft to ome from their playwrighte. Hight after might they presented the masterplaces of Sean O'Casey, John Millington Symes, Lennox Robinson, William Butler Yests, Lady Gregory and others, including ower playwrights, as fine a group of modern plays as the English-speaking theatre has to offer.

Synge's once-controversial masterpiece, the Playboy, proved to be the most popular and was the high point of the Abber Players' repertory. "It is bed to believe that this

^{1.} Literary Digest, 114: 17-18, Nov., 19, 1932

gusty, postical farce could have called forth an outbreak of mericum rioting when it was presented in this country for the first time nearly a decade ago. Seasone will come and go before the theatre will offer richer delights than the Abbay Theatre's performance of The Flayboy of the Wortern World, "

Their plays are full of humor, the acid of revealed character, or irony and fun and bittermees. Their characterizations are examples of thorough-going study. "Given composite playing so organically fine and well-tuned accept to anywhere, the Abby Theatre and their audience are bound to feel a keen sympathy for the strange, earth-bound, imaginative, inconsistent, delightful thing that is the Irish character. And above all, there is the music of their dialect playe, their voices, full-toned and mellow, which produce a feast of sound. For eher beauty of speech, there is nothing in the theatre to equal them."

A year ago, construction rejumed in Dublin the stree circles and it was no secret that the first half of 1981 had been almost dissectrous for the two repertory playhouses, and for the one theatre which made its bookings almost entirely through London. At the abbey Theatre, ten

Theatre Arts Monthly, 12-13, Jan., 1933
 Ibid., 14

plays were staged and, of these, four were first productions also from mative pens.

William Bather Yeats' two short plays written in the Japanese Moh style attracted much comment. These were The Cat and the Moon and The Pressing of the Bones and they revealed William Bather Yeats in his most brilliant and happiest vein. The former is founded on a religious legend, simple and direct, but the latter is wholly contained of thems.

The announcement of a new theatre was made recently at a meeting of representatives of Iries amatour societies held in the Cate Theatre during the period of the Dramatic Art competition hold under the amplices of Assach Failteann.

The association places no restrictions on the plays to be produced by ite affiliated members. It rather hopes to encourage a broad outlook among Irish anatours and, while it will at all times feeter plays by Irish authors without encreaching upon the province of the abbay Theatre, "it will also endeavor to interest its members in the study and productions of the best plays of the world theatre, thereby establishing a wider scope and a greater versatility smong Irish players and produces and bringing young mative authors into contact with the work of foreign writers."

^{1.} Theatre Arts Monthly, 922, Nov., 1932

The primary purpose of the Phoenix Theatre, on the other hami, is experimental and it proposes to stage the plays of new authors who are avowedly experimenting. The theatre, however, will differ from other experimental theatres in that it will endeavor to crystalize its efforts into a certain form. Its ultimate aim will be that co-ordination of all the arts, poetry, drams, music, damoing and architecture, which means the evolution of a new form of national drams. "This idea is not exactly new as Mr. Yeats had a similar idea in view when he founded the abbey School of Ballet and had, in conjunction with this school, successfully experimented with short plays such as The Orly Jealousy of Some, "1

The new theatre is not intended to be in any way a competitor of the Abbey. It will set out to follow its own line and its min object is the preseveration of Irish culture in its various forms and the welding together of all those elements into a new Art-forms. At thee ame time, in its treatment of the classics, it will be governed entirely in interpretation by Irish traditions. "As part of its policy, it will on-operate with the Hational Amateur Association by providing a centre in which companies from the provincial towns and rural sections can present their

^{1.} Theatre Arts Monthly, p. 923, Nov., 1932

plays. As an encouragement to such groups, the theatre will be placed at their disposal on payment of the bare expenses connected with performing a and it is hoped that, in return, similar facilities will be granted to the Phoenix Theatre Company in the provinces in order that it may interest rural communities in its work with a view to expansion. "A

^{1.} Theatre Arts Monthly, p. 924, Nov., 1932

LITERATURE CITED

- Abboy Theatre. Theatre Arts Magazine 16: 692. Sept., 1982.
- Abbey Theatre Comes of Age. Theatre arts Magazine 10: 580-4. Sept., 1926.
- Abbey Theatre Subsidy. Literary Digest 86: 29-30. Sept., 12, 1925.
- Bickley, Francis Larry, J. M. Synge and the Irish Dramatic Movement, New York, Houghton Hittlin, 1912.
- Bourgeois, Maurice.

 John M. Synge and the Irish Theatre. London.

 Constable and Company, 1td., 1913.
- Boyd, Ernest A. Contemporary Drama of Ireland. Boston. Little, Brown and Company, 1917.
- Boyd, Ernest A. Iroland's Literary Renaissance, Boston. John Lane Company, 1916.
- Chandler, Frank Hadleigh.
 Aspects of Modern Drama. New York. Macmillan, 1914.
- Cohen, Helen Louise. One-act Plays by Hodern Authors. New York. Harcourt, Brace and Company, 1925.
- Coming of the Irish Players. Collier's 48: 15. Oct. 21, 1911.
- Decline of the Irish Drama. Mineteenth Century 97: 578-88. Apr., 1925.
- Dickinson, Thomas H. An Outline of Contemporary Drama. New York. Houghton Mifflin, 1927.

- Drama in Ireland. Drama Magazine 10: 308-9. June, 1920.
- Drama in Ireland. Catholic World 126: 109-10. Oct., 1927.
- Eaton, Walter Frichard.
 The Drama in English. New York. Scribner's Sons, 1930.
- Gregory, Lady A.
- Our Irish Theatre. Hew York. Houghton Mifflin, 1913.

 Ireland's Mational Drama. Herth American Review 194: 566-
- 75. Oct., 1911. Trish Drama, an Uncommercial Drama. Fortnightly Review 78:
- 1044-54. Dec., 1902.

 Irish Fome Rule in the Drama. Current Literature 50: 81-4.
- Jan., 1911.

 Irish Literary Theatre and its Affinities. Fortnightly
- Review 76: 1050-62. Dec., 1901.

 Irish Literary Theatre. Mation 73: 395. Nov. 21, 1901.
- Iriah Mational Theatre. Bookman 34: 508-16. Jan., 1912.
- Irish National Theatre. Living Age 276; 410-18. Feb. 15,
- Irish Play of Today. Outlook 99: 561-3. Nov. 4, 1911.
- Irish Scene. Theatre Arts Magazine 16: 922-6. Mov., 1932.
- Irish Theatre. Living Age 300: 119-21. Jan. 11, 1919.
- Irish Theatre as an Exponent of the Irish People. Review of Heviews 45: 356-7. Mar., 1912.
- John M. Synge and the Iriah Theatre. Dial 56: 177-9. Mar. 1, 1914.
- Krans, H. 3. William Butler Yeats and the Irish Literary Revival. New York. Doubleday, Page and Company, 1904.

Massfield, John. John M. Synge. New York. The Macmillan Company, 1915.

Hid-Channel; Broadway Review. Theatre arts Magazine 17: 12-13. Jan., 1933.

Hows of the European Theatre. Dram Magazine. October 1930-June 1931.

No Bricks for Irish Players this Time. Literary Digest 114: 17-18. Nov. 19, 1982.

Our Irish Theatre by Lady Gregory. Mation 98: 140-41.

People's Theatre. Dial 68: 458-68. Apr., 1920.

Rise of the Irish Theatre. Contemporary neview 100: 240-7.

Theatre in Ulster. Living .que 329: 467-9. May 29, 1926.

Watson, Ernest B. Contemporary Draws: English and Irish Plays. New York. Soribmer's Sons, 1931.

Weygandt, Cornelius. Irish Plays and Playwrights. Boston. Houghton Miffilm, 1913.

Who Will go to Ireland for Aonach Failteann? Theatre Arts Magazine 15: 78-80. Jan., 1981.

Yeats, William Butler. Synce and the Ireland of his Time. Hew York. Mitchell Kenmerly, 1911.